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#### Abstract

We present a classification and an explicit form of all constant solutions of the Yang-Mills equations with $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ gauge symmetry for an arbitrary constant nonAbelian current in pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{p, q}$ of arbitrary finite dimension $n=p+q$. Using hyperbolic singular value decomposition and two-sheeted covering of orthogonal group by spin group, we solve the nontrivial system for constant solutions of the Yang-Mills equations of $3 n$ cubic equations with $3 n$ unknowns and $3 n$ parameters in the general case. We present a new symmetry of this system of equations. All solutions in terms of the potential, strength, and invariant of the Yang-Mills field are presented. Nonconstant solutions of the Yang-Mills equations can be considered in the form of series of perturbation theory using all constant solutions as a zeroth approximation.
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## 1. Introduction.

The law of elementary particles physics is given by quantum gauge theories [7]. We need exact solutions of classical Yang-Mills equations to describe the vacuum structure of the theory and to fully understand a quantum gauge theory. The well-known classes of solutions of the Yang-Mills equations are described in detail in classical papers [32, 12, 20, 4, 30, 3, 2] and various reviews [1, 33], etc. In this paper, we present all constant solutions of the Yang-Mills equations with $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ gauge symmetry for an arbitrary constant non-Abelian current in pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{p, q}$ of arbitrary finite dimension $n=p+q$. We present a new symmetry of this system of equations (see Lemma 5), which can have important physical consequences (in the continuous case too). The case of arbitrary Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, which is much simpler, was considered in the previous paper [23]. The Yang-Mills equations with $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ gauge symmetry describe electroweak interactions. The results of this paper are new and can be used to solve some problems in particle physics, in particular, to describe physical vacuum [11, 13]. Note the papers on constant solutions of the Yang-Mills equations [18, 31, 6, 28, 21, 22]. In these papers, the instability of these solutions is discussed, as well as their importance for describing physical vacuum $\ddagger$.
In this paper, we use the method of hyperbolic singular value decomposition (HSVD) to solve a nontrivial system of a special form (for constant solutions of the $\ddagger$ See [6]: "... Such fluctuations will be unstable, and could give rise to a disordered vacuum. Hence, if we look at the physical ground state on a large space and time scale, the ground state may appear as an ocean of turbulence..." and [31: "... Motivated by the perturbative calculation of the effective Lagrangian, speculations have been made that the vacuum is characterized by a nonzero expectation value of the operator $F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}$. If the vacuum is assumed to be characterized by a constant magnetic field or by some modification of this configuration, interesting physical results can be obtained, including a bound on the MIT bag constant..."

Yang-Mills equations) of $3 n$ cubic equations with $3 n$ unknowns and $3 n$ parameters in the general case. The method of ordinary singular value decomposition (SVD, [8, 9]) is rather standard and is widely used in different applications (see applications in the YangMills context in [29]). Whereas the method of hyperbolic singular value decomposition (HSVD) is not standard. It was proposed in 1991 [19, 5] and is used in signal and image processing, engineering, and computer science. The new version of the HSVD without the use of hyperexchange matrices is presented in our previous paper [24]. This new version of HSVD naturally incudes the ordinary SVD. We use the HSVD in this paper for a physical problem.

Note that the cases where either $p$ or $q$ is equal to 1 are most important since they corresponds to the $n$-dimensional Minkowski space. However, we consider the case of arbitrary $p$ and $q$ for the sake of completeness. All possible constant solutions of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ Yang-Mills equations are presented in Tables 2, 3, and 4 depending on $p$ and $q$ and other parameters (hyperbolic singular values) of the matrix of current. Note that we need another technique to solve the same problem in the case of the Lie group $\mathrm{SU}(3)$, which is important for describing strong interactions. Our method, which works for the case of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, essentially uses the two-sheeted covering of the orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ by the spin group $\operatorname{Spin}(3) \simeq \mathrm{SU}(2)$. We synchronize gauge transformation and pseudo-orthogonal transformation of coordinates such that the matrix of potential of the Yang-Mills field has the canonical form with respect to the HSVD. We prove that the matrix of non-Abelian current should have some modified canonical form. Choosing a specific system of coordinates and a specific gauge fixing for each constant current, we obtain all constant solutions of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ Yang-Mills equations. The methods of this paper can be modified and used to solve the same problems on curved manifolds.

The results of the this paper are consistent with the results [21, 22] for the zero current and an arbitrary compact Lie algebra. In particular, in [22] it is proved that in the case of the zero current $J=0$, the strength of the Yang-Mills field is zero $F=0$ for all constant potentials $A$ satisfying the Yang-Mills equations in Euclidean and Lorentzian cases. We verified this fact for all constant solutions of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ YangMills equations presented in this paper. Also we give an example with the explicit form of solutions with nonzero strength $F \neq 0$ and the zero current $J=0$ in all other cases $p \geq 2$ and $q \geq 2$ (see Table 3: the solutions (89) and (90) for $p \geq 2$ and $q \geq 2$; the solutions (91) and (92) for $p \geq 3$ and $q \geq 3$ ). The advantage of the current work is that an arbitrary (nonzero) current is considered.

## 2. The main ideas.

Let us consider pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{p, q}$ of arbitrary finite dimension $n=p+q$, $p, q \geq 1, n \geq 2$. We denote Cartesian coordinates by $x^{\mu}, \mu=1, \ldots, n$ and partial derivatives by $\partial_{\mu}=\partial / \partial x^{\mu}$. The metric tensor of $\mathbb{R}^{p, q}$ is given by the diagonal matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{p}, \underbrace{-1, \ldots,-1}_{q})=\left(\eta_{\mu \nu}\right)=\left(\eta^{\mu \nu}\right), \quad p+q=n . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can raise or lower indices of components of tensor fields with the aid of the metric tensor. For example, $A_{\nu}=\eta_{\nu \mu} A^{\mu}$.

Let us consider the Lie group $\mathrm{SU}(2)=\left\{S \in \operatorname{Mat}(2, \mathbb{C}): S^{\dagger} S=I_{2}\right.$, $\left.\operatorname{det} S=1\right\}$ and the corresponding Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s u}(2)=\left\{S \in \operatorname{Mat}(2, \mathbb{C}): S^{\dagger}=-S, \operatorname{tr} S=0\right\}$.

Consider the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ Yang-Mills equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}-\left[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}\right]=: F_{\mu \nu},  \tag{2}\\
& \partial_{\mu} F^{\mu \nu}-\left[A_{\mu}, F^{\mu \nu}\right]=J^{\nu}, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A^{\mu}: \mathbb{R}^{p, q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s u}(2)$ is the potential of the Yang-Mills field, $J^{\nu}: \mathbb{R}^{p, q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s u}(2)$ is the non-Abelian current. The tensor field $F_{\mu \nu}=-F_{\nu \mu}: \mathbb{R}^{p, q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s u}(2)$ with the definition (2) is the strength of the Yang-Mills field.

Note that the Yang-Mills equations (3) can be obtained in the conventional way on the basis of the variational principle. Consider the action $S=\int L d x$ for the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=-\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}\left(F^{2}\right), \quad F^{2}=F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{\mu \nu}$ are the components of the curvature 2 -form with respect to the connection $A_{\mu}$, i.e., they are coupled by definition by (2). By varying the action, we obtain (3) with a zero current $J^{\nu}=0$. The current $J^{\nu} \neq 0$ in (3) appears when terms related to other (scalar or spinor) fields are added to Lagrangian (4).

We can substitute (2) into (3) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu}\left(\partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}-\partial^{\nu} A^{\mu}-\left[A^{\mu}, A^{\nu}\right]\right)-\left[A_{\mu}, \partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}-\partial^{\nu} A^{\mu}-\left[A^{\mu}, A^{\nu}\right]\right]=J^{\nu} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The current $J^{\nu}$ satisfies the following non-Abelian conservation law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\nu} J^{\nu}-\left[A_{\nu}, J^{\nu}\right]=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system (2) - (3) is gauge invariant with respect to the following transformation

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{\mu} \rightarrow S^{-1} A^{\mu} S-S^{-1} \partial_{\mu} S, \quad F_{\mu \nu} \rightarrow S^{-1} F_{\mu \nu} S,  \tag{7}\\
& J^{\mu} \rightarrow S^{-1} J^{\mu} S, \quad S: \mathbb{R}^{p, q} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)
\end{align*}
$$

We get the following system of algebraic equations for the constant solutions of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ Yang-Mills equation from (5):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[A_{\mu},\left[A^{\mu}, A^{\nu}\right]\right]=J^{\nu}, \quad \nu=1, \ldots, n \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{\nu}$ and $J^{\nu}$ are components of tensor fields with values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s u}(2)$. One suggests that $A^{\mu}$ are unknown and $J^{\nu}$ is known.

We take the basis $\tau^{a}=\frac{1}{2 i} \sigma^{a}, a=1,2,3$ of $\mathfrak{s u}(2)$, where $\sigma^{a}$ are the Pauli matrices with $\left[\tau^{a}, \tau^{b}\right]=\epsilon^{a b}{ }_{c} \tau^{c}$, where $\epsilon^{a b}{ }_{c}$ is the antisymmetric Levi-Civita symbol, and represent the potential and the current in the form

$$
A^{\mu}=A_{a}^{\mu} \tau^{a}, \quad J^{\mu}=J_{a}^{\mu} \tau^{a}, \quad A_{a}^{\mu}, J_{a}^{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

We obtain the following system of $3 n$ equations $(k=1,2,3, \nu=1,2, \ldots, n)$ for $3 n$ unknowns $A^{\nu}{ }_{k}$ and $3 n$ parameters $J^{\nu}{ }_{k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mu c} A_{a}^{\mu} A_{b}^{\nu} \epsilon^{a b}{ }_{d} \epsilon^{c d}{ }_{k}=J_{k}^{\nu}, \quad \nu=1, \ldots, n, \quad k=1,2,3 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we present a general solution of the nontrivial system of cubic equations (19) in the general case. We can consider (9) as the system of equations for the components of two real matrices $A_{n \times 3}=\left(A^{\nu}{ }_{k}\right)$ and $J_{n \times 3}=\left(J_{k}^{\nu}\right)$. The case of arbitrary Euclidean space has been considered in [23]. Now we are interested in the case of arbitrary pseudoEuclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{p, q}, p \geq 1, q \geq 1$.

Covariantly constant solutions of the Yang-Mills equations are discussed in [14, 16, 25], constant solutions of the Yang-Mills-Proca equations are discussed in [27] and in [15, 26] using Clifford algebra formalism.

Let us formulate the HSVD from [24] for the case of real matrices $A \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times N}(\mathbb{R})$. Denote any zero block of the matrix by O.

Theorem 1 (24] Assume (1), $p+q=n$. For an arbitrary matrix $A \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times N}(\mathbb{R})$, there exist matrices $R \in \mathrm{O}(N)$ and $L \in \mathrm{O}(p, q)$ such that

$$
L^{\mathrm{T}} A R=\Sigma^{A}, \quad \Sigma^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
X_{x} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}  \tag{10}\\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & I_{d} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & Y_{y} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & I_{d} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right)\left\{p \in q \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times N}(\mathbb{R}),\right.
$$

where the first block of the matrix $\Sigma^{A}$ has $p$ rows and the second block has $q$ rows, $X_{x}$ and $Y_{y}$ are diagonal matrices of corresponding dimensions $x$ and $y$ with all positive uniquely determined diagonal elements (up to a permutation), $I_{d}$ is the identity matrix of dimension d.

Moreover, choosing $R$, one can swap columns of the matrix $\Sigma^{A}$. Choosing L, one can swap rows in individual blocks but not across blocks. Thus we can always arrange diagonal elements of the matrices $X_{x}$ and $Y_{y}$ in decreasing order.

Here we have

$$
d=\operatorname{rank}(A)-\operatorname{rank}\left(A^{\mathrm{T}} \eta A\right), \quad x+y=\operatorname{rank}\left(A^{\mathrm{T}} \eta A\right)
$$

$x$ is the number of positive eigenvalues of the matrix $A^{\mathrm{T}} \eta A, y$ is the number of negative eigenvalues of the matrix $A^{\mathrm{T}} \eta A$.

Let us call $\Sigma^{A}$ (10), where all diagonal elements of the matrices $X_{x}$ and $Y_{y}$ are positive and in decreasing order, the canonical form of the matrix $A \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times N}(\mathbb{R})$. The canonical form is uniquely determined for any matrix $A \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times N}(\mathbb{R})$, the corresponding matrices $L$ and $R$ are not uniquely determined. One can find the algorithm for computing the HSVD in [24]. For arbitrary matrix $A \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times N}(\mathbb{R})$, we can always find the matrices $L, R$, and $\Sigma^{A}$ from the formulation of Theorem 1 .

Lemma 1 The system of equations (9) is invariant under the transformation

$$
A \rightarrow \dot{A}=A P, \quad J \rightarrow \dot{J}=J P, \quad P \in \mathrm{SO}(3)
$$

and under the transformation

$$
A \rightarrow \hat{A}=Q A, \quad J \rightarrow \dot{J}=Q J, \quad Q \in \mathrm{O}(p, q)
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 1 in [23]. The system (8) is invariant under the transformation

$$
\dot{A}_{\mu}=S^{-1} A_{\mu} S, \quad \dot{J}^{\nu}=S^{-1} J^{\nu} S, \quad S \in \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{SU}(2),
$$

because of the gauge invariance (7) and the fact that an element $S \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$ does not depend on $x \in \mathbb{R}^{p, q}$ now.

Let us use the theorem on two-sheeted covering of the orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ by the spin group $\operatorname{Spin}(3) \simeq \operatorname{SU}(2)$. For an arbitrary matrix $P=\left(p_{b}^{a}\right) \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$, there exist two matrices $\pm S \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$ such that

$$
S^{-1} \tau^{a} S=p_{b}^{a} \tau^{b}
$$

We conclude that the system (9) is invariant under the transformation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{\mu}=S^{-1} A_{a}^{\mu} \tau^{a} S=A_{a}^{\mu} S^{-1} \tau^{a} S=A_{a}^{\mu} p_{b}^{a} \tau^{b}=A^{\mu}{ }_{b} \tau^{b}, \quad A^{\mu}{ }_{b}=A_{a}^{\mu} p_{b}^{a}, \\
& \dot{J}^{\mu}=S^{-1} J_{a}^{\mu} \tau^{a} S=J_{a}^{\mu} S^{-1} \tau^{a} S=J_{a}^{\mu} p_{b}^{a} \tau^{b}=\bar{J}_{b}^{\mu} \tau^{b}, \quad \dot{J}_{b}^{\mu}=J_{a}^{\mu} p_{b}^{a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Yang-Mills equations are invariant under the pseudo-orthogonal transformations of coordinates. Namely, let us consider the transformation $x^{\mu} \rightarrow \hat{x^{\mu}}=q_{\nu}^{\mu} x^{\nu}$, where $Q=\left(q_{\nu}^{\mu}\right) \in \mathrm{O}(p, q)$. The system (9) is invariant under the transformation

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{A^{\nu}}=q_{\mu}^{\nu} A^{\mu}=q_{\mu}^{\nu} A_{a}^{\mu} \tau^{a}=\hat{A_{a}^{\nu}} \tau^{a}, & \hat{A^{\nu}}{ }_{a}=q_{\mu}^{\nu} A_{a}^{\mu}, \\
\hat{J}^{\nu}=q_{\mu}^{\nu} J^{\mu}=q_{\mu}^{\nu} J_{a}^{\mu} \tau^{a}=\hat{J_{a}^{\nu}} \tau^{a}, & \hat{J^{\nu}}=q_{\mu}^{\nu} J_{a}^{\mu} .
\end{array}
$$

The lemma is proved.
Combining gauge and pseudo-orthogonal transformations, we conclude that the system (9) is invariant under the transformation

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{b}^{\nu} \rightarrow \hat{\hat{A}^{\nu}}{ }_{b}=q_{\mu}^{\nu} A_{a}^{\mu} p_{b}^{a}, \quad A_{n \times 3} \rightarrow \hat{\hat{A}}_{n \times 3}=Q_{n \times n} A_{n \times 3} P_{3 \times 3},  \tag{11}\\
& J_{b}^{\nu} \rightarrow \hat{J^{\nu}}{ }_{b}=q_{\mu}^{\nu} J_{a}^{\mu} p_{b}^{a}, \quad J_{n \times 3} \rightarrow \hat{J}_{n \times 3}=Q_{n \times n} J_{n \times 3} P_{3 \times 3}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $P \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ and $Q \in \mathrm{O}(p, q)$.
Theorem 2 Assume that $A=\left(A_{k}^{\nu}\right), J=\left(J_{k}^{\nu}\right)$ satisfy the system of $3 n$ cubic equations (9). Then:

1) There exist matrices $P \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ and $Q \in \mathrm{O}(p, q)$ such that the matrix $Q A P$ is in the canonical form (with parameters $x_{A}, y_{A}, d_{A}$ §

$$
\left.\Sigma^{A}=\hat{A}_{n \times 3}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
X_{x_{A}} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & I_{d_{A}} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & Y_{y_{A}} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & I_{d_{A}} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right)\right\} p .
$$

$\S$ Note that this matrix and subsequent matrices have 3 columns. This means that some blocks of matrices have size zero.

For all such matrices $P$ and $Q$, the matrix $Q J P$ has the following form

$$
\Phi^{J}:=\hat{J}_{n \times 3}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
Z_{x_{A}} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}  \tag{12}\\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \alpha I_{d_{A}} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & W_{y_{A}} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \alpha I_{d_{A}} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right)\{p
$$

where elements of the diagonal matrices $Z$ and $W$ are real numbers (can be zero), $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ (can be zero).
2) For the parameters of the matrices $A$ and $J$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{J} \leq x_{A}, \\
& y_{J} \leq y_{A}, \\
& d_{J}=d_{A}>0 \quad \text { or } \quad d_{J}=0, d_{A} \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

3) There exist matrices $P \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ and $Q \in \mathrm{O}(p, q)$ such that the matrix $Q J P$ is in the canonical form (with parameters $x_{J}, y_{J}, d_{J}$ )

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
X_{x_{J}} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & I_{d_{J}} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & Y_{y_{J}} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & I_{d_{J}} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right)\{p
$$

and $Q A P$ has the following form

$$
\left.\Psi^{A}:=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
K_{x_{J}} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}  \tag{13}\\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \beta I_{d_{J}} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & L_{x_{A}-x_{J}} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & I_{d_{A}-d_{J}} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & M_{y_{J}} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \beta I_{d_{J}} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & N_{y_{A}-y_{J}} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & I_{d_{A}-d_{J}} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\right\} p
$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$; elements of the diagonal matrices $K, L, M, N$ are arbitrary nonzero real numbers.

Proof. 1) Assume that the system (9) has some solution $A_{a}^{\mu}, J_{a}^{\mu}$. Let us synchronize gauge transformation and pseudo-orthogonal transformation such that $A=\left(A_{a}^{\mu}\right)$ is in the canonical form (10). Namely, we take $P \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ and $Q \in \mathrm{O}(p, q)$ such that $Q A P=\Sigma^{A}$. Note that we can always find the matrix $P \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ from the special
orthogonal group in the HSVD. If it has the determinant -1 , then we can change the sign of all elements of the matrices $P$ and $Q$.

Note that the matrix $\Sigma^{A}$ has at most one nonzero entry in each row. We must take $a=c$ in (9) and $b=k \neq a=c$ for Levi-Civita symbol to obtain nonzero summands. The product of two Levi-Civita symbols in (9) equals -1 . We obtain the following expression

$$
-\hat{A}_{k}^{\nu} \sum_{a=1 ; \neq k}^{3} \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} \eta^{\mu \mu}\left(\hat{A}_{a}^{\mu}\right)^{2}
$$

Note that for each fixed $k$, we have the sum of $\pm$ squares of all elements of the matrix $\hat{\hat{A}}$ but not in the $k$-th column. Summands from the identity blocks $I_{d}$ are reduced because of different signs. Thus we have no more than two summands in this sum for each pair $\nu$ and $k$.

We see that if $\hat{\hat{A}}_{k}^{\nu}=0$, then $\hat{\hat{J}}_{k}^{\nu}=0$. If we have two elements $\hat{\hat{A}}_{k}^{\nu_{i}}=1$ for some $i=1,2$, then the corresponding elements ${ }^{\prime} \hat{\hat{J}}_{k}, i=1,2$ must be the same (not necessarily equal to 1). That is why the identical diagonal blocks $\alpha I_{d}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ are allowed for the matrix $Q J P$ instead of the blocks $I_{d}$. Finally, we obtain the special form (12) of the matrix $Q J P$ and the system of no more than three different equations

$$
-\hat{\hat{A}}_{k}^{\nu} \sum_{a=1 ; \neq k}^{3} \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} \eta^{\mu \mu}\left(\hat{\hat{A}}_{a}^{\mu}\right)^{2}=\hat{\hat{J}}_{k}^{\nu}
$$

2)     - 3) We can change the matrices $Q \in \mathrm{O}(p, q)$ and $P \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ such that the new matrix $Q J P$ will be in the canonical form because:
(i) we can change the order of columns of the matrix $Q J P$ by multiplying $P$ by the $\pm$ permutation matrix (see Theorem 1 and [24] for details), which belongs to the group $\mathrm{SO}(3)$;
(ii) we can change the order of rows in each of the two blocks of the matrix $Q J P$ by multiplying $Q$ by the matrix of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
S_{1} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & S_{2}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{O}(p, q)
$$

where $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are permutation matrices of the dimensions $p$ and $q$ respectively (see Theorem 1 and [24]);
(iii) we can change signs of some elements of the matrices $Z$ and $W$ by multiplying the matrix $Q \in \mathrm{O}(p, q)$ by the diagonal matrix with $\pm 1$ on the diagonal, which is also belongs to the group $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$;
(iv) the blocks $\alpha I_{d}$ (in the cases $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 1$ ) can be reduced to the blocks $I_{d}$ by
multiplying the matrix $Q \in \mathrm{O}(p, q)$ by the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
I_{x} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \frac{\alpha+\frac{1}{\alpha}}{2} I_{d} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \frac{1}{\alpha}-\alpha \\
\mathrm{O} & I_{d} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & I_{p-x-d} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & I_{y} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \frac{1}{\alpha}-\alpha \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & I_{d} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \frac{\alpha+\frac{1}{\alpha}}{2} I_{d} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & I_{q-y-d}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{O}(p, q)
$$

Using the same transformations (i) - (iv) for the matrix $A$, we obtain the specific form (13) of the matrix $Q A P$. Namely, the order of columns will change respectively, the order of rows in each of two blocks of the matrix $Q A P$ will change respectively, some elements of the matrices $X$ and $Y$ will become negative. In the cases $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 1$, the blocks $I_{d_{A}}$ will be multiplied by $\beta:=\frac{1}{\alpha}$.

Remark 1. Suppose we have the known matrix $J_{n \times 3}=\left(J_{k}^{\nu}\right)$ and want to obtain all solutions $A_{n \times 3}=\left(A_{k}^{\nu}\right)$ of the system (9). Let us denote the canonical form of the known matrix $J$ for some $Q \in \mathrm{O}(p, q), P \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ by

$$
Q J P=\Sigma^{J}
$$

We use the statements 2) and 3) of Theorem 2 and Lemma 1. The system (9) takes the following form under the transformation (11) of no more than 3 independent equations for (each column) $k=1,2,3$ and the unique corresponding $\nu=\nu(k)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-A_{k}^{\nu} \sum_{a=1 ; \neq k}^{3} \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} \eta^{\mu \mu}\left(A_{a}^{\mu}\right)^{2}=J_{k}^{\nu} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Below we present a general solution of the system (14) in different cases.
Finally, we obtain all solutions $\Psi^{A}$ of the system (9) but in the other system of coordinates depending on $Q \in \mathrm{O}(p, q)$ and with gauge fixing depending on $P \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$. The matrix

$$
A=Q^{-1} \Psi^{A} P^{-1}
$$

will be solution of the system (9) in the original system of coordinates and with the original gauge fixing.

Remark 2. Note that $Q^{-1} Q_{1}^{-1} \Psi^{A} P_{1}^{-1} P^{-1}$ for all $Q_{1} \in \mathrm{O}(p, q)$ and $P_{1} \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ such that $Q_{1} \Sigma^{J} P_{1}=\Sigma^{J}$ will be also solutions of the system (9) in the original system of coordinates and with the original gauge fixing because of Lemma 1 ,

Let us give one example. If $J=0$, then all hyperbolic singular values of this matrix equal zero and we can take identity matrices $Q=I_{n}, P=I_{3}$ for its HSVD. We solve the system (14) for $J=0$ and obtain all solutions $\Psi^{A}$ of this system. We have $Q_{1} \Sigma^{J} P_{1}=\Sigma^{J}$ for $\Sigma^{J}=0$ and any $Q_{1} \in \mathrm{O}(p, q), P_{1} \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$. Therefore, the matrices $Q_{1} \Psi^{A} P_{1}$ for all $Q_{1} \in \mathrm{O}(p, q)$ and $P_{1} \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ are solutions of the system (9) because of Lemma 1 in this case.

Remark 3. In the case of constant potential of the Yang-Mills field, we have the following expression for the strength

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\mu \nu}=-\left[A^{\mu}, A^{\nu}\right]=-\left[A_{a}^{\mu} \tau^{a}, A_{b}^{\nu} \tau^{b}\right]=-A_{a}^{\mu} A_{b}^{\nu} \epsilon^{a b}{ }_{c}^{c} \tau^{c}=F_{c}^{\mu \nu} \tau^{c} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each solution $A$, we present all nonzero components of the strength (we write expressions for only one of the two components $F^{\mu \nu}=-F^{\nu \mu}$ ). Also we calculate the invariant $F^{2}=F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}$, which is important from a physical point of view and is present in the Lagrangian (4) of the Yang-Mills field. We have

$$
F^{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu<\nu} \eta^{\mu \mu} \eta^{\nu \nu}\left(F_{a}^{\mu \nu}\right)^{2} I_{2}
$$

From the equations (14), it follows that we have nonzero strength $F \neq 0$ only for the solutions $A$ with $d_{A}+x_{A}+y_{A} \geq 2$, and we have nonzero invariant $F^{2} \neq 0$ only for the solutions $A$ with $x_{A}+y_{A} \geq 2$.

Let us consider the cases $n=2$ and $n \geq 3$ separately below.

## 3. The results for the case $n=2: \mathbb{R}^{1,1}$.

Let us consider the case of pseudo-Euclidean space of dimension $n=2(p=q=1)$. Note that two dimensional Yang-Mills theory is discussed in many papers ([10] and others). This case is much simpler than the case $n \geq 3$. We discuss the case $n=2$ in details for the sake of completeness.

The parameters $x_{J}, y_{J}, d_{J}$ of the matrix $J$ can take the values 0,1 such that $x_{J}+y_{J}+2 d_{J} \leq 2$.

## 3.1. $T h e$ case $d_{J}=0$.

Let us consider the nondegenerate case $d_{J}=0$. We will see below that the problem actually is reduced to solving the following system

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}\left(b_{2}\right)^{2}=j_{1}, \quad b_{2}\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}=j_{2}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{1}= \pm a_{1}, b_{2}= \pm a_{2}$ for some potentials $a_{1}, a_{2}$. The general solution of the system (16) is discussed in [23].

Lemma 2 [23] The system of equations (16) has the following general solution:
(i) in the case $j_{1}=j_{2}=0$, has the solutions $\left(b_{1}, 0\right),\left(0, b_{2}\right)$ for all $b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$;
(ii) in the cases $j_{1}=0, j_{2} \neq 0 ; j_{1} \neq 0, j_{2}=0$, has no solutions;
(iii) in the case $j_{1} \neq 0, j_{2} \neq 0$, has the unique solution

$$
b_{1}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{2}^{2}}{j_{1}}}, \quad b_{2}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}^{2}}{j_{2}}}
$$

3.1.1. The case $d_{J}=0, \operatorname{rank}(J)=2$. If $d_{J}=0, x_{J}=y_{J}=1$, then we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & j_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad j_{1}, j_{2} \neq 0
$$

Then $x_{A}=y_{A}=1, d_{A}=0$ and we are looking for a solution in the form

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}, a_{2} \neq 0
$$

We obtain the system

$$
a_{1}\left(a_{2}\right)^{2}=j_{1}, \quad-a_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}=j_{2} .
$$

Using Lemma 2, we obtain the solution

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0  \tag{17}\\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{2}^{2}}{j_{1}}}, \quad a_{2}=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}^{2}}{j_{2}}}
$$

We get the following nonzero components of the strength

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{12}=-F^{21}=\sqrt[3]{j_{1} j_{2}} \tau^{3} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

using specific coordinates and gauge fixing, where $j_{1}$ and $j_{2}$ are hyperbolic singular values of the matrix $J=\left(J_{k}^{\nu}\right)$. We obtain the following expression for the invariant

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{2}=F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\left(j_{1} j_{2}\right)^{2}} I_{2} \neq 0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.1.2. The case $d_{J}=0, \operatorname{rank}(J)=1$. If $d_{J}=0, x_{J}=1, y_{J}=0$, then we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad j_{1} \neq 0
$$

We have $d_{A}=0, x_{A}=1, y_{A}=0$ or $d_{A}=0, x_{A}=1, y_{A}=1$, i.e.

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}, a_{2} \neq 0
$$

We obtain $-a_{1} 0=j_{1}$ or $-a_{1}\left(a_{2}\right)^{2}=j_{1}, a_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}=0$, and a contradiction. There is no solution in this case.

If $d_{J}=0, x_{J}=0, y_{J}=1$, then we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline j_{1} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad j_{1} \neq 0
$$

We have $d_{A}=0, x_{A}=0, y_{A}=1$ or $d_{A}=0, x_{A}=1, y_{A}=1$, i.e.

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline a_{1} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
\hline a_{1} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}, a_{2} \neq 0
$$

We obtain $a_{1} 0=j_{1}$ or $a_{1}\left(a_{2}\right)^{2}=j_{1},-a_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}=0$, and a contradiction. There is no solution in this case too.
3.1.3. The case $d_{J}=0, \operatorname{rank}(J)=0$. If $d_{J}=0, x_{J}=y_{J}=0$, then

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We have 1) $d_{A}=x_{A}=y_{A}=0$, or 2) $d_{A}=0, x_{A}=1, y_{A}=0$, or 3) $d_{A}=0, x_{A}=0$, $y_{A}=1$, or 4) $d_{A}=0, x_{A}=y_{A}=1$, or 5) $d_{A}=1, x_{A}=y_{A}=0$. In the first case, we have zero solution $A=0$. In the second case, we have the solutions

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0  \tag{20}\\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}
$$

In the third case, we have the solutions

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0  \tag{21}\\
\hline a_{1} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

In the fourth case, we are looking for a solution in the form

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}, a_{2} \neq 0
$$

get the system $a_{1}\left(a_{2}\right)^{2}=0,-a_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}=0$, and a contradiction. There is no solution in this case. In the fifth case, we obtain the solution

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{22}\\
\hline 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For all these potentials $\Psi^{A}$, we have zero strength $F=0$. This also follows from the results of [21]: in Euclidean and Lorentzian cases, $F=0$ for $J=0$. This is not so in the case of other signatures, see the example below (see Table 3: the solutions (89) and (90) for $p \geq 2$ and $q \geq 2$; the solutions (91) and (921) for $p \geq 3$ and $q \geq 3$ ).
3.2. The case $d_{J}=1$.

If $d_{J}=1, x_{J}=y_{J}=0$, then we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then we have $d_{A}=1, x_{A}=y_{A}=0$, and we are looking for a solution in the form

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \beta & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \beta \neq 0
$$

and obtain equation $\beta 0=1$, i.e. there is no solution.

### 3.3. Summary for the case $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$.

The results are summarized in Table 1. In the case $n=2, p=q=1$, the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ Yang-Mills equations have nonzero strength $F \neq 0$ only in the case

$$
d_{J}=0, \quad x_{J}=y_{J}=1,
$$

i.e. $\operatorname{rank}(J)=\operatorname{rank}\left(J^{\mathrm{T}} \eta J\right)=2$, and the number of positive eigenvalues and the number of negative eigenvalues of the matrix $J^{\mathrm{T}} \eta J$ is the same and equals 1.

Table 1. Constant solutions of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ Yang-Mills equations in the case $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$.

| $d_{J}$ | $x_{J}$ | $y_{J}$ | $d_{A}$ | $x_{A}$ | $y_{A}$ | $A$ | $F$ | $F^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $1:$ see (17) | $1:$ see (18) | $1:$ see (19) |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $A=0$ | $F=0$ | $F^{2}=0$ |
|  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\infty:$ see (20) | $F=0$ | $F^{2}=0$ |
|  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\infty:$ see (21) | $F=0$ | $F^{2}=0$ |
|  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | $1:$ see (22) | $F=0$ | $F^{2}=0$ |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |

## 4. The results for the case $\mathbb{R}^{p, q}, p+q=n \geq 3, p \geq 1, q \geq 1$.

Let us consider the case $n=p+q \geq 3, p \geq 1, q \geq 1$ for the known $J_{n \times 3}=\left(J_{k}^{\nu}\right)$ and the unknown $A_{n \times 3}=\left(A_{k}^{\nu}\right)$. Denote the elements of the diagonal blocks $X_{x_{J}}$ and $Y_{y_{J}}$ of the matrix $\Sigma^{J}$ by $j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}$ and the elements of the diagonal blocks $K, L, M, N$ of the matrix $\Psi^{A}$ (see Theorem (2) by $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$.
4.1. The case $d_{J}=0$.

In the case $d_{J}=0$, the problem actually is reduced to solving the following two systems of three cubic equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{1}\left(\left(b_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{1}, \\
& b_{2}\left(\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{2},  \tag{23}\\
& b_{3}\left(\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{2}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{1}\left(\left(b_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(b_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{1}, \\
& b_{2}\left(\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(b_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{2},  \tag{24}\\
& b_{3}\left(\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{2}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

The systems (23), (24) have the following symmetry. Suppose that $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$ is a solution of (23) or (24) for the known $j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}$. If we change the sign of some $j_{k}$, $k=1,2,3$, then we must change the sign of the corresponding $b_{k}, k=1,2,3$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that all expressions $j_{k}, k=1,2,3$, in (23) and (24) are nonnegative. In (23), the expressions $b_{k}, k=1,2,3$ will be nonnegative too. In (24), the expression $b_{3}$ will be nonnegative, the expressions $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ will be arbitrary real numbers.

The general solution of the system (23) and its symmetries are discussed in [23], where we obtain the same system in the case of arbitrary Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We remind these statements (Lemmas 3 and (4) here without proof for the convenience of the reader. We present the general solution of the system (24) and its symmetries in Lemmas 5 and 6.

Lemma 3 [23] If the system (23) has the solution $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$ with $b_{1} \neq 0, b_{2} \neq 0, b_{3} \neq 0$, then this system has also the solution $\left(\frac{K}{b_{1}}, \frac{K}{b_{2}}, \frac{K}{b_{3}}\right)$, where $K=\left(b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$.

Thus the expression

$$
K=b_{1} b_{1}^{\prime}=b_{2} b_{2}^{\prime}=b_{3} b_{3}^{\prime}=\left(b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}=\left(b_{1}^{\prime} b_{2}^{\prime} b_{3}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}
$$

is a conserved quantity for a pair of solutions $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$ and $\left(b_{1}^{\prime}, b_{2}^{\prime}, b_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ of the system (23), where all numbers $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{1}^{\prime}, b_{2}^{\prime}, b_{3}^{\prime}$ are nonzero.

Lemma 4 [23] The system of equations (23) with nonnegative parameters $j_{1} \geq 0$, $j_{2} \geq 0, j_{3} \geq 0$ has the following general solution:
(i) in the case $j_{1}=j_{2}=j_{3}=0$, has the solutions $\left(b_{1}, 0,0\right)$, $\left(0, b_{2}, 0\right)$, and $\left(0,0, b_{3}\right)$ for all $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3} \in \mathbb{R}$;
(ii) in the cases $j_{1}=j_{2}=0, j_{3} \neq 0$ (or similar cases with circular permutation), has no solutions;
(iii) in the case $j_{1} \neq 0, j_{2} \neq 0, j_{3}=0$ (or similar cases with circular permutation), has the following unique solution

$$
b_{1}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{2}^{2}}{j_{1}}}, \quad b_{2}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}^{2}}{j_{2}}}, \quad b_{3}=0
$$

(iv) in the case $j_{1}=j_{2}=j_{3} \neq 0$, has the following unique solution

$$
b_{1}=b_{2}=b_{3}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}}{2}}
$$

(v) in the case of not all the same $j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}>0$ (and we take positive for simplicity), has the following two solutions

$$
\left(b_{1+}, b_{2+}, b_{3+}\right), \quad\left(b_{1-}, b_{2-}, b_{3-}\right)
$$

with the following expression for $K$ from Lemma 3

$$
K:=b_{1+} b_{1-}=b_{2+} b_{2-}=b_{3+} b_{3-}=\left(b_{1+} b_{2+} b_{3+}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}=\left(b_{1-} b_{2-} b_{3-}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}:
$$

(a) in the case $j_{1}=j_{2}>j_{3}>0$ (or similar cases with circular permutation):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{1 \pm}=b_{2 \pm}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{2 z_{ \pm}}}, \quad b_{3 \pm}=z_{ \pm} b_{1 \pm}, \quad z_{ \pm}=\frac{j_{1} \pm \sqrt{j_{1}^{2}-j_{3}^{2}}}{j_{3}} \\
& \text { Moreover, } \quad z_{+} z_{-}=1, \quad K=\left(\frac{j_{3}}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) in the case $j_{3}>j_{1}=j_{2}>0$ (or similar cases with circular permutation):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{1 \pm}=\frac{1}{w_{ \pm}} b_{3}, \quad b_{2 \pm}=w_{ \pm} b_{3}, \quad b_{3 \pm}=b_{3}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}}{s}} \\
& w_{ \pm}=\frac{s \pm \sqrt{s^{2}-4}}{2}, \quad s=\frac{j_{3}+\sqrt{j_{3}^{2}+8 j_{1}^{2}}}{2 j_{1}} \\
& \text { Moreover, } \quad w_{+} w_{-}=1, \quad b_{1 \pm}=b_{2 \mp}, \quad K=\left(\frac{j_{1}}{s}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) in the case of all different $j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}>0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{1 \pm}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{t_{0} y_{ \pm} z_{ \pm}}}, \quad b_{2 \pm}=y_{ \pm} b_{1 \pm}, \quad b_{3 \pm}=z_{ \pm} b_{1 \pm} \\
& z_{ \pm}=\sqrt{\frac{y_{ \pm}\left(j_{1}-j_{2} y_{ \pm}\right)}{j_{2}-j_{1} y_{ \pm}}}, \quad y_{ \pm}=\frac{t_{0} \pm \sqrt{t_{0}^{2}-4}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t_{0}>2$ is the solution (it always exists, moreover, it is bigger than $\frac{j_{2}}{j_{1}}+\frac{j_{1}}{j_{2}}$ ) of the cubic equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
j_{1} j_{2} t^{3}-\left(j_{1}^{2}+j_{2}^{2}+j_{3}^{2}\right) t^{2}+4 j_{3}^{2}=0 \\
\text { Moreover, } \quad y_{+} y_{-}=1, \quad z_{+} z_{-}=1, \quad K=\left(\frac{j_{3}}{t_{0}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We can use the explicit Viete or Cardano formulas for $t_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{0}=\Omega+2 \Omega \cos \left(\frac{1}{3} \arccos \left(1-\frac{2 \beta}{\Omega^{3}}\right)\right) \\
\Omega:=\frac{\alpha+\beta}{3}, \quad \alpha:=A+\frac{1}{A}>2, \quad \beta:=\frac{B^{2}}{A}, \quad A:=\frac{j_{2}}{j_{1}}, \quad B:=\frac{j_{3}}{j_{1}}, \\
t_{0}=\Omega+L+\frac{\Omega^{2}}{L} \quad L:=\sqrt[3]{\Omega^{3}-2 \beta+2 \sqrt{\beta\left(\beta-\Omega^{3}\right)}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 5 If the system (24) has the solution $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$ with $b_{1} \neq 0, b_{2} \neq 0, b_{3} \neq 0$, then this system has also the solution $\left(\frac{-K}{b_{1}}, \frac{-K}{b_{2}}, \frac{K}{b_{3}}\right)$, where $K=\left(b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}>0$.
Proof. Let us substitute $\left(\frac{-K}{b_{1}}, \frac{-K}{b_{2}}, \frac{K}{b_{3}}\right)$ into the first equation. We have

$$
j_{1}=\frac{-K}{b_{1}}\left(\frac{K^{2}}{b_{2}^{2}}-\frac{K^{2}}{b_{3}^{2}}\right)=\frac{-K^{3}\left(b_{3}^{2}-b_{2}^{2}\right)}{b_{1} b_{2}^{2} b_{3}^{2}} .
$$

Using $j_{1}=b_{1}\left(b_{2}^{2}-b_{3}^{2}\right)$, we get $K=\left(b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$. We can do the same with the second equation. For the third equation, we have

$$
j_{3}=\frac{K}{b_{3}}\left(\frac{K^{2}}{b_{1}^{2}}+\frac{K^{2}}{b_{2}^{2}}\right)=\frac{K^{3}\left(b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}\right)}{b_{3} b_{1}^{2} b_{2}^{2}}
$$

Using $j_{3}=b_{3}\left(b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}\right)$, we obtain $K=\left(b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$ again.
Thus the expression

$$
K=-b_{1} b_{1}^{\prime}=-b_{2} b_{2}^{\prime}=b_{3} b_{3}^{\prime}=\left(b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}=\left(b_{1}^{\prime} b_{2}^{\prime} b_{3}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}
$$

is a conserved quantity for the pair of solutions $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$ and $\left(b_{1}^{\prime}, b_{2}^{\prime}, b_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ of the system (24), where all numbers $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{1}^{\prime}, b_{2}^{\prime}, b_{3}^{\prime}$ are nonzero.

Lemma 6 The system of equations (24) with nonnegative parameters $j_{1} \geq 0, j_{2} \geq 0$, $j_{3} \geq 0$ has the following general solution:
(i) in the case $j_{1}=j_{2}=j_{3}=0$, has the solutions $\left(b_{1}, 0,0\right),\left(0, b_{2}, 0\right)$, and $\left(0,0, b_{3}\right)$ for all $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3} \in \mathbb{R}$;
(ii) in the case $j_{1}=j_{2}=0, j_{3} \neq 0$, has the following 4 solutions:

$$
\text { the pair of solutions }\left(\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{2}}, \sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{2}}, \sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{2}}\right),\left(-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{2}},-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{2}}, \sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{2}}\right) \text { with } K=\left(\frac{j_{3}}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} ;
$$

$$
\text { the pair of solutions }\left(-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{2}}, \sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{2}}, \sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{2}}\right),\left(\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{2}},-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{2}}, \sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{2}}\right) \text { with } K=\left(\frac{j_{3}}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}
$$

(iii) in the cases $j_{1}=j_{3}=0, j_{2} \neq 0$ and $j_{2}=j_{3}=0, j_{1} \neq 0$, has no solutions;
(iv) in the case $j_{1} \neq 0, j_{2} \neq 0, j_{3}=0$, has the following unique solution

$$
b_{1}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{2}^{2}}{j_{1}}}, \quad b_{2}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}^{2}}{j_{2}}}, \quad b_{3}=0
$$

(v) in the case $j_{1}=0, j_{2} \neq 0, j_{3} \neq 0$ (and analogously in the case $j_{1} \neq 0, j_{2}=0$, $j_{3} \neq 0$ ), has the following 1 or 5 solutions: one solution

$$
b_{1}=0, \quad b_{2}=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}^{2}}{j_{2}}}, \quad b_{3}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{2}^{2}}{j_{3}}}
$$

and 4 additional solutions in the subcase $j_{3}>j_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{1} & = \pm \sqrt{\frac{j_{3}+j_{2}}{2 b_{3}}}= \pm \frac{\sqrt{j_{3}+j_{2}}}{\sqrt[6]{4\left(j_{3}-j_{2}\right)}},
\end{aligned} \quad b_{3}=b_{2}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}-j_{2}}{2}} ; ~ \begin{aligned}
& \frac{j_{3}-j_{2}}{2 b_{3}}= \pm \frac{\sqrt{j_{3}-j_{2}}}{\sqrt[6]{4\left(j_{3}+j_{2}\right)}}, \quad b_{3}=-b_{2}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}+j_{2}}{2}} \\
& b_{1}= \pm \sqrt{ }
\end{aligned}
$$

Each of two pair of solutions (in each pair, we have one $b_{1}>0$ and one $b_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{-K}{b_{1}}<0$ ) has the same

$$
K=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}^{2}-j_{2}^{2}}{4}}
$$

(vi) in the case of all nonzero $j_{1}>0, j_{2}>0, j_{3}>0$, has the following 2, 4, or 6 solutions:
(a) in the case $j_{1}=j_{2}<\frac{j_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}}$, has 6 solutions $\left(b_{1 \pm}, b_{2 \pm}, b_{3 \pm}\right),\left(c_{1 \pm}^{+}, c_{2 \pm}^{+}, c_{3 \pm}^{+}\right)$, $\left(c_{1 \pm}^{-}, c_{2 \pm}^{-}, c_{3 \pm}^{-}\right):$

$$
\begin{gather*}
b_{1 \pm}=b_{2 \pm}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{2 z_{ \pm}}}, \quad b_{3 \pm}=z_{ \pm} b_{1 \pm}, \quad z_{ \pm}=\frac{-j_{1} \pm \sqrt{j_{1}^{2}+j_{3}^{2}}}{j_{3}}  \tag{25}\\
\text { Moreover, } z_{+} z_{-}=-1 \text { and } K=\left(\frac{j_{3}}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1 \pm}^{ \pm}=\frac{1}{w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}} c_{3}^{ \pm}, \quad c_{2 \pm}^{ \pm}=-w_{ \pm}^{ \pm} c_{3}^{ \pm}, \quad c_{3}^{ \pm}=c_{3 \pm}^{ \pm}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}}{s^{ \pm}}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}=\frac{s^{ \pm} \pm \sqrt{\left(s^{ \pm}\right)^{2}+4}}{2}, \quad s^{ \pm}=\frac{j_{3} \pm \sqrt{j_{3}^{2}-8 j_{1}^{2}}}{2 j_{1}}
$$

$$
\text { Moreover, } w_{+}^{ \pm} w_{-}^{ \pm}=-1, s^{+} s^{-}=2, c_{1 \pm}^{ \pm}=c_{2 \mp}^{ \pm}, \text {and } K=\left(\frac{j_{1}}{s^{ \pm}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} .
$$

(b) in the case $j_{1}=j_{2}=\frac{j_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}}$, has 4 solutions ( $b_{1 \pm}, b_{2 \pm}, b_{3 \pm}$ ) (25) and ( $c_{1 \pm}, c_{2 \pm}, c_{3 \pm}$ ) (as a particular class of solutions (26)):

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{1 \pm}=b_{2 \pm}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{2 z_{ \pm}}}, \quad b_{3 \pm}=z_{ \pm} b_{1 \pm}, \quad z_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},-\sqrt{2}  \tag{27}\\
& \text { Moreover, } z_{+} z_{-}=-1 \text { and } K=\left(\frac{j_{3}}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
& c_{1 \pm}=\frac{1}{w_{ \pm}} c_{3}, \quad c_{2 \pm}=-w_{ \pm} c_{3}, \quad c_{3 \pm}=c_{3}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}}{\sqrt{2}}}, \quad w_{ \pm}=\frac{\sqrt{2} \pm \sqrt{6}}{2} \\
& \text { Moreover, } w_{+} w_{-}=-1, c_{1 \pm}=c_{2 \mp}, \text { and } K=\left(\frac{j_{1}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
& \text { Note that } \sqrt[3]{j_{3}}=b_{3-} \neq b_{3+}=c_{3 \pm}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{4}}
\end{align*}
$$

(c) in the case $j_{1}=j_{2}>\frac{j_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}}$, has 2 solutions ( $b_{1 \pm}, b_{2 \pm}, b_{3 \pm}$ ) (25).
(d) in the case $j_{1} \neq j_{2}, j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}}>j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}$, has 6 solutions $\left(d_{1 \pm k}, d_{2 \pm k}, d_{3 \pm k}\right), k=1,2,3$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{1 \pm k}= & \sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{t_{k} y_{ \pm k} z_{ \pm k}}}, \quad d_{2 \pm k}=y_{ \pm k} d_{1 \pm k}, \quad d_{3 \pm k}=z_{ \pm k} d_{1 \pm k}  \tag{28}\\
& z_{ \pm k}=\lambda_{ \pm k} \sqrt{\frac{y_{ \pm k}\left(j_{2} y_{ \pm k}-j_{1}\right)}{j_{2}-y_{ \pm k} j_{1}}}, \quad y_{ \pm k}=\frac{t_{k} \pm \sqrt{t_{k}^{2}-4}}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{ \pm k}=\operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{j_{3} y_{ \pm k}\left(1-y_{ \pm k}\right)}{j_{2}-j_{1} y_{ \pm k}}\right)$, and $t_{k}, k=1,2,3$, are solutions of the cubic equation

$$
j_{1} j_{2} t^{3}+\left(j_{3}^{2}-j_{2}^{2}-j_{1}^{2}\right) t^{2}-4 j_{3}^{2}=0
$$

Note that in the case $j_{1} \neq j_{2}$, this cubic equation always has one solution $2<t_{1}<\frac{j_{2}}{j_{1}}+\frac{j_{1}}{j_{2}}$ and also, in the case $j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}}>j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}$, two solutions $t_{2}, t_{3}<-2$.

Moreover, $y_{+k} y_{-k}=1, \quad \lambda_{+k} \lambda_{-k}=-1, \quad z_{+k} z_{-k}=-1$, and $K_{k}=\left(\frac{j_{3}}{t_{k}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$ for each $k=1,2,3$.

We can use the explicit Viete or Cardano formulas for $t_{k}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{k}=\Omega+2 \Omega \cos \left(\frac{1}{3} \arccos \left(1+\frac{2 \beta}{\Omega^{3}}\right)+\varphi_{k}\right), \quad \varphi_{k}=\frac{2 \pi}{3},-\frac{2 \pi}{3}, 0, \\
\Omega:=\frac{\alpha-\beta}{3}, \quad \alpha:=A+\frac{1}{A}>2, \quad \beta:=\frac{B^{2}}{A}, \quad A:=\frac{j_{2}}{j_{1}}, \quad B:=\frac{j_{3}}{j_{1}}, \\
t_{k}=\Omega+L+\frac{\Omega^{2}}{L} \quad L:=\sqrt[3]{\Omega^{3}+2 \beta+2 \sqrt{\beta\left(\beta+\Omega^{3}\right)}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}}>j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}$ is equivalent to $2 \beta^{\frac{1}{3}}<\beta-\alpha$. So, we have $\Omega<0$.
(e) in the case $j_{1} \neq j_{2}, j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}}=j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}$, has 4 solutions: $\left(d_{1 \pm k}, d_{2 \pm k}, d_{3 \pm k}\right), k=1,2$, (28) with

$$
t_{1}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{2}}{j_{1}}}+\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}}{j_{2}}}>2, \quad t_{2}=-2\left(\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{2}}{j_{1}}}+\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}}{j_{2}}}\right)<-4
$$

(f) in the case $j_{1} \neq j_{2}, j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}}<j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}$, has 2 solutions: $\left(d_{1 \pm 1}, d_{2 \pm 1}, d_{3 \pm 1}\right)$ (28) with $t_{1}>2$.

Proof. The detailed proof is given in Appendix A.
4.1.1. The case $d_{J}=0, \operatorname{rank}(J)=3$. We have the following four subcases 1) $x_{J}=3$, $\left.y_{J}=0 ; 2\right) x_{J}=0, y_{J}=3$; 3) $\left.x_{J}=1, y_{J}=2 ; 4\right) x_{J}=2, y_{J}=1$. It is important which of the three diagonal elements of the matrix $J$ are from the first $p$ rows and which of them are not, and this depends on $x_{J}$ and $y_{J}$. In different cases, we obtain different systems of equations, because of the signs before the summands $\left(a_{k}\right)^{2}, k=1,2,3$.

We remind that we consider only positive elements $j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}$ (they are called hyperbolic singular values of the matrix $J$ ) for simplicity.

In the case $d_{J}=0, x_{J}=3, y_{J}=0$, we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & j_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & j_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3} \neq 0
$$

In this case, we have $d_{A}=0, x_{A}=3, y_{A}=0$, and we are looking for a solution in the form

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \neq 0
$$

We obtain the following system of three cubic equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -a_{1}\left(\left(a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{1}, \\
& -a_{2}\left(\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{2}, \\
& -a_{3}\left(\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{2}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This system is reduced to the system (23) using the change of variables $b_{k}=-a_{k}$, $k=1,2,3$. We have one or two solutions. This depends on the numbers $j_{1}, j_{2}$ and $j_{3}$. If $j:=j_{1}=j_{2}=j_{3} \neq 0$, then we have the unique solution

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & 0 & 0  \tag{29}\\
0 & a & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j}{2}},
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{12} & =-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j^{2}}{4}} \tau^{3}, \quad F^{23}=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j^{2}}{4}} \tau^{1}, \quad F^{31}=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j^{2}}{4}} \tau^{2}  \tag{30}\\
F^{2} & =\frac{-3}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{j^{4}}{16}} I_{2} \neq 0 \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

If $j_{1}, j_{2}$, and $j_{3}$ are not all the same, then we have the following two different solutions

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-b_{1 \pm} & 0 & 0  \tag{32}\\
0 & -b_{2 \pm} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -b_{3 \pm} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $b_{k \pm}, k=1,2,3$ are from Case (v) of Lemma 4, with

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{ \pm}^{12}=-b_{1 \pm} b_{2 \pm} \tau^{3}, \quad F_{ \pm}^{23}=-b_{2 \pm} b_{3 \pm} \tau^{1}, \quad F_{ \pm}^{31}=-b_{3 \pm} b_{1 \pm} \tau^{2},  \tag{33}\\
& F_{ \pm}^{2}=\frac{-1}{2}\left(\left(b_{1 \pm} b_{2 \pm}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{2 \pm} b_{3 \pm}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{3 \pm} b_{1 \pm}\right)^{2}\right) I_{2} \neq 0 . \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

In the next lemma, we give the explicit form of (34). You can find the proof in [23].
Lemma 7 [23] In the case of not all the same $j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}$, (34) takes the form:
(i) in the case $j_{1}=j_{2}>j_{3}>0$ (or similar cases with circular permutation):

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{ \pm}^{2}=\frac{-K^{2}\left(1+2 z_{ \pm}^{2}\right)}{2 z_{ \pm}^{\frac{4}{3}}} I_{2}, \quad F_{+}^{2} \neq F_{-}^{2}, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
z_{ \pm}=\frac{j_{1} \pm \sqrt{j_{1}^{2}-j_{3}^{2}}}{j_{3}}, \quad K=\left(\frac{j_{3}}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}
$$

(ii) in the case $j_{3}>j_{1}=j_{2}>0$ (or similar cases with circular permutation):

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{ \pm}^{2}=\frac{-K^{2}\left(s^{2}-1\right)}{2} I_{2}, \quad F_{+}^{2}=F_{-}^{2}, \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
s=\frac{j_{3}+\sqrt{j_{3}^{2}+8 j_{1}^{2}}}{2 j_{1}}>2, \quad K=\left(\frac{j_{1}}{s}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} .
$$

(iii) in the case of all different $j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}>0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{ \pm}^{2}=\frac{-K^{2}\left(y_{ \pm}^{2}+z_{ \pm}^{2}+y_{ \pm}^{2} z_{ \pm}^{2}\right)}{2\left(y_{ \pm} z_{ \pm}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}} I_{2}, \quad F_{+}^{2} \neq F_{-}^{2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
K=\left(\frac{j_{3}}{t_{0}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}},
$$

and $y_{ \pm}, z_{ \pm}, t_{0}$ are from Case (v) - (c) of Lemma 4.
In all cases of Lemma, the expression $K$ is the invariant for each pair of solutions (see Lemmas 3 and 4 ).

In the case $d_{J}=0, x_{J}=0, y_{J}=3$, we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & j_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & j_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3} \neq 0
$$

In this case, we have $d_{A}=0, x_{A}=0, y_{A}=3$, and we are looking for a solution in the form

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \neq 0
$$

We obtain the following system of three cubic equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}\left(\left(a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{1}, \\
& a_{2}\left(\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{2}, \\
& a_{3}\left(\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{2}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the change of variables $b_{k}=a_{k}, k=1,2,3$, we obtain the system (23). We have one or two solutions. This depends on the numbers $j_{1}, j_{2}$ and $j_{3}$. If $j:=j_{1}=j_{2}=j_{3} \neq 0$, then we have the unique solution

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}  \tag{38}\\
\hline a & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j}{2}}
$$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{p+1 p+2}=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j^{2}}{4}} \tau^{3}, F^{p+2 p+3}=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j^{2}}{4}} \tau^{1}, F^{p+3 p+1}=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j^{2}}{4}} \tau^{2}  \tag{39}\\
& F^{2}=\frac{-3}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{j^{4}}{16}} I_{2} \neq 0 \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

If $j_{1}, j_{2}$, and $j_{3}$ are not all the same, then we have the following two different solutions

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}  \tag{41}\\
\hline b_{1 \pm} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & b_{2 \pm} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & b_{3 \pm} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $b_{k \pm}, k=1,2,3$ are from Case (v) of Lemma 4, with

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{ \pm}^{p+1 p+2}=-b_{1 \pm} b_{2 \pm} \tau^{3}, F_{ \pm}^{p+2 p+3}=-b_{2 \pm} b_{3 \pm} \tau^{1}, F_{ \pm}^{p+3 p+1}=-b_{3 \pm} b_{1 \pm} \tau^{2}  \tag{42}\\
& F^{2}=\frac{-1}{2}\left(\left(b_{1 \pm} b_{2 \pm}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{2 \pm} b_{3 \pm}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{3 \pm} b_{1 \pm}\right)^{2}\right) I_{2} \neq 0 \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

The explicit expressions for $F^{2}$ (43) are (35), (36), (37) respectively for 3 subcases of Case (v) in Lemma 4 .

In the case $d_{J}=0, x_{J}=2, y_{J}=1$, we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & j_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & 0 & j_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3} \neq 0
$$

In this case, we have $d_{A}=0, x_{A}=2, y_{A}=1$, and we are looking for a solution in the form

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & 0 & a_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \neq 0
$$

We obtain the following system of three cubic equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -a_{1}\left(\left(a_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(a_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{1}, \\
& -a_{2}\left(\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(a_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{2}, \\
& -a_{3}\left(\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{2}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This system is reduced to the system (24) using the change of variables $b_{k}=-a_{k}$, $k=1,2,3$. We have 2,4 , or 6 solutions:

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-b_{1} & 0 & 0  \tag{44}\\
0 & -b_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & 0 & -b_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $b_{k}, k=1,2,3$ are from Case (vi) of Lemma 6.
In all these cases, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{12}=-b_{1} b_{2} \tau^{3}, \quad F^{2 p+1}=-b_{2} b_{3} \tau^{1}, \quad F^{p+11}=-b_{3} b_{1} \tau^{2}  \tag{45}\\
& F^{2}=\frac{-1}{2}\left(\left(b_{1} b_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(b_{2} b_{3}\right)^{2}-\left(b_{3} b_{1}\right)^{2}\right) I_{2} \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

We give the explicit expressions for $F^{2}$ in different cases in Lemma 8 ,
Lemma 8 In the case of all nonzero $j_{1}>0, j_{2}>0, j_{3}>0$, the expression (46) takes the form:
(i) in the case $j_{1}=j_{2}<\frac{j_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { for } b_{ \pm}: \quad F_{ \pm}^{2}=\frac{K^{2}\left(2 z_{ \pm}^{2}-1\right)}{2 z_{ \pm}^{4}} I_{2} \neq 0, \quad F_{+}^{2} \neq F_{-}^{2},  \tag{47}\\
\text { where } z_{ \pm}=\frac{-j_{1} \pm \sqrt{j_{1}^{2}+j_{3}^{2}}}{j_{3}}, \quad K=\left(\frac{j_{3}}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} ; \\
\text { for } c_{ \pm}^{ \pm}: \quad\left(F_{ \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}=\frac{K^{2}\left(1+\left(s^{ \pm}\right)^{2}\right)}{2} I_{2}>0, \quad\left(F_{+}^{+}\right)^{2}=\left(F_{-}^{+}\right)^{2} \neq\left(F_{+}^{-}\right)^{2}=\left(F_{-}^{-}\right)^{2}, \\
\text { where } s^{ \pm}=\frac{j_{3} \pm \sqrt{j_{3}^{2}-8 j_{1}^{2}}}{2 j_{1}}, \quad K=\left(\frac{j_{1}}{s^{ \pm}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} ;
\end{gather*}
$$

The expression $F^{2}$ for $c_{ \pm}^{ \pm}$coincides with $F^{2}$ for $b_{ \pm}$only in the case:

$$
\begin{gathered}
s^{*}:=\sqrt{13+\sqrt{193-6^{\frac{4}{3}}}+\sqrt{386+6^{\frac{4}{3}}+\frac{5362}{193-6^{\frac{4}{3}}}}} \approx 7.39438, \\
B^{*}:=\left(\frac{j_{3}}{j_{1}}\right)^{*}=\frac{\left(s^{*}\right)^{2}+2}{s^{*}} \approx 7.66486>2 \sqrt{2} \\
z_{+}^{*}:=\frac{-1+\sqrt{1+\left(B^{*}\right)^{2}}}{B^{*}} \approx 0.878009 .
\end{gathered}
$$

This means that if $B=B^{*}$, then $\left(F_{ \pm}^{+}\right)^{2}$ for $\left(s^{+}\right)^{*}=\frac{B^{*}+\sqrt{\left(B^{*}\right)^{2}-8}}{2}$ coincides with $F_{+}^{2}$ for $z_{+}^{*}=\frac{-1+\sqrt{1+\left(B^{*}\right)^{2}}}{B^{*}}$.
(ii) in the case $j_{1}=j_{2}=\frac{j_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { for } b_{ \pm}: \quad F_{+}^{2}=0, \quad F_{-}^{2}=\frac{3 j_{3}^{\frac{4}{3}}}{8} I_{2}>0  \tag{48}\\
& \text { for } c_{ \pm}: \quad\left(F_{ \pm}\right)^{2}=\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{j_{1}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} I_{2}=\frac{3 j_{3}^{\frac{4}{3}}}{2^{\frac{11}{3}}} I_{2}>0
\end{align*}
$$

(iii) in the case $j_{1}=j_{2}>\frac{j_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { for } b_{ \pm}: \quad F_{ \pm}^{2}=\frac{K^{2}\left(2 z_{ \pm}^{2}-1\right)}{2 z_{ \pm}^{\frac{4}{3}}} I_{2} \neq 0, \quad F_{+}^{2} \neq F_{-}^{2},  \tag{49}\\
& \text { where } z_{ \pm}=\frac{-j_{1} \pm \sqrt{j_{1}^{2}+j_{3}^{2}}}{j_{3}}, \quad K=\left(\frac{j_{3}}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} ;
\end{align*}
$$

(iv) in the case $j_{1} \neq j_{2}, j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}}>j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for } d_{ \pm k}: \quad F_{ \pm k}^{2}=\frac{K_{k}^{2}\left(y_{ \pm k}^{2} z_{ \pm k}^{2}-y_{ \pm k}^{2}+z_{ \pm k}^{2}\right)}{2\left(y_{ \pm k} z_{ \pm k}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}} I_{2} \neq 0, \quad k=1,2,3, \quad F_{+k}^{2} \neq F_{-k}^{2} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{k}=\left(\frac{j_{3}}{t_{k}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$, and $y_{ \pm k}, z_{ \pm k}, t_{k}$ are from Case (vi) - (d) of Lemma 6.
(v) in the case $j_{1} \neq j_{2}, j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}}=j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{+1}^{2}=0, \quad F_{-1}^{2}=\frac{j_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}}+j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}} j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{2}^{\frac{4}{3}}}{2} I_{2}>0,  \tag{51}\\
& F_{ \pm 2}^{2}=\frac{K_{2}^{2}\left(y_{ \pm 2}^{2} z_{ \pm 2}^{2}-y_{ \pm 2}^{2}+z_{ \pm 2}^{2}\right)}{2\left(y_{ \pm 2} z_{ \pm 2}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}} I_{2} \neq 0, \quad t_{2}=-2\left(\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{2}}{j_{1}}}+\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}}{j_{2}}}\right) \\
& F_{+2}^{2} \neq F_{-2}^{2}, \quad F_{ \pm 2}^{2} \text { do not coincide with } F_{-1}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

(vi) in the case $j_{1} \neq j_{2}, j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}}<j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{ \pm 1}^{2}=\frac{K_{1}^{2}\left(y_{ \pm 1}^{2} z_{ \pm 1}^{2}-y_{ \pm 1}^{2}+z_{ \pm 1}^{2}\right)}{2\left(y_{ \pm 1} z_{ \pm 1}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}} I_{2} \neq 0, \quad F_{+1}^{2} \neq F_{-1}^{2} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

In all cases of Lemma, the expression $K$ is the invariant for each pair of solutions (see Lemmas (5 and (6).
Proof. We give the proof of this Lemma in Appendix B.
In the case $d_{J}=0, x_{J}=1, y_{J}=2$, we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & j_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & j_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3} \neq 0
$$

In this case, we have $d_{A}=0, x_{A}=1, y_{A}=2$, and we are looking for a solution in the form

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \neq 0
$$

We obtain the following system of three cubic equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -a_{1}\left(-\left(a_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(a_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{1}, \\
& -a_{2}\left(\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(a_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{2}, \\
& -a_{3}\left(\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(a_{2}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This system is reduced again to the system (24) using the change of variables $b_{1}=a_{2}$, $b_{2}=a_{3}, b_{3}=a_{1}$ and the change $j_{1} \rightarrow j_{3}, j_{2} \rightarrow j_{1}, j_{3} \rightarrow j_{2}$. We have 2,4 , or 6 solutions:

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
b_{3} & 0 & 0  \tag{53}\\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & b_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & b_{2} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $b_{k}, k=1,2,3$ are from Lemma 6 with the change $j_{1} \rightarrow j_{3}, j_{2} \rightarrow j_{1}, j_{3} \rightarrow j_{2}$.
In all these cases, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{1 p+1}=-b_{3} b_{1} \tau^{3}, \quad F^{p+1 p+2}=-b_{1} b_{2} \tau^{1}, \quad F^{p+21}=-b_{2} b_{3} \tau^{2}  \tag{54}\\
& F^{2}=\frac{-1}{2}\left(-\left(b_{3} b_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{1} b_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(b_{2} b_{3}\right)^{2}\right) I_{2} \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

The explicit form of $F^{2}(55)$ is given in Lemma 8 with the change $j_{1} \rightarrow j_{3}, j_{2} \rightarrow j_{1}$, $j_{3} \rightarrow j_{2}$. For the convenience of the reader, we present it here:
(i) in the case $j_{3}=j_{1}<\frac{j_{2}}{2 \sqrt{2}}$,

$$
\text { for } b_{ \pm}: \quad F_{ \pm}^{2}=\frac{K^{2}\left(2 z_{ \pm}^{2}-1\right)}{2 z_{ \pm}^{\frac{4}{3}}} I_{2} \neq 0, \quad F_{+}^{2} \neq F_{-}^{2},
$$

$$
\text { where } z_{ \pm}=\frac{-j_{3} \pm \sqrt{j_{3}^{2}+j_{2}^{2}}}{j_{2}}, \quad K=\left(\frac{j_{2}}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} ;
$$

$$
\text { for } c_{ \pm}^{ \pm}: \quad\left(F_{ \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}=\frac{K^{2}\left(1+\left(s^{ \pm}\right)^{2}\right)}{2} I_{2}>0, \quad\left(F_{+}^{+}\right)^{2}=\left(F_{-}^{+}\right)^{2} \neq\left(F_{+}^{-}\right)^{2}=\left(F_{-}^{-}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\text { where } s^{ \pm}=\frac{j_{2} \pm \sqrt{j_{2}^{2}-8 j_{3}^{2}}}{2 j_{3}}, \quad K=\left(\frac{j_{3}}{s^{ \pm}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} .
$$

The expression $F^{2}$ for $c_{ \pm}^{ \pm}$coincides with $F^{2}$ for $b_{ \pm}$only in the case:

$$
\begin{gathered}
s^{*}:=\sqrt{13+\sqrt{193-6^{\frac{4}{3}}}+\sqrt{386+6^{\frac{4}{3}}+\frac{5362}{193-6^{\frac{4}{3}}}} \approx 7.39438,} \\
B^{*}:=\left(\frac{j_{2}}{j_{3}}\right)^{*}=\frac{\left(s^{*}\right)^{2}+2}{s^{*}} \approx 7.66486>2 \sqrt{2} \\
z_{+}^{*}:=\frac{-1+\sqrt{1+\left(B^{*}\right)^{2}}}{B^{*}} \approx 0.878009 .
\end{gathered}
$$

This means that if $B=B^{*}$, then $\left(F_{ \pm}^{+}\right)^{2}$ for $\left(s^{+}\right)^{*}=\frac{B^{*}+\sqrt{\left(B^{*}\right)^{2}-8}}{2}$ coincides with $F_{+}^{2}$ for $z_{+}^{*}=\frac{-1+\sqrt{1+\left(B^{*}\right)^{2}}}{B^{*}}$.
(ii) in the case $j_{3}=j_{1}=\frac{j_{2}}{2 \sqrt{2}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { for } b_{ \pm}: \quad F_{+}^{2}=0, \quad F_{-}^{2}=\frac{3 j_{2}^{\frac{4}{3}}}{8} I_{2}>0  \tag{57}\\
& \text { for } c_{ \pm}: \quad\left(F_{ \pm}\right)^{2}=\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{j_{3}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} I_{2}=\frac{3 j_{2}^{\frac{4}{3}}}{2^{\frac{11}{3}}} I_{2}>0
\end{align*}
$$

(iii) in the case $j_{3}=j_{1}>\frac{j_{2}}{2 \sqrt{2}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { for } b_{ \pm}: \quad F_{ \pm}^{2}=\frac{K^{2}\left(2 z_{ \pm}^{2}-1\right)}{2 z_{ \pm}^{\frac{4}{3}}} I_{2} \neq 0, & F_{+}^{2} \neq F_{-}^{2}  \tag{58}\\
& \text { where } z_{ \pm}=\frac{-j_{3} \pm \sqrt{j_{3}^{2}+j_{2}^{2}}}{j_{2}},
\end{align*} \quad K=\left(\frac{j_{2}}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} ; ~ l
$$

(iv) in the case $j_{3} \neq j_{1}, j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}>j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for } d_{ \pm k}: \quad F_{ \pm k}^{2}=\frac{K_{k}^{2}\left(y_{ \pm k}^{2} z_{ \pm k}^{2}-y_{ \pm k}^{2}+z_{ \pm k}^{2}\right)}{2\left(y_{ \pm k} z_{ \pm k}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}} I_{2} \neq 0, \quad k=1,2,3, \quad F_{+k}^{2} \neq F_{-k}^{2} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{k}=\left(\frac{j_{2}}{t_{k}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$, and $y_{ \pm k}, z_{ \pm k}, t_{k}$ are from Case (vi) - (d) of Lemma 6,
(v) in the case $j_{3} \neq j_{1}, j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}=j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{+1}^{2}=0, \quad F_{-1}^{2}=\frac{j_{3}^{\frac{4}{3}}+j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}} j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}}}{2} I_{2}>0  \tag{60}\\
& F_{ \pm 2}^{2}=\frac{K_{2}^{2}\left(y_{ \pm 2}^{2} z_{ \pm 2}^{2}-y_{ \pm 2}^{2}+z_{ \pm 2}^{2}\right)}{2\left(y_{ \pm 2} z_{ \pm 2}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}} I_{2} \neq 0, \quad t_{2}=-2\left(\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}}{j_{3}}}+\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{j_{1}}}\right) \\
& F_{+2}^{2} \neq F_{-2}^{2}, \quad F_{ \pm 2}^{2} \text { do not coincide with } F_{-1}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

(vi) in the case $j_{3} \neq j_{1}, j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}<j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{ \pm 1}^{2}=\frac{K_{1}^{2}\left(y_{ \pm 1}^{2} z_{ \pm 1}^{2}-y_{ \pm 1}^{2}+z_{ \pm 1}^{2}\right)}{2\left(y_{ \pm 1} z_{ \pm 1}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}} I_{2} \neq 0, \quad F_{+1}^{2} \neq F_{-1}^{2} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

In all cases of Lemma, the expression $K$ is the invariant for each pair of solutions (see Lemmas 5 and 6).
4.1.2. The case $d_{J}=0, \operatorname{rank}(J)=2$. In the case $d_{J}=0, x_{J}=2, y_{J}=0$, we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & j_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad j_{1}, j_{2} \neq 0
$$

We have the following possible cases: 1) $\left.d_{A}=0, x_{A}=2, y_{1}=0 ; 2\right) d_{A}=1, x_{A}=2$, $y_{A}=0$; 3) $\left.d_{A}=0, x_{A}=3, y_{A}=0 ; 4\right) d_{A}=0, x_{A}=2, y_{A}=1$, and we are looking for a solution in the form

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & 0 & a_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \neq 0$, respectively. In the first case, we have

$$
-a_{1} a_{2}^{2}=j_{1}, \quad-a_{2} a_{1}^{2}=j_{2},
$$

and obtain the unique solution

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{A} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{2}^{2}}{j_{1}}}, \quad a_{2}=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}^{2}}{j_{2}}}  \tag{62}\\
F^{12} & =-\sqrt[3]{j_{1} j_{2}} \tau^{3}  \tag{63}\\
F^{2} & =\frac{-1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\left(j_{1} j_{2}\right)^{2}} I_{2} \neq 0 \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

In the second case, one of the equations is $-\left(a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}\right)=0$. In the third and fourth cases, one of the equations is $-a_{3}\left(a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}\right)=0$. Thus we have no solutions in these cases.

In the case $d_{J}=0, x_{J}=0, y_{J}=2$, we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & j_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad j_{1}, j_{2} \neq 0
$$

We have the following possible cases: 1) $\left.d_{A}=0, x_{A}=0, y_{1}=2 ; 2\right) d_{A}=1, x_{A}=0$, $y_{A}=2$;3) $\left.d_{A}=0, x_{A}=0, y_{A}=3 ; 4\right) d_{A}=0, x_{A}=1, y_{A}=2$, and we are looking for a solution in the form

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & a_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \neq 0$, respectively. In the first case, we have

$$
a_{1} a_{2}^{2}=j_{1}, \quad a_{2} a_{1}^{2}=j_{2},
$$

and obtain the unique solution

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{2}^{2}}{j_{1}}}, \quad a_{2}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}^{2}}{j_{2}}}  \tag{65}\\
& F^{12}=-\sqrt[3]{j_{1} j_{2} \tau^{3}},  \tag{66}\\
& F^{2}=\frac{-1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\left(j_{1} j_{2}\right)^{2}} I_{2} \neq 0 \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

In the second case, one of the equations is $\left(a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}\right)=0$. In the third and fourth cases, one of the equations is $a_{3}\left(a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}\right)=0$. Thus we have no solutions in these cases.

In the case $d_{J}=0, x_{J}=1, y_{J}=1$, we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & j_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad j_{1}, j_{2} \neq 0
$$

We have the following possible cases: 1) $d_{A}=0, x_{A}=1, y_{1}=1$; 2) $d_{A}=1, x_{A}=1$, $y_{A}=1$; 3) $\left.d_{A}=0, x_{A}=2, y_{A}=1 ; 4\right) d_{A}=0, x_{A}=1, y_{A}=2$, and we are looking for a solution in the form

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \neq 0$, respectively. In the first case, we have

$$
a_{1} a_{2}^{2}=j_{1}, \quad-a_{2} a_{1}^{2}=j_{2},
$$

and obtain the solution

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{2}^{2}}{j_{1}}}, \quad a_{2}=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}^{2}}{j_{2}}},  \tag{68}\\
& F^{12}=\sqrt[3]{j_{1} j_{2} \tau^{3}},  \tag{69}\\
& F^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\left(j_{1} j_{2}\right)^{2}} I_{2} \neq 0 . \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

In the second case, we have

$$
a_{1} a_{2}^{2}=j_{1}, \quad-a_{2} a_{1}^{2}=j_{2}, \quad-\left(a_{1}^{2}-a_{2}^{2}\right)=0
$$

In the subcase $j_{1}=j_{2}$ (we consider only positive $j_{1}, j_{2}$ ), we obtain the following solution

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}=\sqrt[3]{j_{1}}, \quad a_{2}=-\sqrt[3]{j_{2}},  \tag{71}\\
& F^{1 p+1}=\sqrt[3]{j_{1} j_{2}} \tau^{3},  \tag{72}\\
& F^{12}=F^{1 p+2}=\sqrt[3]{j_{1}} \tau^{2}, \quad F^{p+12}=F^{p+1 p+2}=\sqrt[3]{j_{2}} \tau^{1} \\
& F^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\left(j_{1} j_{2}\right)^{2}} I_{2} \neq 0 \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

In the third case, we have

$$
-a_{1}\left(-a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}\right)=j_{1}, \quad-a_{2}\left(a_{1}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}\right)=j_{2}, \quad-a_{3}\left(a_{1}^{2}-a_{2}^{2}\right)=0
$$

In the subcase $j_{2}>j_{1}$, we have the following 4 solutions (see Case (v) of Lemma 6)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),  \tag{74}\\
& a_{3}=\mp \sqrt{\frac{j_{2}+j_{1}}{-2 a_{2}}}=\mp \frac{\sqrt{j_{2}+j_{1}}}{\sqrt[6]{4\left(j_{2}-j_{1}\right)}}, \quad a_{2}=a_{1}=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{2}-j_{1}}{2}} ; \\
& a_{3}=\mp \sqrt{\frac{j_{2}-j_{1}}{-2 a_{2}}}=\mp \frac{\sqrt{j_{2}-j_{1}}}{\sqrt[6]{4\left(j_{2}+j_{1}\right)}}, \quad a_{2}=-a_{1}=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{2}+j_{1}}{2}}, \\
& F^{1 p+1}=-a_{1} a_{2} \tau^{3}, F^{p+12}=-a_{2} a_{3} \tau^{1}, F^{21}=-a_{3} a_{1} \tau^{2},  \tag{75}\\
& F^{2}=\frac{a_{1}^{4}}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{j_{2}-j_{1}}{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} I_{2} \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{j_{2}+j_{1}}{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} I_{2} \neq 0 . \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

In the fourth case, we have

$$
a_{1}\left(a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}\right)=j_{1}, \quad-a_{2}\left(a_{1}^{2}-a_{3}^{2}\right)=j_{2}, \quad-a_{3}\left(a_{1}^{2}-a_{2}^{2}\right)=0
$$

In the subcase $j_{1}>j_{2}$, we have the following 4 solutions (see Case (v) of Lemma 6)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{77}\\
& a_{3}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{j_{1}+j_{2}}{2 a_{1}}}= \pm \frac{\sqrt{j_{1}+j_{2}}}{\sqrt[6]{4\left(j_{1}-j_{2}\right)}}, \quad a_{1}=a_{2}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}-j_{2}}{2}} ; \\
& a_{3}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{j_{1}-j_{2}}{2 a_{1}}}= \pm \frac{\sqrt{j_{1}-j_{2}}}{\sqrt[6]{4\left(j_{1}+j_{2}\right)}}, \quad a_{1}=-a_{2}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}+j_{2}}{2}}, \\
& F^{1 p+1}=-a_{1} a_{2} \tau^{3}, F^{p+1 p+2}=-a_{2} a_{3} \tau^{1}, F^{p+21}=-a_{3} a_{1} \tau^{2}  \tag{78}\\
& F^{2}=\frac{a_{1}^{4}}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{j_{1}-j_{2}}{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} I_{2} \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{j_{1}+j_{2}}{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} I_{2} \neq 0 . \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

4.1.3. The case $d_{J}=0, \operatorname{rank}(J)=1$. In the case $d_{J}=0, x_{J}=1, y_{J}=0$, we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad j_{1} \neq 0
$$

We have the following 10 possible cases: $\left(d_{A}, x_{A}, y_{A}\right)=(0,1,0),(1,1,0),(0,2,0)$, $(0,1,1),(2,1,0),(1,2,0),(1,1,1),(0,3,0),(0,2,1),(0,1,2)$, and we are looking for a solution in the following form $\Psi^{A}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & 0 & a_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \neq 0$, respectively. In the first nine cases, we have no solutions. In the tenth case, we have

$$
-a_{1}\left(-a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}^{2}\right)=j_{1}, \quad-a_{2}\left(a_{1}^{2}-a_{3}^{2}\right)=0, \quad-a_{3}\left(a_{1}^{2}-a_{2}^{2}\right)=0
$$

and obtain the following 4 solutions (see Case (ii) of Lemma 6):

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0  \tag{80}\\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}}{2}}, a_{2}= \pm a_{1}, a_{3}= \pm a_{1}, \quad \text { and } \quad a_{3}=\mp a_{1}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{1 p+1}=-a_{1} a_{2} \tau^{3}, \quad F^{p+1 p+2}=-a_{2} a_{3} \tau^{1}, \quad F^{p+21}=-a_{3} a_{1} \tau^{2},  \tag{81}\\
& F^{2}=\frac{a_{1}^{4}}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{j_{1}}{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} I_{2} \neq 0 . \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

In the case $d_{J}=0, x_{J}=0, y_{J}=1$, the situation is similar. We have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad j_{1} \neq 0
$$

We have 10 possible cases for $d_{A}, x_{A}$, and $y_{A}$, but we have solutions only in the case $d_{A}=0, x_{A}=2, y_{A}=1$. We get the system

$$
-a_{1}\left(a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}\right)=j_{1}, \quad-a_{2}\left(a_{3}^{2}-a_{1}^{2}\right)=0, \quad-a_{3}\left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{1}^{2}\right)=0
$$

and 4 solutions

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & a_{2} & 0  \tag{83}\\
0 & 0 & a_{3} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}}{2}}, a_{2}= \pm a_{1}, a_{3}= \pm a_{1}, \quad \text { and } \quad a_{3}=\mp a_{1}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{1 p+1}=-a_{1} a_{2} \tau^{3}, \quad F^{p+1 p+2}=-a_{2} a_{3} \tau^{1}, \quad F^{p+21}=-a_{3} a_{1} \tau^{2}  \tag{84}\\
& F^{2}=\frac{a_{1}^{4}}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{j_{1}}{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} I_{2} \neq 0 \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

4.1.4. The case $d_{J}=0, \operatorname{rank}(J)=0$. Let us consider the case of zero matrix $\Sigma^{J}=\mathrm{O}$. We must verify all 20 cases for $\left(d_{A}, x_{A}, y_{A}\right), 0 \leq d_{A}, x_{A}, y_{A} \leq 3, d_{A}+x_{A}+y_{A} \leq 3$. We have no solutions in 14 cases, and we have solutions in 6 cases. Below we present these 6 cases.

In the case $d_{A}=x_{A}=y_{A}=0$, we have zero matrix $\Psi^{A}=\mathrm{O}$. So, we have trivial solution $A=0, F=0, F^{2}=0$.

In the case $d_{A}=0, x_{A}=1, y_{A}=0$, we have the solutions

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0  \tag{86}\\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}
$$

In the case $d_{A}=0, x_{A}=0, y_{A}=1$, we have the solutions

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}  \tag{87}\\
\hline a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}
$$

In these two cases, we have $F=0, F^{2}=0$.
In the case $d_{A}=1, x_{A}=y_{A}=0$, we have the solution

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{88}\\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $F=0, F^{2}=0$.
In the case $d_{A}=2, x_{A}=y_{A}=0$, we have the solution

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{89}\\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{12}=F^{1 p+2}=F^{p+12}=F^{p+1 p+2}=-1 \tau^{3} \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $F^{2}=0$.
In the case $d_{A}=3, x_{A}=y_{A}=0$, we have the solution

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{91}\\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{12}=F^{1 p+2}=F^{p+12}=F^{p+1 p+2}=-1 \tau^{3},  \tag{92}\\
& F^{23}=F^{2 p+3}=F^{p+23}=F^{p+2 p+3}=-1 \tau^{1}, \\
& F^{31}=F^{3 p+1}=F^{p+31}=F^{p+3 p+1}=-1 \tau^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

and $F^{2}=0$.
4.2. The case $d_{J}=1$.

Let us consider the case $d_{J}=1$. We have $d_{A}=1$. The problem actually is reduced to solving the system of two cubic equations (16).

If 1) $d_{J}=1, x_{J}=2, y_{J}=0$, or 2) $d_{J}=1, x_{J}=0, y_{J}=2$, or 3) $d_{J}=1, x_{J}=1$, $y_{J}=1$, then we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & j_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { or }\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & j_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { or }\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & j_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad j_{1}, j_{2} \neq 0
$$

We have 1) $d_{A}=1, x_{A}=2, y_{A}=0$, or 2) $d_{A}=1, x_{A}=0, y_{A}=2$, or 3 ) $d_{A}=1$, $x_{A}=y_{A}=1$ (respectively), and we are looking for a solution in the form

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { or }\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { or }\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}, a_{2}, \beta \neq 0 .
$$ respectively. In the first case, we obtain

$$
-a_{1} a_{2}^{2}=j_{1}, \quad-a_{2} a_{1}^{2}=j_{2}, \quad-\beta\left(a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}\right)=1
$$

and the solution

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{2}^{2}}{j_{1}}}, \quad a_{2}=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}^{2}}{j_{2}}}, \quad \beta=\frac{-1}{a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}},  \tag{93}\\
& F^{12}=-a_{1} a_{2} \tau^{3}=-\sqrt[3]{j_{1} j_{2}} \tau^{3}  \tag{94}\\
& F^{13}=F^{1 p+1}=a_{1} \beta \tau^{2}, \quad F^{23}=F^{2 p+1}=-a_{2} \beta \tau^{1} \\
&  \tag{95}\\
& F^{2}=\frac{-1}{2}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)^{2} I_{2}=\frac{-1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\left(j_{1} j_{2}\right)^{2}} I_{2} \neq 0
\end{align*}
$$

In the second case, we obtain

$$
a_{1} a_{2}^{2}=j_{1}, \quad a_{2} a_{1}^{2}=j_{2}, \quad \beta\left(a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}\right)=1,
$$

and the solution

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{2}^{2}}{j_{1}}}, \quad a_{2}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}^{2}}{j_{2}}}, \quad \beta=\frac{1}{a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}},  \tag{96}\\
& F^{12}=-a_{1} a_{2} \tau^{3}=-\sqrt[3]{j_{1} j_{2}} \tau^{3},  \tag{97}\\
& F^{1 p+1}=F^{p+3 p+1}=-a_{1} \beta \tau^{2}, \quad F^{1 p+2}=F^{p+3 p+2}=a_{2} \beta \tau^{1} \\
& F^{2}=\frac{-1}{2}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)^{2} I_{2}=\frac{-1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\left(j_{1} j_{2}\right)^{2}} I_{2} \neq 0 . \tag{98}
\end{align*}
$$

In the third case, we obtain

$$
a_{1} a_{2}^{2}=j_{1}, \quad-a_{2} a_{1}^{2}=j_{2}, \quad-\beta\left(a_{1}^{2}-a_{2}^{2}\right)=1
$$

This system has a solution only in the case $j_{1} \neq j_{2}$ (we consider only positive $j_{1}, j_{2}$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{2}^{2}}{j_{1}}}, \quad a_{2}=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}^{2}}{j_{2}}}, \quad \beta=\frac{-1}{a_{1}^{2}-a_{2}^{2}}  \tag{99}\\
& F^{1 p+1}=-a_{1} a_{2} \tau^{3}=\sqrt[3]{j_{1} j_{2}} \tau^{3}  \tag{100}\\
& F^{12}=F^{1 p+2}=a_{1} \beta \tau^{2}, \quad F^{p+12}=F^{p+1 p+2}=-a_{2} \beta \tau^{1} \\
& F^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)^{2} I_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\left(j_{1} j_{2}\right)^{2}} I_{2} \neq 0 \tag{101}
\end{align*}
$$

If $d_{J}=1, x_{J}=1, y_{J}=0$, then we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad j_{1} \neq 0
$$

We have 1) $d_{A}=1, x_{A}=1, y_{A}=1$, or 2) $d_{A}=1, x_{A}=2, y_{A}=0$, or 3) $d_{A}=1, x_{A}=1$, $y_{A}=0$, and we are looking for a solution in the form
$\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 0 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\ \hline 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{2} \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}\end{array}\right), \quad$ or $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{2} \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\ \hline 0 & \beta & 0 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}\end{array}\right), \quad$ or $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 0 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\ \hline 0 & \beta & 0 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}, a_{2}, \beta \neq 0$,
respectively. In the first case, one of the equations is $-a_{2} a_{1}^{2}=0$. In the second case, one of the equations is $-a_{2} a_{1}^{2}=0$. In the third case, one of the equations is $-a_{1} 0=j_{1}$. In all these three cases, we have no solutions.

If $d_{J}=1, x_{J}=0, y_{J}=1$, then we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad j_{1} \neq 0
$$

We have 1) $d_{A}=1, x_{A}=1, y_{A}=1$, or 2) $d_{A}=1, x_{A}=0, y_{A}=2$, or 3) $d_{A}=1, x_{A}=0$, $y_{A}=1$, and we are looking for a solution in the form
$\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{2} \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\ \hline a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 0 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}\end{array}\right), \quad$ or $\quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & \beta & 0 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\ \hline a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{2} \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}\end{array}\right), \quad$ or $\quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & \beta & 0 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\ \hline a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 0 \\ \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}, a_{2}, \beta \neq 0$
respectively. In the first case, one of the equations is $a_{2} a_{1}^{2}=0$. In the second case, one of the equations is $a_{2} a_{1}^{2}=0$. In the third case, one of the equations is $-a_{1} 0=j_{1}$. In all these three cases, we have no solutions.

If $d_{J}=1, x_{J}=0, y_{J}=0$, then we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We have 1) $d_{A}=1, x_{A}=2, y_{A}=0$, or 2) $d_{A}=1, x_{A}=0, y_{A}=2$, or 3) $d_{A}=1, x_{A}=1$, $y_{A}=1$, or 4) $d_{A}=1, x_{A}=1, y_{A}=0$, or 5) $d_{A}=1, x_{A}=0, y_{A}=1$, or 6$) d_{A}=1$, $x_{A}=0, y_{A}=0$, and we are looking for a solution in the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{2} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \beta & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { or }\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{2} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { or }\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{1} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{2} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \\
\text { or }\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{1} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \beta & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { or }\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{1} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { or }\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}, a_{2}, \beta \neq 0,
\end{gathered}
$$

respectively. In the first case, one of the equations is $-a_{1} a_{2}^{2}=0$. In the second case, one of the equations is $a_{1} a_{2}^{2}=0$. In the third case, one of the equations is $a_{1} a_{2}^{2}=0$. In all these three cases, we have no solutions. In the fourth case, we have

$$
-\beta a_{1}^{2}=1, \quad-a_{1} 0=0
$$

and the solution is

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{A} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{1} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \beta & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, \quad \beta=\frac{-1}{a_{1}^{2}},  \tag{102}\\
F^{12} & =F^{p+12}=-\beta a_{1} \tau^{3}=\frac{1}{a_{1}} \tau^{3} . \tag{103}
\end{align*}
$$

In the fifth case, we obtain

$$
\beta a_{1}^{2}=1, \quad-a_{1} 0=0,
$$

and the solution is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{1} & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, \quad \beta=\frac{1}{a_{1}^{2}},  \tag{104}\\
& F^{1 p+2}=F^{p+1 p+2}=-\beta a_{1} \tau^{3}=\frac{-1}{a_{1}} \tau^{3} . \tag{105}
\end{align*}
$$

In the sixth case, we obtain $-\beta 0=1$, i.e. there is no solution. In the cases (103) and (105), we have $F^{2}=0$.

### 4.3. The case $d_{J}=2$.

Let us consider the case $d_{J}=2$. In this case, we have $d_{A}=2$.
If $d_{J}=2, x_{J}=1, y_{J}=0$ or $d_{J}=2, x_{J}=0, y_{J}=1$, then we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline j_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad j_{1} \neq 0
$$

respectively. We have $d_{A}=2, x_{A}=1, y_{A}=0$ or $d_{A}=2, x_{A}=0, y_{A}=1$ (respectively) and we are looking for a solution in the form

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 0 & \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}, \beta \neq 0
$$

respectively. In both cases, one of the equations will be $a_{1} 0=j_{1} \neq 0$. We have no solutions in these cases.

If $d_{J}=2, x_{J}=y_{J}=0$, then we have

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We have 1) $d_{A}=2, x_{A}=1, y_{A}=0$, or 2) $d_{A}=2, x_{A}=0, y_{A}=1$, or 3 ) $d_{A}=2$, $x_{A}=y_{A}=0$. We are looking for a solution in the form

$$
\Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{1} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \beta & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \beta & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{1} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \beta & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \beta & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad \beta, a_{1} \neq 0
$$

respectively. In the first case, we obtain the equation $-\beta a_{1}^{2}=1$, i.e. the solution

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{1} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \beta & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, \quad \beta=-\frac{1}{a_{1}^{2}},  \tag{106}\\
& F^{12}=F^{1 p+2}=F^{p+12}=F^{p+1 p+2}=\frac{-1}{a_{1}^{4}} \tau^{3},  \tag{107}\\
& F^{13}=F^{p+13}=\frac{-1}{a_{1}} \tau^{2}, \quad F^{23}=F^{p+23}=\frac{1}{a_{1}} \tau^{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the second case, we obtain the equation $\beta a_{1}^{2}=1$, i.e. the solution

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \beta & 0 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{1} \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, \quad \beta=\frac{1}{a_{1}^{2}},  \tag{108}\\
& F^{12}=F^{1 p+2}=F^{p+12}=F^{p+1 p+2}=\frac{-1}{a_{1}^{4}} \tau^{3},  \tag{109}\\
& F^{1 p+3}=F^{p+1 p+3}=\frac{1}{a_{1}} \tau^{2}, \quad F^{2 p+3}=F^{p+2 p+3}=\frac{-1}{a_{1}} \tau^{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the third case, we obtain the equation $\beta 0=1$, i.e. there is no solution.
In the first and second cases, we have $F^{2}=F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}=0$.

### 4.4. The case $d_{J}=3$.

Let us consider the case $d_{J}=3, x_{J}=y_{J}=0$. We have the following matrix $\Sigma^{J}$, and we are looking for a solution in the following form $\Psi^{A}$ (because $d_{A}=3, x_{A}=y_{A}=0$ ):

$$
\Sigma^{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad \Psi^{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} \\
\hline \beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta \\
\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{O}
\end{array}\right), \quad \beta \neq 0
$$

We obtain the equation $\beta 0=1$, i.e. there is no solution.

## 5. Summary for the case of arbitrary $\mathbb{R}^{p, q}, p \geq 1, q \geq 1$.

Let we have the Yang-Mills equations (9) in pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{p, q}, p \geq 1$, $q \geq 1$, with the known constant current $J^{\mu}=J_{a}^{\mu} \tau^{a}$, the unknown constant potential $A^{\mu}=A_{a}^{\mu} \tau^{a}$, and the corresponding unknown strength $F^{\mu \nu}$ (15).
(i) For the matrix $J=\left(J_{a}^{\mu}\right)$, we calculate three parameters $d_{J}, x_{J}, y_{J}$, which are uniquely determined, $d_{J}+x_{J}+y_{J}=\operatorname{rank}(J), x_{A}$ is the number of positive eigenvalues of the matrix $J^{\mathrm{T}} \eta J, y_{A}$ is the number of negative eigenvalues of the matrix $J^{\mathrm{T}} \eta J, d_{J}=\operatorname{rank}(J)-\operatorname{rank}\left(J^{\mathrm{T}} \eta J\right)$ (see Theorem (1).
(ii) We calculate the hyperbolic singular values $j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}$ of the matrix $J$, which are uniquely determined. The corresponding matrices $Q \in \mathrm{O}(p, q), P \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ related to the HSVD are not uniquely determined.
(iii) For the corresponding $p, q, d_{J}, x_{J}, y_{J}, j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}$, we get all solutions of (9) and they are represented in Tables 2, 3, 4.
(a) For each current $J$, the explicit form of these solutions in terms of the potential $A$ and the strength $F$ is given in specific coordinate system (which is determined by the matrix $Q \in \mathrm{O}(p, q)$ related to the HSVD) and with specific gauge fixing (which is determined by the matrix $P \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ related to the HSVD). The connection $S \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$, which we use in the gauge fixing, is the two-sheeted covering of the matrix $P \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$.
(b) We can obtain the corresponding solutions in the original system of coordinates and with the original gauge fixing (see Remarks 1 and 2), using $P$ and $Q$.
(c) We calculate the invariant $F^{2}$ for all constant solutions, which is important from a physical point of view. The expression $F^{2}$ is gauge invariant and is invariant under pseudo-orthogonal transformations of coordinates. It is present in the Lagrangian of the Yang-Mills field.

We summarize the results for the case of arbitrary pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{p, q}$ in Table 2 (the nondegenerate case with $d_{J}=0$ and $\operatorname{rank}(J)=3$ ), Table 3 (the degenerate case with $d_{J}=0$ and $\operatorname{rank}(J)<3$ ), and Table 4 (the degenerate cases with $d_{J} \neq 0$ ). We remind that we consider only the cases with positive numbers (hyperbolic singular values of $J$ ) $j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}$. In the columns " $A$ ", " $F$ ", and " $F^{2}$ ", we indicate the number of nonzero solutions in terms of $A, F$, and $F^{2}$, with references to the corresponding explicit formulas. In the column "add.cond.", the additional conditions on the hyperbolic singular values $j_{1}, j_{2}$, and $j_{3}$ are indicated.

In the particular case of $n$-dimensional Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{1, n-1}$, which is the most important case from a physical point of view, not all types of solutions are realized. The conditions on $p$ and $q$ for each solution are indicated in the first column of Tables 2,3 , and 4.

In Table 2, we use the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{*}:=\frac{\left(s^{*}\right)^{2}+2}{s^{*}} \approx 7.66486>2 \sqrt{2} \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{*}:=\sqrt{13+\sqrt{193-6^{\frac{4}{3}}}+\sqrt{386+6^{\frac{4}{3}}+\frac{5362}{193-6^{\frac{4}{3}}}}} \approx 7.39438 \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 2. The case $\mathbb{R}^{p, q}, p \geq 1, q \geq 1, d_{J}=0, \operatorname{rank}(J)=3$.

| $p, q$ | $d_{J}$ | $x_{J}$ | $y_{J}$ | add.cond. | $d_{A}$ | $x_{A}$ | $y_{A}$ | $A$ | $F$ | $F^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p \geq 3, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | $j_{1}=j_{2}=j_{3}$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1:(29) | 1:(30) | 1:(31) |
| $p \geq 3, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | $j_{1}=j_{2}>j_{3}$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2: (32) | 2: (33) | 2: (35) |
| $p \geq 3, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | $j_{3}>j_{1}=j_{2}$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2: 32) | 2: 33) | 1:36) |
| $p \geq 3, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | all different $j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2: (32) | 2: (33) | 2: (37) |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 3$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | $j_{1}=j_{2}=j_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1: (38) | 1:(39) | 1:(40) |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 3$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | $j_{1}=j_{2}>j_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2: 41) | 2:42) | 2: (35) |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 3$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | $j_{3}>j_{1}=j_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2: 41) | 2:42) | 1:(36) |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 3$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | all different $j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2: (41) | 2: (42) | 2: (37) |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | $j_{1}=j_{2}<\frac{j_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}}, \frac{j_{3}}{j_{1}}=B^{*}$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6:44) | 6:45) | 3:47) |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | $j_{1}=j_{2}<\frac{j_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}}, \frac{j_{3}}{j_{1}} \neq B^{*}$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6:44) | 6:45 | 4:47) |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | $j_{1}=j_{2}=\frac{j_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}}$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4:44) | 4:45) | $F^{2}=0$ and 2:48) |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | $j_{1}=j_{2}>\frac{j_{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}}$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2: (44) | 2:45) | 2:49) |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | $j_{1} \neq j_{2}, j_{\frac{2}{3}}^{\frac{2}{3}}>j_{2}^{\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{3}}+j_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{2}{3}}$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6: (44) | 6:45) | 2-6: 50 |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | $j_{1} \neq j_{2}, j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}}=j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4: (44) | 4:45) | $F^{2}=0$ and 3:(51) |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | $j_{1} \neq j_{2}, j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}}<j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2: (44) | 2:45) | 2:(52) |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 2$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | $j_{3}=j_{1}<\frac{j_{2}}{2 \sqrt{2}}, \frac{j_{2}}{j_{3}}=B^{*}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6: 53) | 6: (54) | 3: (56) |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 2$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | $j_{3}=j_{1}<\frac{j_{2}}{2 \sqrt{2}}, \frac{j_{2}}{j_{3}} \neq B^{*}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6: 53) | 6: (54) | 4:(56) |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 2$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | $j_{3}=j_{1}=\frac{j_{2}}{2 \sqrt{2}}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4: 53) | 4: (54) | $F^{2}=0$ and $2: 5$ |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 2$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | $j_{3}=j_{1}>\frac{j_{2}}{2 \sqrt{2}}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2: 53) | 2: (54) | 2:(58) |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 2$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | $j_{3} \neq j_{1}, j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}>j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6: 53) | 6: 54) | 2-6: 59) |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 2$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | $j_{3} \neq j_{1}, j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}=j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{\frac{2}{2}}^{\frac{2}{3}}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4: 53) | 4: 54 | $F^{2}=0$ and $3: 60$ |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 2$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | $j_{3} \neq j_{1}, j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}<j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2: 53) | 2:54) | 2: (61) |

Table 3. The case $\mathbb{R}^{p, q}, p \geq 1, q \geq 1, d_{J}=0, \operatorname{rank}(J)<3$.

| $p, q$ | $d_{J}$ | $x_{J}$ | $y_{J}$ | add.cond. | $d_{A}$ | $x_{A}$ | $y_{A}$ | $A$ | $F$ | $F^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | $1:(62)$ | $1:(63)$ | $1:(64)$ |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 2$ | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | $1:(65)$ | $1:(66)$ | $1:(67)$ |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | $1:(68)$ | $1:(69)$ | $1:(70)$ |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 2$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | $j_{1}=j_{2}$ | 0 | 2 | 2 | $1:(71)$ | $1:(72)$ | $1:(73)$ |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | $j_{2}>j_{1}$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | $4:(74)$ | $4:(75)$ | $2:(76)$ |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 2$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | $j_{1}>j_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | $4:(77)$ | $4:(78)$ | $2:(79)$ |
| $p \geq 1, q=1$ | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 2$ | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | $4:(80)$ | $4:(81)$ | $1:(82)$ |
| $p=1, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 | 1 | $4:(83)$ | $4:(84)$ | $1:(85)$ |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $A=0$ | $F=0$ | $F^{2}=0$ |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\infty:(86)$ | $F=0$ | $F^{2}=0$ |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\infty:(87)$ | $F=0$ | $F^{2}=0$ |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | $1:(88)$ | $F=0$ | $F^{2}=0$ |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 2$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | $1:(89)$ | $1:((90)$ | $F^{2}=0$ |
| $p \geq 3, q \geq 3$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | $1:(91)$ | $1:(92)$ | $F^{2}=0$ |

Table 4. The case $\mathbb{R}^{p, q}, p \geq 1, q \geq 1, d_{J} \neq 0$.

| $p, q$ | $d_{J}$ | $x_{J}$ | $y_{J}$ | add.cond. | $d_{A}$ | $x_{A}$ | $y_{A}$ | $A$ | $F$ | $F^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p \geq 3, q \geq 1$ | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 1 | 2 | 0 | $1:(93)$ | $1:(94)$ | $1:(95)$ |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 3$ | 1 | 0 | 2 |  | 1 | 0 | 2 | $1:(96)$ | $1:(97)$ | $1:(98)$ |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 2$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $j_{1}=j_{2}$ |  |  |  | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 2$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $j_{1} \neq j_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1:(99)$ | $1:(100)$ | $1:(101)$ |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 1$ | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 2$ | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| $p=1, q=1$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 1$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\infty:(102)$ | $\infty:(103)$ | $F^{2}=0$ |
| $p \geq 1, q \geq 2$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | $\infty:(104)$ | $\infty:(105)$ | $F^{2}=0$ |
| $p \geq 3, q \geq 2$ | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 3$ | 2 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| $p=2, q=2$ | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| $p \geq 3, q \geq 2$ | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 1 | 0 | $\infty:(106)$ | $\infty:(107)$ | $F^{2}=0$ |
| $p \geq 2, q \geq 3$ | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 1 | $\infty:(108)$ | $\infty:(109)$ | $F^{2}=0$ |
| $p \geq 3, q \geq 3$ | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |

## 6. Conclusions.

The main result of this paper is the presentation of all constant solutions of the YangMills equations with $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ gauge symmetry for an arbitrary constant current in pseudoEuclidean space of arbitrary finite dimension and signature. All solutions in terms of the potential $A$, the strength $F$, and the invariant $F^{2}$ with references to the corresponding explicit formulas are presented in Tables 2, 3, and 4. Using the invariance of the YangMills equations under the pseudo-orthogonal transformations of coordinates and gauge invariance, we choose a specific system of coordinates and a specific gauge fixing for each constant current and obtain all constant solutions of the Yang-Mills equations in this system of coordinates with this gauge fixing, and then in the original system of coordinates with the original gauge fixing (see Remarks 1 and 2 and the discussion in Section (5). We prove that the number of constant solutions of the Yang-Mills equations in terms of the strength $F$ depends on the parameters $d_{J}, x_{J}, y_{J}$ and the hyperbolic singular values of the matrix of current $J$. The explicit form of all solutions and the invariant $F^{2}$ can always be written using the hyperbolic singular values of the matrix $J$. Note that sometimes we have solutions with different values of the strength $F$, but the same invariant $F^{2}$ (compare the columns " $F$ " and " $F$ " in Tables 2, 3, and 4). These and other facts require further study and comparison of the constant solutions presented in this paper with other known solutions.

We can consider nonconstant solutions of Yang-Mills equations in the form of series of perturbation theory using all constant solutions as a zeroth approximation. For the first approximation, the problem reduces to solving the system of linear partial differential equations with constant coefficients. For the second and subsequent approximations, the problem reduces to solving the systems of linear partial differential equations with variable coefficients. These facts can help us to give a local classification of all (nonconstant) solutions of the classical SU(2) Yang-Mills equations with an arbitrary non-Abelian current. The results of this paper are new and can be used to solve some problems in particle physics, in particular, to describe physical vacuum [1, 11, 13, 17]. In this paper, we discuss mathematical structures and constructions. Relating the proposed mathematical constructions to real world objects goes beyond the scope of this investigation. An interesting task is to generalize the results of this paper to the case of the Lie group $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ or, more generally, the Lie group $\mathrm{SU}(N)$. The same problems can be considered on curved manifolds.
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## Appendix A: Proof of Lemma 6.

The first four cases of Lemma 6 are easily verified.
(v) Let us consider the case $j_{1}=0, j_{2} \neq 0, j_{3} \neq 0$. We have

$$
b_{1}\left(\left(b_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(b_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=0, \quad b_{2}\left(\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(b_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{2}, \quad b_{3}\left(\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{2}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{3} .
$$

We obtain $b_{1}=0$ or $b_{2}= \pm b_{3}$. In the case $b_{1}=0$, we get the unique solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=0, \quad b_{2}=-\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}^{2}}{j_{2}}}, \quad b_{3}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{2}^{2}}{j_{3}}} \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $b_{2}=b_{3}$, we get

$$
b_{3}\left(\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(b_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{2}, \quad b_{3}\left(\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{3} .
$$

Adding and subtracting both sides of these equations, we obtain

$$
2 b_{3}\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}=j_{2}+j_{3}, \quad 2\left(b_{3}\right)^{3}=j_{3}-j_{2} .
$$

If $j_{2}=j_{3}$, then $b_{3}=0, j_{2}=-j_{3}, j_{2}=j_{3}=0$, and we obtain a contradiction. If $j_{2}=-j_{3}$, then $b_{1}=0$ or $b_{3}=0$. If $b_{1}=0$, then $b_{2}=b_{3}=\sqrt[3]{j_{3}}$. We already have this solution (112). If $b_{3}=0$, then $j_{3}-j_{2}=0, j_{2}=j_{3}=0$, and we obtain a contradiction. In the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{2} \neq \pm j_{3}, \quad \frac{j_{2}+j_{3}}{b_{3}} \geq 0 \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get the solutions

$$
b_{3}=b_{2}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}-j_{2}}{2}}, \quad b_{1}= \pm \sqrt[2]{\frac{j_{3}+j_{2}}{2 b_{3}}}
$$

Note that (113) is equivalent to $j_{3}>j_{2}$.
In the case $b_{2}=-b_{3}$, we get

$$
-b_{3}\left(\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(b_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{2}, \quad b_{3}\left(\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=j_{3} .
$$

Adding and subtracting both sides of these equations, we obtain

$$
2\left(b_{3}\right)^{3}=j_{2}+j_{3}, \quad 2 b_{3}\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}=j_{3}-j_{2} .
$$

If $j_{2}=-j_{3}$, then $b_{3}=0, j_{2}=j_{3}, j_{2}=j_{3}=0$, and we obtain a contradiction. If $j_{2}=j_{3}$, then $b_{1}=0$ or $b_{3}=0$. If $b_{1}=0$, then $b_{2}=-b_{3}=-\sqrt[3]{j_{2}}$. We already have this solution (112). If $b_{3}=0$, then $j_{2}+j_{3}=0, j_{2}=j_{3}=0$, and we obtain a contradiction. In the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{2} \neq \pm j_{3}, \quad \frac{j_{3}-j_{2}}{b_{3}} \geq 0 \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get the solutions

$$
b_{3}=-b_{2}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}+j_{2}}{2}}, \quad b_{1}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{j_{3}-j_{2}}{2 b_{3}}}
$$

Note that (114) is equivalent to $j_{3}>j_{2}$.
We calculate $K$ for these 4 solutions:

$$
K=\left(b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}=\left(\sqrt{\frac{j_{3} \pm j_{2}}{2 b_{3}}} b_{3}^{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}=\left(\sqrt{\frac{\left(j_{3} \pm j_{2}\right) b_{3}^{3}}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{\left(j_{3}+j_{2}\right)\left(j_{3}-j_{2}\right)}{4}}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}^{2}-j_{2}^{2}}{4}}
$$

(vi) Let us consider the case of all positive $j_{1}>0, j_{2}>0, j_{3}>0$. We use the following change of variables:

$$
x=b_{1} \neq 0, \quad y=\frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}} \neq 0, \quad z=\frac{b_{3}}{b_{1}} \neq 0
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{1}=x^{3}\left(y^{2}-z^{2}\right), \quad j_{2}=y x^{3}\left(1-z^{2}\right), \quad j_{3}=z x^{3}\left(1+y^{2}\right) . \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using notation

$$
A=\frac{j_{2}}{j_{1}}>0, \quad B=\frac{j_{3}}{j_{1}}>0
$$

we get the system for two variables $y$ and $z$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(1-z^{2}\right)=A\left(y^{2}-z^{2}\right), \quad z\left(1+y^{2}\right)=B\left(y^{2}-z^{2}\right) \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the variable $x=b_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=x=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}}{\left(y^{2}-z^{2}\right)}} \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the first equation (116), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A-y) z^{2}=y(A y-1) \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider two cases: $A=1$ and $A \neq 1$.
In the case $A=1$, we can rewrite $(1-y) z^{2}=y(y-1)$ in the form $(1-y)\left(y+z^{2}\right)=0$. If $y=1$, then using the second equation (116), we get $2 z=B\left(1-z^{2}\right)$, i.e. $z^{2}+\frac{2}{B} z-1=0$. We have $z=\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1+B^{2}}}{B}$. If $y=-z^{2}$, then we substitute this expression into the second equation (116) and obtain $z\left(1+z^{4}\right)=B\left(z^{4}-z^{2}\right), z^{5}-B z^{4}+B z^{2}+z=0$. Dividing both sides by $z^{3}$ and using the notation $s=z-\frac{1}{z}$, we get $s^{2}-B s+2=0, s=\frac{B \pm \sqrt{B^{2}-8}}{2}$. We have $z^{2}-s z-1=0$ and obtain $z=\frac{s \pm \sqrt{s^{2}+4}}{2}$ for each $s$. Thus in the case $B \geq 2 \sqrt{2}$, we have 2 or 4 additional solutions.

The results for the case $A=1$ can be summarized as follows. If $j_{1}=j_{2}>0, j_{3}>0$, then we have 2 solutions

$$
b_{1 \pm}=b_{2 \pm}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}}{\left(1-z_{ \pm}^{2}\right)}}, \quad b_{3 \pm}=z_{ \pm} b_{1 \pm}, \quad z_{ \pm}=\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1+B^{2}}}{B}, \quad B=\frac{j_{3}}{j_{1}},
$$

and in the case $B=2 \sqrt{2}$ we have 2 additional solutions ( $s^{ \pm}=s$ in this case), and in the case $B>2 \sqrt{2}$ we have 4 additional solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{1 \pm}^{ \pm}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}}{\left(w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{4}-\left(w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}}}, \quad b_{2 \pm}^{ \pm}=-\left(w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{2} b_{1 \pm}^{ \pm}, \quad b_{3 \pm}^{ \pm}=w_{ \pm}^{ \pm} b_{1 \pm}^{ \pm}, \\
& w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}=\frac{s^{ \pm} \pm \sqrt{\left(s^{ \pm}\right)^{2}+4}}{2}, \quad s^{ \pm}=\frac{B \pm \sqrt{B^{2}-8}}{2}, \quad B=\frac{j_{3}}{j_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $z_{+} z_{-}=-1, \frac{z_{ \pm}}{1-z_{ \pm}^{2}}=\frac{B}{2}, w_{+}^{ \pm} w_{-}^{ \pm}=-1, \frac{w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}}{\left(w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}-1}=\frac{1}{s^{ \pm}}$, we get the following conserved quantity for the first pair of solutions

$$
K:=-b_{1+} b_{1-}=-b_{2+} b_{2-}=b_{3+} b_{3-}=\left(b_{1+} b_{2+} b_{3+}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}=\left(b_{1-} b_{2-} b_{3-}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}=\left(\frac{B j_{1}}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}},
$$

the following conserved quantity for the other two pairs of solutions

$$
K:=-b_{1+}^{ \pm} b_{1-}^{ \pm}=-b_{2+}^{ \pm} b_{2-}^{ \pm}=b_{3+}^{ \pm} b_{3-}^{ \pm}=\left(b_{1+}^{ \pm} b_{2+}^{ \pm} b_{3+}^{ \pm}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}=\left(b_{1-}^{ \pm} b_{2-}^{ \pm} b_{3-}^{ \pm}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}=\left(\frac{j_{1}}{s^{ \pm}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} .
$$

We can rewrite solutions in the following form

$$
\begin{gathered}
b_{1 \pm}=b_{2 \pm}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{2 z_{ \pm}}}, \quad b_{3 \pm}=z_{ \pm} b_{1 \pm}, \quad z_{ \pm}=\frac{-j_{1} \pm \sqrt{j_{1}^{2}+j_{3}^{2}}}{j_{3}} \\
b_{1 \pm}^{ \pm}=\frac{1}{w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}} b_{3}^{ \pm}, \quad b_{2 \pm}^{ \pm}=-w_{ \pm}^{ \pm} b_{3}^{ \pm}, \quad b_{3}^{ \pm}=b_{ \pm}^{ \pm}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}}{s^{ \pm}}} \\
w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}=\frac{s^{ \pm} \pm \sqrt{\left(s^{ \pm}\right)^{2}+4}}{2}, \quad s^{ \pm}=\frac{j_{3} \pm \sqrt{j_{3}^{2}-8 j_{1}^{2}}}{2 j_{1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the second case, we have also $b_{3+}^{ \pm}=b_{3-}^{ \pm}, b_{1 \pm}^{ \pm}=b_{2 \mp}^{ \pm}$.
Let us consider the case $A \neq 1$. We have $A \neq y$. Really, suppose $A=y$. Since (118), we get $A=1$, i.e. a contradiction. Using (118), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2}=\frac{y(A y-1)}{A-y} \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (116), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2}\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{2}=B^{2}\left(y^{2}-z^{2}\right)^{2} . \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

We require that expressions $z$ and $y^{2}-z^{2}$ are of the same sign to obtain equivalent transformation. We have $y^{2}-z^{2}=y^{2}-\frac{y(A y-1)}{A-y}=\frac{y\left(1-y^{2}\right)}{A-y}$, i.e. $z=\lambda \sqrt{\frac{y(A y-1)}{A-y}}$, where
$\lambda=\operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{y\left(1-y^{2}\right)}{A-y}\right)$. We also need the following condition $\frac{y(A y-1)}{A-y} \geq 0$. This condition is satisfied automatically if we take $y$ from (121). We substitute (119) into (120) and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{y(A y-1)}{A-y}\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{2}=B^{2}\left(y^{2}-\frac{y(A y-1)}{A-y}\right)^{2} . \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{y(A y-1)\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{2}}{A-y}=\frac{B^{2}\left(y-y^{3}\right)^{2}}{(A-y)^{2}}, \quad(A y-1)\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{2}(A-y)=B^{2} y\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{2} \\
A y^{6}+\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right) y^{5}+3 A y^{4}-2\left(A^{2}+B^{2}+1\right) y^{3}+3 A y^{2}+\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right) y+A=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Dividing both sides by $y^{3}$ and using the notation $t=y+\frac{1}{y}\left(t^{2}=y^{2}+\frac{1}{y^{2}}+2\right.$, $t^{3}=y^{3}+3 y+3 \frac{1}{y}+\frac{1}{y^{3}}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A t^{3}+\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right)\left(t^{2}-2\right)-2\left(A^{2}+B^{2}+1\right) & =0 \\
A t^{3}+\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right) t^{2}-4 B^{2} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain the following cubic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t):=t^{3}+\left(\frac{B^{2}}{A}-A-\frac{1}{A}\right) t^{2}-4 \frac{B^{2}}{A}=0 . \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $t=y+\frac{1}{y}$, we are only interested in the solutions $t \geq 2$ or $t \leq-2$ of this equation.
Let us find extrema of the function $f(t): f^{\prime}(t)=3 t^{2}+2 t\left(\frac{B^{2}}{A}-A-\frac{1}{A}\right)=0$. The function has one extremum at the point $t=0$, which is $f(0)=-\frac{4 B^{2}}{A}<0$, and another extrema at the point $T=-\frac{2\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right)}{3 A}$, which is $f(T)=\frac{4\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right)^{3}}{27 A^{3}}-\frac{4 B^{2}}{A}$.

Also we have $f(-\infty)=-\infty, f(-2)=\frac{-4(A+1)^{2}}{A}<0, f(2)=\frac{-4(A-1)^{2}}{A}<0$, $f\left(A+\frac{1}{A}\right)=\frac{B^{2}\left(A^{2}-1\right)^{2}}{A^{3}}>0, f(+\infty)=+\infty$. This means that the equation (122) always has one solution $2<t_{1}<A+\frac{1}{A}$.

Suppose $-2<T$ and $f(T)>0$. These conditions take the form $\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right)<3 A$ and $\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right)^{3}>27 A^{2} B^{2}$. Raising the first inequality in the third degree and comparing with the second one, we get $27 A^{2} B^{2}<27 A^{3}$, i.e. $B^{2}<A$. On the other hand, the second inequality implies $B^{2}>A^{2}+1$. We obtain $A^{2}+1<A, A^{2}-A+1<0$, i.e. a contradiction.

This means that if the cubic equation has another solution except the first one $t_{1}>2$, then it is less than -2 . Thus all solutions of (122) are suitable for us. The number of these solutions is from 1 to 3 and it depends on $A$ and $B$. We have two solutions in the case $f(T)=0$, i.e. $\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right)^{3}=27 A^{2} B^{2}$. We have one solution in the case $\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right)^{3}<27 A^{2} B^{2}$, we have three solutions in the case $\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right)^{3}>27 A^{2} B^{2}$. We can get the explicit form of these solutions using the Cardano formulas.

Let us prove that $\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right)^{3}>27 A^{2} B^{2}$ is equivalent to $B^{\frac{2}{3}}>A^{\frac{2}{3}}+1$. Considering the equation $\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right)^{3}-27 A^{2} B^{2}=0$ as a cubic equation for $B^{2}$, we can find one of the roots $B^{2}=\left(A^{\frac{2}{3}}+1\right)^{3}$. We get

$$
\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right)^{3}-27 A^{2} B^{2}=\left(B^{2}-(a+1)^{3}\right)\left(B^{4}+B^{2}\left((a+1)^{3}-3\left(1+a^{3}\right)\right)+\left(a^{2}-a+1\right)^{3}\right),
$$

where $a:=A^{\frac{2}{3}}$. This equation has no other roots because the discriminant for the corresponding quadratic equation is negative: $D=-27 a^{2}(a-1)^{2}<0$ (we use $0<a=A^{\frac{2}{3}} \neq 1$ ).

Finally, in the case $A \neq 1$, we have the solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{1 \pm}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}}{\left(y_{ \pm}^{2}-z_{ \pm}^{2}\right)}}, \quad b_{2 \pm}=y_{ \pm} b_{1 \pm}, \quad b_{3 \pm}=z_{ \pm} b_{1 \pm} \\
& z_{ \pm}=\lambda_{ \pm} \sqrt{\frac{y_{ \pm}\left(A y_{ \pm}-1\right)}{A-y_{ \pm}}}, \quad y_{ \pm}=\frac{t_{k} \pm \sqrt{t_{k}^{2}-4}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda_{ \pm}=\operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{y_{ \pm}\left(1-y_{ \pm}^{2}\right)}{A-y_{ \pm}}\right)$and $t_{k}=t_{k}(A, B), k=1,2,3$, are solutions of (122). Using $\frac{y_{ \pm} z_{ \pm}}{y_{ \pm}^{2} z_{ \pm}^{2}}=\frac{B y_{ \pm}^{2}}{1+y_{ \pm}^{2}}=\frac{B}{t_{k}}, y_{+} y_{-}=1, \lambda_{+} \lambda_{-}=-1, z_{+} z_{-}=-1$, we obtain the following conserved quantity

$$
K:=-b_{1+} b_{1-}=-b_{2+} b_{2-}=b_{3+} b_{3-}=\left(b_{1+} b_{2+} b_{3+}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}=\left(b_{1-} b_{2-} b_{3-}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}=\left(\frac{j_{3}}{t_{k}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}},
$$

and can rewrite the solutions in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{1 \pm}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{t_{k} y_{ \pm} z_{ \pm}}}, \quad b_{2 \pm}=y_{ \pm} b_{1 \pm}, \quad b_{3 \pm}=z_{ \pm} b_{1 \pm} \\
& z_{ \pm}=\lambda_{ \pm} \sqrt{\frac{y_{ \pm}\left(A y_{ \pm}-1\right)}{A-y_{ \pm}}}, \quad y_{ \pm}=\frac{t_{k} \pm \sqrt{t_{k}^{2}-4}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda_{ \pm}=\operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{y_{ \pm}\left(1-y_{ \pm}^{2}\right)}{A-y_{ \pm}}\right)$, and $t_{k}=t_{k}(A, B)$ are solutions of (122). We have from 1 to 3 such numbers $t_{k}$ and from 2 to 6 solutions of the considered system of equations.

We verify $y_{+} y_{-}=1$ by direct calculation. Let us verify $\lambda_{+} \lambda_{-}=-1$ and $z_{+} z_{-}=-1$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{+} \lambda_{-} & =\operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{y_{+}\left(1-y_{+}^{2}\right)}{A-y_{+}}\right) \operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{y_{-}\left(1-y_{-}^{2}\right)}{A-y_{-}}\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{y_{+} y_{-}\left(1-y_{+}^{2}\right)\left(1-y_{-}^{2}\right)}{\left(A-y_{+}\right)\left(A-y_{-}\right)}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{1-y_{-}^{2}-y_{+}^{2}+\left(y_{+} y_{-}\right)^{2}}{A^{2}-A\left(y_{-}+y_{+}\right)+y_{+} y_{-}}\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{2-\left(y_{-}^{2}+y_{+}^{2}\right)}{A^{2}+1-A\left(y_{+}+y_{-}\right)}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{sign}\left(\frac{4-t_{k}^{2}}{A^{2}+1-A t_{k}}\right)=-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

because the numerator is negative $\left(\left|t_{k}\right|>2\right)$ and the denominator is positive $\left(t_{k}<\right.$ $\left.A+\frac{1}{A}\right)$. We use $f\left(A+\frac{1}{A}\right)=\frac{B^{2}\left(A^{2}-1\right)^{2}}{A^{3}}>0$, and this means that the largest solution of the cubic equation is between 2 and $A+\frac{1}{A}$.

We have

$$
z_{+} z_{-}=\lambda_{+} \lambda_{-} \sqrt{\frac{y_{+} y_{-}\left(A y_{+}-1\right)\left(A y_{-}-1\right)}{\left(A-y_{+}\right)\left(A-y_{-}\right)}}=-\sqrt{\frac{\left(A^{2}-A\left(y_{+}+y_{-}\right)+1\right)}{A^{2}-A\left(y_{+}+y_{-}\right)+1}}=-1 .
$$

The lemma is proved.

## Appendix B: Proof of Lemma 8.

1) For the first type of solutions in Cases (vi) - (a), (b), (c) of Lemma 6, using

$$
b_{1 \pm}=b_{2 \pm}, \quad b_{3 \pm}=z_{ \pm} b_{1 \pm}, \quad b_{1 \pm}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{2 z_{ \pm}}}, \quad K=\left(\frac{j_{3}}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{ \pm}^{2} & =-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(b_{1 \pm} b_{2 \pm}\right)^{2}-\left(b_{2 \pm} b_{3 \pm}\right)^{2}-\left(b_{3 \pm} b_{1 \pm}\right)^{2}\right) I_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} b_{1 \pm}^{4}\left(1-2 z_{ \pm}^{2}\right) I_{2} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{j_{3}}{2 z_{ \pm}}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}\left(1-2 z_{ \pm}^{2}\right) I_{2}=\frac{K^{2}\left(2 z_{ \pm}^{2}\right)-1}{2 z_{ \pm}^{4}} I_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us prove that $F_{+}^{2} \neq F_{-}^{2}$ in this case. Suppose we have $F_{+}^{2}=F_{-}^{2}$, i.e.

$$
\frac{1-2 z_{+}^{2}}{z_{+}^{\frac{4}{3}}}=\frac{1-2 z_{-}^{2}}{z_{-}^{\frac{4}{3}}}, \quad z_{-}^{\frac{4}{3}}-2 z_{+}^{2} z_{-}^{\frac{4}{3}}=z_{+}^{\frac{4}{3}}-2 z_{-}^{2} z_{+}^{\frac{4}{3}} .
$$

Using $z_{+} z_{-}=-1$, we get

$$
z_{-}^{\frac{4}{3}}-2 z_{+}^{\frac{2}{3}}=z_{+}^{\frac{4}{3}}-2 z_{-}^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad\left(z_{-}^{\frac{2}{3}}+1\right)^{2}=\left(z_{+}^{\frac{2}{3}}+1\right)^{2}, \quad\left(z_{-}^{\frac{2}{3}}+z_{+}^{\frac{2}{3}}+2\right)\left(z_{-}^{\frac{2}{3}}-z_{+}^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)=0
$$

which is not possible, because $z_{+}, z_{-}$do not equal $\pm 1$.
We see that $F_{ \pm}^{2}=0$ if and only if $z_{ \pm}= \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Using $2 z=B\left(1-z^{2}\right)$, we get $B=\frac{j_{3}}{j_{1}}=2 \sqrt{2}$, i.e. $F^{2}$ is zero only in Case (b) for this type of solutions.

If $\frac{j_{3}}{j_{1}}=2 \sqrt{2}$, then $z_{+}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, z_{-}=-\sqrt{2}$. For $z_{+}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, we obtain $F_{+}^{2}=0$. For $z_{-}=-\sqrt{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{-}^{2}=\frac{3 K^{2}}{2^{\frac{2}{3}} 2} I_{2}=\frac{3 j_{3}^{\frac{4}{3}}}{8} I_{2} . \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) For the second type of solutions in Cases (vi) - (a), (b), (c), using

$$
c_{1 \pm}^{ \pm}=\frac{1}{w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}} c_{3}^{ \pm}, \quad c_{2 \pm}^{ \pm}=-w_{ \pm}^{ \pm} c_{3}^{ \pm}, \quad c_{3 \pm}^{ \pm}=c_{3}^{ \pm}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{1}}{s^{ \pm}}}, \quad K=\left(\frac{j_{1}}{s^{ \pm}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}},
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(F_{ \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(c_{1 \pm}^{ \pm} c_{2 \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}-\left(c_{2 \pm}^{ \pm} c_{3 \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}-\left(c_{3 \pm}^{ \pm} c_{1 \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}\right) I_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{3 \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{4}\left(1-\left(w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{\left(w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}}\right) I_{2} \\
= & -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{j_{1}}{s^{ \pm}}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}\left(1-\left(w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{\left(w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}}\right) I_{2}=-\frac{K^{2}}{2}\left(1-\left(w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{\left(w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}}\right) I_{2}=\frac{K^{2}}{2}\left(\left(s^{ \pm}\right)^{2}+1\right) I_{2}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last equality, we use $w_{ \pm}-\frac{1}{w_{ \pm}}=s$, i.e. $w_{ \pm}^{2}+\frac{1}{w_{ \pm}^{2}}=s^{2}+2$.
We have $\left(F_{+}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}=\left(F_{-}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}$, because $\left(F_{ \pm}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}$ does not depend on $w_{ \pm}$.
Let us prove that $\left(F_{+}^{+}\right)^{2}=\left(F_{-}^{+}\right)^{2} \neq\left(F_{+}^{-}\right)^{2}=\left(F_{-}^{-}\right)^{2}$. Suppose we have $\left(F^{+}\right)^{2}=$ $\left(F^{-}\right)^{2}$, i.e.

$$
\frac{1+\left(s^{+}\right)^{2}}{\left(s^{+}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}}=\frac{1+\left(s^{-}\right)^{2}}{\left(s^{-}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}}, \quad\left(s^{-}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}+\left(s^{+}\right)^{2}\left(s^{-}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}=\left(s^{+}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}+\left(s^{-}\right)^{2}\left(s^{+}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}
$$

Using $s^{+} s^{-}=2$ (because $s^{2}-B s+2=0$ ), we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(s^{-}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}+2^{\frac{4}{3}}\left(s^{+}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}=\left(s^{+}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}+2^{\frac{4}{3}}\left(s^{-}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad\left(\left(s^{-}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}-2^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)^{2}=\left(\left(s^{+}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}-2^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)^{2}, \\
\left(\left(s^{+}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}+\left(s^{-}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}-2^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)\left(\left(s^{+}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}-\left(s^{-}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

which is not possible, because $\left(s^{+}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}+\left(s^{-}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \geq 2 \sqrt{\left(s^{+} s^{-}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}}=2^{\frac{4}{3}}$ and if $s^{+}=s^{-}$, then $s^{+}=s^{-}=\sqrt{2}, B=2 \sqrt{2}$, and we obtain a contradiction.

In the particular case $B=\frac{j_{3}}{j_{1}}$, we obtain $s=s^{ \pm}=\sqrt{2}, w_{ \pm}=w_{ \pm}^{ \pm}=\frac{\sqrt{2} \pm \sqrt{6}}{2}$, and

$$
\left(F_{ \pm}\right)^{2}=\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{j_{1}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} I_{2}=\frac{3 j_{3}^{\frac{4}{3}}}{2^{\frac{11}{3}}} I_{2},
$$

which does not coincide with (123).
3) Let us show that $F^{2}$ can be the same for the first type of solutions $b_{k}$ and for the second type of solutions $c_{k}$ in Case (vi) - (a). Suppose

$$
\frac{j_{3}^{\frac{4}{3}}\left(2 z^{2}-1\right)}{2^{\frac{4}{3}} z^{\frac{4}{3}}}=\frac{j_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}}\left(1+s^{2}\right)}{s^{\frac{4}{3}}}
$$

Using Wolfram Mathematica 11.1, we solved the system

$$
\left(2 z^{2}-1\right)^{3}(B s)^{4}=\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{3}(2 z)^{4}, \quad B z^{2}+2 z-B=0, \quad s^{2}-B s+2=0, \quad B>0 .
$$

This system of equation has the following unique solution

$$
\begin{gathered}
s^{*}=\sqrt{13+\sqrt{193-6^{\frac{4}{3}}}+\sqrt{386+6^{\frac{4}{3}}+\frac{5362}{193-6^{\frac{4}{3}}}}} \approx 7.39438, \\
B^{*}=\frac{\left(s^{*}\right)^{2}+2}{s^{*}} \approx 7.66486, \quad z^{*}=\frac{-1+\sqrt{1+\left(B^{*}\right)^{2}}}{B^{*}} \approx 0.878009 .
\end{gathered}
$$

This means that if $B=B^{*}$, then $\left(F_{ \pm}^{+}\right)^{2}$ for $\left(s^{+}\right)^{*}=\frac{B^{*}+\sqrt{\left(B^{*}\right)^{2}-8}}{2}$ coincides with $F_{+}^{2}$ for $z_{+}^{*}=\frac{-1+\sqrt{1+\left(B^{*}\right)^{2}}}{B^{*}}$.
4) In Cases (vi) - (d), (e), (f), using

$$
d_{2 \pm}=y_{ \pm} d_{1 \pm}, \quad d_{3 \pm}=z_{ \pm} d_{1 \pm}, \quad d_{1 \pm}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{j_{3}}{t_{k} y_{ \pm} z_{ \pm}}}, \quad K=\left(\frac{j_{3}}{t_{k}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{ \pm}^{2}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(d_{1 \pm} d_{2 \pm}\right)^{2}-\left(d_{2 \pm} d_{3 \pm}\right)^{2}-\left(d_{3 \pm} d_{1 \pm}\right)^{2}\right) I_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} d_{1 \pm}^{4}\left(y_{ \pm}^{2}-z_{ \pm}^{2}-y_{ \pm}^{2} z_{ \pm}^{2}\right) I_{2} \\
 \tag{124}\\
=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{j_{3}}{t_{k} y_{ \pm} z_{ \pm}}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}\left(y_{ \pm}^{2}-z_{ \pm}^{2}-y_{ \pm}^{2} z_{ \pm}^{2}\right) I_{2}=\frac{K^{2}\left(y_{ \pm}^{2} z_{ \pm}^{2}-y_{ \pm}^{2}+z_{ \pm}^{2}\right)}{2\left(y_{ \pm} z_{ \pm}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}} I_{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using $z_{ \pm}^{2}=\frac{y_{ \pm}\left(A y_{ \pm}-1\right)}{A-y_{ \pm}}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{ \pm}^{2} & =\frac{K^{2}\left(y_{ \pm}^{2} z_{ \pm}^{2}-y_{ \pm}^{2}+z_{ \pm}^{2}\right)}{2\left(y_{ \pm} z_{ \pm}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}} I_{2}=\frac{K^{2}\left(\left(1+y_{ \pm}^{2}\right) \frac{y_{ \pm}\left(A y_{ \pm}-1\right)}{A-y_{ \pm}}-y_{ \pm}^{2}\right)}{2 y_{ \pm}^{\frac{4}{3}}\left(\frac{y_{ \pm}\left(A y_{ \pm-1)}\right.}{A-y_{ \pm}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}} I_{2} \\
& =\frac{K^{2}\left(A y_{ \pm}^{3}-1\right)}{2 y_{ \pm}\left(A-y_{ \pm}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\left(A y_{ \pm}-1\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}} I_{2} . \tag{125}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us prove that $F_{+}^{2} \neq F_{-}^{2}$ in this case. Suppose we have $F_{+}^{2}=F_{-}^{2}$, i.e.

$$
\frac{\left(1-A y_{+}^{3}\right)^{3}}{y_{+}^{3}\left(A-y_{+}\right)\left(1-A y_{+}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\left(1-A y_{-}^{3}\right)^{3}}{y_{-}^{3}\left(A-y_{-}\right)\left(1-A y_{-}\right)^{2}}
$$

Using $y_{-}=y_{+}^{-1}$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{+}^{3}\left(A-y_{+}\right)\left(1-A y_{+}\right)^{2}\left(1-\frac{A}{y_{+}^{3}}\right)^{3}=\left(1-A y_{+}^{3}\right)^{3} \frac{1}{y_{+}^{3}}\left(A-\frac{1}{y_{+}}\right)\left(1-\frac{A}{y_{+}}\right)^{2}, \\
\left(y_{+}^{3}-A\right)^{3}\left(1-A y_{+}\right)=\left(1-A y_{+}^{3}\right)^{3}\left(y_{+}-A\right), \\
\left(A^{3}-A\right) y_{+}^{10}+\left(1-A^{4}\right) y_{+}^{9}+3\left(A^{3}-A\right) y_{+}^{6}+3\left(A-A^{3}\right) y_{+}^{4}+\left(A^{4}-1\right) y_{+}+\left(A-A^{3}\right)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Dividing both sides of the equation by $y_{+}^{5}\left(A^{2}-1\right) \neq 0$, we obtain

$$
A\left(y_{+}^{5}-\frac{1}{y_{+}^{5}}\right)-\left(1+A^{2}\right)\left(y_{+}^{4}-\frac{1}{y_{+}^{4}}\right)+3 A\left(y_{+}-\frac{1}{y_{+}}\right)=0 .
$$

Dividing both sides of the equation by $\left(y_{+}-\frac{1}{y_{+}}\right) \neq 0$, we get

$$
A\left(y_{+}^{4}+y_{+}^{2}+1+\frac{1}{y_{+}^{2}}+\frac{1}{y_{+}^{4}}\right)-\left(1+A^{2}\right)\left(y_{+}^{3}+y_{+}+\frac{1}{y_{+}}+\frac{1}{y_{+}^{3}}\right)+3 A=0
$$

Using $t=y_{+}+\frac{1}{y_{+}}=y_{+}+y_{-}$, we have

$$
y_{+}^{2}+\frac{1}{y_{+}^{2}}=t^{2}-2, \quad y_{+}^{3}+\frac{1}{y_{+}^{3}}=t^{3}-3 t, \quad y_{+}^{4}+\frac{1}{y_{+}^{4}}=t^{4}-4 t^{2}+2
$$

and obtain

$$
A t^{4}-\left(1+A^{3}\right) t^{3}-3 A t^{2}+2\left(1+A^{2}\right) t+4 A=0
$$

Dividing by $t \neq 0$, we get

$$
A\left(t^{2}+\frac{4}{t^{2}}\right)-\left(1+A^{2}\right)\left(t-\frac{2}{t}\right)-3 A=0
$$

Using $d:=t-\frac{2}{t}$, we have $t^{2}+\frac{4}{t^{2}}=d^{2}+4$ and obtain

$$
A d^{2}-\left(1+A^{2}\right) d+A=0, \quad \text { i.e. } d=A, d=\frac{1}{A}
$$

If $d=A$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2}-A t-2=0 \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

But it is in a contradiction with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A t^{3}+\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right) t^{2}-4 B^{2}=0 \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

Really, multiplying both sides of (126) by $A t$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
A t^{3}-A^{2} t^{2}-2 A t=0 \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (127) and (128), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B^{2}-1\right) t^{2}+2 A t-4 B^{2}=0 \tag{129}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (129) and (126), we get

$$
\frac{4 B^{2}-2 A t}{B^{2}-1}=A t+2, \quad t A\left(1+B^{2}\right)=2\left(1+B^{2}\right), \quad t=\frac{2}{A}
$$

Substituting $t=\frac{2}{A}$ into (126), we get $\frac{4}{A^{2}}=4$, i.e. a contradiction, because $A \neq \pm 1$.
If $d=A^{-1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A t^{2}-t-2 A=0 \tag{130}
\end{equation*}
$$

But it is in a contradiction with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A t^{3}+\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right) t^{2}-4 B^{2}=0 \tag{131}
\end{equation*}
$$

Really, multiplying both sides of (130) by $t$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
A t^{3}-t^{2}-2 A t=0 \tag{132}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (131) and (132), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B^{2}-A^{2}\right) t^{2}+2 A t-4 B^{2}=0 \tag{133}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (133) and (130), we get

$$
\frac{4 B^{2}-2 A t}{B^{2}-A^{2}}=\frac{t+2 A}{A}, \quad t\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right)=2 A\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right), \quad t=2 A
$$

Substituting $t=2 A$ into (130), we get $4 A\left(A^{2}-1\right)=0$, i.e. a contradiction, because $A \neq 0, A \neq \pm 1$.
5) Using (125), we see that we have $F^{2}=0$ only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{A}} \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (124), we see that it is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}-z^{2}=y^{2} z^{2} \tag{135}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this expression into the second equation (116), we get $z\left(1+y^{2}\right)=B y^{2} z^{2}$.
Comparing this equation and $z^{2}\left(1+y^{2}\right)=y^{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{B}} \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, substituting (134) and (136) into (135), we get

$$
\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{A^{2}}}-\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{B^{2}}}=\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{A^{2} B^{2}}}
$$

which is equivalent to $B^{\frac{2}{3}}=1+A^{\frac{2}{3}}$, i.e. $j_{3}^{\frac{2}{3}}=j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}$.
If $B^{\frac{2}{3}}=1+A^{\frac{2}{3}}$ (which is equivalent to $\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right)=3 A^{\frac{2}{3}} B^{\frac{2}{3}}$ ), then the cubic equation $A t^{3}+\left(B^{2}-A^{2}-1\right) t^{2}-4 B^{2}=0$ takes the form

$$
A t^{3}+3 A^{\frac{2}{3}} B^{\frac{2}{3}} t^{2}-4 B^{2}=0
$$

Dividing both sides of the equation by $A \neq 0$ and using $\beta:=\frac{B^{\frac{2}{3}}}{A^{\frac{1}{3}}}$, we get $t^{3}+3 \beta t^{2}-4 \beta^{3}=$ 0 , which is equivalent to $(t-\beta)(t+2 \beta)^{2}=0$. We obtain $t=\beta=\frac{B^{\frac{2}{3}}}{A^{\frac{1}{3}}}=A^{\frac{1}{3}}+A^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ and $t=-2 \beta=-2 \frac{B^{\frac{2}{3}}}{A^{\frac{1}{3}}}=-2\left(A^{\frac{1}{3}}+A^{-\frac{1}{3}}\right)$.

In the first case $t_{1}=A^{\frac{1}{3}}+A^{-\frac{1}{3}}$, using $t=y+y^{-1}$, we conclude that $y=A^{\frac{1}{3}}, A^{-\frac{1}{3}}$. If $y=A^{\frac{1}{3}}$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
z^{2}=\frac{y(A y-1)}{A-y}=\frac{A^{\frac{4}{3}}-1}{A^{\frac{2}{3}}-1}=A^{\frac{2}{3}}+1=B^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
F^{2}=\frac{j_{3}^{\frac{4}{3}}\left(y^{2} z^{2}+z^{2}-y^{2}\right)}{2 t^{\frac{4}{3}} y^{\frac{4}{3}} z^{\frac{4}{3}}} I_{2}=\frac{j_{3}^{\frac{4}{3}}\left(A^{\frac{4}{3}}+A^{\frac{2}{3}}+1\right)}{2 B^{\frac{4}{3}}} I_{2}=\frac{j_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}}+j_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}} j_{2}^{\frac{2}{3}}+j_{2}^{\frac{4}{3}}}{2} I_{2}>0 . \tag{137}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $y=A^{-\frac{1}{3}}$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
z^{2}=\frac{y(A y-1)}{A-y}=\frac{A^{\frac{2}{3}}-1}{A^{\frac{4}{3}}-1}=\frac{1}{A^{\frac{2}{3}}+1}=B^{-\frac{2}{3}} \\
y^{2} z^{2}+z^{2}-y^{2}=A^{-\frac{2}{3}} B^{-\frac{2}{3}}+B^{-\frac{2}{3}}-A^{-\frac{2}{3}}=\frac{B^{\frac{2}{3}}-A^{\frac{2}{3}}-1}{A^{\frac{2}{3}} B^{\frac{2}{3}}}=0, \quad F^{2}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

In the second case $t_{2}=-2\left(A^{\frac{1}{3}}+A^{-\frac{1}{3}}\right)$, we get $y \neq A^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ and $F_{ \pm 2}^{2} \neq 0$. Using Wolfram Mathematica 11.1, we verified that each of two cumbersome expressions $F_{ \pm 2}^{2}$ does not coincide with $F_{-1}^{2}$ (137).

The lemma is proved.
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