

CORRELATION FUNCTIONS OF THE CHARGED FREE BOSON AND FERMION SYSTEMS

NAIHUAN JING, ZHIJUN LI, AND TOMMY WUXING CAI

ABSTRACT. We give an alternative formulation of the KP and BKP hierarchies using the quantum inverse scattering method by introducing the operators $\mathbf{B}(x)$, $\mathbf{C}(x)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(x)$, $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(x)$ in the off-diagonal entries of the monodromy matrix for the bc fermionic fields and neutral fermions. We also introduce an analogous phase model and study the associated operators $\mathbf{B}^*(x)$ and $\mathbf{C}^*(x)$ in terms of the charged free bosons. The new system is shown to be completely analogous to the bc fermionic fields. It is proved that the correlation function $\langle 0 | \mathbf{C}(x_N) \cdots \mathbf{C}(x_1) \mathbf{B}(y_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}(y_N) | 0 \rangle$ in the bc fermionic fields is the inverse of the correlation function $\langle 0 | \mathbf{C}^*(x_N) \cdots \mathbf{C}^*(x_1) \mathbf{B}^*(y_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}^*(y_N) | 0 \rangle$ in the charged free bosons.

1. INTRODUCTION

The quantum inverse scattering method (QISM) [8] is an extremely important tool to study various exactly solvable physical models such as the XYZ model, six vertex model, eight vertex model, phase model, and lattice model etc. The most important aspect of the QISM is the algebraic Bethe Ansatz, which provides an effective procedure to construct eigenvectors for the Hamiltonian. The KP and BKP hierarchies can be solved by many methods. In particular, the Kyoto school used infinite dimensional Lie algebras and boson-fermion correspondence to show that, among many things, the tau functions are expressed in terms of the Schur functions and Schur's Q-functions [3–7] (see also [21, 26]).

The main idea of the Bethe Ansatz in solving the phase model relies on two important operators in the off-diagonal of the monodromy matrix. In the simplest situation, the monodromy matrix $T(x)$ is written as

$$(1.1) \quad T(x) = \begin{pmatrix} A(x) & B(x) \\ C(x) & D(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

where the operators $B(x)$ and $C(x)$ act on the space of states \mathcal{V} in the physical model. The L -matrix and therefore the monodromy matrices obey the famous RTT commutation relation governed by the

MSC (2010): Primary: 17B37; Secondary: 58A17, 15A75, 15B33, 15A15.

Keywords: correlation functions, monodromy matrix, charged free bosons, symmetric functions, KP hierarchy

Corresponding author: zhijun1010@163.com Supported by NSFC (grant no. 11531004), Simons Foundation (grant no. 523868).

Yang-Baxter matrix $R(u, v)$ acting on $\mathcal{V}_1 \otimes \mathcal{V}_2$:

$$(1.2) \quad R(x, y)T_1(x)T_2(y) = T_2(y)T_1(x)R(x, y).$$

Recently Bogoliubov [1], Foda, Wheeler and Zuparic [10–12, 27], Tsilevich [24] have given a new QISM formulation of the KP and BKP hierarchies and constructed the operators $\mathbb{B}(x), \mathbb{C}(x)$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{B}}(x), \tilde{\mathbb{C}}(x)$ using phase algebras and i -boson algebras. i.e., in the case of KP they let the L -matrix

$$L_m(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \psi_m^\dagger \\ \psi_m & x^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where the generators of the phase algebras $\{\pi_i, \psi_i^\dagger, \mathcal{N}_i, \psi_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq M}$ satisfy that

$$[\psi_i, \psi_j^\dagger] = \delta_{i,j}\pi_i, [\mathcal{N}_i, \psi_j] = -\delta_{i,j}\psi_i, [\mathcal{N}_i, \psi_j^\dagger] = \delta_{i,j}\psi_i^\dagger, \psi_i\pi_i = \pi_i\psi_i^\dagger = 0, 0 \leq i, j \leq M$$

and they used the monodromy matrix

$$T(x) = L_M(x) \cdots L_1(x)L_0(x) = \begin{pmatrix} A(x) & B(x) \\ C(x) & D(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

to show that the operators

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbb{B}(x) = x^{\frac{M}{2}}B(x), \text{ and } \mathbb{C}(x) = x^{\frac{M}{2}}C\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$

satisfy four distinguished properties [1, 10, 27] that can be used to characterize the system and compute the correlation functions. We will come back to these four properties in the sequel (see (1.5)).

On the one hand, the KP and BKP can be formulated in the context of the boson-fermion correspondence as special realization of the basic representation of the affine Lie algebra, while the KP and BKP correspond the so-called bosonic/fermionic realization of the Lie algebra \widehat{gl}_∞ and the twisted vertex realization of the affine Lie algebra \widehat{sl}_2 [15].

On the other hand, the boson-boson correspondence gives rise to interesting representations of $W_{1+\infty}$ -algebra [13, 25]. In [17] we have formulated the tau functions of the associated hierarchy in terms of the charged free bosons. The goal of this paper is to formulate the charged boson systems using the QSIM.

In order to do this, we first reformulate a new QISM approach to the charged fermions, analogous to the approach used by Wheeler and collaborators. We introduce a generalized phase model and derive that the atomic operators satisfy the basic bosonic relation. We define two new operators (see

(2.3)-(2.4))

$$\mathbf{B}(x) = \prod_{i \in (-\infty, M]}^{\rightarrow} \exp(xb(-(i-1))c(i-2))$$

$$\mathbf{C}(x) = \prod_{i \in (-\infty, M]}^{\leftarrow} \exp(xb(-i+2)c(i-1))$$

where the fermionic operators obey the commutation relation

$$(1.4) \quad \{b(i), c(j)\} = \delta_{i,-j}, \quad \{b(i), b(j)\} = \{c(i), c(j)\} = 0,$$

in bc fermionic fields for any positive integer M . We show that these two operators satisfy the similar commutation relations for the bosonic monodromy matrix using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff (BCH) formula. Next, we shall prove that the properties of bc fermionic fields enjoy the following properties:

- (1) $[\mathbf{B}(x), \mathbf{B}(y)] = [\mathbf{C}(x), \mathbf{C}(y)] = 0$, i.e., $\mathbf{B}(x_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}(x_N)|0\rangle$ is a symmetric function in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N ;
- (2) The limit of the operators are given by

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{B}(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n} H_{-n}\right), \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{C}(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n} H_n\right),$$

where H_{-n}, H_n satisfy the Heisenberg relation $[H_m, H_n] = m\delta_{m,-n}$ (see (2.16));

- (3) $\mathbf{B}(x_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}(x_N)|0\rangle$ is a KP τ -function;
- (4) $\mathbf{B}(x)|\nu\rangle = \sum_{\nu \prec \mu \subseteq [l+1, M]} x^{|\mu| - |\nu|} |\mu\rangle$ (see (2.25)).

Based on the conclusions of [10,27], we can compute the correlation function $\langle 0 | \mathbf{C}(x_N) \cdots \mathbf{C}(x_1) \mathbf{B}(y_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}(y_N) | 0 \rangle$.

Our second main result is to formulate a QISM for the charged free bosonic system (or the bosonic $\beta\gamma$ system). We will introduce two important operators (see (3.3)-(3.4))

$$\mathbf{B}^*(x) = \prod_{i \in (-\infty, M]}^{\rightarrow} \exp(x\varphi_{-i}\varphi_{i-1}^*), \quad \mathbf{C}^*(x) = \prod_{i \in (-\infty, M]}^{\leftarrow} \exp(x\varphi_{-i+1}\varphi_i^*)$$

in terms of charged free bosons. From the BCH formula and the properties of charged free bosons, we have

1. $[\mathbf{B}^*(x), \mathbf{B}^*(y)] = [\mathbf{C}^*(x), \mathbf{C}^*(y)] = 0$;
2. For $M \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(1.6) \quad \mathbf{B}^*(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} x^n h_{-n}\right), \quad \mathbf{C}^*(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} x^n h_n\right),$$

where h_{-n}, h_n satisfy the Heisenberg relations $[h_m, h_n] = -m\delta_{m,-n}$ (see (3.7));

3. $\mathbf{B}^*(x_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}^*(x_N)|0\rangle$ is a bosonic KP τ -function;

4. For a positive integer M , the correlation function $\langle 0|\mathbf{C}^*(x_N)\cdots\mathbf{C}^*(x_1)\mathbf{B}^*(y_1)\cdots\mathbf{B}^*(y_N)|0\rangle$ is the inverse of $\langle 0|\mathbf{C}(x_N)\cdots\mathbf{C}(x_1)\mathbf{B}(y_1)\cdots\mathbf{B}(y_N)|0\rangle$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we formulate a new alternative QISM approach to the charged free fermions. We introduce two operators $\mathbf{B}(x)$ and $\mathbf{C}(x)$ of bc fermionic fields to obtain their important results, analogous to the operators $\mathbb{B}(x)$ and $\mathbb{C}(x)$ considered in [1, 10, 27], which then gives a new way to compute their correlation functions. In section 3, we introduce the bosonic analog $\mathbf{B}^*(x)$ and $\mathbf{C}^*(x)$ in charged free bosons, which then computes the correlation functions $\langle 0|\mathbf{C}^*(x_N)\cdots\mathbf{C}^*(x_1)\mathbf{B}^*(y_1)\cdots\mathbf{B}^*(y_N)|0\rangle$. In section 4, we provide two operators $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(x)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(x)$ from neutral fermions and build up a connection with $\tilde{\mathbb{B}}(x)$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}(x)$.

2. $\mathbf{B}(x)$ AND $\mathbf{C}(x)$ OF bc FERMIONIC FIELDS AND CORRELATION FUNCTION

In this section we introduce operators $\mathbf{B}(x)$ and $\mathbf{C}(x)$ of bc fermionic fields and obtain some relations based on the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff (BCH) formula. We also establish the corresponding relations between $\mathbf{B}(x)$, $\mathbf{C}(x)$ and $\mathbb{B}(x)$, $\mathbb{C}(x)$.

2.1. **The operators $\mathbf{B}(x)$ and $\mathbf{C}(x)$.** Let the bc fermionic fields be

$$(2.1) \quad b(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} b(i)z^{-i}, \quad c(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} c(i)z^{-i-1}$$

with the commutation relations

$$(2.2) \quad \{b(i), c(j)\} = \delta_{i,-j}, \quad \{b(i), b(j)\} = \{c(i), c(j)\} = 0,$$

where $\{A, B\} = AB + BA$. In particular, $b^2(i) = c^2(i) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We then introduce two operators $\mathbf{B}(x)$ and $\mathbf{C}(x)$ of the bc fermionic fields for a positive integer M :

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}(x) &= \exp(xb(-M+1)c(M-2))\exp(xb(-M+2)c(M-3))\cdots \\ &= \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in (-\infty, M]} \exp(xb(-(i-1))c(i-2)), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}(x) &= \cdots \exp(xb(-M+3)c(M-2))\exp(xb(-M+2)c(M-1)) \\ &= \overleftarrow{\prod}_{i \in (-\infty, M]} \exp(xb(-i+2)c(i-1)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in (-\infty, M]}$ (resp. $\overleftarrow{\prod}_{i \in (-\infty, M]}$) means that i runs in the decreasing (resp. increasing) order from left to right within the interval $(-\infty, M]$.

Proposition 2.1. *One has that*

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbf{B}(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} x^n \wedge_n\right), \quad \mathbf{C}(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} x^n \wedge_n^*\right),$$

where $\wedge_n = \sum_{-\infty}^{M-1} b(-i)c(i-n)$, $\wedge_n^* = \sum_{-\infty}^{M-1} b(-i+n)c(i)$.

Proof. We divide the proof into two steps. First we recall the formula to derive its consequence Lemma 2.1. Then we prove (2.5) using the BCH formula and Lemma 2.1.

Step 1. Let X and Y be operators in a bounded Hilbert space. The *Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula* [14,23] says that $\exp(X)\exp(Y) = \exp(Z)$, where Z is a formal series in X and Y with rational coefficients. It is known that Z can be written as a formal series in iterated commutators of X and Y . The first few terms are

$$Z = X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] + \frac{1}{12}[X, [X, Y]] + \frac{1}{12}[[X, Y], Y] + \dots$$

To simply the notation, we iteratively define $[X^{(n)}, Y]$ by $[X, [X^{(n-1)}, Y]]$. Then $[X^{(3)}, Y] = [X, [X, [X, Y]]]$. Similarly, we define $[X, Y^{(n)}] = [[X, Y^{(n-1)}], Y]$, $[X, Y^{(n)}X] = [[X, Y^{(n)}], X]$. Let us denote the coefficients of the commutators $[X^{(n)}, Y]$ (resp. $[X, Y^{(n)}]$) in Z by C_n (resp. D_n), it is known that

$$(2.6) \quad C_n = D_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} B_n,$$

where B_n are the Bernoulli numbers defined by

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{z}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad |z| < 2\pi.$$

Let $f(x) = \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{1}{l} x^l = -\ln(1-x)$ and consider the Taylor expansion of $(f(x))^s$ ($s \geq 1$)

$$(2.8) \quad (f(x))^s = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} F_t^s x^t,$$

then clearly $F_t^s = 0$ for $t < s$ and $F_s^s = 1$. We have the following technical lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *The coefficients C_j and F_n^j satisfy the following relations:*

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n C_j F_n^j = \frac{1}{n+1},$$

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{s=1}^t \frac{F_t^s}{s!} = 1.$$

Proof. Setting $z = f(x) = -\ln(1-x)$, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} C_j z^j = \frac{z}{1-e^{-z}} - 1 = -\frac{\ln(1-x)}{x} - 1 = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{x^n}{n+1}$$

by combining (2.6) and (2.7). And by (2.8),

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} C_j z^j = \sum_{j \geq 1} C_j \sum_{n \geq j} F_n^j x^n = \sum_{n \geq 1} x^n \sum_{j=1}^n C_j F_n^j.$$

Comparing (2.11) and (2.12), we immediately get (2.9). Similarly we can check (2.10). \square

Step 2. We claim that for $m \leq M-1$,

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \prod_{i \in [m, M-1]} \overrightarrow{\exp}(xb(-i)c(i-1)) \\ &= \exp(xb(-M+1)c(M-2)) \cdots \exp(xb(-m)c(m-1)) \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{M-m} \frac{x^n}{n} \sum_{j=n+m-1}^{M-1} b(-j)c(j-n)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We use induction on m . First, for $m = M-2$, set $X = xb(-M+1)c(M-2)$, $Y = xb(-M+2)c(M-3)$, then the only nonzero iterated commutators of X and Y in Z is $[X, Y]$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] \\ &= xb(-M+1)c(M-2) + xb(-M+2)c(M-3) + \frac{1}{2}x^2b(-M+1)c(M-3) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^2 \frac{x^n}{n} \sum_{j=n+M-2-1}^{M-1} b(-j)c(j-n). \end{aligned}$$

Now, assume (2.13) is established for $m = k+1$, set $X = \sum_{n=1}^{M-k-1} \frac{x^n}{n} \sum_{j=n+k}^{M-1} b(-j)c(j-n)$, $Y = xb(-k)c(k-1)$, then the only nontrivial iterated commutators of X and Y in Z are

$$(2.14) \quad [X^{(s)}, Y] = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1-k-s} F_{s+j}^s x^{s+j+1} b(-k-s-j)c(k-1), \quad 1 \leq s \leq M-1-k,$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
Z &= X + Y + \sum_{s=1}^{M-1-k} C_s \sum_{j=0}^{M-1-k-s} F_{s+j}^s x^{s+j+1} b(-k-s-j) c(k-1) \\
&= X + Y + \sum_{t=1}^{M-1-k} \sum_{s=1}^t C_s F_t^s x^{t+1} b(-k-t) c(k-1) \\
&= X + Y + \sum_{t=1}^{M-1-k} \frac{x^{t+1}}{t+1} b(-k-t) c(k-1) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{M-k} \frac{x^n}{n} \sum_{j=n+k-1}^{M-1} b(-j) c(j-n),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.1 (also recalled X and Y). Thus we have

$$\prod_{i \in [k, M-1]}^{\rightarrow} \exp(xb(-i)c(i-1)) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{M-k} \frac{x^n}{n} \sum_{j=n+k-1}^{M-1} b(-j)c(j-n)\right).$$

The proposition is then true by taking $m \rightarrow -\infty$. □

Proposition 2.2. *One has that*

$$(2.15) \quad \mathbf{B}(x)\mathbf{B}(y) = \mathbf{B}(y)\mathbf{B}(x), \quad \mathbf{C}(x)\mathbf{C}(y) = \mathbf{C}(y)\mathbf{C}(x).$$

Proof. Since

$$[\wedge_m, \wedge_n] = \sum_{-\infty}^{M-1} b(-i)c(i-m-n) - \sum_{-\infty}^{M-1} b(-i)c(i-m-n) = \wedge_{m+n} - \wedge_{m+n} = 0,$$

we have $[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} x^n \wedge_n, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} x^n \wedge_n] = 0$, thus

$$\mathbf{B}(x)\mathbf{B}(y) = \mathbf{B}(y)\mathbf{B}(x).$$

□

Proposition 2.3. *Set $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \wedge_n = H_{-n}$, $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \wedge_n^* = H_n$, then we have that*

$$(2.16) \quad [H_m, H_n] = m\delta_{m, -n}.$$

Thus we have the following identities.

Proposition 2.4. *The limit of the operators satisfy that*

$$(2.17) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{B}(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n} H_{-n}\right), \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{C}(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n} H_n\right),$$

$$(2.18) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{C}(x)\mathbf{B}(y) = \frac{1}{1-xy} \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{B}(y)\mathbf{C}(x).$$

2.2. The actions of $\mathbf{B}(x)$ on $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$ and $\mathbf{C}(x)$ on $\mathcal{F}^{*(0)}$. The Fock space \mathcal{F} is spanned by negative (resp. non-positive) modes of $c(z)$ (resp. $b(z)$) acting on the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$ subject to the relations

$$(2.19) \quad b(m+1)|0\rangle = 0, \quad c(m)|0\rangle = 0, \quad m \geq 0.$$

Therefore the space \mathcal{F} is spanned by $b(-m_1)\cdots b(-m_s)c(-n_1)\cdots c(-n_t)|0\rangle$, and decomposes into a direct sum

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}^{(i)},$$

where the subspace $\mathcal{F}^{(i)}$ has a basis consisting of monomials $b(-m_1)\cdots b(-m_s)c(-n_1)\cdots c(-n_t)|0\rangle$, $m_1 > \cdots > m_s \geq 0$ and $n_1 > \cdots > n_t > 0$ such that $s - t = i$. We will be focused on the subspace $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$.

The dual Fock space \mathcal{F}^* is generated by the dual vacuum vector $\langle 0|$ satisfying the relations

$$(2.20) \quad \langle 0|b(i+1) = 0, \quad \langle 0|c(i) = 0, \quad i < 0.$$

We are only interested in the subspace $\mathcal{F}^{*(0)}$ with a bases $\langle 0|b(m_k)\cdots b(m_1)c(n_k)\cdots c(n_1)$, $m_1 > \cdots > m_k > 0$, $n_1 > \cdots > n_k \geq 0$ including the dual vacuum state.

A vector $\tau \in \mathcal{F}$ is called a KP τ -function [18,27] if τ obeys

$$(2.21) \quad Res_z b(z) \otimes c(z)(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0,$$

where $Res_z f(z)$ denotes the coefficient of z^{-1} in $f(z)$.

Remark 2.1. In [17], we have shown that

$$Res_z b(z) \otimes c(z)(|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle) = 0, \quad [Res_z b(z) \otimes c(z), \mathbf{B}(x) \otimes \mathbf{B}(x)] = 0,$$

thus $\mathbf{B}(x_1)\mathbf{B}(x_2)\cdots\mathbf{B}(x_N)|0\rangle$ is a KP τ -function.

A *partition* $\mu = \{\mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_l \geq 0\}$ is a set of weakly decreasing non-negative integers. The weight of μ is $|\mu| = \sum_{j=1}^l \mu_j$ and length of μ is $l(\mu) = l$. Pick the rectangle $[N, M]$ containing the Young diagram of μ , i.e., $\mu_1 \leq M$, $l(\mu) \leq N$. For all sets of integers $\{m_1 > m_2 > \cdots > m_l > -l\}$ we set

$$(2.22) \quad |\mu\rangle = |\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l\rangle = b(-m_1)b(-m_2)\cdots b(-m_l)c(-l)c(-l+1)\cdots c(-1)|0\rangle,$$

$$(2.23) \quad \langle \mu| = \langle \mu_1, \dots, \mu_l| = \langle 0|b(1)b(2)\cdots b(l)c(m_l)c(m_{l-1})\cdots c(m_1),$$

where $\mu_i = m_i + i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l$. We also define $deg(|\mu\rangle) = deg(\langle \mu|) = |\mu|$.

Definition 2.1. The partition $|\mu\rangle = |\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{l+1}\rangle$ is said to interlace the partition $|\nu\rangle = |\nu_1, \dots, \nu_l\rangle$, written as $|\mu\rangle \succ |\nu\rangle$, if and only if

$$(2.24) \quad \mu_i \geq \nu_i \geq \mu_{i+1}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq l$.

Theorem 2.1. For arbitrary positive integer M and vector $|\nu\rangle = |\nu_1, \dots, \nu_l\rangle$ such that $M \geq \nu_1 \geq \nu_l$ we have

$$(2.25) \quad \mathbf{B}(x)|\nu\rangle = \sum_{\nu \prec \mu \subseteq [l+1, M]} x^{|\mu| - |\nu|} |\mu\rangle.$$

Proof. Using (2.2) and (2.19) we have that

$$(2.26) \quad \exp(xb(-j)c(j-1)) = 1 + xb(-j)c(j-1),$$

$$(2.27) \quad b(-k)c(k-1)|\nu\rangle = 0, \quad k \leq -l-1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}(x)|\nu\rangle &= \overrightarrow{\prod}_{j \in [-l, M-1]} \exp(xb(-j)c(j-1))|\nu\rangle \\ &= \overrightarrow{\prod}_{j \in [-l, M-1]} (1 + xb(-j)c(j-1))|\nu\rangle \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq s \leq M+l} x^s b(-a_1)c(a_1-1)b(-a_2)c(a_2-1) \cdots b(-a_s)c(a_s-1)|\nu\rangle + |\nu\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{M+l} < a_{M+l-1} < \cdots < a_2 < a_1 \leq M-1$ and $b(-a_i)c(a_i-1)$ are bundled together to act.

For simplicity, we denote $|-l\rangle = c(-l)c(-l+1) \cdots c(-1)|0\rangle$ in the following.

On the one hand, for

$$|\lambda\rangle = b(-s_1)b(-s_2) \cdots b(-s_l)|-l\rangle, \quad \lambda_i = s_i + i \text{ and } s_1 > s_2 > \cdots > s_l \geq -l+1,$$

we have

$$\begin{cases} b(-s_i-1)c(s_i)(|\lambda\rangle) = |\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i+1, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_l\rangle, \lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_i > 0, \\ b(l)c(-l-1)(|\lambda\rangle) = |\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l, 1\rangle, \\ \deg(b(-s_i-1)c(s_i)(|\lambda\rangle)) = \deg(b(l)c(-l-1)(|\lambda\rangle)) = \deg(|\lambda\rangle) + 1. \end{cases}$$

due to the fact that $[b(-j)c(j-1), b(-i)] = \delta_{i+1,j}b(-j)$ and $c(j)|0\rangle = 0$, $j \geq 0$. Note that if $l_{i-1} = s_{i-1} - s_i > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k \in [0, l_{i-1}-2]}^{\rightarrow} b(-s_i - k - 1)c(s_i + k)(|\lambda\rangle) &= |\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i + l_{i-1} - 1, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_l\rangle, \\ \prod_{k \in [0, l_{i-1}-1]}^{\rightarrow} b(-s_i - k - 1)c(s_i + k)(|\lambda\rangle) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

These relations imply that the new element $|\mu\rangle$ generated by $b(-j)c(j-1)$ satisfies that

$$(2.28) \quad \mu_i \geq \nu_i \geq \mu_{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq l.$$

In other words, for $|\mu\rangle = b(-a_1)c(a_1-1)b(-a_2)c(a_2-1)\cdots b(-a_s)c(a_s-1)|\nu\rangle$, we have $\nu \prec \mu$ and $|\mu| - |\nu| = s$.

On the other hand, given an element $|\mu\rangle$ with $\nu \prec \mu$, say

$$(2.29) \quad |\mu\rangle = b(-m_1)b(-m_2)\cdots b(-m_l)b(-m_{l+1})c(-l-1)c(-l)c(-l+1)\cdots c(-1)|0\rangle,$$

$$(2.30) \quad |\nu\rangle = b(-n_1)b(-n_2)\cdots b(-n_l)b(-n_{l+1})c(-l-1)c(-l)c(-l+1)\cdots c(-1)|0\rangle,$$

where $m_i = \mu_i - i$, $n_i = \nu_i - i$, $-l+1 \leq n_l$, $n_{l+1} = l+1 \geq -m_{l+1}$, and $m_i \geq n_i > m_{i+1}$.

For $1 \leq i \leq l$, we set

$$E_i = \begin{cases} 1, & m_i = n_i \\ b(-m_i)c(m_i-1)b(-m_i+1)c(m_i-2)\cdots b(-n_i-1)c(n_i), & m_i > n_i, \end{cases}$$

then

$$E_1 E_2 \cdots E_{l+1} |\nu\rangle = |\mu\rangle.$$

Note that $E_1 E_2 \cdots E_{l+1}$ is generated by $b(-j)c(j-1)$, $-l \leq j \leq M-1$. This completes the proof. \square

Using the same method, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.1. *For arbitrary positive integer M and vector $(\nu| = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_l|$ we have*

$$(2.31) \quad (\nu|\mathbf{C}(x) = \sum_{\nu \prec \mu \subseteq [l+1, M]} x^{|\mu| - |\nu|} (\mu|.$$

2.3. The relation between $\mathbf{B}(x)$, $\mathbf{C}(x)$ and $\mathbb{B}(x)$, $\mathbb{C}(x)$. With the surjective map from the space of states \mathcal{V} of the phase model to the Fock space $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$ of bc fermionic fields [27], $\mathbb{B}(x)$ and $\mathbb{C}(x)$ (see 1.3) satisfy

$$(2.32) \quad \mathbb{B}(x)|\nu\rangle = \sum_{\nu \prec \mu \subseteq [l+1, M]} x^{|\mu|-|\nu|} |\mu\rangle, \quad (\nu|\mathbb{C}(x) = \sum_{\nu \prec \mu \subseteq [l+1, M]} x^{|\mu|-|\nu|} \langle\mu|,$$

$$(2.33) \quad [\mathbb{B}(x), \mathbb{B}(y)] = [\mathbb{C}(x), \mathbb{C}(y)] = 0$$

for any positive integer M .

Proposition 2.5. *For positive integer M , the action of $\mathbf{B}(x)$ (resp. $\mathbf{C}(x)$) agrees with that of $\mathbb{B}(x)$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}(x)$) on $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}^{*(0)}$).*

Remark 2.2. *Propositions 2.1-2.4 also imply that*

$$(2.34) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{B}(x)|\nu\rangle = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n} H_{-n}\right)|\nu\rangle.$$

Accordingly the fermionic approach gives a new proof of the fundamental identity:

$$(2.35) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{B}(x)|\nu\rangle = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n} H_{-n}\right)|\nu\rangle.$$

Following [20], the *complete symmetric function* $h_k\{x\}$ in infinitely many variables $\{x\} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ is defined by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k(x) z^k = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_i z}.$$

To each partition $\lambda = \{\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k > 0\}$ we associate the *Schur function* $s_\lambda\{x\}$ defined by

$$s_\lambda\{x\} = \det (h_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}.$$

For the remainder of the article, we usually consider the Schur functions $s_\lambda\{x\}$ in finitely many variables $\{x\} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$. The following identities are well-known [20].

$$(2.36) \quad s_\mu\{x\} = \sum_{\nu \prec \mu} s_\nu\{\bar{x}\} x_N^{|\mu|-|\nu|},$$

$$(2.37) \quad s_\mu\{x\} = 0, \quad l(\mu) > N.$$

where $\{x\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$, $\{\bar{x}\} = \{x\} \setminus \{x_N\}$.

Using Proposition 2.1 and [27], we have

Proposition 2.6. For a fixed positive integer M ,

$$(2.38) \quad \mathbf{B}(x_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}(x_N) |0\rangle = \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq M \\ 1 \leq n \leq N}} (-1)^{n-1} s_{\{m, 1^{n-1}\}} \{x\} b(-m+1) c(-n) \right) |0\rangle \\ = \sum_{\mu \subseteq [N, M]} s_\mu \{x\} |\mu\rangle,$$

$$(2.39) \quad \langle 0 | \mathbf{C}(x_N) \cdots \mathbf{C}(x_1) = \langle 0 | \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq M \\ 1 \leq n \leq N}} (-1)^{n-1} s_{\{m, 1^{n-1}\}} \{x\} b(n) c(m-1) \right) \\ = \sum_{\mu \subseteq [N, M]} s_\mu \{x\} \langle \mu |,$$

where $\{x\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$.

2.4. Correction function $\langle 0 | \mathbf{C}(x_N) \cdots \mathbf{C}(x_1) \mathbf{B}(y_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}(y_N) |0\rangle$. For $(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}^{(0)}$ and $(\mu) \in \mathcal{F}^{*(0)}$, we define

$$(2.40) \quad (\lambda | \mu) = \delta_{\lambda, \mu},$$

where it is assumed that $\langle 0 | 1 | 0 \rangle = 1$.

Theorem 2.2. For a fixed positive integer M ,

$$(2.41) \quad \langle 0 | \mathbf{C}(x_N) \cdots \mathbf{C}(x_1) \mathbf{B}(y_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}(y_N) |0\rangle = \sum_{\mu \subseteq [N, M]} s_\mu \{x\} s_\mu \{y\},$$

where $\{x\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$, $\{y\} = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$.

Remark 2.3. Using (2.18) we get the following

$$(2.42) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty} \langle 0 | \mathbf{C}(x_N) \cdots \mathbf{C}(x_1) \mathbf{B}(y_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}(y_N) |0\rangle = \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j}.$$

In particular, for $x_i = y_i = z^{i-\frac{1}{2}}$, we have

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty} \langle 0 | \mathbf{C}(z^{N-\frac{1}{2}}) \cdots \mathbf{C}(z^{1-\frac{1}{2}}) \mathbf{B}(z^{1-\frac{1}{2}}) \cdots \mathbf{B}(z^{N-\frac{1}{2}}) |0\rangle_{bc} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - z^i)^i}.$$

Remark 2.4. One can also obtain the well-known generating function for plane partitions [20] using Remark 2.3:

$$(2.43) \quad \sum_{\pi} z^{|\pi|} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - z^i)^i},$$

where the sum runs over plane partitions π (cf. [27]).

For $1 \leq m \leq M$, set

$$a_m = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} (-1)^{n-1} s_{\{m, 1^{n-1}\}}\{x\} b(n), \quad \{x\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\},$$

$$A_m = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} (-1)^{n-1} s_{\{m, 1^{n-1}\}}\{y\} c(-n), \quad \{y\} = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\},$$

then

$$(2.44) \quad T_{kt} = \{a_k, A_t\} = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} s_{\{k, 1^{n-1}\}}\{x\} s_{\{t, 1^{n-1}\}}\{y\}.$$

In view of Prop. 2.6 we have that

$$\mathbf{B}(y_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}(y_N)|0\rangle = \overrightarrow{\prod}_{m \in [1, M]} (1 + b(-m+1)A_m)|0\rangle,$$

$$\langle 0|\mathbf{C}(x_N) \cdots \mathbf{C}(x_1) = \langle 0|\overleftarrow{\prod}_{m \in [1, M]} (1 + a_m c(m-1)).$$

Therefore, we get that

Proposition 2.7. *For any positive integer M , let $T = (T_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq M}$, then*

$$(2.45) \quad \langle 0|\mathbf{C}(x_N) \cdots \mathbf{C}(x_1)\mathbf{B}(y_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}(y_N)|0\rangle = \det(I + T) = \sum_{\mu \subseteq [N, M]} s_\mu\{x\} s_\mu\{y\}.$$

For partition $\mu = \{M \geq \mu_1 > \mu_2 > \cdots > \mu_l \geq 1\}$, let $T_\mu = (T_{\mu_i \mu_j})_{l \times l}$, then

$$\langle 0|a_{\mu_l} c(\mu_l - 1) \cdots a_{\mu_1} c(\mu_1 - 1) b(-\mu_1 + 1) A_{\mu_1} \cdots b(-\mu_l + 1) A_{\mu_l} |0\rangle$$

$$= \langle 0|a_{\mu_l} \cdots a_{\mu_1} A_{\mu_1} \cdots A_{\mu_l} |0\rangle = \det(T_\mu).$$

3. CORRELATION FUNCTIONS OF CHARGED FREE BOSONS

In this section we introduce charged free bosonic system and define two new operators $\mathbf{B}^*(x)$ and $\mathbf{C}^*(x)$. We will see that their correlation functions enjoy similar but distinct properties as in the previous section.

3.1. The operators $\mathbf{B}^*(x)$ and $\mathbf{C}^*(x)$. Recall that the charged free bosons [19, 25] are

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_i z^{-i-1}, \quad \varphi^*(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_i^* z^{-i},$$

with the commutation relations

$$(3.2) \quad [\varphi_i, \varphi_j^*] = \delta_{i, -j}, \quad [\varphi_i, \varphi_j] = [\varphi_i^*, \varphi_j^*] = 0.$$

For a positive integer M , we define

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbf{B}^*(x) = \exp(x\varphi_{-M}\varphi_{M-1}^*)\exp(x\varphi_{-M+1}\varphi_{M-2}^*)\cdots = \prod_{i \in (-\infty, M]}^{\rightarrow} \exp(x\varphi_{-i}\varphi_{i-1}^*),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbf{C}^*(x) = \cdots \exp(x\varphi_{-M+2}\varphi_{M-1}^*)\exp(x\varphi_{-M+1}\varphi_M^*) = \prod_{i \in (-\infty, M]}^{\leftarrow} \exp(x\varphi_{-i+1}\varphi_i^*).$$

The following is similar to Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 3.1.

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbf{B}^*(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} x^n \wedge_n\right), \quad \mathbf{C}^*(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} x^n \wedge_n^*\right),$$

where $\wedge_n = \sum_{-\infty}^M \varphi_{-i}\varphi_{i-n}^*$, $\wedge_n^* = \sum_{-\infty}^M \varphi_{-i+n}\varphi_i^*$.

Proposition 3.2. *We also have that*

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbf{B}^*(x)\mathbf{B}^*(y) = \mathbf{B}^*(y)\mathbf{B}^*(x), \quad \mathbf{C}^*(x)\mathbf{C}^*(y) = \mathbf{C}^*(y)\mathbf{C}^*(x).$$

Proposition 3.3. *Set $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \wedge_n = h_{-n}$, $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \wedge_n^* = h_n$, then*

$$(3.7) \quad [h_m, h_n] = -m\delta_{m,-n},$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{B}^*(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} x^n h_{-n}\right), \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{C}^*(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} x^n h_n\right),$$

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{C}^*(x)\mathbf{B}^*(y) = (1 - xy) \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{B}^*(y)\mathbf{C}^*(x).$$

3.2. The actions of $\mathbf{B}^*(x)$ on $\mathcal{M}^{(0)}$ and $\mathbf{C}^*(x)$ on $\mathcal{M}^{*(0)}$. Let \mathcal{M} (resp. \mathcal{M}^*) be the Fock space of the charged free bosons generated by the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$ (resp. $\langle 0|$) defined by

$$(3.10) \quad \varphi_i|0\rangle = 0, \quad \varphi_{i+1}^*|0\rangle = 0, \quad i \geq 0 \quad (\text{resp. } \langle 0|\varphi_i = \langle 0|\varphi_{i+1}^* = 0, \quad i < 0).$$

As in section 2.2, we can define subspace $\mathcal{M}^{(0)}$ of \mathcal{M} and subspace $\mathcal{M}^{*(0)}$ of \mathcal{M}^* with bases

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Basis}(\mathcal{M}^{(0)}) &= \{|0\rangle, \varphi_{-l}^{n_l} \cdots \varphi_{-1}^{n_1} \varphi_{-k}^{*n-k} \cdots \varphi_0^{*n_0} |0\rangle \mid \sum_{i=0}^k n_{-i} = \sum_{j=1}^l n_j\}, \\ \text{Basis}(\mathcal{M}^{*(0)}) &= \{\langle 0|, \langle 0|\varphi_0^{m_0} \cdots \varphi_k^{m-k} \varphi_1^{*m_1} \cdots \varphi_l^{*m_l} \mid \sum_{i=0}^k m_{-i} = \sum_{j=1}^l m_j\}. \end{aligned}$$

An element $\tau \in \mathcal{M}$ is called a bosonic KP- τ function [19] if τ satisfies

$$(3.11) \quad \text{Res}_z \varphi(z) \otimes \varphi^*(z) (\tau \otimes \tau) = 0.$$

Remark 3.1. From [17, 25], we have

$$\text{Res}_z \varphi(z) \otimes \varphi^*(z)(|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle) = 0, [\text{Res}_z \varphi(z) \otimes \varphi^*(z), \mathbf{B}^*(x) \otimes \mathbf{B}^*(x)] = 0,$$

thus $\mathbf{B}^*(x_1)\mathbf{B}^*(x_2)\cdots\mathbf{B}^*(x_N)|0\rangle$ is a bosonic KP τ -function.

Theorem 3.1. For arbitrary M , we have

$$(3.12) \quad \mathbf{B}^*(x_1)\cdots\mathbf{B}^*(x_N)|0\rangle = \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq M \\ 1 \leq n \leq N}} (-1)^{m-1} s_{\{m, 1^{n-1}\}} \{x\} \varphi_{-m} \varphi_{1-n}^* |0\rangle\right),$$

where $\{x\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$.

Proof. A well-known case of BCH theorem says that if $C = [A, B]$ commutes with both A and B , then

$$\exp(A)\exp(B) = \exp(B)\exp(A)\exp(C) = \exp(B + C)\exp(A).$$

Using this fact together with $\varphi_i|0\rangle = 0 (i \geq 0)$ repeatedly we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}^*(x_1)|0\rangle &= \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in [1, M]} \exp(x_1 \varphi_{-i} \varphi_{i-1}^*) |0\rangle \\ &= \exp(x_1 \varphi_{-M} \varphi_{M-1}^*) \exp(x_1 \varphi_{-(M-1)} \varphi_{M-2}^*) \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in [1, M-2]} \exp(x_1 \varphi_{-i} \varphi_{i-1}^*) |0\rangle \\ &= \exp(x_1 (\varphi_{-(M-1)} - x_1 \varphi_{-M}) \varphi_{M-2}^*) \exp(x_1 \varphi_{-M} \varphi_{M-1}^*) \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in [1, M-2]} \exp(x_1 \varphi_{-i} \varphi_{i-1}^*) |0\rangle \\ &= \exp((x_1 \varphi_{-(M-1)} - x_1^2 \varphi_{-M}) \varphi_{M-2}^*) \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in [1, M-2]} \exp(x_1 \varphi_{-i} \varphi_{i-1}^*) \exp(x_1 \varphi_{-M} \varphi_{M-1}^*) |0\rangle \\ &= \exp((x_1 \varphi_{-(M-1)} - x_1^2 \varphi_{-M}) \varphi_{M-2}^*) \exp(x_1 \varphi_{-(M-2)} \varphi_{M-3}^*) \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in [1, M-3]} \exp(x_1 \varphi_{-i} \varphi_{i-1}^*) |0\rangle \\ &= \exp((x_1 \varphi_{-(M-2)} - x_1^2 \varphi_{-(M-1)} + x_1^3 \varphi_{-M}) \varphi_{M-3}^*) \overrightarrow{\prod}_{i \in [1, M-3]} \exp(x_1 \varphi_{-i} \varphi_{i-1}^*) |0\rangle = \cdots \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} (-1)^{m-1} s_m \{x_1\} \varphi_{-m} \varphi_0^* |0\rangle\right), \end{aligned}$$

Assume that

$$(3.13) \quad \mathbf{B}^*(x_1)\cdots\mathbf{B}^*(x_{N-1})|0\rangle = \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq M \\ 1 \leq n \leq N-1}} (-1)^{m-1} s_{\{m, 1^{n-1}\}} \{\bar{x}\} \varphi_{-m} \varphi_{1-n}^* |0\rangle\right), \quad \{\bar{x}\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}\},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}^*(x_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}^*(x_N)|0\rangle &= \mathbf{B}^*(x_N)\mathbf{B}^*(x_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}^*(x_{N-1})|0\rangle \\
&= \prod_{i \in [2-N, M]}^{\rightarrow} \exp(x_N \varphi_{-i} \varphi_{i-1}^*) \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq M \\ 1 \leq n \leq N-1}} (-1)^{m-1} s_{\{m, 1^{n-1}\}} \{\bar{x}\} \varphi_{-m} \varphi_{1-n}^* \right) |0\rangle \\
&= \prod_{i \in [3-N, M]}^{\rightarrow} \exp(x_N \varphi_{-i} \varphi_{i-1}^*) \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq M \\ 1 \leq n \leq N-2}} (-1)^{m-1} s_{\{m, 1^{n-1}\}} \{\bar{x}\} \varphi_{-m} \varphi_{1-n}^* \right) \\
&\quad \exp(x_N \varphi_{N-2} \varphi_{1-N}^*) \exp\left(\sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} (-1)^{m-1} s_{\{m, 1^{N-2}\}} \{\bar{x}\} \varphi_{-m} \varphi_{2-N}^* \right) |0\rangle \\
&= \prod_{i \in [3-N, M]}^{\rightarrow} \exp(x_N \varphi_{-i} \varphi_{i-1}^*) \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq M \\ 1 \leq n \leq N-2}} (-1)^{m-1} s_{\{m, 1^{n-1}\}} \{\bar{x}\} \varphi_{-m} \varphi_{1-n}^* \right) \\
&\quad \exp\left(\sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} (-1)^{m-1} s_{\{m, 1^{N-2}\}} \{\bar{x}\} \varphi_{-m} (\varphi_{2-N}^* + x_N \varphi_{1-N}^*) \right) |0\rangle \\
&= \prod_{i \in [1, M]}^{\rightarrow} \exp(x_N \varphi_{-i} \varphi_{i-1}^*) \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq M \\ 1 \leq n \leq N-1}} (-1)^{m-1} s_{\{m, 1^{n-1}\}} \{\bar{x}\} \varphi_{-m} (\varphi_{1-n}^* + x_N \varphi_{-n}^*) \right) |0\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq m \leq M$ let

$$P_m = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N-1} (-1)^{m-1} s_{\{m, 1^{n-1}\}} \{\bar{x}\} \varphi_{-m} (\varphi_{1-n}^* + x_N \varphi_{-n}^*),$$

and we consider $P = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} P_m$, and let $Q_j = [x_N \varphi_{-j-1} \varphi_j^*, Q_{j-1}] + P_j$. Then by (2.36) and (2.37) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= (s_{\{1\}} \{\bar{x}\} + x_N) \varphi_{-1} \varphi_0^* + \sum_{2 \leq n \leq N-1} (s_{\{1^n\}} \{\bar{x}\} + s_{\{1^{n-1}\}} \{\bar{x}\} x_N) \varphi_{-1} \varphi_{1-n}^* + s_{\{1^{N-1}\}} \{\bar{x}\} x_N \varphi_{-1} \varphi_{1-N}^* \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} (s_{\{1^n\}} \{\bar{x}\} + s_{\{1^{n-1}\}} \{\bar{x}\} x_N) \varphi_{-1} \varphi_{1-n}^* \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} s_{\{1, 1^{n-1}\}} \{x\} \varphi_{-1} \varphi_{1-n}^*, \quad \{x\} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}.
\end{aligned}$$

As $Q_2 = [x_N \varphi_{-2} \varphi_1^*, Q_1] + P_2$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_2 &= - \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} (s_{\{1^n\}} \{\bar{x}\} x_N + s_{\{1^{n-1}\}} \{\bar{x}\} x_N^2) \varphi_{-2} \varphi_{1-n}^* \\
&\quad - \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N-1} s_{\{2,1^{n-1}\}} \{\bar{x}\} \varphi_{-2} \varphi_{1-n}^* - \sum_{2 \leq n \leq N} s_{\{2,1^{n-2}\}} \{\bar{x}\} x_N \varphi_{-2} \varphi_{1-n}^* \\
&= - (s_0 \{\bar{x}\} x_N^2 + s_1 \{\bar{x}\} x_N + s_2 \{\bar{x}\}) \varphi_{-2} \varphi_0^* \\
&\quad - \sum_{2 \leq n \leq N-1} (s_{\{1^{n-1}\}} \{\bar{x}\} x_N^2 + s_{\{1^n\}} \{\bar{x}\} x_N + s_{\{2,1^{n-2}\}} \{\bar{x}\} x_N + s_{\{2,1^{n-1}\}} \{\bar{x}\}) \varphi_{-2} \varphi_{1-n}^* \\
&\quad - (s_{\{1^{N-1}\}} \{\bar{x}\} x_N^2 + s_{\{1^N\}} \{\bar{x}\} x_N + s_{\{2,1^{N-2}\}} \{\bar{x}\} x_N) \varphi_{-2} \varphi_{1-N}^* \\
&= - \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} s_{\{2,1^{n-1}\}} \{x\} \varphi_{-2} \varphi_{1-n}^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this way, we have that

$$Q_j = [x_N \varphi_{-j-1} \varphi_j^*, Q_{j-1}] + P_j = (-1)^j \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} s_{\{j,1^{n-1}\}} \{x\} \varphi_{-j} \varphi_{1-n}^*, \quad 1 \leq j \leq M.$$

It follows from the BCH formula that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}^*(x_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}^*(x_N) |0\rangle &= \prod_{i \in [1, M]}^{\rightarrow} \exp(x_N \varphi_{-i} \varphi_{i-1}^*) \exp\left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq M} P_j\right) |0\rangle \\
&= \prod_{i \in [2, M]}^{\rightarrow} \exp(x_N \varphi_{-i} \varphi_{i-1}^*) \exp\left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq M} P_j + x_N \varphi_{-1} \varphi_0^*\right) |0\rangle \\
&= \prod_{i \in [3, M]}^{\rightarrow} \exp(x_N \varphi_{-i} \varphi_{i-1}^*) \exp(x_N \varphi_{-2} \varphi_1^*) \exp(Q_1 + \sum_{2 \leq j \leq M} P_j) |0\rangle \\
&= \prod_{i \in [3, M]}^{\rightarrow} \exp(x_N \varphi_{-i} \varphi_{i-1}^*) \exp(Q_1 + Q_2 + \sum_{3 \leq j \leq M} P_j) |0\rangle = \cdots \\
&= \exp\left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq M} Q_j\right) |0\rangle = \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq M \\ 1 \leq n \leq N}} (-1)^{m-1} s_{\{m,1^{n-1}\}} \{x\} \varphi_{-m} \varphi_{1-n}^*\right) |0\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.1. *We also have the expansion*

$$(3.14) \quad \langle 0 | \mathbf{C}^*(x_N) \cdots \mathbf{C}^*(x_1) = \langle 0 | \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq M \\ 1 \leq n \leq N}} (-1)^{m-1} s_{\{m,1^{n-1}\}} \{x\} \varphi_{n-1} \varphi_m^*\right), \quad \{x\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}.$$

3.3. Correlation function $\langle 0|\mathbf{C}^*(x_N)\cdots\mathbf{C}^*(x_1)\mathbf{B}^*(y_1)\cdots\mathbf{B}^*(y_N)|0\rangle$. Setting $\langle 0|1|0\rangle = 1$, for $\langle m| = \langle 0|\varphi_0^{m_0}\cdots\varphi_k^{m-k}\varphi_1^{*m_1}\cdots\varphi_l^{*m_l}$ and $|n\rangle = \varphi_{-l}^{n_l}\cdots\varphi_{-1}^{n_1}\varphi_{-k}^{*n-k}\cdots\varphi_0^{*n_0}|0\rangle$, we have

$$(3.15) \quad \langle m|n\rangle = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^l n_i} \prod_{s=-k}^l \delta_{m_s, n_s} m_s!.$$

Theorem 3.2. *For any positive integer M and $\{x\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$, $\{y\} = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$, one has that*

$$(3.16) \quad \langle 0|\mathbf{C}^*(x_N)\cdots\mathbf{C}^*(x_1)\mathbf{B}^*(y_1)\cdots\mathbf{B}^*(y_N)|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sum_{\mu \subseteq [N, M]} s_\mu\{x\} s_\mu\{y\}}.$$

The proof is contained in the Appendix.

Comparing the right sides of (2.41) and (3.16), we have

Corollary 3.2. *For a positive integer M ,*

$$(3.17) \quad \langle 0|\mathbf{C}^*(x_N)\cdots\mathbf{C}^*(x_1)\mathbf{B}^*(y_1)\cdots\mathbf{B}^*(y_N)|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\langle 0|\mathbf{C}(x_N)\cdots\mathbf{C}(x_1)\mathbf{B}(y_1)\cdots\mathbf{B}(y_N)|0\rangle}.$$

Corollary 3.3. *Taking the limit $M \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty$ we can get*

$$(3.18) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty} \langle 0|\mathbf{C}^*(x_N)\cdots\mathbf{C}^*(x_1)\mathbf{B}^*(y_1)\cdots\mathbf{B}^*(y_N)|0\rangle = \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (1 - x_i y_j) = \frac{1}{\sum_{\mu} s_{\mu}\{x\} s_{\mu}\{y\}},$$

where the sum is over all partitions μ and $\{x\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$, $\{y\} = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$. In particular,

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty} \langle 0|\mathbf{C}^*(z^{N-\frac{1}{2}})\cdots\mathbf{C}^*(z^{1-\frac{1}{2}})\mathbf{B}^*(z^{1-\frac{1}{2}})\cdots\mathbf{B}^*(z^{N-\frac{1}{2}})|0\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - z^i)^i.$$

Remark 3.2. *If one has an exact presentation of $\mathbf{B}^*(x)$ (resp. $\mathbf{C}^*(x)$) on $\mathcal{M}^{(0)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{*(0)}$), then one can get a generating function of $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - z^i)^i$.*

4. NEUTRAL FERMIONS

This section is parallel to Section 2, and the results are obtained by similar method. It is known that the usual KP tau functions can be formulated in terms of the Schur functions, which are integral combinations of the power symmetric function $p_r = \sum_i x_i^r$. Schur has introduced the famous Schur's Q-function Q_ν , which are orthogonal rational linear combination of the odd degree power sum symmetric function p_{2r-1} . It turns out that the BKP tau functions can be realized by the Schur Q-functions. We will give a new derivation of this beautiful result and provide a new interpretation of the BKP tau functions using the neutral fermions.

We start with neutral fermions defined by infinite operators $\{\phi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with the commutative relations

$$(4.1) \quad \{\phi_m, \phi_n\} = 2(-1)^m \delta_{m+n,0}.$$

The Fock space \mathcal{F}_ϕ and dual Fock space \mathcal{F}_ϕ^* are the vector spaces generated by $|0\rangle$ and $\langle 0|$ subject to

$$(4.2) \quad \phi_m |0\rangle = \langle 0| \phi_{-m} = 0, \quad m < 0.$$

We then introduce

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(x) &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}x(-1)^M \phi_{-M+1} \phi_M\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}x(-1)^{M-1} \phi_{-M+2} \phi_{M-1}\right) \cdots \\ &\quad \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}x(-1)^{-M+2} \phi_{M-1} \phi_{-M+2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}x(-1)^{-M+1} \phi_M \phi_{-M+1}\right) \\ &= \prod_{j \in [-M+1, M]}^{\rightarrow} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}x(-1)^j \phi_{-j+1} \phi_j\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(x) &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}x(-1)^{-M} \phi_{M-1} \phi_{-M}\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}x(-1)^{-M+1} \phi_{M-2} \phi_{-M+1}\right) \cdots \\ &\quad \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}x(-1)^{M-2} \phi_{-M+1} \phi_{M-2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}x(-1)^{M-1} \phi_{-M} \phi_{M-1}\right) \\ &= \prod_{i \in [-M+1, M]}^{\leftarrow} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}x(-1)^{i-1} \phi_{-i} \phi_{i-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The vector $\tau \in \mathcal{F}_\phi$ is called a BKP τ -function [18, 27] if

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \phi_i \otimes \phi_{-i} (\tau \otimes \tau) = \phi_0 \tau \otimes \phi_0 \tau.$$

Remark 4.1. $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(x_1) \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(x_N) |0\rangle$ is a BKP τ -function.

Using the similar method in Proposition 2.1, we have

Proposition 4.1. *One has that*

$$(4.5) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{n \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \frac{1}{n} x^n \Lambda_n\right), \quad \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{n \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \frac{1}{n} x^n \Lambda_n^*\right),$$

where

$$(4.6) \quad \Lambda_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{-M+n}^M (-1)^j \phi_{-j+n} \phi_j, \quad \Lambda_n^* = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{-M}^{M-n} (-1)^j \phi_{-j-n} \phi_j.$$

Remark 4.2. Under $M \rightarrow \infty$, let $\lambda_n = \Lambda_n^*$, $\lambda_{-n} = \Lambda_n$, $n \geq 1$, then we have that

$$(4.7) \quad [\lambda_m, \lambda_n] = 2m \delta_{m, -n}.$$

The following result is clear.

Proposition 4.2. *One has that*

$$(4.8) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(x)\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(y) = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(y)\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(x), \quad \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(x)\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(y) = \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(y)\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(x).$$

A partition $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_l)$ is called *strict* if $\nu_1 > \dots > \nu_l$. Let

$$|\tilde{\nu}\rangle = \begin{cases} \phi_{\tilde{\nu}_1} \phi_{\tilde{\nu}_2} \cdots \phi_{\tilde{\nu}_\ell} |0\rangle & \ell \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ \phi_{\tilde{\nu}_1} \phi_{\tilde{\nu}_2} \cdots \phi_{\tilde{\nu}_\ell} \phi_0 |0\rangle & \ell \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\langle \tilde{\nu}| = \begin{cases} \langle 0| \phi_{-\tilde{\nu}_1} \phi_{-\tilde{\nu}_2} \cdots \phi_{-\tilde{\nu}_\ell} & \ell \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\ \langle 0| \phi_{-\tilde{\nu}_1} \phi_{-\tilde{\nu}_2} \cdots \phi_{-\tilde{\nu}_\ell} \phi_0 & \ell \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, \end{cases}$$

For the strict partition ν the Schur's Q -function Q_ν [20] is defined by

$$Q_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 2^l \sum_{w \in S_n/S_{n-l}} x_{w(1)}^{\nu_1} \cdots x_{w(n)}^{\nu_n} \prod_{i < j} \frac{x_{w(i)} + x_{w(j)}}{x_{w(i)} - x_{w(j)}},$$

where $n \geq l = l(\nu)$, S_n acts on x_1, \dots, x_n and S_{n-l} acts on the last $n-l$ variables.

Theorem 4.1. *For the strict partition ν of length $l(\nu)$, one has that*

$$(4.9) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(x)|\tilde{\nu}\rangle = \sum_{\tilde{\nu} \prec \tilde{\mu}} 2^{\#(\tilde{\mu}|\tilde{\nu}) - l(\tilde{\mu}) + l(\tilde{\nu})} x^{|\tilde{\mu}| - |\tilde{\nu}|} |\tilde{\mu}\rangle,$$

$$(4.10) \quad \langle \tilde{\nu}| \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(x) = \sum_{\tilde{\nu} \prec \tilde{\mu}} 2^{\#(\tilde{\mu}|\tilde{\nu}) - l(\tilde{\mu}) + l(\tilde{\nu})} x^{|\tilde{\mu}| - |\tilde{\nu}|} \langle \tilde{\mu}|,$$

where $\#(\tilde{\mu}|\tilde{\nu})$ denotes the number of parts in $\tilde{\mu}$ which are not in $\tilde{\nu}$.

Our result is summarized as follows.

Theorem 4.2. *For a fixed positive integer M ,*

$$(4.11) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(x_1) \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(x_N) |0\rangle = \sum_{\tilde{\mu} \in [N, M]} 2^{-\ell(\tilde{\mu})} Q_{\tilde{\mu}}\{x\} |\tilde{\mu}\rangle,$$

$$(4.12) \quad \langle 0| \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(x_N) \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(x_1) = \sum_{\tilde{\mu} \in [N, M]} 2^{-\ell(\tilde{\mu})} Q_{\tilde{\mu}}\{x\} \langle \tilde{\mu}|,$$

$$(4.13) \quad \langle 0| \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(x_N) \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(x_1) \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(y_1) \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(y_N) |0\rangle = \sum_{\tilde{\mu} \in [N, M]} 2^{-\ell(\tilde{\mu})} Q_{\tilde{\mu}}\{x\} Q_{\tilde{\mu}}\{y\},$$

where $\tilde{\mu}$ runs through all strict partitions inside the box, $\{x\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$, and $\{y\} = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$.

Remark 4.3. *Using the commutation relation (4.7), it is easy to derive that under $M \rightarrow \infty$*

$$(4.14) \quad \langle 0| \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(x_N) \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(x_1) \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(y_1) \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(y_N) |0\rangle = \prod_{i, j=1}^N \frac{1 + x_i y_j}{1 - x_i y_j}.$$

This gives another proof of the following well-known identity [20]:

$$(4.15) \quad \sum_{\tilde{\mu} \in [N, \infty]} 2^{-\ell(\tilde{\mu})} Q_{\tilde{\mu}}\{x\} Q_{\tilde{\mu}}\{y\} = \prod_{i,j=1}^N \frac{1+x_i y_j}{1-x_i y_j},$$

where $\tilde{\mu}$ runs through all strict partitions inside the box, $\{x\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$, and $\{y\} = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$.

5. APPENDIX

This appendix gives a detailed proof of Theorem 3.2. We first state two easily seen lemmas.

Lemma 5.1. *The constant term of the function $(1 - \frac{a_1}{z})^{-1} \dots (1 - \frac{a_n}{z})^{-1} (1 - bz)^{-1}$ is $(1 - a_1 b)^{-1} \dots (1 - a_n b)^{-1}$.*

Lemma 5.2. *The constant term of the function $(c_1 + \frac{a_1}{z})^{-1} (c_2 + \frac{a_2}{z})^{-1} \dots (c_n + \frac{a_n}{z})^{-1} (1 + bz)^{-1}$ is $(c_1 - a_1 b)^{-1} \dots (c_n - a_n b)^{-1}$.*

Proposition 5.1. ¹ *Let $T = (T_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ and $Z_k = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} T_{ik} \frac{z_k}{z_i}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$). Then the constant term $CT(A)$ of the multivariate function*

$$(5.1) \quad A = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{Z_k}$$

is equal to $\det T^{-1}$.

Proof. We use induction on n . For $n = 1$, $T = (T_{11})$, $A = \frac{1}{T_{11}}$, thus $CT(A) = \frac{1}{\det T}$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, set $Z_k^* = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} T_{ik} \frac{1}{z_i}$, then

$$Z_k = \begin{cases} Z_k^* z_k + \frac{T_{nk} z_k}{z_n}, & 1 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ T_{nn} (1 + \frac{Z_n^* z_n}{T_{nn}}), & k = n \end{cases}$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad A = T_{nn}^{-1} (Z_1^* z_1 + \frac{T_{n1} z_1}{z_n})^{-1} \dots (Z_{n-1}^* z_{n-1} + \frac{T_{n, n-1} z_{n-1}}{z_n})^{-1} (1 + \frac{Z_n^* z_n}{T_{nn}})^{-1}.$$

Regard A as a function of the variable z_n , it follows from Lemma 5.2 that the constant term of A is

$$(5.3) \quad A' = T_{nn}^{-1} (Z_1^* z_1 - \frac{T_{n1} z_1 Z_n^*}{T_{nn}})^{-1} (Z_2^* z_2 - \frac{T_{n2} z_2 Z_n^*}{T_{nn}})^{-1} \dots (Z_{n-1}^* z_{n-1} - \frac{T_{n, n-1} z_{n-1} Z_n^*}{T_{nn}})^{-1}.$$

¹Professor Stanley told us that the proposition may be seen as a special case of MacMahon Master Theorem. As this is unclear to us, we have provided the proof for completeness.

Put $T = (T_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ and $T'_{ij} = T_{ij} - \frac{T_{in}T_{nj}}{T_{nn}}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$) and $Z'_k = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} T'_{ik} \frac{z_k}{z_i}$, then

$$(5.4) \quad A' = T_{nn}^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{Z'_k}.$$

By induction we have that

$$(5.5) \quad CT(A) = \frac{1}{T_{nn}} CT(A') = \frac{1}{T_{nn}} \frac{1}{\det T'},$$

where $T' = (T'_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$. Since $\det T = T_{nn} \det T'$ by elementary transformations, we have finally shown that

$$(5.6) \quad CT(A) = \frac{1}{\det T}.$$

□

For a positive integer M , let

$$D_m = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} s_{\{m, 1^{n-1}\}} \{x\} \varphi_{n-1}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq M, \quad \{x\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\},$$

$$F_m = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} s_{\{m, 1^{n-1}\}} \{y\} \varphi_{1-n}^*, \quad 1 \leq m \leq M, \quad \{y\} = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\},$$

Then

$$T_{ij} = [D_i, F_j] = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} s_{\{i, 1^{n-1}\}} \{x\} s_{\{j, 1^{n-1}\}} \{y\},$$

Consider the matrix $T = (T_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq M}$ and the multivariate functions $Z_j = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\delta_{ij} + T_{ij}) \frac{z_j}{z_i}$. By (3.2), (3.15) and (2.44), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle 0 | \mathbf{C}^*(x_N) \cdots \mathbf{C}^*(x_1) \mathbf{B}^*(y_1) \cdots \mathbf{B}^*(y_N) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \langle 0 | \sum_{0 \leq k_m} \prod_{m=1}^M \frac{(D_m (-1)^{m-1} \varphi_m^*)^{k_m}}{k_m!} \sum_{0 \leq l_m} \prod_{m=1}^M \frac{(F_m (-1)^{m-1} \varphi_{-m})^{l_m}}{l_m!} | 0 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k_m} \langle 0 | \prod_{m=1}^M \frac{(D_m)^{k_m}}{k_m!} \prod_{m=1}^M \frac{(F_m)^{k_m}}{k_m!} | 0 \rangle (-1)^{\sum_{m=1}^M k_m} \prod_{m=1}^M k_m! \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k_m} \langle 0 | \prod_{m=1}^M \frac{(-D_m)^{k_m}}{k_m!} \prod_{m=1}^M (F_m)^{k_m} | 0 \rangle \\ &= CT \left(\langle 0 | \prod_{i=1}^M \exp\left(-\frac{D_i}{z_i}\right) \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{1}{1 - z_j F_j} | 0 \rangle \right) = CT \left(\prod_{j=1}^M \frac{1}{Z_j} \right) = \frac{1}{\det(I + T)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sum_{\mu \subseteq [N, M]} s_\mu \{x\} s_\mu \{y\}}. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] N. M. Bogoliubov, *Boxed plane partitions as an exactly solvable boson model*, J. Phys. A. 38(43) (2005), 9415-9430.
- [2] N. M. Bogoliubov, A. G. Izergin, N. A. Kitanine, *Correlation functions for a strongly correlated boson system*, Nucl. Phys. B. 516(3) (1997), 501-528.
- [3] E. Date, M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, *Vertex operators and τ functions: Transformation groups for soliton equations II*, Proc. Japan Acad. A 57 (1981), 387-392.
- [4] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, *Operator approach to the Kadomtsev- Petviashvili equation: Transformation groups for soliton equations III*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 50 (1981), 3806-3812.
- [5] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, *Quasi-periodic solutions of the orthogonal KP equation, Transformation groups for soliton equations V*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 18 (1982), 1111-1119.
- [6] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, *KP hierarchies of orthogonal and symplectic type: Transformation groups for soliton equations VI*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 50 (1981), 3813-3818
- [7] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, *KP equation: Transformation groups for soliton equations VII*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 18 (1982), 1111-1119.
- [8] L. D. Faddeev, E. K. Sklyanin, L. A. Takhtajan, *Quantum inverse problem method I*, Theor. Math. Phys. 40 (1979), 688-706.
- [9] O. Foda, M. Wheeler, *BKP plane partitions*, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2007) 075.189-198
- [10] O. Foda, M. Wheeler, M. Zuparic, *On free fermions and plane partitions*, J. Algebra 321(11) (2009), 3249-3273.
- [11] O. Foda, M. Wheeler, M. Zuparic, *Domain wall partition functions and KP*, J. Stat. Mech. (2009) P03017
- [12] O. Foda, M. Wheeler, M. Zuparic, *XXZ scalar products and KP*, Nucl. Phys. B 820 (2009), 649-663
- [13] E. Frenkel, V. G. Kac, A. Radul, W. Wang, *$W_{1+\infty}$ and $W(gl_N)$ with central charge N* , Comm. Math. Phys. 170 (1995), 337-357.
- [14] N. Jacobson, *Lie algebras*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, (1962),167-173.
- [15] N. Jing, *Vertex operators, symmetric functions and the spin group Γ_n* , J. Algebra 138(2) (1991), 340-398.
- [16] N. Jing, *Vertex operators and Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions*, Adv. Math. 87(2) (1991), 226-248.
- [17] N. Jing, Z. Li, *Tau functions of the charged free bosons*, arXiv:1912.01794.
- [18] V. G. Kac, A. K. Raina, N. Rozhkovskaya, *Bombay lectures on highest weight representations of infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics Vol. 29, 2nd ed., World Scientific 2013.
- [19] K. T. Liszewski, *The charged free boson integrable hierarchy*, Ph.D. Thesis, North Carolina State Univ., 2011.
- [20] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [21] A. Okounkov, N. Reshetikhin, *Correlation function of Schur process with application to local geometry of a random 3-dimensional Young diagram*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (2003), 581-603.
- [22] P. Sebah, X. Gourdon, *Introduction on Bernoulli's numbers*. <http://numbers.computation.free.fr/Constants/constants.html>
- [23] R.C. Thompson, *Cyclic relations and the Goldberg coefficients in the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 86(1) (1982), 12-14.
- [24] N.V. Tsilevich, *Quantum inverse scattering method for the q -boson model and symmetric functions*, Funct. Anal. Appl. 40(3) (2006), 207-217.

- [25] W. Wang, $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$ Algebra, \mathcal{W}_3 Algebra, and Friedan-Martinec-Shenker bosonization, Comm. Math. Phys. 195 (1998), 95-111.
- [26] Y. You, Polynomial solutions of the BKP hierarchy and projective representations of symmetric groups, Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and groups, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys. 7 (1990), 449-464.
- [27] M. Wheeler, Free fermions in classical and quantum integrable models, PhD thesis, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Univ. Melbourne. arXiv:1110.6703v1

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH, NC 27695, USA

E-mail address: `jing@math.ncsu.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, GUANGZHOU, GUANGDONG 510640, CHINA

E-mail address: `zhijun1010@163.com`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, GUANGZHOU, GUANGDONG 510640, CHINA

E-mail address: `caiwx@math.scut.edu.cn`