

Unpaired many-to-many disjoint path cover of balanced hypercubes*

Huazhong Lü^{1†} and Tingzeng Wu²

¹School of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Electronic Science and Technology of China,
Chengdu, Sichuan 610054, P.R. China
E-mail: lvhz08@lzu.edu.cn

²School of Mathematics and Statistics, Qinghai Nationalities University,
Xining, Qinghai 810007, P.R. China
E-mail: mathtzwu@163.com

Abstract

The balanced hypercube BH_n , a variant of the hypercube, was proposed as a desired interconnection network topology. It is known that BH_n is bipartite. Assume that $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{2n-2}\}$ and $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{2n-2}\}$ are any two sets of vertices in different partite sets of BH_n ($n \geq 2$). It has been proved that there exists paired 2-disjoint path cover of BH_n . In this paper, we prove that there exists unpaired $(2n - 2)$ -disjoint path cover of BH_n ($n \geq 2$) from S to T , which improved some known results. The upper bound $2n - 2$ of the number of disjoint paths in unpaired $(2n - 2)$ -disjoint path cover is best possible.

Key words: Interconnection network; Balanced hypercube; Vertex-disjoint path cover; Unpaired

*This research was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11801061 and 11761056) and the Chunhui Project of Ministry of Education (No. Z2017047).

[†]Corresponding author.

1. Introduction

The interconnection network (network for short) plays an important role in massively parallel and distributed systems [12]. Linear arrays and rings are two fundamental networks. Since some parallel applications such as those in image and signal processing are originally designated on an array architecture, it is important to have effective path embedding in a network [1, 20]. To find parallel paths among vertices in networks is one of the most central issues concerned with efficient data transmission [12]. Parallel paths in networks are usually studied with regard to disjoint paths in graphs. Moreover, algorithms designed on linear arrays or rings can be efficiently simulated in a topology containing paths or cycles, so path and cycle embedding properties of networks have been widely studied [3, 5–8, 10, 11, 18, 19, 22, 23].

In disjoint path cover problems, the many-to-many disjoint path cover problem is the most generalized one [17]. Assume that $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ and $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\}$ are two sets of k sources and k sinks in a graph G , respectively, the *many-to-many k -disjoint path cover* (k -DPC for short) problem is to determine whether there exist k disjoint paths P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k in G such that P_i joins s_i to $t_{\psi(i)}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and $V(P_1) \cup \dots \cup V(P_k) = V(G)$, where ψ is a permutation on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. The k -DPC is called *paired* if ψ is the identical permutation and *unpaired* otherwise. Interestingly, the k -DPC problem is closely related to the well-known Hamiltonian path problem in graphs. In fact, a 1-DPC of a network is indeed a Hamiltonian path between any two vertices.

The performance of the famous hypercube network is not optimum in all aspects, accordingly, many variants of the hypercube have been proposed. The balanced hypercube, proposed by Wu and Huang [21], is such the one of the most popularity. The special property of the balanced hypercube, which other hypercube variants do not have, is that each processor has a backup processor that shares the same neighborhood. Thus tasks running on a faulty processor can be shifted to its backup one [21]. With such novel properties above, different aspects of the balanced hypercube were studied extensively, including path and cycle embedding issues [9, 13, 16, 22, 23, 25], connectivity [15, 24], matching preclusion [14], and symmetric properties [26, 27].

Recently, Cheng et al. [4] proved that the balanced hypercube BH_n ($n \geq 1$) has a paired 2-DPC, which is a generalization of Hamiltonian laceability of the balanced hypercube [22]. To the best of our knowledge, there is no literature on k -DPC in the balanced hypercube when $k \geq 3$. In this paper, we will consider the problem of

unpaired k -DPC of the balanced hypercube.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some definitions and lemmas are presented. The main result of this paper is shown in Section 3. Conclusions are given in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries and some lemmas

A network is usually modeled by a simple undirected graph, where vertices represent processors and edges represent links between processors. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph, where $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ are its vertex-set and edge-set, respectively. The number of vertices of G is denoted by $|V(G)|$. The set of vertices adjacent to a vertex v is called the *neighborhood* of v , denoted by $N_G(v)$, the subscript will be omitted when the context is clear. A path P in G is a sequence of distinct vertices so that there is an edge joining each pair of consecutive vertices. If $P = v_0v_1 \cdots v_{k-1}$ and $k \geq 3$, then the graph $C = P + v_0v_{k-1}$ is called a *cycle*. A path (resp. cycle) containing all vertices of a graph G is called a *Hamiltonian path* (resp. *cycle*). A graph admits a Hamiltonian cycle is a *Hamiltonian graph*. A Hamiltonian bipartite graph G is *Hamiltonian laceable* if, for any two vertices u and v from different partite sets, there exists a Hamiltonian path between u and v . For other standard graph notations not defined here please refer to [2].

The definitions of the balanced hypercube are given as follows.

Definition 1. [21] An n -dimension balanced hypercube BH_n contains 4^n vertices $(a_0, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n-1})$, where $a_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Any vertex $v = (a_0, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n-1})$ in BH_n has the following $2n$ neighbors:

- (1). $((a_0 + 1) \bmod 4, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n-1})$,
 $((a_0 - 1) \bmod 4, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n-1})$, and
- (2). $((a_0 + 1) \bmod 4, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, (a_i + (-1)^{a_0}) \bmod 4, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n-1})$,
 $((a_0 - 1) \bmod 4, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, (a_i + (-1)^{a_0}) \bmod 4, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n-1})$.

The first coordinate a_0 of the vertex $(a_0, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_{n-1})$ in BH_n is defined as the *inner index*, and a_i ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) are *i -dimensional indices*.

The recursive definition of the balanced hypercube is presented as follows.

Definition 2. [21]

- (1). BH_1 is a 4-cycle, whose vertices are labelled by 0, 1, 2, 3 clockwise.
- (2). BH_{k+1} is constructed from 4 BH_k s, which are labelled by $BH_k^0, BH_k^1, BH_k^2, BH_k^3$. For any vertex in BH_k^i ($0 \leq i \leq 3$), its new labelling in BH_{k+1} is $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, i)$, and it has two new neighbors:
 - a) $BH_k^{i+1} : ((a_0 + 1) \bmod 4, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, (i + 1) \bmod 4)$ and $((a_0 - 1) \bmod 4, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, (i + 1) \bmod 4)$ if a_0 is even.
 - b) $BH_k^{i-1} : ((a_0 + 1) \bmod 4, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, (i - 1) \bmod 4)$ and $((a_0 - 1) \bmod 4, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, (i - 1) \bmod 4)$ if a_0 is odd.

BH_1 is shown in Fig. 1 (a). The standard layout of BH_2 is shown in Fig. 1 (b) and the ring-like layout is shown in Fig. 1 (c).

The following basic properties of the balanced hypercube will be applied in the main result of this paper.

Lemma 1 [21]. BH_n is bipartite.

By Lemma 1, let V_0 and V_1 be the bipartition of BH_n , where V_0 contains all vertices of BH_n with even inner indices, and V_1 contains all vertices of BH_n with odd inner indices.

Lemma 2 [21, 25]. BH_n is vertex-transitive and edge-transitive.

Lemma 3 [21]. Vertices $u = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ and $v = ((a_0 + 2) \bmod 4, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ in BH_n have the same neighborhood.

Assume that u is a neighbor of v in BH_n . If u and v differ only from the inner index, then uv is called a *0-dimension edge*, and u and v are mutually called 0-dimension neighbors. Similarly, if u and v differ from the j -th outer index ($1 \leq j \leq n - 1$), uv is called a *j -dimension edge*, and u and v are mutually called j -dimension neighbors. The set of all k -dimension edges of BH_n is denoted by E_k for each $k \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$, and the subgraph of BH_n obtained by deleting E_{n-1} is written by BH_{n-1}^i , where $0 \leq i \leq 3$. Obviously, each of BH_{n-1}^i is isomorphic to BH_{n-1} .

Lemma 4 [13]. The balanced hypercube BH_n is Hamiltonian laceable for all $n \geq 1$.

Lemma 5 [4]. Let $u, x \in V_0$ and $v, y \in V_1$. Then there exist two vertex-disjoint paths P and Q such that: (1) P connects u to v , (2) Q connects x to y , (3) $V(P) \cup V(Q) = V(BH_n)$.




Fig. 1. BH_1 and BH_2 .

3. Main results

Because of the recursive structure of the balanced hypercube, we use induction to prove the main result. We start with the following useful notation.

Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{2n-2}\}$ and $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{2n-2}\}$ such that $S \subset V_0$ and $T \subset V_1$, $n \geq 2$. For convenience, let s_j^d denote the d -dimensional index of the vertex s_j , where $1 \leq j \leq 2n-2$ and $d \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$.

Lemma 6. There exists a dimension $d \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that by splitting BH_n ($n \geq 3$) along dimension d , $|V(BH_{n-1}^i) \cap S| \leq 2n-4$ for each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Proof. We first consider BH_3 . Suppose on the contrary that for each $d \in \{1, 2\}$, by splitting BH_3 along dimension d , there exists some i ($0 \leq i \leq 3$) such that $|V(BH_2^i) \cap S| \geq 3$. Note that $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}$, then s_j^d ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) takes at most two values for some $d = 1$ or 2 . If each of s_j^d , say s_j^2 , takes exact one value, combining with $S \subset V_0$, then two vertices in S have the same coordinates, which is a contradiction. So we assume that there are exactly two values of s_j^d for each $d = 1, 2$. Then three of s_j^d take one common value for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Thus, two of s_1, s_2, s_3 and s_4 , say s_1 and s_2 , have the same 1-dimensional and 2-dimensional indices, and distinct inner indices. Observe that exactly one of the 1-dimensional and 2-dimensional indices of s_3 (resp. s_4) is different from that of s_1 and s_2 . Thus, by splitting BH_3 along dimension 0, s_1 and s_2 are in the same BH_2^i for some $0 \leq i \leq 3$, and $s_3, s_4 \notin V(BH_2^i)$.

Now we consider BH_n for $n \geq 4$. Clearly, $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{2n-2}\}$. Suppose on

the contrary that s_j^d ($j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-2$) take at most two values and $2n-3$ of which take one common value for each $d = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. We can consider the coordinates (except inner index) of vertices in S as row vectors, forming a $(2n-2) \times (n-1)$ matrix M . Thus, there exists at least three equal rows of M , indicating that there are three vertices in S differing only the inner indices. Note that the inner indices of vertices in S take only two values. So there are two vertices in S with the same coordinates, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

By the above lemma, there exists a dimension $d \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, such that by splitting BH_n along dimension $n-1$, each BH_{n-1}^i contains at most $2n-4$ vertices in S , $0 \leq i \leq 3$. We may assume that $d = n-1$ in the remaining paper. Let $S_i = V(BH_{n-1}^i) \cap S$ and $T_i = V(BH_{n-1}^i) \cap T$ and let $D_i = |T_i| - |S_i|$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 7. There exists some $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ such that $|S_i| \geq |T_i|$ and $|S_{i+1}| \leq |T_{i+1}|$. Furthermore, $D_{i+1} + D_{i+2} \geq 0$.

Proof. By the ring-like structure of BH_n , it is obvious that there exists some $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ such that $|S_i| \geq |T_i|$ and $|S_{i+1}| \leq |T_{i+1}|$, implying that $D_i \leq 0$ and $D_{i+1} \geq 0$. Obviously, if $D_i \geq 0$ for each $0 \leq i \leq 3$, we are done. Next we distinguish the following cases.

Case 1. There exists exactly one integer $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ such that $D_{i+1} \geq 0$ or $D_{i+1} \leq 0$. We only consider $D_{i+1} \geq 0$ since the same argument applies to $D_{i+1} \leq 0$. Clearly, $D_i < 0$, $D_{i+2} < 0$ and $D_{i+3} < 0$. Noting $|S| = \sum_{i=0}^3 |S_i|$, $|T| = \sum_{i=0}^3 |T_i|$ and $|S| = |T|$, it follows that $D_{i+1} + D_{i+2} \geq 0$.

Case 2. There exist exactly two distinct integers $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ such that $D_i \geq 0$ and $D_j \geq 0$, where $i \neq j$. By the ring-like structure of BH_n , there are two essentially distinct cases by relative positions of BH_{n-1}^i and BH_{n-1}^j . We further distinguish the following two cases.

Case 2.1. $j = i+1$. That is, $D_i \geq 0$ and $D_{i+1} \geq 0$, and $D_{i+2} < 0$ and $D_{i+3} < 0$. Obviously, $D_i + D_{i+1} \geq 0$.

Case 2.2. $j = i+2$. That is, $D_i \geq 0$ and $D_{i+2} \geq 0$, and $D_{i+1} < 0$ and $D_{i+3} < 0$. Similarly, $D_i + D_{i+1} \geq 0$ or $D_{i+2} + D_{i+3} \geq 0$ holds. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 8. There exists an unpaired $(2n-2)$ -DPC joining S and T .

Proof. We prove by induction on n . By Lemma 5, the theorem obviously holds for $n = 2$. Suppose the statement holds for $n-1$ with $n \geq 3$. Next we consider BH_n .

By Lemmas 6 and 7, we split BH_n into four BH_{n-1} s along dimension $n - 1$ such that $|S_i| \leq 2n - 4$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and for some $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, say $i = 0$, we have $|S_0| \geq |T_0|$, $|S_1| \leq |T_1|$ and $D_1 + D_2 \geq 0$. We distinguish the following cases.

Case 1. $S_i \neq \emptyset$ or $T_i \neq \emptyset$ for each $0 \leq i \leq 3$.

Case 1.1 $|T_1| \leq 2n - 4$. Choose $|T_1| - |S_1|$ white vertices from $V(BH_{n-1}^1) \setminus S_1$ to generate a set A_1 . By the induction hypothesis, we can obtain $|T_1|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^1 from $S_1 \cup A_1$ to T_1 . Note that $A_1 = \emptyset$ if $|T_1| = |S_1|$. Let $A_1 = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{|T_1| - |S_1|}\}$ and let $B_2 = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{|T_1| - |S_1|}\}$ such that b_j is an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional neighbor of a_j for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, |T_1| - |S_1|\}$. Since $D_1 + D_2 \geq 0$, we have $|S_2| \leq |T_2 \cup B_2|$. We consider the following two conditions in terms of the cardinality of $|T_2 \cup B_2|$ (This argument will be used repeatedly in the remaining proof).

- (1). If $|T_2 \cup B_2| \leq 2n - 4$, then we choose $|T_2 \cup B_2| - |S_2|$ white vertices from $V(BH_{n-1}^2) \setminus S_2$ to generate a set A_2 (Since there are exactly 2^{2n-3} white vertices in BH_{n-1}^1 , combining with $2^{2n-3} \geq 3 * (2n - 4)$ whenever $n \geq 3$, we can always choose A_2 to make $B_2 \cap T_2 = \emptyset$). By the induction hypothesis, we can obtain $|T_2 \cup B_2|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^2 from $T_2 \cup B_2$ to $S_2 \cup A_2$. Note also that $A_2 = \emptyset$ if $|T_2 \cup B_2| = |S_2|$. Let $A_2 = \{a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_{|B_2 \cup T_2| - |S_2|}\}$ and let $B_3 = \{b'_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_{|B_2 \cup T_2| - |S_2|}\}$ such that b'_k is an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional neighbor of a'_k for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, |B_2 \cup T_2| - |S_2|\}$.
- (2). If $|T_2 \cup B_2| \geq 2n - 3$, then we choose $2n - 4 - |S_2|$ white vertices from $V(BH_{n-1}^2) \setminus S_2$ to generate a set A_2 (It is not hard to choose A_2 to make $B_2 \cap T_2 = \emptyset$ when $n = 3$; the argument is similar to that in above condition when $n = 4$). We then arbitrarily choose $2n - 4$ vertices from $T_2 \cup B_2$ to form a set T'_2 . By the induction hypothesis, we can obtain $|T'_2|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^2 from T'_2 to $S_2 \cup A_2$. Note that $A_2 = \emptyset$ if $|S_2| = 2n - 4$. Since $1 \leq |T_2 \cup B_2| - |T'_2| \leq 2$, there are at most two vertices of $(T_2 \cup B_2) \setminus T'_2$ on at most two paths of $|T'_2|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^2 . Suppose without loss of generality that $y_1, y_2 \in (T_2 \cup B_2) \setminus T'_2$. Additionally, suppose that y_1 and y_2 are on the same path P of $|T'_2|$ -DPC (the proof of y_1 and y_2 on different paths of $|T'_2|$ -DPC is similar to those on the same path). Let x and y be the endpoints of P , where $x \in V_0$ and $y \in V_1$. We may assume that x, y_1, y_2 and y lie on P sequentially. So there exists a neighbor x_1 (resp. x_2) of y_1 (resp. y_2) from y to x . Thus, the path P can be separated into three vertex-disjoint sections P_1, P_2 and P_3 , where P_1 is from x to y_1 , P_2 is from x_1 to y_2 and P_3 is from x_2 to y . Therefore, P_1, P_2 and P_3 together with




Fig. 2. Illustration of Case 1.1.

paths in $|T'_2|$ -DPC except P form a $|T_2 \cup B_2|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^2 from $T_2 \cup B_2$ to $S_2 \cup A_2 \cup \{x_1, x_2\}$. We may assume that $A_2 = \{a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_{2n-4-|S_2|}\}$. Let $B_3 = \{b'_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_{2n-4-|S_2|}\} \cup \{y'_1, y'_2\}$ such that b'_k is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional neighbor of a'_k for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2n-4-|S_2|\}$ and y'_1 and y'_2 are $(n-1)$ -dimensional neighbors of x'_1 and x'_2 , respectively.

It is not hard to see that $|S_3| \leq |T_3 \cup B_3|$.

If $|T_3 \cup B_3| \leq 2n-4$, analogous to Condition (1), then we choose $|T_3 \cup B_3| - |S_3|$ white vertices from $V(BH_{n-1}^3) \setminus S_3$ to generate a set A_3 . By the induction hypothesis, we can obtain $|T_3 \cup B_3|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^3 from $T_3 \cup B_3$ to $S_3 \cup A_3$. Note that $A_3 = \emptyset$ if $|T_3 \cup B_3| = |S_3|$. Let $A_3 = \{a''_1, a''_2, \dots, a''_{|B_3 \cup T_3| - |S_3|}\}$ and let $B_0 = \{b''_1, b''_2, \dots, b''_{|B_3 \cup T_3| - |S_3|}\}$ such that b''_l is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional neighbor of a''_l for each $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, |B_3 \cup T_3| - |S_3|\}$. Clearly, $|T_0 \cup B_0| = |S_0|$. Additionally, $|S_0| \leq 2n-4$. By the induction hypothesis, we can obtain $|S_0|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^0 from $T_3 \cup B_3$ to S_0 . Thus, we can obtain $(2n-2)$ -DPC of BH_n from S to T (see Fig. 2).

If $|T_3 \cup B_3| \geq 2n-3$, analogous to Condition (2), then we can obtain $|T_3 \cup B_3|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^3 from $T_3 \cup B_3$ to $S_3 \cup A_3$, where A_3 contains white endpoints of $|T_3 \cup B_3|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^3 . Let B_0 be a mirroring set of A_3 such that each vertex in A_3 has exactly one $(n-1)$ -dimensional neighbor in B_0 , and vice versa. Clearly, $|T_0 \cup B_0| = |S_0|$ and $|S_0| \leq 2n-4$. By the induction hypothesis, we can obtain $|S_0|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^0 from $T_3 \cup B_3$ to S_0 . Thus, we can obtain $(2n-2)$ -DPC of BH_n from S to T .

Case 1.2. $|T_1| \geq 2n-3$. Choose $2n-4-|S_1|$ white vertices from $V(BH_{n-1}^1) \setminus S_1$ to

generate a set A_1 . By regarding $|T_1|$ as $T_2 \cup B_2$, and $S_1 \cup A_1$ as $S_2 \cup A_2$, in Condition (2), the proof is quite analogous to that of Case 1.1.

Case 2. $S_j = \emptyset$ and $T_j = \emptyset$ for exactly one $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. By symmetry of BH_n , it suffices to consider that $j = 1$ or 2. We distinguish the following two cases.

Case 2.1. $j = 2$. If $D_1 > 0$, then the proof is analogous to that of Case 1. So we assume that $D_1 = 0$, that is, $|T_1| = |S_1|$. By Lemma 6, we have $|S_1| \leq 2n - 4$. So $|T_1| \leq 2n - 4$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $|T_1|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^1 from T_1 to S_1 . In addition, recall that $|S_2| = |T_2|$, combining with $|D_0| \leq 0$, then we have $|T_3| \geq |S_3|$. By adopting the same argument in Case 1.1, we can obtain $|T_3|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^3 from T_3 to $S_3 \cup A_3$, where A_3 contains white endpoints of $|T_3|$ -DPC. Note that $A_3 = \emptyset$ if $|T_3 \cup B_3| = |S_3|$. Let $B_0 \in V(BH_{n-1}^0)$ be a mirroring set of A_3 such that each vertex of B_0 is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional neighbor of a vertex in A_3 . We can obtain $|S_0|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^0 from $T_0 \cup B_0$ to S_0 .

What we have already shown is that there exists a $(2n-2)$ -DPC of $BH_n - V(BH_{n-1}^2)$. In what follows, we shall make some changes to $(2n-2)$ -DPC of $BH_n - V(BH_{n-1}^2)$, yielding a $(2n-2)$ -DPC of BH_n .

Suppose that $xy \in E(BH_{n-1}^0)$ is an edge on one of a path, say P_0 , of $(2n-2)$ -DPC of $BH_n - V(BH_{n-1}^2)$ such that s_0, y, x and t_0 lie sequentially on P_0 , where s_0 and t_0 are the endpoints of P_0 . Note that $s_0 \in S_0$ and t_0 may or may not belong to T_0 . Let y_1 and x_3 be $(n-1)$ -dimensional neighbors of x and y , respectively. If $y_1 \notin T_1$ and $x_3 \notin S_3 \cup A_3$, then y_1 (resp. x_3) is an internal vertex of a path P_1 (resp. P_3) of $|T_1|$ -DPC (resp. $|T_3|$ -DPC) of BH_{n-1}^1 (resp. BH_{n-1}^3). Let x_1 (resp. y_3) be a neighbour of y_1 (resp. x_3) on P_1 (resp. P_3). Suppose without loss of generality that s_1, y_1, x_1 and t_1 (resp. s_3, y_3, x_3 and t_3) lie sequentially on P_1 (resp. P_3), where s_1 and t_1 (resp. s_3 and t_3) are the endpoints of P_1 (resp. P_3). Let y_2 and x_2 be $(n-1)$ -dimensional neighbors of x_1 and y_3 , respectively. By Lemma 4, there exists a Hamiltonian path P_2 of BH_{n-1}^2 from y_2 to x_2 . Deleting xy , x_1y_1 and x_3y_3 from P_0 , P_1 and P_3 , respectively, and joining xy_1 , yx_3 , x_1y_2 and x_2y_3 will lead to three new paths P'_0 , P'_1 and P'_3 , where P'_0 is from s_0 to t_3 via yx_3 , P'_1 is from s_1 to t_0 via y_1x , and P'_3 is from s_3 to t_1 via y_3x_2 , P_2 and y_2x_1 . By deleting edges of P_0 , P_1 and P_3 from $(2n-2)$ -DPC of $BH_n - V(BH_{n-1}^2)$, and adding edges of P'_0 , P'_1 and P'_3 , a $(2n-2)$ -DPC of BH_n follows (see Fig. 3).

Finally, we claim that there exists an edge $xy \in E(BH_{n-1}^1)$ such that y_1 (resp. x_3) is not an endpoint of a path of $|T_1|$ -DPC (resp. $|T_3|$ -DPC) of BH_{n-1}^1 (resp. BH_{n-1}^3). Since neither x nor y is an endpoint of a path P_0 in $|S_0|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^0 ,




Fig. 3. Illustration of Case 2.1.

combing with the direction of xy on P_0 , there are at least $\lfloor \frac{4^{n-1}-2*(2n-4)}{2} \rfloor$ choices of xy in $|S_0|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^0 . On the contrary, if both y_1 (resp. x_3) and its backup vertex are endpoints of two paths in $|T_1|$ -DPC (resp. $|T_3|$ -DPC) of BH_{n-1}^1 (resp. BH_{n-1}^3), then it will eliminate one choice of xy . Observe that $|T_1|+|T_3| \leq 2*(2n-2)$ and $2\lfloor \frac{4^{n-1}-2*(2n-4)}{2} \rfloor > 2*(2n-2)$ whenever $n \geq 3$. Thus, the claim holds.

Case 2.2. $j = 1$. Analogous to the proof of Case 1.1, we can obtain a $(2n-2)$ -DPC of $BH_n - V(BH_{n-1}^1)$.

Similarly, we can choose an appropriate edge $xy \in E(BH_{n-1}^3)$ on one of a path, say P_3 , of $(2n-2)$ -DPC of $BH_n - V(BH_{n-1}^1)$ such that y_0 (resp. x_2) is an internal vertex of a path P_0 (resp. P_2) of $|S_0|$ -DPC (resp. $|T_2|$ -DPC) in BH_{n-1}^0 (resp. BH_{n-1}^2), where y_0 and x_2 are $(n-1)$ -dimensional neighbors of x and y , respectively.

We claim that there exists an edge $xy \in E(BH_{n-1}^3)$ such that y_0 (resp. x_2) is not an endpoint of a path of $|S_0|$ -DPC (resp. $|T_2|$ -DPC) of BH_{n-1}^0 (resp. BH_{n-1}^2). Since neither x nor y is an endpoint of a path P_3 in $|T_3|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^3 , combining with the direction of xy on P_3 , there are at least $\lfloor \frac{4^{n-1}-2*(2n-2)}{2} \rfloor$ choices of xy in $|T_3|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^3 . On the contrary, if both y_0 (resp. x_2) and its backup vertex are endpoints of two paths in $|S_0|$ -DPC (resp. $|T_2|$ -DPC) of BH_{n-1}^0 (resp. BH_{n-1}^2), then it will eliminate one choice of xy . Observe that $|S_0|+|T_2| \leq (2n-4)+(2n-2)$ and $2\lfloor \frac{4^{n-1}-2*(2n-2)}{2} \rfloor > (2n-4)+(2n-2)$ whenever $n \geq 3$, thus, the claim holds.

We may assume that s_3, y, x and t_3 lie sequentially on P_3 , where s_3 and t_3 are the endpoints of P_3 . Let x_0 (resp. y_2) be a neighbour of y_0 (resp. x_2) on P_0 (resp. P_2). Suppose without loss of generality that s_0, y_0, x_0 and t_0 (resp. s_2, y_2, x_2 and t_2)

lie sequentially on P_0 (resp. P_2), where s_0 and t_0 (resp. s_2 and t_0) are the endpoints of P_0 (resp. P_2). Let y_1 and x_1 be $(n-1)$ -dimensional neighbors of x_0 and x_2 , respectively. By Lemma 4, there exists a Hamiltonian path P_1 of BH_{n-1}^1 from y_1 to x_1 . Deleting xy , x_0y_0 and x_2y_2 from P_3 , P_0 and P_2 and joining xy_0 , yx_2 , x_0y_1 and x_1y_2 will lead to three new paths P'_0 , P'_2 and P'_3 , where P'_0 is from s_0 to t_3 via y_0x , P'_2 is from s_2 to t_0 via y_2x_1 , P_1 and y_1x_0 , and P'_3 is from s_3 to t_2 via yx_2 . By deleting edges of P_0 , P_2 and P_3 from $(2n-2)$ -DPC of $BH_n - V(BH_{n-1}^1)$, and adding edges of P'_0 , P'_2 and P'_3 , a $(2n-2)$ -DPC of BH_n follows (see Fig. 4).




Fig. 4. Illustration of Case 2.2.

Case 3. $S_i = \emptyset$ and $T_i = \emptyset$ and $S_j = \emptyset$ and $T_j = \emptyset$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. By the relative positions of BH_{n-1}^i and BH_{n-1}^j , there are two cases to consider.

Case 3.1. $i = j + 1$ or $j = i + 1$. Suppose without loss of generality that $j = i + 1$. There are two essentially distinct cases to consider.

Case 3.1.1. $i = 1$ and $j = 2$. That is, $S_1 = T_1 = \emptyset$ and $S_2 = T_2 = \emptyset$. Note that $|S_0| \leq 2n - 4$ and $|S_3| \leq 2n - 4$. Additionally, $|T_0| \leq |S_0|$ and $|T_3| \geq |S_3|$. By the proof of Case 1.1, we can obtain $(2n-2)$ -DPC of $BH_n - V(BH_{n-1}^1) \cup V(BH_{n-1}^2)$. Similarly, we shall make some changes to $(2n-2)$ -DPC of $BH_n - V(BH_{n-1}^1) \cup V(BH_{n-1}^2)$, yielding a $(2n-2)$ -DPC of BH_n .

Suppose that $xy \in E(BH_{n-1}^3)$ is an edge on one of a path, say P_3 , of $(2n-2)$ -DPC of $BH_n - V(BH_{n-1}^1) \cup V(BH_{n-1}^2)$ such that s_3, y, x and t_3 lie sequentially on P_3 , where s_3 and t_3 are the endpoints of P_3 . Let y_0 and x_2 be $(n-1)$ -dimensional neighbors of x and y , respectively. By the proof of Case 2.1, we may assume that y_0 is an internal vertex of a path P_0 of $|S_0|$ -DPC in BH_{n-1}^0 . Let x_0 be a neighbour




Fig. 5. Illustration of Case 3.1.1.

of y_0 on P_0 . Suppose without loss of generality that s_0, y_0, x_0 and t_0 lie sequentially on P_0 , where s_0 and t_0 are the endpoints of P_0 . Let y_1 be an $(n-1)$ -dimensional neighbor of x_0 and let x_1y_2 be an edge from BH_{n-1}^1 to BH_{n-1}^2 . By Lemma 4, there exists a Hamiltonian path P_1 (resp. P_2) of BH_{n-1}^1 (resp. BH_{n-1}^2) from y_1 to x_1 (resp. y_2 to x_2). Deleting xy and x_0y_0 from P_0 and P_3 and joining xy_0 , yx_2 , x_0y_1 and x_1y_2 will lead to two new paths P'_0 and P'_3 , where P'_0 is from s_0 to t_3 via y_0x , and P'_3 is from s_3 to t_0 via yx_2 , P_2 , y_2x_1 , P_1 and y_1x_0 . By deleting edges of P_0 and P_3 from $(2n-2)$ -DPC of $BH_n - V(BH_{n-1}^1) \cup V(BH_{n-1}^2)$, and adding edges of P'_0 and P'_3 , a $(2n-2)$ -DPC of BH_n follows (see Fig. 5).

Case 3.1.2. $i = 2$ and $j = 3$. That is, $S_2 = T_2 = \emptyset$ and $S_3 = T_3 = \emptyset$. Note that $|S_0| \leq 2n-4$ and $|S_1| \leq 2n-4$. Additionally, $|T_0| \leq |S_0|$ and $|T_1| \geq |S_1|$.

If $|T_1| = |S_1|$, then $|T_1| \leq 2n-4$. The proof is analogous to that of Case 3.1.1.

If $|T_1| > |S_1|$ and $|T_1| \leq 2n-4$, then the proof is analogous to that of Condition (1) in Case 1.1.

If $|T_1| > 2n-4$, then $|T_1| > |S_1|$. Then the proof is analogous to that of Condition (2) in Case 1.1.

Case 3.2. $i = j+2$ or $j = i+2$. Suppose without loss of generality that $i = 1$ and $j = 3$. We further consider the following two cases

Case 3.2.1. $|T_2| = |S_2|$. Then $|T_2| \leq 2n-4$. Additionally, $|T_0| = |S_0|$. By the induction hypothesis, we can obtain $|T_2|$ -DPC and $|S_0|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^2 and BH_{n-1}^0 , respectively. Obviously, we can choose an edge $x_0y_0 \in E(BH_{n-1}^0)$ on one of a path, say P_0 , of $|S_0|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^0 such that s_0, y_0, x_0 and t_0 lie sequentially

on P_0 , where s_0 and t_0 are the endpoints of P_0 . Similarly, we can choose an edge $x_2y_2 \in E(BH_{n-1}^2)$ on one of a path, say P_2 , of $|T_2|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^2 such that s_2, y_2, x_2 and t_2 lie sequentially on P_2 , where s_2 and t_2 are the endpoints of P_2 . Let x_1, y_1, x_3 and y_3 be $(n-1)$ -dimensional neighbors of y_2, x_0, y_0 and x_2 , respectively. By Lemma 4, there exists a Hamiltonian path P_1 (resp. P_3) of BH_{n-1}^1 (resp. BH_{n-1}^3) from y_1 to x_1 (resp. y_3 to x_3). Deleting x_0y_0 and x_2y_2 from P_0 and P_2 and joining x_0y_1, x_1y_2, x_2y_3 and x_3y_0 will lead to two new paths P'_0 and P'_2 , where P'_0 is from s_0 to t_2 via y_0x_3, P_3, y_3x_2 , and P'_2 is from s_2 to t_0 via y_2x_1, P_1 and y_1x_0 . By deleting edges of P_0 and P_2 from $|S_0|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^0 and $|T_2|$ -DPC of BH_{n-1}^2 , and adding edges of P'_0 and P'_2 , a $(2n-2)$ -DPC of BH_n follows (see Fig. 6).




Fig. 6. Illustration of Case 3.2.1.

Case 3.2.2. $|T_2| > |S_2|$. Analogous to the proof of Case 1.1, we can obtain $(2n-2)$ -DPC of $BH_n - V(BH_{n-1}^1)$. The remaining proof is just a rewrite of that of Case 2.2, so we omit it. □

4. Conclusions

In this paper, unpaired many-to-many DPC of the balanced hypercube is obtained. We use induction to prove that the balanced hypercube BH_n , $n \geq 2$, has unpaired $(2n-2)$ -DPC. Let $u, u' \in V_0$ be two vertices having the same neighborhood and let $W = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2n-1}\}$ be a set containing $2n-1$ distinct vertices. In addition, $u, u' \notin S$ and $W \subseteq T$. If each vertex of W is a neighbor of u and u' , then

one of u and u' can not be covered by any $(2n - 1)$ -DPC. That is, the number of disjoint paths in any unpaired many-to-many DPC can not exceed $2n - 2$, indicating the upper bound $2n - 2$ of the number of disjoint paths in $(2n - 2)$ -DPC is optimal.

It is meaningful to explore whether the upper bound $2n - 2$ holds for paired many-to-many DPC. From the perspective of distributed computing, one may study algorithms to obtain many-to-many DPC in the balanced hypercube. Moreover, unpaired many-to-many DPC of the balanced hypercube with faulty elements is of interest and should be further investigated.

References

- [1] S.M. Bhandarkar, H.R. Arabnia, Parallel computer vision on a reconfigurable multiprocessor network, *IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst.* 8 (1997) 292–310.
- [2] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, *Graph theory*, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [3] X.-B. Chen, Paired 2-disjoint path covers of faulty k -ary n -cubes, *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 609 (2016) 494–499.
- [4] D. Cheng, R. Hao, Y. Feng, Two node-disjoint paths in balanced hypercubes, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 242 (2014) 127–142.
- [5] Q. Dong, J. Zhou, Y. Fu, H. Gao, Hamiltonian connectivity of restricted hypercube-like networks under the conditional fault model, *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 472 (2013) 46–59.
- [6] J. Dybizbański, A. Szepietowski, Hamiltonian paths in hypercubes with local traps, *Inf. Sci.* 375 (2017) 258–270.
- [7] J. Fan, X. Lin, X. Jia, Optimal path embeddings of paths with various lengths in twisted cubes, *IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst.* 18 (4) (2007) 511–521.
- [8] R.J. Gould, Advances on the hamiltonian problem - a survey, *Graphs Comb.* 19 (2003) 7–52.
- [9] R.-X. Hao, Z. Ru, Y.-Q. Feng, Hamiltonian cycle embedding for fault tolerance in balanced hypercubes, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 244 (2014) 447–456.

- [10] S. Jo, J.-H. Park, K.-Y. Chwa, Paired many-to-many disjoint path covers in faulty hypercubes, *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 513 (2013) 1–24.
- [11] S.-Y. Kim, J.-H. Park, Paired many-to-many disjoint path covers in recursive circulants $G(2^m, 4)$, *IEEE Trans. Comput.* 62 (12) (2013) 2468–2475.
- [12] F.T. Leighton, *Introduction to Parallel Algorithms and Architectures*. San Mateo, 1992, Calif.: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers.
- [13] P. Li, M. Xu, Edge-fault-tolerant edge-bipancyclicity of balanced hypercubes, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 307 (2017) 180–192.
- [14] H. Lü, X. Li, H. Zhang, Matching preclusion for balanced hypercubes, *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 465 (2012) 10–20.
- [15] H. Lü, On extra connectivity and extra edge-connectivity of balanced hypercubes, *Inter. J. Comput. Math.* 94 (2016) 813–820.
- [16] H. Lü, H. Zhang, Hyper-Hamiltonian laceability of balanced hypercubes, *J. Supercomput.* 68 (2014) 302–314.
- [17] J.-H. Park, H.-C. Kim, H.-S. Lim, Many-to-many disjoint path covers in presence of faulty elements, *IEEE Trans. Comput.* 58 (4) (2009) 528–540.
- [18] C.-H. Tsai, Linear array and ring embeddings in conditional faulty hypercubes, *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 314 (2004) 431–443.
- [19] F. Wang, H. Zhang, Hamiltonian laceability in hypercubes with faulty edges, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 236 (2018) 438–445.
- [20] M.A. Wani, H.R. Arabnia, Parallel edge-region-based segmentation algorithm targeted at reconfigurable multi-ring network, *J. Supercomput.* 25 (2003) 43–62.
- [21] J. Wu, K. Huang, The balanced hypercube: a cube-based system for fault-tolerant applications, *IEEE Trans. Comput.* 46 (4) (1997) 484–490.
- [22] M. Xu, H. Hu, J. Xu, Edge-pancyclicity and Hamiltonian laceability of the balanced hypercubes, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 189 (2007) 1393–1401.
- [23] M. Yang, Bipanconnectivity of balanced hypercubes, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 60 (2010) 1859–1867.

- [24] M. Yang, Super connectivity of balanced hypercubes, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 219 (2012) 970–975.
- [25] Q. Zhou, D. Chen, H. Lü, Fault-tolerant Hamiltonian laceability of balanced hypercubes, *Inf. Sci.* 300 (2015) 20–27.
- [26] J.-X. Zhou, Z.-L. Wu, S.-C. Yang, K.-W. Yuan, Symmetric property and reliability of balanced hypercube, *IEEE Trans. Comput.* 64 (3) (2015) 871–876.
- [27] J.-X. Zhou, J. Kwak, Y.-Q. Feng, Z.-L. Wu, Automorphism group of the balanced hypercube, *Ars Math. Contemp.* 12 (2017) 145–154.