A Fast Self-correcting π Algorithm

Tsz-Wo Sze

szetszwo@apache.org
https://home.apache.org/~szetszwo/

February 19, 2022

Abstract

We have rediscovered a simple algorithm to compute the mathematical constant

 $\pi = 3.14159265 \cdots$.

The algorithm had been known for a long time but it might not be recognized as a fast, practical algorithm. The time complexity of it can be proved to be

 $O(M(n)\log^2 n)$

bit operations for computing π with error $O(2^{-n})$, where M(n) is the time complexity to multiply two *n*-bit integers. We conjecture that the algorithm actually runs in

 $O(M(n)\log n).$

The algorithm is *self-correcting* in the sense that, given an approximated value of π as an input, it can compute a more accurate approximation of π with cubic convergence.

1 Introduction

The computation of the mathematical constant π has drawn a great attention from mathematicians and computer scientists over the centuries [4, 15]. The known asymptotically fastest algorithms for computing π run in

 $O(M(n)\log n)$

bit operations with error $O(2^{-n})$, where M(n) is the time complexity to multiply two *n*-bit integers. The AGM algorithms [7, 16, 5] are the only examples. If the recent result in [11] is correct,

$$M(n) = O(n \log n)$$

Then, the known asymptotically fastest algorithms run in

$$O(n\log^2 n)$$

The Chudnovsky algorithm [9], which runs in

$$O(M(n)\log^2 n),$$

is a popular implementation choice. The computer program, *y*-cruncher, implemented the Chudnovsky algorithm has been used to compute π to 31.4 trillion digits [19, 12].

In this paper, we revisit a simple algorithm, Algorithm 3, to compute π . The algorithm had been known to Salamin [2] but it might not be recognized as a fast, practical algorithm. The time complexity of it can be proved to be

$$O(M(n)\log^2 n).$$

It is *self-correcting* in the sense that, given an approximated value of π as an input, it can compute a more accurate approximation of π with cubic convergence. If there is an $O(M(n) \log n)$ algorithm to compute sin x without requiring π for any n-bit number x with |x| < U, a fixed upper bound, then the algorithm runs in $O(M(n) \log n)$. We have the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. Algorithm 3 runs in $O(M(n) \log n)$ bit operations.

Similar to the Chudnovsky algorithm, Algorithm 3 uses binary splitting. According to [8, 20], binary splitting has advantages over AGM including:

- 1. the implicit constants for binary splitting are smaller than the ones for AGM;
- 2. binary splitting can be speeded up by simultaneously summing up many terms at once but it is difficult to speed up AGM;
- 3. AGM has very poor memory locality.

Moreover, the AGM iteration is not self-correcting so that full precision is required throughout. In contrast, the intermediate results can be truncated in Algorithm 3. For example, suppose the current step has computed π in m decimal places and the next target precision is n decimal places for some $n \leq 3m$. Then the current result can be truncated to roughly n/3 decimal places. Thus, Algorithm 3 potentially runs faster than the AGM algorithms in practice.

The algorithm is presented in the next section. We discuss the π verification problem in Section 3. Finally, we show a family of sequences converging to $\pi \pmod{2\pi}$ in Section 4.

2 The Computational Problem

Let α be an approximated value of π and

$$\delta = \pi - \alpha \tag{2.1}$$

be the error with

$$|\delta| < \epsilon < 1 \tag{2.2}$$

for some fixed ϵ . By the Taylor series

$$\sin(x) = x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} - \frac{x^7}{7!} + \frac{x^9}{9!} - \frac{x^{11}}{11!} + \dots,$$

it is easy to see that

$$\left|\delta - \sin\delta\right| < \frac{\left|\delta\right|^3}{6} < \frac{\epsilon^3}{6}.$$
(2.3)

Note that

$$\sin \delta = \sin \alpha. \tag{2.4}$$

Finally, we obtain a better approximated value of π

$$\alpha' = \alpha + \sin \alpha \tag{2.5}$$

such that the error

$$|\pi - \alpha'| < \frac{\epsilon^3}{6} \tag{2.6}$$

becomes cubic by (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5). Note that $\alpha < \pi$ implies $\alpha < \alpha' < \pi$ since the inequalities (2.2), (2.3) and (2.6) still hold after dropped all absolute value functions. Similarly, $\alpha > \pi$ implies $\alpha > \alpha' > \pi$. We have proved the following theorem, which is known to Salamin [2].

Theorem 2 (Cubic Convergence). Let α be an approximated value of π such that $|\pi - \alpha| < \epsilon < 1$, Then, $|\pi - \alpha'| < \epsilon^3/6$, where $\alpha' = \alpha + \sin \alpha$.

We present Algorithm 3 below and then prove its time complexity.

Algorithm 3 (Self-correcting π Computation). The input is a positive integer n. This algorithm returns α such that $\pi - \alpha < 2^{-n}$.

- I. Let $\alpha_0 = 3$ and $m = \lceil \log_3 n \rceil$.
- II. For k = 1, 2, ..., m, use (3^k) -bit precision to compute

$$\alpha_k = \alpha_{k-1} + \sin \alpha_{k-1}.$$

III. Return α_m .

Theorem 4. Algorithm 3 runs in $O(M(n) \log^2 n)$ bit operations.

Proof. The main ingredient of Algorithm 3 is to compute $\sin \alpha_k$. For x a ℓ -bit number with 0 < x < 1/2, both $\sin x$ and $\cos x$ can be computed using the $O(M(\ell) \log^2 \ell)$ binary splitting SinCos algorithm [8]. Therefore, $\sin(\alpha_k/8)$ and $\cos(\alpha_k/8)$ can be computed in $O(M(3^k)k^2)$. Then use the doubling formulae

$$\sin(2x) = 2\sin x \cos x,$$
$$\cos(2x) = 1 - 2\sin^2 x$$

to compute $\sin(\alpha_k/4)$, $\cos(\alpha_k/4)$, $\sin(\alpha_k/2)$, $\cos(\alpha_k/2)$, and, finally, $\sin \alpha_k$. The time complexity to compute $\sin \alpha_k$ is $O(M(3^k)k^2)$. The time complexity of Algorithm 3 is then $O(M(n)\log^2 n)$.

If there is an $O(M(n) \log n)$ algorithm to compute $\sin x$ without requiring π for any *n*-bit number x with |x| < U, a fixed upper bound, then Algorithm 3 runs in $O(M(n) \log n)$. We aware that the binary splitting algorithms described in [13, 14] may be able to compute sine and cosine in $O(M(n) \log n)$. Unfortunately, we do not have a proof so that we have Conjecture 1.

Note that the AGM sine algorithm [6], which runs in $O(M(n) \log n)$, cannot be used here since it requires π as an input. Note also that the binary splitting algorithm can be used to compute π directly [13]. However, the time complexity is $O(M(n) \log^2 n)$.

2.1 A Numerical Example

The following example has been computed by PARI/GP [18] and GMP [10]. We simply have used the sine function provided by PARI/GP. In the table below, α_k is the approximated value of π in iteration k, ϵ_k is an upper bound of the error and n_k is the precision in α_{k+1} , where

$$\alpha_k = \alpha_{k-1} + \sin \alpha_{k-1}, \qquad \alpha_0 = 3,$$

$$\epsilon_k = (\pi - \alpha_k^3)/6,$$

$$n_k = \lfloor -\log_{10} \epsilon_k \rfloor.$$

k	$lpha_k$	ϵ_k	n_k	$\sin \alpha_k$
0	3	$4.73 \cdot 10^{-4}$	3	$\underline{0.141}120008059867222100744802808110$
1	3.141	$3.47 \cdot 10^{-11}$	10	$\underline{0.0005926535}55099468066916718249636$
2	3.1415926535	$1.21 \cdot 10^{-31}$	30	$\underline{0.00000000089793238462643383279}382$

We have the following sequence converging to π ,

 $\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= 3, \\ \alpha_1 &= 3.141, \\ \alpha_2 &= 3.1415926535, \\ \alpha_3 &= 3.141592653589793238462643383279, \\ \pi &= 3.14159265358979323846264338327950 \cdots . \end{aligned}$

3 The Decision Problem

Let α with *n* decimal places be a computed value of π . How to verify if the digits are correct? In other words, verify if

$$10^{n}\alpha = |10^{n}\pi|. \tag{3.1}$$

It is interesting to ask if the decision problem, i.e. checking (3.1) for a given α in *n* decimal places, is easier than the computational problem, i.e. computing π in *n* decimal places. An algorithm deciding (3.1) asymptotically faster than computing π has not been discovered.

The self-correcting step in Algorithm 3 can be used for verification. Split

$$\alpha = \alpha_H + \alpha_L \cdot 10^{-m}$$

into higher digits and lower digits for some m > n/3 such that

$$\alpha' = \alpha_H + \sin \alpha_H$$

is expected to have a few more correct digits than α . Check if all the digits in α match α' .

In practice, after π is computed in *n* decimal places by an algorithm, a different algorithm or the same algorithm with a different set of parameters is used to verify the result.

The π result mentioned in the introduction has $\lfloor \pi \cdot 10^{13} \rfloor$ decimal digits¹ and 26,090,362,246,629 hexadecimal digits [19, 12]. The computation used the Chudnovsky algorithm. For verification, the BaileyBorweinPlouffe (BBP) formula [1] and also the Bellard's improved BBP formula [3] were used to compute 48 hexadecimal digits starting at the 26,090,362,246,601st position. There were 29 hexadecimal digits,

from 26,090,362,246,601st to 26,090,362,246,629th,

¹Note that $\lfloor \pi \cdot 10^{13} \rfloor = 31,415,926,535,897.$

agreed in all three results from Chudnovsky, BBP and Bellard.

In 2010, we computed the two quadrillionth bit of π [17] using Bellard's formula. Two computations at two different bit positions,

1,999,999,999,999,993rd and 1,999,999,999,999,997th,

were executed. There were 256 bits agreed in both computations.

4 Convergent Sequences

We extend Theorem 2 to show a family of sequences converging to $\pi \pmod{2\pi}$ in this section.

Lemma 5. For $k \ge 1$, define

$$a_{k+1} = a_k + \sin a_k,$$

where $0 < a_0 < \pi$. Then,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} a_k = \pi.$$

Proof. We will show

$$\pi - 1 < a_k < \pi \text{ for some } k \ge 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Then Theorem 2 implies

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} a_k = \pi$$

Now we show (4.1). If $a_0 > \pi - 1$, we are done. Assume $0 < a_0 \le \pi - 1$. There exists the least integer $k_0 > 0$ such that $a_{k_0} > \pi - 1$. If not, let $U \le \pi - 1$ be the least upper bound of $\{a_k\}$. Let

$$\Delta_k = a_{k+1} - a_k = \sin a_k.$$

Since $\{a_k\}$ is bounded above by $\pi - 1$, we have $a_k > 0$ and $\Delta_k > 0$ for all k. Since $\{a_k\}$ is increasing with the least upper bound U,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} a_k = U. \tag{4.2}$$

However, (4.2) is contradiction since if $U < \pi/2$, Δ_k is increasing; otherwise, $\Delta_k \ge \sin(\pi - 1)$ for large enough k if $\pi/2 \le U < \pi - 1$. Therefore,

$$a_{k_0} > \pi - 1.$$

Since k_0 is the least integer, $a_{k_0-1} \leq \pi - 1$. If $a_{k_0-1} = \pi - 1$, we have $\sin(a_{k_0-1}) < 1$; otherwise, $a_{k_0-1} < \pi - 1$ and $\sin(a_{k_0-1}) \leq 1$. In both cases,

$$a_{k_0} = a_{k_0-1} + \sin(a_{k_0-1}) < \pi.$$

For any $a, b, x \in \mathbb{R}$ with x > 0, define

 $a \equiv b \pmod{x}$

if and only if

$$a-b=nx$$

for some integer n. We show a more general theorem below.

Theorem 6 (Convergent Sequences). For any $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

 $a_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$.

For $k \geq 1$, define

 $a_{k+1} = a_k + \sin a_k.$

Then,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} a_k \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}.$$
(4.3)

Proof. If $a_0 \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}$, it is trivial. Assume $a_0 \not\equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}$. Let $n = \lfloor (a_0 + \pi)/2\pi \rfloor$ and

$$b_0 = a_0 - 2n\pi.$$

We have $0 < |b_0| < \pi$. For $k \ge 1$, define

$$b_{k+1} = b_k + \sin b_k.$$

It is obvious that, for $k \ge 0$,

$$a_k = 2n\pi + b_k.$$

If $b_0 > 0$, Lemma 5 implies $\lim_{k \to \infty} b_k = \pi$. Then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} a_k = 2n\pi + \lim_{k \to \infty} b_k = (2n+1)\pi$$

Suppose $b_0 < 0$. Let $c_0 = -b_0$ so that $0 < c_0 < \pi$. For $k \ge 1$, define

$$c_{k+1} = c_k + \sin c_k.$$

Lemma 5 implies $\lim_{k\to\infty} c_k = \pi$. Since $c_k = -b_k$ for all k,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} a_k = 2n\pi + \lim_{k \to \infty} b_k = 2n\pi - \lim_{k \to \infty} c_k = (2n-1)\pi.$$

References

- David Bailey, Peter Borwein, and Simon Plouffe. On the rapid computation of various polylogarithmic constants. *Mathematics of Computation*, 66(216):903–913, apr 1997.
- Michael Beeler, William Gosper, and Richard Schroeppel. HAK-MEM. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1972. URL: http://www.inwap.com/pdp10/hbaker/hakmem/hakmem.html. Note: Artificial Intelligence Memo No. 239.
- [3] Fabrice Bellard. A new formula to compute the n'th binary digit of pi. http://bellard.org/pi/pi_bin.pdf, 1997.
- [4] Lennart Berggren, Jonathan Borwein, and Peter Borwein. Pi: A Source Book. Springer, New York, NY, USA, 3rd edition, 2004.
- [5] Jonathan Borwein and Peter Borwein. Pi and the AGM: A Study in Analytic Number Theory and Computational Complexity. John Wiley & Sons, Toronto, Canada, 3rd edition, 1987.
- [6] Richard Brent. Fast multiple-precision evaluation of elementary functions. J. ACM, 23(2):242–251, April 1976.
- [7] Richard Brent. Multiple-precision zero-finding methods and the complexity of elementary function evaluation. Analytic Computational Complexity, pages 151–176, 1976.
- [8] Richard Brent and Paul Zimmermann. Modern Computer Arithmetic. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA, 2010.
- [9] David Chudnovsky and Gregory Chudnovsky. The computation of classical constants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA, 86:8178–8182, 1989.
- [10] Torbjrn Granlund and the GMP development team. GNU MP: The GNU Multiple Precision Arithmetic Library, 6.1.2 edition, 2016. http://gmplib.org/.
- [11] David Harvey and Joris Van Der Hoeven. Integer multiplication in time O(n log n). Preprint, March 2019.
- [12] Emma Haruka Iwao. Pi in the sky: Calculating a recordbreaking 31.4 trillion digits of archimedes constant on google cloud.

https://cloud.google.com/blog/products/compute/calculating-31-4-trillion-digits-or-2019.

- [13] Ekatherina Karatsuba. Fast evaluation of transcendental functions. Probl. Peredachi Inform, 27:76–99, 1991.
- [14] Ekatherina Karatsuba. Fast Computation of Some Special Integrals of Mathematical Physics, pages 29–40. Springer US, Boston, MA, 2001.
- [15] Donald Knuth. The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 2: Seminumerical Algorithms. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, USA, 3rd edition, 1997.
- [16] Eugene Salamin. Computation of π using arithmetic-geometric mean. Mathematics of Computation, 30(135):565–570, jul 1976.
- [17] Tsz-Wo Sze. The two quadrillionth bit of pi is 0! distributed computation of pi with apache hadoop. Proceedings - 2nd IEEE International Conference on Cloud Computing Technology and Science, CloudCom 2010, pages 727 - 732, 01 2011.
- [18] The PARI Group, Univ. Bordeaux. PARI/GP version 2.11.2, 2018. available from http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/.
- [19] Alexander Yee. Google cloud topples the pi record. http://www.numberworld.org/blogs/2019_3_14_pi_record/, 2019.
- [20] Alexander Yee. y-cruncher frequently asked questions. http://numberworld.org/y-cruncher/faq.html, 2019.