

Localization transitions and mobility edges in quasiperiodic ladder

R. Wang, X. M. Yang, and Z. Song*

School of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

We investigate localization properties in a two-coupled uniform chains with quasiperiodic modulation on interchain coupling strength. We demonstrate that this ladder is equivalent to a Aubry-Andre (AA) chain when two legs are symmetric. Analytical and numerical results indicate the appearance of mobility edges for asymmetric ladder. We also propose an easily engineered quasiperiodic ladder system which is a moiré superlattice system consisting of two-coupled uniform chains. An irrational lattice constant difference results in quasiperiodic structure. Numerical simulations show that such a system supports mobility edge. Additionally, we find that the mobility edge can be detected by a dynamic method, which bases on the measurement of surviving probability in the presence of a single imaginary negative potential as a leakage. The result provides insightful information about the localization transitions and mobility edge in experiment.

I. INTRODUCTION

The localization phase in quantum systems, which is originally rooted in condensed matter¹, has recently attracted a lot of theoretical and experimental interest in a variety of fields, including light waves in optical random media^{2–5}, matter waves in optical potentials^{6–9}, sound waves in elastic media¹⁰, and quantum chaotic systems¹¹, since the localization of quantum particles could prevent the transport necessary for equilibration in isolated systems. Anderson localization¹² predicts that single-particle wave functions become localized in the presence of some uncorrelated disorder, leading to a metal-insulator transition caused by the quantum interference in the scattering processes of a particle with random impurities and defects. A conventional Anderson localization is not controllable in one and two-dimensional systems. Nevertheless, localization does not require disorder and fortunately, the Aubry-Andre model^{13,14}, which has quasiperiodic potential, exhibits a transition between a localized and extended phases. In practice, quasiperiodic potentials arise naturally in optical experiments using lasers with incommensurate wave vectors. Accordingly, many experiments in such systems have now observed single-particle localization^{7,15–19}. The localization transition is always an attractive topic, especially in one-dimensional or quasi-one-dimensional system.

While most studies in this field have focused on the model with random or quasiperiodic on-site potential, the localization arising from the modulation of tunneling is far from being well understood and we believe that the essence of localization occurring in nature involve matters with random or quasiperiodic structure. Recently there has been a growing interest in the influence of the moiré pattern in physical systems. The moiré pattern as a new way to apply periodic potentials in van der Waals heterostructures to tune electronic properties, has been extensively studied^{20–25}. Many interesting phenomena have been observed in the heterostructure materials with small twist angles and mismatched lattice constants. Moiré patterns in condensed matter systems are produced by the difference in lattice constants or ori-

entation of two two-dimensional lattices when they are stacked into a two-layer structure. In principle, a moiré system can be deliberately designed (engineered) as a quasiperiodic structure when the difference of two ingredients is incommensurate. It is interesting to study the Anderson localization in a moiré-like system, which provides another way to demonstrate edge mobility arising from the quasiperiodic ladder structure. An advantage of this scheme is the simplicity of the model which should be feasible to engineered in practice. The aim of this paper is to construct an easily engineered quasiperiodic system which possessing mobility edges.

In the paper, we study a quasiperiodic ladder system, which consists of two uniform chains. The quasiperiodicity arises from the quasiperiodic modulation on interchain coupling strength. At first, we consider the case with a quasiperiodic modulation on the rung of the ladder. We show that this ladder is equivalent to a Aubry-Andre (AA) chain when two legs are symmetric. Analytical and numerical results indicate the appearance of mobility edges for asymmetric ladder. Secondly, we propose an easily engineered quasiperiodic ladder system. The quasiperiodic structure arises from the slight difference of lattice constants of two legs, rather than deliberately engineered. It is a moiré-superlattice-like system with small irrational difference between the top and bottom chain. Numerical simulations show that localization transitions and mobility edges can be observed in such a quasiperiodic ladder system. Finally, we employ the fidelity of quantum states and the measurement of surviving probability to detect localization transition and mobility edge through a dynamic way. The model setup has relative simple geometrical structure and contains zero potentials. It should be experimentally accessible in atomic optical lattices and photonic waveguides.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the model Hamiltonian and analyze the structure of the lattice. In Sec. III, we propose an easily engineered quasiperiodic ladder system. Sec. IV devotes to the numerical simulation of the model, revealing the dynamical detection of mobility edge. Finally, we give a summary and discussion in Sec. V.

II. AUBRY-ANDRE LADDER

The quasiperiodic structure in a standard AA chain arises from the quasiperiodic on-site potential. A nature conjecture is that the appearance of localization is introduced by the quasiperiodic structure rather than the on-site potential only. In this section we demonstrate this point by considering a AA ladder system, in which quasiperiodic structure arises from the rung hopping strength. We will show that such a system can have localized eigenstates.

The Hamiltonian is composed by three parts,

$$H = H_1 + H_2 + H_R, \quad (1)$$

where the Hamiltonian of legs is

$$H_\lambda = \sum_l t_\lambda |l, \lambda\rangle \langle l+1, \lambda| + \text{H.c.}, \quad (2)$$

with $(\lambda = 1, 2)$, and the rung term is

$$H_R = \sum_{l=1}^N J_l |l, 1\rangle \langle l, 2| + \text{H.c.}, \quad (3)$$

with the rung hopping strength

$$J_l = J_R \cos(2\pi lb). \quad (4)$$

When b takes irrational number, for example $b = 2/(\sqrt{5}-1)$, J_l is a quasiperiodic function. Notably, it is equivalent to a model with quasiperiodic on-site potential. Thus, we rewrite H_R as the form

$$H_R = \sum_{l=1}^N (J_l |l, +\rangle \langle l, +| - J_l |l, -\rangle \langle l, -|), \quad (5)$$

where the bond and anti-bond states are

$$|l, \pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|l, 1\rangle \pm |l, 2\rangle). \quad (6)$$

Then we have

$$H = H_+ + H_- + H_C, \quad (7)$$

where

$$H_\pm = \sum_{l=1}^N \left[\frac{t_1 + t_2}{2} (|l, \pm\rangle \langle l+1, \pm| + \text{H.c.}) \pm J_l |l, \pm\rangle \langle l, \pm| \right] \quad (8)$$

describes two independent chains with opposite on-site potential $\pm J_l$, $H_\pm$ obey the relation $[H_+, H_-] = 0$, and

$$H_C = \frac{t_1 - t_2}{2} \sum_{l=1, \sigma=\pm}^N (|l, \sigma\rangle \langle l+1, -\sigma|) + \text{H.c.} \quad (9)$$

describes interchain crossing hopping term. We would like to point out that the above transformation is independent of the form of J_l , periodic or not. In Fig. 1.




FIG. 1. (Color online) Schematic illustration of the transformation from a ladder with quasiperiodic hopping to that with on-site potential. (a) A ladder system with quasiperiodic interchain hopping, which is indicated by a wavy line. (b) A ladder system with quasiperiodic on-site potential, which is indicated by a wavy circle, but uniform hopping strengths. Two legs are coupled uniformly by interleg in a crossing manner.

we sketch the lattice geometries of the Hamiltonian from Eqs. (1) and (7). We concentrate on two cases. (i) For the case with $t_1 = t_2$, we have $H_C = 0$, i.e., the ladder system is equivalent to two independent AA chains. There is no mobility edge, and the localization transition occurs at $t_1 = t_2 = 0.5J_R$. (ii) For the case with $t_1 \neq t_2$, H can be regarded as two coupled AA chains. Previous works^{26–38} indicate that it is possible to construct models with quasiperiodic potentials, which do exhibit exact mobility edge even in one dimension. Thus, it is expected that the mobility edge may appear for an asymmetric ladder. We perform numerical simulation to explore mobility edge within a range of parameters. To characterize the localization features, we employ the inverse participation ratio (IPR) of eigenstate $|\psi_n\rangle$, as a criterion to distinguish the extended states from the localized ones, which is defined as

$$\text{IPR}^{(n)} = \frac{\sum_l |\langle \psi_n | l \rangle|^4}{(\sum_l |\langle \psi_n | l \rangle|^2)^2}. \quad (10)$$

For spatially extended states, it approaches to zero, whereas it is finite for localized states. We plot the results in Fig. 2, which indicates the mobility edge appears when suitable parameters are taken. We conclude that it is possible to construct a ladder system with quasiperiodic interchain hopping strength, which also exhibits mobility edge.




FIG. 2. (Color online) Numerical simulations for the ladder system from Eq. (1). Plots of IPRs along energy levels for four typical sets of parameters: (a) $t_1 = t_2 = 0.4J_R$; (b) $t_1 = t_2 = 0.8J_R$; (c) $t_1 = 0.2J_R$, $t_2 = 0.4J_R$. (d) $t_1 = 0.2J_R$, $t_2 = 0.8J_R$. It shows that (a) indicate all eigenstates are localized, while (b) indicate all eigenstates are extended. Panel (c) and (d) shows different patterns, which contains two different regions with small and large IPRs, respectively. The blue arrows indicate positions of mobility edges, which separate into two different regions. The size of system is $N = 2000$, parameter is $J_R = 5$ and n stands for the n th of energy level.

III. MOIRÉ LADDER

In this section, we will provide a method to engineer an AA ladder. In material science, moiré patterns are usually produced by stacking two two-dimensional crystals into van der Waals heterostructures with a twist angle. As a non-Hermitian variant of the moiré pattern, we take a simple example by stacking two one-dimensional chains. We consider a two-leg ladder system with the Hamiltonian

$$H = H_1 + H_2 + H_{12}, \quad (11)$$

where H_λ ($\lambda = 1, 2$) describes two uniform chains

$$H_\lambda = \sum_l^{N_\lambda} t_\lambda |2l, \lambda\rangle \langle 2l+1, \lambda| + \text{H.c.} \quad (12)$$

and

$$H_{12} = \sum_{l_1, l_2} \kappa_{l_1 l_2} |l_1, 1\rangle \langle l_2, 2| + \text{H.c.} \quad (13)$$

represents the interchain hopping terms. Here $\kappa_{l_1 l_2}$ is the hopping strength between l_1 th site in leg 1 and l_2 th site in leg 2, which should be determined by the overlap of wave functions of two particles located at two sites supposed as




FIG. 3. (Color online) Schematic illustration of the moiré two-leg ladder system. It consists of two coupled uniform chains. The irregular structure arises from the difference of lattice constants (1 and $1 + \Delta$) between two legs. There are two types of ladder structures, which have a slight difference: (a1) a rational number, for example $\Delta = 0.25$; (b1) a irrational number, for example $\Delta = (\sqrt{5} - 1)/5 \approx 0.25$. The interleg hopping strength across l_1 th site in leg 1 and l_2 th site in leg 2 is indicated by $\kappa_{l_1 l_2}$, which is distance dependent (see Eq. (14)). (a2) and (b2) sketch the main hopping strengths for the structures with two (quasi)periods, as the schematics of the parts in (a1) and (b1) marked by dashed red rectangles. Here vertical dashed lines are added in each panel to indicate the difference.




FIG. 4. (Color online) Color contour maps for the profiles of $\kappa_{l_1 l_2}$ are obtained from Eq. (14) with (a) $\Delta = 0.25$, (b) $\Delta = (\sqrt{5} - 1)/5$. Plots contain three (quasi)periods. Two patterns have a slight difference: (a) is exact periodic while (b) is quasiperiodic. The parameters are $\alpha = 3$, $t_1 = t_2 = 1$, and $\kappa_0 = 1$.




FIG. 5. (Color online) Numerical simulations for the Moiré ladder system from Eq. (11). Plots of IPRs along energy levels for nine typical sets of parameters both in periodic (blue star) and quasiperiodic (red star) condition. (a), (b) and (c): κ_0 is 1, 4 and 8 with $t_1 = t_2 = 1$, respectively. (d), (e) and (f): t_2 is 2, 4, 8 with $t_1 = 1$ and $\kappa_0 = 8$, respectively. (g), (h) and (i): the same as (d), (e) and (f) but exchanging the value of t_1 and t_2 . All IPRs of periodic (blue star) ladder are trivial in this discussion. While in quasiperiodic ladder, we note that the eigenstates of all energy levels are localized, extended or hybrid, depending on the value of parameter κ_0 when the two-legs are balanced. The mobility edge can be seen clearly in the condition $t_1 \neq t_2$ and the performances of IPRs are also influenced by the relative values of t_1 and t_2 . The size of ladder is $N_1 = 500$, $N_2 = 400$, the parameter is $\alpha = 3$ and n stands for the n th of energy level.

two (artificial) atoms in practice, depending on the orbits of the particle and the distance between two sites. In this paper, we simply take the Gaussian form to characterize the corresponding interleg tunneling amplitude

$$\kappa_{l_1 l_2} = \kappa_0 e^{-\alpha^2 [x_{l_1} - y_{l_2}]^2} = \kappa_0 e^{-\alpha^2 [(l_1 - 1) - (1 + \Delta)(l_2 - 1)]^2}, \quad (14)$$

where $x_{l_1} = l_1 - 1$, $y_{l_2} = (1 + \Delta)(l_2 - 1)$ denote the dimensionless lattice site coordinates in chain 1 and 2, respectively. The parameter Δ quantifies the slight difference of lattice spacing between the two legs. The lattice spacing in leg 1 is 1, while $1 + \Delta$ for leg 2. A ladder with length L contains $N_1 + N_2$ sites, satisfying $L = N_1 - 1 = (N_2 - 1)(1 + \Delta)$. A nonzero Δ specifies a ladder with asymmetric legs. We note that when Δ is a rational number, the structure of the system is periodic

and the moiré pattern may be observed. Notably, it has quasiperiodic structure when Δ is an irrational number and the mobility edge may emerge. We sketch the lattice geometries with quasiperiodic and periodic structures in Fig. 3. Furthermore, the interleg tunneling strength depends on the values of Δ and κ_0 , the nonzero $\kappa_{l_1 l_2}$ is not restricted to the nearest or next-nearest neighbour sites. As a demonstration, we plot the distributions of hopping strengths $\kappa_{l_1 l_2}$ in Fig. 4 for periodic and quasiperiodic structures, respectively.

It is expected that the performances of IPRs can be quite different for the ladders with rational and irrational Δ . To verify this prediction, numerical simulations are given in Fig. 5. The profiles of IPRs for irrational Δ (red star) in Fig. 5 demonstrate the following behaviors. (i) For the case with identical intra-leg hopping strengths




FIG. 6. (Color online) (a) plot of the IPRs along energy levels(n) for quasiperiodic ladder, as the same condition as Fig. 5(e). Based on this, (b) demonstrates every two localized eigenstates labeled by different n from panel (a) have less common probability distributions. The size of system is $N_1 = 500$ and $N_2 = 400$. The parameter P is defined as $P = |\langle \psi_{n'} | \psi_n \rangle|^2$ and j stands for the site of the chain.

$t_1 = t_2$, when the interleg hopping strength κ_0 is in the order of $t_1(t_2)$, the system possesses fully extended spectra. As κ_0 increases, the mobility edges appear, and then the spectra become fully localized. (ii) For the case with fixed $t_1(t_2)$ and large κ_0 , when $t_2(t_1)$ increases, the range of localization shrinks. These results indicate that the proposed quasiperiodic ladder system supports the mobility edge, which is controllable by the strengths of intraleg and interleg hopping. It demonstrates that the mobility edges do exist and exhibit rich structures.

IV. DYNAMICAL DETECTION OF MOBILITY EDGE

It emerges a natural question about how can we detect the existence of mobility edge, i.e., how can we find the boundary between the localized and extended states in experiment. We start with a dynamical method to distinguish extended and localized spectrum region. The localized state here has very short correlation length and every two localized eigenstates have less common probability distributions. This feature is demonstrated by the profiles of localized states in Fig. 6(b). Thus, it allows the following dynamic behavior. Considering an initial state, which is the superposition of a set of localized eigenstates. If these eigenstates have no overlap in real space with each other, the probability distribution of the evolved state remains unchangeably in real space. Now we turn to the investigation for dynamical detection of mobility edge, which is defined as the energy separating localized and extended states. We consider the time evolution of an initial state $|\Psi(0)\rangle = |n\rangle$ under a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian H , where $|n\rangle$ is the eigenstate of the ladder system, i.e., $H|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$. Here H represents a modified ladder system by adding an on-site

imaginary negative potential, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H} = H - i\gamma |l, 1\rangle \langle l, 1|, \quad (15)$$

where the position of the site can be arbitrarily taken. We employ the surviving probability of $|n\rangle$

$$p_n(t) = \sum_{l,\lambda=1,2}^{N_\lambda} |\langle l, \lambda | e^{-i\mathcal{H}t} | n \rangle|^2 \quad (16)$$

to measure the mobility of the eigenstate. The underlying mechanism of this method is that if the ladder H is connected by an additional infinite system (such as a semi-infinite lead), extended state of H will escape through the lead after sufficient long time, while a localized state of H will remain unchanged due to its uncorrelated feature (see Fig. 6(b)). An imaginary negative potential takes the role of a sink as the way out of an extended state. It is expected that the surviving probability of $|n\rangle$ can identify the position of mobility edge. When $|n\rangle$ is located within the extended spectrum region, surviving probability decays to zero, while remains to 1 if $|n\rangle$ within the localized spectrum region. We perform numerical simulations to verify this scheme. We consider the situation with the lattice geometry, parameters and plots of $p_n(t)$ are given in Fig. 7. In the comparison with the IPR distribution, the detected mobility edges by $p_n(t)$ are evident.

V. SUMMARY

We have shown that a quasiperiodic ladder system can support the mobility edges, even the quasiperiodicity arises from the interleg hopping strengths, rather than the quasiperiodic on-site potentials proposed in AA-type system. This finding opens a possibility to engineer




FIG. 7. (Color online) Schematic illustration (a) for a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian from Eq. (15), i.e., the non-Hermitian factor $-i\gamma$ is induced to the Hermitian ladder. Panel (b) illustrates the distribution of IPR. The corresponding mobility edge can be detected evidently in panel (c) with the dynamical detection method in this work. For clarity, panel (d) and (e) demonstrates the difference of surviving probability for $|n\rangle$, which is located at extended and localized region, respectively. Color in panel (c) stands for surviving probability $p_n(t)$ and the time t is in unit of $10^3/J$. The parameter is $\gamma = 1$ and other parameters here are the same as those in Fig. 5(e).

a system supporting mobility edge in an easy way. We have proposed a quasiperiodic ladder system consists of two uniform chains with slight different lattice constants (morié ladder). An irrational lattice constant difference results in quasiperiodic structure. Numerical simulations demonstrate that such a system can have mobility edges. Additionally, we investigated the dynamic detection of the mobility edge. Numerical simulations indicate that the dynamics of the system is profoundly changed by a slight change of lattice constant from a rational to an irrational numbers. Furthermore, based on the measurement of surviving probability in the presence of a single imaginary negative potential as a leakage, we have shown that

the mobility edge can be detected by a dynamic method. Our results for this concrete system provide insightful information about the mobility edge in quasiperiodic system. We hope that our results can stimulate experiments in this direction.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge the support of NSFC (Grants No. 11874225).

* songtc@nankai.edu.cn

¹ Patrick A. Lee and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Disordered electronic systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. **57**, 287 (1985).

- ² Tal Schwartz, Guy Bartal, Shmuel Fishman and Mordechai Segev, Transport and Anderson localization in disordered two-dimensional photonic lattices, *Nature*, **446**, 52 (2007).
- ³ S. Gentilini, A. Fratalocchi, L. Angelani, G. Ruocco, and C. Conti, Ultrashort pulse propagation and the Anderson localization, *Opt. Lett.* **34**, (2009).
- ⁴ C. Conti and A. Fratalocchi, Dynamic light diffusion, three-dimensional Anderson localization and lasing in inverted opals, *Nat. Phys.*, **4**, 794-798 (2008).
- ⁵ Diego Molinari and Andrea Fratalocchi, Route to strong localization of light: the role of disorder, *Opt. Express*, **20**, 18156-18164 (2012).
- ⁶ Klaus Drese and Martin Holthaus, Exploring a Metal-Insulator Transition with Ultracold Atoms in Standing Light Waves? *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **78**, 2932 (1997).
- ⁷ Giacomo Roati, Chiara D'Errico, Leonardo Fallani, Marco Fattori, Chiara Fort, Matteo Zaccanti, Giovanni Modugno, Michele Modugno and Massimo Inguscio, Anderson localization of a non-interacting Bose-Einstein condensate, *Nature*, **453**, 895-898 (2008).
- ⁸ F. Jendrzejewski, A. Bernard, K. Müller, P. Cheinet, V. Josse, M. Piraud, L. Pezzé, L. Sanchez-Palencia, A. Aspect and P. Bouyer, Three-dimensional localization of ultracold atoms in an optical disordered potential, *Nat. Phys.* **8**, 398-403 (2012).
- ⁹ G. Semeghini, M. Landini, P. Castilho, S. Roy, G. Spagnolli, A. Trenkwalder, M. Fattori, M. Inguscio and G. Modugno, Measurement of the mobility edge for 3D Anderson localization, *Nat. Phys.* **11**, 554-559 (2015).
- ¹⁰ Hefei Hu, A. Strybulevych, J. H. Page, S. E. Skipetrov and B. A. van Tiggelen, Localization of ultrasound in a three-dimensional elastic network, *Nat. Phys.*, **4**, 945-948 (2008).
- ¹¹ Julien Chabé, Gabriel Lemarié Benoît Gréaud, Dominique Delande, Pascal Szriftgiser, and Jean Claude Garreau, Experimental Observation of the Anderson Metal-Insulator Transition with Atomic Matter Waves, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **101** 255702 (2008).
- ¹² P. W. Anderson, Absence of Diffusion in Certain Random Lattices, *Phys. Rev.*, **109**, 1492 (1958).
- ¹³ M. Ya. Azbel, Quantum Particle in One-Dimensional Potentials with Incommensurate Periods, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43**, 1954 (1979).
- ¹⁴ Serge Aubry and G. Andre, Analyticity Breaking and Anderson Localization in Incommensurate Lattices, *Ann. Isr. Phys. Soc.* **3**, 133 (1980).
- ¹⁵ Luca Dal Negro, Claudio J. Oton, Zeno Gaburro, Lorenzo Pavesi, Patrick Johnson, Ad Lagendijk, Roberto Righini, Marcello Colocci, and Diederik S. Wiersma, Light Transport through the Band-Edge States of Fibonacci Quasicrystals, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 055501 (2003).
- ¹⁶ L. Fallani, J. E. Lye, V. Guarnera, C. Fort, and M. Inguscio, Ultracold Atoms in a Disordered Crystal of Light: Towards a Bose Glass, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 130404 (2007).
- ¹⁷ Y. Lahini, R. Pugatch, F. Pozzi, M. Sorel, R. Morandotti, N. Davidson, and Y. Silberberg, Observation of a Localization Transition in Quasiperiodic Photonic Lattices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 013901 (2009).
- ¹⁸ Giovanni Modugno, Anderson Localization in Bose-Einstein Condensates, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **73**, 102401 (2010).
- ¹⁹ Mordechai Segev, Yaron Silberberg, and Demetrios N. Christodoulides, Anderson Localization of Light, *Nat. Photonics* **7**, 197-204 (2013).
- ²⁰ L. A. Ponomarenko, R. V. Gorbachev, G. L. Yu, D. C. Elias, R. Jalil, A. A. Patel, A. Mishchenko, A. S. Mayorov, C. R. Woods, J. R. Wallbank, M. Mucha-Kruczynski, B. A. Piot, M. Potemski, I. V. Grigorieva, K. S. Novoselov, F. Guinea, V. I. Fal'ko and A. K. Geim, Cloning of Dirac fermions in graphene superlattices, *Nature* **497**, 594-597 (2013).
- ²¹ C. R. Dean, L. Wang, P. Maher, C. Forsythe, F. Ghahari, Y. Gao, J. Katoch, M. Ishigami, P. Moon, M. Koshino, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, K. L. Shepard, J. Hone and P. Kim, Hofstadter's butterfly and the fractal quantum Hall effect in moiré superlattices *Nature* **497**, 598-602 (2013).
- ²² B. Hunt, J. D. Sanchez-Yamagishi, A. F. Young, M. Yankowitz, B. J. LeRoy, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, P. Moon, M. Koshino, P. Jarillo-Herrero and R. C. Ashoori, Massive Dirac Fermions and Hofstadter Butterfly in a van der Waals Heterostructure, *Science* **340**, 1427-1430 (2013).
- ²³ R. V. Gorbachev, J. C. W. Song, G. L. Yu, A. V. Kretinin, F. Withers, Y. Cao, A. Mishchenko, I. V. Grigorieva, K. S. Novoselov, L. S. Levitov and A. K. Geim, Detecting topological currents in graphene superlattices, *Science* **346**, 448-451 (2014).
- ²⁴ J. C. W. Song, P. Samutpraphoot, and L. S. Levitov, Topological Bloch bands in graphene superlattices, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 10879 (2015).
- ²⁵ Jeil Jung, Arnaud Raoux, Zhenhua Qiao, and A. H. MacDonald, Ab initio theory of moiré superlattice bands in layered two-dimensional materials *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 205414 (2014).
- ²⁶ S. Das Sarma, Akiko Kobayashi, and R. E. Prange, Proposed Experimental Realization of Anderson Localization in Random and Incommensurate Artificially Layered Systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56**, 1280 (1986).
- ²⁷ S. Das Sarma, Song He, and X. C. Xie, Mobility Edge in a Model One-Dimensional Potential, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 2144 (1988).
- ²⁸ D. J. Thouless, Localization by a Potential with Slowly Varying Period, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 2141 (1988).
- ²⁹ S. Das Sarma, Song He, and X. C. Xie, Localization, mobility edges, and metal-insulator transition in a class of one-dimensional slowly varying deterministic potentials, *Phys. Rev. B* **41**, 5544 (1990).
- ³⁰ J. Biddle and S. Das Sarma, Predicted Mobility Edges in One-Dimensional Incommensurate Optical Lattices: An Exactly Solvable Model of Anderson Localization, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 070601 (2010).
- ³¹ J. Biddle, D. J. Priour, Jr., B. Wang, and S. Das Sarma, Localization in one-dimensional lattices with non-nearest-neighbor hopping: Generalized Anderson and Aubry-André models, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 089901 (2011).
- ³² Sriram Ganeshan, J. H. Pixley, and S. Das Sarma, Nearest Neighbor Tight Binding Models with an Exact Mobility Edge in One Dimension, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 146601 (2015).
- ³³ Magnus Johansson and Rolf Riklund, Self-dual model for one-dimensional incommensurate crystals including next-nearest-neighbor hopping, and its relation to the Hofstadter model, *Phys. Rev. B* **43**, 13468 (1991).
- ³⁴ M. L. Sun, G. Wang, N. B. Li and T. Nakayama, Localization-delocalization transition in self-dual quasiperiodic lattices, *Europhys. Lett.* **110**, 57003 (2015).
- ³⁵ Magnus Johansson, Comment on "Localization-delocalization transition in self-dual quasi-periodic lattices" by Sun M. L. et al., *Europhys. Lett.* **112**, 17002

- (2015).
- ³⁶ Archak Purkayastha, Abhishek Dhar, and Manas Kulkarni, Nonequilibrium phase diagram of a one-dimensional quasiperiodic system with a single-particle mobility edge, Phys. Rev. B **96**, 180204(R) (2017).
- ³⁷ Longyan Gong, Yan Feng, Yougen Ding, Anderson localization in one-dimensional quasiperiodic lattice models with nearest- and next-nearest-neighbor hopping, Phys. Lett. A **381**, 588-591 (2017).
- ³⁸ Sarang Gopalakrishnan, Self-dual quasiperiodic systems with power-law hopping, Phys. Rev. B **96**, 054202 (2017).