

FINITE-PARAMETER FEEDBACK STABILIZATION OF ORIGINAL BURGERS' EQUATIONS AND BURGERS' EQUATION WITH NONLOCAL NONLINEARITIES

S. GUMUS AND V. K. KALANTAROV

ABSTRACT. We study the problem of global exponential stabilization of original Burgers' equations and the Burgers' equation with nonlocal nonlinearities by controllers depending on finitely many parameters. It is shown that solutions of the controlled equations are steering a concrete solution of the non-controlled system as $t \rightarrow \infty$ with an exponential rate.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study the initial boundary value problems for the original Burgers' equations (OBE)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - Uv - \nu \partial_x^2 v + 2v \partial_x v = 0, & x \in (0, 1), t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

$$\begin{cases} U'(t) - R + \nu U(t) = - \int_0^1 v^2 dx, & t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

$$\begin{cases} v|_{x=0} = v|_{x=1} = 0, & U(0) = U_0, v|_{t=0} = v_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

and the initial boundary value problem for the viscous Burgers' equation with nonlocal nonlinearity (BNN) which is closely related to the system (1.1), (1.2) via $U' = 0$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - \nu \partial_x^2 v + 2v \partial_x v - Rv + kv \int_0^1 v^2 dx = h, & x \in (0, 1), t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

$$\begin{cases} v|_{x=0} = v|_{x=1} = 0, & v|_{t=0} = v_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Here, the constants $R > 0$ and $\nu > 0$ are pressure and kinematic viscosity coefficients, respectively. Burgers introduced the system (1.1), (1.2) as a mathematical model of fluid motion under the action of a constant pressure drop in a straight channel between parallel walls, [5], and later studied the stabilization of the stationary solutions of this system in detail, [6]. There is a number of papers devoted to the mathematical analysis of Burgers' original model of turbulence. The problems of existence and uniqueness of solutions, and the stability of constant solution of the initial boundary value problems for the system (1.1), (1.2) are studied in [12], [13] and the asymptotic stability of the laminar stationary solution of the initial boundary value problem for this system under homogeneous Dirichlet's boundary conditions are proved in [18]. In [10], it is shown that the backward in time asymptotic behavior of the solutions to the initial boundary value problem for the system (1.1), (1.2) include complete orbits which grow with an exponential rate as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and orbits that blow up in a finite time. In [15] the author

Key words and phrases. Original Burgers' equations, feedback control, global stabilization, local stabilization, finite number feedback controllers.

proved the existence of an exponential attractor of the semigroup generated by the initial boundary value problem for the system (1.1), (1.2), gave an estimate for the dimension of the attractor and showed that the dimension of the attractor grew in proportion to $\sqrt{R\nu}^{-1}$. This indicates that the system becomes more unstable as this number grows. The existence of inertial manifolds for Burgers' original mathematical model system of turbulence is investigated in the paper [20].

It is the article [14], where the Burgers' equation with nonlocal nonlinearity (1.4), which is a simplification of the system (1.1)-(1.2), first appeared. Later the asymptotic behavior and the global existence of solutions of the equation (1.4) in case of $k < 0$, namely unstable Burgers' equation with nonlocal term was studied in [11]. The authors showed that the solutions blow up in a finite time under certain conditions on the initial data. Our goal is to study the problem of stabilization of solutions by feedback controllers involving finite-dimensional controllers of the problem (1.1)-(1.3) and the problem (1.4), (1.5).

There is a vast literature on feedback stabilization and long time behavior of solutions of the viscous Burgers' equation

$$\partial_t v - \nu \partial_x^2 v + v \partial_x v = h, \quad (1.6)$$

and some of its modifications. Some of this literature include the results on stability of stationary states and existence of finite-dimensional attractors for semigroups generated by initial boundary value problems for this equation, [30], [8], [29], [30]; the studies regarding the problem of local stabilization for the viscous Burgers equation, [7], [27], [3] and the results concerning global stabilization of the viscous Burgers' equation using nonlinear Neumann and Dirichlet boundary control laws, [2], [25], [24], [16], [17], [28].

In this paper, we proved global exponential stabilization of solutions of (1.1), (1.2) and global exponential stabilization with a prescribed exponential rate of solutions to (1.4) by feedback controllers involving finitely many Fourier modes and finitely many volume elements. Our study is mainly inspired by the recent results on feedback stabilization by finite-dimensional controllers of nonlinear dissipative PDEs obtained in [1], [4], [9], [21], [22], [26].

Notation and inequalities. Throughout this paper we will use the following notations: The inner product in $L^2(0, 1)$ is denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and the corresponding norm by $\|\cdot\|$. The eigenfunctions of the Sturm-Liouville operator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ under the homogeneous Dirichlet's or periodic boundary conditions corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n, \dots$ are denoted by $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n, \dots$.

In what follows the nonlinear terms appearing in the calculations are estimated by using the following inequalities:

- *Young's inequality:* For each $a, b > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$

$$ab \leq \epsilon \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{q/p}} \frac{b^q}{q}, \text{ where } p, q > 0 \text{ and } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1. \quad (1.7)$$

- *Poincaré inequality*

$$\|u\|^2 \leq \lambda_1^{-1} \|u'\|^2, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1, \quad (1.8)$$

and the inequality

$$\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |(u, w_k)|^2 \leq \lambda_{N+1}^{-1} \|u'\|^2, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1, \quad (1.9)$$

where λ_n is the n 'th eigenvalue of the operator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ under the homogeneous Dirichlet's boundary conditions.

- *The 1D Gagliardo-Nirenberg (GN) inequality*

$$\|u^{(j)}\|_{L^p(0,1)} \leq \beta \|u\|^{1-\alpha} \|u^{(m)}\|^\alpha, \quad \forall u \in H^2(0,1) \cap H_0^m(0,1), \quad (1.10)$$

where $p > 2, m = 1, 2, \frac{j}{m} \leq \alpha \leq 1, \alpha = \left(\frac{1}{2} + j - \frac{1}{p}\right) m^{-1}$.

•

Lemma 1.1 (See [1]). *Assume that $\phi \in H^1(0,1)$. Then the following inequalities hold true*

$$\left\| \phi - \sum_{k=1}^N \bar{\phi}_k \chi_{J_k} \right\| \leq h \|\partial_x \phi\|, \quad (1.11)$$

where $h = \frac{1}{N}$, $J_k = [(k-1)\frac{1}{N}, k\frac{1}{N})$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$, $J_N = [\frac{N-1}{N}, 1]$, χ_{J_k} is the characteristic function of the interval J_k and

$$\bar{\phi}_k = \frac{1}{|J_k|} \int_{J_k} \phi(x) dx.$$

2. STABILIZATION OF ORIGINAL BURGERS' EQUATIONS BY CONTROLLERS DEPENDENT ON FOURIER MODES

In this section we show that strong solutions of the following feedback system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{v} = \tilde{U} \tilde{v} + \nu \partial_x^2 \tilde{v} - 2\tilde{v} \partial_x \tilde{v} - \mu \sum_{k=1}^N (\tilde{v} - v, w_k) w_k, & x \in (0,1), \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\tilde{U}'(t) = R - \nu \tilde{U}(t) - \|\tilde{v}(t)\|^2, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\tilde{v}|_{x=0} = \tilde{v}|_{x=1} = 0, \quad \tilde{U}(0) = \tilde{U}_0, \quad \tilde{v}|_{t=0} = \tilde{v}_0(x). \quad (2.3)$$

are approaching as $t \rightarrow \infty$ the strong solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.3) with an exponential rate.

A strong solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) is a pair of functions $[v, U]$ such that $v \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(0, 1)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(0, 1))$, $U \in C^1(0, T)$ and the equation (1.1) is satisfied in L^2 sense. A strong solution of (2.2)-(2.3) is defined similarly. We would like to note that the proof of existence and uniqueness of the problems can be done by the standard Galerkin method employing the estimates obtained below (see, e.g., [12]).

Let $z := \tilde{v} - v$ and $W := \tilde{U} - U$. Then $[z, W]$ is a solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z = \tilde{U}z + Wv + \nu \partial_x^2 z - 2(\tilde{v} \partial_x z + z \partial_x v) - \mu \sum_{k=1}^N (z, w_k) w_k, \\ W'(t) = -\nu W(t) - \|\tilde{v}(t)\|^2 + \|v(t)\|^2, \\ z|_{x=0} = z|_{x=1} = 0, \quad W(0) = \tilde{U}_0 - U_0, \quad z|_{t=0} = \tilde{v}_0 - v_0. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

$$W'(t) = -\nu W(t) - \|\tilde{v}(t)\|^2 + \|v(t)\|^2, \quad (2.5)$$

$$z|_{x=0} = z|_{x=1} = 0, \quad W(0) = \tilde{U}_0 - U_0, \quad z|_{t=0} = \tilde{v}_0 - v_0. \quad (2.6)$$

Multiplying (2.5) with W and (2.4) by z in $L^2(0, 1)$ and then adding the obtained equations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\|z(t)\|^2 + |W(t)|^2] + \nu \|\partial_x z(t)\|^2 + \nu |W(t)|^2 &= \tilde{U}(t) \|z(t)\|^2 \\ &\quad - \mu \sum_{k=1}^N (z, w_k)^2 - W(t)(z, \tilde{v}) + (z^2(t), \partial_x \tilde{v}(t) - 2\partial_x v(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

We estimate the last two terms on the right-hand side of (2.7), by applying Young's inequality (1.7) and the GN inequality $\|z\|_{L^4} \leq \beta \|z\|^{3/4} \|\partial_x z\|^{1/4}$:

$$|W(t)(z, \tilde{v})| \leq \frac{\nu}{2} |W(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2\nu} \|\tilde{v}(t)\|^2 \|z(t)\|^2, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |(z^2(t), \partial_x \tilde{v}(t) - 2\partial_x v(t))| &\leq \|z(t)\|_{L^4}^2 (\|\partial_x \tilde{v}(t)\| + 2\|\partial_x v(t)\|) \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\partial_x z(t)\|^2 + \frac{3}{4} \nu^{-\frac{1}{3}} \beta^{8/3} (\|\partial_x \tilde{v}(t)\| + 2\|\partial_x v(t)\|)^{\frac{4}{3}} \|z(t)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Utilizing the estimates (2.8) and (2.9) in (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\|z(t)\|^2 + |W(t)|^2] + \frac{\nu}{2} |W(t)|^2 + \frac{3\nu}{4} \|\partial_x z(t)\|^2 &\leq -\mu \sum_{k=1}^N |(z, w_k)|^2 \\ &\quad + \left(|\tilde{U}(t)| + \frac{1}{2\nu} \|\tilde{v}(t)\|^2 + \frac{3}{4} \nu^{-\frac{1}{3}} \beta^{8/3} (\|\partial_x \tilde{v}(t)\| + 2\|\partial_x v(t)\|)^{\frac{4}{3}} \right) \|z(t)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

We need to show that the terms $\|v(t)\|$, $|U(t)|$, $|\tilde{U}(t)|$, $\|\tilde{v}(t)\|$, $\|\partial_x \tilde{v}(t)\|$ and $\|\partial_x v(t)\|$ are bounded. Multiplying (1.2) by U and (1.1) by v in $L^2(0, 1)$ and then adding the obtained relations we get:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\|v(t)\|^2 + |U(t)|^2] + \nu |U(t)|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x v(t)\|^2 = RU(t) \leq \frac{1}{2\nu} R^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} |U(t)|^2.$$

Thanks to the Poincaré inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} [\|v(t)\|^2 + |U(t)|^2] + d_0 [|U(t)|^2 + \|v(t)\|^2] \leq \frac{1}{\nu} R^2,$$

where $d_0 = \nu \min\{1, 2\lambda_1\}$. The last inequality implies that

$$\|v(t)\|^2 + |U(t)|^2 \leq [\|v_0\|^2 + |U_0|^2] e^{-d_0 t} + \frac{R^2}{\nu d_0} (1 - e^{-d_0 t}).$$

Hence, there exists a number $T_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|v(t)\|^2 + |U(t)|^2 \leq M_1 := \frac{2R^2}{\nu d_0}, \quad \forall t \geq T_1. \quad (2.11)$$

To get the estimate for $\|\partial_x v(t)\|^2$ first we multiply (1.1) by $-\partial_x^2 v$ in $L^2(0, 1)$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x v(t)\|^2 - U(t) \|\partial_x v(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x^2 v(t)\|^2 + \int_0^1 (\partial_x v(t))^3 dx = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Employing the GN inequality $\|(\partial_x v)\|_{L^3} \leq \beta \|v\|^{\frac{5}{12}} \|\partial_x^2 v\|^{\frac{7}{12}}$ and Young's inequality (1.7) with $\varepsilon = \frac{2\nu}{7}$, $p = \frac{8}{7}$, we get

$$\left| \int_0^1 (\partial_x v(t))^3 dx \right| \leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\partial_x^2 v(t)\|^2 + \beta^{24} \nu^{-7} 7^7 2^{-10} \|v(t)\|^{10}. \quad (2.13)$$

On the other hand

$$|U(t)| \|\partial_x v(t)\|^2 \leq |U(t)| \|\partial_x v(t)\| \|\partial_x^2 v(t)\| \leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\partial_x^2 v\|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} |U(t)|^2 \|v(t)\|^2. \quad (2.14)$$

Thus from (2.12) together with the estimates (2.13) and (2.14) it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x v(t)\|^2 + \lambda_1 \nu \|\partial_x v(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\nu} |U(t)|^2 \|v(t)\|^2 + \beta^{24} \nu^{-7} 7^7 2^{-9} \|v(t)\|^{10}. \quad (2.15)$$

Since $\|v(t)\|^2, |U|^2(t) \leq M_1$, $\forall t \geq T_1$ (recall (2.11)), then there exists $T_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|\partial_x v(t)\|^2 \leq M_2, \quad \forall t \geq T_2. \quad (2.16)$$

In order to get bounds for $|\tilde{U}(t)|$ and $\|\tilde{v}(t)\|$, we multiply the equation (2.2) by $\tilde{U}$ and (2.1) by $\tilde{v}$ in $L^2(0, 1)$ and then add the resulting relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\|\tilde{v}(t)\|^2 + |\tilde{U}(t)|^2 \right] + \nu |\tilde{U}(t)|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x \tilde{v}(t)\|^2 &= R \tilde{U}(t) \\ &\quad - \mu \sum_{k=1}^N |(\tilde{v}, w_k)|^2 + \mu \sum_{k=1}^N (\tilde{v}, w_k)(v, w_k). \end{aligned}$$

By using Young's and Poincaré inequalities and the estimate (2.11), we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\|\tilde{v}(t)\|^2 + |\tilde{U}(t)|^2 \right] + \frac{\nu}{2} |\tilde{U}(t)|^2 + \lambda_1 \nu \|\tilde{v}(t)\|^2 &\leq \frac{R^2}{2\nu} + \frac{\mu}{4} \sum_{k=1}^N (v, w_k)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{R^2}{2\nu} + \frac{\mu}{4} M_1, \quad \forall t \geq T_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Integrating (2.17), we get

$$\|\tilde{v}(t)\|^2 + |\tilde{U}(t)|^2 \leq (\|\tilde{v}(T_1)\|^2 + |\tilde{U}(T_1)|^2) e^{-d_1(t-T_1)} + \frac{1}{d_1} \left(\frac{R^2}{\nu} + \frac{\mu}{2} M_1 \right), \quad (2.18)$$

where $d_1 = \nu \min\{1, 2\lambda_1\}$. Hence, there exists $T_3 > T_1$ such that

$$\|\tilde{v}(t)\|^2 + |\tilde{U}(t)|^2 \leq M_3 := \frac{2R^2}{\nu d_1} + \frac{\mu}{d_1} M_1, \quad \forall t \geq T_3. \quad (2.19)$$

Next, we multiply the equation (2.1) by $-\partial_x^2 \tilde{v}$ in $L^2(0, 1)$ and after simple manipulations obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x \tilde{v}(t)\|^2 - \tilde{U}(t) \|\partial_x \tilde{v}(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x^2 \tilde{v}(t)\|^2 + \int_0^1 (\partial_x \tilde{v}(t))^3 dx \\ &= -\mu \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k (\tilde{v}, w_k)^2 + \mu \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k (\tilde{v}, w_k) (v, w_k) \leq \frac{\mu}{4} \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k (v, w_k)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Employing the inequalities (2.13), (2.14) we obtain the analog of the inequality (2.15) for $\tilde{v}$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x \tilde{v}(t)\|^2 + \lambda_1 \nu \|\partial_x \tilde{v}(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\nu} |U(t)|^2 \|\tilde{v}(t)\|^2 + \beta^{24} \nu^{-7} 7^7 2^{-9} \|\tilde{v}(t)\|^{10} + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\partial_x v(t)\|^2,$$

hence, by (2.11), (2.16) and (2.19) we deduce that

$$\|\partial_x \tilde{v}(t)\|^2 \leq M_4, \quad \forall t \geq T_4 \geq T_3. \quad (2.20)$$

By using the estimates (2.16), (2.19) and (2.20), we infer from (2.10) the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\|z(t)\|^2 + |W(t)|^2] + \frac{\nu}{2} |W(t)|^2 + \frac{3\nu}{4} \|\partial_x z(t)\|^2 \\ & \leq M_5 \|z(t)\|^2 - \mu \sum_{k=1}^N |(z, w_k)|^2, \quad \forall t \geq T_5, \end{aligned}$$

where $T_5 := \max\{T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4\}$ and

$$M_5 := \sqrt{M_3} + \frac{1}{2\nu} M_3^2 + \frac{3}{4} \nu^{-\frac{1}{3}} \beta^{8/3} (\sqrt{M_4} + 2\sqrt{M_2})^{4/3}. \quad (2.21)$$

Assume that μ and N are large enough such that

$$M_5 \leq \mu, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{N+1}^{-1} M_5 \leq \frac{\nu}{4}. \quad (2.22)$$

By using these assumptions, the inequality (1.9) and the Poincaré inequality, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} [\|z(t)\|^2 + |W(t)|^2] + \nu |W(t)|^2 + \lambda_1 \nu \|z(t)\|^2 \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq T_5. \quad (2.23)$$

From the last inequality we get

$$\|z(t)\|^2 + |W(t)|^2 \leq (\|z(T_5)\|^2 + |W(T_5)|^2) e^{-d_2(t-T_5)}, \quad \forall t \geq T_5,$$

where $d_2 = \nu \min\{1, \lambda_1\}$. So we have proved the following

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that the conditions (2.22) are satisfied. Then there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that for all $t \geq t_0$ the following inequality holds true*

$$\|\tilde{v}(t) - v(t)\|^2 + |\tilde{U}(t) - U(t)|^2 \leq (\|v(t_0) - \tilde{v}(t_0)\|^2 + |U(t_0) - \tilde{U}(t_0)|^2) e^{-d_2(t-t_0)},$$

where $d_2 = \nu \min\{1, \lambda_1\}$.

Multiplication of (2.4) by $-\partial_x^2 z$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x z\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x^2 z\|^2 &= W(t)(\partial_x v \tilde{u}, \partial_x z) + \tilde{U} \|\partial_x z\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2(\tilde{v} \partial_x z + z \partial_x v, \partial_x^2 z) - \mu \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k(z, w_k)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Employing the Young inequality and the Sobolev inequality $\|\phi\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq c_0 \|\partial_x \phi\|^2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2|(\tilde{v} \partial_x z + z \partial_x v, \partial_x^2 z)| &\leq 2\|\tilde{v}\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x z\| \|\partial_x^2 z\| + 2\|z\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x v\| \|\partial_x^2 z\| \\ &\leq \frac{4c_0^2}{\nu} (\|\partial_x \tilde{v}\|^2 + \|\partial_x v\|^2) \|\partial_x z\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\partial_x^2 z\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

and

$$|W(t)(\partial_x v, \partial_x z)| \leq \frac{\nu}{2} |W(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2\nu} \|\partial_x \tilde{v}\|^2 \|\partial_x z\|^2$$

Utilizing last two inequalities in (2.24) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x z\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\partial_x^2 z\|^2 \leq \frac{\nu}{2} |W(t)|^2 + \left[|\tilde{U}|^2 + \frac{1}{2\nu} \|\tilde{\partial}_x v\|^2 + \frac{4c_0^2}{\nu} (\|\partial_x \tilde{v}\|^2 + \|\partial_x v\|^2) \right] \|\partial_x z\|^2. \quad (2.26)$$

Finally adding to (2.26) the inequality (2.23):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\partial_x z\|^2 + \|z\|^2 + |W(t)|^2 \right] &+ \frac{\nu}{2} |W(t)|^2 + \lambda_1 \nu \|z\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\partial_x^2 z\|^2 \\ &\leq \left[\frac{4\nu c_0^2}{\nu} M_4 + \left(\frac{4c_0^2}{\nu} + \frac{1}{2\nu} \right) M_2 + \sqrt{M_3} \right] \|\partial_x z\|^2 - \mu \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k(z, w_k)^2, \quad \forall t \geq T_5 \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

Due to the inequality (1.9) we have

$$\|\partial_x z\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k(z, w_k)^2 + \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \lambda_k(z, w_k)^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k(z, w_k)^2 + \lambda_{N+1}^{-1} \|\partial_x^2 z\|^2.$$

Thus (2.27) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\partial_x z\|^2 + \|z\|^2 + |W(t)|^2 \right] &+ \frac{\nu}{2} |W(t)|^2 + \lambda_1 \nu \|z\|^2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{\nu}{2} - \lambda_{N+1}^{-1} Q_0 \right) \|\partial_x^2 z\|^2 \leq -(\mu - Q_0) \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k(z, w_k)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

where

$$Q_0 := \frac{4\nu c_0^2}{\nu} M_4 + \left(\frac{4c_0^2}{\nu} + \frac{1}{2\nu} \right) M_2 + \sqrt{M_3}. \quad (2.29)$$

Suppose that

$$\mu - Q_0 \geq 0, \quad \lambda_{N+1}^{-1} Q_0 \leq \frac{\nu}{4}. \quad (2.30)$$

Then from (2.28) we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\partial_x z\|^2 + \|z\|^2 + |W(t)|^2 \right] + \alpha_0 \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\partial_x z\|^2 + \|z\|^2 + |W(t)|^2 \right] \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq T_5,$$

which implies that $\forall t \geq T_5$

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\partial_x z(t)\|^2 + \|z(t)\|^2 + |W(t)|^2 \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\partial_x z(T_5)\|^2 + \|z(T_5)\|^2 + |W(T_5)|^2 \right] e^{-(t-T_5)}, \quad (2.31)$$

where $\alpha_0 = \frac{\nu}{2} \min\{1, \frac{\lambda_1}{2}\}$. Thus we proved the following

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that the conditions (2.30) are satisfied with Q_0 defined in (2.29). Then $\|\partial_x \tilde{v}(t) - \partial_x v(t)\|^2 + |\tilde{U}(t) - U(t)|^2 \rightarrow 0$ with an exponential rate as $t \rightarrow \infty$.*

3. VISCOUS BURGERS' EQUATION WITH NONLOCAL NONLINEARITY

In this section, we study the problem of stabilization of BNN (1.4), where $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(0, 1))$ is a given source term, $\nu > 0$, $k > 0$ and $R > 0$ are given numbers. Utilizing the inequalities

$$|(h, v)| \leq \frac{\nu}{2} \|\partial_x v\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\lambda_1\nu} \|h\|^2, \quad R\|v\|^2 \leq k\|v\|^4 + \frac{1}{4k} R^2,$$

we obtain from the first energy equality

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x v(t)\|^2 - R\|v(t)\|^2 + k\|v(t)\|^4 = (h, v),$$

the inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\|^2 + \nu\lambda_1 \|v(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2k} R^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_1\nu} \|h(t)\|^2.$$

From this inequality we get the estimate

$$\|v(t)\|^2 \leq \|v_0\|^2 e^{-\nu\lambda_1 t} + \frac{R^2}{2\lambda_1\nu k} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1\nu} \int_0^t \|h(\tau)\|^2 d\tau$$

which implies that

$$\|v(t)\| \leq H_1, \quad \forall t \geq T_1, \quad (3.1)$$

where H_1 depends only on $H_0 := \int_0^\infty \|h(t)\|^2 dt$.

Next, we multiply (1.4) by $-\partial_x^2 v$ in $L^2(0, 1)$ and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x v\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x^2 v\|^2 + \int_0^1 (\partial_x v)^3 dx - R \|\partial_x v\|^2 + k \|v\|^2 \|\partial_x v\|^2 = -(h, \partial_x^2 v). \quad (3.2)$$

Here, we again use Young's inequality (1.7) to obtain:

$$R \|\partial_x v\|^2 \leq R \|v\| \|\partial_x^2 v\| \leq \frac{\nu}{8} \|\partial_x^2 v\|^2 + \frac{2R^2}{\nu} \|v\|^2, \quad |(h, \partial_x^2 v)| \leq \frac{\nu}{8} \|\partial_x^2 v\|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu} \|h\|^2. \quad (3.3)$$

Employing the GN inequality and Young's inequality (1.7) with $\varepsilon = \frac{2\nu}{7}$, $p = \frac{8}{7}$ we get

$$\left| \int_0^1 (\partial_x v(t))^3 dx \right| \leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\partial_x^2 v(t)\|^2 + \beta^{24} 7^7 2^{-10} \nu^{-7} \|v(t)\|^{10}. \quad (3.4)$$

Utilizing the inequality (3.4), two inequalities in (3.3) and the Poincaré inequality, we get from (3.2)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\partial_x v(t)\|^2 + \nu\lambda_1\|\partial_x v(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{4}{\nu}\|h(t)\|^2 + \frac{4R^2}{\nu}\|v(t)\|^2 + \beta^{24}7^7 2^{-9}\nu^{-7}\|v(t)\|^{10}.$$

Due to (3.1) from this inequality we get the estimate

$$\|\partial_x v(t)\|^2 \leq H_2, \quad \forall t \geq T_2, \quad (3.5)$$

where H_2 depends only on H_0 and H_1 .

Let us obtain estimates for solutions of the controlled system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \nu \partial_x^2 u + 2u \partial_x u - Ru + k\|u\|^2 u = -\mu \sum_{k=1}^N (u - v, w_k) w_k + h, \\ u|_{x=0} = u|_{x=1} = 0, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Multiplication of (3.6) by u in $L^2(0, 1)$ gives:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x u(t)\|^2 - R \|u(t)\|^2 + k \|u(t)\|^4 \leq \frac{\mu}{4} \sum_{k=1}^N (v, w_k)^2 + (h, u). \quad (3.7)$$

By using the inequalities

$$|(h, u)| \leq \|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|h\|^2 \leq \frac{k}{2} \|u\|^4 + \frac{1}{2k} + \frac{1}{4} \|h\|^2, \quad R \|u\|^2 \leq \frac{k}{2} \|u\|^4 + \frac{1}{2k} R^2, \quad (3.8)$$

we obtain from (3.7)

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x u(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{\mu}{4} \|v(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|h(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2k} (1 + R^2). \quad (3.9)$$

We deduce from (3.9) that

$$\|u(t)\| \leq H_3, \quad \forall t \geq T_3, \quad (3.10)$$

where H_3 depends on H_0, H_1, R and k . Next, we multiply (3.6) by $-\partial_x^2 u$ in $L^2(0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x u(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x^2 u(t)\|^2 + \int_0^1 (\partial_x u)^3 dx - R \|\partial_x u(t)\|^2 + k \|u(t)\|^2 \|\partial_x u(t)\|^2 \\ = -\mu \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k(u, w_k)^2 + \mu \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k(v, w_k)(u, w_k) - (h, \partial_x^2 u). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Thanks to the inequalities (3.4) and (3.3) employed for the term $\partial_x^2 u$ and the inequality

$$\mu \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k(v, w_k)(u, w_k) \right| \leq \mu \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k(u, w_k)^2 + \frac{\mu}{4} \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k(v, w_k)^2,$$

(3.11) implies

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x u\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\partial_x^2 u\|^2 \leq \beta^{24} 7^7 2^{-10} \nu^{-7} \|u\|^{10} + 4R^2 \|u\|^2 + 4\|h\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{4} \|\partial_x v\|^2.$$

Integrating the last inequality we obtain the next bound for solutions of the problem

$$\|\partial_x u(t)\|^2 \leq H_4, \quad \forall t \geq T_4. \quad (3.12)$$

Finally, we consider the system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z - \nu \partial_x^2 z + 2z \partial_x u + 2v \partial_x z - Rz + k\|u\|^2 u - k\|v\|^2 v = -\mu \sum_{k=1}^N (z, w_k) w_k, \\ z|_{x=0} = z|_{x=1} = 0, \quad z|_{x=0} = u_0 - v_0, \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

where $z = u - v$. Multiplying the equation (3.13) by z in $L^2(0, 1)$ and taking into account $(\|u\|^2 u - \|v\|^2 v, u - v) \geq 0$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|z\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x z\|^2 + 2(z^2, \partial_x u) - (z^2, \partial_x v) - R\|z\|^2 = -\mu \sum_{k=1}^N (z, w_k)^2. \quad (3.14)$$

Then we estimate the third and fourth terms on the left hand side of (3.14):

$$\begin{aligned} |(z^2, \partial_x v)| &\leq \|z\|_{L^4}^2 \|\partial_x v\| \leq \beta^2 \|z\|^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_x z\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_x v\| \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\partial_x z\|^2 + \frac{3}{4} \beta^{\frac{4}{3}} \nu^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|z\|^2 \|\partial_x v\|^{\frac{4}{3}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

and similarly

$$2|(z^2, \partial_x u)| \leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\partial_x z\|^2 + \frac{3}{4} (2\beta)^{\frac{4}{3}} \nu^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|z\|^2 \|\partial_x u\|^{\frac{4}{3}}. \quad (3.16)$$

Thus due to (3.5) and (3.12) there exists $T_5 \geq T_4$ such that

$$|(z^2, \partial_x v)| + 2|(z^2, \partial_x u)| \leq \frac{\nu}{2} \|\partial_x z\|^2 + A_0 \|z\|^2, \quad \forall t \geq T_5,$$

where

$$A_0 = \frac{3}{4} (2\beta)^{\frac{4}{3}} \nu^{-\frac{1}{3}} H_4^{2/3} + \frac{3}{4} (\beta)^{\frac{4}{3}} \nu^{-\frac{1}{3}} H_2^{2/3}. \quad (3.17)$$

Employing the last inequality we get from (3.14) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|z(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x z(t)\|^2 - 2(A_0 + R) \|z(t)\|^2 = -2\mu \sum_{k=1}^N (z, w_k)^2. \quad (3.18)$$

Next, we multiply (3.18) by $e^{\sigma t}$ with $\sigma = \xi - \frac{\lambda \nu}{2}$ and rewrite the obtained relation in the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} (e^{\sigma t} \|z(t)\|^2) + e^{\sigma t} \nu \|\partial_x z(t)\|^2 - (\sigma + 2A_0 + 2R) e^{\sigma t} \|z(t)\|^2 = -2\mu e^{\sigma t} \sum_{k=1}^N (z, w_k)^2.$$

We rewrite the last equality in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (e^{\sigma t} \|z(t)\|^2) + e^{\sigma t} \nu \|\partial_x z(t)\|^2 + [2\mu - (\sigma + 2A_0 + 2R)] e^{\sigma t} \sum_{k=1}^N (z, w_k)^2 \\ - (\sigma + 2A_0 + 2R) e^{\sigma t} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} (z, w_k)^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Since $\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} (z, w_k)^2 \leq \lambda_{N+1}^{-1} \|\partial_x z(t)\|^2$, we can choose N so large that

$$\frac{\nu}{2} > (\sigma + 2A_0 + 2R)\lambda_{N+1}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu \geq \frac{\sigma}{2} + R + A_0,$$

and deduce from (3.19) the inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} (e^{\sigma t} \|z(t)\|^2) + \frac{\nu}{2} e^{\sigma t} \|\partial_x z(t)\|^2 \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \geq T_5, \quad (3.20)$$

which implies that $\|z(t)\|^2 \leq e^{-\xi(t-t_0)} \|z(t_0)\|^2$.

So the following proposition holds true

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that $\xi > \frac{\lambda_1 \nu}{2}$ is an arbitrary number, N and μ are so large that*

$$\frac{\nu}{2} > \lambda_{N+1}^{-1} (\xi - \frac{\lambda_1 \nu}{2} + 2A_0 + 2R), \quad \mu > \frac{1}{2} \xi - \frac{\lambda_1 \nu}{4} + R + A_0,$$

where A_0 is defined in (3.17). Then each strong solution of the problem (3.6) is approaching the strong solution of the problem (1.4), (1.5) with an exponential rate $e^{-\xi t}$ in $L^2(0, 1)$ sense.

Now we multiply the equation (3.13) by $-\partial_x^2 z$ and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x z\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x^2 z\|^2 &= 2(z \partial_x u + v \partial_x z, \partial_x^2 z) + R \|\partial_x z\|^2 \\ &\quad - k \|u\|^2 \|\partial_x z\|^2 - k(u + v, z)(\partial_x v, \partial_x z) - \mu \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k(z, w_k)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

By using the Poincaré inequality we get

$$|(u + v, z)(\partial_x v, \partial_x z)| \leq \lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\|u\| + \|v\|) \|\partial_x v\| \|\partial_x z\|^2,$$

and similar to (2.25) we have

$$2|(z \partial_x u + v \partial_x z, \partial_x^2 z)| \leq \frac{\nu}{2} \|\partial_x^2 z\|^2 + \frac{4c_0^2}{\nu} (\|\partial_x u\|^2 + \|\partial_x v\|^2) \|\partial_x z\|^2.$$

Utilizing the last two inequalities in (3.21) and employing the estimates (3.1), (3.5), (3.10), (3.12) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x z\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\partial_x^2 z\|^2 \leq Q_1 \|\partial_x z\|^2 - \mu \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k(z, w_k)^2, \quad \forall t \geq T_5,$$

where

$$Q_1 := \frac{4c_0^2}{\nu} (H_4 + H_3) + R + k \lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} (H_1 + H_3) \sqrt{H_2}. \quad (3.22)$$

Multiplying the last inequality by $2e^{\sigma t}$ with $\sigma = \xi - \frac{\lambda_1 \nu}{2}$, and using the same argumentations when obtaining (3.20) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} (e^{\sigma t} \|\partial_x z(t)\|^2) + \frac{\lambda_1 \nu}{2} e^{\sigma t} \|\partial_x z(t)\|^2 \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \geq T_5, \quad (3.23)$$

So we proved

Theorem 3.2. *If the conditions*

$$\mu > Q_1 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma, \quad \frac{\nu}{2} > \lambda_{N+1}^{-1}(\sigma + 2Q_1)$$

with Q_1 is defined in (3.22) are satisfied, then each solution of the problem (3.6) is approaching the strong solution of the problem (1.4),(1.5) with an exponential rate $e^{-\xi t}$ in $H^1(0,1)$ sense.

Remark 3.3. Let us note that similarly we can study the following problem with feedback controller based on finitely many volume elements for the Burgers equation with nonlocal nonlinearity (1.4) :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \nu \partial_x^2 u + 2u \partial_x u - Ru + ku \|u\|^2 = h - \mu \sum_{k=1}^N (\bar{u}_k - \bar{v}_k) \chi_{J_k}(x), \\ u|_{x=0} = u|_{x=1} = 0, \quad u|_{x=0} = u_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.24)$$

where J_k, χ_{J_k} and $\bar{u}_k, \bar{v}_k$, $k = 1, \dots, N$ are defined in Lemma 1.1.

Now, by setting $z = u - v$, we see that z is a solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z - \nu \partial_x^2 z + 2z \partial_x u + 2v \partial_x z - Rz + ku \|u\|^2 - k \|v\|^2 v = -\mu \sum_{k=1}^N \bar{z}_k \chi_{J_k}(x), \\ z|_{x=0} = z|_{x=1} = 0, \quad z|_{x=0} = u_0 - v_0, \end{cases} \quad (3.25)$$

Multiplying (3.25) by z in $L^2(0,1)$ and using the inequality $k(u \|u\|^2 - \|v\|^2 v, u - v) \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|z\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x z\|^2 + 2(z \partial_x z, z) + 2(v \partial_x z + z \partial_x v, z) - R \|z(t)\|^2 \\ \leq -\mu \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \bar{z}_k \chi_{J_k}(\cdot), z \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Since $(z \partial_x z, z) = 0$, $2(z \partial_x z, z) + 2(v \partial_x z + z \partial_x v, z) = (z^2, \partial_x v)$ and

$$-\mu \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \bar{z}_k \chi_{J_k}(\cdot), z \right) = -\mu \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \bar{z}_k \chi_{J_k}(\cdot) - z, z \right) - \mu \|z\|^2 \leq \mu \left\| z - \sum_{k=1}^N \bar{z}_k \chi_{J_k} \right\| \|z\| - \mu \|z\|^2,$$

utilizing the inequality (1.11) we get from (3.26) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|z(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\partial_x z(t)\|^2 - R \|z(t)\|^2 \leq |(z^2, \partial_x v)| + \mu h \|\partial_x z(t)\| \|z(t)\| - \mu \|z\|^2. \quad (3.27)$$

Utilizing GN inequality and Young's inequality we have

$$|(z^2, \partial_x z)| \leq \|\partial_x v\| \|z\|_{L^4} \leq \beta \|\partial_x v\| \|z\|^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_x z\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\partial_x z\|^2 + \frac{4}{3} \beta^{\frac{4}{3}} \nu^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_x v\|^{\frac{4}{3}} \|z\|^2, \quad (3.28)$$

$$\mu h \|\partial_x z(t)\| \|z(t)\| \leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\partial_x z(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} \mu^2 h^2 \|z(t)\|^2. \quad (3.29)$$

Therefore thanks to the estimate (3.5) we deduce from (3.27) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|z\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\partial_x z\|^2 \leq (A_1 - \mu) \|z\|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} \mu^2 h^2 \|z(t)\|^2, \quad \forall t \geq T_2, \quad (3.30)$$

where $A_1 := R + \frac{3}{4}\beta^{\frac{4}{3}}\nu^{-\frac{1}{3}}H_2^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Next, we multiply (3.30) by $e^{\sigma t}$ with an arbitrary $\sigma > 0$ and after using the Poincaré inequality, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} (e^{\sigma t} \|z(t)\|^2) + \left[\nu\lambda_1 - \frac{2}{\nu}\mu^2 h^2 \right] e^{\sigma t} \|z(t)\|^2 \leq (-2\mu + 2A_1 + \sigma) \|z(t)\|^2. \quad (3.31)$$

If $\nu\lambda_1 \geq \frac{4}{\nu}\mu^2 h^2$ and $\mu \geq A_1 + \frac{\sigma}{2}$ then it follows from (3.31) that,

$$\|z(t)\|^2 \leq e^{-(\sigma+a_0)(t-t_0)} \|z(t_0)\|^2, \quad \forall t \geq T_2,$$

where $a_0 = \frac{1}{2}\nu\lambda_1$. Thus, the following theorem holds true

Theorem 3.4. Assume that $N = \frac{L}{h}$ and μ are large enough so that the conditions

$$\mu \geq \sigma + R + \frac{3}{4}\beta^{\frac{4}{3}}\nu^{-\frac{1}{3}}H_2^{\frac{2}{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu\lambda_1 \geq \nu\mu^2 \frac{4L^2}{N^2},$$

are satisfied. Then each strong solution of the problem (3.24) is approaching the solution of (1.4)-(1.5) with an arbitrary exponential rate in $L^2(0, 1)$ sense.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Alp Eden and Habiba Kalantarova for valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Azouani A, Titi ES. Feedback Control of Nonlinear Dissipative Systems by Finite Determining Parameters - A Reaction-diffusion Paradigm. *Evolution Equations and Control Theory* 2014; 3(4):579-594.
- [2] Balogh A, Krstic M. Burgers' equation with nonlinear boundary feedback: H^1 stability, well posedness, and simulation. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* 2000; 6(2-3):189-200.
- [3] Badra M, Takahashi T. Feedback stabilization of a simplified 1d fluid-particle system. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 2014; 31(2):369-389.
- [4] Barbu V, Wang G. Internal stabilization of semilinear parabolic systems. *Journal of Math. Anal. Appl.* 2003; 285(2):387-407.
- [5] Burgers JM. Mathematical example illustrating relations occurring in the theory of turbulent fluid motion. *Trans. Roy. Neth. Acad. Sci. Amsterdam* 1939; 17(2):1-53.
- [6] Burgers JM. A mathematical model illustrating the theory of turbulence. In *Advances in applied mechanics, Vol. 1*, Elsevier; 1948, 171-199.
- [7] Byrnes CI, Gilliam DS, Shubov VI. Boundary control for a viscous Burgers' equation. *Identification and control in systems governed by partial differential equations* (South Hadley, MA, 1992), SIAM, Philadelphia, PA; 1993, 171-185.
- [8] Byrnes CI, Gilliam DS, Shubov VI. On the global dynamics of a controlled viscous Burgers' equation. *J. Dynam. Control Systems* 1998; 4(4):457-519.
- [9] Chebotarev AY. Finite-dimensional controllability of systems of Navier-Stokes type. *Differ. Equ.* 2010; 46(10):1498-1506.
- [10] Dascalu R. On backward-time behaviour of Burgers' original model for turbulence. *Nonlinearity* 2003; 16(6):1945-1965.
- [11] Deng K, Kwong MK, Levine HA. The influence of nonlocal nonlinearities on the long time behavior of solutions of Burgers' equation. *Quart. Appl. Math.* 1992; 50(1):173-200.
- [12] Dlotko T. The one-dimensional Burgers' equation; existence, uniqueness and stability. *Zeszyty Nauk. Uniw. Jagiello. Prace Mat.* 1982; (23):157-172.
- [13] Dlotko T. The classical solution of the one-dimensional Burgers' equation. *Zeszyty Nauk. Uniw. Jagiello. Prace Mat.* 1982; (23): 173-182.

- [14] Drazin PC, Reid WH. *Hydrodynamic stability*. Second edition. With a foreword by John Miles. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge; 2004.
- [15] Eden A. On Burgers' original mathematical model of turbulence. *Nonlinearity* 1990; 3(3):557-566.
- [16] Fursikov AV, Stabilizability of a quasilinear parabolic equation by means of boundary feedback control. *Sb. Math.* 2001; 192(3-4): 593-639.
- [17] Fursikov AV, Osipova LS, One method for the nonlocal stabilization of a Burgers-type equation by an impulse control. *Differ. Equ.* 2019; 55(5): 688-702.
- [18] Horgan CO, Olmstead WE. Stability and uniqueness for a turbulence model of Burgers. *Quart. Appl. Math.* 1978/79; 36(2): 121-127.
- [19] Ito K, Kang S. A dissipative feedback control synthesis for systems arising in fluid dynamics. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 1994; 32(3): 831-854.
- [20] Ishimura N, Ohnishi I. Inertial manifolds for Burgers' original model system of turbulence. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 1994; 7(3): 33-37.
- [21] Kalantarova J, Ozsari T. Finite-parameter feedback control for stabilizing the complex Ginzburg-Landau equation. *Systems and Control Lett.* 2017; 106:40-46.
- [22] Kalantarov VK, Titi ES. Finite-parameters feedback control for stabilizing damped nonlinear wave equations. *Nonlinear analysis and optimization* 115-133, Contemp. Math., 2016; 659, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [23] Krstic M. On global stabilization of Burgers' equation by boundary control, *Systems and Control Letters* 1999; 37(3): 123-141.
- [24] Krstic M, Magnis L., Vazquez R. Nonlinear stabilization of shock-like unstable equilibria in the viscous Burgers PDE. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* 2008; 53(7): 1678-1683.
- [25] Liu W-J, Krstic M. Adaptive control of Burgers' equation with unknown viscosity. *International Journal of Adaptive Control and Signal Processing* 2001; 15(7):745-766.
- [26] Lunasin E, Titi ES. Finite determining parameters feedback control for distributed nonlinear dissipative systems -a computational study. *Evolution Equations and Control Theory* 2017; 6(4):535-557.
- [27] Ly HV, Mease KD, Titi ES. Distributed and boundary control of the viscous Burgers' equation. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 1997; 18(1-2):143-188.
- [28] Smaoui N. Boundary and distributed control of the viscous Burgers equation. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 2005; 182(1):91-104.
- [29] Temam R. *Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical System in Mechanics and Physics*. Springer; 1997.
- [30] Zelenjak TI. Stabilization of solutions of boundary value problems for a second-order parabolic equation with one space variable. (Russian) *Differencial'nye Uravnenija* 1968; 4:34-45.

(S. GUMUS), DEPARTMENT OF GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCES AND ENGINEERING,
KOÇ UNIVERSITY, ISTANBUL

(V. K. KALANTAROV) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KOÇ UNIVERSITY, ISTANBUL,
AZERBAIJAN STATE OIL AND INDUSTRY UNIVERSITY, BAKU