

# Classifying superconductivity in an infinite-layer nickelate $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{NiO}_2$

E. F. Talantsev<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>M.N. Mikheev Institute of Metal Physics, Ural Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences,  
18, S. Kovalevskoy St., Ekaterinburg, 620108, Russia

<sup>2</sup>NANOTECH Centre, Ural Federal University, 19 Mira St., Ekaterinburg, 620002,  
Russia

\*E-mail: [evgeny.talantsev@imp.uran.ru](mailto:evgeny.talantsev@imp.uran.ru)

## ***Abstract***

Recently Li *et al* (2019 Nature **572** 624) discovered a new type of oxide superconductor  $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{NiO}_2$  with  $T_c = 14$  K. To classify superconductivity in this infinite-layer nickelate experimental upper critical field,  $B_{c2}(T)$ , and the self-field critical current densities,  $J_c(\text{sf}, T)$ , reported by Li *et al* (2019 Nature **572** 624), are analysed in assumption of *s*-, *d*-, and *p*-wave pairing symmetries and single- and multiple-band superconductivity. Based on deduced the ground-state superconducting energy gap,  $\Delta(0)$ , the London penetration depth,  $\lambda(0)$ , the relative jump in electronic specific heat at  $T_c$ ,  $\Delta C/C$ , and the ratio of  $2\Delta(0)/k_B T_c$ , we conclude that  $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{NiO}_2$  is type-II high- $\kappa$  weak-coupled single-band *s*-wave superconductor.

# Classifying superconductivity in an infinite-layer nickelate $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{NiO}_2$

## I. Introduction

For several decades the term of infinite-layer superconductor was referred to a copper-oxide superconducting compounds,  $\text{Sr}_{1-x}\text{M}_x\text{CuO}_2$  ( $\text{M}=\text{La}, \text{Nd}, \text{Ca}, \text{Sr}\dots$ ) [1,2], until recently, Li *et al* [3] have extended this class of unconventional superconductors by the discovery of superconductivity at  $T_c = 14$  K in  $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{NiO}_2$  nickelate. Thus, bulk superconducting oxides family, i.e. tungsten bronzes [4], titanates [5], bismuthates [6], cuprates [7], and ruthenates [8] extends by a new nickelate member. Several research groups proposed different models for superconducting state in this compound [9-12], and the exhibiting of the superconducting state in this compound is in a debate [13].

In this paper, to classify superconductivity in this new class of oxide superconductors the temperature-dependent upper critical field,  $B_{c2}(T)$ , and the self-field critical current density,  $J_c(\text{sf}, T)$ , are analysed within  $s$ -,  $d$ -, and  $p$ -pairing symmetries. In result, it is shown that infinite-layer  $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{NiO}_2$  nickelate is weak-coupled single band  $s$ -wave superconductor.

## II. Models description

The Ginzburg-Landau theory [14] has two fundamental lengths, one is the coherence length,  $\xi(T)$ , and the second is London penetration depth,  $\lambda(T)$ . The ground state coherence length,  $\xi(0)$ , is given by [14,15]:

$$B_{c2}(0) = \frac{\phi_0}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot \xi^2(0)}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\phi_0 = 2.068 \cdot 10^{-15}$  Wb is magnetic flux quantum, and  $B_{c2}(0)$  is the ground state upper critical field. For temperature dependent coherence length,  $\xi(T)$ , several models were proposed [14,15-21]. In this paper, to deduce the ground state coherence length,  $\xi(0)$ , in infinite-layer  $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{NiO}_2$  nickelate superconductor, three models are used. The first model was proposed by Gor'kov [16,17] (Gor'kov model):

$$B_{c2}(T) = \frac{\phi_0}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot \xi^2(0)} \cdot \left( \frac{1.77 - 0.43 \cdot \left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right)^2 + 0.07 \cdot \left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right)^4}{1.77} \right) \cdot \left[ 1 - \left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right)^2 \right]. \quad (2)$$

The second model was proposed by Baumgartner *et al* [20] (B-WHH):

$$B_{c2}(T) = \frac{\phi_0}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot \xi^2(0)} \cdot \left( \frac{\left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right) - 0.153 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right)^2 - 0.152 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right)^4}{0.693} \right) \quad (3)$$

And the third model was proposed recently in our recent report [21]:

$$B_{c2}(T) = \frac{\phi_0}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot \xi^2(0)} \cdot \left[ \left( \frac{1.77 - 0.43 \cdot \left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right)^2 + 0.07 \cdot \left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right)^4}{1.77} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2 \cdot k_B \cdot T} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\varepsilon}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \Delta^2(T)}}{2 \cdot k_B \cdot T}\right)}} \right] \quad (4)$$

where  $k_B$  is Boltzmann constant, and  $\Delta(T)$  is the temperature-dependent superconducting gap, for which analytical expression was given by Gross *et al* [22]:

$$\Delta(T) = \Delta(0) \cdot \tanh \left[ \frac{\pi \cdot k_B \cdot T_c}{\Delta(0)} \cdot \sqrt{\eta \cdot \frac{\Delta C}{C} \cdot \left(\frac{T_c}{T} - 1\right)} \right] \quad (5)$$

where  $\Delta(0)$  is the ground state energy gap amplitude,  $\Delta C/C$  is the relative jump in electronic specific heat at  $T_c$ ,  $\eta = 2/3$  for *s*-wave superconductors [22].

Thus,  $\xi(0)$  and  $T_c$  can be obtained by fitting experimental  $B_{c2}(T)$  data to Eqs. 2-4. In addition,  $\Delta C/C$ ,  $\Delta(0)$  and, thus, the ratio of  $\frac{2\Delta(0)}{k_B T_c}$ , can be deduced as free-fitting parameters by fitting experimental  $B_{c2}(T)$  data to Eq. 4. More details about the procedures can be found elsewhere [23].

There is an alternative way to deduce  $\Delta(0)$ ,  $\Delta C/C$ ,  $T_c$  and  $\frac{2\Delta(0)}{k_B T_c}$  by the fit of experimental self-field critical current density,  $J_c(\text{sf}, T)$ , to universal equation, which is for thin-film superconductors reduced to simple form [23,24]:

$$J_c(\text{sf}, T) = \frac{\phi_0}{4\pi\mu_0} \cdot \frac{\ln\left(1 + \sqrt{2} \cdot \frac{\lambda(0)}{\xi(0)}\right)}{\lambda^3(T)} \quad (6)$$

where  $\phi_0 = 2.067 \times 10^{-15}$  Wb is the magnetic flux quantum,  $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$  H/m is the magnetic permeability of free space, and the London penetration depth,  $\lambda(T)$ , is given by:

$$1. \lambda(T) = \frac{\lambda(0)}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{2 \cdot k_B \cdot T} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\varepsilon}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \Delta^2(T)}}{2 \cdot k_B \cdot T}\right)}}}, \quad (7)$$

for *s*-wave superconductors, where  $\Delta(T)$  is given by Eq. 5 [22,25].

$$2. \lambda(T) = \frac{\lambda(0)}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{2 \cdot k_B \cdot T} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^2(\theta) \cdot \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{d\varepsilon}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \Delta^2(T, \theta)}}{2 \cdot k_B \cdot T}\right)} \right) \cdot d\theta}}}, \quad (8)$$

for *d*-wave superconductors, where the superconducting energy gap,  $\Delta(T, \theta)$ , is given by [22,25]:

$$\Delta(T, \theta) = \Delta_m(T) \cdot \cos(2\theta) \quad (9)$$

where  $\Delta_m(T)$  is the maximum amplitude of the *k*-dependent *d*-wave gap given by Eq. 5,  $\theta$  is the angle around the Fermi surface subtended at  $(\pi, \pi)$  in the Brillouin zone (details can be found elsewhere [22,25,26]). In Eq. 9 the value of  $\eta = 7/5$  [22,25,26].

3. And *p*-wave symmetry [22,25], which only recently was tested to fit critical current densities in superconductors [22,25]:

$$\lambda_{(p,a)(\perp,\parallel)}(T) = \frac{\lambda_{(p,a)(\perp,\parallel)}(0)}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{3}{4 \cdot k_B \cdot T} \int_0^1 w_{\perp,\parallel}(x) \cdot \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{d\varepsilon}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \Delta_{p,a}^2(T) \cdot f_{p,a}^2(x)}}{2 \cdot k_B \cdot T}\right)} \right) \cdot dx}}}, \quad (10)$$

where subscripts *p*, *a*,  $\perp$ , and  $\parallel$  designate polar, axial, perpendicular and parallel cases respectively. For this symmetry, the gap function is given by [22,25]:

$$\Delta(\hat{\mathbf{k}}, T) = \Delta(T) f(\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\mathbf{l}}) \quad (11)$$

where,  $\Delta(T)$  is the superconducting gap amplitude,  $\mathbf{k}$  is the wave vector, and  $\mathbf{l}$  is the gap axis. Thus, temperature dependence of  $\lambda(T)$  is determined by mutual orientation of the vector potential,  $\mathbf{A}$ , and the gap axis,  $\mathbf{l}$ , which is for transport current experiment just the orientation of the crystallographic axes of the film compared with the direction of the electric current.

There are two distinctive orientations,  $\mathbf{A} \perp \mathbf{l}$  (when  $\mathbf{A}$  is perpendicular to  $\mathbf{l}$ ) and polar  $\mathbf{A} \parallel \mathbf{l}$  (when  $\mathbf{A}$  is parallel to  $\mathbf{l}$ ) [22,25]. More details can be found elsewhere [22,25,26]). The function of  $w_{\perp,\parallel}(x)$  in Eq. 10 is:

$$w_{\perp}(x) = (1 - x^2)/2 \quad (12)$$

and

$$w_{\parallel}(x) = x^2 \quad (13)$$

and the gap amplitude in Eq. 11 is just Eq. 5, but  $\eta$  is given by [25]:

$$\eta_{p,a} = \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{\int_0^1 f_{p,a}^2(x) \cdot dx} \quad (14)$$

where

$$f_p(x) = x ; \text{ polar configuration} \quad (15)$$

$$f_a(x) = \sqrt{1 - x^2} ; \text{ axial configuration} \quad (16)$$

More details about the  $J_c(sf,T)$  analysis for  $p$ -wave symmetry can be found elsewhere [26,27].


By substituting Eqs. 5, 7-13 in Eq. 6, one can fit experimental  $J_c(sf,T)$  data to  $s$ -,  $d$ -,  $p$ -wave gap symmetries to deduce  $\lambda(0)$ ,  $\Delta(0)$ ,  $\Delta C/C$ ,  $T_c$  and  $\frac{2\Delta(0)}{k_B T_c}$  as free-fitting parameters.

This approach is recently applied for wide range of thin film unconventional superconductors [23,24,26-32].

### III. $B_{c2}(T)$ analysis

There are several criteria to define  $B_{c2}(T)$  from experimental  $R(T)$  curves. In this paper ti define  $B_{c2}(T)$  we use the criterion of 3% of normal state resistance,  $R_{\text{norm}}(T)$ , for  $R(T)$  curves of  $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{NiO}_2$  presented in Fig. 4(a) by Li *et al* [3]. The fits of  $B_{c2}(T)$  data to three models are shown in Fig. 1. It can be seen that  $\xi(0)$  values deduced by three models are close to each other and following analysis of  $J_c(sf,T)$  will be utilized an average value of:

$$\xi(0) = 5.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ nm}. \quad (17)$$



**Figure 1.** The upper critical field,  $B_{c2}(T)$ , of  $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{NiO}_2$  (reported by Li *et al* [3]) and data fits to three models (Eqs. 2-4). (a) fit to Gor'kov model, the fit quality is  $R = 0.995$ . (b) fit to B-WHH,  $R = 0.998$ . (c) fit to Eq. 4,  $R = 0.9993$ . 95% confidence bars are shown.

This deduced value for  $\xi(0)$  is in reasonable agreement with  $\xi(0) = 4.5$  nm reported by Jovanović *et al.* [33] for copper-oxide-based infinite layer counterpart of  $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_2$ .

Deduced values by the fit to Eq. 4:

$$\frac{2\Delta(0)}{k_B T_c} = 3.5 \pm 0.3 \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{\Delta C}{C} = 1.5 \pm 0.2 \quad (19)$$

are, within uncertainties, equal to BCS [34] weak-coupling limits of 3.53 and 1.43 respectively, and the former deduced value is equal to recently deduced value of:


$$\frac{2\Delta(0)}{k_B T_c} = 3.51 \pm 0.05 \quad (20)$$

for *s*-wave oxide superconductor of  $\text{Ba}_{0.51}\text{K}_{0.49}\text{BiO}_3$  [35].

It should be noted that there is no sign in experimental  $B_{c2}(T)$  data that  $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{NiO}_2$  exhibits two superconducting band state, which can be seen as sharp enhancement in amplitude of  $B_{c2}(T)$  at critical temperature of the second superconducting band opening (see for details Ref. 36).

#### IV. $J_c(\text{sf}, T)$ analysis

The critical current density,  $J_c$ , is defined as the lowest, detectable in experiment, value of electric power dissipation in a superconductor on electric current flow. For available  $E(I)$  curves presented by Li *et al* [3] in their Fig. 3(f), the critical current density at self-field condition (when no external magnetic field is applied),  $J_c(\text{sf}, T)$ , can be defined at the lowest value of electric field of  $E_c = 3$  V/cm. Experimental  $J_c(\text{sf}, T)$  deduced by this  $E_c$  criterion and the fit to single band *s*-wave model (i.e., Eqs. 6,7 for which  $\xi(0) = 5.7$  nm was fixed) are shown in Fig. 2(a). It can be seen that the fit is excellent, and deduced superconducting parameters (Fig. 2(a) and Table 1) are within BCS weak-coupling limits.



**Figure 2.** The self-field critical current density,  $J_c(sf, T)$ , for  $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{NiO}_2$  thin film with raw data processed from the work of Li *et al.* [3] and a fit of the data to three single-band models. For all models  $\xi(0) = 5.7$  nm was used. (a) *s*-wave fit,  $\lambda(0) = 740 \pm 3$  nm,  $T_c = 12.2 \pm 0.1$  K, the goodness of fit  $R = 0.995$ ; (b) *p*-wave axial  $\mathbf{A} \parallel \mathbf{I}$  fit,  $\lambda(0) = 738 \pm 2$  nm,  $T_c = 12.3 \pm 0.1$  K,  $R = 0.997$ ; (c) *p*-wave polar  $\mathbf{A} \parallel \mathbf{I}$  fit,  $\lambda(0) = 735 \pm 2$  nm,  $T_c = 12.4 \pm 0.1$  K  $R = 0.9990$ . Other deduced parameters are listed in Table I.



Deduced  $\lambda(0) = 740 \pm 3$  nm is similar to  $\lambda(0) = 690\text{-}850$  nm measured for samples possessing maximal  $T_c$  values for cuprate counterpart  $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_2$  [37].

By utilizing deduced  $\lambda(0)$  value the Ginzburg-Landau parameter  $\kappa = \frac{\lambda(0)}{\xi(0)} = 130$  which is similar to  $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_2$  [33,37] and this value is at the upper-level range for other cuprates and unconventional superconductors [15,23,24,26,38-43].

**Table I.** Deduced  $2\Delta(0)/k_B T_c$  and  $\Delta C/C$  values for  $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{NiO}_2$  from  $J_c(\text{sf}, T)$  fits to Eqs. 6-8 and BCS weak-coupling limits for the same parameters within for  $s$ -,  $d$ -, and  $p$ -wave pairing symmetries [20,23]. For  $d$ -wave symmetry,  $\Delta_m(0)$  was used (which is the maximum amplitude of the  $k$ -dependent  $d$ -wave gap,  $\Delta(\theta) = \Delta_m(0)\cos(2\theta)$ ).

Pairing symmetry and experiment geometry	Deduced $\frac{2\Delta(0)}{k_B T_c}$	BCS weak-coupling limit of $\frac{2\Delta(0)}{k_B T_c}$	Deduced $\frac{\Delta C}{C}$	BCS weak-coupling limit of $\frac{\Delta C}{C}$
$s$ -wave	$3.5 \pm 0.2$	3.53	$1.5 \pm 0.2$	1.43
$d$ -wave	$> 10^2$	4.28	$2.3 \pm 0.5$	0.995
$p$ -wave; axial $\mathbf{A} \perp \mathbf{l}$	$4.1 \pm 0.1$	4.06	$1.07 \pm 0.08$	1.19
$p$ -wave; axial $\mathbf{A} \parallel \mathbf{l}$	$9.0 \pm 2.4$	4.06	$1.55 \pm 0.05$	1.19
$p$ -wave; polar $\mathbf{A} \perp \mathbf{l}$	$> 5 \cdot 10^2$	4.92	$2.5 \pm 0.4$	0.79
$p$ -wave; polar $\mathbf{A} \parallel \mathbf{l}$	$5.3 \pm 0.3$	4.92	$0.63 \pm 0.03$	0.79

Alternatively,  $d$ - and  $p$ -wave superconducting gap symmetries can be considered. The fits to  $d$ -wave symmetry, as well as to polar  $\mathbf{A} \perp \mathbf{l}$  and axial  $\mathbf{A} \parallel \mathbf{l}$  of  $p$ -wave, reveal very large  $\frac{2\Delta(0)}{k_B T_c}$  values and these symmetries can be excluded from further consideration.

The cases of polar  $\mathbf{A} \parallel \mathbf{l}$  and axial  $\mathbf{A} \perp \mathbf{l}$  gap symmetries are still hypothetically possible (Table 1), and  $J_c(\text{sf}, T)$  fit to these models are shown in Figs. 2(b,c) respectively, however, for

given experimental conditions (i.e. epitaxial  $c$ -axis oriented thin film) expected geometry is polar  $\mathbf{A} \perp \mathbf{I}$  [21].

It should be also noted that there is no sign for two-band superconductivity in  $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{NiO}_2$  which usually can be detected by a sharp enhancement in  $J_c(\text{sf}, T)$  at critical temperature of the second band opening [24,36].

By taking in account a good agreement between  $\frac{2\Delta(0)}{k_B T_c}$  and  $\frac{\Delta C}{C}$  values deduced for  $s$ -wave symmetry from  $B_{c2}(T)$  and  $J_c(\text{sf}, T)$  analyses (Eqs. 17, 18 and Table 1, respectively), which are, in addition, within BCS weak-coupling limits for this symmetry, and a fact that  $s$ -wave pairing symmetry is the most conventional one, we can conclude that  $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{NiO}_2$  nickelate is weak-coupling single band high- $\kappa$   $s$ -wave superconductor.

## V. Conclusions

Recently discovered [3] an infinite-layer nickelate  $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{NiO}_2$  superconductor is a new member of bulk oxide superconductors for which experimental  $B_{c2}(T)$  and  $J_c(\text{sf}, T)$  data are analysed in this paper.

In result, it is found that an infinite-layer nickelate  $\text{Nd}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{NiO}_2$  is weak-coupling single band high- $\kappa$   $s$ -wave superconductor.

## Acknowledgement

Author thanks Dr. W. P. Crump (Aalto University) for invaluable help, and Prof. O. P. Sushkov (University of New South Wales), Prof. P. Bourges (Universite Paris-Sacray), and Prof. G. Seibold (Brandenburgische Technische Universität Cottbus–Senftenberg) for fruitful discussions.

Author also thanks financial support provided by the state assignment of Minobrnauki of Russia (theme “Pressure” No. AAAA-A18-118020190104-3) and by Act 211 Government of the Russian Federation, contract No. 02.A03.21.0006.

## References

- [1] Siegrist T, Zahurak S M, Murphy D W and Roth R S 1988 The parent structure of the layered high-temperature superconductors 1988 *Nature* **334** 231–232
- [2] Azuma M, Hiroi Z, Takano M, Bando Y and Takeda Y 1992 Superconductivity at 110 K in the infinite-layer compound  $(\text{Sr}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x)_{1-y}\text{CuO}_2$  *Nature* **356** 775-776
- [3] Li D, Lee K, Wang B Y, Osada M, Crossley S, Lee H R, Cui Y, Hikita Y and Hwang H Y 2019 Superconductivity in an infinite-layer nickelate *Nature* **572** 624-627
- [4] Remeika J P, Geballe T H, Matthias B T, Cooper A S, Hull G W, Kelly E M 1967 Superconductivity in hexagonal tungsten bronzes *Physics Letters A* **24** 565-566
- [5] Johnston D C, Prakash H, Zachariasen W H, Viswanathan R 1973 High temperature superconductivity in the LiTiO ternary system *Materials Research Bulletin* **8** 777-784
- [6] Sleight A W, Gillson J L, Bierstedt P E 1975 High-temperature superconductivity in the  $\text{BaPb}_{1-x}\text{Bi}_x\text{O}_3$  systems *Solid State Communications* **17** 27-28
- [7] Bednorz J G and Müller K A 1986 Possible high  $T_c$  superconductivity in the Ba – La – Cu – O system *Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter* **64** 189-193
- [8] Maeno Y, Hashimoto H, Yoshida K, Nishizaki S, Fujita T, Bednorz J G and Lichtenberg F 1994 Superconductivity in a layered perovskite without copper *Nature* **372** 532-534
- [9] Hirsch J E, Marsiglio F 2019 Hole superconductivity in infinite-layer nickelates *Physica C* **566** 1353534
- [10] Botana A S, Norman M R 2019 Similarities and differences between infinite-layer nickelates and cuprates and implications for superconductivity *arXiv:1908.10946v2*
- [11] Jiang M, Berciu M, Sawatzky G A 2019 Doped holes in  $\text{NdNiO}_2$  and high- $T_c$  cuprates show little similarity *arXiv:1909.02557*
- [12] Nomura Y, et al 2019 Formation of a two-dimensional single-component correlated electron system and band engineering in the nickelate superconductor  $\text{NdNiO}_2$  *Phys. Rev. B* **100** 205138
- [13] Li Q, He C, Si J, Zhu X, Zhang Y, Wen H-H 2019 Absence of superconductivity in bulk  $\text{Nd}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{NiO}_2$  *arXiv:1911.02420v3*
- [14] Ginzburg V L and Landau L D 1950 On the theory of superconductivity *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **20** 1064-1082
- [15] Poole P P, Farach H A, Creswick R J, Prozorov R 2007 Superconductivity (2-nd Edition, London, UK)
- [16] Gor'kov L P 1960 The critical supercooling field in superconductivity theory *Soviet Physics JETP* **10** 593-599
- [17] Jones C K, Hulm J K, Chandrasekhar B S 1964 Upper critical field of solid solution alloys of the transition elements *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **36** 74-76
- [18] Helfand E and Werthamer N R 1966 Temperature and purity dependence of the superconducting critical field,  $H_{c2}$ . II. *Phys. Rev.* **147** 288-294
- [19] Werthamer N R, Helfand E and Hohenberg P C 1966 Temperature and purity dependence of the superconducting critical field,  $H_{c2}$ . III. Electron spin and spin-orbit effects *Phys. Rev.* **147** 295-302

- [20] Baumgartner T, Eisterer M, Weber H W, Fluekiger R, Scheuerlein C, Bottura L 2014 Effects of neutron irradiation on pinning force scaling in state-of-the-art Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn wires *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **27** 015005
- [21] Talantsev E F 2019 Classifying superconductivity in compressed H<sub>3</sub>S *Modern Physics Letters B* **33** 1950195
- [22] Gross F, Chandrasekhar B S, Einzel D, Andres K, Hirschfeld P J, Ott H R, Beuers J, Fisk Z, Smith J L 1986 Anomalous temperature dependence of the magnetic field penetration depth in superconducting UBe<sub>3</sub> *Z. Phys. B - Condensed Matter* **64** 175-188
- [23] Talantsev E F 2018 Critical de Broglie wavelength in superconductors *Modern Physics Letters B* **32** 1850114
- [24] Talantsev E F, Crump W P, Island J O, Xing Y, Sun Y, Wang J, Tallon J L 2017 On the origin of critical temperature enhancement in atomically thin superconductors *2D Materials* **4** 025072
- [25] Gross-Alltag F, Chandrasekhar B S, Einzel D, Hirschfeld P J, Andres K 1991 London field penetration in heavy fermion superconductors *Z. Phys. B - Condensed Matter* **82** 243-255
- [26] Talantsev E F, Iida K, Ohmura T, Matsumoto T, Crump W P, Strickland N M, Wimbush S C and Ikuta H 2019 P-wave superconductivity in iron-based superconductors *Scientific Reports* **9** 14245
- [27] Talantsev E F, Mataira R C, Crump W P 2019 Classifying superconductivity in Classifying superconductivity in Moiré graphene superlattices *arXiv:1902.07410v3*
- [28] Fête A, Rossi L, Augieri A and Senatore C 2016 Ionic liquid gating of ultra-thin YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-x</sub> films *Applied Physics Letters* **109** 192601
- [29] Liu C, *et al.* 2018 Two-dimensional superconductivity and topological states in PdTe<sub>2</sub> thin films *Phys. Rev. Materials* **2** 094001
- [30] Qu D-X, Teslich N E, Dai Z, Chapline G F, Schenkel T, Durham S R and Dubois J 2018 Onset of a two-dimensional superconducting phase in a topological-insulator-normal-metal Bi<sub>1-x</sub>Sb<sub>x</sub>/Pt junction fabricated by ion-beam techniques *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** 037001
- [31] Pal B, *et al.* 2019 Experimental evidence of a very thin superconducting layer in epitaxial indium nitride *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **32** 015009
- [32] Zheliuk O, Lu J M, Chen Q H, El Yumin A A, Golightly S and Ye J T 2019 Josephson coupled Ising pairing induced in suspended MoS<sub>2</sub> bilayers by double-side ionic gating *Nature Nanotechnology* **14** 1123-1128
- [33] Jovanović V P, Li Z Z, Raffy H, Briatico J, Sinchenko A A, Monceau P 2009 Resistive upper critical fields and anisotropy of an electron-doped infinite-layer cuprate *Physical Review B* **80** 024501
- [34] Bardeen J, Cooper L N, Schrieffer J R 1957 Theory of superconductivity *Phys. Rev.* **108** 1175-1204
- [35] Wen C H P, *et al.* 2018 Unveiling the superconducting mechanism of Ba<sub>0.51</sub>K<sub>0.49</sub>BiO<sub>3</sub> *Physical Review Letters* **121** 117002
- [36] Talantsev E F 2019 Classifying induced superconductivity in atomically thin Dirac-cone materials *Condensed Matter* **4** 83
- [37] Fruchter L, Jovanovic V, Raffy H, Labdi Sid, Bouquet F and Li Z Z 2010 Penetration depth of electron-doped infinite-layer Sr<sub>0.88</sub>La<sub>0.12</sub>CuO<sub>2+x</sub> thin films *Physical Review B* **82** 144529
- [38] Puźniak R, Usami R, Isawa K, and Yamauchi H 1995 Superconducting-state thermodynamic parameters and anisotropy of HgBa<sub>2</sub>Ca<sub>n-1</sub>Cu<sub>n</sub>O<sub>y</sub> by reversible magnetization measurements *Physical Review B* **52** 3756-3764

- [39] Hosono H *et al.* 2015 Exploration of new superconductors and functional materials, and fabrication of superconducting tapes and wires of iron pnictides *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.* **16** 033503
- [40] Iida K, Hänisch J, and Tarantini C 2018 Fe-based superconducting thin films on metallic substrates: Growth, characteristics, and relevant properties *Applied Physics Reviews* **5** 031304
- [41] Kauffmann-Weiss S *et al.* 2019 Microscopic origin of highly enhanced current carrying capabilities of thin NdFeAs(O,F) films *Nanoscale Advances* **1** 147  
<https://doi.org/10.1039/C9NA00147F>
- [42] Hänisch J *et al.* 2019 Fe-based superconducting thin films – Preparation and tuning of superconducting properties *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **32** 093001
- [43] Hosono H and Kuroki K 2015 Iron-based superconductors: Current status of materials and pairing mechanism *Physica C* **514** 399-422