

THE CHECKERED SMOCKED SPACE AND ITS TANGENT CONE

VICTORIA ANTONETTI
MAZIAR FARAHZAD
AJMAIN YAMIN

ABSTRACT. Smocked metric spaces were first defined in [1] and it was proved that if a norm on the Euclidean space uniformly estimates the pseudometric of a smocked metric space, then the tangent cone at infinity is unique and is a norm vector space with that estimating norm. In this paper, we explicitly calculate the norm approximating the pseudometric of the checkered smocked space and find the tangent cone at infinity.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a smocked metric space was first introduced in [1]. In that paper, a variety of smocked metric spaces were considered and proved to have unique tangent cones at infinity. As the main theorem, it was proved that if a norm on the Euclidean space uniformly estimates the pseudometric of a smocked metric space, then the tangent cone at infinity is unique and is a norm vector space with that estimating norm. The formal theorem is in section 4.

In this paper, we consider the checkered smocked space X_H , and explicitly find its estimating norm and prove it too has a unique tangent cone at infinity. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the definition of a smocked metric space, the definition of checkered smocked space X_H , and the definition of a tangent cone at infinity of a smocked metric space. In section 3, we estimate the length of geodesics in X_H to find the estimating norm. Finally, the uniqueness of the tangent cone at infinity of X_H is proved in section 4. This tangent cone at infinity is a normed plane with norm $F : \mathbb{E}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by

$$(1) \quad F(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(|x_1| + |x_2|) + \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{3}||x_1| - |x_2||.$$

2. BACKGROUND

We recall the definition of a smocked metric space and the checkered smocked space X_H , as introduced in [1].

Definition 2.1. *Given a Euclidean space, \mathbb{E}^N , and a finite or countable collection of disjoint connected compact sets called **smocking intervals** or **smocking stitches**,*

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{I} = \{I_j : j \in J\},$$

*with a positive **smocking separation factor**,*

$$(3) \quad \delta = \min\{|z - z'| : z \in I_j, z' \in I_{j'}, j \neq j' \in J\} > 0,$$

one can define the **smocked metric space**, (X, d) , in which each stitch is viewed as a single point.

$$(4) \quad X = \{x : x \in \mathbb{E}^N \setminus S\} \cup \mathcal{I}$$

where S is the **smocking set** or **smocking pattern**:

$$(5) \quad S = \bigcup_{j \in J} I_j.$$

We have a **smocking map** $\pi : \mathbb{E}^N \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$(6) \quad \pi(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{E}^N \setminus S \\ I_j & \text{for } x \in I_j \text{ and } j \in J. \end{cases}$$

The **smocked distance function**, $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, is defined for $y, x \notin \pi(S)$, and stitches I_m and I_k as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= \min\{d_0(x, y), d_1(x, y), d_2(x, y), d_3(x, y), \dots\} \\ d(x, I_k) &= \min\{d_0(x, z), d_1(x, z), d_2(x, z), d_3(x, z), \dots : z \in I_k\} \\ d(I_m, I_k) &= \min\{d_0(z', z), d_1(z', z), d_2(z', z), d_3(z', z), \dots : z' \in I_m, z \in I_k\} \end{aligned}$$

where d_k are the sums of lengths of segments that jump to and between k stitches:

$$\begin{aligned} d_0(v, w) &= |v - w| \\ d_1(v, w) &= \min\{|v - z_1| + |z'_1 - w| : z_1, z'_1 \in I_{j_1}, j_1 \in J\} \\ d_2(v, w) &= \min\{|v - z_1| + |z'_1 - z_2| + |z'_2 - w| : z_i, z'_i \in I_{j_i}, j_1 \neq j_2 \in J\} \\ d_k(v, w) &= \min\{|v - z_1| + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |z'_i - z_{i+1}| + |z'_k - w| : z_i, z'_i \in I_{j_i}, j_1 \neq \dots \neq j_k \in J\}. \end{aligned}$$

We define the **smocking pseudometric** $\bar{d} : \mathbb{E}^N \times \mathbb{E}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ to be

$$\bar{d}(v, w) = d(\pi(v), \pi(w)) = \min\{d_k(v', w') : \pi(v) = \pi(v'), \pi(w) = \pi(w'), k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We will say the **smocked space** is **parametrized by points in the stitches**, if

$$(7) \quad J \subset \mathbb{E}^N \text{ and } \forall j \in J \ j \in I_j.$$

Definition 2.2. The **checkered smocked space** (X_H, d_H) is a smocked plane defined as in Definition 3.1 of [1]. We start with the Euclidean plane \mathbb{E}^2 . We define our index set:

$$J_H = J_H^- \cup J_H^|$$

where

$$J_H^- = 3\mathbb{Z} \times 3\mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$J_H^| = (3\mathbb{Z} + 1.5) \times (3\mathbb{Z} + 1.5)$$

We define our intervals which are horizontal (of length 1):

$$I_{(j_1, j_2)} = [j_1 - 0.5, j_1 + 0.5] \times \{j_2\} \text{ when } (j_1, j_2) \in J_H^-$$

and vertical (of length 1):

$$I_{(j_1, j_2)} = \{j_1\} \times [j_2 - 0.5, j_2 + 0.5] \text{ when } (j_1, j_2) \in J_H^|$$

See Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. Smocking Pattern H.

Gromov-Hausdorff convergence was first defined by David Edwards in [3]. It was rediscovered by Gromov in [4]. The text [2] gives an excellent introduction to this topic.

Definition 2.3. We say a sequence of compact metric spaces

$$(8) \quad (X_j, d_j) \xrightarrow{GH} (X_\infty, d_\infty)$$

if and only if

$$(9) \quad d_{GH}((X_j, d_j), (X_\infty, d_\infty)) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the Gromov-Hausdorff distance is defined by

$$(10) \quad d_{GH}(X_j, X_\infty) = \inf\{d_H^Z(\varphi_j(X_j), \varphi_\infty(X_\infty)) : Z, \varphi_j : X_j \rightarrow Z\}$$

where the infimum is over all compact metric spaces, Z , and over all distance preserving maps $\varphi_j : X_j \rightarrow Z$:

$$(11) \quad d_Z(\varphi_j(a), \varphi_j(b)) = d_j(a, b) \quad \forall a, b \in X_j.$$

The Hausdorff distance is defined by

$$(12) \quad d_H(A_1, A_2) = \inf\{r : A_1 \subset T_r(A_2) \text{ and } A_2 \subset T_r(A_1)\}.$$

Since we are considering unbounded metric spaces, we must consider the following definition by Gromov:

Definition 2.4. If one has a sequence of complete noncompact metric spaces, (X_j, d_j) , and points $x_j \in X_j$, one can define pointed GH convergence:

$$(13) \quad (X_j, d_j, x_j) \xrightarrow{ptGH} (X_\infty, d_\infty, x_\infty)$$

if and only if for every radius $r > 0$, the closed balls of radius r in X_j converge in the GH sense as metric spaces with the restricted distance to closed balls in X_∞ :

$$(14) \quad d_{GH}((\bar{B}_r(x_j) \subset X_j, d_j), (\bar{B}_r(x_\infty) \subset X_\infty, d_\infty)) \rightarrow 0.$$

The idea behind the tangent cone at infinity of a metric space is probing the asymptotic behavior of the metric space as one zooms out. For this purpose, we consider a sequence of rescalings of a metric space and see if this sequence or a subsequence of it converges in GH sense. If it does, then one obtains a space which is a tangent cone at infinity.

Definition 2.5. A complete noncompact metric space with infinite diameter, (X, d_X) , has a tangent cone at infinity, (Y, d_Y) , if there is a sequence of rescalings, $R_j \rightarrow \infty$, and points, $x_0 \in X$ and $y_0 \in Y$, such that

$$(15) \quad (X, d/R_j, x_0) \xrightarrow{pIGH} (Y, d_Y, y_0).$$

3. LENGTH OF GEODESICS IN X_H

3.1. Preliminary definitions and notation.

Definition 3.1. A path from a to b in X_H is a list of directed segments $P = (P_i)_{i=1}^n$ in \mathbb{E}^2 where

- the initial point of P_1 is $\pi^{-1}(a)$
- the terminal point of P_n is $\pi^{-1}(b)$
- the terminal point of P_i lies in the same smocking stitch as the initial point of P_{i+1} for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

The number n is called the **combinatorial length** of P . The **length** of P , denoted $L(P)$, is the sum of the euclidean lengths of the segments P_i . A **geodesic** in X_H is a path from a to b such that $L(P) = d_H(a, b)$.

Definition 3.2. For each $j \in J$

- let \nearrow_j be the shortest directed segment from $I_{j+(-1.5, -1.5)}$ to I_j
- let \searrow_j be the shortest directed segment from $I_{j+(-1.5, 1.5)}$ to I_j
- let \nwarrow_j be the shortest directed segment from $I_{j+(1.5, -1.5)}$ to I_j
- let \swarrow_j be the shortest directed segment from $I_{j+(1.5, 1.5)}$ to I_j

For each $j \in J_-$

- let \rightarrow_j be the shortest directed segment from $I_{j+(-3, 0)}$ to I_j
- let \leftarrow_j be the shortest directed segment from $I_{j+(3, 0)}$ to I_j

For each $j \in J_+$

- let \uparrow_j be the shortest directed segment from $I_{j+(0, -3)}$ to I_j
- let \downarrow_j be the shortest directed segment from $I_{j+(0, 3)}$ to I_j

We call \nearrow_j , \nwarrow_j , \searrow_j , and \swarrow_j **diagonals**. We call \leftarrow_j and \rightarrow_j **horizontals**. We call \uparrow_j and \downarrow_j **verticals**. We refer to the collection of all such **network parts** as the **network N** ,

$$N = \bigcup_{j \in J} \{\nearrow_j, \nwarrow_j, \searrow_j, \swarrow_j\} \cup \bigcup_{j \in J_-} \{\leftarrow_j, \rightarrow_j\} \cup \bigcup_{j \in J_+} \{\uparrow_j, \downarrow_j\}$$

Definition 3.3. A **network path** is a path which consists of network parts.

Proposition 3.4. *If P is a network path with D number of diagonals and P has combinatorial length n then the length of P is*

$$L(P) = D\sqrt{2} + 2(n - D).$$

When decomposing a network path with known initial point into network parts, we do not need to label the index of each particular network part. The terminal end of one network part determines the beginning end of the next. For example the network path from I_0 to $I_{(6,3)}$ given by $\nearrow_{(1.5,1.5)} \nearrow_{(3,3)} \rightarrow_{(6,3)}$ may be denoted by $\nearrow \nearrow \rightarrow$, provided we specify that the starting point is I_0 . Further more, write \nearrow^2 to denote $\nearrow \nearrow$, etc, and define \nearrow^0 to be the stationary path, etc.

Definition 3.5. *The Awesome path A_j from I_0 to I_j is constructed as follows:*

- if $0 = j_1 < j_2$ then $A_j = \nearrow \uparrow^{\frac{j_2}{3}-1} \nwarrow$
- if $1.5 \leq j_1 \leq j_2$, $A_j = \nearrow \uparrow^{\frac{j_2-j_1}{3}} \nearrow^{\frac{2}{3}j_1-1}$
- if $0 \leq j_2 < j_1$ then $A_j = \rightarrow^{\frac{j_1-j_2}{3}} \nearrow^{\frac{2}{3}j_2}$
- if $j_2 < 0 \leq j_1$ then $A_j = R_X(A_{(|j_1|, |j_2|)})$ where R_X is reflection over the X -axis
- if $j_1 < 0$ then $A_j = R_Y(A_{(|j_1|, j_2)})$ where R_Y is reflection over the Y -axis

as in figure 2.




FIGURE 2. Awesome Paths

Proposition 3.6. *The length of an Awesome path A_j is*

$$L(A_j) = \begin{cases} \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \min(|j_1|, |j_2|) + \frac{2}{3}||j_1| - |j_2|| & \text{if } j_1 \neq 0, \\ 2\sqrt{2} + \frac{2}{3}|j_2| - 2 & \text{if } j_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.7. The **Awesome path** A_k^j from I_j to I_k is constructed as follows:
Let T_1 be an isometry of the Euclidean plane given by

$$T_1 = \begin{cases} R_D & \text{if } j \in J_1^+, \\ \text{id} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now let T_2 denote the translation map on the Euclidean plane taking $T_1(j)$ to 0. Let A be the Awesome path from I_0 to $I_{(x,y)}$ where $(x,y) = T_2 T_1(j)$. We define the i 'th part of A_k^j as

$$(A_k^j)_i = T_1^{-1} T_2^{-1}(A_i).$$

Proposition 3.8. The length of an Awesome path A_k^j is

$$L(A_k^j) = \begin{cases} \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \min(|j_1 - k_1|, |j_2 - k_2|) + \frac{2}{3} \|j_1 - k_1| - |j_2 - k_2|\| & \text{if } j_1 \neq 0, \\ 2\sqrt{2} + \frac{2}{3} |j_2 - k_2| - 2 & \text{if } j_1 = k_1. \end{cases}$$

3.2. Awesome Paths are more efficient than Euclidean Paths.

Definition 3.9. The **Euclidean Path** from interval I_j to I_k in X_H , denoted E_k^j , is the path consisting of a single directed segment which minimizes the Euclidean length. Notice the length of E_k^j , as defined in Definition 3.1 is $L(E_k^j) = d_E(I_j, I_k)$ where d_E is the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{E}^2 .

Theorem 3.10. The length of the Awesome path from interval I_j to I_k is less than or equal to the length of the Euclidean of the Euclidean path from interval I_j to I_k :

$$L(A_k^j) \leq L(E_k^j).$$

The proof of Theorem 3.10 will be done in 3 steps. First we prove Lemma 3.11 which handles the case $j \in J_1$. Then we prove Lemma 3.12 which handles the case $j \in J_-$, $j_1 \neq 0$. Finally we prove Lemma 3.13 which handles the case $j_1 = 0$.

Lemma 3.11. For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $1.5 \leq |x|$, and $1.5 \leq |y|$,

$$\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \min(|x|, |y|) + \frac{2}{3} \|x| - |y|\| \right)^2 \leq \left(|x| - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \left(|y| - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2.$$

This become equality only when $1.5 = |x| = |y|$.

Proof. It is enough to show

$$(A) \quad \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{2}{3} (y - x) \right)^2 \leq x^2 + y^2$$

is true for all $1 \leq x \leq y$. This is because for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $1.5 \leq |x|$, and $1.5 \leq |y|$ we observe that $(x', y') = \left(\min(|x|, |y|) - \frac{1}{2}, \max(|x|, |y|) - \frac{1}{2} \right)$ satisfies $1 \leq x' \leq y'$, and plugging (x', y') into inequality A gives the desired inequality.

Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$. $S_r = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{E}^2 | 1 \leq x \leq y, LHS(x, y) = r^2\}$. S_r is a line segment with closed endpoints $P = \left(\frac{3r - \sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{2}}, \frac{3r - \sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{2}} \right)$ and $Q = \left(1, \frac{3r}{2} - \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} + 1 \right)$. Now consider $C_r = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{E}^2 | 1 \leq x \leq y, RHS(x, y) = r^2\}$. C_r is an arc of a circle. We

claim for any point (x, y) of the segment S_r , the connecting segment between (x, y) and the origin intersects the arc C_r at a point. Equivalently, the entire line segment $S_r = [P, Q]$ lies outside $B_r(0)$, the Euclidean open ball of radius r centered at the origin; i.e $[P, Q] \cap B_r(0) = \emptyset$. This implies, $LHS(x, y) \leq RHS(x, y)$.

We consider the half-plane $H = \{(x, y) + (0, \lambda) | (x, y) \in L, \lambda \geq 0\} = \{(x, y) + (\lambda, \lambda) | (x, y) \in L, \lambda \geq 0\}$ where L is the line $L = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{B}^2 | y = -x + r\sqrt{2}\}$. Since $P = \left(\frac{3r-\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{2}}, \frac{3r-\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{2}}\right) = \left(\frac{r\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{r\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) + (\lambda, \lambda)$ where $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} - \sqrt{2} \right) r - 1 \right) \geq 0$, we have $P \in H$. Since $Q = (1, \frac{3r}{2} - \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} + 1) = (1, -1 + r\sqrt{2}) + (0, \lambda)$ where $\lambda = \frac{3r}{2} - \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} + 1 + 1 - r\sqrt{2} \geq 0$, we have $Q \in H$. Since H is convex, $[P, Q] \subset H$. Therefore $[P, Q] \cap H^c = \emptyset$.

Note $\mathbb{B}^2 = H \sqcup H^c$. It is easy to show that $B_r(0) \subset H^c$. Finally, $[P, Q] \cap B_r(0) = \emptyset$. \square

Lemma 3.12. For any $(x, y) \in J_-$, $3 \leq |x|$

$$\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \min(|x|, |y|) + \frac{2}{3} ||x| - |y|| \right)^2 \leq (|x| - 1)^2 + |y|^2.$$

This becomes equality only when $(x, y) = (3, 0)$ or $(-3, 0)$.

Proof. If $y = 0$, the inequality simplifies to $\frac{2}{3}|x| \leq |x| - 1$ which is true for all $3 \leq |x|$.

Suppose $y \neq 0$, so $3 \leq |y|$. In order to prove the , it is enough to show

$$(B1) \quad \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}x + \frac{2}{3}(y-x) \right)^2 \leq (x-1)^2 + y^2$$

is true for all $3 \leq x \leq y$ and

$$(B2) \quad \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}y + \frac{2}{3}(x-y) \right)^2 \leq (x-1)^2 + y^2$$

is true for all $3 \leq y \leq x$.

We start with inequality B1.

$$RHS - LHS = Ax^2 + By^2 - Cxy - Dx + E$$

where $A = 1 - \frac{8}{9} - \frac{4}{9} + \frac{8\sqrt{2}}{9}$, $B = \frac{5}{9}$, $C = \frac{8}{9}(\sqrt{2} - 1)$, $D = 2$, $E = 1$. Notice $A, B, C, D, E > 0$. Since $0 \leq x \leq y$

$$Ax^2 + Bx^2 - Cy^2 - Dy + E \leq RHS - LHS$$

Let $f(x) = (A+B)x^2 + E$ and $g(y) = Cy^2 + Dy$. Notice $f(x) > g(x)$, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus

$$0 < f(x) - g(y) \leq RHS - LHS.$$

Hence $RHS > LHS$, as required.

Now consider inequality B2.

$$RHS - LHS = Ax^2 + By^2 - Cxy - Dx + E$$

where $A = \frac{5}{9}$, $B = \frac{5}{9} + \frac{8}{9}(\sqrt{2} - 1)$, $C = \frac{8}{9}(\sqrt{2} - 1)$, $D = 2$, $E = 1$. Notice $A, B, C, D, E > 0$. Since $0 \leq y \leq x$

$$Ay^2 + By^2 - Cx^2 - Dx + E \leq RHS - LHS$$

Let $f(y) = (A + B)y^2 + E$ and $g(x) = Cx^2 + Dx$. Notice $f(y) > g(x)$, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus

$$0 \leq f(y) - g(x) \leq RHS - LHS$$

Hence $RHS > LHS$, as required. \square

Lemma 3.13. *For any $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $3 \leq |y|$*

$$2\sqrt{2} + \frac{2}{3}|y| - 2 < |y|.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.10. By Lemma 3.11, 3.12, and 3.13, we get $L(A_j) \leq L(E_j^0)$. Since the mapping T_2T_1 in Definition 3.7 is an isometry, we get $L(A_k^j) \leq L(E_l^j)$, as required. \square

Corollary 3.14. *Geodesics in X_H are network paths.*

Proof. Suppose P is a shortest path between I_j and I_k but is not a network path. Then there exists a part of P , say P_i , such that P_i is not a network part. By theorem 3.10, we know the Awesome path A_k^j between these intervals is shorter in length than P_i , the shortest Euclidean path between intervals. Now $P' = \prod_{l=1}^n P'_l$ where

$$P'_l = \begin{cases} A_k^j & \text{if } l = i \\ P_l & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a shorter path. \square

3.3. Monotone Network Paths.

Definition 3.15. *A monotone network path P is a path in which all directed segments are either*

- $\uparrow, \rightarrow, \nearrow$
- $\uparrow, \leftarrow, \nwarrow$
- $\downarrow, \leftarrow, \swarrow$
- or $\downarrow, \rightarrow, \searrow$.

It can be shown that when $j_1 \neq 0$ that any geodesic from I_0 to I_j is a monotone network path.

Lemma 3.16. *If P is a monotone network path from I_0 to I_j with combinatorial length n , then $n = d(j)$, where **depth** of j , denoted $d(j)$, is defined as $d(j) = \frac{|j_1| + |j_2|}{3}$.*

Proof. With out loss of generality, suppose $0 < j_1$ and $0 \leq j_2$. When $n = 1$, $P = \nearrow_{(1.5, 1.5)}$ or $\rightarrow_{(3, 0)}$. In both scenarios, $d(j) = 1$.

Fix n . Suppose for any $j \in J$ and any MNP from I_0 to I_j with combinatorial length n we have $n = d(j)$. Let P be a MNP from I_0 to I_j of combinatorial length $n + 1$. Write $\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} P_i$ as the part decomposition of P . Notice $P' = \prod_{i=1}^n P_i$ is an

MNP from I_0 to $I_{j'}$ for some $j' \in J$ and the combinatorial length of P' is n . Also the final part P_{n+1} is either \nearrow , \rightarrow , or \uparrow , since P is MNP. Thus

$$j' = j + (-1.5, -1.5), j + (-3, 0), \text{ or } j + (0, -3).$$

In all scenarios

$$d(j) = \begin{cases} d(j' + (1.5, 1.5)) &= d(j') + d(1.5, 1.5) \\ d(j' + (3, 0)) &= d(j') + d(3, 0) \\ d(j' + (0, 3)) &= d(j') + d(0, 3) \end{cases} = d(j') + 1 = n + 1$$

□

Corollary 3.17. *If P is a monotone network path from I_0 to I_j with exactly D diagonals then P has length*

$$(C) \quad L(P) = D\sqrt{2} + (d(j) - D) \cdot 2.$$

Proof. Apply Proposition 3.4 and Lemma 3.16. □

Therefore, a monotone network path P from I_0 to I_j , with $0 < j_1$ and $0 < j_2$, has the shortest length if it has the largest possible number of diagonals D . The maximum number of diagonals can be acquired by considering the largest square inscribed inside the restricting rectangle. This square can fit exactly

$$D = \frac{2}{3} \min(|j_1|, |j_2|)$$

diagonals. Notice

$$\min(a, b) = \frac{a + b - |a - b|}{2}$$

and recall

$$d(j) = \frac{|j_1| + |j_2|}{3}$$

Plugging these values into Formula (C) yields:

Theorem 3.18. *The distance between intervals I_0 and I_j in X_H is*

$$d_H(I_0, I_j) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(|j_1| + |j_2|) + \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{3}||j_1| - |j_2||$$

provided $j_1 \neq 0$. When $j_1 = 0$, we have

$$d_H(I_0, I_j) = 2\sqrt{2} + \frac{2}{3}|j_2| - 2.$$

4. THE TANGENT CONE AT INFINITY OF X_H

As we mentioned in the introduction, we will use the main theorem in [1] to prove that tangent cone at infinity of X_H is unique and is a norm vector space with the norm $F : \mathbb{E}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given in equation 1:

$$F(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(|x_1| + |x_2|) + \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{3}||x_1| - |x_2||.$$

The theorem is as follows:

Theorem 4.1. Suppose we have an N dimensional smocked space, (X, d) , as in Definition 2.1 such that

$$(16) \quad |\bar{d}(x, x') - [F(x) - F(x')]| \leq K \quad \forall x, x' \in \mathbb{E}^N$$

where $F : \mathbb{E}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a norm. Then (X, d) has a unique tangent cone at infinity,

$$(17) \quad (\mathbb{R}^N, d_F) \text{ where } d_F(x, x') = \|x - x'\|_F = F(x - x').$$

To check for the conditions of this theorem, we use the following approximation lemma from paper [1].

Lemma 4.2. Given an N dimensional smocked space parametrized by points in intervals as in (7), with smocking depth, $h \in (0, \infty)$, and smocking length $L = L_{max} \in (0, \infty)$, if one can find a Lipschitz function $F : \mathbb{E}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$(18) \quad |d(I_j, I_{j'}) - [F(j) - F(j')]| \leq C,$$

then

$$(19) \quad |\bar{d}(x, x') - [F(x) - F(x')]| \leq 2h + C + 2\text{dil}(F)(h + L)$$

where $\text{dil}(F)$ is the dilation factor or Lipschitz constant of F :

$$(20) \quad \text{dil}(F) = \sup \left\{ \frac{|F(a) - F(b)|}{|a - b|} : a \neq b \in \mathbb{E}^N \right\}.$$

In what follows, we show that F as defined by equation 1 is a norm that satisfies the conditions of theorem 4.1.

Lemma 4.3. F is a norm.

Proof. (1) Note, for any $v \in \mathbb{E}^2$, $F(v) \geq 0$. Also, if $F(v) = 0$ then $|v_1| + |v_2| = 0$, and thus $v = 0$.

(2) Notice, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $v \in \mathbb{E}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(av) &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(|av_1| + |av_2|) + \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{3}||av_1| - |av_2|| \\ &= |a| \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(|v_1| + |v_2|) + \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{3}||v_1| - |v_2|| \right) = |a|F(v). \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let $S = F^{-1}([0, 1])$. Note that S is a convex regular octagon. Observe that for any $v \in \mathbb{E}^2$, we have $F(v) = \inf A_v$ where A_v is defined to be $A_v = \{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ | \frac{v}{t} \in S\}$. Notice that for any $u, v \in \mathbb{E}^2$, we have that $A_u + A_v \subset A_{u+v}$:

Let $t \in A_u$ and $t' \in A_v$. Then $\frac{u}{t}, \frac{v}{t'} \in S$. Since S is convex and $0 \leq \frac{t}{t+t'} \leq 1$, we have $\frac{u+v}{t+t'} = \left(\frac{t}{t+t'}\right)\left(\frac{u}{t}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{t+t'}\right)\left(\frac{v}{t'}\right) \in S$. Hence $t + t' \in A_{u+v}$.

Thus, $F(u + v) = \inf A_{u+v} \leq \inf(A_u + A_v) = \inf A_u + \inf A_v = F(u) + F(v)$.

□

Lemma 4.4. We have $|d_H(I_j, I_{j'}) - [F(j) - F(j')]| \leq 2\sqrt{2} - 2$.

Proof. Recall that in corollary 3.18, we proved

$$d_H(I_0, I_j) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(|j_1| + |j_2|) + \frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{3}\|j_1| - |j_2|\| & \text{if } j_1 \neq 0, \\ 2\sqrt{2} + \frac{2}{3}|j_2| - 2 & \text{if } j_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$F(j) \leq d_H(I_0, I_j) \leq F(j) + 2\sqrt{2} - 2.$$

Therefore

$$F(j) \leq d_H(I_0, I_j) \leq d_H(I_0, I_{j'}) + d_H(I_j, I_{j'}) \leq d_H(I_j, I_{j'}) + F(j') + 2\sqrt{2} - 2$$

and hence $|d_H(I_j, I_{j'}) - [F(j) - F(j')]| \leq 2\sqrt{2} - 2$ as required. \square

Lemma 4.5. $F : \mathbb{E}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is Lipschitz.

Proof. We need to show $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{E}^2$,

$$\frac{|F(x) - F(y)|}{|x - y|} \leq k,$$

for a constant k independent of x and y .

Without loss of generality, we may assume $F(y) \leq F(x)$.

Let $\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}$ and $\beta = \frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{3}$. Then,

$$F(x) = \alpha(|x_1| + |x_2|) + \beta\||x_1| - |x_2|\|,$$

and

$$F(y) = \alpha(|y_1| + |y_2|) + \beta\||y_1| - |y_2|\|.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) - F(y) &= \alpha(|x_1| - |y_1|) + \alpha(|x_2| - |y_2|) + \beta(\||x_1| - |x_2|\| - \||y_1| - |y_2|\|) \\ &\leq \alpha(|x_1| - |y_1|) + \alpha(|x_2| - |y_2|) + \beta(\||x_1| - |x_2| - |y_1| + |y_2|\|) \\ &= \alpha(|x_1| - |y_1|) + \alpha(|x_2| - |y_2|) + \beta(\||x_1| - |y_1| + |y_2| - |x_2|\|) \\ &\leq \alpha(|x_1| - |y_1|) + \alpha(|x_2| - |y_2|) + \beta\||x_1| - |y_1|\| + \beta\||x_2| - |y_2|\| \\ &\leq \alpha|x_1 - y_1| + \alpha|x_2 - y_2| + \beta|x_1 - y_1| + \beta|x_2 - y_2| \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)|x_1 - y_1| + (\alpha + \beta)|x_2 - y_2| \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)(|x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2|) \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta)|x - y|\sqrt{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $k = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}$. \square

Lemma 4.6. We have $dil(F) \leq \frac{2+\sqrt{2}}{3}$.

Proof. Since $dil(f + g) \leq dil(f) + dil(g)$ and $dil(|x|) = 1$, and $dil(f \circ g) = dil(f)dil(g)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{dil}(F) &= \text{dil}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(|a_1| + |a_2|) + \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{3}\|a_1| - |a_2|\|\right) \\
&\leq \text{dil}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(|a_1| + |a_2|)\right) + \text{dil}\left(\frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{3}\|a_1| - |a_2|\|\right) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\text{dil}(|a_1| + |a_2|) + \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{3}\text{dil}(\|a_1| - |a_2|\|) \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\text{dil}(|a_1|) + \text{dil}(|a_2|) + \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{3}\text{dil}(| \cdot |)\text{dil}(|a_1| - |a_2|) \\
&\leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} + \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{3} = \frac{2 + \sqrt{2}}{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 satisfy the assumption of Lemma 4.2. By Lemma 4.2 we have

$$|\bar{d}_H(x, x') - [F(x) - F(x')]| \leq 2h_H + C + 2\text{dil}(F)(h_H + L_H),$$

where $h_H = 1.5$, $C = 2\sqrt{2} - 2$ and $L_H = 1$. Combining with 4.6, we get

$$|\bar{d}_H(x, x') - [F(x) - F(x')]| \leq 2(1.5) + (2\sqrt{2} - 2) + 2\left(\frac{2 + \sqrt{2}}{3}\right)(1.5 + 1) = \frac{18 + 11\sqrt{2}}{3}.$$

Finally, by Theorem 4.1, we get that the H-smocking space (X_H, d_H) has a unique tangent cone at infinity (\mathbb{B}^2, d_F) where $d_F(x, x') = F(x - x')$.

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to Professor Christina Sormani and Dr. Demetre Kazaras for supervising our project and their many helpful comments. We gratefully acknowledge the *Graduate Center of the City University of New York* and the *Simons Center for Geometry and Physics at Stony Brook University* for providing space where we could meet to conduct this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Christina Sormani, Demetre Kazaras, and Students. *Smocked Metric Spaces and their Tangent Cones* arXiv: 1906.03403
- [2] Dmitri Burago, Yuri Burago, and Sergei Ivanov. *A course in metric geometry*, volume 33 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [3] David A. Edwards. *The structure of superspace*. Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [4] Mikhael Gromov. *Structures métriques pour les variétés riemanniennes*, volume 1 of *Textes Mathématiques [Mathematical Texts]*. CEDIC, Paris, 1981. Edited by J. Lafontaine and P. Pansu.