arXiv:1912.05486v1 [cs.DM] 11 Dec 2019

Ear-Slicing for Matchings in Hypergraphs

András Sebő
CNRS, Laboratoire G-SCOP, Univ. Grenoble Alpes

March 13, 2024

Abstract

We study when a given edge of a factor-critical graph is contained in a matching avoiding exactly one, pregiven vertex of the graph. We then apply the results to always partition the vertex-set of a 3-regular, 3-uniform hypergraph into at most one triangle (hyperedge of size 3) and edges (subsets of size 2 of hyperedges), corresponding to the intuition, and providing new insight to triangle and edge packings of Cornuéjols' and Pulleyblank's. The existence of such a packing can be considered to be a hypergraph variant of Petersen's theorem on perfect matchings, and leads to a simple proof for a sharpening of Lu's theorem on antifactors of graphs.

1 Introduction

Given a hypergraph $H = (V, E)$, ($E \subseteq \mathcal{P}(V)$, where $\mathcal{P}(V)$ is the power-set of V) we will call the elements of V *vertices*, and those of E *hyperedges*, $n := |V|$. We say that a hypergraph is k-*uniform* if all of its hyperedges have k elements, and it is k-*regular* if all of its vertices are contained in k hyperedges. Hyperedges may be present with multiplicicities, for instance the hypergraph $H = (V, E)$ with $V = \{a, b, c\}$ consisting of the hyperedge $\{a, b, c\}$ with multiplicity 3 is a 3-uniform, 3-regular hypergraph. The *hereditary closure* of the hypergraph $H = (V, E)$ is $H^h = (V, E^h)$ where $E^h := \{X \subseteq e : e \in E\}$, and H is *hereditary*, if $H^h = H$. For the new edges of the hereditary closure we do not need to define multiplicities we will consider them all to be one.

Hyperedges of cardinality 1 will be called *singletons*, those of cardinality 2 and 3 are called *edges* and *triangles* respectively. Deleting a vertex v of the hypergraph H results in the hypergraph $H - v = (V \setminus \{v\}, \{e \in E, v \notin E\})$. For hereditary hypergraphs this is the same as deleting v from all hyperedges. The degree $d_H(v)$ of $v \in V$ in H is the number of hyperedges containing v.

Given a hypergraph $H = (V, E)$, denote by E_2 the set of edges (of size two) in E^h, $H_2 := (V, E_2)$. We do not need parallel edges in H_2, we suppose H_2 is a graph without parallel edges or loops. The (connected) *components* of H are defined as those of H_2. These form a partition of V, and correspond to the usual hypergraph components: H is *connected* if H_2 is connected. Abusing terminology, the vertex-set of a component is also called component. We define a *graph* as a hypergraph H with $H = H_2$, that is, a 2-uniform hypergraph without loops or parallel edges.

A *matching* in a graph is a set of pairwise vertex-disjoint edges. A matching is *perfect* if it partitions the vertex-set of the graph. A graph $G = (V, E)$ is called *factor-critical* if $G - v$ has a perfect matching (also called a 1-factor) for all $v \in V$.

In this note we prove two lemmas, possibly interesting for their own sake, on when a given edge of a factor-critical graph is contained in a matching avoiding exactly one, pregiven vertex of the graph, leading to a result on 3-uniform hypergraphs (Section 2). We then prove that a k-regular and k-uniform hypergraph is perfectly matchable in some sense (a generalization of Petersen's theorem [6] on 2-uniform hypergraphs), sharpening a result of Lu's [5] (Section 3).

2 Ears and Triangles

An *ear-decomposition* $P_0 + \ldots + P_k$ consists of a circuit P_0, and paths P_i ($i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$) sharing its (one or two) *endpoints* with $V(P_0) \cup \cdots \cup V(P_{i-1})$; $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ are called *ears*. An ear is called *trivial*, if it consists of one edge. An ear is called *odd* if it has an odd number of edges. Lovász [3], [4] proved that a graph is factor-critical if and only if it has an ear-decomposition with all ears odd.

For $v \in V$, denote by $\mathrm{ear}(v)$ the index of the first ear when vertex v occurs. (It may occur later only as an endpoint of an ear.) Given an ear-decomposition, we call an edge $e = ab$ *odd*, if $\mathrm{ear}(a) = \mathrm{ear}(b)$, and if $i \geq 1$ we also require that a is joined to an endpoint of P_i by an odd subpath of P_i not containing b, and that the same holds interchanging the role of a and b. We will call an odd ear-decomposition *maximal* if for every odd edge ab, $i := \mathrm{ear}(a) = \mathrm{ear}(b)$, we have $ab \in P_i$.

Clearly, *there exists a maximal odd ear-decomposition, since while there are odd edges $e \notin P_i$ with endpoints on P_i ($i \in \{0, \ldots, k\}$), we can obviously replace the ears P_i and e, where $\{e\}$ is necessarily a trivial ear, with two odd ears.* In particular, an odd ear-decomposition with a maximum number of nontrivial ears (equivalently, with a minimum number of trivial ears) is maximal.

We need the following lemmas that may also have some self-interest and other applications: for $v \in V$, $e \in E$, it provides a sufficient condition for $G - v$ to have a perfect matching containing e.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a factor-critical graph given with an odd ear-decomposition, and let $e = ab \in E$ be an odd edge in a nontrivial ear. For any vertex $v \in V$ with $\mathrm{ear}(v) < \mathrm{ear}(a) = \mathrm{ear}(b)$, there exists a perfect matching of $G - v$ containing e.*

Proof: Let $G = P_0 + \ldots + P_k$ be the ear-decomposition. We can suppose without loss of generality (since by the easy direction of Lovász's theorem [3], a graph having an odd ear-decomposition is factor-critical) that e is on the last ear P_k. Since v is in $G' = P_0 \cup \ldots \cup P_{k-1}$, and G' is factor-critical (again by the easy direction of Lovász's theorem), $G' - v$ has a perfect matching M'. Adding the odd edges of P_k to M', we get the matching of the assertion. □

Cornuéjols, Hartvigsen and Pulleyblank [1], [2] (see also [4]) need to check when a factor-critical graph is partitionable into triangles and edges, and for this they try out all triangles. The following lemma improves this for the unions of triangles by showing that they *always* have such a partition:

Lemma 2.2. *If $H = (V, E)$ is a 3-uniform hypergraph and H_2 is factor-critical, then V has a partition into one triangle $\{a, b, c\} \in E$ and a perfect matching in $H_2 - \{a, b, c\}$.*

Proof: Consider a maximal odd ear-decomposition, let $e = ab \in E_2$ be an odd edge on its last nontrivial ear P_k and let $\{a, b, v\} \in E$ be a triangle containing e. If $\mathrm{ear}(v) < k$, we are done by Lemma 2.1: a matching M, $e \in M$ of $G - v$ and the triangle $\{a, b, v\}$ do partition V. Suppose now $\mathrm{ear}(v) = k$.

If $k = 0$ choose a vertex on P_0 at odd distance from both a and b, and let both u_1 and u_2 denote this same vertex. The following proof holds then for both $k = 0$ or $k \geq 1$.

We can suppose without loss of generality that the endpoint u_1 of the ear P_k, v, a, b and the other endpoint u_2 of P_k (possibly $u_1 = u_2$) follow one another in this order on the ear. The path between u_1 and v is even, because if it were odd, the edge vb would be odd - the path between b and u_2 being odd by the assumption that the edge ab is odd -, contradicting the maximality of the ear-decomposition. But then a perfect matching of $(P_0 + \ldots + P_{k-1}) - u_1$ and every second edge of the subpath of P_k between u_1 and v, covering u_1 but not covering v, and the odd edges of the rest of P_k including e, form a perfect matching in $H_2 - v$ containing e. Replacing e in this perfect matching by $\{a, b, v\} \in E$ finishes the proof. □

3 Regular Hypergraphs

Theorem 3.1. *If $H = (V, E)$ is a 3-uniform, 3-regular hypergraph, then H_2 has either a perfect matching (if $|V|$ is even), or it is factor-critical (if $|V|$ is odd) and in the latter case V can be partitioned into one triangle $\{a, b, c\} \in E$ and a perfect matching of $H_2 - \{a, b, c\}$.*

Proof : If H_2 has a perfect matching we have nothing to prove. Suppose it has not.

Claim. H_2 is factor-critical.

We prove more: *for $X \subseteq V$, $X \neq \emptyset$, the number k of components of $G - X$ satisfies $k \leq |X|$.* (Then by Tutte's theorem [7], see also [4], $G - v$ has a perfect matching for all $v \in V$.) For each component C, by 3-regularity, $\sum_{v \in C} d_H(v) = 3|C|$.

In this sum, divisible by 3, every hyperedge is counted as many times as it has vertices in C. Since G is connected, and $C \neq V$, we have that the sum of $|e|$ for all hyperedges that meet C, itself divisible by 3 by 3-uniformity, is strictly larger than $\sum_{v \in C} d_H(v)$. Therefore, the sum of $|e \setminus C|$ for these edges is nonzero and also divisible by 3, so it is at least 3. The vertices not in C of the edges that meet C are in X, hence $e \setminus C = e \cap X$, so summing $|e \cap X|$ for all edges, the sum is at least $3k$:

$$3k \leq \sum_{e \in E} |e \cap X| \leq \sum_{x \in X} d_H(x) = 3|X|,$$

so $k \leq |X|$, finishing the proof of the claim. Now Lemma 2.2 can be readily applied. □

The intuition that at most one triangle may be enough is highly influenced by Cornuéjols, Hartvigsen and Pulleyblank's work [1], [2], even if these are not explicitly used. The heart of the proof is encoded in the two lemmas that show: we can either increase the number of nontrivial ears or find the wanted partition, and for this, 3-uniformity is not needed. The proof is clearly algorithmic, providing a low degree polynomial algorithm.

Finally, we prove a sharpening of Lu's theorem [5], which considered a question in [4]. A simple proof of Lu's theorem has been the initial target of this work.

Corollary 3.1. *Let G be a k-regular bipartite graph with bipartition $\{A, B\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 3$. Then G has a subgraph with all degrees of vertices in B equal to 1, all degrees of vertices in A equal to 2 or 0, except possibly at most one vertex of A which is of degree 3.*

Proof : Delete $k-3$ pairwise disjoint perfect matchings one by one (they are well-known to exist in bipartite regular graphs [4] by Hall's theorem, actually a k-edge-coloring also exists by Kőnig's edge-coloring theorem). Define then the hypergraph $H = (V, E)$ with $V := B$, and E to have one hyperedge for each $a \in A$ consisting of the set of neighbors of a. Since there are no loops or parallel edges in G (see Section 1), the defined hypergraph H is 3-uniform and 3-regular.

Apply now Theorem 3.1. □

As the proof shows, the essential case is $k = 3$, when the theorem can be considered to be a generalization of Petersen's theorem [6] about perfect matchings in graphs. Let us also state the reformulation to hypergraphs by the inverse of the correspondence in the proof:

Corollary 3.2. *If $H = (V, E)$ is a k-uniform, k-regular hypergraph, $k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 3$, then V can be partitioned into hyperedges of H^h of size 2 and at most one hyperedge of size 3.*

Acknowledgment: Many thanks to Zoltán Szigeti and Louis Esperet for precious suggestions!

References

[1] G. Cornuéjols, W. R. Pulleyblank, Critical Graphs, Matchings and Tours or a Hierarchy of Relaxations for the Travelling Salesman Problem, Combinatorica, **3(1)** (1983), 36–52.

[2] G. Cornuéjols, D. Hartvigsen, W. R .Pulleyblank, Packing Subgraphs in a Graph, Operations Research letters, Volume 1, Number 4 (1982)

[3] L. Lovász, A note on factor-critical graphs, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 7, 1972, 279–280.

[4] L. Lovász, M. D. Plummer, Matching theory, *Ann. Discrete Math.*, 29 North Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.

[5] H. Lu, Antifactor of regular bipartite graphs, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1511.09277v1.pdf

[6] J. Petersen, Die Theorie der regulären Graphen, *Acta Math,*, 15, 1891, 193–220, Jbuch. 23-115.

[7] W. T. Tutte, The factorization of linear graphs, *J. of the London Mathematical Society*, 22, 107-111.