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#### Abstract

We consider nonlinear elliptic equations that are naturally obtained from the elliptic Schrödinger equation $-\Delta u+V u=0$ in the setting of the calculus of variations, and obtain $L^{q}$-estimates for the gradient of weak solutions. In particular, we generalize a result of Shen in [Ann. Inst. Fourier 45 (1995), no. 2, 513-546] in the nonlinear setting by using a different approach. This allows us to consider discontinuous coefficients with a small BMO seminorm and non-smooth boundaries which might not be Lipschitz continuous.


## 1. Introduction

The present paper is devoted to the study of interior and boundary $L^{q}$-integrability for the gradient of weak solutions to time independent quasi-linear equations of the p-Schrödinger type

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|D u|^{p-2} D u\right)+V|u|^{p-2} u=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1<p<\infty, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geq 2)$ is open and bounded, and the non-negative potential $V$ is taken in an appropriate class. We notice that if $p=2$, the equation (1.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V u=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the classical (elliptic) Schrödinger equation. In the viewpoint of the calculus of variations, the equation (1.1) is the Euler-Lagrange equation of the following functional

$$
W^{1, p}(\Omega) \ni u \quad \mapsto \quad \int_{\Omega}\left[|D u|^{p}+V|u|^{p}\right] d x
$$

hence it is one of nonlinear generalizations of the Schrödinger equation (1.2) in a natural way. Moreover, problems of this type raise in various areas of physics, such as nonlinear quantum field theory, nonlinear optics, plasma physics, condensed matter physics, biophysics, fluid mechanics, etc. We refer to [1, 4, 27, 28, 41] for the general physical background of this equation.

Research on the Schrödinger type equations which are fundamental ones of quantum mechanics plays a significant role in the fields of mathematical physics. In particular, $L^{q}$-regularity theory for linear Schrödinger equations was first introduced by Shen [39]. He obtained $L^{q}$-estimates by assuming that $V$ belongs to the $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ class for some $\gamma \geq \frac{n}{2}$ which is a certain reverse Hölder class (see below for the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ ). More precisely, for the Schrödinger equations with non-divergence data of the

[^0]form $-\Delta u+V u=f$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, he showed $\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\|V u\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq c(q)\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ for all $1<q \leq \gamma$, and for the equations with divergence data of the form
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V u=-\operatorname{div} F \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

he also did

$$
\|D u\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\chi_{\{q \leq 2 \gamma\}}\left\|V^{\frac{1}{2}} u\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq c(q)\|F\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}, \quad \text { for all } \quad\left(\gamma^{*}\right)^{\prime} \leq q \leq \gamma^{*}
$$

where $\gamma^{*}=\frac{n \gamma}{n-\gamma}$ when $\gamma<n$ (if $\gamma \geq n$, then $q$ can be any number in $(1, \infty)$ ). Here, we remark that the range of $q$ is optimal, see [39, Section 7]. These results have been recently extended to linear elliptic/parabolic Schrödinger equations with discontinuous coefficients on sufficiently smooth domains in several papers for instance [5, 6, 35], by using the results in [39] together with the commutator method and the standard flattening and covering arguments. We also refer to 12, 15, 17, 24, 35, 38, 39, for the regularity theory for (elliptic) Schrödinger equations.

The general aim of this paper is to establish interior and boundary $L^{q}$-regularity theory for nonlinear Schrödinger equations in non-smooth domains. In particular, as mentioned earlier, we deal with quasi-linear equations of $p$-Laplacian type which are the natural generalizations of the classical Schrödinger equation in the divergence setting. Moreover, the domains we consider here might be non-graph domains which are beyond the class of Lipschitz domains. We point out that the approach used in [39] cannot be applied to the nonlinear setting. Indeed, Shen in 39] derived the decay estimates for the fundamental solution by means of the Fefferman-Phong Lemma in 16 by introducing an auxiliary function $m(x, V)$ which is well-defined for $q \geq \frac{n}{2}$. Furthermore, on the boundary region we cannot make use of the flattening argument since our domain is supposed to be non-smooth. Therefore, an alternative approach must be adopted in order to handle the structures of the nonlinear operators and the non-smooth domains. In our best knowledge, the present paper is a new one treating $L^{q}$-estimates for Schrödinger equations in a non-linear setting and even for linear Schrödinger equations on non-smooth domains.

Now let us present our main equations. We are concerned with the Dirichlet problem for the quasi-linear Schrödinger equation of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{a}(x, D u)+V|u|^{p-2} u & & =-\operatorname{div}\left(|F|^{p-2} F\right) &  \tag{1.4}\\
\text { in } \quad \Omega \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $1<p<\infty, \Omega$ is open and bounded in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $n \geq 2$, and $V: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is non-negative and at least satisfies $V \in L^{n / p}(\Omega)$ if $p<n$ and $V \in L^{t}(\Omega)$ for some $t>1$ if $p \geq n$. A given vector valued function $\mathbf{a}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a Carathéodory function, that is, a is measurable in the $x$-variable and differentiable in the $\xi$-variable. We will always assume that a satisfies the following growth and ellipticity conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathbf{a}(x, \xi)|+\left|D_{\xi} \mathbf{a}(x, \xi)\right||\xi| \leq L|\xi|^{p-1} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\xi} \mathbf{a}(x, \xi) \eta \cdot \eta \geq \nu|\xi|^{p-2}|\eta|^{2} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for some constants $L, \nu$ with $0<\nu \leq$ $1 \leq L$. A prime example of the nonlinearlity $\mathbf{a}$ is

$$
\mathbf{a}(x, \xi)=a(x)|\xi|^{p-2} \xi, \quad \nu \leq a(\cdot) \leq L
$$

which is the $p$-Laplacian with the coefficient $a(\cdot)$. We also remark that the above condition (1.6) implies the monotonicity condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{a}(x, \xi)-\mathbf{a}(x, \eta)) \cdot(\xi-\eta) \geq c(p, \nu)\left(|\xi|^{2}+|\eta|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|\xi-\eta|^{2} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. In particular, if $p \geq 2$, it can be the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{a}(x, \xi)-\mathbf{a}(x, \eta)) \cdot(\xi-\eta) \geq c(p, \nu)|\xi-\eta|^{p} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the above basic setting, we say that $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution to the problem (1.4) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{a}(x, D u) \cdot D \varphi d x+\int_{\Omega} V|u|^{p-2} u \cdot \varphi d x=\int_{\Omega}|F|^{p-2} F \cdot D \varphi d x \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. We note that if $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega),\|D u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$ and $\|D u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}+$ $\left\|V^{\frac{1}{p}} u\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$ are equivalent by the condition of the potential $V$ and Sobolev-Poincaré's inequality, and that the existence and the uniqueness of the weak solution of (1.4) (even in the case of a non-zero Dirichlet boundary condition such that $u=g$ on $\Omega$ with $g \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ ) follow from the theory of nonlinear functional analysis, see for instance 40, Chapter 2].

For the potential $V: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ considered in the problem (1.4), we suppose that $V$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ for some $\gamma \in\left[\frac{n}{p}, n\right)$ when $p<n$ and for some $\gamma \in(1, n)$ when $p \geq n$. We say that $V: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ for some $\gamma>1$ if $V \in L_{l o c}^{\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and there exists a constant $b_{\gamma}>0$ such that the reverse Hölder inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} V^{\gamma} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq b_{\gamma}\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} V d x\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every ball $B$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. This $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ class which is a wide class including all nonnegative polynomials was introduced independently by Muckenhoupt [29] and Gehring 18 in the study of weighted norm inequalities and quasi-conformal mapping, respectively. One notable example of this element is $V(x)=|x|^{-n / \gamma}$ which actually belongs to the $\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{\gamma}}$ class for all $\tilde{\gamma}<\gamma$. Moreover, the $B_{\gamma}$ class is strongly connected to the Muckenhoupt class, for which we will discuss later in Section 3

Our main result is the global integrability of $D u$ and also $V^{\frac{1}{p}} u$ for the weak solutions $u$ to the problem (1.4) with respect to the one of $F$, under a suitable discontinuity condition on the nonlinearity a and a minimal structure condition on the boundary of the domain $\Omega$ that will be described later in Definition 2.1 and 2.2, respectively. More precisely, we prove that

$$
\begin{gather*}
F \in L^{q} \Longrightarrow D u \in L^{q} \text { for each }\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
q \in\left[p, \gamma^{*}(p-1)\right) & \text { when } \gamma \in\left[\frac{n}{p}, n\right) \\
q \in[p, \infty) & \text { when } \gamma \in[n, \infty)
\end{array}\right.  \tag{1.11}\\
F \in L^{q} \Longrightarrow V^{\frac{1}{p}} u \in L^{q} \quad \text { for each } p \leq q \leq p \gamma \tag{1.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

by obtaining relevant estimates, see Corollary 2.6 and Remark 2.7 in the next section. We would like to emphasize that for the Schrödinger equation (1.3), that is, the equation (1.4) when $p=2$ and $\mathbf{a}(x, \xi) \equiv \xi$, our results cover the ones in [39, Corollary 0.10] for $q \geq p=2$. Note that, in this linear case, the validity of the implications (1.11) and (1.12) for $\gamma^{*}<q<2$ can be achieved via the duality argument, see for instance 43].

For the equation (1.4) with the null potential, i.e., $V \equiv 0$, the $L^{q}$-estimates, which is sometimes called the (nonlinear) Calderón-Zygmund estimates, have been
widely studied by many authors. Iwaniec [22] first obtained the $L^{q}$-estimates for the $p$-Laplace equations with $p \geq 2$, and then DiBenedetto \& Manfredi [14] extended his result to the $p$-Laplace systems with $1<p<\infty$. Later, Caffarelli \& Peral [11] considered general equations of the $p$-Laplacian type with discontinuous nonlinearities. Furthermore, Acerbi \& Mingione generalized $L^{q}$-estimates for the parabolic $p$-Laplace systems with discontinuous coefficients 3]. We also refer to [9, 26, 30, 23, 32 for problems with $p$-Laplacian type and [2, 7, 8, 13, 33] for problems with nonstandard growth.

We briefly discuss the outline of the proof of the $L^{q}$-estimates. As mentioned earlier, our approach is different from the one used in [39] which is based on the linear operator theory. We adopt a perturbation argument which has turned out to be very useful for the study on the regularity theory for linear and nonlinear PDEs. In particular, we employ the method introduced by Acerbi \& Mingione in [3], see also 31 for its origin. To be more concrete, we apply an exit time argument to a nonlinear functional of $D u, V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|$ and $F$, in order to construct a suitable family of balls which covers the level set for $|D u|+V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|$. Then, on each ball, we compare our equation (1.4) with the homogeneous equation

$$
-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{a}(x, D w)+V|w|^{p-2} w=0
$$

The main part at this step is to find the maximal integrability of $D w$ and $V^{\frac{1}{p}} w$ with corresponding estimates. In view of the classical regularity theory we know the $L^{\infty}$-boundedness of $w$ (see Lemma 3.5), from which together with the result in our recent paper [26] (see Theorem3.3), we see that $D w \in L^{\gamma^{*}(p-1)}$ and $V^{\frac{1}{p}} w \in L^{p \gamma}$ (see Lemma 4.2). Here, we point out that the corresponding estimates (4.7) and (4.8) are derived in a very delicate way. Especially, at this stage, the $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ condition of $V$ plays a crucial role, so that we take advantage of the idea of Fefferman \& Phong in 16 to obtain the modified version of Fefferman-Phong Lemma (see Lemma 4.1). Then from those corresponding estimates, the $L^{q}$-estimates for $|D u|+V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|$ is derived by the comparison argument when $q \leq p \gamma$. Furthermore, applying the results in [26], we eventually obtain the $L^{q}$-estimates for $|D u|$ when $p \gamma<q \leq \gamma^{*}(p-1)$.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we state our main results with primary assumptions imposed on the nonlinearlity a and the domain $\Omega$. Section 3 deals with the basic properties of $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ class and the auxiliary lemmas to prove the main results. In Section 4 we show higher integrability of $D u$ and $V^{\frac{1}{p}} u$ for weak solutions $u$ to localized equations of our main problem (1.4) with $F \equiv 0$. In Section 5] we obtain the comparison estimates, and finally prove main results, Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.6, in Section 6.

## 2. Main result

We start this section with standard notation and definitions. We denote the open ball $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with center $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and radius $r>0$ by $B_{r}(y)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|x-y|<r\right\}$. We also denote $\Omega_{r}(y)=B_{r}(y) \cap \Omega$ and $\partial_{w} \Omega_{r}(y)=B_{r}(y) \cap \partial \Omega$. For the sake of simplicity, we write $B_{r}=B_{r}(0), B_{r}^{+}=B_{r}^{+}(0)$ and $\Omega_{r}=\Omega_{r}(0)$. We shall use the notation

$$
f_{U} g d x:=\frac{1}{|U|} \int_{U} g d x
$$

The following two definitions are associated with the main assumptions imposed on the nonlinearlity a and the domain $\Omega$.

Definition 2.1. We say that $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{a}(x, \xi)$ is ( $\delta, R)$-vanishing if

$$
\sup _{0<\rho \leq R} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f_{B_{\rho}(y)}\left|\Theta\left(\mathbf{a}, B_{\rho}(y)\right)(x)\right| d x \leq \delta
$$

where

$$
\Theta\left(\mathbf{a}, B_{\rho}(y)\right)(x):=\sup _{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\left|\mathbf{a}(x, \xi)-\overline{\mathbf{a}}_{B_{\rho}(y)}(\xi)\right|}{|\xi|^{p-1}}
$$

and

$$
\overline{\mathbf{a}}_{B_{\rho}(y)}(\xi):=f_{B_{\rho}(y)} \mathbf{a}(x, \xi) d x
$$

The above definition implies that the map $x \mapsto \mathbf{a}(x, \xi) /|\xi|^{-p}$ is a (locally) BMO function with the BMO semi-norm less than or equal to $\delta$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Hence we see that the nonlinearity a can be discontinuous for the $x$-variable. In particular, if $\mathbf{a}(x, \xi)=a(x)|\xi|^{p-2} \xi$, then this definition means that $a(\cdot)$ is a BMO function.
Definition 2.2. Given $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{8}\right)$ and $R>0$, we say that $\Omega$ is a $(\delta, R)$-Reifenberg flat domain if for every $x \in \partial \Omega$ and every $\rho \in(0, R]$, there exists a coordinate system $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}$ which may depend on $\rho$ and $x$, such that in this coordinate system $x=0$ and that

$$
B_{\rho}(0) \cap\left\{y_{n}>\delta \rho\right\} \subset B_{\rho}(0) \cap \Omega \subset B_{\rho}(0) \cap\left\{y_{n}>-\delta \rho\right\}
$$

In the above definition of the Reifenberg flat domain, $\delta$ is usually supposed to be less than $\frac{1}{8}$. This number comes from the Sobolev embedding, see for instance 42. However, it is not important since we will consider $\delta$ sufficiently small. We note that the Lipschitz domains with the Lipschitz constant less than or equal to $\delta$ belong to the class of $(\delta, R)$-Reifenberg flat domains for some $R>0$. In addition, we remark that the ( $\delta, R$ )-Reifenberg flat domain $\Omega$ has the following measure density conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sup _{0<\rho \leq R} \sup _{y \in \Omega} \frac{\left|B_{\rho}(y)\right|}{\left|\Omega \cap B_{\rho}(y)\right|} \leq\left(\frac{2}{1-\delta}\right)^{n} \leq\left(\frac{16}{7}\right)^{n},  \tag{2.1}\\
\inf _{0<\rho \leq R} \inf _{y \in \partial \Omega} \frac{\left|\Omega \cap B_{\rho}(y)\right|}{\left|B_{\rho}(y)\right|} \geq\left(\frac{7}{16}\right)^{n} \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

We refer to [10, 34, 36, 42] for more details on the Reifenberg flat domains and their applications.

Now let us state the main results in this paper.
Theorem 2.3. Let $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution to (1.4). Suppose that $V \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ for some $\gamma \in\left[\frac{n}{p}, n\right)$ when $p<n$ and for some $\gamma \in(1, n)$ when $p \geq n$. For $p \leq q<$ $\gamma^{*}(p-1)$, there exists a small $\delta=\delta(n, p, L, \nu)>0$ so that if $\mathbf{a}$ is $(\delta, R)$-vanishing and $\Omega$ is a $(\delta, R)$-Reifenberg flat domain for some $R \in(0,1)$, then we have for any $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $r \in\left(0, \frac{R}{4}\right]$ satisfying $(4 r)^{p-\frac{n}{\gamma}}\|V\|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f_{\Omega_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|D u|^{q}+\chi_{\{q<p \gamma\}}\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \quad \leq c\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|D u|^{p}+\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+c\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|F|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for some $c=c\left(n, p, q, \gamma, L, \nu, b_{\gamma}\right)>0$, where $\chi_{\{q<p \gamma\}}:=1$ if $q<p \gamma$ and $\chi_{\{q<p \gamma\}}:=$ 0 if $q \geq p \gamma$.

Remark 2.4. Let $\Omega$ be a $(\delta, R)$ Reifenberg flat domain for some small $\delta>0$ and $R>0$ and $V \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ with $\gamma \geq \frac{n}{p}$ and $p>1$. Define

$$
\rho(y, V):=\sup \left\{r \in(0, R]: r^{p-\frac{n}{\gamma}}\|V\|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{r}(y)\right)} \leq 1\right\}, \quad y \in \bar{\Omega}
$$

Then by Hölder's inequality, the $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ condition of $V$ and (2.1), we see that the function $\rho(y, V)$ is comparable to

$$
\tilde{\rho}(y, V):=\sup \left\{r \in(0, R]: \frac{1}{r^{n-p}} \int_{\Omega_{r}(y)} V d x \leq 1\right\}, \quad y \in \bar{\Omega}
$$

i.e. $\frac{1}{c} \tilde{\rho}(y, V) \leq \rho(y, V) \leq c \tilde{\rho}(y, V)$ for all $y \in \bar{\Omega}$ with constant $c$ independent of $y$. When $p=2$, recalling the function $m(y, V)$ defined in [39, Definition 1.3], we notice that $\tilde{\rho}(y, V)$ is a local version of $\frac{1}{m(y, V)}$. In view of this observation, it seems that the restriction $(4 r)^{p-\frac{n}{\gamma}}\|V\|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \leq 1$ in Theorem 2.3 is reasonable.

Remark 2.5. In Theorem [2.3, we can obtain the estimate (2.3) uniformly with respect to $x_{0}$ by taking $r>0$ such that

$$
r \leq \frac{1}{4} \min \left\{R,\|V\|_{L^{\gamma}(\Omega)}^{-\frac{\gamma}{\gamma^{\gamma}-n}}\right\}
$$

since this together with the fact that $p \gamma>n$ implies

$$
(4 r)^{p-\frac{n}{\gamma}}\|V\|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \leq(4 r)^{p-\frac{n}{\gamma}}\|V\|_{L^{\gamma}(\Omega)} \leq 1
$$

As a consequence of Theorem 2.3 and the preceding remark, we obtain the global gradient estimates for solutions to (1.4).

Corollary 2.6. Let $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution to (1.4). Suppose that $V \in$ $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ for some $\gamma \in\left[\frac{n}{p}, n\right)$ when $p<n$ and for some $\gamma \in(1, n)$ when $p \geq n$. For $p \leq q<\gamma^{*}(p-1)$, there exists a small $\delta=\delta(n, p, L, \nu) \in\left(0, \frac{1}{8}\right)$ so that if a is $(\delta, R)$-vanishing and $\Omega$ is a $(\delta, R)$-Reifenberg flat domain for some $R \in(0,1)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|D u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}+\chi_{\{q<p \gamma\}}\left\|V^{\frac{1}{p}} u\right\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq c\left(\frac{\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)}{\tilde{R}}\right)^{n\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}\|F\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c=c\left(n, p, q, \gamma, L, \nu, b_{\gamma}\right)>0$, where $\tilde{R}:=\min \left\{R,\|V\|_{L^{\gamma}(\Omega)}^{-\frac{1}{p-\frac{n}{\gamma}}}\right\}$. Here, $\chi_{\{q<p \gamma\}}:=1$ if $q<p \gamma$ and $\chi_{\{q<p \gamma\}}:=0$ if $q \geq p \gamma$.
Remark 2.7. If $V \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$, then $V$ belongs to the $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma+\epsilon}$ class for some small $\epsilon>0$ by virtue of the self improving property of the $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ class in Lemma 3.2 below. Therefore, by considering $\gamma+\epsilon$ instead of $\gamma$ in Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.6, the range of $q$ can be extended to $p \leq q \leq \gamma^{*}(p-1)$, and the estimates (2.3) and (2.4) can be
replaced by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(f_{\Omega_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|D u|^{q}+\chi_{\{q \leq p \gamma\}}\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \quad \leq c\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|D u|^{p}+\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+c\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|F|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\|D u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}+\chi_{\{q \leq p \gamma\}}\left\|V^{\frac{1}{p}} u\right\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq c\|F\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}
$$

respectively.
Finally, if the map $x \mapsto \mathbf{a}(x, \xi)|\xi|^{-(p-1)}$ is in VMO uniformly for the $\xi$-variable, that is,

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0}\left(\sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f_{B_{\rho}(y)}\left|\Theta\left(\mathbf{a}, B_{\rho}(y)\right)(x)\right| d x\right)=0
$$

and the boundary of $\Omega$ is $C^{1}$, we have the implications (1.11) and (1.12) for every $q$ in the ranges stated in there.

Remark 2.8. Under the assumption that $V \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ for some $\gamma \in[n, \infty)$, in stead of $\gamma \in\left(\frac{n}{p}, n\right)$, we see that the results of Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.6 hold for any $q \in[p, \infty)$. Indeed, if $V \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ for some $\gamma \in[n, \infty)$, it is easily seen that $V \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma^{\prime}}$ for any $\gamma^{\prime} \in(1, \gamma)$ with the constant $b_{\gamma^{\prime}}=b_{\gamma}$, by the definition of the $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ class. Then for any $q \in[p, \infty)$, choosing $\gamma^{\prime}=\gamma^{\prime}(n, p, q) \in\left(\frac{n}{p}, n\right)$ such that

$$
q<\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{*}(p-1)
$$

we consequently obtain the results of Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.6 for any $q \in$ $[p, \infty)$. Hence, we have the implications (1.11) for $\gamma \in[n, \infty)$ and (1.12).

## 3. Preliminaries

## 3.1. $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ class.

In order to introduce primary features of the $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ class, let us first recall the Muckenhoupt $A_{p}$ and $A_{\infty}$ classes. We say that nonnegative function $V \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is in the $A_{p}$ class, $V \in A_{p}$, for some $1 \leq p<\infty$ if and only if

$$
\sup _{B}\left(f_{B} V d x\right)\left(f_{B} V^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d x\right)^{p-1}<\infty
$$

and that $V \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is in the $A_{\infty}$ class, $V \in A_{\infty}$, if and only if

$$
\sup _{B}\left(f_{B} V d x\right) \exp \left(f_{B} \log V^{-1} d x\right)<\infty
$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. From the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ in (1.10), we notice that $V \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ for $\gamma \in(1, \infty)$ if and only if

$$
\sup _{B}\left(f_{B} V d x\right)^{-1}\left(f_{B} V^{\gamma} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}<\infty
$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, which is very similar to the condition of $A_{p}$, or $A_{\infty}$, class. Indeed, we have the following equivalent condition. For its proof, we refer to [20, Theorem 9.3.3].

Lemma 3.1. Let $V \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be nonnegative. The following are equivalent
(1) $V \in A_{\infty}$.
(2) There exist $\theta, \sigma \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\left|\left\{x \in B: V(x) \leq \theta f_{B} V d y\right\}\right| \leq \sigma|B|
$$

for every ball $B$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(3) $V \in B_{\gamma}$ for some $\gamma>1$.
(4) $V \in A_{p}$ form some $p>1$.

In particular, if $V \in A_{\infty}$, then there exists $\theta \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\left|\left\{x \in B: V(x) \leq \theta f_{B} V d y\right\}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}|B|
$$

for every ball $B$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, that is, one can choose that $\sigma=\frac{1}{2}$.
From the above equivalent conditions and the self improving property of the $A_{p}$ classes, one can deduce the self improving property of the $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ classes as follows.
Lemma 3.2. If $V \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ for some $\gamma>1$, then $V \in B_{\gamma+\epsilon}$ for some small $\epsilon>0$.

### 3.2. Gradient estimates for equations with mixed data.

The next two results are local Calderón-Zygmund estimates for elliptic equations of $p$-Laplace type involving mixed data. Let us consider the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrc}
-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{a}(x, D w) & =f-\operatorname{div}\left(|F|^{p-2} F\right) & & \text { in } \tag{3.1}
\end{array} \quad \Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right), ~ 子, ~ o n ~ \partial_{w} \Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right) \text { if } B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right) \not \subset \Omega .\right.
$$

Here, the 'mixed data' means $f-\operatorname{div}\left(|F|^{p-2} F\right)$. We note that if $f \equiv 0$, the Calderón-Zygmund estimates have been obtained in for instance [9, 30, and if $F \equiv 0$ and $2-\frac{1}{n}<p<n$, these can be found in for instance [37]. From those results, we can expect a similar result for the mixed problem (3.1), and the authors recently obtained the desired one in [26]. By the Sobolev's embedding, we consider two cases that $q>\max \left\{p, \frac{(p-1) n}{n-1}\right\}$ with $1<p<\infty$ and $p<q \leq \frac{(p-1) n}{n-1}$ with $p>n$.
Theorem 3.3. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $q>\max \left\{p, \frac{(p-1) n}{n-1}\right\}$. There exists a small $\delta=$ $\delta(n, L, \nu, p, q) \in\left(0, \frac{1}{8}\right)$ so that if $\mathbf{a}$ is $(\delta, R)$-vanishing and $\Omega$ is a $(\delta, R)$-Reifenberg flat domain for some $R \in(0,1)$, then for any $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}, r \in\left(0, \frac{R}{2}\right]$ and weak solution $w \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ of (3.1) with $F \in L^{q}\left(\Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ and $f \in L^{(q /(p-1))_{*}}\left(\Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(f_{\Omega_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|D u|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq & c\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|D u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+c\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|F|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& +c\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|r f|^{\left(\frac{q}{p-1}\right)_{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(\frac{q}{p-1}\right)_{*}(p-1)}} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for some $c=c(n, L, \nu, p, q)>0$.
Theorem 3.4. Let $n<p<\infty, p<q \leq \frac{(p-1) n}{n-1}$ and $1<\tilde{q}<n$. There exists a small $\delta=\delta(n, L, \nu, p, q) \in\left(0, \frac{1}{8}\right)$ so that if $\mathbf{a}$ is $(\delta, R)$-vanishing, $\Omega$ is a $(\delta, R)$ Reifenberg flat for some $R \in(0,1)$, then for any $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}, r \in\left(0, \frac{R}{2}\right]$ and for any weak
solution $w \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ of (3.1) with $F \in L^{q}\left(\Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ and $f \in L^{\tilde{q}}\left(\Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{\Omega_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|D w|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq & c\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|D w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+c\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|F|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& +c\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|r f|^{\tilde{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q(p-1)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $c=c(n, L, \nu, p, q, \tilde{q})>0$.

### 3.3. Auxiliary lemmas.

We first recall the local boundedness (up to boundaries) for weak solutions to the equation (1.4) with $F \equiv 0$, which is a classical regularity result and we refer to [25, Chapter 2.5] and [19, Chapter 7]. We point out that Reifenberg flat domains $\Omega$ considered in this paper have the measure density conditions (2.1) and (2.2), which are enough to obtain the boundedness for weak solutions.

Lemma 3.5. Let $1<p<\infty$ and suppose that the bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is ( $\delta, R$ )-Reifenberg flat for some $\delta \in(0,1 / 2)$ and $R>0$. Assume that a satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathbf{a}(x, \xi)| \leq L|\xi|^{p-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{a}(x, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq \nu|\xi|^{p} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for some $0<\nu \leq L$, and that the nonnegative function $V$ satisfies $V \in L^{\gamma}(\Omega)$ for some $\gamma \in\left(\frac{n}{p}, n\right)$ when $p<n$ and for some $\gamma>1$ when $p \geq n$. Then for any ball $B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ with $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $r \in\left(0, \frac{R}{2}\right]$ satisfying $(2 r)^{p-\frac{n}{\gamma}}\|V\|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \leq 1$, and for any weak solution $w \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rcccc}
-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{a}(x, D w)+V|w|^{p-2} w & = & 0 & \text { in } & \Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
w & = & 0 & \text { on } & \partial_{w} \Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right) \text { if } B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right) \not \subset \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

we have that

$$
\|w\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \leq c\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for some constant $c=c(n, p, L, \nu, \gamma)>0$.
Proof. Let us define the rescaled maps

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(x, \xi)=\mathbf{a}(r x, \xi), \tilde{w}(x)=\frac{w(r x)}{r}, \tilde{V}(x)=r^{p} V(r x), \text { and } \tilde{\Omega}=\left\{\frac{x}{r}: x \in \Omega\right\}
$$

Then one can check that ã satisfies the assumption (3.3) with the same constants $L$ and $\nu, \tilde{\Omega}$ is $\left(\delta, \frac{R}{r}\right)$-Reifenberg flat, $\tilde{V} \in L^{\gamma}(\tilde{\Omega})$, and $\tilde{w} \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ is a weak solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rcccc}
-\operatorname{div} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(x, D \tilde{w})+\tilde{V}|\tilde{w}|^{p-2} \tilde{w} & = & 0 & \text { in } & \tilde{\Omega}_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\tilde{w} & = & 0 & \text { on } & \partial_{w} \tilde{\Omega}_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) \text { if } B_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) \not \subset \tilde{\Omega}
\end{array}\right.
$$

By the classical local boundedness result (see, for instance, [25, Chapter 2.5] and [19, Chapter 7]), we see that

$$
\|\tilde{w}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\tilde{\Omega}_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \leq c\left(f_{\tilde{\Omega}_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)}|\tilde{w}|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for some constant $c=c\left(n, p, L, \nu, \gamma,\|\tilde{V}\|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\tilde{\Omega}_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}\right)>0$. Here, since the constant $c$ in the above estimate is increasing as a function of $\|\tilde{V}\|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\tilde{\Omega}_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}$ and

$$
\|\tilde{V}\|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\tilde{\Omega}_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \leq(2 r)^{p-\frac{n}{\gamma}}\|V\|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \leq 1
$$

$c$ can be replaced by a larger constant independent of $\|\tilde{V}\|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\tilde{\Omega}_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}$. Therefore, after scaling back, we can arrive at the desired bound of $w$.

The following is the standard iteration lemma, whose proof can be found in for instance 21.

Lemma 3.6. Let $g:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded nonnegative function. Suppose that for any $s_{1}, s_{2}$ with $0<a \leq s_{1}<s_{2} \leq b$,

$$
g\left(s_{1}\right) \leq \tau g\left(s_{2}\right)+\frac{A}{\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)^{\beta}}+B
$$

where $A, B \geq 0, \beta>0$ and $0 \leq \tau<1$. Then we have

$$
g\left(s_{1}\right) \leq c\left(\frac{A}{\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)^{\beta}}+B\right)
$$

for some constant $c=c(\beta, \tau)>0$.
We end this section by introducing a basic inequality which will be used later. Although its proof is elementary, we shall give it in detail for the sake of readability.

Lemma 3.7. For any function $g \in W^{1, p}\left(B_{r}\right)$ with any $r>0$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{r^{n+p}} \int_{B_{r}} \int_{B_{r}}|g(x)-g(y)|^{p} d x d y \leq c \int_{B_{r}}|D g(x)|^{p} d x
$$

for some $c=c(n, p)>0$.
Proof. Without loss of generality, we shall assume that $g \in C^{1}\left(B_{r}\right)$. Using Hölder's inequality, Fubini's theorem and the fact that $|x-y| \leq 2 r$, we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{r}} \int_{B_{r}}|g(x)-g(y)|^{p} d x d y & =\int_{B_{r}} \int_{B_{r}}\left|\int_{0}^{1} D g(t(x-y)+y) \cdot(x-y) d t\right|^{p} d x d y \\
& \leq(2 r)^{p} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{B_{r}} \int_{B_{r}}|D g(t(x-y)+y)|^{p} d x d y d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we point out that $t(x-y)+y \in B_{r}$ for any $x, y \in B_{r}$. Then we use a change of variable with $x=\tilde{x}+y$ and apply Fubini's theorem to obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{r}} \int_{B_{r}}|g(x)-g(y)|^{p} d x d y & \leq(2 r)^{p} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{B_{r}} \int_{B_{r}(-y)}|D g(t \tilde{x}+y)|^{p} d \tilde{x} d y d t \\
& \leq(2 r)^{p} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{B_{2 r}} \int_{B_{\left.r-\frac{\mid \tilde{x}}{2} \right\rvert\,}\left(-\frac{\tilde{x}}{2}\right)}|D g(t \tilde{x}+y)|^{p} d y d \tilde{x} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $B_{r-\frac{\mid \tilde{x}}{2}}\left(t \tilde{x}-\frac{\tilde{x}}{2}\right) \subset B_{r}$ for any $\tilde{x} \in B_{2 r}$ and any $t \in(0,1)$. Hence, by letting $\tilde{y}=t \tilde{x}+y$, we have

$$
\left.\int_{B_{r-\frac{|\tilde{x}|}{2}\left(-\frac{\tilde{x}}{2}\right)}|D g(t \tilde{x}+y)|^{p} d y=\int_{B_{r-\frac{|\tilde{x}|}{2}}\left(t \tilde{x}-\frac{\tilde{x}}{2}\right)}|D g(\tilde{y})|^{p} d \tilde{y} \leq \int_{B_{r}}|D g(\tilde{y})|^{p} d \tilde{y},{ }^{2},} \right\rvert\,
$$

which implies that

$$
\int_{B_{r}} \int_{B_{r}}|g(x)-g(y)|^{p} d x d y \leq(2 r)^{n+p}\left|B_{1}\right| \int_{B_{r}}|D g(\tilde{y})|^{p} d \tilde{y}
$$

This completes the proof.

## 4. Gradient estimates for homogenous equations

In this section we obtain gradient estimates for weak solutions to localized equations of (1.4) with $F \equiv 0$. Let us start with the following lemma, which is in fact a key lemma in our proofs.

Lemma 4.1. Let $1<p<\infty$ and suppose $V \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ for some $\gamma \in\left[\frac{n}{p}, n\right)$ when $p<n$ and for some $\gamma \in(1, n)$ when $p \geq n$. Then for any function $w \in W^{1, p}\left(B_{r}\right)$ with $0<r<1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(f_{B_{r}}\left|\frac{w}{r}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(f_{B_{r}}\left[r^{p} V\right]^{\gamma} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p \gamma}} \leq c \max \left\{\left(f_{B_{r}}\left[r^{p} V\right]^{\gamma} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p \gamma}}, 1\right\} \\
&4.1) \times\left[\left(f_{B_{r}}|D w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(f_{B_{r}} V|w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right] \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

for some constant $c=c\left(n, p, b_{\gamma}\right)>0$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$
\int_{B_{r}}|D w(x)|^{p} d x \geq \frac{c}{r^{n+p}} \int_{B_{r}} \int_{B_{r}}|w(x)-w(y)|^{p} d x d y
$$

Moreover, we also have

$$
\int_{B_{r}} V(x)|w(x)|^{p} d x=\frac{1}{r^{n}\left|B_{1}\right|} \int_{B_{r}} \int_{B_{r}} V(y)|w(y)|^{p} d x d y
$$

Then we have that for any constant $c_{0}>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{r}}|D w(x)|^{p} d x+\int_{B_{r}} V(x)|w(x)|^{p} d x \\
& \quad \geq \frac{c}{\max \left\{c_{0}, 1\right\} r^{n}}\left(\int_{B_{r}} \int_{B_{r}} \frac{c_{0}|w(x)-w(y)|^{p}}{r^{p}} d y d x\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{B_{r}} \int_{B_{r}} V(y)|w(y)|^{p} d y d x\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that it is easily seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{c_{0}|w(x)-w(y)|^{p}}{r^{p}}+V(y)|w(y)|^{p} \\
& \quad \geq \min \left\{\frac{c_{0}}{r^{p}}, V(y)\right\}\left(|w(x)-w(y)|^{p}+|w(y)|^{p}\right) \geq \min \left\{\frac{c_{0}}{r^{p}}, V(y)\right\} \frac{|w(x)|^{p}}{2^{p-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, inserting this into (4.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{r}}|D w(x)|^{p} d x+\int_{B_{r}}|w(x)|^{p} V(x) d x \\
& \quad \geq \frac{c}{\max \left\{c_{0}, 1\right\} r^{n}} \int_{B_{r}}\left(\int_{B_{r}} \min _{y \in B_{r}}\left\{\frac{c_{0}}{r^{p}}, V(y)\right\} d y\right)|w(x)|^{p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, since $V \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$, by Lemma 3.1 there exists $\theta>0$ such that

$$
\left|\left\{x \in B: V(x) \geq \theta f_{B} V(y) d y\right\}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}|B|
$$

for every ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then we take

$$
c_{0}:=\theta r^{p} f_{B_{r}} V(y) d y
$$

to discover that

$$
\int_{B_{r}} \min _{y \in B_{r}}\left\{\frac{c_{0}}{r^{p}}, V(y)\right\} d y \geq \frac{c_{0}}{2 r^{p}}\left|B_{r}\right|=\frac{c_{0} r^{n-p}\left|B_{1}\right|}{2}
$$

Therefore we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{c_{0} r^{-p}}{\max \left\{c_{0}, 1\right\}} \int_{B_{r}}|w|^{p} d x & \leq \frac{c}{\max \left\{c_{0}, 1\right\} r^{n}} \int_{B_{r}} \int_{B_{r}} \min _{y \in B_{r}}\left\{\frac{c_{0}}{r^{p}}, V(y)\right\}|w(x)|^{p} d y d x \\
& \leq c\left(\int_{B_{r}}|D w|^{p} d x+\int_{B_{r}} V|w|^{p} d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c_{0}}{\max \left\{c_{0}, 1\right\}} f_{B_{r}}\left|\frac{w}{r}\right|^{p} d x \leq c\left(f_{B_{r}}|D w|^{p} d x+f_{B_{r}} V|w|^{p} d x\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this stage, if $c_{0}<1$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(f_{B_{r}} r^{p} V d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(f_{B_{r}}\left|\frac{w}{r}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=\left(c_{0} f_{B_{r}}\left|\frac{w}{r}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq c\left[\left(f_{B_{r}}|D w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(f_{B_{r}} V|w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Using this and the fact $V \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f_{B_{r}}\left|\frac{w}{r}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(f_{B_{r}}\left[r^{p} V\right]^{\gamma} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p \gamma}} \\
& \leq b_{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(f_{B_{r}}\left|\frac{w}{r}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(f_{B_{r}} r^{p} V d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq c b_{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left[\left(f_{B_{r}}|D w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(f_{B_{r}} V|w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right] \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Otherwise, that is, if $c_{0} \geq 1$, we see from (4.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{B_{r}}\left|\frac{w}{r}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c\left[\left(f_{B_{r}}|D w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(f_{B_{r}} V|w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right] \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then combining (4.4) and (4.5), we finally obtain the desired estimate (4.1).
Now, let us consider a weak solution $w \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rcccc}
-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{a}(x, D w)+V|w|^{p-2} w & = & 0 & \text { in } & \Omega_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
w & = & 0 & \text { on } & \partial_{w} \Omega_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right) \text { if } B_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right) \not \subset \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

and then we can obtain its gradient estimates as follows.

Lemma 4.2. Let $1<p<\infty$, and suppose that $\mathbf{a}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfies (1.5) and (1.6) and $V \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ for some $\gamma \in\left[\frac{n}{p}, n\right)$ when $p<n$ and for some $\gamma \in(1, n)$ when $p \geq n$. There exists a small $\delta=\delta(n, p, \gamma, \Lambda, \nu)>0$ so that if $\mathbf{a}$ is $(\delta, R)$-vanishing and $\Omega$ is $(\delta, R)$-Reifenberg flat for some $R \in(0,1)$, then for any $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}, r \in\left(0, \frac{R}{4}\right]$ satisfying $(4 r)^{p-\frac{n}{\gamma}}\|V\|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \leq 1$, and for any weak solution $w \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ of (4.6) we have $|D w| \in L^{\gamma^{*}(p-1)}\left(\Omega_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ with the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f_{\Omega_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|D w|^{\gamma^{*}(p-1)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma^{*}(p-1)}} \\
& \quad \leq c\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|D w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+c\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right)} V|w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, we have $V^{\frac{1}{p}}|w| \in L^{p \gamma}\left(\Omega_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ with the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f_{\Omega_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|w|\right]^{p \gamma} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p \gamma}} \\
& \quad \leq c\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right)}|D w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+c\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right)} V|w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the constants $c>0$ in the above estimates depend on $n, p, \gamma, \nu, L$ and $b_{\gamma}$.
Proof. For simplicity we shall denote $\Omega_{\rho}:=\Omega_{\rho}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $B_{\rho}:=B_{\rho}\left(x_{0}\right)$ for any $\rho>0$ in this proof. We first observe that, in view of Lemma 3.5 with $r$ replaced by $2 r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{2 r}\right)} \leq c\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}}|w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from the fact $V \in L^{\gamma}(\Omega)$, we see that $V|w|^{p-2} w \in L^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{2 r}\right)$. Therefore, applying Theorem 3.3 with $q=\gamma^{*}(p-1), f=V|w|^{p-2} w$ and $F=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f_{\Omega_{r}}|D w|^{\gamma^{*}(p-1)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma^{*}(p-1)}} \\
& \quad \leq c\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}|D w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+c\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}\left[r V|w|^{p-1}\right]^{\gamma} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma(p-1)}} \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

We now estimate the last term on the right hand side in the previous inequality. Using (4.9) and (4.1) with the assumption $(4 r)^{p-\frac{n}{\gamma}}\|V\|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{4 r}\right)} \leq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}\left[r V|w|^{p-1}\right]^{\gamma} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma(p-1)}}
\end{align*} \leq \frac{\|w\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{2 r}\right)}^{r} \leq c\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}}\left|\frac{w}{r}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(4.11)} \leq c\left[\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}}|D w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}} V|w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right] .
$$

Here, we let $w \equiv 0$ in $B_{4 r} \backslash \Omega$ and have used (2.1). Hence, inserting (4.11) into (4.10), we obtain (4.7). In the same way as (4.11), we can derive (4.8).

## 5. Comparison estimates

In this section, we shall derive comparison estimates between the weak solution to (1.4) and weak solutions to localized equations of (1.4) with $F \equiv 0$.

Lemma 5.1. Let $1<p<\infty$, and suppose that $\mathbf{a}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfies (1.5)(1.6). For any $\epsilon \in(0,1)$, there exists a small $\delta=\delta(\epsilon, n, p, L, \nu) \in(0,1)$ such that if $u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is the weak solution to (1.4) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}}\left[|D u|+V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\lambda \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}}|F|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\delta \lambda \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $r>0$ and $\lambda>0$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\Omega_{4 r}}|D u-D w|^{p}+V|u-w|^{p} d x \leq \epsilon \lambda^{p} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{4 r}\right)$ is the unique weak solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlll}
-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{a}(x, D w)+V|w|^{p-2} w & = & 0 & \text { in }  \tag{5.4}\\
w & = & \Omega_{4 r} \\
\text { on } & \partial \Omega_{4 r} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. We first test the equations (5.4) with the testing function $\varphi=w-u$ in order to discover

$$
\int_{\Omega_{4 r}} \mathbf{a}(x, D w) \cdot(D w-D u) d x+\int_{\Omega_{4 r}} V|w|^{p-2} w \cdot(w-u) d x=0
$$

and then, in view of (1.5) and (1.7), we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega_{4 r}}|D w|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega_{4 r}} V|w|^{p} d x \leq c \int_{\Omega_{4 r}}|D w|^{p-1}|D u| d x+c \int_{\Omega_{4 r}} V|w|^{p-1}|u| d x
$$

Therefore, applying Young's inequality and (5.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\Omega_{4 r}}|D w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(\int_{\Omega_{4 r}} V|w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c \lambda \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next test the equations (1.4) and (5.4) with the testing function $\varphi=u-w$ in order to discover

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{4 r}}(\mathbf{a}(x, D u)-\mathbf{a}(x, D w)) \cdot(D u-D w) d x \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega_{4 r}} V\left(|u|^{p-2} u-|w|^{p-2} w\right) \cdot(u-w) d x=\int_{\Omega_{4 r}}|F|^{p-2} F \cdot(D u-D w) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of the monotonicity condition (1.7), we derive that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\Omega_{4 r}}\left(|D u|^{2}+|D w|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|D u-D w|^{2} d x \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega_{4 r}} V\left(|u|^{2}+|w|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|u-w|^{2} d x \leq c f_{\Omega_{4 r}}|F|^{p-1}|D u-D w| d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that if $p \geq 2$, by (1.8) we have

$$
f_{\Omega_{4 r}}|D u-D w|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega_{4 r}} V|u-w|^{p} d x \leq c f_{\Omega_{4 r}}|F|^{p-1}|D u-D w| d x
$$

On the other hand, if $1<p<2$, then by Young's inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|D u-D w|^{p} & =|D u-D w|^{p}\left(|D u|^{2}+|D w|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p(p-2)}{4}}\left(|D u|^{2}+|D w|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{4}} \\
& \leq \kappa\left(|D u|^{2}+|D w|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}+c(\kappa)\left(|D u|^{2}+|D w|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|D u-D w|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
V|u-w|^{p} \leq \kappa V\left(|u|^{2}+|w|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}+c(\kappa) V\left(|u|^{2}+|w|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|u-w|^{2}
$$

for any small $\kappa>0$. Therefore, combining the above results with (5.1), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\Omega_{4 r}}|D u-D w|^{p} d x+f_{\Omega_{4 r}} V|u-w|^{p} d x \\
& \quad \leq \\
& \quad \kappa f_{\Omega_{4 r}}\left(|D u|^{2}+|D w|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d x+\kappa f_{\Omega_{4 r}} V\left(|u|^{2}+|w|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d x \\
& \quad+c(\kappa) f_{\Omega_{4 r}}\left(|D u|^{2}+|D w|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|D u-D w|^{2} d x \\
& \quad+c(\kappa) f_{\Omega_{4 r}} V\left(|u|^{2}+|w|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|u-w|^{2} d x \\
& \leq \\
& \leq \\
& \quad \\
& \quad \\
& \\
& \quad \kappa \lambda^{p}+c(\kappa) f_{\Omega_{4 r}}|F|^{p-1}|D u-D w| d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $c_{1}=c_{1}(n, p, L, \nu)>0$ and $c(\kappa)=c(\kappa, n, p, L, \nu) \geq 1$.
Therefore, in any case, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\Omega_{4 r}}|D u-D w|^{p} d x+f_{\Omega_{4 r}} V|u-w|^{p} d x \\
& \quad \leq c_{1} \kappa \lambda^{p}+c(\kappa, \tau) f_{\Omega_{4 r}}|F|^{p} d x+c(\kappa) \tau f_{\Omega_{4 r}}|D u-D w|^{p} d x \\
& \quad \leq c_{1} \kappa \lambda^{p}+c(\kappa, \tau) \delta^{p} \lambda^{p}+c(\kappa) \tau f_{\Omega_{4 r}}|D u-D w|^{p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for any small $\kappa, \tau>0$ and for some $c(\kappa, \tau)=c(\kappa, \tau, n, p, L, \nu) \geq 1$. Here, we have used Young's inequality and (5.2). Taking $\kappa, \tau$ and $\delta$ sufficiently small such that

$$
\kappa=\frac{\epsilon}{4 c_{1}}, \quad \tau=\frac{1}{2 c(\kappa)} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta=\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4 c(\kappa, \tau)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

we finally obtain (5.3).
We notice that $\gamma^{*}(p-1)>\max \left\{p, \frac{n(p-1)}{n-1}\right\}$. Therefore, applying the results in Lemma 4.2 to the weak solution $w$ of (5.4) in the previous lemma, we obtain the following gradient estimates.

Lemma 5.2. Let $1<p<\infty$, and suppose that $\mathbf{a}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfies (1.5)(1.6) and $V \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ for some $\gamma \in\left[\frac{n}{p}, n\right)$ when $p<n$ and for some $\gamma \in(1, n)$ when $p \geq n$. There exists a small $\delta=\delta(n, p, \gamma, L, \nu)>0$ such that if $\mathbf{a}$ is $(\delta, R)$-vanishing, $\Omega$ is $(\delta, R)$-Reifenberg flat and $u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is the weak solution to (1.4) with (5.1)
and (5.2) for some $r \in\left(0, \frac{R}{4}\right]$ satisfying $(4 r)^{p-\frac{n}{\gamma}}\|V\|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{4 r}\right)} \leq 1$ and $\lambda>0$, then we have

$$
\left(f_{\Omega_{r}}|D w|^{\gamma^{*}(p-1)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma^{*}(p-1)}} \leq c \lambda
$$

and

$$
\left(f_{\Omega_{r}}\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|w|\right]^{p \gamma} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p \gamma}} \leq c \lambda
$$

for some $c=c\left(n, p, \gamma, L, \nu, b_{\gamma}\right)>0$, where $w$ is the unique weak solution to (5.4).
Proof. The estimates above directly follow from (4.7), (4.8) and (5.5).

## 6. $L^{q}$-ESTIMATES

Now we are ready to prove our main results, Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.6 As we mentioned in the introduction, we employ so-called an exit-time argument introduced by Mingione in [3, 31.

### 6.1. Proof of Theorem 2.3.

Assume that a : $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is $(\delta, R)$-vanishing and $\Omega$ is $(\delta, R)$-Reifenberg flat for some $R \in(0,1)$, where $\delta \in(0,1)$ will be chosen sufficiently small later. Now, we prove the estimate (2.3). Fix any $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $r>0$ satisfying $r \leq \frac{R}{4}$ and $(4 r)^{p-\frac{n}{\gamma}}\|V\|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \leq 1$. Note that

$$
\rho^{p-\frac{n}{\gamma}}\|V\|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{\rho}(y)\right)} \leq 1
$$

for any $B_{\rho}(y) \subset B_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ with $y \in B_{4 r}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap \bar{\Omega}$. For the sake of simplicity, we shall write $\Omega_{\rho}:=\Omega_{\rho}\left(x_{0}\right), \rho>0$. Also, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(u, V):=|D u|+V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u| \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $\lambda, \rho>0$

$$
E(\lambda, \rho):=\left\{x \in \Omega_{\rho}: \Phi(u, V)(x)>\lambda\right\}
$$

The proof goes in five steps.
Step 1. Covering argument.
Fix any $s_{1}, s_{2}$ with $1 \leq s_{1}<s_{2} \leq 2$. Then we have $\Omega_{r} \subset \Omega_{s_{1} r} \subset \Omega_{s_{2} r} \subset \Omega_{2 r}$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}:=\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{1}{\delta}\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}|F|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider $\lambda>0$ large enough so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda>A \lambda_{0}, \quad \text { where } A:=\left(\frac{16}{7}\right)^{\frac{n}{p}}\left(\frac{40}{s_{2}-s_{1}}\right)^{\frac{n}{p}} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $\Omega_{\rho}(y) \subset \Omega_{2 r}$ for any $y \in E\left(\lambda, s_{1} r\right)$ and any $\rho \in\left(0,\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right) r\right]$. By virtue of the measure density condition (2.1) and the definition of $\lambda_{0}$ in (6.2), we then deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(f_{\Omega_{\rho}(y)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{1}{\delta}\left(f_{\Omega_{\rho}(y)}|F|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{\left|\Omega_{2 r}\right|}{\left|\Omega_{\rho}(y)\right|}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_{0} \leq\left(\frac{16}{7}\right)^{\frac{n}{p}}\left(\frac{2 r}{\rho}\right)^{\frac{n}{p}} \lambda_{0} \leq A \lambda_{0}<\lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

provided that

$$
\frac{\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right) r}{20} \leq \rho \leq\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right) r
$$

On the other hand, Lebesgue's differentiation theorem yields that for almost every $y \in E\left(\lambda, s_{1} r\right)$,

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0}\left[\left(f_{\Omega_{\rho}(y)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{1}{\delta}\left(f_{\Omega_{\rho}(y)}|F|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right]>\lambda
$$

Therefore the continuity of the integral implies that for almost every $y \in E\left(\lambda, s_{1} r\right)$, there exists

$$
\rho_{y}=\rho(y) \in\left(0, \frac{\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right) r}{20}\right)
$$

such that

$$
\left(f_{\Omega_{\rho_{y}}(y)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{1}{\delta}\left(f_{\Omega_{\rho_{y}}(y)}|F|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=\lambda
$$

and, for any $\rho \in\left(\rho_{y},\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right) r\right]$,

$$
\left(f_{\Omega_{\rho}(y)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{1}{\delta}\left(f_{\Omega_{\rho}(y)}|F|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\lambda
$$

Applying Vitali's covering theorem, we have the following:
Lemma 6.1. Given $\lambda>A \lambda_{0}$, there exists a disjoint family of $\left\{\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ with $y^{i} \in E\left(\lambda, s_{1} r\right)$ and $\rho_{i} \in\left(0, \frac{\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right) r}{20}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{1}{\delta}\left(f_{\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)}|F|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=\lambda \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any $\rho \in\left(\rho_{i},\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right) r\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{1}{\delta}\left(f_{\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)}|F|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\lambda . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we can deduce from Lemma 6.1, in particular, (6.4), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x \geq\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{p} \quad \text { or } \quad f_{\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)}|F|^{p} d x \geq\left(\frac{\delta \lambda}{2}\right)^{p} . \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the first inequality holds, we have

$$
\left|\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)\right| \leq \frac{2^{p}}{\lambda^{p}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right) \cap\left\{\Phi(u, V)>\frac{\lambda}{2^{(p+1) / p}}\right\}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x+\frac{\left|\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)\right| \lambda^{p}}{2^{p+1}}\right)
$$

and so

$$
\left|\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)\right| \leq \frac{2^{p+1}}{\lambda^{p}} \int_{\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right) \cap\left\{\Phi(u, V)>\frac{\lambda}{2^{(p+1) / p}}\right\}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x .
$$

Similarly, if the second inequality in (6.6) holds, we have

$$
\left|\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)\right| \leq \frac{2^{p+1}}{(\delta \lambda)^{p}} \int_{\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right) \cap\left\{|F|>\frac{\lambda \delta}{2^{(p+1) / p}}\right\}}|F|^{p} d x
$$

Therefore, in any case, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)\right| \leq \frac{2^{p+1}}{\lambda^{p}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right) \cap\left\{\Phi(u, V)>\frac{\lambda}{2^{(p+1) / p}}\right\}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x\right. \\
&\left.+\int_{\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right) \cap\left\{\frac{|F|}{}>\frac{\lambda}{2^{(p+1) / p}}\right\}}\left[\frac{|F|}{\delta}\right]^{p} d x\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 2. Comparison estimates.
From Lemma 6.1 in particular, (6.5), we note that

$$
\left(f_{\Omega_{20 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)}\left[|D u|+V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\lambda \quad \text { and } \quad\left(f_{\Omega_{20 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)}|F|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\delta \lambda
$$

Then applying Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2, for any $\epsilon \in(0,1)$, there exists a small $\delta=\delta(\epsilon, n, p, \gamma, L, \nu)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(f_{\Omega_{20 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)}\left|D u-D w_{i}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(f_{\Omega_{2 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)}\left|V^{\frac{1}{p}} u-V^{\frac{1}{p}} w_{i}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \epsilon \lambda  \tag{6.8}\\
\left(f_{\Omega_{5 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)}\left|D w_{i}\right|^{\gamma^{*}(p-1)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma^{*}(p-1)}} \leq c \lambda \tag{6.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{\Omega_{5 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)}\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}\left|w_{i}\right|\right]^{p \gamma} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p \gamma}} \leq c \lambda \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{i} \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{20 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)\right)$ is the unique weak solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlll}
-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{a}\left(x, D w_{i}\right)+V\left|w_{i}\right|^{p-2} w_{i} & = & 0 & \text { in } \quad \Omega_{20 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right) \\
w_{i} & = & u & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega_{20 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Furthermore, recalling the definition of $\Phi$ in (6.1) and the fact $\gamma^{*}(p-1)>p \gamma$, we have from (6.9) and (6.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\Omega_{5 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)}\left[\Phi\left(w_{i}, V\right)\right]^{p \gamma} d x \leq c \lambda^{p \gamma} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c=c\left(n, p, \gamma, L, \nu, b_{\gamma}\right)>0$.
Step 3. Estimates for $\Phi(u, V)$.
Let $y \in \Omega_{5 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)$ such that $\Phi(u, V)(y)>K \lambda$, where $K \geq 1$ will be chosen later. We then note that

$$
\Phi(u, V)(y) \leq \Phi\left(w_{i}, V\right)(y)+\left|D u(y)-D w_{i}(y)\right|+[V(y)]^{\frac{1}{p}}\left|u(y)-w_{i}(y)\right|
$$

Here, we need to consider the two cases:
(i) $\quad \Phi\left(w_{i}, V\right)(y) \leq\left|D u(y)-D w_{i}(y)\right|+[V(y)]^{\frac{1}{p}}\left|u(y)-w_{i}(y)\right|$,
(ii) $\quad \Phi\left(w_{i}, V\right)(y)>\left|D u(y)-D w_{i}(y)\right|+[V(y)]^{\frac{1}{p}}\left|u(y)-w_{i}(y)\right|$.

For the case (i), it is clear that

$$
\Phi(u, V)(y) \leq 2\left(\left|D u(y)-D w_{i}(y)\right|+[V(y)]^{\frac{1}{p}}\left|u(y)-w_{i}(y)\right|\right)
$$

For the case (ii), we have that

$$
K \lambda<\Phi(u, V)(y) \leq 2 \Phi\left(w_{i}, V\right)(y)
$$

from which, it follows that

$$
\Phi(u, V)(y) \leq 2 \Phi\left(w_{i}, V\right)(y)\left[\frac{2 \Phi\left(w_{i}, V\right)(y)}{K \lambda}\right]^{\gamma-1}=\frac{2^{\gamma}}{(K \lambda)^{\gamma-1}}\left[\Phi\left(w_{i}, V\right)(y)\right]^{\gamma}
$$

In turn, for the both cases (i) and (ii), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\Phi(u, V)(y)]^{p} \leq } & 2^{2 p-1}\left(\left|D u(y)-D w_{i}(y)\right|^{p}+V(y)\left|u(y)-w_{i}(y)\right|^{p}\right) \\
& +\frac{2^{p \gamma}}{(K \lambda)^{p \gamma-p}}\left[\Phi\left(w_{i}, V\right)(y)\right]^{p \gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $y \in \Omega_{5 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)$ such that $\Phi(u, V)(y)>K \lambda$.
Then applying (6.8)-(6.11), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_{5 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right) \cap E\left(K \lambda, s_{2} r\right)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x \leq & c \int_{\Omega_{5 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)}\left[\left|D u-D w_{i}\right|^{p}+V\left|u-w_{i}\right|^{p}\right] d x \\
& +\frac{c}{(K \lambda)^{p \gamma-p}} \int_{\Omega_{5 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)}\left[\Phi\left(w_{i}, V\right)(y)\right]^{p \gamma} d x \\
\leq & c\left(\epsilon \lambda^{p}+\frac{\lambda^{p \gamma}}{(K \lambda)^{p \gamma-p}}\right)\left|\Omega_{5 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)\right| \\
\leq & c \lambda^{p}\left(\epsilon+\frac{1}{K^{p \gamma-p}}\right)\left|\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)\right| \\
= & c \tilde{\epsilon} \lambda^{p}\left|\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $c=c\left(n, p, \gamma, L, \nu, b_{\gamma}\right)>0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\epsilon}:=\epsilon+\frac{1}{K^{p \gamma-p}} . \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, inserting (6.7) into the previous estimate, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{5 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right) \cap E\left(K \lambda, s_{1} r\right)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x \leq c \tilde{\epsilon}\left(\int_{\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right) \cap\left\{\Phi(u, V)>\frac{\lambda}{\left.2^{(p+1) / p}\right\}}\right.}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x\right. \\
&\left.+\int_{\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right) \cap\left\{\frac{|F|}{\delta}>\frac{\lambda \delta}{\left.2^{(p+1) / p}\right\}}\right.}\left[\frac{|F|}{\delta}\right]^{p} d x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Lemma 6.1, we note that $\Omega_{\rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right)$ is mutually disjoint and

$$
E\left(K \lambda, s_{1} r\right) \subset E\left(\lambda, s_{1} r\right) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{5 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right) \subset \Omega_{s_{2} r}
$$

since $K \geq 1$. Then we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{E\left(K \lambda, s_{1} r\right)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x \leq & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega_{5 \rho_{i}}\left(y^{i}\right) \cap E\left(K \lambda, s_{1} r\right)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x \\
\leq & c \tilde{\epsilon}\left(\int_{\Omega_{s_{2} r} \cap\left\{\Phi(u, V)>\frac{\lambda}{\left.2^{(p+1) / p}\right\}}\right.}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{\Omega_{s_{2} r} \cap\left\{\frac{|F|}{\delta}>\frac{\lambda}{\left.2^{(p+1) / p}\right\}}\right.}\left[\frac{|F|}{\delta}\right]^{p} d x\right) \tag{6.13}
\end{align*}
$$

for some constant $c=c\left(n, p, \gamma, L, \nu, b_{\gamma}\right)>0$.
Step 4. Proof of (2.3) when $q \in(p, p \gamma)$.
We shall use a truncation argument. For $k \geq A \lambda_{0}$, let us define

$$
\Phi(u, V)_{k}:=\min \{\Phi(u, V), k\}
$$

and denote the upper level sets with respect to $\Phi(u, V)_{k}$ by

$$
E_{k}(\tilde{\lambda}, \rho):=\left\{y \in \Omega_{\rho}: \Phi(u, V)_{k}>\tilde{\lambda}\right\} \text { for } \tilde{\lambda}, \rho>0
$$

Then since $E_{k}\left(K \lambda, s_{1} r\right) \subset E\left(K \lambda, s_{1} r\right)$ and

$$
\left\{\Phi(u, V)_{k}>\frac{\lambda}{2^{(p+1) / p}}\right\}=\left\{\Phi(u, V)>\frac{\lambda}{2^{(p+1) / p}}\right\}
$$

we see from (6.13) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k}\left(K \lambda, s_{1} r\right)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x \leq c \tilde{\epsilon}\left(\int_{E_{k}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\left.2^{(p+1) / p}, s_{2} r\right)}\right.}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x\right. \\
&\left.+\int_{\Omega_{s_{2} r} \cap\left\{\frac{|F|}{\delta}>\frac{\lambda}{2^{(p+1) / p}}\right\}}\left[\frac{|F|}{\delta}\right]^{p} d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by multiplying both sides by $\lambda^{q-p-1}$ and integrating with respect to $\lambda$ over $\left(A \lambda_{0}, \infty\right)$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{0}:= & \int_{A \lambda_{0}}^{\infty} \lambda^{q-p-1} \int_{E_{k}\left(K \lambda, s_{1} r\right)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x d \lambda \\
\leq & c \tilde{\epsilon}\left(\int_{A \lambda_{0}}^{\infty} \lambda^{q-p-1} \int_{E_{k}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2^{(p+1) / p}, s_{2} r}\right)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x d \lambda\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{A \lambda_{0}}^{\infty} \lambda^{q-p-1} \int_{\Omega_{s_{2} r} \cap\left\{\frac{|F|}{\delta}>\frac{\lambda}{2^{(p+1) / p}}\right\}}\left[\frac{|F|}{\delta}\right]^{p} d x d \lambda\right) \\
= & c \tilde{\epsilon}\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right) . \tag{6.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, Fubini's theorem allows us to deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{0}=\int_{E_{k}\left(K A \lambda_{0}, s_{1} r\right)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p}\left(\int_{A \lambda_{0}}^{\Phi(u, V)_{k}(x) / K} \lambda^{q-p-1} d \lambda\right) d x \\
&= \frac{1}{q-p}\left\{\int_{E_{k}\left(K A \lambda_{0}, s_{1} r\right)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p}\left[\frac{\Phi(u, V)_{k}}{K}\right]^{q-p} d x\right. \\
&\left.-\left(A \lambda_{0}\right)^{q-p} \int_{E_{k}\left(K A \lambda_{0}, s_{1} r\right)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We also employ Fubini's theorem to discover

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & =\int_{E_{k}\left(\frac{A \lambda_{0}}{2^{(p+1) / p}, s_{2} r}\right)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p}\left(\int_{A \lambda_{0}}^{2^{(p+1) / p} \Phi(u, V)_{k}(x)} \lambda^{q-p-1} d \lambda\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{q-p} \int_{E_{k}\left(\frac{A \lambda_{0}}{2^{(p+1) / p}, s_{2} r}\right)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p}\left[2^{(p+1) / p} \Phi(u, V)_{k}\right]^{q-p} d x \\
& \leq \frac{2^{(p+1)(q-p) / p}}{q-p} \int_{\Omega_{s_{2} r}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p}\left[\Phi(u, V)_{k}\right]^{q-p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we obtain that

$$
I_{2} \leq \frac{2^{(p+1)(q-p) / p}}{q-p} \int_{\Omega_{s_{2} r}}\left[\frac{|F|}{\delta}\right]^{q} d x
$$

Therefore, inserting the previous estimates for $I_{0}, I_{1}, I_{2}$ into (6.14), we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k}\left(K A \lambda_{0}, s_{1} r\right)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p}\left[\Phi(u, V)_{k}\right]^{q-p} d x \\
& \quad \leq\left(K A \lambda_{0}\right)^{q-p} \int_{\Omega_{s_{1} r}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x \\
& \quad+c \tilde{\epsilon} K^{q-p}\left(\int_{\Omega_{s_{2} r}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p}\left[\Phi(u, V)_{k}\right]^{q-p} d x+\int_{\Omega_{s_{2} r}}\left[\frac{|F|}{\delta}\right]^{q} d x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also notice that

$$
\int_{\Omega_{s_{1} r} \backslash E_{k}\left(K A \lambda_{0}, s_{1} r\right)}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p}\left[\Phi_{k}(u, V)_{k}\right]^{q-p} d x \leq\left(K A \lambda_{0}\right)^{q-p} \int_{\Omega_{s_{1} r}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x
$$

Finally, from the last two estimates we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{s_{1} r}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p}\left[\Phi(u, V)_{k}\right]^{q-p} d x \leq\left(K A \lambda_{0}\right)^{q-p} \int_{\Omega_{s_{1} r}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x \\
& \quad+c_{2} \tilde{\epsilon} K^{q-p}\left(\int_{\Omega_{s_{2} r}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p}\left[\Phi(u, V)_{k}\right]^{q-p} d x+\int_{\Omega_{s_{2} r}}\left[\frac{|F|}{\delta}\right]^{q} d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $c_{2}=c_{2}\left(n, p, \gamma, L, \nu, b_{\gamma}, q\right)>0$. At this stage, we recall the definition of $\tilde{\epsilon}$ in (6.12), and then take large $K>1$ and small $\epsilon \in(0,1)$ depending on $n, p, \gamma, L, \nu, b_{\gamma}, q$ such that

$$
K \geq\left(4 c_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p \gamma-q}} \quad \text { and } \quad \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{4 c_{2} K^{q-p}}
$$

hence $\delta=\delta\left(n, p, \gamma, L, \nu, b_{\gamma}, q\right) \in(0,1)$ is finally determined. Consequently, recalling the definition of $A$ in (6.3) we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{\Omega_{s_{1} r}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p}\left[\Phi(u, V)_{k}\right]^{q-p} d x \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{s_{2} r}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p}\left[\Phi(u, V)_{k}\right]^{q-p} d x \\
+\frac{c \lambda_{0}^{q-p}}{\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)^{\frac{n}{p}}} \int_{\Omega_{2 r}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x+c \int_{\Omega_{2 r}}|F|^{q} d x
\end{array}
$$

Then applying Lemma 3.6, we derive that

$$
\int_{\Omega_{r}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p}\left[\Phi(u, V)_{k}\right]^{q-p} d x \leq c \lambda_{0}^{q-p} \int_{\Omega_{2 r}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x+c \int_{\Omega_{2 r}}|F|^{q} d x
$$

for any $k>A \lambda_{0}$. Finally, by Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem, the definition of $\lambda_{0}$ in (6.2), Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\Omega_{r}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{q} d x & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{\Omega_{r}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p}\left[\Phi(u, V)_{k}\right]^{q-p} d x \\
& \leq c \lambda_{0}^{q-p} f_{\Omega_{2 r}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x+c f_{\Omega_{2 r}}|F|^{q} d x \\
& \leq c\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}[\Phi(u, V)]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}+c f_{\Omega_{2 r}}|F|^{q} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and so, recalling the definition of $\Phi(u, V)$ in (6.1),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(f_{\Omega_{r}}|D u|^{q}+\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq & c\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}|D u|^{p}+\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& +c\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}|F|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{6.15}
\end{align*}
$$

which derives the estimate (2.3) for $q \in(p, p \gamma)$.
Step 5. Proof of (2.3) when $q \in\left[p \gamma, \gamma^{*}(p-1)\right)$.
Finally, we prove the estimate (2.3) for the remaining range of $q$. Note that we only consider the gradient of $u$ since $\chi_{\{q<p \gamma\}}=0$.

We first suppose that $q \in\left[p \gamma, \gamma^{*}(p-1)\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{p, \frac{n(p-1)}{n-1}\right\}<q \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if $p \leq n$ we have $\max \left\{p, \frac{n(p-1)}{n-1}\right\}=p$ and so the previous inequality is trivial. Then let us set $\tilde{q} \in(1, \gamma)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=(p-1) \tilde{q}^{*} . \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we see from Hölder's inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}\left[r V|u|^{p-1}\right]^{\tilde{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}} & =\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}\left[r V^{\frac{1}{p}}\right]^{\tilde{q}}\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{(p-1) \tilde{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}} \\
& \leq\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}\left[r^{p} V\right]^{\tilde{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p \tilde{q}}}\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{p \tilde{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p \tilde{q}}} \\
& \leq c\left(r^{p \gamma-n} \int_{\Omega_{2 r}} V^{\gamma} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p \gamma}}\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{p \tilde{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p \tilde{q}}} \\
& \leq c\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{p \tilde{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p \tilde{q}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used the facts that $\tilde{q} \in(1, \gamma)$ and $(2 r)^{p-\frac{n}{\gamma}}\|V\|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{2 r}\right)} \leq 1$. Therefore, applying the estimate (6.15) with $q$ and $r$ replaced by $p \tilde{q}$ and $2 r$, respectively, we have that $V|u|^{p-2} u \in L^{\tilde{q}}\left(\Omega_{2 r}\right)$ with the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}\left[r V|u|^{p-1}\right]^{\tilde{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq & c\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}}\left[|D u|+V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
& +c\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}}|F|^{p \tilde{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p \tilde{q}}} \tag{6.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, by Theorem 3.3 with $f=V|u|^{p-2} u$, the previous estimate (6.18) and Hölder's inequality, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(f_{\Omega_{r}}|D u|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq & c\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}|D u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+c\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}\left[r V|u|^{p-1}\right]^{\tilde{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{q}(p-1)}} \\
& +c\left(f_{\Omega_{2 r}}|F|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
\leq & c\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}}\left[|D u|+V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+c\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}}|F|^{p \tilde{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p \tilde{q}}} \\
& +c\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}}|F|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
6.19) \quad & c\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}}\left[|D u|+V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+c\left(f_{\Omega_{4 r}}|F|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{6.19}
\end{align*}
$$

which proves the estimate (2.3).
We next assume that $q \in\left[p \gamma, \gamma^{*}(p-1)\right)$ does not satisfies (6.16), that is,

$$
p \gamma \leq q \leq \max \left\{p, \frac{n(p-1)}{n-1}\right\}
$$

Note that this happens only for the case that $p>n$ and $1<\gamma \leq \frac{n(p-1)}{p(n-1)}$, and that, in this case, we cannot find $\tilde{q} \in(1, \gamma)$ satisfying (6.17). Instead, let us set

$$
\tilde{q}:=\frac{1+\gamma}{2} \in(1, \gamma) .
$$

Then, in the same argument above, we have the estimate (6.18). Using this, Theorem 3.4 (instead of Theorem 3.3) and Hölder's inequality, we obtain the estimate (6.19). Hence, (2.3) holds for the remaining range for $q$. This completes the proof.

### 6.2. Proof of Corollary 2.6.

We take the test function $\varphi=u$ in the weak formulation (1.9), and then use Young's inequality to arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c(p, \nu) \int_{\Omega}|D u|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega} V|u|^{p} d x \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{a}(x, D u) \cdot D u d x+\int_{\Omega} V|u|^{p-2} u \cdot u d x=\int_{\Omega}|F|^{p-2} F \cdot D u d x \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\Omega}|F|^{p-1}|D u| d x \leq c(\tau) \int_{\Omega}|F|^{p} d x+\tau \int_{\Omega}|D u|^{p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for any small $\tau>0$. Here we have used the inequality that $\mathbf{a}(x, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq c(p, \nu)|\xi|^{p}$, which can be easily obtained from (1.6). We choose $\tau>0$ so small that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|D u|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega} V|u|^{p} d x \leq c \int_{\Omega}|F|^{p} d x \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from the resulting estimates (2.3) with $r=\frac{\tilde{R}}{4}$ where $\tilde{R}:=$ $\min \left\{R,\|V\|_{L^{\gamma}(\Omega)}^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-\frac{\pi}{\gamma}}}\right\}$ and $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{\tilde{R} / 4}\left(x_{0}\right)}|D u|^{q}+\chi_{\{q<p \gamma\}}\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{q} d x \\
& \quad \leq \frac{c}{\tilde{R}^{n\left(\frac{q}{p}-1\right)}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{\tilde{R}}\left(x_{0}\right)}|D u|^{p}+\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}+c \int_{\Omega_{\tilde{R}}\left(x_{0}\right)}|F|^{q} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\bar{\Omega}$ is compact, by Vitali's covering lemma, there exist finitely many points $x_{0}^{1}, \cdots, x_{0}^{N}$ in $\bar{\Omega}$ such that $B_{\tilde{R} / 20}\left(x_{0}^{k}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, N$ are mutually disjoint and $\Omega \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{N} B_{\tilde{R} / 4}\left(x_{0}^{k}\right)$. Here we note that $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \chi_{B_{\tilde{R}}\left(x_{0}^{k}\right)} \leq c(n)$. Therefore from (6.21), we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}|D u|^{q}+\chi_{\{q<p \gamma\}}\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{q} d x \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega_{\tilde{R} / 4}\left(x_{0}^{k}\right)}|D u|^{q}+\chi_{\{q<p \gamma\}}\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{q} d x \\
& \quad \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left\{\frac{1}{\tilde{R}^{n\left(\frac{q}{p}-1\right)}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{\tilde{R}}\left(x_{0}^{k}\right)}|D u|^{p}+\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}+\int_{\Omega_{\tilde{R}\left(x_{0}^{k}\right)}}|F|^{q} d x\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{c}{\tilde{R}^{n\left(\frac{q}{p}-1\right)}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|D u|^{p}+\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}+c \int_{\Omega}|F|^{q} d x \tag{6.22}
\end{align*}
$$

In turn, inserting (6.20) into (6.22) and using Hölder's inequality together with the fact that $\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)>\tilde{R}$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega}|D u|^{q}+\chi_{\{q<p \gamma\}}\left[V^{\frac{1}{p}}|u|\right]^{q} d x \leq c\left(\frac{\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)}{\tilde{R}}\right)^{\frac{n(q-p)}{p}} \int_{\Omega}|F|^{q} d x
$$

which implies the desired estimates (2.4).
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