

Quasimaps to zero-dimensional A_∞ -quiver varieties

H. Dinkins and A. Smirnov

Abstract

We consider the moduli spaces of quasimaps to zero-dimensional A_∞ Nakajima quiver varieties. An explicit combinatorial formula for the equivariant Euler characteristic of these moduli spaces is obtained.

1 Introduction

1.1

In this paper we study the equivariant Euler characteristic of certain moduli spaces parameterizing vector bundles over \mathbb{P}^1 subject to special stability conditions. These moduli spaces parametrize *quasimaps* $\mathbb{P}^1 \dashrightarrow X_\lambda$, where X_λ is a zero-dimensional Nakajima variety associated with the A_∞ quiver.

These moduli spaces can be defined as follows. Let λ be a Young diagram rotated by 45° as in Fig.1. Let $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ denotes the number of boxes in i 's vertical column. We assume that $i = 0$ corresponds to the column which contains the corner box of λ .

Definition 1. For $\mathbf{d} = (d_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ the moduli space $\mathbf{QM}_\lambda^{\mathbf{d}}$ is the stack classifying the following data:

- (\star) rank \mathbf{v}_i vector bundles \mathcal{V}_i over \mathbb{P}^1 of degrees $\deg(\mathcal{V}_i) = d_i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (\star, \star) stable section s of the vector bundle $\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{P}^*$ with

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{V}_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_i, \mathcal{V}_{i+1}) \quad (1)$$

which is non-singular at $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and satisfies the moment map equations.

Let us explain the conditions on the section. The value of a section s at a point $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$ provides the following data:

$$s(p) = (I, J, X, Y) \tag{2}$$

with vectors $I \in \mathcal{V}_{0,p}$, $J \in \mathcal{V}_{0,p}^*$ and homomorphisms of vector spaces

$$X = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} X_i, \quad Y = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} Y_i, \quad X_i \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_{i,p}, \mathcal{V}_{i+1,p}), \quad Y_i \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_{i+1,p}, \mathcal{V}_{i,p}).$$

We say that $s(p)$ is *stable* if I is a cyclic vector, i.e.

$$\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{V}_{i,p} = \mathbb{C}\langle X, Y \rangle I$$

where $\mathbb{C}\langle X, Y \rangle$ denotes the ring on (non-commutative) polynomials in X and Y .

A section s is called *stable* if $s(p)$ is stable for all but finitely many points p in \mathbb{P}^1 . The exceptional points are called *singularities* of s . Respectively, s is *non-singular* at p if the point p is not one of the singularities of s .

Finally, we say that a section s *satisfies the moment map equations* if

$$[X, Y] + I \otimes J = 0 \in \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{V}_{i,p} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{i,p}^*), \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{P}^1.$$

1.2

The stack QM_λ^d is an example of the moduli space of quasimaps to a GIT quotient constructed and investigated in [CKM11]. In particular, as shown in [CKM11] QM_λ^d is a Deligne-Mumford stack of finite type with perfect obstruction theory. We denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{vir}}^d$ the corresponding symmetrized virtual structure sheaf of QM_λ^d , see Section 2.3 below.

The moduli space QM_λ^d is equipped with a natural action of a two-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{C}_q^\times \times \mathbb{C}_h^\times$. The torus \mathbb{C}_q^\times acts on \mathbb{P}^1 by scaling the homogeneous coordinates $[x : y] \rightarrow [xq : y]$. The torus \mathbb{C}_h^\times scales the fibers of \mathcal{P}^* with character h^{-1} , i.e. for every point $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$ the data (2) transforms as:

$$(I, J, X, Y) \rightarrow (I, Jh^{-1}, X, Yh^{-1}).$$

It is known that the \mathbb{T} -fixed locus $(\mathbf{QM}_\lambda^d)^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ is proper (see [Oko15]). In particular, the \mathbb{T} -equivariant Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf

$$\chi(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{vir}}^d) \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(pt)_{\text{loc}} \cong \mathbb{Q}(\hbar, q) \quad (3)$$

is well defined (here *loc* stands for the localized K-theory).

We introduce the following generating function:

$$\mathcal{Z}_\lambda = \sum_{\mathbf{d}} \chi(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{vir}}^{\mathbf{d}}) \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathbb{Q}(\hbar, q)[[z]] \quad (4)$$

where we denote $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{d}} = \prod_i z_i^{d_i}$ for formal parameters z_i , usually called *Kähler parameters*.

1.3

Our main result is an explicit combinatorial formula for the generating function \mathcal{Z}_λ . This formula has simplest form in terms of the plethystic exponential.

Let L be a virtual module¹ over a torus \mathbf{B} with character

$$L = a_1 + \cdots + a_n - b_1 - \cdots - b_m \in K_{\mathbf{B}}(pt) \quad (5)$$

and $a_i, b_j \neq 1$ for all i and j . Let

$$S^\bullet(L) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} S^k(L) \in K_{\mathbf{B}}(pt)_{\text{loc}}$$

denote the \mathbf{B} -character of the corresponding symmetric algebra of L . Explicitly:

$$S^\bullet(L) = \frac{(1 - b_1) \cdots (1 - b_m)}{(1 - a_1) \cdots (1 - a_n)}. \quad (6)$$

The map S^\bullet is known as the *plethystic exponential*. It can be extended to a completion of $K_{\mathbf{B}}(pt)$ using suitable norm. This gives a convenient way to write infinite products, for instance:

$$S^\bullet\left(\frac{a}{1 - q}\right) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^i)$$

which follows from (6) and the Taylor expansion of $(1 - q)^{-1}$. See Section 2.1 in [Oko15] for a detailed discussion of the plethystic exponential.

¹The word “virtual” means that L can be a difference of two \mathbf{B} -modules, which explains the appearance of negative terms in (5).

1.4

For a box $\square \in \lambda$, let $h_\lambda(\square)$ be the hook in λ based at \square and $c(\square)$ be the content² of \square , normalized so that the corner box has content 0. Define

$$z_\square := \prod_{\square' \in h_\lambda(\square)} \widehat{z}_{c(\square')}$$

where the shifted parameters \widehat{z}_i are

$$\widehat{z}_i := \left(\frac{\hbar}{q}\right)^{\widehat{\sigma}_\lambda(i)} z_i \quad \text{where} \quad \widehat{\sigma}_\lambda(i) := \begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_{i-1} - \mathbf{v}_i & \text{if } i \neq 0 \\ \mathbf{v}_{i-1} - \mathbf{v}_i + 1 & \text{if } i = 0 \end{cases}$$

See Figure 1.




Figure 1: The partition $\lambda = (5, 4, 3, 2)$ rotated by 45° and $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_i) = (\dots, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$. The shaded boxes illustrate our convention for hooks. If \square is the box at the base of the hook shown, then $z_\square = z_0 \left(\frac{\hbar}{q} z_1\right) z_2 \left(\frac{\hbar}{q} z_3\right)$.

We denote

$$L_\lambda := \sum_{\square \in \lambda} z_\square. \tag{7}$$

Our main result is the following formula for \mathcal{Z}_λ .

²Recall, that for a box \square with coordinates (i, j) the content is equals $c(\square) = i - j$. It can be understood as the horizontal coordinate of \square in Fig. 1

Theorem 1. For $|q| < 1$ the power series (4) converges to the following function:

$$\mathcal{Z}_\lambda = S \cdot \left(\frac{1 - \hbar}{1 - q} L_\lambda \right). \quad (8)$$

Explicitly, (8) means that \mathcal{Z}_λ is an infinite product

$$\mathcal{Z}_\lambda = \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \hbar z_\square q^i}{1 - z_\square q^i}. \quad (9)$$

Clearly, if $|q| < 1$ then (9) converges. The result is a function holomorphic in z_\square in some neighborhood of zero. The theorem means that the generating function (4) is the Taylor series expansion of the plethystic exponential (8).

Note 1. The formula (9), among other things, implies that \mathcal{Z}_λ satisfies certain obvious q -difference equations in Kähler parameters. We refer to [OS16] for the general theory of these equations. See also the exposition [KZ18], where these equations are discussed for quiver varieties isomorphic to the cotangent bundles over partial flag varieties of A -type.

Note 2. We note that the formula (9) is similar to (but much simpler than) the formula for the generating function for the equivariant Euler characteristic of the Hilbert scheme of points on \mathbb{C}^3 , conjectured by N.Nekrasov in [Nek04] and then proved in [Oko15]. The proof of Nekrasov's conjecture can be deduced from factorization of the virtual structure sheaf of the Hilbert scheme of points, see Section 3.5 in [Oko15]. We believe that (9) can also be proven by a similar geometric argument.

Note 3. Note that X defined by (2) is a nilpotent endomorphism of $\oplus_i \mathcal{V}_{i,p}$ corresponding to a partition λ . The problem of counting vector bundles over Riemann surfaces endowed with a nilpotent endomorphism (over finite fields \mathbb{F}_q) was considered in [Mel17]. The partition function in this case can be expressed through special values of Macdonald polynomials, see Theorem 5.5. We expect that these formulas should be closely related to ours under certain specialization of parameters.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant 19-11-00062.

2 Quasimaps to Nakajima varieties

2.1

Let Q be a quiver and $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{N}^{|Q|}$ be the *dimension vectors*. Let V_i, W_i be vector spaces with dimensions $\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{w}_i$, then

$$P = \bigoplus_i \text{Hom}(W_i, V_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{i \rightarrow j} \text{Hom}(V_i, V_j) \quad (10)$$

where $i \rightarrow j$ denotes the sum over arrows of the quiver, is equipped with a natural action of $G = \prod_i GL(V_i)$. This action extends to a Hamiltonian action of G on T^*P . We denote by $\mu : T^*P \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ with $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ the corresponding moment map.

The Nakajima quiver variety is defined as the symplectic reduction of this space:

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = T^*P //_{\theta} G := \mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta-ss} / G \quad (11)$$

where $\mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta-ss}$ stands for the intersection of the set $\mu^{-1}(0)$ with the locus of semi-stable points defined by a choice of a character $\theta \in \text{char}(G)$, known as the *stability parameter*. We refer to [Gin12] and Chapter 2 in [MO12] for details about this construction.

2.2

For a Young diagram λ we consider a Nakajima quiver variety defined as follows. Let Q be the A_{∞} quiver and let $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_i)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the set of natural numbers defined by λ as in Section 1.1. Let $\mathbf{w}_i = \delta_{i,0}$. Let

$$X_{\lambda} := \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) \quad (12)$$

denote the quiver variety (11) defined by these data for the character

$$\theta : (g_i) \rightarrow \prod_i \det(g_i).$$

Proposition 1. *The quiver variety X_{λ} is zero-dimensional (i.e., geometrically X_{λ} is a point).*

Proof. The dimension of (11) equals

$$\dim \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = 2(\dim P - \dim G)$$

For the quiver variety X_λ this gives

$$\dim X_\lambda = 2 \left(\mathbf{v}_0 + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_{i+1} - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{v}_i^2 \right).$$

Elementary combinatorics shows that the last sum is zero for all \mathbf{v} defined from a Young diagram. \square

Note 4. One can show that all zero-dimensional quiver varieties associated with the A_∞ quiver (with A_n and \widehat{A}_n -quivers as special cases) are products of several copies of (12). Informally, one says that zero-dimensional A_∞ -quiver varieties are labeled by tuples of Young diagrams.

2.3

In Section 4.3 of [Oko15] A.Okounkov introduces a moduli spaces of quasimaps to a Nakajima quiver variety:

$$\mathbf{QM}_{\text{nonsing } p}^d(X) = \{\text{degree } \mathbf{d} \text{ quasimaps } \mathbb{P}^1 \dashrightarrow X\} \quad (13)$$

For a point $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$ this moduli space is equipped with a natural morphism

$$\text{ev}_p : \mathbf{QM}_{\text{nonsing } p}^d(X) \rightarrow X \quad (14)$$

called *the evaluation map*. He then defines the *bare vertex function* of X by:

$$\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mathbf{d}} \text{ev}_{p,*}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{vir}}, \mathbf{QM}_{\text{nonsing } p}^d(X)) \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(X)_{\text{loc}}[[\mathbf{z}]]$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{vir}}$ denotes the virtual structure sheaf of (13) and \mathbb{T} -denotes a torus acting on X . We refer to Section 7.2 in [Oko15] for details of this construction, definitions and well-definedness of the maps.

The moduli space from Definition 1 is an example of quasimap moduli space.

Proposition 2. *The moduli space \mathbf{QM}_λ^d is isomorphic to $\mathbf{QM}_{\text{nonsing}, \infty}^d(X_\lambda)$ for X_λ defined by (12).*

Proof. From the definition of $\mathbf{QM}_{\text{nonsing},\infty}^d$ it is obvious that this stack classifies precisely the data of Definition 1. \square

Corollary 1. *The generating function (4) is the vertex function of the quiver variety X_λ .*

Proof. By Proposition (2) the evaluation map (14) is the map to a point, thus $\text{ev}_{\infty,*}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{vir}}, \mathbf{QM}_{\text{nonsing},\infty}^d(X_\lambda)) = \chi(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{vir}})$. \square

2.4

The vertex functions for the Nakajima quiver varieties are equipped with natural integral representations of Mellin-Barnes type see Section 1.1.5-1.1.6 in [AO17]. We also refer to [PSZ16, KPSZ17] where these integral representations were investigated for the quiver varieties isomorphic to cotangent bundles over Grassmannians and partial flag varieties.

In the case of a type A_n quiver variety X with vertex set I , dimension vector \mathbf{v} , and framing dimension vector \mathbf{w} , we have the following description.

For a character $w_1 + \dots + w_m \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(X)$ let us denote

$$\Phi(w_1 + \dots + w_m) = \varphi(w_1) \dots \varphi(w_m), \quad \widehat{\varphi}(w) := S^* \left(\frac{w}{1-q} \right) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - wq^n).$$

This definition extends by linearity to polynomials with negative coefficients. Let \mathcal{P} be the bundle over a Nakajima variety X associated to the G -module (10). If $x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,v_i}$ denote the Grothendieck roots of i -th tautological bundle (i.e. the bundle over X associated to the G -module V_i) then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} = \sum_{i \in I} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{v_i} x_{i,j}^{-1} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{v_{i+1}} x_{i+1,j} \right) + \sum_{i \in I} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{w_i} a_{i,j}^{-1} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{v_i} x_{i,j} \right) \\ - \sum_{i \in I} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{v_i} x_{i,j}^{-1} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{v_i} x_{i,j} \right) \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(X). \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{i,j}$ denote the equivariant parameters associated to the framings for $j = 1, \dots, w_i$.

We also define the following formal expression in the Grothendieck roots

$$\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) := \exp\left(\frac{1}{\ln q} \sum_{i \in I} \ln(z_i) \ln(\det \mathcal{V}_i)\right) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\ln q} \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j=1}^{v_i} \ln(z_i) \ln(x_{i,j})\right)$$

For $t \in K_{\top}(X)$ and $p \in X^{\top}$ let $t_p \in K_{\top}(p)$ be the restriction of t . Then the restriction of the vertex function to a fixed point $p \in X^{\top}$ can be calculated as:

$$\mathbf{V}_p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{\Phi\left((q - \hbar)\mathcal{P}_p\right)\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}(p), \mathbf{z})} \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}), \quad (15)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}) = \prod_{i,j} \int_0^{x_{i,j}(p)} d_q x_{i,j} \Phi\left((q - \hbar)\mathcal{P}\right)\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$$

and the integral symbols denote the Jackson q -integral over all Grothendieck roots:

$$\int_0^a d_q x f(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(aq^n),$$

For an indeterminate x , we define the q -Pochhammer symbol by

$$(x)_d := \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(xq^d)}$$

It is clear that (15) is a power series in z_i with coefficients which are given by combinations of q -Pochhammer symbols.

2.5

Let us illustrate formula (15) in the case of X_{λ} for $\lambda = (1)$. As previously remarked, $X_{\lambda} = \{p\}$ is just a point. Here we have only one framing parameter and one Grothendieck root, which we write as a and x , respectively. Then

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{x}{a} - 1$$

and so

$$\Phi\left((q - \hbar)\mathcal{P}\right) = \frac{\varphi\left(q\frac{x}{a}\right)\varphi(\hbar)}{\varphi(q)\varphi\left(\hbar\frac{x}{a}\right)} \implies \Phi\left((q - \hbar)\mathcal{P}_p\right) = 1$$

since the Grothendieck root x evaluates to a . So

$$\mathbf{V}_p(a, z) = \frac{1}{\Phi((q - \hbar)\mathcal{P}_p)} \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_p(a, z) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{e}(a, z)} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(qq^d)\varphi(\hbar)}{\varphi(q)\varphi(\hbar q^d)} \mathbf{e}(aq^d, z)$$

Now,

$$\mathbf{e}(aq^d, z) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\ln(q)} \ln(z) \ln(aq^d)\right) = z^d \mathbf{e}(a, z)$$

and so

$$\mathbf{V}_p(a, z) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{(h)_d}{(q)_d} z^d$$

which is the standard q -binomial series

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{(h)_d}{(q)_d} z^d = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - zhq^n}{1 - zq^n}$$

This proves Theorem 1 in this simplest example.

2.6

In the case of X_λ for general λ , the discussion above provides the following explicit description of generating function (4). The Grothendieck roots evaluate to

$$x_{i,j} = \begin{cases} a\hbar^{j-1} & i \geq 0 \\ a\hbar^{j-i-1} & i < 0 \end{cases}$$

where a is the framing parameter. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_\lambda = & \sum_{d_{i,j}} \prod_{j=1}^{v_0} \frac{(\hbar^j)_{d_{0,j}}}{(q\hbar^{j-1})_{d_{0,j}}} \prod_{i < 0} \prod_{j=1}^{v_i} \prod_{k=1}^{v_{i+1}} \frac{(\hbar^{k-j})_{d_{i+1,k}-d_{i,j}}}{(q\hbar^{k-j-1})_{d_{i+1,k}-d_{i,j}}} \\ & \prod_{i \geq 0} \prod_{j=1}^{v_i} \prod_{k=1}^{v_{i+1}} \frac{(\hbar^{k-j+1})_{d_{i+1,k}-d_{i,j}}}{(q\hbar^{k-j})_{d_{i+1,k}-d_{i,j}}} \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{j,k=1}^{v_i} \frac{(q\hbar^{k-j})_{d_{i,k}-d_{i,j}}}{(\hbar^{k-j+1})_{d_{i,k}-d_{i,j}}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{d}} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where each $d_{i,j}$ is summed from 0 to ∞ .

2.7

In [DS19] the authors proposed a conjecture which relates limits of the vertex function of a Nakajima variety X with characters of the tangent space at torus fixed points on the symplectic dual (3d mirror) variety X' . See also [RSVZ19b, RSVZ19a] for the recent developments of the 3d mirror symmetry conjectures.

In Section 4 we apply Theorem 1 to investigate this conjecture in the case when X is given by an arbitrary Nakajima quiver variety of finite or affine A_n -type. In this case, the torus fixed points on X and X' are labeled by r -tuples of Young diagrams $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$. We show that the limit of vertex function corresponding to $\bar{\lambda}$ (in the notation of Section 1 in [DS19]) has the following form:

$$\mathbf{V}_{\bar{\lambda}}(0_{\mathbf{e}}, z) = \mathcal{Z}_{\lambda_1} \cdots \mathcal{Z}_{\lambda_r}$$

where formal parameters z_i play the role of the Kähler parameters of X , after possibly relabeling and shifting these parameters by a power of $\frac{\hbar}{q}$ in each \mathcal{Z}_{λ_j} , see Section 4.

In particular, this implies the following result: in the notation of Section 1 of [DS19] let $b(\bar{\lambda}) \in (X')^T$ be the fixed point on the 3d-mirror of X corresponding to $\bar{\lambda}$. Let \mathbf{K} be the Kähler torus of X .

Theorem 2. *If Conjecture 1 in [DS19] holds, then*

$$T_{b(\bar{\lambda})}X' \cong L_{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{\lambda_r} \oplus (L_{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{\lambda_r})^*$$

where the L_{λ_i} are defined by (7) and \cong stands for an isomorphism of \mathbf{K} -modules.

3 Macdonald Polynomials and vertex functions

3.1

The proof of Theorem 1 will use properties of Macdonald polynomials, which we will now introduce. Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{C}[p_1, p_2, \dots] \otimes \mathbb{C}(\hbar, q)$ be the space of symmetric polynomials in infinitely many variables, with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}(\hbar, q)$.

Following [Mac79], we define an inner product on \mathcal{F} by³

$$\langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle := \delta_{\lambda, \mu} \prod_{n \geq 1} n^{m_n} m_n! \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - \hbar^n} \quad \text{where } m_n = |\{k \mid \lambda_k = n\}| \quad (17)$$

and $l(\lambda)$ is the length of λ .

The Macdonald polynomials $\{M_\lambda\}$, indexed by partitions, are the unique basis of \mathcal{F} orthogonal with respect to this inner product satisfying a certain triangularity condition (Chapter 6 in [Mac79]). In particular, the Macdonald polynomials are uniquely defined by asserting that

$$\lambda \neq \mu \implies \langle M_\mu, M_\lambda \rangle = 0$$

$$M_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} u_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu, \quad u_{\lambda\lambda} = 1, \quad u_{\lambda, \mu} \in \mathbb{Q}(q, \hbar)$$

where m_μ is the monomial symmetric function corresponding to μ and

$$\mu \leq \lambda \iff \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_i \leq \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_i, \quad \forall i \geq 0$$

3.2

We introduce the following vertex operators acting on the completion of \mathcal{F} :

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_+(z) &:= \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \hbar^n}{1 - q^n} \frac{z^n}{n} p_n \right) \\ \Gamma_-(z) &:= \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} \right) \\ z^L \cdot M_\mu &:= z^{|\mu|} M_\mu \end{aligned}$$

The inner product (17) can be interpreted as

$$\langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda, \mu} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \lambda_i \frac{1 - q^{\lambda_i}}{1 - \hbar^{\lambda_i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\lambda_i}} \right) p_\mu \quad (18)$$

which shows that $\Gamma_-(\frac{1}{z})$ is the adjoint of $\Gamma_+(z)$. We have the following:

³The standard notations of Macdonald is related to ours by $\hbar = t$.

Proposition 3 ([Mac79] Section 6.6, [GLO14] Theorem 1.2). Let (n) denote the partition $(n, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then

$$M_{(n)}M_\lambda = \frac{(q)_n}{(\hbar)_n} \sum_{\substack{\mu \succ \lambda \\ |\mu| - |\lambda| = n}} c_{\mu/\lambda} M_\mu \quad (19)$$

where $\mu \succ \lambda$ means that $\mu_1 \geq \lambda_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots$, which we refer to by saying that μ *interlaces* λ *from above*. Here,

$$c_{\mu/\lambda} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq l(\mu)} \frac{(\hbar^{j-i+1})_{\mu_i - \lambda_j} (q\hbar^{j-i})_{\mu_i - \mu_j}}{(q\hbar^{j-i})_{\mu_i - \lambda_j} (\hbar^{j-i+1})_{\mu_i - \mu_j}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq l(\mu)} \frac{(\hbar^{j-i})_{\lambda_i - \mu_j} (q\hbar^{j-i-1})_{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}}{(q\hbar^{j-i-1})_{\lambda_i - \mu_j} (\hbar^{j-i})_{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}}$$

where we adopt the convention that $\lambda_k = 0$ for $k > l(\lambda)$.

It is known that $\Gamma_+(z)$ is equivalent to the multiplication by the following infinite sum (see [Mac79] Section 6.2):

$$\Gamma_+(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(\hbar)_n}{(q)_n} M_{(n)} z^n \quad (20)$$

So we can write the action of $\Gamma_+(z)$ in (20) as

$$\Gamma_+(z)M_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \succ \lambda} c_{\mu/\lambda} z^{|\mu| - |\lambda|} M_\mu \quad (21)$$

Since $\Gamma_-(\frac{1}{z})$ is the adjoint of $\Gamma_+(z)$, we can use this to obtain a formula for the action of $\Gamma_-(z)$ on Macdonald polynomials:

Proposition 4. Let $\|M_\lambda\|^2 = \langle M_\lambda, M_\lambda \rangle$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_-(z)M_\lambda &= \sum_{\mu \prec \lambda} \frac{\|M_\lambda\|^2}{\|M_\mu\|^2} c_{\lambda/\mu} M_\mu z^{|\mu| - |\lambda|} \\ &= \sum_{\mu \prec \lambda} d_{\lambda/\mu} M_\mu z^{|\mu| - |\lambda|} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\lambda/\mu} &= \prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \frac{(\hbar^{l(\lambda)-i+1})_{\mu_i}}{(q\hbar^{l(\lambda)-i})_{\mu_i}} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \frac{(q\hbar^{l(\lambda)-i})_{\lambda_i}}{(\hbar^{l(\lambda)-i+1})_{\lambda_i}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq l(\lambda)} \frac{(\hbar^{j-i+1})_{\lambda_i - \mu_j} (q\hbar^{j-i})_{\mu_i - \mu_j}}{(q\hbar^{j-i})_{\lambda_i - \mu_j} (\hbar^{j-i+1})_{\mu_i - \mu_j}} \\ &\quad \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq l(\lambda)} \frac{(q\hbar^{j-i-1})_{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} (\hbar^{j-i})_{\mu_i - \lambda_j}}{(\hbar^{j-i})_{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} (q\hbar^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i - \lambda_j}} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first equality follows immediately from the adjoint relationship, and the second can be proven as a direct computation using the formula for the norm of the Macdonald polynomials. \square

3.3

We will use the following properties of the vertex operators:

Proposition 5. As operators on the completion of \mathcal{F} , we have:

(a)

$$\Gamma_-(z)M_\emptyset = M_\emptyset$$

where \emptyset denotes the empty partition.

(b)

$$z^L \Gamma_\pm(w) = \Gamma_\pm(wz)z^L$$

(c)

$$\Gamma_-(z)\Gamma_+(w) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \hbar \frac{w}{z} q^i}{1 - \frac{w}{z} q^i} \Gamma_+(w)\Gamma_-(z)$$

(Note that the product converges if $|q| < 1$).

Proof. Parts (a) and (b) follow from (21) and (22), along with the definition of z^L . Part (c) follows from the definitions of $\Gamma_\pm(z)$. \square

3.4 Proof of Theorem 1, Step 1

Fix a partition λ . In this subsection, we identify the product in 9 as a certain matrix element of an operator on \mathcal{F} . Let

$$\Lambda_i := \widehat{z}_i^L \Gamma_{\tau_\lambda(i)}(1)$$

where $\tau_\lambda(i) \in \{+, -\}$ is defined by

$$\tau_\lambda(i) := \begin{cases} + & \text{if } i \geq 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_{i+1} = 1 \\ + & \text{if } i < 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_{i+1} = 0 \\ - & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$




Figure 2: The upper boundary of λ is a piecewise linear function. The slope of the segment from column i to column $i + 1$ is $-\tau_\lambda(i)$. In this case, $\lambda = (5, 4, 3, 2)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_i) = (\dots, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$. Then $-\tau_\lambda(i)$ is $+$ if the boundary segment to the right of column i is blue, and is $-$ otherwise.

where \mathbf{v} is as in Section 1.

The function τ_λ can be thought of as keeping track of the slopes along the top of the partition, see Figure 2.

For definiteness, let $-r$ and s be the indices of the leftmost and rightmost nonzero entries of \mathbf{v} . We have the following:

Proposition 6.

$$\langle M_\emptyset | \Gamma_-(1) \Lambda_{-r} \Lambda_{-r+1} \dots \Lambda_s | M_\emptyset \rangle = \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \hbar z_\square q^i}{1 - z_\square q^i}$$

Proof. We first commute all of the \widehat{z}_i^L operators to the right, using Proposition 5, and use the fact that $\widehat{z}_i^L \cdot M_\emptyset = M_\emptyset$:

$$\langle M_\emptyset | \Gamma_-(1) \Lambda_{-r} \Lambda_{-r+1} \dots \Lambda_s | M_\emptyset \rangle = \left\langle M_\emptyset \left| \Gamma_-(1) \prod_{i=-r}^s \Gamma_{\tau_\lambda(i)} (\widehat{z}_{-r} \dots \widehat{z}_i) \right| M_\emptyset \right\rangle$$

with the product ordered appropriately. Next, we commute each $\Gamma_-(w)$ to the right using Proposition 5, starting with the rightmost one. Suppose

that $i_0 < i_1$ are such that $\tau_\lambda(i_0) = -$ and $\tau_\lambda(i_1) = +$. Then commuting $\Gamma_{\tau_\lambda(i_0)}(\widehat{z}_{-r} \dots \widehat{z}_{i_0})$ across $\Gamma_{\tau_\lambda(i_1)}(\widehat{z}_{-r} \dots \widehat{z}_{i_1})$ introduces a factor of

$$\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \hbar \widehat{z}_{i_0+1} \dots \widehat{z}_{i_1} q^i}{1 - \widehat{z}_{i_0+1} \dots \widehat{z}_{i_1} q^i} = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \hbar z_{\square} q^i}{1 - z_{\square} q^i}$$

where \square is the box in row a and column b of λ for

$$\begin{aligned} a &= |\{i \geq i_1 \mid \tau(i) = 1\}| \\ b &= |\{i \leq i_0 \mid \tau(i) = -1\}| \end{aligned}$$

Commuting all such terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M_{\emptyset} | \Gamma_{-}(1) \Lambda_{-r} \Lambda_{-r+1} \dots \Lambda_s | M_{\emptyset} \rangle &= \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \hbar z_{\square} q^i}{1 - z_{\square} q^i} \left\langle 1 \left| \prod_{\substack{i=-r \\ \tau_\lambda(i)=1}}^s \Gamma_{\tau_\lambda(i)}(\widehat{z}_{-r} \dots \widehat{z}_i) \right| 1 \right\rangle \\ &= \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \hbar z_{\square} q^i}{1 - z_{\square} q^i} \end{aligned}$$

□

3.5

Definition 2. We say that an $(r + s + 1)$ -tuple of partitions $(\lambda^{-r}, \dots, \lambda^s)$ *interlace according to the shape of λ* if

- $\tau(i) = 1 \implies \lambda^i \succ \lambda^{i+1}$
- $\tau(i) = -1 \implies \lambda^i \prec \lambda^{i+1}$
- $l(\lambda^i) \leq v_i$

We write S_λ for the set of all $(r + s + 1)$ -tuples of partitions that interlace according to the shape of λ .

Proposition 7. We can rewrite the vertex function (16) as:

$$\mathcal{Z}_\lambda = \sum_{(\lambda^{-r}, \dots, \lambda^s) \in S_\lambda} \left(\alpha_{\lambda^0} \prod_{i=-r}^{s-1} \beta_{\lambda^i, \lambda^{i+1}} \prod_{i=-r}^s \gamma_{\lambda^i} z_i^{|\lambda^i|} \right) \quad (23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{\lambda^0} &= \prod_{j=1}^{l(\lambda^0)} \frac{(\hbar^{l(\lambda^0)-j+1})_{\lambda_j^0}}{(q\hbar^{l(\lambda^0)-j})_{\lambda_j^0}} \\
\beta_{\lambda^i, \lambda^{i+1}} &= \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^{v_i} \prod_{k=1}^{v_{i+1}} \frac{(\hbar^{j-k+1-\sigma(i+1)})_{\lambda_k^{i+1}-\lambda_j^i}}{(q\hbar^{j-k-\sigma(i+1)})_{\lambda_k^{i+1}-\lambda_j^i}} & i \geq 0 \\ \prod_{j=1}^{v_i} \prod_{k=1}^{v_{i+1}} \frac{(\hbar^{j-k-\sigma(i+1)})_{\lambda_k^{i+1}-\lambda_j^i}}{(q\hbar^{j-k-1-\sigma(i+1)})_{\lambda_k^{i+1}-\lambda_j^i}} & i < 0 \end{cases} \\
\gamma_{\lambda^i} &= \prod_{j,k=1}^{v_i} \frac{(q\hbar^{j-k})_{\lambda_k^i-\lambda_j^i}}{(\hbar^{j-k+1})_{\lambda_k^i-\lambda_j^i}}
\end{aligned}$$

and $\sigma(i) := v_{i-1} - v_i$.

Proof. Observe that

$$i < 0 \text{ and } k = j \implies (\hbar^{k-j})_{d_{i+1,k}-d_{i,j}} = (1)_{d_{i+1,k}-d_{i,j}}$$

which is nonzero only if $d_{i+1,k} - d_{i,j} \leq 0$. Similarly,

$$i < 0 \text{ and } k = j + 1 \implies \frac{1}{(q\hbar^{k-j-1})_{d_{i+1,k}-d_{i,j}}} = \frac{1}{(q)_{d_{i+1,k}-d_{i,j}}}$$

which is nonzero only if $d_{i+1,k} - d_{i,j} \geq 0$. An examination of the terms in (16) gives a similar condition for $d_{i,j}$ where $i \geq 0$. This condition has the following interpretation: starting at the corner box of λ , the indices $d_{i,j}$ must be weakly increasing whenever we move up and to the left or up and to the right.

In particular, we have $d_{i,1} \leq d_{i,2} \leq \dots d_{i,p_i}$ for all i . Letting

$$\lambda^i = (d_{i,v_i}, \dots, d_{i,1})$$

the comments in the preceding paragraph show that the sum for the vertex function is indexed S_λ . Re-indexing the vertex function in this way gives (23). \square

3.6

Lemma 1. For any fixed $(\lambda^{-r}, \dots, \lambda^s) \in S_\lambda$, we have

$$\gamma_{\lambda^i} = \prod_{1 \leq k < j \leq v_i} \frac{(q\hbar^{j-k})_{\lambda_k^i - \lambda_j^i}}{(\hbar^{j-k+1})_{\lambda_k^i - \lambda_j^i}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq v_i} \left(\frac{\hbar}{q}\right)^{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^i} \frac{(q\hbar^{k-j-1})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^i}}{(\hbar^{k-j})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^i}}$$

If $i \geq 0$, then

$$\beta_{\lambda^i, \lambda^{i+1}} = \prod_{j=1}^{v_i} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k <_{-\tau(i)} j}}^{v_{i+1}} \frac{(\hbar^{j-k+1-\sigma(i+1)})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^i}}{(q\hbar^{j-k-\sigma(i+1)})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^i}} \prod_{j=1}^{v_i} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ j <_{\tau(i)} k}}^{v_{i+1}} \left(\frac{q}{\hbar}\right)^{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}} \frac{(\hbar^{k-j+\sigma(i+1)})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}}}{(q\hbar^{k-j-1+\sigma(i+1)})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}}}$$

where $<_- = <$ and $<_+ = \leq$ and we have abbreviated τ for τ_λ . A similar formula holds for $i < 0$, but with a shift by \hbar or \hbar^{-1} in the appropriate Pochhammer symbols.

Proof. This follows from the identity

$$\frac{(\hbar x)_{-n}}{(qx)_{-n}} = \left(\frac{q}{\hbar}\right)^n \frac{\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)_n}{\left(\frac{q}{\hbar x}\right)_n}$$

□

For convenience, we further introduce

$$\delta_{\lambda^i} = \prod_{1 \leq k < j \leq v_i} \frac{(q\hbar^{j-k})_{\lambda_k^i - \lambda_j^i}}{(\hbar^{j-k+1})_{\lambda_k^i - \lambda_j^i}} \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_{\lambda^i} = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq v_i} \frac{(q\hbar^{k-j-1})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^i}}{(\hbar^{k-j})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^i}}$$

so that

$$\gamma_{\lambda^i} = \delta_{\lambda^i} \epsilon_{\lambda^i} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq v_i} \left(\frac{\hbar}{q}\right)^{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^i}$$

3.7 Proof of Theorem 1, Step 2

We are now ready to finish the proof of Theorem 1. Formulas (21) and (22) show that terms of

$$\Gamma_{-}(1) \Lambda_{-r} \Lambda_{-r+1} \dots \Lambda_s M_\emptyset$$

are indexed by $(r + s + 1)$ -tuples of partitions that satisfy the first two conditions of Definition 2, but a-priori without satisfying the length requirement. However, taking the M_\emptyset -coefficient of $\Gamma_-(1)\Lambda_{-r}\Lambda_{-r+1}\dots\Lambda_s M_\emptyset$ ensures that the only terms that contribute actually arise from elements of S_λ , a fact that we assume in the remaining computations below.

Now, let $(\lambda^{-r}, \dots, \lambda^s) \in S_\lambda$. Let us also fix $i \geq 0$ so that $\tau(i) = +$. Then by (21), we have

$$M_{\lambda^i} \text{ coefficient of } \Lambda_i(M_{\lambda^{i+1}}) = \widehat{z}_i^{|\lambda_i|} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq v_i} \left(\frac{(\hbar^{k-j+1})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}} (q\hbar^{k-j})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^i}}{(q\hbar^{k-j})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}} (\hbar^{k-j+1})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^i}} \right) \\ \prod_{1 \leq k < j \leq v_i} \left(\frac{(\hbar^{j-k})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^i} (q\hbar^{j-k-1})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^{i+1}}}{(q\hbar^{j-k-1})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^i} (\hbar^{j-k})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^{i+1}}} \right)$$

where we adopt the convention that $\lambda_j^{i+1} = 0$ for $j > v_{i+1}$. Separating such terms, we have

$$M_{\lambda^i} \text{ coefficient of } \Lambda_i(M_{\lambda^{i+1}}) = \widehat{z}_i^{|\lambda_i|} \prod_{j=1}^{v_i} \frac{(\hbar^{v_i-j+1})_{\lambda_j^i}}{(q\hbar^{v_i-j})_{\lambda_j^i}} \prod_{k=1}^{v_{i+1}} \frac{(q\hbar^{v_i-k-1})_{\lambda_k^{i+1}}}{(\hbar^{v_i-k})_{\lambda_k^{i+1}}} \\ \prod_{j=1}^{v_i} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ j \leq k}}^{v_{i+1}} \frac{(\hbar^{k-j+1})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}}}{(q\hbar^{k-j})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}}} \prod_{j=1}^{v_i} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k < j}}^{v_{i+1}} \frac{(\hbar^{j-k})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^i}}{(q\hbar^{j-k-1})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^i}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq v_i} \frac{(q\hbar^{k-j})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^i}}{(\hbar^{k-j+1})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^i}} \\ \prod_{1 \leq k < j \leq v_{i+1}} \frac{(q\hbar^{j-k-1})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^{i+1}}}{(\hbar^{j-k})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^{i+1}}}$$

We also have

$$\beta_{\lambda^i, \lambda^{i+1}} = \prod_{j=1}^{v_i} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k < j}}^{v_{i+1}} \frac{(\hbar^{j-k})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^i}}{(q\hbar^{j-k-1})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^i}} \prod_{j=1}^{v_i} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ j \leq k}}^{v_{i+1}} \left(\frac{q}{\hbar} \right)^{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}} \frac{(\hbar^{k-j+1})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}}}{(q\hbar^{k-j})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}}}$$

since $\sigma(i+1) = 1$. So we have that

$$M_{\lambda^i} \text{ coefficient of } \Lambda_i(M_{\lambda^{i+1}}) = \widehat{z}_i^{|\lambda_i|} (\beta_{\lambda^i, \lambda^{i+1}}) (\delta_{\lambda^i}) (\epsilon_{\lambda^{i+1}}) \prod_{j=1}^{v_i} \frac{(\hbar^{v_i-j+1})_{\lambda_j^i}}{(q\hbar^{v_i-j})_{\lambda_j^i}} \\ \prod_{k=1}^{v_{i+1}} \frac{(q\hbar^{v_i-k-1})_{\lambda_k^{i+1}}}{(\hbar^{v_i-k})_{\lambda_k^{i+1}}} \prod_{j=1}^{v_i} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ j < \tau(i)k}}^{v_{i+1}} \left(\frac{\hbar}{q} \right)^{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}}$$

An identical calculation using (22) shows that the same formula also holds if $\tau(i) = -1$. So applying up to $\Gamma_{\tau(0)}$, the first two products will all cancel except for the p_0 term, leaving

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_{\lambda^0} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{s-1} \beta_{\lambda^i, \lambda^{i+1}} \epsilon_{\lambda^{i+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{v_i} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ j < \tau(i)k}}^{v_{i+1}} \left(\frac{\hbar}{q} \right)^{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}} \right) \left(\prod_{i=0}^s \delta_{\lambda^i} \widehat{z}_i^{|\lambda^i|} \right) \\ &= \alpha_{\lambda^0} \delta_{\lambda^0} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{s-1} \beta_{\lambda^i, \lambda^{i+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{v_i} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ j < \tau(i)k}}^{v_{i+1}} \left(\frac{\hbar}{q} \right)^{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^s \gamma_{\lambda^i} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq v_i} \left(\frac{\hbar}{q} \right)^{\lambda_k^i - \lambda_j^i} \right) \prod_{i=0}^s \widehat{z}_i^{|\lambda^i|} \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose that $i < 0$. We will perform a similar calculation here. Again, suppose that $\tau(i) = 1$. In this case, $v_i = v_{i+1}$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\lambda^i} \text{ coefficient of } \Lambda_i(M_{\lambda^{i+1}}) &= \widehat{z}_i^{|\lambda^i|} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq v_i} \left(\frac{(\hbar^{k-j+1})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}} (q\hbar^{k-j})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^i}}{(q\hbar^{k-j})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}} (\hbar^{k-j+1})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^i}} \right) \\ & \quad \prod_{1 \leq k < j \leq v_i} \left(\frac{(\hbar^{j-k})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^i} (q\hbar^{j-k-1})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^{i+1}}}{(q\hbar^{j-k-1})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^i} (\hbar^{j-k})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^{i+1}}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\beta_{\lambda^i, \lambda^{i+1}} = \prod_{1 \leq k < j \leq v_i} \frac{(\hbar^{j-k})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^i}}{(q\hbar^{j-k-1})_{\lambda_k^{i+1} - \lambda_j^i}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq v_i} \left(\frac{q}{\hbar} \right)^{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}} \frac{(\hbar^{k-j+1})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}}}{(q\hbar^{k-j})_{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}}}$$

since $\sigma(i+1) = 0$. So we have that

$$M_{\lambda^i} \text{ coefficient of } \Lambda_i(M_{\lambda^{i+1}}) = \widehat{z}_i^{|\lambda^i|} (\beta_{\lambda^i, \lambda^{i+1}}) (\delta_{\lambda^i}) (\epsilon_{\lambda^{i+1}}) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq v_i} \left(\frac{\hbar}{q} \right)^{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}}$$

A similar calculation applies for $i < 0$ and $\tau(i) = -1$. Applying the rest of the operators, we find that the term of $\langle M_\emptyset | \Gamma_-(1) \Lambda_{-r} \Lambda_{-r+1} \dots \Lambda_s | M_\emptyset \rangle$ corresponding to $(\lambda^{-r}, \dots, \lambda^s)$ is

$$\alpha_{\lambda^0} \left(\prod_{i=-r}^{s-1} \beta_{\lambda^i, \lambda^{i+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{v_i} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ j < \tau(i)k}}^{v_{i+1}} \left(\frac{\hbar}{q} \right)^{\lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1}} \right) \left(\prod_{i=-r}^s \gamma_{\lambda^i} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq v_i} \left(\frac{\hbar}{q} \right)^{\lambda_k^i - \lambda_j^i} \right) \prod_{i=-r}^s \widehat{z}_i^{|\lambda^i|} \quad (24)$$

Comparing this with (23) and recalling the definition of \widehat{z}_i , we see that \mathcal{Z}_λ and $\langle M_\emptyset | \Gamma_-(1) \Lambda_{-r} \Lambda_{-r+1} \dots \Lambda_s | M_\emptyset \rangle$ agree term-wise, up to a multiple of $\frac{\hbar}{q}$. So the following lemma completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 2. Let λ be a partition and let $(\lambda^{-r}, \dots, \lambda^s) \in S_\lambda$. Then

$$\sum_{i=-r}^{s-1} \sum_{j=1}^{v_i} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ j <_{\tau(i)} k}}^{v_{i+1}} \lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^{i+1} + \sum_{i=-r}^s \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq v_i} \lambda_k^i - \lambda_j^i = - \sum_{i=-r}^s \widehat{\sigma}_\lambda(i) |\lambda^i|$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $|\lambda|$. Call the left hand side C_λ . Without loss of generality, suppose that after removing a box with content $i_0 > 0$, we are still left with a partition, which we call μ . This box corresponds to $\lambda_1^{i_0}$ in the summation for C_λ . Then we have

- $\widehat{\sigma}_\lambda(i_0) = 0$
- $\widehat{\sigma}_\lambda(i_0 + 1) = 1$
- $\widehat{\sigma}_\mu(i_0) = 1$
- $\widehat{\sigma}_\mu(i_0 + 1) = 0$
- $i \notin \{i_0, i_0 + 1\} \implies \widehat{\sigma}_\mu(i) = \widehat{\sigma}_\lambda(i) \text{ and } |\mu^i| = |\lambda^i|$

Separating the terms in C_λ that depend on $\lambda_1^{i_0}$ and using the induction hy-

pothesis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
C_\lambda &= C_\mu + \sum_{j=1}^{v_{i_0}-1} (\lambda_1^{i_0} - \lambda_j^{i_0+1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{v_{i_0}} (\lambda_j^{i_0} - \lambda_1^{i_0}) \\
&= C_\mu - |\lambda^{i_0+1}| + |\lambda^{i_0}| - \lambda_1^{i_0} \\
&= - \sum_{i=-r}^s \widehat{\sigma}_\mu(i) (|\lambda^i| - \delta_{i,i_0} \lambda_1^{i_0}) - |\lambda^{i_0+1}| + |\lambda^{i_0}| - \lambda_1^{i_0} \\
&= - \sum_{\substack{i=-r \\ i \notin \{i_0, i_0+1\}}}^s \widehat{\sigma}_\lambda(i) |\lambda^i| - (|\lambda^{i_0}| - \lambda_1^{i_0}) - |\lambda^{i_0+1}| + |\lambda^{i_0}| - \lambda_1^{i_0} \\
&= - \sum_{\substack{i=-r \\ i \notin \{i_0, i_0+1\}}}^s \widehat{\sigma}_\lambda(i) |\lambda^i| - |\lambda^{i_0+1}| \\
&= - \sum_{i=-r}^s \widehat{\sigma}_\lambda(i) |\lambda^i|
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

4 Vertex functions of A_n -quiver varieties and 3d mirror symmetry

In the final section, we describe an application of Theorem 1 to finite and affine type A_n -quiver varieties.

4.1

We consider quivers of type A_n , i.e. with vertex set $I = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, and arrows $i \rightarrow i+1$. Let $\mathbf{v} = (v_0, \dots, v_{n-1})$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, \dots, w_{n-1})$ be the dimension and framing dimension vectors, respectively. This information defines a Nakajima quiver variety $X = \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ as in Section 2.1. The torus

$$\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{C}_\hbar^\times \times \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{C}_\hbar^\times \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{w_0 + \dots + w_{n-1}}$$

acts on X , where \mathbb{A} preserves the symplectic form and \mathbb{C}_\hbar^\times scales it with character \hbar .

A \mathbb{T} -fixed point on X is naturally indexed by the set of $(\mathbf{w}_0 + \dots + \mathbf{w}_{n-1})$ -tuples of n -colored partitions, such that the total number of boxes of color i is v_i . Each partition corresponds to a framing dimension, and we think of these as being based at the vertex corresponding to the framing, see Figure 3 below.

Let $\mathbf{V}_p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}) \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(X)_{loc}[[z]]$ be the restriction of vertex function for X , defined in Section 7 of [Oko15], to a fixed point $p \in X^{\mathbb{T}}$. As explained in Section 2.2, $\mathbf{V}_p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})$ can be computed explicitly.




Figure 3: A fixed point on the A_4 quiver variety determined by dimension $\mathbf{v} = (1, 3, 2, 1)$ and framing dimension $\mathbf{w} = (0, 1, 1, 0)$. The partitions $(2, 2)$ and $(2, 1)$ indexing the fixed point correspond to the framings at vertices 2 and 3, respectively. Observe that the total number of boxes above each vertex is the dimension corresponding to that vertex. This is precisely the coloring condition.

4.2

The real Lie algebra $\text{Lie}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{A})$ is naturally equipped with a set of hyperplanes $\{\alpha^{\perp}\}$, where α runs over the set of \mathbf{A} -characters appearing as \mathbf{A} -weights of the tangent spaces $T_p X$ for $p \in X^{\top}$. The complement of these hyperplanes is a union of connected components

$$\text{Lie}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{A}) \setminus \{\alpha^{\perp}\} = \bigcup \mathfrak{C} \quad (25)$$

which are called *chambers*. A chamber \mathfrak{C} is determined by a choice of cocharacter $f : \mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$.

4.3

Fix a choice of chamber $\mathfrak{C} \subset \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{A})$, with corresponding co-character $f : \mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$. For $p \in X^{\top}$, we define the limit of the vertex function with respect to \mathfrak{C} to be

$$\mathbf{V}_p(0_{\mathfrak{C}}, \mathbf{z}) := \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{V}_p(f(w), \mathbf{z})$$

As remarked earlier, the fixed point p corresponds to a $(\mathbf{w}_0 + \dots + \mathbf{w}_{n-1})$ -tuple of partitions. We write the set of these partitions as Ω_p , and coordinates on the torus \mathbf{A} are thus given by $(a_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Omega_p}$. So we write

$$f(w) = (f_{\lambda}(w))_{\lambda \in \Omega_p} \in \mathbf{A}$$

For each $\lambda \in \Omega_p$, we have the generating function $\mathcal{Z}_{\lambda} = \mathcal{Z}_{\lambda}(\hbar, q, (z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$, as in Section 1.2, with the parameters z_i relabeled since the corner box of λ does not necessarily lie over the vertex 0. Define

$$c_i^{\lambda} := |\{\square \in \lambda \mid c(\square) = i\}| \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\lambda}(i) := c_{i-1}^{\lambda} - c_i^{\lambda}$$

where $c(\square)$, as in Section 1, denotes the content of \square . Also, write

$$\mu \prec_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda \iff \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_{\mu}(w)}{f_{\lambda}(w)} = 0$$

Theorem 3. Let all notation be as above. Then we have

$$\mathbf{V}_p(0_{\mathfrak{C}}, \mathbf{z}) = \prod_{\lambda \in \Omega_p} \mathcal{Z}_{\lambda} \left(\hbar, q, \left(z_i^{\#} \right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \right)$$

where

$$z_i^\# = \left(\frac{\hbar}{q}\right)^{\nu_\lambda(i)} z_i$$

and

$$\nu_\lambda(i) = \sum_{\mu \prec_{\mathfrak{c}} \lambda} \sigma_\mu(i) + \sum_{\lambda \prec_{\mathfrak{c}} \mu} \sigma_\mu(i+1) + \sum_{\substack{\mu \prec_{\mathfrak{c}} \lambda \\ b_\mu = i}} 1$$

and b_μ denotes the color of the corner box of μ .

Proof. This follows from a direct computation using (15) in Section 2.4. \square

4.4

A similar computation shows that the vertex function for affine type A_n varieties likewise factorizes into a product of \mathcal{Z}_λ , with certain shifts of the parameters z_i . The difference in this case is that the presence of an oriented cycle in the quiver means that we must take the coloring of the partitions modulo n and allow for arbitrarily wide partitions, provided the total number of boxes of a given color agrees with the dimension vector \mathbf{v} . Furthermore, the indices on z_i must be taken modulo n .

4.5

3D mirror symmetry is a conjecture which associates to every symplectic variety X , a dual variety X' . In the case where X is a Nakajima quiver variety, 3D mirror symmetry provides the following data

- An isomorphisms of tori

$$\kappa : \mathbf{A}' \times \mathbf{K}' \times \mathbb{C}_{\hbar'}^\times \times \mathbb{C}_q^\times \longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{K} \times \mathbb{C}_\hbar^\times \times \mathbb{C}_q^\times \quad (26)$$

where \mathbf{K}' and $\mathbf{A}' \times \mathbb{C}_{\hbar'}^\times = \mathbf{T}'$ are the Kähler and the equivariant tori of X' .

- A bijection of sets of fixed points

$$\mathbf{b} : X^\mathbf{T} \rightarrow (X')^{\mathbf{T}'}$$

The restriction of κ provides an isomorphism

$$\bar{\kappa} : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}', \quad \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}'$$

The map κ also provides an identification of chambers with effective cones of the $3d$ mirror variety:

$$d\bar{\kappa}(\mathfrak{C}) = C_{\text{eff}}(X'), \quad d\bar{\kappa}(C_{\text{eff}}(X)) = \mathfrak{C}',$$

where $d\bar{\kappa}$ is the induced map of the Lie algebras and $C_{\text{eff}}(Y) \subset H^2(Y, \mathbb{R})$ is a certain cone associated to the choice of stability parameter for any Nakajima variety Y . It is therefore natural to think that the $3d$ mirror symmetry provides a pair (X', \mathfrak{C}') for each pair (X, \mathfrak{C}) .

4.6

Let (X, \mathfrak{C}) be a Nakajima variety of type finite or affine A_n , and let (X', \mathfrak{C}') be the variety and chamber related by $3d$ -mirror symmetry. For $p \in X^\Gamma$ let $\mathfrak{b}(p)$ be the corresponding fixed point on the dual variety X' . Recall that X^Γ is indexed by $w_0 + \dots + w_{n-1}$ -tuples of colored partitions. The chamber $\mathfrak{C}' = d\bar{\kappa}(C_{\text{eff}}(X))$ provides a decomposition

$$T_{\mathfrak{b}(p)}X' = N_{\mathfrak{b}(p)}^+ \oplus N_{\mathfrak{b}(p)}^-.$$

where $N_{\mathfrak{b}(p)}^+, N_{\mathfrak{b}(p)}^-$ are the subspaces whose \mathbf{A}' -characters take positive or negative values on \mathfrak{C}' , respectively. We identify these spaces with their K -theory classes $N_{\mathfrak{b}(p)}^\pm \in K_{\Gamma'}(pt)$. For $N \in K_{\Gamma'}(pt)$ given by a polynomial $N = w_1 + \dots + w_m$ we abbreviate

$$\Xi(b, N) = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - bw_i q^n}{1 - w_i q^n}$$

The following was conjectured in [DS19]:

Conjecture 1. *The vertex functions of X with vanishing equivariant parameters are given by the Taylor series expansions of the following functions*

$$\kappa^* \mathbf{V}_p(0_{\mathfrak{C}}, \mathbf{z}) = \Xi(q/\hbar', (N_{\mathfrak{b}(p)}^-)^*)$$

where κ^* stands for substitution (26) and $(N_{\mathfrak{b}(p)}^-)^*$ is the Γ' -module dual to $N_{\mathfrak{b}(p)}^-$ (i.e. the weights of $(N_{\mathfrak{b}(p)}^-)^*$ are inverses of the weights of $N_{\mathfrak{b}(p)}^-$).

Assuming this Conjecture is true, (9) can be interpreted as giving the \mathbb{K} weights of the \mathbb{K} -module $(N_{b(p)}^-)^*$. In particular,

$$(N_{b(p)}^-)^* = \sum_{\lambda \in \Omega_p} \sum_{\square \in \lambda} z_{\square}|_{\hbar=q}$$

where $z_{\square}|_{\hbar=q}$ indicates the substitution of $\hbar = q$ into z_{\square} . Since

$$N_{b(p)}^+ = (N_{b(p)}^-)^*$$

as \mathbb{K} -modules, this gives

$$T_{b(p)}X' = \sum_{\lambda \in \Omega_p} \sum_{\square \in \lambda} z_{\square}|_{\hbar=q} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Omega_p} \sum_{\square \in \lambda} z_{\square}^{-1}|_{\hbar=q} \in K_{\mathbb{K}}(pt)$$

which proves Theorem 2.

References

- [AO17] Mina Aganagic and Andrei Okounkov. Quasimap counts and Bethe eigenfunctions. *ArXiv e-prints*, April 2017.
- [CKM11] Ionut Ciocan-Fontanine, Bumsig Kim, and Davesh Maulik. Stable quasimaps to GIT quotients. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1106.3724, Jun 2011.
- [DS19] Hunter Dinkins and Andrey Smirnov. Characters of tangent spaces at torus fixed points and 3d-mirror symmetry. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1908.01199, Aug 2019.
- [Gin12] Victor Ginzburg. Lectures on Nakajima’s quiver varieties. In *Geometric methods in representation theory. I*, volume 24 of *Sémin. Congr.*, pages 145–219. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2012.
- [GLO14] Anton Gerasimov, Dimitri Lebedev, and Sergey Oblezin. Baxter Operator Formalism for Macdonald Polynomials. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 104:115, Feb 2014.
- [KPSZ17] Peter Koroteev, Petr P. Pushkar, Andrey Smirnov, and Anton M. Zeitlin. Quantum \mathbb{K} -theory of Quiver Varieties and Many-Body Systems. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1705.10419, May 2017.

- [KZ18] Peter Koroteev and Anton M. Zeitlin. qKZ/tRS Duality via Quantum K-Theoretic Counts. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1802.04463, Feb 2018.
- [Mac79] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials / by I. G. Macdonald*. Clarendon Press ; Oxford University Press Oxford : New York, 1979.
- [Mel17] Anton Mellit. Poincare polynomials of character varieties, Macdonald polynomials and affine Springer fibers. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1710.04513, Oct 2017.
- [MO12] Davesh Maulik and Andrei Okounkov. Quantum Groups and Quantum Cohomology. 2012.
- [Nek04] Nikita Nekrasov. Z Theory. *arXiv e-prints*, pages hep-th/0412021, Dec 2004.
- [Oko15] Andrei Okounkov. Lectures on K-theoretic computations in enumerative geometry. *ArXiv: 1512.07363*, 2015.
- [OS16] Andrei Okounkov and Andrey Smirnov. Quantum difference equation for Nakajima varieties. *ArXiv: 1602.09007*, 2016.
- [PSZ16] Petr P. Pushkar, Andrey Smirnov, and Anton M. Zeitlin. Baxter Q-operator from quantum K-theory. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1612.08723, Dec 2016.
- [RSVZ19a] R. Rimányi, A. Smirnov, A. Varchenko, and Z. Zhou. Three dimensional mirror self-symmetry of the cotangent bundle of the full flag variety. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1906.00134, May 2019.
- [RSVZ19b] Richárd Rimányi, Andrey Smirnov, Alexand Varchenko, and Zijun Zhou. 3d Mirror Symmetry and Elliptic Stable Envelopes. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1902.03677, Feb 2019.