

Recollements arising from cotorsion pairs on extriangulated categories[★]

Yonggang Hu and Panyue Zhou

Abstract

This paper is devoted to constructing some recollements of additive categories associated to concentric twin cotorsion pairs on an extriangulated category. As an application, this result generalizes the work by Chen-Liu-Yang in a triangulated case. Moreover, it highlights new phenomena when it applied to an exact category. Finally, we give some applications to illustrate our main results. In particular, we obtain the Krause's recollement whose the proofs are both elementary and very general.

Key words: extriangulated categories; recollements; cotorsion pairs; adjoint pairs.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13D30; 18E05; 18E30; 18E10.

1 Introduction

The recollement of triangulated categories was introduced in a geometric setting by Beilinson, Bernstein and Deligne [BBD]. Nowadays it has become very powerful in understanding relationships among three algebraic, geometric or topological objects. A fundamental example of the recollement of abelian categories is due to MacPherson and Vilonen [MV], in which it first appeared as an inductive step in the construction of perverse sheaves. Later, Wang and Lin [WL] defined the notion of recollement of additive categories, which unifies the recollement of abelian categories and the recollement of triangulated categories. Recently, Chen, Liu and Yang [CLY] introduced localization sequences and colocalization sequences of additive categories which are similar to lower recollements and upper recollements of triangulated categories respectively. They consider recollements of additive categories by cotorsion pairs in triangulated categories. Based on this fact that a co- t -structure is a special cotorsion pair, they give some recollements of additive categories associated to concentric twin cotorsion pairs in a triangulated category by doing quotient.

Extriangulated categories were recently introduced by Nakaoka and Palu [NP] by extracting those properties of Ext^1 on exact categories (which is itself a generalisation of the concept of a module category and an abelian category) and on triangulated categories that seem relevant

[★]Yonggang Hu was supported by the China Scholarship Council (CSC) and Panyue Zhou was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11901190 and 11671221), and by the Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 2018JJ3205), and by the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (Grant No. 19B239).

from the point of view of cotorsion pairs. In particular, exact categories and triangulated categories are extriangulated categories. There are a lot of examples of extriangulated categories which are neither exact categories nor triangulated categories, see [NP, ZZ]. Hence, many results hold on exact categories and triangulated categories can be unified in the same framework. Based on this idea, we extend Chen-Liu-Yang's results to extriangulated categories.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. (See Theorem 3.8 for more details) *Let \mathcal{C} be an extriangulated category with enough projectives and enough injectives, and $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}), (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be cotorsion pairs on \mathcal{C} with $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$. If $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{T}, \Sigma\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and $\Sigma\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$, then there are two recollements of additive categories as follows:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \xleftarrow{F_\lambda} & & \xleftarrow{G_\lambda} & \\ \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{G} & (\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U})/\mathcal{W}, \\ & \xleftarrow{F_\delta} & & \xleftarrow{G_\delta} & \\ \\ & \xleftarrow{F_\lambda} & & \xleftarrow{G'_\lambda} & \\ \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{G'} & \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W}, \\ & \xleftarrow{F_\delta} & & \xleftarrow{G'_\delta} & \end{array}$$

where F, G_λ and G_δ are the full embeddings.

Our main result generalizes Chen-Liu-Yang's results on a triangulated category and is new for an exact category case. In particular, applying our main results to complex categories, we reobtain the Krause's recollement. In fact, without considering homotopy categories, we reprove the existence of Krause's recollement by our main results.

This article is organised as follows: In Section 2, we review some basic concepts and results concerning extriangulated categories. In Section 3, we show our main results. In Section 4, we give some applications to illustrate our main results.

2 Preliminaries

Let us briefly recall some definitions and basic properties of extriangulated categories from [NP]. We omit some details here, but the reader can find them in [NP].

Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category equipped with an additive bifunctor

$$\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ab},$$

where Ab is the category of abelian groups. For any objects $A, C \in \mathcal{C}$, an element $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ is called an \mathbb{E} -extension. Let \mathfrak{s} be a correspondence which associates an equivalence class

$$\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C]$$

to any \mathbb{E} -extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$. This \mathfrak{s} is called a *realization* of \mathbb{E} , if it makes the diagrams in [NP, Definition 2.9] commutative. A triplet $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is called an *extriangulated category* if it satisfies the following conditions.

- (1) $\mathbb{E}: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is an additive bifunctor.
- (2) \mathfrak{s} is an additive realization of \mathbb{E} .
- (3) \mathbb{E} and \mathfrak{s} satisfy the compatibility conditions in [NP, Definition 2.12].

Remark 2.1. Note that both exact categories and triangulated categories are extriangulated categories, see [NP, Example 2.13] and extension closed subcategories of triangulated categories are again extriangulated, see [NP, Remark 2.18]. Moreover, there exist extriangulated categories which are neither exact categories nor triangulated categories, see [NP, Proposition 3.30] and [ZZ, Example 4.14].

We will use the following terminology.

Definition 2.2. [NP] Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category.

- (1) A sequence $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ is called a *conflation* if it realizes some \mathbb{E} -extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$. In this case, x is called an *inflation* and y is called a *deflation*.
- (2) If a conflation $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ realizes $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$, we call the pair $(A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C, \delta)$ an \mathbb{E} -triangle, and write it in the following way.

$$A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$$

We usually do not write this “ δ ” if it is not used in the argument.

- (3) Let $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ and $A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C' \overset{\delta'}{\dashrightarrow}$ be any pair of \mathbb{E} -triangles. If a triplet (a, b, c) realizes $(a, c): \delta \rightarrow \delta'$, then we write it as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow c \\ A' & \xrightarrow{x'} & B' & \xrightarrow{y'} & C' \overset{\delta'}{\dashrightarrow} \end{array}$$

and call (a, b, c) a *morphism of \mathbb{E} -triangles*.

- (4) An object $P \in \mathcal{C}$ is called *projective* if for any \mathbb{E} -triangle $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ and any morphism $c \in \mathcal{C}(P, C)$, there exists $b \in \mathcal{C}(P, B)$ satisfying $yb = c$. We denote the subcategory of projective objects by $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Dually, the subcategory of injective objects is denoted by $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.
- (5) We say that \mathcal{C} has enough projective objects if for any object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists an \mathbb{E} -triangle $A \xrightarrow{x} P \xrightarrow{y} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ satisfying $P \in \mathcal{P}$. We can define the notion of having enough injectives dually.

Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category. By Yoneda's lemma, any \mathbb{E} -extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ induces natural transformations

$$\delta_{\#}: \mathcal{C}(-, C) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(-, A) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^{\#}: \mathcal{C}(A, -) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(C, -).$$

For any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, these $(\delta_{\#})_X$ and $\delta_X^{\#}$ are given as follows:

- (1) $(\delta_{\#})_X: \mathcal{C}(X, C) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X, A); f \mapsto f^* \delta.$
- (2) $\delta_X^{\#}: \mathcal{C}(A, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(C, X); g \mapsto g_* \delta.$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category, and*

$$A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \dashrightarrow^{\delta} \triangleright$$

an \mathbb{E} -triangle. Then we have the following long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(-, A) &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(-, x)} \mathcal{C}(-, B) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(-, y)} \mathcal{C}(-, C) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\#}^{\#}} \mathbb{E}(-, A) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}(-, x)} \mathbb{E}(-, B) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}(-, y)} \mathbb{E}(-, C); \\ \mathcal{C}(C, -) &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(y, -)} \mathcal{C}(B, -) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(x, -)} \mathcal{C}(A, -) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\#}^{\#}} \mathbb{E}(C, -) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}(y, -)} \mathbb{E}(B, -) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}(x, -)} \mathbb{E}(A, -). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.11 in [NP]. \square

Now we recall higher extension groups from [LN].

Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category with enough projectives and enough injectives. For two subcategories \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} of \mathcal{C} , $\text{Cone}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is defined to be the subcategory of \mathcal{C} , consisting of objects C which admits an \mathbb{E} -triangle $X \xrightarrow{x} Y \xrightarrow{y} C \dashrightarrow^{\delta} \triangleright$ where $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. Dually we can define $\text{CoCone}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$.

For a subcategory $\mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, put $\Omega^0 \mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_1$, and define $\Omega^i \mathcal{C}_1$ for $i > 0$ inductively by

$$\Omega^i \mathcal{C}_1 = \Omega(\Omega^{i-1} \mathcal{C}_1) = \text{CoCone}(\mathcal{P}, \Omega^{i-1} \mathcal{C}_1).$$

We call $\Omega^i \mathcal{C}_1$ the i -th syzygy of \mathcal{C}_1 . Dually we define the i -th cosyzygy $\Sigma^i \mathcal{C}_1$ by $\Sigma^0 \mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_1$ and $\Sigma^i \mathcal{C}_1 = \text{Cone}(\Sigma^{i-1} \mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{I})$ for $i > 0$.

Let X be any object in \mathcal{C} . It admits an \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$X \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow \Sigma X \dashrightarrow \quad (\text{resp. } \Omega X \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow X \dashrightarrow),$$

where $I^0 \in \mathcal{I}$ (resp. $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}$). We can obtain \mathbb{E} -triangles

$$\Sigma^i X \rightarrow I^i \rightarrow \Sigma^{i+1} X \dashrightarrow \quad (\text{resp. } \Omega^{i+1} \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow \Omega^i X \dashrightarrow),$$

for $i > 0$ recursively.

Liu and Nakaoka [LN] defined higher extension groups as

$$\mathbb{E}^{i+1}(X, Y) := \mathbb{E}(X, \Sigma^i Y) \cong \mathbb{E}(\Omega^i X, Y)$$

for $i \geq 0$, and proved the following.

Lemma 2.4. [LN, Proposition 5.2] *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category with enough projectives and enough injectives, and*

$$A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta} \triangleright$$

an \mathbb{E} -triangle. Then we have the following long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^i(-, A) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^i(-, B) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^i(-, C) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{i+1}(-, A) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{i+1}(-, B) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{i+1}(-, C) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (i \geq 1);$$

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^i(C, -) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^i(B, -) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^i(A, -) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{i+1}(C, -) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{i+1}(B, -) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{i+1}(A, -) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (i \geq 1).$$

Remark 2.5. [ZhZ, Lemma 2.14] Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category with enough projectives and enough injectives. Then

- (a) P is projective object in \mathcal{C} if and only if $\mathbb{E}^i(P, C) = 0$, for any $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $i \geq 1$.
- (b) I is injective object in \mathcal{C} if and only if $\mathbb{E}^i(C, I) = 0$, for any $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $i \geq 1$.

Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category. For two objects A, B in \mathcal{C} denote by $\mathcal{X}(A, B)$ the subgroup of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ consisting of those morphisms which factor through an object in \mathcal{X} . Denote by \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} the *quotient category* of \mathcal{C} modulo \mathcal{X} : the objects are the same as the ones in \mathcal{C} , for two objects A and B the Hom space is given by the quotient group $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)/\mathcal{X}(A, B)$. Note that the quotient category \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} is an additive category. We denote \bar{f} the image of $f: A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} .

Remark 2.6. If \mathcal{X} is closed under direct summands, for any $C \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $C \cong 0$ in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} if and only if $C \in \mathcal{X}$.

Definition 2.7. [NP, Definition 4.1] Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category. Let \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} be two subcategories of \mathcal{C} . We call $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ a *cotorsion pair* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) = 0$.
- (b) For any object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, there are two \mathbb{E} -triangles

$$V_C \rightarrow U_C \rightarrow C \dashrightarrow \quad \text{and} \quad C \rightarrow V^C \rightarrow U^C \dashrightarrow$$

satisfying $U_C, U^C \in \mathcal{U}$ and $V_C, V^C \in \mathcal{V}$.

By definition of a cotorsion pair, we can immediately conclude:

Remark 2.8. Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category. Let $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ be a cotorsion pair on \mathcal{C} . Then

- (a) C belongs to \mathcal{U} if and only if $\mathbb{E}(C, V) = 0$;
- (b) C belongs to \mathcal{V} if and only if $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{U}, C) = 0$;
- (c) \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} are closed under direct sums, direct summands and extensions.

Definition 2.9. [NP, Definition 4.12] Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category. Let $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$ and $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ be cotorsion pairs on \mathcal{C} . Then the pair $TCP := ((\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}), (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}))$ is called a

twin cotorsion pair if it satisfies $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}) = 0$. Note that this condition is equivalent to $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, and also to $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. If it moreover it satisfies $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}$, then TCP is called a *concentric twin cotorsion pair*.

Lemma 2.10. *Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category. Let $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ be a cotorsion pair on \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}$. Then $(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{W})(\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W}) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(U, V)$ be any morphism, where $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}$. By definition of a cotorsion pair, there exists an \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$V' \xrightarrow{x} U' \xrightarrow{y} V \xrightarrow{\delta} \rightarrow$$

where $V' \in \mathcal{V}$ and $U' \in \mathcal{U}$. Since \mathcal{V} is closed under extensions, we have $U' \in \mathcal{V}$ and then $U' \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{W}$. Applying the functor $\mathcal{C}(U, -)$ to the \mathbb{E} -triangle $V' \xrightarrow{x} U' \xrightarrow{y} V \xrightarrow{\delta} \rightarrow$, by Lemma 2.3, we have the following exact sequence:

$$\mathcal{C}(U, U') \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(U, y)} \mathcal{C}(U, V) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(U, V') = 0.$$

It follows that there exists a morphism $a: U \rightarrow U'$ such that $f = y \circ a$. Since $U' \in \mathcal{W}$, this means $\overline{f} = 0$. \square

Lemma 2.11. *Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category. Let $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ be a cotorsion pair on \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}$, and let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be any morphism in \mathcal{C} .*

(1) *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} V_A \rightarrow U_A &\xrightarrow{u_A} A \dashrightarrow \\ V_B \rightarrow U_B &\xrightarrow{u_B} B \dashrightarrow \end{aligned}$$

be any \mathbb{E} -triangles satisfying $U_A, U_B \in \mathcal{U}$ and $V_A, V_B \in \mathcal{V}$. Then there exists a morphism $f_U \in \mathcal{C}(U_A, U_B)$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f \circ u_A & = & u_B \circ f_U \\ U_A & \xrightarrow{u_A} & A \\ f_U \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow f \\ U_B & \xrightarrow{u_B} & B \end{array}$$

Moreover, f_U with this property is unique in $(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{W})(U_A, U_B)$.

(2) *Dually, for any \mathbb{E} -triangles*

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow V'_A \rightarrow U'_A \dashrightarrow \\ B &\rightarrow V'_B \rightarrow U'_B \dashrightarrow \end{aligned}$$

with $U'_A, U'_B \in \mathcal{U}$ and $V'_A, V'_B \in \mathcal{V}$, there exists a morphism $f'_V \in \mathcal{C}(V'_A, V'_B)$ compatible with f , uniquely up to \mathcal{W} .

Proof. We only show (1). Existence immediately follows from $\mathbb{E}(U_A, V_B) = 0$. Moreover if f_U^1 and f_U^2 in $\mathcal{C}(U_A, U_B)$ satisfies

$$f_U^1 \circ u_A = u_B \circ f_U = f_U^2 \circ u_A,$$

then by $(f_U^1 - f_U^2) \circ u_A = 0$, there exists $w \in \mathcal{C}(U_A, V_B)$ such that $f_U^1 - f_U^2$ factors through w .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & U_A & \xrightarrow{u_A} & A \\ & \swarrow w & \downarrow f_U^1 - f_U^2 & & \downarrow f \\ V_B & \longrightarrow & U_B & \longrightarrow & B \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \dashrightarrow \end{array}$$

By Lemma 2.10 we have $\overline{w} = 0$, and then $\overline{f_U^1} = \overline{f_U^2}$. □

Lemma 2.12. *Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category. Let $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ be a cotorsion pair on \mathcal{C} , $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}$ and C be any object in \mathcal{C} .*

(1) *For any \mathbb{E} -triangles*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V \rightarrow U & \xrightarrow{u} & C \dashrightarrow \\ V' \rightarrow U' & \xrightarrow{u'} & C \dashrightarrow \end{array}$$

satisfying $U, U' \in \mathcal{U}$ and $V, V' \in \mathcal{V}$, there exists a morphism $s \in \mathcal{C}(U, U')$ compatible with u and u' , such that \overline{s} is an isomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & & U \\ \Downarrow \overline{s} & & \downarrow s \\ U' & & U' \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & u & \\ & \searrow & \\ & \circlearrowleft & \\ & \nearrow & \\ & u' & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} C \\ \\ C \end{array}$$

(2) *Dually, those V appearing in \mathbb{E} -triangles*

$$C \rightarrow V \rightarrow U \dashrightarrow \quad \text{where } U \in \mathcal{U}, V \in \mathcal{V}$$

are isomorphic in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{W} .

Proof. This immediately follows from Lemma 2.11. □

3 Recollements of additive categories

In this section, we will prove our main results, and need to do some preparations as follows.

Definition 3.1. [WL, Definition 2.1] and [CLY, Definition 3.1] Let $\mathcal{A}' \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{A}''$ be a sequence of additive functors between additive categories. We say it is a *localization sequence* if the following conditions hold:

(L1) The functor F is fully faithful and has a right adjoint F_δ .

(L2) The functor G has a fully faithful right adjoint G_δ .

(L3) There exists an equality of additive subcategories $\text{Im} F = \text{Ker} G$, where

$$\text{Im} F = \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid A \cong F(X) \text{ for some } X \in \mathcal{A}'\} \text{ and } \text{Ker} G = \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid G(A) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{A}''\}.$$

Colocalization sequence of additive categories is defined dually. A sequence of additive categories $\mathcal{A}' \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{A}''$ is called a *recollement* if it is both a localization sequence and a colocalization sequence.

From now on, we assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category with enough projectives and enough injectives.

Definition 3.2. [B, Definition 3.1.1] Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be additive categories and $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ a functor. For any $B \in \mathcal{B}$, a *reflection* of B along F is a pair (Q_B, η_B) of $Q_B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\eta_B \in \mathcal{B}(B, F(Q_B))$, satisfying the universality that for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and any $b \in \mathcal{B}(B, F(A))$, there exists a unique morphism $a \in \mathcal{A}(Q_B, A)$ such that $F(a) \circ \eta_B = b$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{\eta_B} & F(Q_B) \\ & \searrow b & \swarrow F(a) \\ & F(A) & \end{array}$$

A *coreflection* is defined dually.

Lemma 3.3. Let $((\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}), (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}))$ be a concentric twin cotorsion pair on \mathcal{C} with $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T}$. Then the inclusion $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W}$ admits an additive left adjoint $F_\lambda: \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W}$. Indeed, for any $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$T \xrightarrow{v_T} V_T \longrightarrow U_T \dashrightarrow$$

with $U_T \in \mathcal{U}$ and $V_T \in \mathcal{V}$, $\overline{v_T}: T \rightarrow V_T$ gives a reflection of T along the inclusion $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W}$, where $F_\lambda(T) = V_T$.

Proof. It is enough to prove that for any $T \in \mathcal{T}, V \in \mathcal{V}$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(T, V)$, there exists a morphism $g \in \mathcal{C}(V_T, V)$ such that $f = g \circ v_T$, uniquely in $(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{W})(V_T, V)$.

Applying the functor $\mathcal{C}(-, V)$ to the \mathbb{E} -triangle $T \xrightarrow{v_T} V_T \longrightarrow U_T \dashrightarrow$, we have the following exact sequence:

$$\mathcal{C}(V_T, V) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(v_T, V)} \mathcal{C}(T, V) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(U_T, V) = 0.$$

Thus there exists a morphism $g \in \mathcal{C}(V_T, V)$ such that $f = g \circ v_T$ and then $\overline{f} = \overline{g} \circ \overline{v_T}$.

To prove uniqueness now, suppose that there exists a morphism $g \in \mathcal{C}(V_T, V)$ such that $\overline{f} = \overline{g'} \circ \overline{v_T}$. Then $(\overline{g} - \overline{g'}) \circ \overline{v_T} = 0$, that is, $(g - g') \circ v_T$ factors through some $W_0 \in \mathcal{W}$. Let $(g - g') \circ v_T = b \circ a$ with $a: T \rightarrow W_0$ and $b: W_0 \rightarrow V$.

Applying the functor $\mathcal{C}(-, W_0)$ to the \mathbb{E} -triangle $T \xrightarrow{v_T} V_T \longrightarrow U_T \dashrightarrow$, we have the following exact sequence:

$$\mathcal{C}(V_T, W_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(v_T, W_0)} \mathcal{C}(T, W_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(U_T, W_0) = 0.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $((\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}), (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}))$ be a concentric twin cotorsion pair on \mathcal{C} with $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T}$. If $\Sigma\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, then there exists the following colocalization sequence of additive categories:*

where F and G_λ are the full embeddings.

$$V_0 \longrightarrow W_0 \longrightarrow V \dashrightarrow$$
$$V_T \longrightarrow Z_T \longrightarrow T \dashrightarrow$$
$$\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{U}, Z_T) = 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{U}, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{U}, \Sigma V_T) = 0.$$
$$Y_T \longrightarrow V_T \xrightarrow{x_T} T \dashrightarrow$$

with $Y_T \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $V_T \in \mathcal{V}, \overline{x_T}: V_T \rightarrow T$ gives a coreflection of T along the inclusion $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W}$, where $F_\delta(T) = V_T$.

Proof. For any $T \in \mathcal{T}$, there exists an \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$Y_T \longrightarrow V_T \xrightarrow{x_T} T \dashrightarrow$$

with $Y_T \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $V_T \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ and \mathcal{T} is closed under extensions, we have $V_T \in \mathcal{T}$. Thus $V_T \in \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{V}$. The remaining proof is similar to Lemma 3.3. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let $((\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}), (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}))$ a concentric twin cotorsion pair on \mathcal{C} with $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T}$. Then the inclusion $\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W}$ admits an additive left adjoint $G': \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W}$. Indeed, for any $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and \mathbb{E} -triangle*

$$T \xrightarrow{y_T} Y_T \longrightarrow X_T \dashrightarrow$$

with $Y_T \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $X_T \in \mathcal{X}$, $\overline{y_T}: T \rightarrow Y_T$ gives a reflection of T along the inclusion $\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W}$, where $G'(T) = Y_T$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 3.3. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ be a cotorsion pair and $((\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}), (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}))$ a concentric twin cotorsion pair on \mathcal{C} with $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T}$. If $\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{V}$ and $\Sigma\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$, then there exists the following localization sequence of additive categories:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{G'} & \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W} \\ & \searrow F_\delta & & \nwarrow G'_\delta & \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

where F and G'_δ are the full embeddings.

Proof. Suppose that $F: \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W}$ and $G'_\delta: \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W}$ are the full embeddings. Let F_δ and G' be as in Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6, respectively. Then (F, F_δ) and (G', G'_δ) are adjoint pairs. Next we claim that $\text{Im} F = \text{Ker} G'$. Obviously, $\text{Im} F = \mathcal{V}$. For any $V \in \mathcal{V}$, there exists an \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$V \longrightarrow Y_V \longrightarrow X_V \dashrightarrow$$

with $Y_V \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $X_V \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{V}$ and \mathcal{X} is closed under extensions, $Y_V \in \mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{W}$. Since $G'(V) = Y_V$, $V \in \text{Ker} G'$ by Remark 2.6. Then $\text{Im} F \subseteq \text{Ker} G'$. On the other hand, for any $T \in \text{Ker} G'$, $G'(T) = Y_T$ appearing in an \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$T \longrightarrow Y_T \longrightarrow X_T \dashrightarrow$$

$Y_T \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $X_T \in \mathcal{X}$. Then $Y_T \in \mathcal{W}$.

Applying the functor $\mathcal{C}(-, \mathcal{Y})$ to the \mathbb{E} -triangle $T \longrightarrow Y_T \longrightarrow X_T \dashrightarrow$, by Lemma 2.5, we have the following exact sequence:

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_T, \mathcal{Y}) = 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}(T, \mathcal{Y}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}(X_T, \Sigma\mathcal{Y}) = 0.$$

It follows that $\mathbb{E}(T, \mathcal{Y}) = 0$ and then $T \in \mathcal{X}$. Thus $T \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{V}$, namely, $T \in \text{Im} F$. So $\text{Im} F = \text{Ker} G'$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}), (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be cotorsion pairs on \mathcal{C} with $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$. If $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{T}, \Sigma \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and $\Sigma \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$, then there are two recollements of additive categories as follows:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \xleftarrow{F_\lambda} & & \xleftarrow{G_\lambda} & \\ \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{G} & (\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U})/\mathcal{W}, \\ & \xleftarrow{F_\delta} & & \xleftarrow{G_\delta} & \\ & \xleftarrow{F_\lambda} & & \xleftarrow{G'_\lambda} & \\ \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{G'} & \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W}, \\ & \xleftarrow{F_\delta} & & \xleftarrow{G'_\delta} & \end{array}$$

where F, G_λ and G_δ are the full embeddings.

Proof. Define $J: (\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U})/\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W}$ in the following way. For any $M \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U}$, $J(M) = Y_M$ appearing in an \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$M \xrightarrow{y_M} Y_M \longrightarrow X_M \dashrightarrow$$

with $Y_M \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $X_M \in \mathcal{X}$. Applying the functor $\mathcal{C}(-, Y_{M'})$ to the above \mathbb{E} -triangle, we have the following exact sequence:

$$\mathcal{C}(Y_M, Y_{M'}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(y_M, W_0)} \mathcal{C}(M, Y_{M'}) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X_M, Y_{M'}) = 0.$$

Thus for any $f \in (\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U})/\mathcal{W}(M, M')$, there exists a morphism $f_M \in \mathcal{C}(Y_M, Y_{M'})$ such that $y_{M'} \circ f = f_M \circ y_M$. Hence we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xrightarrow{y_M} & Y_M & \longrightarrow & X_M \dashrightarrow \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f_M & & \downarrow \\ M' & \xrightarrow{y_{M'}} & Y_{M'} & \longrightarrow & X_{M'} \dashrightarrow \end{array}$$

of \mathbb{E} -triangles. Define $J(f) = f_M$. By Lemma 2.11 and Lemma 2.12, J is well defined and is an additive functor.

Define $K: \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W} \rightarrow (\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U})/\mathcal{W}$ in the following way. For any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, $K(Y) = U_Y$ appearing in an \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$V_Y \longrightarrow U_Y \xrightarrow{u_Y} Y \dashrightarrow$$

with $V_Y \in \mathcal{V}$ and $U_Y \in \mathcal{U}$. Since $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ and \mathcal{T} is closed under extensions, $U_Y \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U}$. For any $g \in (\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W})(Y, Y')$, since $\mathbb{E}(U_Y, V_{Y'}) = 0$, there exists a morphism $g_Y \in \mathcal{C}(U_Y, U_{Y'})$ such that $u_{Y'} \circ g_Y = g \circ u_Y$. Hence we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V_Y & \longrightarrow & U_Y & \xrightarrow{u_Y} & Y \dashrightarrow \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow g_Y & & \downarrow g \\ V_{Y'} & \longrightarrow & U_{Y'} & \xrightarrow{u_{Y'}} & Y' \dashrightarrow \end{array}$$

of \mathbb{E} -triangles. Define $K(g) = g_Y$. By Lemma 2.11 and Lemma 2.12, K is well defined and is an additive functor. Now, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} & \xleftarrow{F_\lambda} & \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W} & \xleftarrow{G_\lambda} & (\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U})/\mathcal{W} \\
 \uparrow id & & \uparrow id & & \uparrow J \\
 \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} & \xleftarrow{F} & \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W} & \xleftarrow{G} & (\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U})/\mathcal{W} \\
 \downarrow id & & \downarrow id & & \downarrow K \\
 \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} & \xleftarrow{F_\rho} & \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W} & \xleftarrow{G'_\rho} & \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W}
 \end{array}$$

For any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, since $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Y \dashrightarrow$ is an \mathbb{E} -triangle, we have $G'(Y) = Y$. So G' is dense. Then there exists a natural isomorphism from the composite functor $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{G'} \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{K} (\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U})/\mathcal{W}$ to the functor $\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{K} (\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U})/\mathcal{W}$. Consequently, we know that the composite functor $\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{K} (\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U})/\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{G_\lambda} \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W}$ is indeed left adjoint to $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{G'} \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W}$. Put $G'_\lambda = G_\lambda K$. Then we have a recollement of additive categories

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \xleftarrow{F_\lambda} & & \xleftarrow{G'_\lambda} & \\
 \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{G'} & \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W} \\
 & \xleftarrow{F_\delta} & & \xleftarrow{G'_\delta} &
 \end{array}$$

Similarly, for any $M \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U}$, $G(M) = M$ since $0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow W \dashrightarrow$ is an \mathbb{E} -triangle. So G is dense. Then there exists a natural isomorphism from the composite functor $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{G} (\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U})/\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{J} \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W}$ to the functor $(\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U})/\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{J} \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W}$. Thus $(\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U})/\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{J} \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{G'_\delta} \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W}$ is indeed right adjoint to $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{G} (\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U})/\mathcal{W}$. Put $G_\delta = G'_\delta J$. Then we obtain the desired recollement of additive categories

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \xleftarrow{F_\lambda} & & \xleftarrow{G_\lambda} & \\
 \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{G} & (\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{U})/\mathcal{W} \\
 & \xleftarrow{F_\delta} & & \xleftarrow{G_\delta} &
 \end{array}$$

□

We give the dual result of Theorem 3.8, but omit its proof.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}), (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be cotorsion pairs on \mathcal{C} with $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$. If $\mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{S}, \Sigma \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and $\Sigma \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$, then there are two recollements of additive categories as follows:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \xleftarrow{I_\lambda} & & \xleftarrow{J_\lambda} & \\
 \mathcal{U}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{I} & \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{J} & (\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{V})/\mathcal{W} \\
 & \xleftarrow{I_\delta} & & \xleftarrow{J_\delta} &
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & I_\lambda & & J'_\lambda & \\
 & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright & \\
 \mathcal{U}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{I} & \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{J'} & \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{W}, \\
 & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowleft & \\
 & I_\delta & & J'_\delta &
 \end{array}$$

where I, J_δ and J'_λ are the full embeddings.

Remark 3.10. When we apply Theorem 3.8 to a triangulated category, it is just the Theorem 3.2 in [CLY]. When we apply Theorem 3.9 to a triangulated category, it is just the Theorem 3.9 in [CLY].

4 Applications

We assume that R is a ring with unit. We denote by $\text{Mod}R$ the category of left R -modules. Unless otherwise stated, all modules are left modules. In this section, we will give some applications. Meanwhile, we will see that Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.6 in [CLY] can be obtained directly from the cotorsion pairs on the categories of the complexes by our main results.

Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category with enough projective and injective objects. For a subclass \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} , we set

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}^\perp\mathcal{C} &= \{ M \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0 \text{ for any } N \in \mathcal{C} \} \\
 \mathcal{C}^\perp &= \{ N \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0 \text{ for any } M \in \mathcal{C} \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

A pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ of \mathcal{A} is said to be a *cotorsion pair* if $\mathcal{X} = {}^\perp\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}^\perp$. The cotorsion pair is called *complete* if for any $M \in \mathcal{A}$, there exist two short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \\
 0 &\rightarrow M \rightarrow Y' \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

with $X, X' \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y, Y' \in \mathcal{Y}$. A pair of cotorsion pairs $((\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}), (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}))$ is said to be a *twin cotorsion pair* if $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, or equivalently, $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. A twin cotorsion pair is called *concentric* if $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}$.

It is well-known that the canonical cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{P}, \text{Mod}R)$ is completed by [GT, Theorem 3.2.1] since it is generated by R .

Recall that R is said to be a *Gorenstein ring* if it is a left and right noetherian ring with finite injective dimension on either side. An R -module N is called *Gorenstein projective* if there is a exact sequence of projective R -modules

$$\mathbf{P} : \quad \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

with $N = \text{Ker}(P_0 \rightarrow P^0)$ such that $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{P}, Q)$ is exact for any projective module Q .

Let $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ be the full subcategory of $\text{Mod}R$ consisting of all the Gorenstein projective module. We denote by $\mathcal{P}^{<\infty}$ the class of modules admitting finite projective dimension. If R is

a Gorenstein ring, then $(\mathcal{GP}(R), \mathcal{P}^{<\infty})$ is a complete cotorsion pair, see [GT, Example 4.1.14].

Proposition 4.1. *Let R be a Gorenstein ring. Then the stable category $\underline{\mathcal{GP}}(R)$ is a coreflection subcategory of $\underline{\text{Mod}}R$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $((\mathcal{P}, \text{Mod}R), (\mathcal{GP}(R), \mathcal{P}^{<\infty}))$ is a concentric twin cotorsion pair and $\Sigma\mathcal{P}^{<\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{P}^{<\infty}$ since R is a Gorenstein ring. By Lemma 3.4, we obtain a colocalization sequence of additive categories

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & F_\lambda & & G_\lambda \\ & \swarrow & & \nwarrow & \\ \underline{\mathcal{P}^{<\infty}} & \xrightarrow{F} & \underline{\text{Mod}R} & \xrightarrow{G} & \underline{\mathcal{GP}}(R) \end{array}$$

Thus, the inclusion functor G_λ admits a right adjoint functor. That is, $\underline{\mathcal{GP}}(R)$ is a coreflection subcategory of $\underline{\text{Mod}}R$. \square

We denote the class of projective modules by \mathcal{P} , the class of injective modules by \mathcal{I} , the category of a complex of left R -modules by $C(\text{Mod}R)$, the class of exact complexes by \mathcal{E} .

We write a complex $X^\bullet \in C(\text{Mod}R)$ as

$$\cdots \longrightarrow X_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} X_n \xrightarrow{d_n} X_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Given $X^\bullet \in C(\text{Mod}R)$, the suspension of X^\bullet , denoted by $S(X^\bullet)$, is the complex given by $S(X^\bullet)_n = X_{n-1}$ and $d_{S(X^\bullet)} = -d_n$. Inductively, one can define $S^{n+1}(X^\bullet) = S(S^n(X^\bullet))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Given an R -module X , we denote by \overline{X} the complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{1} X \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

where the two X 's are in the 0th and -1st place.

Recall that a complex $P^\bullet \in C(\text{Mod}R)$ is said to be *projective* if for any morphism $P^\bullet \rightarrow D^\bullet$ and any epimorphism $C^\bullet \rightarrow D^\bullet$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P^\bullet & \\ & \downarrow & \\ C^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D^\bullet \end{array}$$

can be completed to a commutative diagram by a morphism $P^\bullet \rightarrow C^\bullet$. Dually, one can define the injective complex I^\bullet . It is well-known that each projective complex P^\bullet and injective complex I^\bullet are exact complexes. Moreover, the components P_n, I_n of projective complex P^\bullet and injective complex I^\bullet are projective and injective modules in $\text{Mod}R$, respectively. However, conversely, it may not true, see [EJ, Example 1.4.5].

From [EJ, Section 1.4], we know that $C(\text{Mod}R)$ admits enough projective and injective complexes. Then, for any complex $C^\bullet \in C(\text{Mod}R)$, there exist a projective resolution and an injective resolution of C^\bullet . It means that there exist two exact sequences of complexes

$$\cdots \longrightarrow P_n^\bullet \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_1^\bullet \longrightarrow P_0^\bullet \longrightarrow C^\bullet \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow C^\bullet \longrightarrow I_0^\bullet \longrightarrow I_{-1}^\bullet \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow I_{-n}^\bullet \longrightarrow \cdots$$

where each P_n^\bullet , I_{-n}^\bullet is projective and injective, respectively.

Now, we can define the groups $\text{Ext}_{C(\text{Mod}R)}^n(C^\bullet, D^\bullet)$ (or simply, $\text{Ext}^n(C^\bullet, D^\bullet)$) for any complexes C^\bullet and D^\bullet . If exact sequences of complexes

$$\cdots \longrightarrow P_n^\bullet \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_1^\bullet \longrightarrow P_0^\bullet \longrightarrow C^\bullet \longrightarrow 0$$

is the projective resolution of C^\bullet , then $\text{Ext}^n(C^\bullet, D^\bullet)$ is defined to be the n -cohomology group of the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_0^\bullet, D^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_1^\bullet, D^\bullet) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

It also can be computed by the injective resolution of D^\bullet . Especially, the $\xi \in \text{Ext}^1(C^\bullet, D^\bullet)$ can be put in bijective correspondence with the equivalence classes of short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow D^\bullet \longrightarrow E^\bullet \longrightarrow C^\bullet \longrightarrow 0$$

in $C(\text{Mod}R)$.

We denote by $\mathbf{K}(\text{Mod}R)$ and $\mathbf{D}(R)$ the corresponding homotopy category and derived category of $\text{Mod}R$.

Definition 4.2. [G01, G02] Given a class of R -modules \mathcal{C} , we define the following classes of chain complexes in $C(\text{Mod}R)$

- (1) $dw\mathcal{C}$ is the class of all chain complexes C^\bullet with $C_n \in \mathcal{C}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (2) $ex\mathcal{C}$ is the class of all exact chain complexes C^\bullet with $C_n \in \mathcal{C}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (3) $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is the class of all exact chain complexes C^\bullet with cycles $Z_n(C^\bullet) \in \mathcal{C}$.
- (4) Given any cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ in $\text{Mod}R$. $dg\mathcal{X}$ is the class of all complexes of R -modules satisfying that each $X_n \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}(\text{Mod}R)}(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet) = 0$ for any $X^\bullet \in dg\mathcal{X}$ and any $Y^\bullet \in \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$. Similarly, one can define $dg\mathcal{Y}$.

We denote by $\mathbf{K}_{ex}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$ the corresponding homotopy categories consisting of complexes in $ex\mathcal{C}$, and $dw\mathcal{C}$, respectively.

Lemma 4.3. [CLY, G03] *For the canonical cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{P}, \text{Mod}R)$, $(dw\mathcal{P}, dw\mathcal{P}^\perp)$, $(ex\mathcal{P}, ex\mathcal{P}^\perp)$ and $(dg\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{E})$ are the complete cotorsion pairs in $C(\text{Mod}R)$. Moreover, they satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1) $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = dw\mathcal{P} \cap dw\mathcal{P}^\perp = ex\mathcal{P} \cap ex\mathcal{P}^\perp = dg\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{E}$
- (2) $\mathcal{E} \cap dw\mathcal{P} = ex\mathcal{P}$, $dg\mathcal{P} \subseteq dw\mathcal{P}$.

Lemma 4.4. *The classes $ex\mathcal{P}^\perp$ and \mathcal{E} are closed under cosyzygy Σ .*

Proof. Let $M^\bullet \in \mathcal{E}$ and $N^\bullet \in \text{ex}\mathcal{P}^\perp$. Since $C(\text{Mod}R)$ admits enough injective objects. Then, there exist two short exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow M^\bullet \longrightarrow E^\bullet(M^\bullet) \rightarrow \Sigma M^\bullet \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 \longrightarrow N^\bullet \longrightarrow E^\bullet(N^\bullet) \rightarrow \Sigma N^\bullet \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

with $E^\bullet(M^\bullet)$ and $E^\bullet(N^\bullet)$ are injective complexes. It is easy to check that ΣM^\bullet by the long exact sequence theorem and injective complexes are exact. Hence, \mathcal{E} is closed under cosyzygy.

Now, it remains to show that $\Sigma N^\bullet \in \text{ex}\mathcal{P}^\perp$. Let Q^\bullet be a complex of $\text{ex}\mathcal{P}$. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}(Q^\bullet, -)$ to the exact sequence (4.1), we obtain a long exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}^1(Q^\bullet, N^\bullet) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(Q^\bullet, E^\bullet(N^\bullet)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(Q^\bullet, \Sigma N^\bullet) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^2(Q^\bullet, N^\bullet).$$

Note that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega Q^\bullet \longrightarrow P^\bullet \longrightarrow N^\bullet \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Thus, we know that $\text{Ext}^2(Q^\bullet, N^\bullet) \cong \text{Ext}^1(\Omega Q^\bullet, N^\bullet)$. We claim that $\Omega Q^\bullet \in \text{ex}\mathcal{P}$. Indeed, it is easy to see that ΩQ^\bullet is exact. Moreover, the sequence (4.2) is degree-wise split. Hence, each Q_n is a projective module and so, the desired result comes. In this case, $\text{Ext}^2(Q^\bullet, N^\bullet) = \text{Ext}^1(Q^\bullet, E^\bullet(N^\bullet)) = 0$. Therefore, $\text{Ext}^1(Q^\bullet, \Sigma N^\bullet) = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Now, we can apply one of our main results to reprove the existence of the following recollement.

Proposition 4.5. [CLY] *There exists the following recollement of triangulated category*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \overset{I_\lambda}{\curvearrowright} & & \overset{J_\lambda}{\curvearrowright} & \\ \mathbf{K}_{\text{ex}}(\mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{I} & \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{J} & \mathbf{D}(R) \\ & \underset{I_\delta}{\curvearrowleft} & & \underset{J_\delta}{\curvearrowleft} & \end{array}$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.9, Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4, we have the following recollement

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \overset{I_\lambda}{\curvearrowright} & & \overset{J_\lambda}{\curvearrowright} & \\ \text{ex}\mathcal{P}/\tilde{\mathcal{P}} & \xrightarrow{I} & \text{dw}\mathcal{P}/\tilde{\mathcal{P}} & \xrightarrow{J} & \text{dg}\mathcal{P}/\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \\ & \underset{I_\delta}{\curvearrowleft} & & \underset{J_\delta}{\curvearrowleft} & \end{array}$$

It is well-known that there exist triangulated equivalences $\text{ex}\mathcal{P}/\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \cong \mathbf{K}_{\text{ex}}(\mathcal{P})$, $\text{dw}\mathcal{P}/\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \cong \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{P})$ and $\text{dg}\mathcal{P}/\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \cong \mathbf{D}(R)$. Moreover, it is easy to see that the functor I and J_λ are triangulated functors. By adjointness, we know that the remained four functors are triangulated functors. \square

Next, we hope to get the Krause's recollement from the cotorsion pair on the category of complexes. The following observation is very important. Let X^\bullet be a complex. Now, we

construct a short exact of complexes as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & X_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ d_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}} & X_{n+1} \oplus X_n & \xrightarrow{[-d_{n+1} \ 1]} & X_n \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow d_{n+1} & & \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & & \downarrow -d_n \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & X_n & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ d_n \end{bmatrix}} & X_n \oplus X_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{[-d_n \ 1]} & X_{n-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots
 \end{array}$$

That is, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X^\bullet \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ d \end{bmatrix}} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{X_n} \xrightarrow{[-d \ 1]} S(X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

From this observation, we have the following result.

Lemma 4.6. *If $X^\bullet \in dg\mathcal{I}$, then there exists an isomorphism of complexes $\Sigma X^\bullet \cong S(X)$. In particular, $dg\mathcal{I}$ is closed under the cosyzygy Σ .*

Proof. Since $X^\bullet \in dg\mathcal{I}$, X_n are injective modules for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{X_n}$ is an injective complex since $\overline{X_n}$ are injective complexes for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we obtain the desired result. \square

Finally, we are in proposition to reobtain the Krause's recollement, which also reprove the Proposition 4.3 in [CLY].

Proposition 4.7. *For the canonical cotorsion pair $(\text{Mod}R, \mathcal{I})$, $({}^\perp dw\mathcal{I}, dw\mathcal{I})$, $({}^\perp ex\mathcal{I}, ex\mathcal{I})$ and $(\mathcal{E}, dg\mathcal{I})$ are the completed cotorsion pairs in $C(\text{Mod}R)$. Moreover, they induces Krause's recollement*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \xleftarrow{F_\lambda} & & \xleftarrow{G'_\lambda} & \\
 \mathbf{K}_{ex}(\mathcal{I}) & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{I}) & \xrightarrow{G'} & \mathbf{D}(R) \\
 & \xleftarrow{F_\rho} & & \xleftarrow{G'_\rho} &
 \end{array}$$

Proof. Following the references [CLY, G03], we know that these three cotorsion pairs are complete and they also satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} = {}^\perp dw\mathcal{I} \cap dw\mathcal{I} = {}^\perp ex\mathcal{I} \cap ex\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{E} \cap dg\mathcal{I}$
- (2) $\mathcal{E} \cap dw\mathcal{I} = ex\mathcal{I}$, $dg\mathcal{I} \subseteq dw\mathcal{I}$.

Now, we show that $ex\mathcal{I}$ is closed under cosyzygy. Indeed, there exists a short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow X^\bullet \longrightarrow E^\bullet(X^\bullet) \longrightarrow \Sigma X^\bullet \longrightarrow 0$. Clearly, ΣX^\bullet is an exact complex. It is easy to see

that this sequence is degree-wise split. Thus, each component $(\Sigma X^\bullet)_n$ of ΣX^\bullet is injective and so, $\Sigma X^\bullet \in \text{ex}\mathcal{I}$.

By Lemma 4.6, $dg\mathcal{I}$ is also closed under the cosyzygy Σ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.8, we know that there exists a recollement of additive categories

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \xleftarrow{F_\lambda} & & \xleftarrow{G'_\lambda} & \\ \text{ex}\mathcal{I}/\tilde{\mathcal{I}} & \xrightarrow{F} & dw\mathcal{I}/\tilde{\mathcal{I}} & \xrightarrow{G'} & dg\mathcal{I}/\tilde{\mathcal{I}} \\ & \xleftarrow{F_\rho} & & \xleftarrow{G'_\rho} & \end{array}$$

It is well-known that there exist triangulated equivalences $\text{ex}\mathcal{I}/\tilde{\mathcal{I}} \cong \mathbf{K}_{\text{ex}}(\mathcal{I})$, $dw\mathcal{I}/\tilde{\mathcal{I}} \cong \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{I})$ and $dg\mathcal{I}/\tilde{\mathcal{I}} \cong \mathbf{D}(R)$. The remaining arguments are similar to Proposition 4.5. \square

References

- [B] F. Borceux. Handbook of categorical algebra, Volume 1. Basic category theory. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 50. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [BBD] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne. Faisceaux pervers. (French) [Perverse sheaves] Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981), 5-171, Astérisque, 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [CLY] W. Chen, Z. Liu, X. Yang. Recollements associated to cotorsion pairs. J. Algebra Appl. 17(5): 1-15, 2018.
- [EJ] E. E. Enochs, and O. M. G. Jenda, Relative Homological Algebra. Volume 2. Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter, 2011.
- [GT] R. Göbel and J. Trlifaj, Approximations and endomorphism algebras of modules, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2006.
- [G01] J. Gillespie, The flat model structure on $\text{Ch}(R)$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 356(8): 3369-3390, 2004.
- [G02] J. Gillespie, Cotorsion pairs and degreewise homological model structures, Homology, Homotopy Appl. 10(1): 283-304, 2008.
- [G03] J. Gillespie, Gorenstein complexes and recollements from cotorsion pairs, Adv. Math. 291: 859-911, 2016.
- [LN] Y. Liu, H. Nakaoka. Hearts of twin cotorsion pairs on extriangulated categories. J. Algebra 528: 96-149, 2019.
- [MV] R. MacPherson, K. Vilonen. Elementary construction of perverse sheaves. Invent. Math. 84(2): 403-435, 1986.

- [NP] H. Nakaoka, Y. Palu. Extriangulated categories, Hovey twin cotorsion pairs and model structures. *Cah. Topol. Gom. Diff. Catg.* 60(2): 117-193, 2019.
- [SS] J. Šaroch, J. Šťovíček, Singular compactness and definability for Σ -cotorsion and Gorenstein modules, arXiv :1804.09080.
- [WL] M. Wang, Z. Lin. Recollement of additive quotient categories. arXiv:1502.00479, 2015.
- [ZW] Q. Zheng, J. Wei. One-sided triangulated categories induced by concentric twin cotorsion pairs. To appear in *J. Algebra Appl.* DOI: 10.1142/S021949882050142X.
- [ZZ] P. Zhou, B. Zhu, Triangulated quotient categories revisited. *J. Algebra.* 502: 196-232, 2018.
- [ZhZ] B. Zhu B, X. Zhuang. Tilting subcategories in extriangulated categories. arXiv:1907.00747, 2019.

Yonggang Hu^{a,c} and Panyue Zhou^{b,c}

^a College of Applied Sciences, Beijing University of Technology, 100124 Beijing, P. R. China.

^bCollege of Mathematics, Hunan Institute of Science and Technology, 414006, Yueyang, Hunan, P. R. China.

^cDépartement de Mathématiques, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec J1K 2R1, Canada.

Yonggang Hu E-mail: huyonggang@emails.bjut.edu.cn

Panyue Zhou E-mail: panyuezhou@163.com