On the mistake in defining fractional derivative using a non-singular kernel
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Definitions of fractional derivative of order $\alpha$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) using non-singular kernels have been recently proposed. In this note we show that these definitions cannot be useful in modelling problems with an initial value condition (like, for example, the fractional diffusion equation) because the solutions obtained for these equations do not satisfy the initial condition (except for the integer case $\alpha = 1$). In order to satisfy an arbitrary initial condition the definitions of fractional derivative must necessarily involve a singular kernel.

Fractional calculus has sparked the interest from researchers ever since its beginning, but especially in recent decades, possibly because of its many applications, like in the modelling of memory effects or anomalous diffusion. One of the key concepts of fractional calculus is the fractional derivative, and some well-known definitions of it are found in the literature, like those associated with the names of Riemann-Liouville, Caputo, Weyl, Riesz, etc. One common characteristic of these definitions is that they use integrals with singular kernels. Since the generalization of a concept can sometimes be considered along different directions, as in the case of fractional derivative, in recent times new definitions of it have been proposed. A recent timeline can be found in Chapter I in [1] and in the references contained therein. The fact is that today we seem to have a zoo of definitions of fractional derivative, and a classification scheme is certainly welcome. One such classification was proposed by Teodoro et al. [2], where five different classes were introduced.
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Nevertheless, there are several papers refuting the use of the qualification fractional for a wide class of those new proposed definitions of fractional derivatives. As an example, we mention a recent one like [3], which is a sequel of the paper [4]. In summary, the author discusses what he claims to be a flaw in the so-called conformable calculus, and he refutes the so-called conformable derivatives as proposed, in 2014, by Khalil et al. [5] and the whole class of these local derivatives, in the sense they are not a fractional derivative. In other words, non-locality is an essential characteristic of a fractional derivative [6].

In 2015 there were published two papers; one of them by Caputo-Fabrizio (CF) [7] proposing a new fractional derivative with a non-singular kernel, and another one by Losada-Nieto [8] discussing some properties of the so-called CF fractional derivative. After these two papers, another definition using a non-singular kernel was proposed by Atangana-Baleanu (AB) [9], which was used by Atangana [10] in the study of the Fisher’s reaction-diffusion equation. The CF and AB definitions of a fractional derivative have received considerable interest since their introduction, with a combined score of more than 1000 citations (as of September 2019), being used in the modelling of many different problems in terms of fractional differential equations – see, for instance [11, 12, 13, 14].

On the other hand, recently [15] we have reconsidered the use of fractional derivatives in the study of the relaxation problem, and we have concluded that CF and AB fractional derivatives were not suitable for the modelling of this problem. The objective of the present work is to extend that analysis to problems involving fractional partial differential equations. We will show that CF and AB fractional derivatives have an intrinsic problem that restricts them from being used to model problems with initial conditions, which is the fact that they use non-singular kernels. Other works have already identified problems with CF and AB fractional derivatives, like, for example, [16, 17, 18, 19]. Our approach can be characterized by its simplicity, as it is based on well-known results of Fourier and Laplace transforms.

Possibly the most outstanding example of a fractional partial differential equation is the version of the diffusion equation with the first order time derivative replaced by a fractional derivative of order $\alpha$ with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Let us see how this equation can be obtained. In the continuous time random walk (CTRW) approach to fractional partial differential equation [20], we start with the waiting time probability distribution function (PDF) $w(t)$ and the jump length PDF $\lambda(x)$. These PDF are related to the PDF $W(x,t)$ of being in $x$ at time $t$ through a master equation, which can be solved in the Fourier-Laplace space in terms of the Laplace transform $\tilde{w}(s)$ of $w(t)$ and the Fourier transform $\tilde{\lambda}(k)$ of $\lambda(x)$.

In the case of a gaussian jump length PDF with variance $2\sigma^2$ and a long-tailed waiting time PDF with asymptotic behaviour

$$w(t) \sim \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{\tau^\alpha}{t^{\alpha+1}}, \quad (0 < \alpha < 1, t \to \infty),$$

where $\tau$ is a characteristic parameter, we have in the diffusion limit $(s, k) \to (0, 0)$ in the
Fourier-Laplace space that
\[ \hat{w}(s) = 1 - \tau^\alpha s^\alpha + \cdots, \quad \hat{\lambda}(k) = 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{4} k^2 + \cdots. \]

After inversion, from the master equation \([20]\) it follows the diffusion equation
\[ cD_t^\alpha[W(x, t)] = c_\alpha^2 \frac{\partial^2 W(x, t)}{\partial x^2} \quad (1) \]
where
\[ cD_t^\alpha[f(t)] = G_{1-\alpha}(t) \ast f'(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{f'(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\alpha} \, d\tau, \quad (2) \]
with * denoting the convolution product, is the Caputo fractional derivative. In this expression \(G_\nu(t)\) is the Gelfand-Shilov distribution \([21, 22]\), defined as
\[ G_\nu(t) = \begin{cases} t^{\nu-1} H(t), & \nu > 0, \\ G'_{\nu+1}(t), & \nu \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (3) \]
and satisfying the properties
\[ \mathcal{L}[G_\nu(t)](s) = s^{-\nu}, \quad G_\mu(t) \ast G_\nu(t) = G_{\mu+\nu}(t), \quad \lim_{\nu \to 0} G_\nu(t) = \delta(t), \quad (4) \]
where \(\mathcal{L}\) denotes the Laplace transform and \(H(t)\) the Heaviside step function.

The CF derivative \([7]\), denoted by \(c_{\text{CF}}D_t^\alpha[f(t)]\), and the AB derivative \([9]\), denoted by \(a_{\text{AB}}D_t^\alpha[f(t)]\), are defined as
\[ c_{\text{CF}}/a_{\text{AB}}D_t^\alpha[f(t)] = \Psi_{c_{\text{CF}}/a_{\text{AB}}}(t, \alpha) \ast f'(t), \quad (5) \]
with
\[ \Psi_{c_{\text{CF}}}(t, \alpha) = \frac{M(\alpha)}{1-\alpha} e^{-\kappa_\alpha t/\tau} \quad (6) \]
and
\[ \Psi_{a_{\text{AB}}}(t, \alpha) = \frac{M(\alpha)}{1-\alpha} E_\alpha(-\kappa_\alpha t/\tau^\alpha), \quad (7) \]
respectively, where \(E_\alpha(\cdot)\) is the Mittag-Leffler function with parameter \(\alpha\) \([23, 24]\), \(0 < \alpha \leq 1\) is the order of the derivative, \(M(\alpha)\) is a normalization, and
\[ \kappa_\alpha = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}. \quad (8) \]

One important feature of these definitions of fractional derivative, as emphasized by their proponents, is that they are non-singular for \(\alpha \neq 1\), that is, their kernel are such that
\[ \lim_{t \to 0^+} \Psi_{c_{\text{CF}}}(t, \alpha) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \Psi_{a_{\text{AB}}}(t, \alpha) = \frac{M(\alpha)}{1-\alpha}. \quad (9) \]
The Caputo kernel, on the other hand, is singular for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$,

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} G_{1-\alpha}(t) = +\infty. \quad (10)$$

We will now show that it is precisely the characteristic of having a non-singular kernel that precludes the use of these definitions of fractional derivative from being used in fractional partial differential equations with initial value problem. In terms of symbols, let us consider a generic fractional derivative $D_{t}^{\alpha}[f(t)]$ with a kernel $\Psi(t, \alpha)$, that is,

$$D_{t}^{\alpha}[f(t)] = \Psi(t, \alpha) * f'(t). \quad (11)$$

Let us consider the usual diffusion problem of fractional order $\alpha$ for $-\infty < x < \infty$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$D_{t}^{\alpha}[W(x,t)] = c_{\alpha}^{2} D_{x}^{2} W(x,t),$$

$$\lim_{x \to \pm\infty} W(x,t) = 0,$$

$$W(x,0) = \phi(x). \quad (12)$$

Taking the Laplace and Fourier transforms, we obtain

$$\psi(s, \alpha) \hat{W}(k,s) - \psi(s, \alpha) \tilde{\phi}(k) = -c_{\alpha}^{2} k^{2} \hat{\phi}(k), \quad (13)$$

where the Laplace and Fourier transforms were denoted by a tilde and a hat, respectively, that is, $\hat{W}(x,s) = \mathcal{L}[W(x,t)]$ and $\hat{W}(k,t) = \mathcal{F}[W(x,t)]$, and where we denoted

$$\psi(s, \alpha) = \mathcal{L}[\Psi(t, \alpha)](s), \quad (14)$$

and

$$\tilde{\phi}(k) = \mathcal{F}[\phi(x)](k) = \hat{W}(k,0). \quad (15)$$

From eq.(13) we get

$$\hat{W}(k,s) = \frac{\psi(s, \alpha)}{s \psi(s, \alpha) + c_{\alpha}^{2} k^{2}} \tilde{\phi}(k), \quad (16)$$

The so-called initial value theorem for Laplace transforms \cite{26} says that, if $\lim_{t \to 0^+} f(t)$ and $F(s) = \mathcal{L}[f(t)](s)$ exist, then

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} f(t) = \lim_{s \to \infty} sF(s). \quad (17)$$

In terms of the notation of our problem, taking $\hat{W}(k,t)$ as the above function $f(t)$, the initial value theorem says that

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \hat{W}(k,0) = \tilde{\phi}(k) = \lim_{s \to \infty} s \hat{W}(k,s). \quad (18)$$
From eq. (16) we have
\[ \widehat{\phi}(k) = \lim_{s \to \infty} s \widetilde{W}(k,s) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s \psi(s,\alpha)}{s \psi(s,\alpha) + c_\alpha^2 k^2} \widehat{\psi}(k), \] (19)
which implies, for an arbitrary initial condition, that we must have
\[ \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{s \psi(s,\alpha)}{s \psi(s,\alpha) + c_\alpha^2 k^2} = 1. \] (20)
Therefore \( \psi(s,\alpha) \) has to satisfy
\[ \lim_{s \to \infty} [s \psi(s,\alpha)]^{-1} = 0. \] (21)
The initial value problem can be used again for \( L[\Psi(t,\alpha)] = \psi(s,\alpha) \), and the above condition implies therefore that
\[ \lim_{t \to 0^+} \Psi(t,\alpha) = \pm \infty. \] (22)

In conclusion: in order to satisfy an arbitrary initial condition for the fractional diffusion equation for \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \), the kernel \( \Psi(t,\alpha) \), as in eq. (11), has to be singular. Therefore, the CF and the AB definitions are ruled out as candidates for fractional derivative models involving an initial value problem. For these cases, we have
\[ \psi_{CF}(s,\alpha) = M(\alpha) \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha)s + \alpha \tau^{-1}}, \quad \psi_{AB}(s,\alpha) = M(\alpha) \frac{s^{-1}}{(1 - \alpha) + \alpha(s \tau)^{-\alpha}}. \] (23)
The condition in eq. (21) for these cases is
\[ \lim_{s \to \infty} [s \psi_{CF}(s,\alpha)]^{-1} = \frac{1 - \alpha}{M(\alpha)}, \quad \lim_{s \to \infty} [s \psi_{AB}(s,\alpha)]^{-1} = \frac{1 - \alpha}{M(\alpha)}. \] (24)
which are satisfied only in the limit \( \alpha = 1 \), where their kernel are singular, as we see from eq. (9).

We would like to remark the use of arbitrary initial conditions in the above argument, in particular for obtaining the condition in eq. (20) from eq. (19). There is a way to circumvent the eq. (20) to be obtained from eq. (19), that is to use as initial condition \( W(x,0) = \phi(x) = 0 \). Then \( \phi(k) = 0 \) and eq. (19) holds trivially, which implies that the limit on the LHS of eq. (20) can be arbitrary. However, the usefulness of problems that can be formulated only for vanishing initial conditions does not even deserve comments. In addition, a change of variable does not help to circumvent the problem when we have arbitrary initial condition for a fractional partial differential equation. In fact, suppose we make the change of variable \( \chi(x,t) = W(x,t) - W(x,0) = W(x,t) - \phi(x) \). Then the problem of eq. (12) transforms to
\[ D_t^\alpha [\chi(x,t)] = c_\alpha^2 D_x^2 \chi(x,t) + c_\alpha^2 D_x^2 \phi(x), \]
\[ \lim_{x \to \pm \infty} \chi(x,t) = 0, \]
\[ \chi(x,0) = 0, \] (25)
that is, a fractional diffusion equation with a non-homogenous term $c^2_{\alpha}D^2_x \phi(x)$. Taking as above the Laplace and Fourier transforms, we obtain

$$\hat{\chi}(k, s) = -\frac{c^2_{\alpha}k^2\hat{\phi}(k)s^{-1}}{\phi(s, \alpha)s + k^2c^2_{\alpha}}. \quad (26)$$

The initial value theorem eq. (17) for the Laplace transform gives

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} -\frac{c^2_{\alpha}k^2\hat{\phi}(k)}{\psi(s, \alpha)s + k^2c^2_{\alpha}} = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \hat{\chi}(k, 0) = 0, \quad (27)$$

where in the last equality we used $\hat{\chi}(k, 0) = \mathcal{L}[\chi(x, 0)] = 0$. Therefore, the limit on the LHS vanishes only if $\hat{\phi}(k) = 0$, which gives the condition of vanishing initial value we are trying to circumvent, or if

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} -\frac{c^2_{\alpha}k^2}{\psi(s, \alpha)s + k^2c^2_{\alpha}} = 0, \quad (28)$$

which gives as before the condition in eq. (21).

Although we have used the diffusion equation as a prototype of a fractional partial differential equation, our analysis is clearly not dependent on it since it is based on a general property of the Laplace transform. Therefore definitions of fractional derivatives based on non-singular kernels cannot be useful in modelling problems of initial value type with fractional differential equations for the very simple reason that they give results that do not satisfy the initial conditions. Essentially the same conclusion has been reached by Stynes in [16] using a different approach. In fact, Stynes showed, for a fractional partial differential equation of the form

$$D^\alpha_t[u(x, t)] = L_x(x, t)[u(x, t)] + f(x, t),$$

where $L_x(x, t)$ is a second-order differential operator with coefficients depending on $x$ and $t$, and $f(x, t)$ is a non-homogeneous term, that under certain very general conditions, the initial condition $\phi(x) = u(x, 0)$ is uniquely determined by $L_x(x, 0), f(x, t)$ and the boundary condition.

Finally, it is worthy to mention that recently a new fractional derivative closed related to AB one was proposed by Giusti and Colombaro [27] [28] and by Zhao and Sun [29]. It is based on the Prabhakar kernel

$$\Psi_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(t, \alpha) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda t^\alpha), \quad (29)$$

where $\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}(\cdot)$ is the three-parameter Mittag-Leffler function or Prabhakar function [23] [30]. The Mittag-Leffler function, and therefore the AB fractional derivative, corresponds to the particular case $\beta = \gamma = 1$. The Laplace transform of $\Psi_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(t, \alpha)$ is

$$\psi_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(s, \alpha) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \frac{s^{\alpha-\beta}}{(s^\alpha - \lambda)^\gamma}, \quad (30)$$
and therefore
\[
\lim_{s \to \infty} [s^{\beta \gamma}(s,\alpha)]^{-1} = (1 - \alpha) \lim_{s \to \infty} s^{\beta - 1},
\tag{31}
\]
and the condition in eq.(21) is satisfied for
\[
\beta < 1.
\tag{32}
\]
From eq.(29) we see that for \(\beta < 1\) the kernel is singular, that is,
\[
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \Psi_P^{\beta \gamma}(t,\alpha) = \pm \infty,
\tag{33}
\]
and therefore models based on it are expected to give results compatible with the initial conditions of the problem, like the case with Caputo derivative. In other words, the parameter \(\beta\) fixes the problem associated with the AB fractional derivative. Analytical solution for relaxation models based on the Prabhakar derivative have been recently studied [31].
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