

On depth spectra of constacyclic codes over finite commutative chain rings

Anuradha Sharma^{*†} and Tania Sidana[†]
Department of Mathematics, IIT-Delhi
New Delhi 110020, India

Abstract

The depth of a sequence plays an important role in studying its linear complexity in game theory, communication theory and cryptography. In this paper, we determine depth spectra of all repeated-root $(\alpha + \gamma\beta)$ -constacyclic codes of arbitrary lengths over a finite commutative chain ring \mathcal{R} , where α is a non-zero element of the Teichmüller set of \mathcal{R} and β is a unit in \mathcal{R} . We also illustrate our results with some examples.

Keywords: Negacyclic codes; Local rings; Derivative of a sequence; Linear complexity of a sequence.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 94B15.

1 Introduction

The derivative is a well-known operator of sequences and is useful in investigating the linear complexity of sequences in game theory, communication theory and cryptography (see [1, 3, 6, 9]). Etzion [8] first applied the derivative operator on codewords of linear codes over finite fields, and defined the depth of a codeword in terms of the derivative operator. He showed that there are exactly k distinct non-zero depths attained by non-zero codewords of a k -dimensional linear code \mathcal{C} , and any k non-zero codewords of \mathcal{C} with distinct depths form a basis of \mathcal{C} . This shows that the depth distribution is an interesting parameter of linear codes. In the same work, he determined depth spectra of all binary Hamming codes, extended binary Hamming codes and first-order binary Reed-Muller codes. He also established a relation between the depth spectrum of a binary linear code of length 2^n and the depth spectrum of its dual code. He also showed that the depth of a binary sequence of length 2^n as a non-cyclic word is equal to its linear complexity as a cyclic word. Later, Mitchell [14] applied the derivative operator on binary sequences (either finite or infinite), and extended the definition of depth for such sequences. He showed that the set of infinite sequences of finite depth corresponds to a set of equivalence classes of rational polynomials, and established an equivalence between infinite sequences of finite depth and sequences of specified periodicity. He also explicitly determined depth spectra of all cyclic codes over arbitrary finite fields. Luo et al. [13] showed that depth distributions of linear codes over arbitrary finite fields are completely determined by their depth spectra. They also studied the enumeration problem of counting linear subcodes with a prescribed depth spectrum of a given linear code over a finite field. Using these results, they determined depth distributions of all r th order binary Reed-Muller codes.

In another related direction, many important binary non-linear codes are viewed as Gray images of linear codes over the ring \mathbb{Z}_4 of integers modulo 4 (see [4, 10, 17]). Since then, codes over finite commutative chain rings have received a lot of attention. Kong et al. [11] determined depth spectra of all simple-root constacyclic codes

^{*}Corresponding Author, Email address: anuradha@iiitd.ac.in

[†]Research Support by DST-SERB, India, under grant no. EMR/2017/000662, is gratefully acknowledged.

over finite commutative chain rings. Recently, Kai et al. [16] studied depth spectra of negacyclic codes of even lengths over \mathbb{Z}_4 (see Kai et al. [16, Th. 2-4]). However, we noticed errors in proofs of Theorems 2-4 of Kai et al. [16], which we illustrate in Examples 4.1-4.3 and Remark 4.1. We rectify these errors in Theorem 4.2.

Throughout this paper, let \mathcal{R} be a finite commutative chain ring with the unique maximal ideal as $\langle \gamma \rangle$. The main goal of this paper is to determine depth spectra of all repeated-root $(\alpha + \gamma\beta)$ -constacyclic codes of arbitrary lengths over \mathcal{R} , where α is a non-zero element of the Teichmüller set of \mathcal{R} and β is a unit in \mathcal{R} . In a subsequent work, we determine depth distributions of all repeated-root $(\alpha + \gamma\beta)$ -constacyclic codes of prime power lengths over \mathcal{R} . As applications of these results, we also design some new games and propose winning strategies for these games.

This paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, we state some preliminaries and derive some basic results that are needed to prove our main results. In Section 3, we determine all repeated-root $(\alpha + \gamma\beta)$ -constacyclic codes of arbitrary lengths over \mathcal{R} , their torsion codes, their sizes and their dual codes (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2). In Section 4, we determine depth spectra of all repeated-root $(\alpha + \gamma\beta)$ -constacyclic codes of arbitrary lengths over \mathcal{R} (Theorems 4.1 and 4.2). In Section 5, we mention a brief conclusion and discuss some interesting open problems.

2 Some preliminaries

Let R be a finite commutative ring with unity, N be a positive integer, and let R^N be the R -module consisting of all N -tuples over R . The derivative $D : R^N \rightarrow R^{N-1}$ is defined as $D(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}) = (a_1 - a_0, a_2 - a_1, \dots, a_{N-1} - a_{N-2})$ for each $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}) \in R^N$.

Definition 2.1. [8] The depth of a vector $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}) \in R^N$, denoted by $\text{depth}(a)$, is defined as the smallest integer i (if it exists) satisfying $0 \leq i \leq N - 1$ and $D^i(a) = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in R^{N-i}$. If no such integer i exists (i.e., $D^{N-1}(a) \neq 0$), then the depth of the vector $a \in R^N$ is defined to be N .

It is easy to see that $\text{depth}(a) = i$ if and only if $D^{i-1}(a) = (b, b, \dots, b) \in R^{N-i+1}$ for some $b(\neq 0) \in R$. Further, note that $\text{depth}(a) = 0$ if and only if $a = 0$.

Definition 2.2. [8] Let \mathcal{C} be a code of length N over R . For $0 \leq \rho \leq N$, let $\mathcal{D}_\rho(\mathcal{C})$ denote the number of codewords in \mathcal{C} having the depth as ρ . The depth distribution of the code \mathcal{C} is defined as the list $\mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}_1(\mathcal{C}), \dots, \mathcal{D}_N(\mathcal{C})$. Further, the depth spectrum of the code \mathcal{C} is defined as $\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}) = \{i : 1 \leq i \leq N \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_i(\mathcal{C}) \neq 0\}$.

A linear code \mathcal{C} of length N over R is defined as an R -submodule of R^N . Further, for a unit $\lambda \in R$, the code \mathcal{C} is called a λ -constacyclic code if it satisfies the following: $(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{N-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ implies that $(\lambda a_{N-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-2}) \in \mathcal{C}$. Under the standard R -module isomorphism from R^N onto $R[x]/\langle x^N - \lambda \rangle$, defined as $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}) \mapsto a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{N-1}x^{N-1} + \langle x^N - \lambda \rangle$ for each $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}) \in R^N$, the code \mathcal{C} can be identified as an ideal of the quotient ring $R[x]/\langle x^N - \lambda \rangle$. Thus the study of λ -constacyclic codes of length N over R is equivalent to the study of ideals of the ring $R[x]/\langle x^N - \lambda \rangle$. Further, the (Euclidean) dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp of \mathcal{C} is given by $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{a(x) \in R[x]/\langle x^N - \lambda^{-1} \rangle : a(x)c^*(x) = 0 \text{ in } R[x]/\langle x^N - \lambda^{-1} \rangle \text{ for all } c(x) \in \mathcal{C}\}$, where $c^*(x) = x^{\deg c(x)}c(x^{-1})$ for all $c(x) \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $c^*(x) = 0$ if $c(x) = 0$. From now on, we shall represent elements of the ring $R[x]/\langle x^N - \lambda \rangle$ by their representatives in $R[x]$ of degree less than N , and we shall perform their addition and multiplication modulo $x^N - \lambda$. Now the derivative of $c(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{N-1}x^{N-1} \in R[x]/\langle x^N - \lambda \rangle$ is defined as the derivative of the vector $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{N-1}) \in R^N$. In view of this, the depth of an element $c(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{N-1}x^{N-1} \in R[x]/\langle x^N - \lambda \rangle$, denoted by $\text{depth}(c(x))$, is defined as the depth of the vector $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{N-1}) \in R^N$. The following two results are useful in the determination of depths of non-zero codewords of constacyclic codes.

Proposition 2.1. [14] Let $0 \leq i \leq N - 1$ be fixed. For $c(x) \in R[x]/\langle x^N - \lambda \rangle$, let us write $(1 - x)^i c(x) = d_0 + d_1x + d_2x^2 + \dots + d_{N-1}x^{N-1}$ modulo $x^N - \lambda$. Then the i th derivative $D^i(c(x))$ of the element $c(x) \in R[x]/\langle x^N - \lambda \rangle$ is given by

$$D^i(c(x)) = (d_i, d_{i+1}, \dots, d_{N-1}),$$

i.e., $D^i(c(x))$ appears as the last $N - i$ coefficients of the polynomial $(1 - x)^i c(x)$ modulo $x^N - \lambda$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $c(x) \in R[x]/\langle x^N - \lambda \rangle$, and let ℓ, t be positive integers satisfying $\ell + t \leq N$. If $\text{depth}((1-x)^\ell c(x)) = t$, then $\text{depth}(c(x)) = \ell + t$.*

Proof. As $\text{depth}((1-x)^\ell c(x)) = t$, we have $D^{t-1}((1-x)^\ell c(x)) = (d, d, \dots, d) \in R^{N-t+1}$ for some $d(\neq 0) \in R$. Now by Proposition 2.1, we see that the last $(N-t+1)$ coefficients of the element $(1-x)^{t-1}(1-x)^\ell c(x) \in R[x]/\langle x^N - \lambda \rangle$ are equal to d . In particular, the last $(N-t-\ell+1)$ coefficients of the element $(1-x)^{\ell+t-1}c(x) \in R[x]/\langle x^N - \lambda \rangle$ are equal to d . By Proposition 2.1 again, we note that $D^{\ell+t-1}(c(x)) = (d, d, \dots, d) \in R^{N-t-\ell+1}$, which gives $\text{depth}(c(x)) = \ell + t$. This proves the lemma. \square

However, when $\ell + t > N$, Lemma 2.1 does not hold. In this case, the depth of $c(x)$ may be strictly less than N . The following example illustrates this.

Example 2.1. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_4$, $N = 4$ and $\lambda = -1$. Let us take $c(x) = x + 2x^2 + 3x^3 \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle x^4 + 1 \rangle$, and $c_1(x) = (1-x)c(x) = 3 + x + x^2 + x^3 \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle x^4 + 1 \rangle$. It is easy to see that $t = \text{depth}(c_1(x)) = 4$ and $\text{depth}(c(x)) = 3$. Here we note that $\ell + t = 1 + t = 5 > 4 = N$ and $\text{depth}(c(x)) = 3 < 4 = N$.

The following proposition plays a key role in the determination of depth spectra of linear codes over finite fields.

Proposition 2.2. [8] *If \mathcal{C} is a linear code over a finite field, then $|\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C})|$ equals the dimension of \mathcal{C} . (Throughout this paper, $|A|$ denotes the cardinality of the set A .)*

In the following proposition, depth spectra of all cyclic codes over finite fields are determined.

Proposition 2.3. [14] *Let \mathcal{C} be a cyclic code of length N over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q with the generator polynomial as $g(x)$. Then for an integer $t \geq 0$, $(x-1)^t \parallel \frac{x^N-1}{g(x)}$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ if and only if $\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}) = \{1, 2, \dots, t\} \cup \{\text{deg } g(x) + t + 1, \text{deg } g(x) + t + 2, \dots, N - 1, N\}$. Here by $(x-1)^t \parallel \frac{x^N-1}{g(x)}$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, we mean $(x-1)^t \mid \frac{x^N-1}{g(x)}$ and $(x-1)^{t+1} \nmid \frac{x^N-1}{g(x)}$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. (Throughout this paper, $\text{deg } h(x)$ denotes the degree of a non-zero polynomial $h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$.)*

In a recent work, Zhang [20, Th. 4] determined depth spectra of all η -constacyclic codes over finite fields of prime order when $\eta \neq 1$. In the following theorem, we extend this result to η -constacyclic codes over arbitrary finite fields.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\eta(\neq 1)$ be a non-zero element of the finite field \mathbb{F}_q of order q . Let \mathcal{C} be a non-trivial η -constacyclic code of length N over \mathbb{F}_q with the generator polynomial as $g(x)$. Then we have*

$$\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}) = \{\text{deg } g(x) + 1, \text{deg } g(x) + 2, \dots, N - 1, N\}.$$

Proof. To prove the result, let $k = \text{deg } g(x)$. We first assert that

$$D^k(c(x)) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{N-k} \quad \text{for each } c(x)(\neq 0) \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Suppose, on the contrary, that there exists $c(x)(\neq 0) \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying $D^k(c(x)) = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{N-k}$. This, by Proposition 2.1, implies that the last $N - k$ coefficients of the codeword $(1-x)^k c(x)$ modulo $x^N - \eta$ are equal to zero. From this, it follows that either $(1-x)^k c(x) = 0$ or $\text{deg}((1-x)^k c(x)) \leq k - 1$.

If $(1-x)^k c(x) \neq 0$, then $(1-x)^k c(x)$ is a non-zero codeword of \mathcal{C} , which implies that $\text{deg}((1-x)^k c(x)) \geq k$. This gives $k \leq \text{deg}((1-x)^k c(x)) \leq k - 1$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore we must have $(1-x)^k c(x) = 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^N - \eta \rangle$. As $c(x) \in \mathcal{C}$ is a non-zero codeword, we can write $c(x) = g(x)f(x)$, where $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ satisfies $\text{deg } f(x) < N - k$. This gives $(1-x)^k g(x)f(x) = 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^N - \eta \rangle$, which implies that $x^N - \eta$ divides $(1-x)^k g(x)f(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. From this and using the fact that $\eta \neq 1$, we see that $(x^N - \eta)/g(x)$ divides $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. This is a contradiction, as $\text{deg } g(x) = k$ and $\text{deg } f(x) < N - k$.

This shows that $D^k(c(x)) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{N-k}$ for each $c(x)(\neq 0) \in \mathcal{C}$. This implies that $\text{depth}(c(x)) \geq k + 1$ for each $c(x)(\neq 0) \in \mathcal{C}$, which gives $\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \{k + 1, k + 2, \dots, N\}$. Further, by Proposition 2.2, we note that $|\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C})| = \dim \mathcal{C} = N - k$. From this, the desired result follows immediately. \square

From now on, throughout this paper, let \mathcal{R} be a finite commutative chain ring with unity, and let γ be a generator of the maximal ideal of \mathcal{R} . Further, let e be the nilpotency index of γ , and let $\overline{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R}/\langle\gamma\rangle$ be the residue field of \mathcal{R} . As $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ is a finite field, we assume that $\overline{\mathcal{R}} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ for some prime p and positive integer m , where \mathbb{F}_{p^m} is the finite field of order p^m . Further, there exists an element $\zeta \in \mathcal{R}$ whose multiplicative order is $p^m - 1$. The set $\mathcal{T} = \{0, 1, \zeta, \dots, \zeta^{p^m-2}\}$ is called the Teichmüller set of \mathcal{R} . Let $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}}$ be the natural epimorphism from \mathcal{R} onto $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$, which is given by $r \mapsto \bar{r} = r + \langle\gamma\rangle$ for each $r \in \mathcal{R}$. For a unit $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$, the map $\bar{\cdot}$ can be further extended to a map μ from $\mathcal{R}_\lambda = \mathcal{R}[x]/\langle x^N - \lambda \rangle$ into $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_\lambda = \overline{\mathcal{R}}[x]/\langle x^N - \bar{\lambda} \rangle$ as follows:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i x^i \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \bar{a}_i x^i \quad \text{for each} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i x^i \in \mathcal{R}_\lambda.$$

It is easy to observe that μ is a surjective ring homomorphism from \mathcal{R}_λ onto $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_\lambda$.

Proposition 2.4. [12] *The following hold.*

- (a) *The characteristic of \mathcal{R} is p^a , where $1 \leq a \leq e$. Moreover, we have $|\mathcal{R}| = |\overline{\mathcal{R}}|^e = p^{me}$.*
- (b) *For a positive integer s and a non-zero $\theta \in \mathcal{T}$, there exists $\theta_0 \in \mathcal{T}$ satisfying $\theta_0^{p^s} = \theta$.*
- (c) *Each element $r \in \mathcal{R}$ can be uniquely expressed as $r = r_0 + r_1\gamma + r_2\gamma^2 + \dots + r_{e-1}\gamma^{e-1}$, where $r_i \in \mathcal{T}$ for $0 \leq i \leq e-1$. Moreover, r is a unit in \mathcal{R} if and only if $r_0 \neq 0$.*

By Proposition 2.4(c), we see that a unit $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$ can be written as $\lambda = \alpha + \gamma\beta$, where $\alpha (\neq 0) \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\beta \in \{0\} \cup (\mathcal{R} \setminus \langle\gamma^{e-1}\rangle)$. Let \mathcal{C} be a λ -constacyclic code of length N over \mathcal{R} , (i.e., an ideal of the ring \mathcal{R}_λ). For $0 \leq i \leq e-1$, the i th torsion code of \mathcal{C} is defined as

$$\text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{C}) = \{\mu(f(x)) \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}_\lambda \mid \gamma^i f(x) \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Theorem 2.2. [15] *If \mathcal{C} is a λ -constacyclic code of length N over \mathcal{R} , then we have $|\mathcal{C}| = \prod_{i=0}^{e-1} |\text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{C})|$.*

Note that each non-zero element $c(x) \in \mathcal{R}_\lambda$ can be expressed as $c(x) = \gamma^\ell A(x)$, where $0 \leq \ell \leq e-1$ and $A(x) \in \mathcal{R}_\lambda$ satisfies $\mu(A(x)) \neq 0$. In the following lemma, we relate the depth of $c(x)$ with the depth of $\mu(A(x))$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $c(x)$ be a non-zero element of \mathcal{R}_λ . Let us write $c(x) = \gamma^\ell A(x)$, where $0 \leq \ell \leq e-1$ and $\mu(A(x)) \neq 0$. Then the following hold.*

- (a) *We have $\text{depth}(c(x)) \geq \text{depth}(\mu(A(x)))$.*
- (b) *When $\ell = e-1$, we have $\text{depth}(c(x)) = \text{depth}(\mu(A(x)))$.*

Proof. (a) When $\text{depth}(c(x)) = N$, the result holds trivially. Now we assume that $\text{depth}(c(x)) = t < N$. This implies that $\gamma^\ell D^t(A(x)) = D^t(\gamma^\ell A(x)) = D^t(c(x)) = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{R}^{N-t}$, which further implies that $D^t(A(x)) \in \langle\gamma^{e-\ell}\rangle^{N-t}$. From this, we obtain $D^t(\mu(A(x))) = \mu(D^t(A(x))) = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}^{N-t}$. This shows that $\text{depth}(\mu(A(x))) \leq t = \text{depth}(c(x))$.

(b) If $\text{depth}(\mu(A(x))) = N$, then by part (a), we get $N \geq \text{depth}(c(x)) \geq \text{depth}(\mu(A(x))) = N$, which gives $\text{depth}(c(x)) = N = \text{depth}(\mu(A(x)))$. Now we assume that $\text{depth}(\mu(A(x))) = k < N$. This gives $\mu(D^k(A(x))) = D^k(\mu(A(x))) = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}^{N-k}$, which implies that $D^k(A(x)) \in \langle\gamma\rangle^{N-k}$. This further implies that $D^k(c(x)) = D^k(\gamma^{e-1}A(x)) = \gamma^{e-1}D^k(A(x)) = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{R}^{N-k}$. This shows that $\text{depth}(c(x)) \leq k = \text{depth}(\mu(A(x)))$. From this and by part (a), we get the desired result. \square

From now on, we will follow the same notations as in Section 2, and we will focus our attention on λ -constacyclic codes of length np^s over \mathcal{R} , where n, s are positive integers with $\gcd(n, p) = 1$, and $\lambda = \alpha + \gamma\beta$ with $\alpha (\neq 0) \in \mathcal{T}$ and β a unit in \mathcal{R} .

3 Determination of λ -constacyclic codes of length np^s over \mathcal{R} and their dual codes

Dinh and López-Permouth [7] determined all simple-root cyclic and negacyclic codes of arbitrary lengths over finite commutative chain rings and their dual codes. They also established algebraic structures of all negacyclic codes of length 2^s over the ring \mathbb{Z}_{2^e} of integers modulo 2^e and their dual codes, where $s \geq 1$ and $e \geq 2$ are integers. Cao [5] determined all repeated-root $(1 + \gamma\beta)$ -constacyclic codes of length np^s over \mathcal{R} , where β is a unit in \mathcal{R} . In a related direction, Sharma and Sidana [18] determined all repeated-root constacyclic codes of prime power lengths over finite commutative chain rings and their sizes. However, algebraic structures of all $(\alpha + \gamma\beta)$ -constacyclic codes of length np^s over \mathcal{R} are not known in general.

In this section, we will determine all λ -constacyclic codes of length np^s over \mathcal{R} and their dual codes, where $\lambda = \alpha + \gamma\beta$ with $\alpha (\neq 0) \in \mathcal{T}$ and β a unit in \mathcal{R} . We will also determine their torsion codes and the number of codewords in each code. To do this, we recall that a λ -constacyclic code of length np^s over \mathcal{R} is an ideal of the quotient ring $\mathcal{R}_\lambda = \mathcal{R}[x]/\langle x^{np^s} - \lambda \rangle = \mathcal{R}[x]/\langle x^{np^s} - \alpha - \gamma\beta \rangle$. Further, by Proposition 2.4(b), there exists $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{T}$ satisfying $\alpha_0^{p^s} = \alpha$. As $\gcd(n, p) = 1$, by Theorem 2.7 of Norton and Sălăgean [15], we can write $x^n - \alpha_0 = f_1(x)f_2(x) \cdots f_r(x)$, where $f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_r(x)$ are monic basic irreducible pairwise coprime polynomials in $\mathcal{R}[x]$. Further, by Lemma 2.8 of Norton and Sălăgean [15], we observe that the polynomials $f_1(x)^{p^s}, f_2(x)^{p^s}, \dots, f_r(x)^{p^s}$ are pairwise coprime in $\mathcal{R}[x]$. For $1 \leq u \leq r-1$, by applying Lemma 2.8 of Norton and Sălăgean [15] again, we see that the polynomials $f_u(x)^{p^s}$ and $f_{u+1}(x)^{p^s} f_{u+2}(x)^{p^s} \cdots f_r(x)^{p^s}$ are coprime in $\mathcal{R}[x]$. Now working in a similar manner as in Lemma 3.1 of Sharma and Sidana [19], we see that

$$x^{np^s} - \lambda = \prod_{j=1}^r (f_j(x)^{p^s} + \gamma g_j(x)),$$

where the polynomials $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_r(x) \in \mathcal{R}[x]$ are such that $f_j(x)$ and $g_j(x)$ are coprime in $\mathcal{R}[x]$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$. Further, by applying Lemma 2.8 of Norton and Sălăgean [15], we observe that the polynomials $f_1(x)^{p^s} + \gamma g_1(x), f_2(x)^{p^s} + \gamma g_2(x), \dots, f_r(x)^{p^s} + \gamma g_r(x)$ are pairwise coprime in $\mathcal{R}[x]$. From now on, we define $k_j(x) = f_j(x)^{p^s} + \gamma g_j(x)$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$. Then we have $x^{np^s} - \lambda = \prod_{j=1}^r k_j(x)$. Further, if $\deg f_j(x) = d_j$, then we observe that $\deg k_j(x) = d_j p^s$ for each j . As $k_1(x), k_2(x), \dots, k_r(x)$ are pairwise coprime in $\mathcal{R}[x]$, by Chinese Remainder Theorem, we get

$$\mathcal{R}_\lambda \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathcal{K}_j,$$

where $\mathcal{K}_j = \mathcal{R}[x]/\langle k_j(x) \rangle$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$. Now we observe the following:

Proposition 3.1. (a) Let \mathcal{C} be a λ -constacyclic code of length np^s over \mathcal{R} , i.e., an ideal of the ring \mathcal{R}_λ . Then we have $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{C}_r$, where \mathcal{C}_j is an ideal of \mathcal{K}_j for $1 \leq j \leq r$.

(b) If I_j is an ideal of \mathcal{K}_j for $1 \leq j \leq r$, then $I = I_1 \oplus I_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_r$ is an ideal of \mathcal{R}_λ , (i.e., I is a λ -constacyclic code of length np^s over \mathcal{R}). Moreover, we have $|I| = |I_1| |I_2| \cdots |I_r|$.

Proof. Proof is trivial. □

Next if \mathcal{C} is a λ -constacyclic code of length np^s over \mathcal{R} , then its dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp is a λ^{-1} -constacyclic code of length np^s over \mathcal{R} . This implies that \mathcal{C}^\perp is an ideal of the ring $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda^{-1}} = \mathcal{R}[x]/\langle x^{np^s} - \lambda^{-1} \rangle$. In order to determine \mathcal{C}^\perp more explicitly, we observe that $x^{np^s} - \lambda^{-1} = -\alpha^{-1} k_1^*(x) k_2^*(x) \cdots k_r^*(x)$ and $\lambda^{-1} = (\alpha_0^{-1})^{p^s} + \gamma\omega$, where ω is a unit in \mathcal{R} . By applying Chinese Remainder Theorem again, we get $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda^{-1}} \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_j$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_j = \mathcal{R}[x]/\langle k_j^*(x) \rangle$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$. Now we make the following observation.

Proposition 3.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a λ -constacyclic code of length np^s over \mathcal{R} , i.e., an ideal of the ring \mathcal{R}_λ . If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{C}_r$ with \mathcal{C}_j an ideal of \mathcal{K}_j for each j , then the dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp of \mathcal{C} is given by $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \mathcal{C}_1^\perp \oplus \mathcal{C}_2^\perp \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{C}_r^\perp$, where $\mathcal{C}_j^\perp = \{a_j(x) \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_j : a_j(x) c_j^*(x) = 0 \text{ in } \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_j \text{ for all } c_j(x) \in \mathcal{C}_j\}$ is the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{C}_j for each j . Furthermore, \mathcal{C}_j^\perp is an ideal of $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_j = \mathcal{R}[x]/\langle k_j^*(x) \rangle$ for each j .

Proof. Its proof is straightforward. \square

In the following proposition, we determine all ideals of the ring \mathcal{K}_j , their orthogonal complements and their sizes.

Proposition 3.3. *All the ideals of the ring \mathcal{K}_j are given by $\langle f_j(x)^u \rangle$, where $0 \leq u \leq ep^s$. Furthermore, for $0 \leq u \leq ep^s$, we have $|\langle f_j(x)^u \rangle| = p^{md_j(ep^s - u)}$ and $\langle f_j(x)^u \rangle^\perp = \langle f_j^*(x)^{ep^s - u} \rangle$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_j$*

Proof. Working in a similar manner as in Theorem 3.1 of Sharma and Sidana [19], the desired result follows. \square

In the following theorem, we determine all λ -constacyclic codes of length np^s over \mathcal{R} , their dual codes and their sizes.

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a λ -constacyclic code of length np^s over \mathcal{R} , (i.e., an ideal of the ring \mathcal{R}_λ). Then we have the following:*

- (a) $\mathcal{C} = \langle \prod_{\ell=1}^r f_\ell(x)^{k_\ell} \rangle$ in \mathcal{R}_λ , where $0 \leq k_\ell \leq ep^s$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq r$.
- (b) $|\mathcal{C}| = p^{m(ep^s - \sum_{\ell=1}^r k_\ell d_\ell)}$.
- (c) $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle \prod_{\ell=1}^r f_\ell^*(x)^{ep^s - k_\ell} \rangle$ in $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda^{-1}}$.

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Propositions 3.1-3.3 and the Chinese Remainder Theorem. \square

To determine torsion codes of all λ -constacyclic codes of length np^s over \mathcal{R} and their depth spectra, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *In the ring \mathcal{R}_λ , the following hold.*

- (a) $x^n - \alpha_0$ is a nilpotent element of \mathcal{R}_λ and $(x^n - \alpha_0)^{p^s} = \gamma \mathcal{H}(x)$, where $\mathcal{H}(x)$ is a unit in \mathcal{R}_λ .
- (b) $\langle (x^n - \alpha_0)^{p^s} \rangle = \langle \gamma \rangle$ and the nilpotency index of $x^n - \alpha_0$ is ep^s .
- (c) Any non-zero polynomial $a(x) \in \mathcal{R}[x]$ coprime to $x^n - \alpha_0$ is a unit in \mathcal{R}_λ .

Proof. Its proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.2 of Sharma and Sidana [19]. \square

In the following theorem, we determine torsion codes of a λ -constacyclic code of length np^s over \mathcal{R} .

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{C} = \langle \prod_{\ell=1}^r f_\ell(x)^{k_\ell} \rangle$ be a λ -constacyclic code of length np^s over \mathcal{R} , where $0 \leq k_\ell \leq ep^s$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq r$. Then for $0 \leq i \leq e - 1$, we have*

$$\text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{C}) = \left\langle \prod_{\ell=1}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)^{\tau_\ell(i)}} \right\rangle \text{ in } \overline{\mathcal{R}}_\lambda,$$

where $\tau_\ell(i) = \min\{(i+1)p^s, k_\ell\} - \min\{ip^s, k_\ell\}$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq r$.

Proof. To prove the result, let $0 \leq i \leq e - 1$ be fixed. Let $\mathcal{B}_i = \langle \gamma^i \prod_{\ell=1}^r f_\ell(x)^{\omega_\ell(i)} \rangle$ be an ideal of \mathcal{R}_λ , where $\omega_\ell(i) = k_\ell - \min\{ip^s, k_\ell\}$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq r$. By Lemma 3.1(b) and using the fact that $ip^s + \omega_\ell(i) \geq k_\ell$, we see that

$$\mathcal{B}_i = \langle \gamma^i \prod_{\ell=1}^r f_\ell(x)^{\omega_\ell(i)} \rangle = \langle (x^n - \alpha_0)^{ip^s} \prod_{\ell=1}^r f_\ell(x)^{\omega_\ell(i)} \rangle = \langle \prod_{\ell=1}^r f_\ell(x)^{ip^s + \omega_\ell(i)} \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{C},$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{W}_i = \left\langle \prod_{\ell=1}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)^{\omega_\ell(i)}} \right\rangle \subseteq \text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{C}) \text{ in } \overline{\mathcal{R}}_\lambda. \quad (3.1)$$

Further, one can easily observe that

$$\mathcal{W}_i = \left\langle \prod_{\ell=1}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)^{\omega_\ell(i)}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \prod_{\ell=1}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)^{\tau_\ell(i)}} \right\rangle,$$

where $\tau_\ell(i) = \min\{(i+1)p^s, k_\ell\} - \min\{ip^s, k_\ell\} = \min\{p^s, \omega_\ell(i)\}$. Now as $\mathcal{W}_i = \langle \prod_{\ell=1}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)}^{\tau_\ell(i)} \rangle$ is an ideal of $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_\lambda$ (i.e., a $\overline{\lambda}$ -constacyclic code of length np^s over $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$), one can easily show that $|\mathcal{W}_i| = p^{m(np^s - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \tau_\ell(i)d_\ell)}$. This, by (3.1), implies that

$$|\text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{C})| \geq |\mathcal{W}_i| = p^{m(np^s - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \tau_\ell(i)d_\ell)} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq e-1. \quad (3.2)$$

Now by Theorems 2.2 and 3.1 and by (3.2), we get

$$p^{m(np^s - \sum_{\ell=1}^r k_\ell d_\ell)} = |\mathcal{C}| = \prod_{i=0}^{e-1} |\text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{C})| \geq \prod_{i=0}^{e-1} |\mathcal{W}_i| = p^{m \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} (np^s - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \tau_\ell(i)d_\ell)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Further, using the fact that $\sum_{i=0}^{e-1} \tau_\ell(i) = k_\ell$, we obtain

$$|\text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{C})| = p^{m(np^s - \sum_{\ell=1}^r \tau_\ell(i)d_\ell)} = |\mathcal{W}_i|.$$

From this, the desired result follows. \square

In the following two examples, we illustrate Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

Example 3.1. Here we will apply Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 to determine all 2-constacyclic codes of length 18 over \mathbb{Z}_9 , their dual codes, their sizes and their Torsion codes. To do this, we first observe that the Teichmüller set of \mathbb{Z}_9 is given by $\mathcal{T} = \{0, 1, 8\}$. Note that for $\lambda = 2$, we have $\alpha_0 = 8$ and $\beta = 1$. We also observe that $x^2 - 8$ is a basic irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{Z}_9 . Now by applying Theorem 3.1, we see that all 2-constacyclic codes of length 18 over \mathbb{Z}_9 are given by $\mathcal{C}_t = \langle (x^2 - 8)^t \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_9[x]/\langle x^{18} - 2 \rangle$, where $0 \leq t \leq 18$. By Theorem 3.1 again, we see that $|\mathcal{C}_t| = 3^{(36-2t)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_t^\perp = \langle (x^2 - 8)^{18-t} \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_9[x]/\langle x^{18} - 5 \rangle$ for $0 \leq t \leq 18$. Furthermore, for $0 \leq t \leq 18$, by Theorem 3.2, we see that $\text{Tor}_0(\mathcal{C}_t) = \langle (x^2 + 1)^{\min\{9, t\}} \rangle$ and $\text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{C}_t) = \langle (x^2 + 1)^{t - \min\{9, t\}} \rangle$ in $\mathbb{Z}_3[x]/\langle x^{18} - 2 \rangle$. \square

Example 3.2. Let $GR(4, 4)$ be the Galois ring of characteristic 4 and cardinality 2^8 . Here we will apply Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 to determine all negacyclic codes of length 56 over the Galois ring $GR(4, 4)$, their sizes, their dual codes and their Torsion codes. For this, we observe that $x^7 - 1 = (x + 3)(x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 3)(x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 3)$ is the factorization of $x^7 - 1$ into monic pairwise coprime basic irreducible polynomials over $GR(4, 4)$. Now by Theorem 3.1, we see that all negacyclic codes of length 56 over $GR(4, 4)$ are given by $\mathcal{C}_{k_1, k_2, k_3} = \langle (x + 3)^{k_1} (x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 3)^{k_2} (x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 3)^{k_3} \rangle \subseteq GR(4, 4)[x]/\langle x^{56} + 1 \rangle$, where $0 \leq k_1, k_2, k_3 \leq 2^4$. For $0 \leq k_1, k_2, k_3 \leq 2^4$, by applying Theorem 3.1 again, we see that $|\mathcal{C}_{k_1, k_2, k_3}| = 2^{4(112 - k_1 - 3k_2 - 3k_3)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{k_1, k_2, k_3}^\perp = \langle (x + 3)^{2^4 - k_1} (x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 3)^{2^4 - k_2} (x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 3)^{2^4 - k_3} \rangle \subseteq GR(4, 4)[x]/\langle x^{56} + 1 \rangle$. Furthermore, by Theorem 3.2, we see that $\text{Tor}_0(\mathcal{C}_{k_1, k_2, k_3}) = \langle (x + 1)^{\min\{8, k_1\}} (x^3 + x + 1)^{\min\{8, k_2\}} (x^3 + x^2 + 1)^{\min\{8, k_3\}} \rangle$ and $\text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{C}_{k_1, k_2, k_3}) = \langle (x + 1)^{k_1 - \min\{8, k_1\}} (x^3 + x + 1)^{k_2 - \min\{8, k_2\}} (x^3 + x^2 + 1)^{k_3 - \min\{8, k_3\}} \rangle$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^4}[x]/\langle x^{56} + 1 \rangle$ for $0 \leq k_1, k_2, k_3 \leq 2^4$. \square

In the next section, we will determine depth spectra of all λ -constacyclic codes of length np^s over \mathcal{R} , where $\lambda = \alpha + \gamma\beta$ with $\alpha (\neq 0) \in \mathcal{T}$ and β a unit in \mathcal{R} .

4 Determination of depth spectra of λ -constacyclic codes of length np^s over \mathcal{R}

Recently, Kai et al. [16, Th. 2-4] studied depth spectra of all negacyclic codes of even lengths over \mathbb{Z}_4 . While proving Theorems 2-4, Kai et al. [16] assumed that if $c(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle x^{n2^s} + 1 \rangle$ and $c_1(x) = (x - 1)^\ell c(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle x^{n2^s} + 1 \rangle$ with $\ell, s \geq 1$ and n odd, then

$$\text{depth}(c(x)) = \ell + \text{depth}(c_1(x)) \quad (4.1)$$

(see proofs of Lemmas 6-8 of Kai et al. [16] for more details). In the following examples, we show that equation (4.1) does not hold when $\ell + \text{depth}(c_1(x)) > n2^s$, and that the depth of $c(x)$ need not be equal to the length $n2^s$ when $\ell + \text{depth}(c_1(x)) > n2^s$, even for the codes and codewords considered in Lemmas 6-8 of Kai et al. [16].

Example 4.1. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Now let us consider the negacyclic code $\mathcal{G} = \langle (x-1)(x^2+x+1)^{2^k+1} \rangle$ of length $3 \cdot 2^k$ over \mathbb{Z}_4 . Let us take the codewords $c(x) = x^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}}(x-1)(x^2+x+1)^{2^k+1}$ and $c_1(x) = x^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}}(x-1)^{2^{k+1}}(x^2+x+1)^{2^k+1}$ in \mathcal{G} . Note that $c_1(x) = (x-1)^{2^{k+1}-1}c(x)$ and that the codewords $c(x)$ and $c_1(x)$ satisfy all the conditions imposed on the codewords $c(x)$ and $c_1(x)$ chosen in the proof of Lemma 6 of Kai et al. [16]. Here we will show that

$$\text{depth}(c_1(x)) + 2^{k+1} - 1 > 3 \cdot 2^k \quad \text{and} \quad \text{depth}(c(x)) < 3 \cdot 2^k.$$

Now to show that $\text{depth}(c_1(x)) + 2^{k+1} - 1 > 3 \cdot 2^k$, let us consider the code $\mathcal{A}_3 = \langle (x-1)^{2^{k+1}}(x^2+x+1)^{2^k+1} \rangle$. By Theorem 3.5 of Zhu et al. [21], we see that $\text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{A}_3) = \langle (x-1)^{2^k}(x^2+x+1) \rangle$. Now by applying Proposition 2.3, we obtain

$$\text{Depth}(\text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{A}_3)) = \{2^k + 3, 2^k + 4, \dots, 3 \cdot 2^k\}. \quad (4.2)$$

Further, we see, by Lemma 1 of Kai et al. [16], that

$$(x^3 - 1)^{2^k} = 2x^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle x^{3 \cdot 2^k} + 1 \rangle, \quad (4.3)$$

which implies that $c_1(x) = 2x^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}}x^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}}(x-1)^{2^k}(x^3+x+1) = 2(x-1)^{2^k}(x^3+x+1)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle x^{3 \cdot 2^k} + 1 \rangle$. Next we note that $\mu((x-1)^{2^k}(x^3+x+1)) \neq 0$ and $\mu((x-1)^{2^k}(x^3+x+1)) \in \text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{A}_3)$. This, by Lemma 2.2(b) and using (4.2), implies that $\text{depth}(c_1(x)) = \text{depth}(\mu((x-1)^{2^k}(x^3+x+1))) \geq 2^k + 3$. From this, it follows that $\text{depth}(c_1(x)) + 2^{k+1} - 1 \geq 2^k + 3 + 2^{k+1} - 1 > 3 \cdot 2^k$.

Now we claim that $\text{depth}(c(x)) < 3 \cdot 2^k$, i.e., $D^{3 \cdot 2^k - 1}(c(x)) = 0$. For this, we see, by (4.3), that $(1-x)^{3 \cdot 2^k - 1}c(x) = (-1)^{3 \cdot 2^k - 1}2x^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}}x^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}}(x-1)^{2^{k+1}}(x^3+x+1) = (-1)^{3 \cdot 2^k - 1}2(x-1)^{2^{k+1}}(x^3+x+1)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle x^{3 \cdot 2^k} + 1 \rangle$. This gives $\deg((1-x)^{3 \cdot 2^k - 1}c(x)) = 2^{k+1} + 2 < 3 \cdot 2^k - 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle x^{3 \cdot 2^k} + 1 \rangle$. Now by Proposition 2.1, we get $D^{3 \cdot 2^k - 1}(c(x)) = 0$, which implies that $\text{depth}(c(x)) \leq 3 \cdot 2^k - 1 < 3 \cdot 2^k$.

This shows that there is an error in the proof of Lemma 6 of Kai et al. [16]. \square

Example 4.2. Let us consider the negacyclic code $\mathcal{C} = \langle (x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 3)^3(x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 3)^8 \rangle$ of length 28 over \mathbb{Z}_4 . Now let us take the codewords $c(x) = x^6(x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 3)^3(x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 3)^8$ and $c_1(x) = x^6(x-1)^4(x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 3)^3(x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 3)^8$ in \mathcal{C} . Note that $c_1(x) = (x-1)^4c(x)$, and that the codewords $c(x)$ and $c_1(x)$ satisfy all the conditions imposed on the codewords $c(x)$ and $c_1(x)$ chosen in the proof of Lemma 7 of Kai et al. [16]. Here we will show that

$$\text{depth}(c_1(x)) + 4 > 28 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{depth}(c(x)) \leq 27.$$

To show that $\text{depth}(c_1(x)) + 4 > 28$, let us consider the negacyclic code $\mathcal{A}_1 = \langle (x-1)^4(x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 3)^3(x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 3)^8 \rangle$ of length 28 over \mathbb{Z}_4 . By Theorem 3.5 of Zhu et al. [21], we see that $\text{Tor}_0(\mathcal{A}_1) = \langle (x-1)^4(x^3 + x + 1)^3(x^3 + x^2 + 1)^4 \rangle$. Now by applying Proposition 2.3, we obtain

$$\text{Depth}(\text{Tor}_0(\mathcal{A}_1)) = \{26, 27, 28\}. \quad (4.4)$$

We further note that $\mu(c_1(x)) \neq 0$ and $\mu(c_1(x)) \in \text{Tor}_0(\mathcal{A}_1)$. This, by Lemma 2.2(a) and using (4.4), implies that $\text{depth}(c_1(x)) \geq \text{depth}(\mu(c_1(x))) \geq 26$. From this, it follows that $\text{depth}(c_1(x)) + 4 \geq 26 + 4 > 28$.

Next we claim that $\text{depth}(c(x)) \leq 27$, i.e., $D^{27}(c(x)) = 0$. For this, we see, by Lemma 1 of Kai et al. [16], that $(x^7 - 1)^4 = 2x^{14}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle x^{28} + 1 \rangle$. This implies that $(1-x)^{27}c(x) = x^{25} + 2x^{24} + 3x^{23} + x^{22} + x^{21} + 2x^{20} + 2x^{19} + x^{18} + 3x^{16} + x^{15} + x^{14} + 2x^{13} + 2x^{12} + x^{11} + 2x^{10} + x^9 + 3x^8 + x^7 + 2x^5 + x^4 + 3x^2 + x + 3$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle x^{28} + 1 \rangle$. Now by applying Proposition 2.1, we get $\text{depth}(c_1(x)) \leq 27 < 28$.

This shows that there is an error in the proof of Lemma 7 of Kai et al. [16]. \square

Example 4.3. Next consider the negacyclic code $\mathcal{D} = \langle (x-1)^5(x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 3)^3(x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 3)^8 \rangle$ of length $28 = 7 \times 2^2$ over \mathbb{Z}_4 . Let us take the codewords $c(x) = x^{15}(x-1)^5(x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 3)^3(x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 3)^8$ and $c_1(x) = x^{15}(x-1)^8(x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 3)^3(x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 3)^8$ in \mathcal{D} . Note that $c_1(x) = (x-1)^3c(x)$ and that the codewords $c(x)$ and $c_1(x)$ satisfy all the conditions imposed on the codewords $c(x)$ and $c_1(x)$ chosen in the proof of Lemma 8 of Kai et al. [16]. Here working in a similar manner as in Example 4.1, we see that

$$\text{depth}(c_1(x)) + 3 > 28 \text{ and } \text{depth}(c(x)) \leq 27.$$

This shows that there is an error in the proof of Lemma 8 of Kai et al. [16]. \square

Apart from errors in the proofs of Lemmas 6-8 of Kai et al. [16], we also noticed that the proof of Theorem 2 of Kai et al. [16] is incomplete, which we illustrate as follows:

Remark 4.1. Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}_4$, and let $\lambda = -1 = 1+2$. Here we have $\alpha_0 = \beta = 1$. Note that $x-1$ divides $x^n - \alpha_0 = x^n - 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$. Without any loss of generality, let us take $f_1(x) = x - 1$. Now by Theorem 1 of Kai et al. [16], we see that a negacyclic code \mathcal{C} of length $n2^s$ over \mathbb{Z}_4 is given by $\mathcal{C} = \langle (x-1)^{k_1} f_2(x)^{k_2} f_3(x)^{k_3} \cdots f_r(x)^{k_r} \rangle$, where $0 \leq k_i \leq 2^{s+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. In Theorem 2, Kai et al. [16] considered the case when $\min\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\} < 2^s < \max\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ and $0 \leq k_1 \leq 2^s - 1$, and claimed that

$$\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}) = \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{s+1} - k_1\} \cup \{2^s + \sum_{\ell=2}^r d_\ell \tau_\ell(1) + 1, 2^s + \sum_{\ell=2}^r d_\ell \tau_\ell(1) + 2, \dots, n2^s - 1, n2^s\}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $\tau_\ell(1) = k_\ell - \min\{2^s, k_\ell\}$ for $2 \leq \ell \leq r$. To prove (4.5), Kai et al. [16] applied Lemmas 2, 6 and 7 (recall that Lemmas 6 and 7 have errors in their proofs as illustrated in Examples 4.1-4.3). Further, by Lemmas 2, 6 and 7 of Kai et al. [16], one can conclude that

$$\{1, 2, \dots, 2^s\} \cup \{2^s + \sum_{\ell=2}^r d_\ell \tau_\ell(1) + 1, 2^s + \sum_{\ell=2}^r d_\ell \tau_\ell(1) + 2, \dots, n2^s - 1, n2^s\} \subseteq \text{Depth}(\mathcal{C})$$

and

$$\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{s+1} - k_1\} \cup \{2^s + \sum_{\ell=2}^r d_\ell \tau_\ell(1) + 1, 2^s + \sum_{\ell=2}^r d_\ell \tau_\ell(1) + 2, \dots, n2^s - 1, n2^s\}.$$

Since $0 \leq k_1 \leq 2^s - 1$, $\max\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\} > 2^s$ and $\tau_\ell(1) = k_\ell - \min\{2^s, k_\ell\}$ for $2 \leq \ell \leq r$, we see that $\sum_{\ell=2}^r d_\ell \tau_\ell(1) \geq 1$, which implies that $2^s + \sum_{\ell=2}^r d_\ell \tau_\ell(1) + 1 \geq 2^s + 2$. So to prove (4.5), one needs to show that $\{2^s + 1, 2^s + 2, \dots, M\} \subseteq \text{Depth}(\mathcal{C})$ with $M = \min\{2^{s+1} - k_1, 2^s + \sum_{\ell=2}^r d_\ell \tau_\ell(1)\} \geq 2^s + 1$, which is not proved in Theorem 2 of Kai et al. [16]. Hence the proof of Theorem 2 of Kai et al. [16] is incomplete. \square

Now we proceed to determine depth spectra of all λ -constacyclic codes of length np^s over \mathcal{R} , besides rectifying errors in the work of Kai et al. [16]. To do so, we first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $A(x) \in \mathcal{R}[x]$ be such that $\mu(A(x)) \neq 0$. If there exists an integer t satisfying $0 \leq t \leq e-1$ such that the polynomial $x^{np^s} - \lambda$ divides $\gamma^t A(x)$ in $\mathcal{R}[x]$, then $x^{np^s} - \bar{\lambda}$ divides $\mu(A(x))$ in $\bar{\mathcal{R}}[x]$.*

Proof. As $x^{np^s} - \lambda$ divides $\gamma^t A(x)$ in $\mathcal{R}[x]$, we can write $\gamma^t A(x) = (x^{np^s} - \lambda)B(x)$, where $B(x) \in \mathcal{R}[x]$. Now we observe that all the coefficients of the polynomial $B(x)$ lie in $\langle \gamma^t \rangle$. So we can write $B(x) = \gamma^t V(x)$, where $V(x) \in \mathcal{R}[x]$. This gives $\gamma^t A(x) = \gamma^t (x^{np^s} - \lambda)V(x)$, which implies that $\gamma^{e-1} A(x) = \gamma^{e-1} (x^{np^s} - \lambda)V(x)$. As $\mu(A(x)) \neq 0$, we have $\mu(V(x)) \neq 0$. Next we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{e-1} (x^{np^s} - \lambda) &= \gamma^{e-1} ((x^n - \alpha_0 + \alpha_0)^{p^s} - \alpha_0^{p^s} - \gamma\beta) \\ &= \gamma^{e-1} (x^n - \alpha_0)^{p^s} + \gamma^{e-1} \sum_{k=1}^{p^s-1} \binom{p^s}{k} (x^n - \alpha_0)^k \alpha_0^{p^s-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, for $1 \leq k \leq p^s - 1$, by applying Kummer's Theorem, we note that p divides $\binom{p^s}{k}$, which implies that $\binom{p^s}{k} \in \langle \gamma \rangle$. From this, we obtain $\gamma^{e-1} A(x) = \gamma^{e-1} (x^n - \alpha_0)^{p^s} V(x)$, which gives $\gamma^{e-1} (A(x) - (x^n - \alpha_0)^{p^s} V(x)) = 0$. From this, it follows that

$$\mu(A(x)) = (x^n - \bar{\alpha}_0)^{p^s} \mu(V(x)) = (x^{np^s} - \bar{\lambda}) \mu(V(x)) \text{ in } \bar{\mathcal{R}}[x],$$

which proves the lemma. \square

Next by Theorem 3.1(a), we recall that a λ -constacyclic code \mathcal{C} of length np^s over \mathcal{R} is generated by $\prod_{\ell=1}^r f_\ell(x)^{k_\ell}$, where $0 \leq k_\ell \leq ep^s$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq r$. Further, for $0 \leq i \leq e-1$, by Theorem 3.2, we note that $\text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{C}) = \langle \prod_{\ell=1}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)}^{\tau_\ell(i)} \rangle$, where $\tau_\ell(i) = \min\{(i+1)p^s, k_\ell\} - \min\{ip^s, k_\ell\}$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq r$. We also recall that $\deg f_\ell(x) = d_\ell$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq r$. Let us define

$$\mathcal{S}_1(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^r d_\ell \tau_\ell(e-1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{\ell=2}^r d_\ell \tau_\ell(e-1).$$

Now we shall distinguish the following two cases: (i) $\bar{\lambda} \neq 1$ and (ii) $\bar{\lambda} = 1$.

In the following theorem, we consider the case $\bar{\lambda} \neq 1$, and we determine depth spectra of all λ -constacyclic codes of length np^s over \mathcal{R} .

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{C} = \langle \prod_{\ell=1}^r f_\ell(x)^{k_\ell} \rangle$ be a non-trivial λ -constacyclic code of length np^s over \mathcal{R} with the i th torsion code as $\text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{C}) = \langle \prod_{\ell=1}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)}^{\tau_\ell(i)} \rangle$ for $0 \leq i \leq e-1$, where $0 \leq k_\ell \leq ep^s$ and $\tau_\ell(i) = \min\{(i+1)p^s, k_\ell\} - \min\{ip^s, k_\ell\}$ for each i and ℓ . When $\bar{\lambda} \neq 1$, the depth spectrum of the code \mathcal{C} is given by*

$$\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}) = \{\mathcal{S}_1(\mathcal{C}) + 1, \mathcal{S}_1(\mathcal{C}) + 2, \dots, np^s\}.$$

Proof. To prove the result, by Theorem 3.2, we see that $\text{Tor}_{e-1}(\mathcal{C})$ is a $\bar{\lambda}$ -constacyclic code of length np^s over $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$. This, by Theorem 2.1, implies that

$$\text{Depth}(\text{Tor}_{e-1}(\mathcal{C})) = \{\mathcal{S}_1(\mathcal{C}) + 1, \mathcal{S}_1(\mathcal{C}) + 2, \dots, np^s\}. \quad (4.6)$$

We further note that each non-zero codeword $c(x) \in \mathcal{C} \cap \langle \gamma^{e-1} \rangle$ can be written as $c(x) = \gamma^{e-1} c_1(x)$, where $c_1(x) \in \mathcal{R}_\lambda$ satisfies $\mu(c_1(x)) \neq 0$. This, by Lemma 2.2(b), implies that $\text{depth}(c(x)) = \text{depth}(\mu(c_1(x)))$. This gives

$$\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C} \cap \langle \gamma^{e-1} \rangle) = \text{Depth}(\text{Tor}_{e-1}(\mathcal{C})) = \{\mathcal{S}_1(\mathcal{C}) + 1, \mathcal{S}_1(\mathcal{C}) + 2, \dots, np^s\}. \quad (4.7)$$

Next we assert that

$$\text{depth}(c(x)) \geq \mathcal{S}_1(\mathcal{C}) + 1 \quad \text{for each } c(x) \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \langle \gamma^{e-1} \rangle. \quad (4.8)$$

To prove this assertion, let $0 \leq t \leq e-2$ be fixed, and let $c(x) \in \mathcal{C} \cap (\langle \gamma^t \rangle \setminus \langle \gamma^{t+1} \rangle)$. It is easy to see that the codeword $c(x)$ can be written as $c(x) = \gamma^t g(x)$, where $g(x) \in \mathcal{R}_\lambda$ satisfies $\mu(g(x)) \neq 0$. This, by Lemma 2.2(a), implies that $\text{depth}(c(x)) \geq \text{depth}(\mu(g(x)))$. As $\mu(g(x)) \in \text{Tor}_t(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \text{Tor}_{e-1}(\mathcal{C})$, by (4.6), we see that $\text{depth}(c(x)) \geq \text{depth}(\mu(g(x))) \geq \mathcal{S}_1(\mathcal{C}) + 1$, which proves (4.8).

Now by (4.7) and (4.8), the desired result follows. \square

To illustrate the above theorem, we determine depth spectra of all 2-constacyclic codes of length 18 over \mathbb{Z}_9 .

Example 4.4. By Example 3.1, we see that all 2-constacyclic codes of length 18 over \mathbb{Z}_9 are given by $\mathcal{C}_t = \langle (x^2-8)^t \rangle$, where $0 \leq t \leq 18$. Now by applying Theorem 4.1, we have the following:

t	$ \mathcal{C}_t $	$\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}_t)$	t	$ \mathcal{C}_t $	$\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}_t)$
$0 \leq t \leq 9$	3^{36-2t}	$\{1, 2, \dots, 18\}$	$t = 14$	3^8	$\{11, 12, \dots, 18\}$
$t = 10$	3^{16}	$\{3, 4, \dots, 18\}$	$t = 15$	3^6	$\{13, 14, \dots, 18\}$
$t = 11$	3^{14}	$\{5, 6, \dots, 18\}$	$t = 16$	3^4	$\{15, 16, 17, 18\}$
$t = 12$	3^{12}	$\{7, 8, \dots, 18\}$	$t = 17$	3^2	$\{17, 18\}$
$t = 13$	3^{10}	$\{9, 10, \dots, 18\}$	$t = 18$	1	\emptyset

\square

In the following theorem, we consider the case $\bar{\lambda} = 1$, and we determine depth spectra of all λ -constacyclic codes of length np^s over \mathcal{R} . It also rectifies errors (as discussed in Examples 4.1-4.3 and Remark 4.1) in the work of Kai et al. [16]. As $\lambda = \alpha + \gamma\beta$ with $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ and β a unit in \mathcal{R} , one can easily observe that $\bar{\lambda} = 1$ if and only if $\alpha = 1$, which holds if and only if $\lambda = 1 + \gamma\beta$. When $\bar{\lambda} = 1$, without any loss of generality, we can take $f_1(x) = x - 1$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\lambda = 1 + \gamma\beta$, where β is a unit in \mathcal{R} . Let $\mathcal{C} = \langle (x-1)^{k_1} \prod_{\ell=2}^r f_\ell(x)^{k_\ell} \rangle$ be a non-trivial λ -constacyclic code of length np^s over \mathcal{R} with the i th torsion code as $\text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{C}) = \langle (x-1)^{\tau_1(i)} \prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)^{\tau_\ell(i)}} \rangle$ for $0 \leq i \leq e-1$, where $0 \leq k_\ell \leq ep^s$ and $\tau_\ell(i) = \min\{(i+1)p^s, k_\ell\} - \min\{ip^s, k_\ell\}$ for each i and ℓ . Then the depth spectrum of the code \mathcal{C} is given by

$$\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}) = \begin{cases} \{1, 2, \dots, np^s\} & \text{if } 0 \leq k_1 < \max\{0, (e-n)p^s\}; \\ \{1, 2, \dots, ep^s - k_1\} \cup \{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 1, p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 2, \dots, np^s\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. To prove the result, we see that each non-zero codeword $c(x) \in \mathcal{C} \cap \langle \gamma^{e-1} \rangle$ can be expressed as $c(x) = \gamma^{e-1}c_1(x)$, where $c_1(x) \in \mathcal{R}_\lambda$ satisfies $\mu(c_1(x)) \neq 0$. This, by Lemma 2.2(b), implies that $\text{depth}(c(x)) = \text{depth}(\mu(c_1(x)))$, which gives

$$\text{Depth}(\text{Tor}_{e-1}(\mathcal{C})) = \text{Depth}(\mathcal{C} \cap \langle \gamma^{e-1} \rangle) \subseteq \text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}). \quad (4.9)$$

By Lemma 3.1(a), we see that $(x^n - 1)^{p^s} = \gamma\mathcal{H}(x)$, where $\mathcal{H}(x)$ is a unit in \mathcal{R}_λ . Let $\mathcal{G}(x) \in \mathcal{R}_\lambda$ satisfy $\mathcal{G}(x)\mathcal{H}(x) = 1$ in \mathcal{R}_λ . Now we shall consider the following three cases separately: (i) $0 \leq k_1 < \max\{0, (e-n)p^s\}$, (ii) $\max\{0, (e-n)p^s\} \leq k_1 < (e-1)p^s$, and (iii) $(e-1)p^s \leq k_1 \leq ep^s$.

(i) Let $0 \leq k_1 < \max\{0, (e-n)p^s\}$. Here we have $(e-n)p^s > 0$ and $\tau_1(e-1) = 0$. This gives $\text{Tor}_{e-1}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle \prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)^{\tau_\ell(e-1)}} \rangle$, which, by Proposition 2.3, implies that

$$\text{Depth}(\text{Tor}_{e-1}(\mathcal{C})) = \{1, 2, \dots, p^s\} \cup \{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 1, p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 2, \dots, np^s\}.$$

This, by (4.9), further implies that

$$\{1, 2, \dots, p^s\} \cup \{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 1, p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 2, \dots, np^s\} \subseteq \text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}). \quad (4.10)$$

For $0 \leq u \leq (n-1)p^s - 1$, let us define

$$c_u(x) = (-1)^{(e-1)p^s} (1-x)^{(e-n)p^s+u} \prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)^{ep^s}} \mathcal{G}(x)^{e-1}.$$

Now as $k_1 < (e-n)p^s$, we note that $c_u(x) \in \mathcal{C}$ for $0 \leq u \leq (n-1)p^s - 1$. This, by Lemma 3.1(a), implies that

$$(1-x)^{(n-1)p^s-u} c_u(x) = (x-1)^{(e-1)p^s} \prod_{\ell=2}^r f_\ell(x)^{ep^s} \mathcal{G}(x)^{e-1} = \gamma^{e-1} \prod_{\ell=2}^r f_\ell(x)^{p^s} \in \mathcal{C} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq u \leq (n-1)p^s - 1.$$

Further, by Lemma 2.2(b), we see that

$$\text{depth}((1-x)^{(n-1)p^s-u} c_u(x)) = \text{depth}(\gamma^{e-1} \prod_{\ell=2}^r f_\ell(x)^{p^s}) = \text{depth}(\prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)^{p^s}}) \quad \text{for each } u.$$

We also observe that $(1-x)^{p^s} \prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)^{p^s}} = 0$ in $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_\lambda$ and $\deg((1-x)^{p^s-1} \prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)^{p^s}}) = np^s - 1$. This, by Proposition 2.1, implies that

$$D^{p^s-1}(\prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)^{p^s}}) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{R}^{(n-1)p^s+1} \quad \text{and} \quad D^{p^s}(\prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)^{p^s}}) = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{R}^{(n-1)p^s},$$

which gives

$$\text{depth}((1-x)^{(n-1)p^s-u} c_u(x)) = \text{depth}(\prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)^{p^s}}) = p^s.$$

Now by applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain $\text{depth}(c_u(x)) = (n-1)p^s - u + p^s$ for $0 \leq u \leq (n-1)p^s - 1$. This implies that

$$\{p^s + 1, p^s + 2, \dots, np^s\} \subseteq \text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}).$$

From this and by (4.10), we obtain $\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}) = \{1, 2, \dots, np^s\}$.

(ii) Next let $\max\{0, (e-n)p^s\} \leq k_1 < (e-1)p^s$. Here we have $\tau_1(e-1) = 0$, which gives $\text{Tor}_{e-1}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle \prod_{i=2}^r \overline{f_i(x)}^{\tau_i(e-1)} \rangle$. This, by Proposition 2.3, implies that

$$\text{Depth}(\text{Tor}_{e-1}(\mathcal{C})) = \{1, 2, \dots, p^s\} \cup \{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 1, p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 2, \dots, np^s\}.$$

From this and by (4.9), we get

$$\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C} \cap \langle \gamma^{e-1} \rangle) = \{1, 2, \dots, p^s\} \cup \{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 1, p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 2, \dots, np^s\} \subseteq \text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}). \quad (4.11)$$

For $0 \leq u < (e-1)p^s - k_1$, let us define

$$a_u(x) = (-1)^{(e-1)p^s} (1-x)^{k_1+u} \prod_{\ell=2}^r f_\ell(x)^{ep^s} \mathcal{G}(x)^{e-1}.$$

Further, we note that $a_u(x) \in \mathcal{C}$, which, by Lemma 3.1(a), implies that

$$(1-x)^{(e-1)p^s - k_1 - u} a_u(x) = (x-1)^{(e-1)p^s} \prod_{\ell=2}^r f_\ell(x)^{ep^s} \mathcal{G}(x)^{e-1} = \gamma^{e-1} \prod_{\ell=2}^r f_\ell(x)^{p^s} \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Next by Lemma 2.2(b), we see that

$$\text{depth}((1-x)^{(e-1)p^s - k_1 - u} a_u(x)) = \text{depth}(\gamma^{e-1} \prod_{\ell=2}^r f_\ell(x)^{p^s}) = \text{depth}(\prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)}^{p^s}) \text{ for each } u.$$

Further, by Proposition 2.1, we see that $\text{depth}((1-x)^{(e-1)p^s - k_1 - u} a_u(x)) = \text{depth}(\prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)}^{p^s}) = p^s$, which, by Lemma 2.1, implies that $\text{depth}(a_u(x)) = (e-1)p^s - k_1 - u + p^s$ for $0 \leq u < (e-1)p^s - k_1$. This gives $\{p^s + 1, p^s + 2, \dots, ep^s - k_1\} \subseteq \text{Depth}(\mathcal{C})$. From this and by (4.11), we see that

$$\{1, 2, \dots, ep^s - k_1\} \cup \{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 1, p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 2, \dots, np^s\} \subseteq \text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}). \quad (4.12)$$

Now we assert that

$$\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, ep^s - k_1\} \cup \{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 1, p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 2, \dots, np^s\}. \quad (4.13)$$

When $ep^s - k_1 \geq p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 1$, we see that

$$\{1, 2, \dots, ep^s - k_1\} \cup \{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 1, p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 2, \dots, np^s\} = \{1, 2, \dots, np^s\},$$

and hence (4.13) holds in this case. So from now on, we assume that $ep^s - k_1 < p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 1$. To prove the assertion (4.13), it suffices to prove the following:

$$\text{either } \text{depth}(c(x)) \leq ep^s - k_1 \text{ or } \text{depth}(c(x)) \geq p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 1 \text{ for each } c(x) (\neq 0) \in \mathcal{C}. \quad (4.14)$$

To do this, we note that each non-zero codeword $c(x) \in \mathcal{C}$ can be written as $c(x) = (1-x)^{h_1} \prod_{\ell=2}^r f_\ell(x)^{h_\ell} h(x)$, where $h_\ell \geq k_\ell$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq r$, and $h(x) \in \mathcal{R}[x]$ is such that $\mu(h(x)) \neq 0$ and $h(x)$ is coprime to $x^n - 1$ in $\mathcal{R}[x]$. Now the following two cases arise: **A.** $h_\ell \geq ep^s$ for $2 \leq \ell \leq r$, and **B.** there exists an integer t satisfying $2 \leq t \leq r$ and $h_t < ep^s$.

A. Let us suppose that $h_\ell \geq ep^s$ for $2 \leq \ell \leq r$. As $c(x) \in \mathcal{C}$ is a non-zero codeword, we must have $h_1 < ep^s$. We further note that

$$(1-x)^{ep^s - h_1} c(x) = (1-x)^{ep^s} \prod_{\ell=2}^r f_\ell(x)^{h_\ell} h(x) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{R}_\lambda.$$

This, by Proposition 3.2, implies that $D^{ep^s - h_1}(c(x)) = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{R}^{np^s - ep^s + h_1}$, which further implies that $\text{depth}(c(x)) \leq ep^s - h_1 \leq ep^s - k_1$. This proves (4.14) in this case.

B. Now suppose that there exists an integer t satisfying $2 \leq t \leq r$ and $h_t < ep^s$. As $h(x)$ is coprime to $x^n - 1$ in $\mathcal{R}[x]$, by Lemma 3.1(c), we see that $h(x)$ is a unit in \mathcal{R}_λ . Further, since $h_t < ep^s$, we note that

$$(1-x)^{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C})} c(x) = (1-x)^{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + h_1} \prod_{\ell=2}^r f_\ell(x)^{h_\ell} h(x) \notin \langle (x^n - 1)^{ep^s} \rangle = \{0\} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{R}_\lambda.$$

That is, we have $(1-x)^{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C})} c(x) \neq 0$ in \mathcal{R}_λ .

Now as $p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + h_1 \geq p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + k_1 \geq ep^s$, there exist integers u and v satisfying $2 \leq u \leq r$, $0 \leq v \leq e-1$, $h_u < (v+1)p^s$ and $h_\ell \geq vp^s$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq r$. So we can write

$$(1-x)^{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + h_1} \prod_{\ell=2}^r f_\ell(x)^{h_\ell} = (-1)^{vp^s} \gamma^v (1-x)^{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + h_1 - vp^s} \prod_{\ell=2}^r f_\ell(x)^{h_\ell - vp^s} \mathcal{H}(x)^v.$$

Next we assert that

$$\deg \left((1-x)^{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C})} c(x) \right) \geq p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}). \quad (4.15)$$

To prove this assertion, we note that

$$(1-x)^{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C})} c(x) = (1-x)^{h_1 + p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C})} \prod_{\ell=2}^r f_\ell(x)^{h_\ell} h(x) \in \langle (x-1)^{ep^s} \prod_{\ell=2}^r f_\ell(x)^{k_\ell} \rangle = \mathcal{C}_1 \text{ (say)}.$$

Let us take

$$A(x) = (-1)^{vp^s} (1-x)^{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + h_1 - vp^s} \prod_{\ell=2}^r f_\ell(x)^{h_\ell - vp^s} \mathcal{H}(x)^v h(x)$$

so that $(1-x)^{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C})} c(x) = \gamma^v A(x)$. Note that $\mu(A(x)) \neq 0$. Now as

$$(1-x)^{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C})} c(x) = \gamma^v A(x) \in \mathcal{C}_1,$$

we see, by Theorem 3.2, that

$$\mu(A(x)) \in \text{Tor}_{e-1}(\mathcal{C}_1) = \langle (x-1)^{p^s} \prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)^{\tau_\ell(e-1)}} \rangle.$$

This implies that $\deg(\mu(A(x))) \geq p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C})$, which further implies that

$$\deg \left((1-x)^{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C})} c(x) \right) = \deg(\gamma^v A(x)) \geq \deg(\mu(A(x))) \geq p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}).$$

Further, by applying Proposition 2.1 and by (4.15), we get

$$D^{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C})}(c(x)) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{R}^{(n-1)p^s - \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C})}.$$

This implies that $\text{depth}(c(x)) \geq p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 1$, which proves (4.14). Now by (4.13) and (4.14), we get the desired result.

(iii) Finally, let $(e-1)p^s \leq k_1 \leq ep^s$. Here for $0 \leq i \leq e-2$, we have $\tau_1(i) = p^s$, which gives

$$\text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{C}) = \left\langle (x-1)^{p^s} \prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)^{\tau_\ell(i)}} \right\rangle.$$

We also note that $\tau_1(e-1) = k_1 - (e-1)p^s$, which implies that

$$\text{Tor}_{e-1}(\mathcal{C}) = \left\langle (x-1)^{k_1 - (e-1)p^s} \prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)^{\tau_\ell(e-1)}} \right\rangle.$$

This, by Proposition 2.3, implies that

$$\text{Depth}(\text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{C})) = \left\{ p^s + \sum_{\ell=2}^r d_\ell \tau_\ell(i) + 1, p^s + \sum_{\ell=2}^r d_\ell \tau_\ell(i) + 2, \dots, np^s \right\} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq e-2$$

and that

$$\text{Depth}(\text{Tor}_{e-1}(\mathcal{C})) = \{1, 2, \dots, ep^s - k_1\} \cup \{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 1, p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 2, \dots, np^s\}.$$

Now by (4.9), we get

$$\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C} \cap \langle \gamma^{e-1} \rangle) = \{1, 2, \dots, ep^s - k_1\} \cup \{p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 1, p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 2, \dots, np^s\} \subseteq \text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}). \quad (4.16)$$

Next we assert that

$$\text{depth}(c(x)) \geq p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 1 \quad \text{for each } c(x) \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \langle \gamma^{e-1} \rangle. \quad (4.17)$$

To prove this assertion, let $0 \leq t \leq e - 2$ be fixed. We note that each $c(x) \in \mathcal{C} \cap (\langle \gamma^t \rangle \setminus \langle \gamma^{t+1} \rangle)$ can be written as $c(x) = \gamma^t g(x)$, where $g(x) \in \mathcal{R}_\lambda$ satisfies $\mu(g(x)) \neq 0$. This, by Lemma 2.2(a), implies that $\text{depth}(c(x)) \geq \text{depth}(\mu(g(x)))$. As $\mu(g(x)) \in \text{Tor}_t(\mathcal{C})$, we have

$$\text{depth}(c(x)) \geq \text{depth}(\mu(g(x))) \geq p^s + \sum_{\ell=2}^r d_\ell \tau_\ell(t) + 1. \quad (4.18)$$

Since $\text{Tor}_t(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \text{Tor}_{e-1}(\mathcal{C})$, we see that $(x-1)^{k_1 - (e-1)p^s} \prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)}^{\tau_\ell(e-1)}$ divides $(x-1)^{p^s} \prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)}^{\tau_\ell(t)}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{R}}[x]$, which implies that

$$\sum_{\ell=2}^r d_\ell \tau_\ell(t) = \deg \left(\prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)}^{\tau_\ell(t)} \right) \geq \deg \left(\prod_{\ell=2}^r \overline{f_\ell(x)}^{\tau_\ell(e-1)} \right) = \sum_{\ell=2}^r d_\ell \tau_\ell(e-1) = \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}).$$

This, by (4.18), implies that

$$\text{depth}(c(x)) \geq p^s + \sum_{\ell=2}^r d_\ell \tau_\ell(t) + 1 \geq p^s + \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{C}) + 1,$$

which proves (4.17). Now the desired result follows immediately from (4.16) and (4.17).

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

To illustrate the above theorem, we determine depth spectra of some negacyclic codes of length 56 over $GR(4, 4)$ in the following example.

Example 4.5. By Example 3.2, we see that all negacyclic codes of length 56 over $GR(4, 4)$ are given by $\mathcal{C}_{k_1, k_2, k_3} = \langle (x+3)^{k_1}(x^3+2x^2+x+3)^{k_2}(x^3+3x^2+2x+3)^{k_3} \rangle$, where $0 \leq k_1, k_2, k_3 \leq 2^4$. Now by applying Theorem 4.1, we have the following:

(k_1, k_2, k_3)	$ \mathcal{C}_{k_1, k_2, k_3} $	$\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}_{k_1, k_2, k_3})$	(k_1, k_2, k_3)	$ \mathcal{C}_{k_1, k_2, k_3} $	$\text{Depth}(\mathcal{C}_{k_1, k_2, k_3})$
(14, 12, 13)	2^{92}	$\{1, 2\} \cup \{36, 37, \dots, 56\}$	(7, 6, 5)	2^{288}	$\{1, 2, \dots, 56\}$
(14, 14, 11)	2^{92}	$\{1, 2\} \cup \{36, 37, \dots, 56\}$	(7, 3, 4)	2^{336}	$\{1, 2, \dots, 56\}$
(14, 16, 9)	2^{92}	$\{1, 2\} \cup \{36, 37, \dots, 56\}$	(16, 5, 16)	2^{132}	$\{33, 37, \dots, 56\}$
(14, 10, 15)	2^{92}	$\{1, 2\} \cup \{36, 37, \dots, 56\}$	(10, 6, 17)	2^{132}	$\{1, 2, \dots, 6\} \cup \{36, 37, \dots, 56\}$
(15, 16, 5)	2^{136}	$\{1\} \cup \{33, 34, \dots, 56\}$	(4, 9, 10)	2^{204}	$\{1, 2, \dots, 12\} \cup \{18, 19, \dots, 56\}$
(15, 6, 16)	2^{124}	$\{1\} \cup \{33, 34, \dots, 56\}$	(13, 6, 10)	2^{204}	$\{1, 2, 3\} \cup \{15, 16, \dots, 56\}$

\square

5 Conclusion and Future work

Let \mathcal{R} be a finite commutative chain ring with the unique maximal ideal as $\langle \gamma \rangle$. In this paper, depth spectra of all repeated-root $(\alpha + \gamma\beta)$ -constacyclic codes of arbitrary lengths over \mathcal{R} are explicitly determined, where α is a non-zero element of the Teichmüller set of \mathcal{R} and β is a unit in \mathcal{R} .

In a subsequent work, we explicitly determine depth distributions of all repeated-root $(\alpha + \gamma\beta)$ -constacyclic codes of prime power lengths over \mathcal{R} . We also discuss some applications of these results in game theory.

It would be interesting to determine depth distributions of all constacyclic codes of arbitrary lengths over \mathcal{R} . It would be of great interest to explore more applications of depth distributions of linear codes over finite rings in game theory, communication theory and cryptography.

References

- [1] R. Bar-Yehuda, T. Etzion and S. Moran, Rotating-table games and derivatives of words, *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 108(2), pp. 311-329 (1993).
- [2] E. R. Berlekamp, *Algebraic Coding Theory*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York (1968).
- [3] S. R. Blackburn, T. Etzion and K. G. Paterson, Permutation polynomials, de Bruijn sequences and linear complexity, *J. Comb. Theory* 76(1), pp. 55-82 (1996).
- [4] A. R. Calderbank, A. R. Hammons Jr., P. V. Kumar, N. J. A. Sloane and P. Solé, A linear construction for certain Kerdock and Preparata codes, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 29(2), pp. 218-222 (1993).
- [5] Y. Cao, On constacyclic codes over finite chain rings, *Finite Fields Appl.* 24, pp. 124-135 (2013).
- [6] A. H. Chan, R. A. Games and E. L. Key, On the complexities of de bruijn sequences, *J. Comb. Theory* 33(3), pp. 233-246 (1982).
- [7] H. Dinh and S. R. López-Permouth, Cyclic and negacyclic codes over finite chain rings, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 50(8), pp. 1728-1744 (2004).
- [8] T. Etzion, The depth distribution - A new characterization for linear codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 43(4), pp. 1361-1363 (1997).
- [9] R. A. Games and A. H. Chan, A fast algorithm for determining the complexity of binary sequences with period 2^n , *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 29(1), pp. 144-166 (1983).
- [10] A. R. Hammons, P. V. Kumar, A. R. Calderbank, N. J. A. Sloane and P. Solé, The \mathbb{Z}_4 -linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals, and related codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 40(2), pp. 301-319 (1994).
- [11] B. Kong, X. Zheng and H. Ma, The depth spectrums of constacyclic codes over finite chain rings, *Discrete Math.* 338(2), pp. 256-261 (2015).
- [12] B. R. McDonald, *Finite Rings with Identity*, Marcel Dekker Press, New York (1974).
- [13] Y. Luo, F. Fu and V. K.-W. Wei, On the depth distribution of linear codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 46(6), pp. 2197-2203 (2000).
- [14] C. J. Mitchell, On integer-valued rational polynomials and depth distributions of binary codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 44(7), pp. 3146-3150 (1998).
- [15] G. Norton and A. Sălăgean, On the structure of linear cyclic codes over finite chain rings, *Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput.* 10(6), pp. 489-506 (2000).
- [16] X. Kai, L. Wang and S. Zhi, The depth spectrum of negacyclic codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 , *Discrete Math.* 340(3), pp. 345-350 (2017).
- [17] A. A. Nechaev, Kerdock code in a cyclic form, *Discrete Math. Appl.* 1(4), pp. 365-384 (1991).
- [18] A. Sharma and T. Sidana, On the structure and distances of repeated-root constacyclic codes of prime power lengths over finite commutative chain rings, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 65(2), pp. 1072-1084 (2019).
- [19] A. Sharma and T. Sidana, Repeated-root constacyclic codes of arbitrary lengths over the Galois ring $\text{GR}(p^2, m)$, *Discrete Math. Algorithm Appl.* 10(3), DOI: 10.1142/S1793830918500362 (2018).
- [20] G. Zhang, The depth distribution of constacyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$, *IEEE Access* 6, pp. 44390-44395 (2018).
- [21] S. Zhu, K. Qian and X. Kai, A note on negacyclic self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_{2^a} , *Discrete Math.* 312(22), pp. 3270-3275 (2012).