

Higgs reductions and numerically flat principal Higgs bundles

Armando Capasso

Università degli studi di Napoli “Federico II”
 Scuola Politecnica e delle Scienze di Base
 Corso Protopisani Nicolangelo 70, Napoli (Italy), C.A.P. 80146

E-mail: `armando.capasso@unina.it`

Abstract

I consider principal Higgs bundles satisfying a notion of numerical flatness (H-nflatness) that was introduced in [8]. I prove that a principal Higgs bundle $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \varphi)$ is H-nflat is either stable or there exists a Higgs reduction of \mathfrak{E} to a parabolic subgroup P of G such that the principal L -bundle \mathfrak{E}_L obtained by extending the reduced Higgs bundle \mathfrak{E}_P to the Levi factor L is H-nflat and stable; and as consequence, $H^*(\mathfrak{E}, \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to the cohomology ring of the associated graded object $\text{Gr}(\mathfrak{E})$ with coefficients in \mathbb{R} . Moreover, if $c_2(\text{Ad}(E))$ vanishes then \mathfrak{E}_L is also Hermitian flat and $H^*(\text{Gr}(\mathfrak{E}), \mathbb{R})$ is trivial.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The scheme of Higgs reductions	7
3	H-nflat (principal) Higgs bundles	10
4	Higgs reductions and H-nflat principal Higgs bundles	13

1 Introduction

Let L be a line bundle over a complex smooth projective variety X of dimension $n \geq 2$: L is said *numerically effective* (*nef*, for short) if and only if the inequality $L \cdot C \geq 0$ holds

for any curves C on X . Let V be a vector bundle over X . V is said *nef* if the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)$ is nef; where $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is the projectivized bundle. Moreover, V is *numerically flat* (*nflat*, for short) if and only if V and V^\vee are nef vector bundles (see [9]).

One can also introduce the *Grassmann bundles* associated with V : for every integer s such that $0 < s < \text{rank } V$, the variety $Gr_s(V)$ is a bundle over X , which parameterizes the rank s locally free quotients of V . Of course $Gr_1(V) = \mathbb{P}(V)$. Denoting by $\pi_s: Gr_s(V) \rightarrow X$ the projection, on each variety $Gr_s(V)$ there is a *rank s universal quotient bundle* Q_s of π_s^*V , which turns out to be numerically effective if V is numerically effective.

These notions was extend to principal bundle setting in [8] using the bundles $E \left(G/P \right)$; where G is a complex reductive (affine) algebraic group, P is a maximal parabolic subgroup of G and E is a principal G -bundle over X . Again in the same sense, they parameterize the *reductions of the structure group of E to P* ; and these are used to provide a notion of *numerically flatness* for principal bundles¹ (*nflat*, for short).

By [8, theorem 6.6], it turns out that the nflat principal G -bundles are semistable and can be characterized as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let E be a principal G -bundle over X . Given the following statements:*

- (i) E is nflat;
 - (ii) E is polystable;
 - (iii) there exists a reduction E_P of E to a parabolic subgroup P of G such that the principal L -bundle $E_P(L)$ is flat and polystable, where L is the Levi factor of P ;
- (i) implies either (ii) or (iii), and (iii) implies (i) and (ii).

Let $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \phi)$ be a principal Higgs G -bundle; that is, a principal G -bundle equipped with a global section of $\text{Ad}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^1$ such that the composition

$$[\phi, \phi] : \text{Ad}(E) \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes Id} \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^1 \otimes \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{[\cdot, \cdot] \otimes \pi} \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^2$$

vanishes, where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the natural bracket on $\text{Ad}(E)$. In [8] were introduced the *schemes of Higgs reductions* $\mathfrak{R}_P(\mathfrak{E})$ of a principal Higgs G -bundle \mathfrak{E} as suitably closed subschemes of $E \left(G/P \right)$, which parameterize the *Higgs reductions of the structure group of \mathfrak{E} to P* ; and these are used to provide a notion of *numerically flatness* for principal Higgs bundles (*H-nflat*, for short).

In this setting, it is known that (i) implies either (ii) or (iii) (cfr. [8, remark 6.8]). In this paper I prove a partial inversion in three principal steps. Let \mathfrak{E} be a principal Higgs G -bundle over X :

¹ By [8, remark 3.4], when $G = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ one finds again the Grassmann bundles of the corresponding vector bundle E .

- a) if \mathfrak{E} is H-nflat then $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E})$ is H-nflat;
- b) if \mathfrak{E} is H-nflat. Either it is stable or there exists a Higgs reduction \mathfrak{E}_P of \mathfrak{E} to a parabolic subgroup P of G such that the principal L -bundle $\mathfrak{E}_L = \mathfrak{E}_P(L)$ is stable and H-nflat. Moreover, if $c_2(\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E})) = 0$ then \mathfrak{E}_L is also Hermitian flat.

From now on:

- (X, H) is an irreducible complex smooth projective variety with a polarization H ;
- ω is a Kähler form on X representing the polarization H ;
- G is a complex reductive (affine) algebraic group;
- \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G ;
- E is a principal G -bundle over X ;

unless otherwise stated.

In the next sections I recall the basic notions about the vector and principal (Higgs) bundles.

Acknowledgement. This paper is wrote under supervision of professor Ugo Bruzzo (SISSA, Trieste). I am very grateful to him for his useful remarks. This paper was finalized while I was Professor on contract at Scuola Politecnica e delle Scienze di Base of Università degli studi di Napoli “Federico II”.

Higgs bundles

Definition 1.2. A Higgs sheaf \mathfrak{V} on X is a coherent sheaf \mathcal{V} on X endowed with a morphism $\phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes \Omega_X^1$ of \mathcal{O}_X -module such that $\phi \wedge \phi = 0$. A Higgs subsheaf \mathfrak{W} of \mathcal{V} is a ϕ -invariant subsheaf \mathcal{W} of \mathcal{V} , that is, $\phi(\mathcal{W}) \subseteq \mathcal{W} \otimes \Omega_X^1$. A Higgs bundle is a Higgs sheaf \mathfrak{V} such that \mathcal{V} is a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module.

Let $\mathfrak{V} = (\mathcal{V}, \phi)$ be a Higgs sheaf over (X, H) with $\text{rank } \mathcal{V} > 0$. The *slope* of \mathcal{V} is defined as

$$\mu(\mathcal{V}) = \frac{c_1(\mathcal{V}) \cdot H^{n-1}}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{V})} \equiv \frac{\text{deg}(\mathcal{V})}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{V})}.$$

Definition 1.3. A Higgs sheaf $\mathfrak{V} = (V, \phi)$ is (semi)stable if \mathcal{V} is torsion free and for any ϕ -invariant subsheaf \mathcal{W} with $0 < \text{rank}(\mathcal{W}) < \text{rank}(\mathcal{V})$ one has

$$\mu(\mathcal{W}) < \mu(V) \text{ (respectively } \mu(\mathcal{W}) \leq \mu(V) \text{)}.$$

A Higgs sheaf is polystable if it is direct sum of stable Higgs sheaves having the same slope.

If $\mathfrak{V} = (V, \varphi)$ is a Higgs bundle, and h is an Hermitian metric on V , one defines the *Hitchin-Simpson connection* of the pair (\mathfrak{V}, h) as

$$\mathcal{D}_{(h,\varphi)} = D_h + \varphi + \bar{\varphi}$$

where D_h is the Chern connection of the Hermitian bundle (V, h) , and $\bar{\varphi}$ is the metric adjoint of φ defined as

$$h(s, \varphi(t)) = h(\bar{\varphi}(s), t)$$

for all sections s, t of V .

Definition 1.4. *The curvature $\mathcal{R}_{(h,\varphi)}$ and the mean curvature $\mathcal{K}_{(h,\varphi)}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{h,\varphi}$ are defined as usual. If $\mathcal{R}_{(h,\varphi)}$ vanishes then (\mathfrak{V}, h) is said Hermitian flat. If there exists a complex 2-form on X such that $\mathcal{R}_{(h,\varphi)} = \alpha \cdot Id_V$ then (\mathfrak{V}, h) is said projectively flat.*

By [16, theorem 1.(2)], the following theorem holds.

Theorem 1.5. *A Higgs bundle $\mathfrak{V} = (V, \varphi)$ over X is polystable if and only if it admits a Hermitian metric h such that it satisfies the Hermitian Yang-Mills condition*

$$\mathcal{K}_{(h,\varphi)} = \frac{2n\pi\mu(V)}{n! \text{Vol}(X)} \cdot Id_V \equiv \kappa \cdot Id_V,$$

where $\text{Vol}(X) = \int_X \omega^n$.

The following theorem generalize [12, theorem IV.4.7 and lemma IV.4.12]; the last one will be used to conclude the proof of main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 1.6 (Bogomolov-Lübke's inequality for Higgs bundles). *Let $\mathfrak{V} = (V, \varphi)$ be a Higgs bundle over X such that it admits a Hermitian metric h satisfying the Hermitian Yang-Mills condition, then*

$$\int_X [2rc_2(V) - (r-1)c_1(V)^2] \wedge \omega^{n-2} \geq 0$$

and the equality holds if and only if (\mathfrak{V}, h) is projectively flat.

Proof. On the space of Hermitian endomorphisms α of the Hermitian vector bundle (V, h) I introduce the norm

$$\|\alpha\| = \max_X \sqrt{\text{tr}(\alpha^2)};$$

by hypothesis $\|\mathcal{K}_{(h,\varphi)}\|^2 = r\kappa^2$ and $\sigma = \text{tr}(\mathcal{K}_{(h,\varphi)}) = r\kappa$, hence $r\|\mathcal{K}_{(h,\varphi)}\|^2 = \sigma^2$. Let $\{e_i\}$ be a local basis of sections of V and let $\{x^1, \dots, x^{2n}\}$ be real local coordinates on X ; one has

$$\mathcal{R}_{(h,\varphi)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} (\mathcal{R}_{(h,\varphi)})_{j\alpha\beta}^i e_i \otimes e_j \otimes dx^i \wedge dx^j,$$

and one puts

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{(h,\varphi)}\|^2 = \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} \left| (\mathcal{R}_{(h,\varphi)})_{j\alpha\beta}^i \right|^2, \quad \|\rho\|^2 = \sum_{i,\alpha,\beta} \left| (\mathcal{R}_{(h,\varphi)})_{i\alpha\beta}^i \right|^2.$$

Using the [12, formulas IV.4.2, IV.4.3 and IV.4.6]

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X [2rc_2(V) - (r-1)c_1(V)^2] \wedge \omega^{n-2} &= 2r \frac{1}{8\pi^2 n(n-1)} \left(\sigma^2 - \|\rho\|^2 - \|\mathcal{K}_{(h,\varphi)}\|^2 + \|\mathcal{R}_{(h,\varphi)}\|^2 \right) \int_X \omega^n + \\ &-(r-1) \frac{1}{4\pi^2 n(n-1)} (\sigma^2 - \|\rho\|^2) \int_X \omega^n = \dots = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 n(n-1)} \left(r \|\mathcal{R}_{(h,\varphi)}\|^2 - \|\rho\|^2 \right) \text{Vol}(X) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

If the equality holds then by [12, formula IV.4.6] $(\mathcal{R}_{(h,\varphi)})_{j\alpha\beta}^i = \frac{1}{r} \delta_j^i (\mathcal{R}_{(h,\varphi)})_{\alpha\beta}$.

(q.e.d.) \square

Lemma 1.7. *Let $\mathfrak{V} = (V, \varphi)$ be a Higgs bundle over X such that it admits a Hermitian metric h satisfying the Hermitian Yang-Mills condition, $\deg(V) = 0$ and $\int_X c_1(V)^2 \wedge \omega^{n-2} = 0$. Then*

$$\int_X c_2(V) \wedge \omega^{n-2} \geq 0$$

and the equality holds if and only if the Hitchin-Simpson connection of (\mathfrak{V}, h) is Hermitian flat.

Proof. By previous theorem, one has the previous inequality. If the equality holds then $(\mathcal{R}_{(h,\varphi)})_{j\alpha\beta}^i = \frac{1}{r} \delta_j^i (\mathcal{R}_{(h,\varphi)})_{\alpha\beta}$; and by definition, without change the notations by previous proof

$$\kappa = \frac{2n\pi}{n! \text{Vol}(X)} \frac{\deg(V)}{r} = 0 \Rightarrow \sigma = 0$$

that is

$$0 = \int_X c_2(V) \wedge \omega^{n-2} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2 n(n-1)} (r+1) \|\mathcal{R}_{(h,\varphi)}\|^2 \text{Vol}(X) \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{(h,\varphi)} = 0.$$

(q.e.d.) \square

Remark 1.8. The theorems 1.5, 1.6 and the lemma 1.7 hold also for Higgs bundles over compact Kähler manifolds.

\diamond

Principal Higgs bundles

Let Y be a complex variety and let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Y)$ be a representation of G as automorphisms group of Y ; one defines the vector bundle $E(\rho, Y) = E \times_\rho Y$ as the quotient $E \times Y$ under the action of G given by $(e, y) \mapsto (eg, \rho(g^{-1})y)$; in particular, if $Y = \mathfrak{g}$ and $\rho = \text{Ad}$, $E(\text{Ad}, \mathfrak{g})$ is the *adjoint bundle of E* , and it is denoted as $\text{Ad}(E)$.

Let $\text{Ad}(E)$ be the adjoint bundle of E and let Ω_X^1 be the cotangent sheaf of X . If φ and ψ are global sections of $\text{Ad}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^1$, one defines a global section $[\varphi, \psi]$ of $\text{Ad}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^2$ by combining the natural bracket $[\cdot, \cdot] : \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \text{Ad}(E) \rightarrow \text{Ad}(E)$ and the natural morphism $\pi : \Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \Omega_X^2$.

Definition 1.9. *A Higgs field φ on E is a global section of $\text{Ad}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^1$ such that $[\varphi, \varphi] = 0$. A principal Higgs G -bundle over X is a pair $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \varphi)$.*

Let A be a complex reductive (affine) algebraic group and let F be a principal A -bundle over X . A *morphism of principal Higgs bundles* $(E, \varphi) \rightarrow (F, \psi)$ is a pair (f, f') such that $f' : G \rightarrow A$ is an algebraic group homomorphism, f is an f' -equivariant morphism of bundles over X , that is, for any $e \in E$ and $g \in G$, $f(eg) = f(e)f'(g)$, and $(\tilde{f} \otimes \text{Id})(\varphi) = \psi$; where $\tilde{f} : \text{Ad}(E) \rightarrow \text{Ad}(F)$ is the induced morphism by f between the adjoint bundles given by $\tilde{f}(e, \alpha) = (f(e), f'_*(\alpha))$, and $f'_* : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ is the morphism of Lie algebras induced by f' . Let Y be a smooth complex projective variety and let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism; the *pullback principal Higgs G -bundle* $f^*\mathfrak{E}$ is the principal G -bundle f^*E equipped with the Higgs field $f^*\varphi$ given by

$$\varphi \in H^0(X, \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^1) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \text{Ad}(f^*E) \otimes f^*\Omega_X^1) \rightarrow f^*\varphi \in H^0(Y, \text{Ad}(f^*E) \otimes \Omega_Y^1),$$

where the morphisms are obvious.

Let \mathfrak{a} be the Lie algebra of A , and let $\lambda : G \rightarrow A$ a morphism of algebraic groups. In this case $E(\lambda, A)$ is called *extension (of structure group of) E to A* . Where there is not confusion, I shall write $E(\lambda)$ instead of $E(\lambda, A)$. In particular, G acts on \mathfrak{a} via $\text{Ad}_A \circ \lambda$, and one has that the \mathfrak{a} -bundle $E(\text{Ad}_A \circ \lambda, \mathfrak{a})$ is isomorphic to $\text{Ad}(F)$. Then $\mathfrak{F} = (F, \psi)$ is a principal Higgs A -bundle with Higgs field $\psi = (\tilde{f} \times \text{Id})(\varphi)$, obtained *extending the structure group G of \mathfrak{E} to A* ; where $f : E \cong E \times_G G \rightarrow F$ is the obvious morphism of principal bundles. In particular, if $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{V})$ is a linear representation of G , the Higgs field of \mathfrak{E} induces a Higgs field on the associated bundle $E \times_\rho \mathbb{V}$; moreover, if $\mathbb{V} = \mathfrak{g}$ and $\rho = \text{Ad}$ then $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E})$ is the Higgs bundle given by the adjoint bundle $\text{Ad}(E)$ with the induced Higgs field $\text{Ad}(\varphi)$.

In a specific way, the construction of *adjoint Higgs bundle* is “invertible” as the following proposition states.

Proposition 1.10. *Let E be a principal G -bundle such that $\text{Ad}(E)$ admits a Higgs field ϕ . Then there exists a Higgs field φ on E (said) induced by ϕ .*

Proof. Since $\text{Ad}(\text{Ad}(E)) \cong \text{End}(\text{Ad}(E)) \cong \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \text{Ad}(E)^\vee$, by definition $\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\cdot\phi} \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \text{Ad}(E)^\vee \otimes \Omega_X^1$ and $[\phi, \phi] = 0 \in \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \text{Ad}(E)^\vee \otimes \Omega_X^2$. Let $\cdot\varphi : \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\cdot\phi} \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \text{Ad}(E)^\vee \otimes \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \otimes Id} \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^1$, where $\pi_1 \otimes Id$ is the canonical morphism; then

$$[\varphi, \varphi] = (\pi_1 \otimes Id)([\phi, \phi]) = 0 \in \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^2,$$

that is, $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \varphi)$ is a principal Higgs G -bundle over X .

(q.e.d.) \square

Remark 1.11. Considering the principal Higgs G -bundle $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \varphi)$, by the isomorphism $\text{End}(E) \cong \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \text{Ad}(E)^\vee$, one has the canonical morphism of principal bundles $f : E \times_{\text{Ad}} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow E \times_{\text{Ad} \otimes \text{Ad}^\vee} (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^\vee)$; by construction $\text{Ad}(\varphi) = (\tilde{f} \times Id)(\varphi)$, then the Higgs field induced on E by $\text{Ad}(\varphi)$ is φ as well.

\diamond

2 The scheme of Higgs reductions

In this section, I recall the construction of the *scheme of Higgs reductions* of a principal Higgs bundles; and I use it in order to define the notion of *(semi)stable principal Higgs bundle*.

Let K be a closed subgroup of G and let E_σ be a principal K -bundle on X together with an injective equivariant principal K -bundle morphism $i_\sigma : E_\sigma \rightarrow E$: by definition E_σ is a *reduction (of the structure group) of E to K* . Moreover, these reductions are in one-to-one correspondence with sections $\sigma : X \rightarrow E \left(G/K \right) \cong E/K$. By construction there exists an injective morphism of vector bundles $\tilde{i}_\sigma : \text{Ad}(E_\sigma) \rightarrow \text{Ad}(E)$; let $\Pi_\sigma : \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \left(\text{Ad}(E) / \text{Ad}(E_\sigma) \right) \otimes \Omega_X^1$ the induced projection. Considering the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ad}(E_\sigma) \otimes \Omega_X^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_\sigma \otimes Id} & \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^1 & \xrightarrow{\Pi_\sigma} & \left(\text{Ad}(E) / \text{Ad}(E_\sigma) \right) \otimes \Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow 0, \\ & & \swarrow \cdot\varphi_\sigma & & \uparrow \cdot\varphi & & \\ & & & & \mathcal{O}_X & & \end{array}$$

where $\varphi_\sigma \in H^0(X, \text{Ad}(E_\sigma) \otimes \Omega_X^1)$ and $[\varphi_\sigma, \varphi_\sigma] = 0 \in \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^2$.

Definition 2.1 (see [8] definition 3.3 and remark 3.4). $\mathfrak{E}_\sigma = (E_\sigma, \varphi_\sigma)$ is a Higgs reduction (of structure group of) \mathfrak{E} to K if and only if the previous triangle is commutative, equivalently if and only if $\varphi \in \ker \Pi_\sigma$. σ is called a Higgs reduction of \mathfrak{E} .

Example 2.2. Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$, and let K be the canonical bundle of X . Considering the *canonical Higgs bundle* $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \varphi) = \left(K^{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus K^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$ on X of rank 2, where:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &: K^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow K^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes K \\ 1 &: K^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow K^{-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes K \cong K^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ is the identity section.} \end{aligned}$$

By definition 1.9 φ is a Higgs field; if $\omega \neq 0$ then there exists not φ -invariant line subbundle of E . If $\omega = 0$ then $K^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is the unique φ -invariant line subbundle of E , therefore $i : K^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hookrightarrow E$ is an injective equivariant principal P -bundle morphism, where P is the parabolic subgroup of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$; by previous example, $(K^{-\frac{1}{2}}, 0)$ is the Higgs reduction of \mathfrak{E} to P .

△

There is a link between the Higgs reductions of a principal Higgs bundles and the subbundles of the relevant adjoint Higgs bundle, as follows from the next proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\sigma : X \rightarrow E/K$ be reduction of $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \varphi)$ to a closed subgroup K of G . $\mathfrak{E}_\sigma = (E_\sigma, \varphi_\sigma)$ is a Higgs reduction of \mathfrak{E} if and only if $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E}_\sigma)$ is a Higgs subbundle of $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E})$.*

Proof. By definition, if \mathfrak{E}_σ is a Higgs reduction of \mathfrak{E} , then $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E}_\sigma)$ is a Higgs subbundle of $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E})$. Vice versa, if $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E}_\sigma)$ is a Higgs subbundle of $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E})$, by definition $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E}_\sigma)$ is an $\text{Ad}(\varphi_\sigma)$ -invariant subbundle and therefore φ_σ satisfies the definition 2.1; that is, \mathfrak{E}_σ is a Higgs reduction of \mathfrak{E} .

(q.e.d.) □

More in general, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\sigma : X \rightarrow E/K$ be reduction of $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \varphi)$ to a closed subgroup K of G and let E_σ the relevant principal K -bundle. If $(\text{Ad}(E_\sigma), \phi_\sigma)$ is a Higgs subbundle of $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E}) = (\text{Ad}(E), \text{Ad}(\varphi))$, then the Higgs field φ_σ induced on E_σ by ϕ_σ defines a Higgs reduction $\mathfrak{E}_\sigma = (E_\sigma, \varphi_\sigma)$ \mathfrak{E} to K .*

Proof. By proposition 1.10, one can consider the principal Higgs K -bundle $\mathfrak{E}_\sigma = (E_\sigma, \varphi_\sigma)$; considering the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ad}(E_\sigma) \otimes \text{Ad}(E_\sigma) \otimes \Omega_X^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}_\sigma} & \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^1 \\ \downarrow \pi_1 \otimes Id & \swarrow \cdot \phi_\sigma & \nearrow \cdot \text{Ad}(\varphi) \\ & \mathcal{O}_X & \\ & \swarrow \cdot \varphi_\sigma & \searrow \cdot \varphi \\ \text{Ad}(E_\sigma) \otimes \Omega_X^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_\sigma} & \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^1 \\ & & \downarrow \pi_1 \otimes Id \end{array}$$

since the square, the upper, right and left triangles are commutative (see also remark 1.11) then lower triangle is commutative; by definition 2.1 \mathfrak{E}_σ is a Higgs reduction of \mathfrak{E} to K .

(q.e.d.) \square

Let $\sigma : X \rightarrow E/K$ be a Higgs reduction of \mathfrak{E} . Given the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{E/K} & \xrightarrow{\cdot\eta(\varphi)} & T_{E/K,X} \otimes \Omega_{E/K}^1 \\ \sigma^\# \downarrow & & \uparrow \eta \otimes Id \\ \sigma_* \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \pi_K^* \text{Ad}(E) \otimes \Omega_{E/K}^1 \end{array}$$

where:

- π_K is the projection of E/K onto X ;
- γ is the canonical morphism $\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \pi_K^* \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{E/K}^1$;
- α is defined as $(Id \otimes \gamma) \circ (\cdot\pi_K^*(\varphi))$.

Since:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{E/K,X} &\cong E/K \left(\text{Ad}_K, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k} \right), \\ \pi_K^* \text{Ad}(E) &= \pi_K^* (E(\text{Ad}_G, \mathfrak{g})) = E/K(\text{Ad}_K, \mathfrak{g}) \end{aligned}$$

where \mathfrak{k} is the Lie algebra of K , there exists a natural morphism $\eta : \pi_K^* \text{Ad}(E) \rightarrow T_{E/K,X}$ and φ determines a global section $\eta(\varphi) \equiv (\eta \otimes Id) (\pi_K^* \varphi)$ of $T_{E/K,X} \otimes \Omega_{E/K}^1$; from all this: the previous diagram is well defined. Via a straightforward computation on the stalks, one proves that $\eta(\varphi)$ does not depend from σ , and proves the following statements.

Proposition 2.5 (see [8]). *A reduction $\sigma : X \rightarrow E/K$ is a Higgs reduction of $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \varphi)$ to K if and only if the scheme-theoretic image of σ is contained in the zero locus $V(\eta(\varphi))$ of $\eta(\varphi)$.*

Corollary 2.6. *$V(\eta(\varphi))$ parameterizes the Higgs reductions of \mathfrak{E} to K .*

Definition 2.7 (see [8] definition 3.5). *$V(\eta(\varphi))$ is called the scheme of Higgs reduction of $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \varphi)$ to K , and it is denoted as $\mathfrak{R}_K(\mathfrak{E})$.*

Remark 2.8. If G is the general linear group $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and P is a maximal parabolic subgroup of G , then G/P is the Grassmann variety $Gr_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of k -dimensional quotient of \mathbb{C}^n , for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, and $\mathfrak{R}_P(\mathfrak{E})$ is the Higgs-Grassmann scheme $\mathfrak{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{V})$ of rank k locally free Higgs quotients of \mathfrak{V} , the Higgs bundle corresponding to \mathfrak{E} . So a Higgs reduction σ of \mathfrak{E} corresponds to a rank $n-k$ Higgs subbundle \mathfrak{W} of \mathfrak{V} (cfr. [6] and [8, remark 3.4]).

\diamond

(Semi)Stable principal Higgs bundles

Using the scheme of Higgs reduction, I recall the definitions of (semi)stable principal Higgs bundle, given in [8] and some criteria for this.

Definition 2.9 (see [8] definition 4.1). *A principal Higgs G -bundle \mathfrak{E} on X is (semi)stable if and only for any parabolic subgroup P of G , any open subset U of X such that $\text{codim}(X \setminus U) \geq 2$, and any Higgs reduction $\sigma : U \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_P(\mathfrak{E})|_U$ of G to P on U , one has $\deg \sigma^* T_{E/P,X} > 0$ (respectively $\deg \sigma^* T_{E/P,X} \geq 0$).*

Let $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \varphi)$ be a principal Higgs G -bundle over X , let K be a closed subgroup of G . E is a principal K -bundle over E/K via the canonical projection $\pi_K : E \rightarrow E/K$. For any character χ of K , one can consider the line bundle $L_\chi = E \times_\chi \mathbb{C}$ on E/K .

Definition 2.10. *The slope of a Higgs reduction σ of \mathfrak{E} to K is the homomorphism of groups $\mu_\sigma : \chi \in \mathfrak{X}(K) \rightarrow \deg \sigma^* L_\chi \in \mathbb{Q}$; where $\mathfrak{X}(K)$ is the group of characters of K .*

Definition 2.11. *A dominant character χ of G is a character of G' (the semisimple part of G) given by a non negative linear combination of fundamental weights of \mathfrak{g}' (the Lie algebra of G') such that χ is trivial on $Z(G)_0$ (the connected component of 1_G in $Z(G)$).*

Theorem 2.12 (see [8] theorem 4.7). *Considered the following conditions:*

- a) *for every parabolic subgroup P of G and any dominant character χ of P , the line bundle L_χ^\vee restricted to $\mathfrak{R}_P(\mathfrak{E})$ is nef;*
- b) *for every morphism $f : C \rightarrow X$, where C is a complex smooth projective curve, the pullback $f^* \mathfrak{E}$ is semistable (for short, \mathfrak{E} is Higgs semistable on curves);*
- c) *\mathfrak{E} is semistable and $c_2(\text{Ad}(E)) = 0 \in H^4(X, \mathbb{R})$.*

Then the conditions (a) and (b) are equivalent, and they are both implicated by condition (c); and if $\varphi = 0$ then the condition (c) is equivalent to conditions (a) and (b).

3 H-nflat (principal) Higgs bundles

In this section, I recall the construction of the *numerically flat principal Higgs bundles* and their main properties.

Universal Higgs quotients

Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G ; a connected subgroup L of G is called *Levi factor of P* if P is the semi-direct product of L and its *unipotent radical* $R_u(P)$ (see [4, definition 11.22 and corollary 14.19]). All Levi factors of P are subgroups of P and they are conjugated by elements of $R_u(P)$ (see [4, proposition 11.23 and corollary 14.19]); they are

canonically isomorphic to $P/R_u(P)$ and therefore they are complex connected reductive algebraic groups, whose root systems are, in general, reducible: hence the Levi factor L is decomposable as $L_1 \cdot \dots \cdot L_m$, in according to the decomposition of its root system in irreducible components and any L_k is a simple Lie group (see [11, corollary in §27.5]).

Let $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{V})$ be a linear representation of G on \mathbb{V} , let \mathbb{W} be a vector subspace of \mathbb{V} , let P be a maximal parabolic subgroup of G which stabilizes \mathbb{W} ; then there is an induced action of P on \mathbb{V}/\mathbb{W} .

Definition 3.1. *A factor L_i of the Levi factor L of P is called standard quotient of P if ρ maps it injectively in $GL(\mathbb{V}/\mathbb{W})$, for some choice of ρ and \mathbb{W} .*

Let $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \varphi)$ be a principal Higgs G -bundle over X . For any closed subgroup K of G , denoted by E_K the principal K -bundle $E \rightarrow E/K$, one can consider the restriction $i^*E_K \equiv i^*E \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_K(\mathfrak{E})$ of E_K to $\mathfrak{R}_K(\mathfrak{E})$, where i is the inclusion $\mathfrak{R}_K(\mathfrak{E}) \hookrightarrow E/K$. Since $\mathfrak{R}_K(\mathfrak{E})$ parameterizes the Higgs reduction of \mathfrak{E} to K , then φ induces a Higgs field on the fibres of i^*E_K by restriction; that is, i^*E_K is a principal Higgs K -bundle over $\mathfrak{R}_K(\mathfrak{E})$, and it will be denoted as \mathfrak{E}_K . Let P be a (maximal) parabolic subgroup of G , let Q be a standard quotient of P ; let E_Q be the principal Q -bundle obtained by extending E_P to Q , via the canonical projection $P \rightarrow Q$.

Definition 3.2 (see [8] definition 5.1). *A universal Higgs quotient \mathfrak{E}_Q of \mathfrak{E} is the restriction of E_Q to the scheme of Higgs reductions $\mathfrak{R}_P(\mathfrak{E})$, equipped with the Higgs field induced by the Higgs fields of \mathfrak{E}_P by extension; here P is maximal parabolic.*

Remark 3.3. a) Without change the names from remark 2.8, a standard quotient Q of P is isomorphic to $GL(\mathbb{V}/\mathbb{W})$. In this case, the bundle of local frames of the universal Higgs quotient \mathfrak{E}_Q of \mathfrak{E} is the universal rank k Higgs quotient bundle $\mathfrak{Q}_{k, \mathfrak{R}}$ over $\mathfrak{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{R})$ (cfr. [8] remark 5.4).

b) The construction of the universal Higgs quotients is functorial (see [8] remark 5.3).

◇

H-nflat (principal) Higgs bundles

I recall the definitions of numerically effective (*H-nef*) and numerically flat (*H-nflat*) principal Higgs bundles in the case whose the structure group is an algebraic torus.

Definition 3.4 (see [8] definition 5.4). *Let T be an algebraic d -torus of G , where $\dim T = d$, let $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \varphi)$ be a principal Higgs T -bundle over X .*

a) \mathfrak{E} is Higgs-numerically effective (*H-nef*, for short) if there exists an isomorphism $\lambda : T \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d$ such that the bundle V_λ associated to E via λ is nef.

- b) \mathfrak{E} is Higgs-numerically flat (H-nflat, for short) if there exists an isomorphism $\lambda : T \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d$ such that the bundle V_λ associated to E via λ is nflat.

Remark 3.5. a) Trivially, the definitions 3.4 does not depend from the Higgs field φ of E .

- b) Equivalently, \mathfrak{E} is H-nflat if for any isomorphism $\lambda : T \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d$ the bundle V_λ associated to E via λ is nflat.

◇

By Structure Theorem for Reductive Groups (see [4, proposition in §14.2]) the following sequence

$$\{1\} \rightarrow R(G) \cap G' \rightarrow R(G) \times G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow \{1\}$$

is short exact; passing to quotients via G' (the *derived subgroup* of G), one has that $R(G)$ (the *radical* of G) is isomorphic to G/G' ; one can define $rad : G \rightarrow R(G)$ as the canonical projection of G onto $R(G)$.

Definition 3.6 (see [8] definition 5.5). *The radical of \mathfrak{E} is the principal Higgs $R(G)$ -bundle $R(\mathfrak{E}) = \mathfrak{E} \times_{rad} R(G) \cong \mathfrak{E}/G'$.*

Example 3.7. Let $\mathfrak{V} = (V, \varphi)$ be a rank n Higgs bundle and let \mathfrak{E} be the principal Higgs $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle of its local frames; then $R(\mathfrak{E})$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{E}/SL(n, \mathbb{C})$, the bundle of local frames of the determinant Higgs line bundle $\det(\mathfrak{V}) = (\det(V), \det(\varphi))$.

△

I recall that the *semi-simple rank* $\text{rank}_{ss}(G)$ of G is defined as the rank of the Lie group $G/R(G)$ (see [4, definition in §13.13]).

Definition 3.8 (see [8] definition 5.7). *A principal Higgs G -bundle \mathfrak{E} over X is Higgs-numerically effective if*

- a) $R(\mathfrak{E})$ is H-nef in according to definition 3.4;
- b) if $\text{rank}_{ss}(G) > 0$, for every maximal parabolic subgroup P of G and every standard quotient Q of G , the universal Higgs quotient \mathfrak{E}_Q is H-nef.

Moreover, \mathfrak{E} is Higgs-numerically flat if it is H-nef and $R(\mathfrak{E})$ is H-nflat.

Proposition 3.9. a) *H-nflat principal Higgs bundles are semistable ([8, proposition 5.10]).*

- b) *If a principal Higgs G -bundle $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \varphi)$ is semistable, $c_2(\text{Ad}(E)) = 0$ and $R(\mathfrak{E})$ is H-nflat, then it is H-nflat ([8, proposition 5.12]).*

Remark 3.10. a) If $G = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ one has that a Higgs bundle is H-nflat as defined in [6] if and only if the principal Higgs bundle of local frames is H-nflat.

- b) A principal Higgs bundle \mathfrak{E} is H-nflat if and only if for any morphism $f : C \rightarrow X$, where C is a smooth complex curves, $f^*\mathfrak{E}$ is H-nflat (see [8, remark 5.8.(ii)]).

◇

4 Higgs reductions and H-nflat principal Higgs bundles

In [8] was proved that a principal Higgs bundle is H-nflat if it satisfies some condition; the inverse holds only if the Higgs field vanishes. Here I prove a partial inverse implication. For this scope, I need recall the following notions on principal Higgs bundles.

Hermitian Yang-Mills-Higgs reductions for H-nflat principal Higgs bundles

Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G ; there exists an involution ι on \mathfrak{g} whose +1-eigenspace is the Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} of K (cfr. [13, theorem 10.7.2.3]), ι is called *Cartan involution of G relative to K* . Let $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \varphi)$ be a principal Higgs G -bundle over X ; one defines the following involution

$$\forall x \in X, s_x \in \text{Ad}(E)_x, \alpha_x \in \Omega_{X,x}^1, \iota_x(s_x \otimes \alpha_x) = -\iota_x(s_x) \otimes \bar{\alpha}_x.$$

Let σ be a holomorphic reduction of E to K : by [15, remark 3] there exists a unique complex connection D_σ on E which is compatible with the complex structure on E and with the reduction σ . From all this, one defines the *Hitchin-Simpson connection* $\mathcal{D}_{\sigma,\varphi}$ of $(\mathfrak{E}, \sigma) = (E, \varphi, \sigma)$ as the connection $\mathcal{D}_{\sigma,\varphi} = D_\sigma + \varphi + \iota(\varphi)$ (see [8]); moreover, by [15, corollary in §3], the previous construction holds even if K is a closed subgroup of G . Where there is no confusion about the reduction σ , I shall write \mathcal{D}_φ^K instead of $\mathcal{D}_{\sigma,\varphi}$.

Definition 4.1 (see [8] definition 6.1). *\mathfrak{E} is Hermitian flat, if there exists a reduction of its structure group to a maximal compact subgroup K of G , such that the corresponding Hitchin-Simpson connection \mathcal{D}_φ^K is flat.*

Definition 4.2. *A reduction σ of \mathfrak{E} to K is Hermitian Yang-Mills-Higgs, if σ is a Higgs reduction and there exists an element τ in the centre of \mathfrak{g} such that the mean curvature $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma,\varphi}$ (computed with ω as usual) is equal to τ .*

Following [2] and [8], I posit the next definitions.

Definition 4.3 (cfr. definitions 2.10, 2.11 and [2] definition 3.4). *A Higgs reduction σ of \mathfrak{E} to a parabolic subgroup P of G is admissible if $\mu_\sigma(\chi) = 0$ for any dominant character χ of P which vanishes on the centre of G .*

Definition 4.4 (cfr. [2] definition 3.5). *A principal Higgs G -bundle \mathfrak{E} is polystable if either it is stable or there exist a parabolic subgroup P of G and a Higgs reduction σ of \mathfrak{E} to the Levi subgroup L of P such that*

- a) *the reduced principal Higgs L -bundle \mathfrak{E}_σ is stable;*
- b) *the principal Higgs P -bundle $\mathfrak{E}_\sigma(P)$ is an admissible reduction of \mathfrak{E} to P .*

Theorem 4.5 (cfr. [8] theorem 6.4). *A principal Higgs G -bundle \mathfrak{E} is polystable if and only if it admits an Hermitian Yang-Mills-Higgs reduction to a maximal compact subgroup K of G .*

Lemma 4.6 (cfr. [8] proposition 6.5). *A principal Higgs bundle is polystable if and only if its adjoint bundle is polystable.*

Corollary 4.7. *A principal Higgs bundle \mathfrak{E} is stable if its adjoint bundle $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E})$ is stable.*

Proof. By previous lemma, \mathfrak{E} is polystable; if it is not stable, there exists a Higgs reduction σ of \mathfrak{E} to the Levi factor L of a parabolic subgroup of G , by lemma 2.4 $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E}_\sigma)$ is a Higgs subbundle of $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E})$. Since L is a reductive group, $\deg \text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E}_\sigma) = 0$ (see also [14, remark 2.2]) and this is in contradiction with the stability of $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E})$.

(q.e.d.) \square

The following lemma is the cornerstone for the main theorem of this paper.

Lemma 4.8. *Let \mathfrak{E} be a H-nflat principal Higgs G -bundle over X . Then $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E})$ is H-nflat.*

Proof. By remark 3.10.c, I can assume that X is a curve. By [2, lemma 4.7] $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E})$ is a semistable Higgs bundle; by [8, lemma A.7] $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E})$ is a H-nflat Higgs bundle.

(q.e.d.) \square

I am in position to prove the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $\mathfrak{E} = (E, \varphi)$ be a H-nflat principal Higgs G -bundle. Either it is stable or there exists a Higgs reduction σ of \mathfrak{E} to a parabolic subgroup P of G , such that the principal L -bundle $\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}_\sigma = \widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}_\sigma(L)$ is H-nflat and stable; where L is the Levi factor of P . Moreover, if $c_2(\text{Ad}(E)) = 0$ then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}_\sigma$ is also Hermitian flat.*

Proof. Without change the notations, by lemma 4.8 $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E})$ is H-nflat, so it is semistable. If it is stable, by corollary 4.7 \mathfrak{E} is stable. Otherwise, by [5, theorem 3.2] it has a Jordan-Hölder filtration

$$0 \subset \mathfrak{Y}_0 \subset \mathfrak{Y}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{Y}_{m-1} \subset \text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E})$$

whose quotients $\mathfrak{Q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{Q}_{n+1}$ are locally free, stable and H-nflat. The analysis made in the proof of [2, proposition 2.10] proves that the previous filtration has an odd number of terms, and the middle term \mathfrak{Y}_k is isomorphic to a Higgs subbundle $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E}_\sigma)$ of $\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{E})$; by lemma 2.4 $\mathfrak{E}_\sigma = (E_\sigma, \varphi_\sigma)$ is a Higgs reduction of \mathfrak{E} , whose structure group is a parabolic subgroup P of G .

Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}_\sigma = (\widetilde{E}_\sigma, \widetilde{\varphi}_\sigma)$ be the principal Higgs L -bundle obtained by extending \mathfrak{E}_σ to L . It turns out that $\text{Ad}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}_\sigma)$ is isomorphic to the quotient \mathfrak{Q}_k , which is stable and H-nflat. By previous corollary $\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}_\sigma$ is stable.

By remark 3.10.b I can assume that X is a curve. Considering the homomorphism of Lie groups

$$L \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}) \times R(L) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{l}) \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \xrightarrow{i} \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathbb{C}^d)$$

with $\alpha = (\text{Ad}_L, \text{rad})$, gives an injective Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\alpha_* : \mathfrak{l} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{l}) \oplus \mathfrak{r}(L)$$

where \mathfrak{l} and $\mathfrak{r}(L)$ are the Lie algebras of L and $R(L)$, respectively. Let $\mathfrak{V} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}_\sigma(\alpha) = \text{Ad}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}_\sigma) \oplus \mathfrak{W}$; because the vector bundle \mathfrak{W} is associated to $R(\widetilde{E}_\sigma)$ via an isomorphism of $R(L)$ to a torus, by [1, corollary 2.2] it is stable and $\deg W = 0$; by [7, proposition 3.17] and definition 3.4 $R(\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}_\sigma)$ is H-nflat, and by proposition 3.9.b $\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}_\sigma$ is H-nflat.

From now on, let $c_2(\text{Ad}(E)) = 0$; applying [7, theorem 3.16] and [5, theorem 5.2], one has that $\text{Ad}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}_\sigma)$ is Hermitian flat, in particular $c_2(\text{Ad}(\widetilde{E}_\sigma)) = 0$. Since $\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}_\sigma$ is also polystable, by theorem 4.5, it admits a reduction to a maximal compact subgroup of L such that the corresponding Hitchin-Simpson connection \mathcal{D} satisfies the Hermitian Yang-Mills-Higgs condition. Without change the notations, considering $\mathfrak{V} = \text{Ad}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}_\sigma) \oplus \mathfrak{W}$, again one has that \mathfrak{W} is H-nflat, because the underlying vector bundle W is nflat; so, by theorem 2.12 $\Delta(W) = 0$ and $c_2(W) = 0$, these conditions imply that $c_1(W)^2 = 0$. \mathcal{D} induces Hitchin-Simpson connections on $\text{Ad}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}_\sigma)$ and \mathfrak{W} ; since both are polystable Higgs bundles, by theorem 1.5 and lemma 1.7, these are Hermitian flat; since α_* is injective, \mathcal{D} is Hermitian flat.

(q.e.d.) \square

For simplicity, let $\text{Gr}(\mathfrak{E})$ be the principal Higgs G -bundle obtained extending $\widetilde{\mathfrak{E}}_\sigma$ to G .

Corollary 4.10. *If \mathfrak{E} is H-nflat then the cohomology ring $H^*(\mathfrak{E}, \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $H^*(\text{Gr}(\mathfrak{E}), \mathbb{R})$. And if $c_2(\text{Ad}(E)) = 0$ then this ring is trivial.*

Proof. In some sense, continuing the previous proof, let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{W})$ be a faithful representation of G and let $\mathfrak{V} = \mathfrak{E}(\rho)$ be the Higgs bundle associated to \mathfrak{E} via ρ . Miming the proof of [3, lemma 3.1], there exists a flag $0 = \mathbb{W}_0 \subset \mathbb{W}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{W}_{m-1} \subset \mathbb{W}_m = \mathbb{W}$ of P -modules, such that $R_u(P)$ acts trivially on the quotients. Thus $\rho(P)$ is contained in a parabolic subgroup Q of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{W})$ and $\rho(L)$ is contained in the Levi subgroup of Q . The graded module $\text{Gr}(\mathfrak{V})$ of the filtration of \mathfrak{V} corresponding to Q is isomorphic to the Higgs bundle $(\text{Gr}(\mathfrak{E}))(\rho)$ and, on the other hand, it is homeomorphic to \mathfrak{V} . Since this holds for any ρ , one has that \mathfrak{E} and $\text{Gr}(\mathfrak{E})$ are homeomorphic; from this follows the claim.

(q.e.d.) \square

References

- [1] B. Anichouche, H. Azad, I. Biswas - *Holomorphic principal bundles over a compact Kähler manifold*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Sér. I **330** (2000) 109-114
- [2] B. Anichouche, I. Biswas - *Einstein-Hermitian Connections on Polystable Principal Bundles over a Compact Kähler Manifold*, American Journal of Mathematics **123** (2001) 207-228
- [3] I. Biswas, U. Bruzzo - *On semistable principal bundles over complex projective manifolds, II*, Geom. Dedicata **146** (2009) 27-41
- [4] A. Borel (1991) *Linear Algebraic Groups, II Edition*, Springer-Verlag
- [5] U. Bruzzo, A. Capasso - *Filtrations of numerically flat Higgs bundles and curve semistable Higgs bundles on Calabi-Yau manifolds*, arXiv:1904.10069 [math.AG]
- [6] U. Bruzzo, B. Graña Otero - *Numerically Flat Higgs bundles*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **9** (2007), 437-446
- [7] U. Bruzzo, B. Graña Otero - *Metrics on Semistable and Numerically Effective Higgs Bundles*, J. reine ang. Math. **612** (2007), 59-79
- [8] U. Bruzzo, B. Graña Otero - *Semistable and Numerically Effective Principal (Higgs) Bundles*, Advances in Mathematics **226** (2011) 3655-3676
- [9] F. Campana, T. Peternell - *Projective manifolds whose tangent bundles are numerically effective*, Math. Ann. **289** (1991) 169-187
- [10] J.-P. Demailly, T. Peternell, M. Schneider - *Compact complex manifolds with numerically effective tangent bundles*, J. Algebraic Geom. **3** (1994) 295-345
- [11] J. E. Humphreys (1995) *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Springer-Verlag
- [12] S. Kobayashi (1987) *Differential geometry of complex vector bundles*, Iwanami Shoten Publishers and Princeton University Press
- [13] C. Procesi (2007) *Lie Groups: An Approach through Invariants and Representations*, Springer
- [14] A. Ramanathan - *Stable Principal bundles over a Compact Riemann Surface*, Mathematische Annalen **213** (1975) 129-152
- [15] A. Ramanathan, S. Subramanian - *Einstein-Hermitian connections on principal bundles and stability*, J. reine ang. Math. **390** (1988) 21-31

- [16] C. T. Simpson - *Higgs Bundles and Local Systems*,
Publications Mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S. **75** (1992) 5-95