

GLOBAL EXISTENCE AND DECAY ESTIMATES FOR THE HEAT EQUATION WITH EXPONENTIAL NONLINEARITY

MOHAMED MAJDOUB AND SLIM TAYACHI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider the initial value problem $\partial_t u - \Delta u = f(u)$, $u(0) = u_0 \in \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $p > 1$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ having an exponential growth at infinity with $f(0) = 0$. Under smallness condition on the initial data and for nonlinearity f such that $|f(u)| \sim e^{|u|^q}$ as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$, $|f(u)| \sim |u|^m$ as $u \rightarrow 0$, $0 < q \leq p \leq m$, $\frac{N(m-1)}{2} \geq p > 1$, we show that the solution is global. Moreover, we obtain decay estimates in Lebesgue spaces for large time which depend on m .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u = f(u), \\ u(0) = u_0 \in \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $p > 1$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ having an exponential growth at infinity with $f(0) = 0$. Our interest is the study of the global existence and the decay estimate of solutions. In particular, we aim to complement the cases noted in [12, Remark 1.7(i), p. 2382].

The initial value problem (1.1) has attracted considerable attention in the mathematical community and the well-posedness theory in the Lebesgue spaces, especially for polynomial type nonlinearities, has been extensively studied. It is known that for polynomial nonlinearity one can always find a Lebesgue space L^q , $q < \infty$ for which (1.1) is locally well-posed. See for instance [18, 19]. As pointed out in [12], by analogy with the Lebesgue spaces, which are well-adapted to the heat equations with power nonlinearities ([19]), we are motivated to consider the Orlicz spaces, in order to study heat equations with power-exponential nonlinearities.

For the particular case where $f(u) \sim e^{|u|^2}$, u large, well-posedness results are proved in the Orlicz space $\exp L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. See [8, 9, 10, 16]. It is also shown that if $f(u) \sim e^{|u|^s}$, $s > 2$, u large then the existence is no longer guaranteed and in fact there is nonexistence in the Orlicz space $\exp L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. See [10]. Recently the authors of [5] obtained a sufficient condition on a class of initial data for time local existence for (1.1) with general nonlinearity $f(u)$. In particular, initial data are assumed to be nonnegative and an exponential nonlinearity is discussed as an application. Global existence and decay estimates are also established for the nonlinear heat equation with $f(u) \sim e^{|u|^2}$, u large. See [9, 11, 6].

The Orlicz space $\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined as follows

$$\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N); \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(e^{\frac{|u(x)|^p}{\lambda^p}} - 1 \right) dx < \infty, \text{ for some } \lambda > 0 \right\},$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K58, 35A01, 35B40, 46E30.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear heat equation, Exponential nonlinearity, Global existence, Decay estimate, Orlicz spaces.

endowed with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(e^{\frac{|u(x)|^p}{\lambda^p}} - 1 \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

As is a standard practice, we study (1.1) via the associated integral equation:

$$u(t) = e^{t\Delta} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} f(u(s)) ds, \quad (1.2)$$

where $e^{t\Delta}$ is the linear heat semi-group.

In the sequel, we use the following definition of weak-mild solutions to Cauchy problem (1.1).

Definition 1.1 (Weak-mild solution). *We say that $u \in L^\infty(0, T; \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^N))$ is a weak-mild solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1) if u satisfies the associated integral equation (1.2) in $\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for almost all $t \in (0, T)$ and $u(t) \rightarrow u_0$ in the weak* topology as $t \searrow 0$.*

The decay estimates depend on the behavior of the nonlinearity $f(u)$ near $u = 0$. The following behavior near 0 will be allowed $|f(u)| \sim |u|^m$, where $\frac{N(m-1)}{2} \geq p$. More precisely, we suppose that the nonlinearity f satisfies

$$f(0) = 0, \quad |f(u) - f(v)| \leq C |u - v| \left(|u|^{m-1} e^{\lambda|u|^p} + |v|^{m-1} e^{\lambda|v|^p} \right), \quad (1.3)$$

where $\frac{N(m-1)}{2} \geq p > 1$, $C > 0$, and $\lambda > 0$ are constants. Our aim is to obtain global existence to the Cauchy problem (1.1) for small initial data in $\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We denote the norm in the Lebesgue space $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ by $\|\cdot\|_r$. We have obtained the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $N \geq 1$, $m \geq p > 1$, $\frac{N(m-1)}{2} \geq p$. Assume that the nonlinearity f satisfies (1.3). Then, there exists a positive constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every initial data $u_0 \in \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\|u_0\|_{\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \varepsilon$, there exists a weak-mild solution $u \in L^\infty(0, \infty; \exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^N))$ of the Cauchy problem (1.1) satisfying*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(t) - e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{\exp L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|u(t)\|_a \leq C t^{-\left(\frac{1}{m-1} - \frac{N}{2a}\right)}, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where a verifies:

- (i) If $\frac{N}{2} > \frac{p}{p-1}$ then $\frac{N}{2}(m-1) < a < \frac{N}{2}(m-1) \frac{1}{(2-m)_+}$.
- (ii) If $\frac{N}{2} = \frac{p}{p-1}$ then $\frac{N}{2}(m-1) < a < \frac{N}{2}(m-1) \frac{1}{(2-m)_+}$.
- (iii) If $\frac{N}{2} < \frac{p}{p-1}$ and $(2-m)_+ < \frac{N(p-1)}{2p}$ then $\frac{p}{p-1}(m-1) < a < \frac{N}{2}(m-1) \frac{1}{(2-m)_+}$.

In particular, for all the above cases, $0 < \frac{1}{m-1} - \frac{N}{2a} < 1$.

Remark 1.3. We do not know if the estimate (1.5) holds for more large intervals of a .

Remark 1.4. The case (i), and only this case, was proved in [12] but with a supplementary condition on a which we remove here.

Remark 1.5. The assumption $p > 1$ is needed in Corollary 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 below.

Remark 1.6. From [19, Proposition 3.1, p. 88] or [3, Lemma 7, p. 280], we know that the smoothing estimate (3.1) below holds for smooth bounded domains and $\Delta = \Delta_D$ with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. Hence, all linear estimates needed in our proof (see Section 3 below) still holds for smooth bounded domains. This means that we can replace \mathbb{R}^N by any smooth bounded domain Ω and obtain the same results.

The assumption for the nonlinearity covers the cases

$$f(u) = \pm |u|^{m-1} u e^{|u|^p}, \quad m \geq 1 + \frac{2p}{N}.$$

The global existence part of Theorem 1.2 is known for $p = 2$ (see [9]). The estimate (1.5) was obtained in [9] for $p = 2$ and $m = 1 + \frac{4}{N}$. This is improved in [11] for $p = 2$ and any $m \geq 1 + \frac{4}{N}$. The fact that estimate (1.5) depends on the smallest power of the nonlinearity $f(u)$ is known in [17] but only for nonlinearities having polynomial growth. An essential part of our proof consists in a careful combinations of the Taylor expansion and the Hölder inequality, which are firstly developed in [13] for a critical Schrödinger equation.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we recall some basic facts and useful tools about Orlicz spaces. Section 3 is devoted to some crucial estimates on the linear heat semi-group. In Section 4 we give the proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof uses similar argument to that in [12, 11, 9, 4]. In all this paper, C will be a positive constant which may have different values at different places. Also, $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\exp L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$, will be written respectively L^r and $\exp L^r$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall the definition of the Orlicz spaces and some related basic facts. For a complete presentation and more details, we refer the reader to [1, 14, 2, 7, 15]. We also give some preliminaries estimates.

Definition 2.1.

Let $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a convex increasing function such that

$$\phi(0) = 0 = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \phi(s), \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi(s) = \infty.$$

We say that a function $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ belongs to L^ϕ if there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx < \infty.$$

We denote then

$$\|u\|_{L^\phi} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

It is known that $(L^\phi, \|\cdot\|_{L^\phi})$ is a Banach space. Note that, if $\phi(s) = s^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then L^ϕ is nothing else than the Lebesgue space L^p . Moreover, for $u \in L^\phi$ with $K := \|u\|_{L^\phi} > 0$, we have

$$\left\{ \lambda > 0; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx \leq 1 \right\} = [K, \infty[.$$

In particular

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{\|u\|_{L^\phi}}\right) dx \leq 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Remark 2.2. We may replace in (2.1) the constant 1 by any positive constant. This change the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^\phi}$ to an equivalent norm.

We also recall the following well known properties (see [15, pp. 56-57 and p. 83] and [7, Lemma 3.7.7]).

Proposition 2.3. *We have*

(i) $L^1 \cap L^\infty \subset L^\phi \subset L^1 + L^\infty$.

(ii) *Lower semi-continuity:*

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad a.e. \implies \|u\|_{L^\phi} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{L^\phi}.$$

(iii) *Monotonicity:*

$$|u| \leq |v| \quad a.e. \implies \|u\|_{L^\phi} \leq \|v\|_{L^\phi}.$$

(iv) *Strong Fatou property:*

$$0 \leq u_n \nearrow u \quad a.e. \implies \|u_n\|_{L^\phi} \nearrow \|u\|_{L^\phi}.$$

(v) *Strong and modular convergence:*

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^\phi \implies \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(u_n - u) dx \rightarrow 0.$$

When $\phi(s) = e^{s^p} - 1$, we denote the space L^ϕ by $\exp L^p$.

The following Lemma summarize the relationship between Orlicz and Lebesgue spaces.

Lemma 2.4. ([16, 12]) *We have*

(i) $\exp L^p \not\hookrightarrow L^\infty$, $p \geq 1$.

(ii) $\exp L^p \not\hookrightarrow L^r$, for all $1 \leq r < p$, $p > 1$.

(iii) $L^q \cap L^\infty \hookrightarrow \exp L^p$, for all $1 \leq q \leq p$. More precisely

$$\|u\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \frac{1}{(\log 2)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\|u\|_q + \|u\|_\infty \right). \quad (2.3)$$

We have the embedding: $\exp L^p \hookrightarrow L^q$ for every $1 \leq p \leq q$. More precisely:

Lemma 2.5. ([16, 12]) *For every $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$, we have*

$$\|u\|_q \leq \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{q}{p} + 1 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|u\|_{\exp L^p}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\Gamma(x) := \int_0^\infty \tau^{x-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau$, $x > 0$.

For reader's convenience, we give the proof here.

Proof. We may assume that

$$K := \|u\|_{\exp L^p} > 0.$$

From [16] we know that

$$e^z - 1 \geq \frac{z^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}, \quad z \geq 0, \quad \alpha \geq 1. \quad (2.5)$$

Using (2.2) and (2.5), we infer

$$1 \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(e^{\left| \frac{u(x)}{K} \right|^p} - 1 \right) dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\left| \frac{u(x)}{K} \right|^{p\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} dx.$$

Hence

$$K \geq \frac{\|u\|_{p\alpha}}{(\Gamma(\alpha+1))^{\frac{1}{p\alpha}}}.$$

We conclude by choosing $\alpha = \frac{q}{p}$. □

We recall that the following properties of the functions Γ and \mathcal{B} given by

$$\mathcal{B}(x, y) = \int_0^1 \tau^{x-1} (1-\tau)^{y-1} d\tau, \quad x, y > 0.$$

We have

$$\mathcal{B}(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma(x+y)}{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}, \quad x, y > 0, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\Gamma(x) \geq C > 0, \quad x > 0, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\Gamma(x+1) \sim \left(\frac{x}{e}\right)^x \sqrt{2\pi x}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\Gamma(x+1) \leq Cx^{x+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x \geq 1. \quad (2.9)$$

The following lemma will be useful in the proof of the global existence.

Lemma 2.6. ([12, Lemma 2.6, p. 2387]) *Let $\lambda > 0$, $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ and $K > 0$ such that $\lambda q K^p \leq 1$. Assume that*

$$\|u\|_{\exp L^p} \leq K.$$

Then

$$\|e^{\lambda|u|^p} - 1\|_q \leq (\lambda q K^p)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

3. KEY ESTIMATES

In this section we establish some results needed for the proof of the main theorem. We first recall some basic estimates for the linear heat semigroup $e^{t\Delta}$. The solution of the linear heat equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

can be written as a convolution:

$$u(t, x) = (G_t \star u_0)(x) := (e^{t\Delta} u_0)(x),$$

where

$$G_t(x) := G(t, x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}}, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

is the heat kernel. We will use the $L^r - L^p$ estimate as stated in the proposition below.

Proposition 3.1. *For all $1 \leq r \leq p \leq \infty$, we have*

$$\|e^{t\Delta} \varphi\|_p \leq t^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p})} \|\varphi\|_r, \quad t > 0, \varphi \in L^r. \quad (3.1)$$

The following proposition is established in [12].

Proposition 3.2. ([12, Proposition 3.2, p. 2392]) *Let $1 \leq q \leq p$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$. Then the following estimates hold:*

$$(i) \quad \|e^{t\Delta} \varphi\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \|\varphi\|_{\exp L^p}, \quad t > 0, \varphi \in \exp L^p.$$

- (ii) $\|e^{t\Delta}\varphi\|_{\exp L^p} \leq t^{-\frac{N}{2q}} \left(\log(t^{-\frac{N}{2}} + 1)\right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|\varphi\|_q, \quad t > 0, \quad \varphi \in L^q.$
- (iii) $\|e^{t\Delta}\varphi\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \frac{1}{(\log 2)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left[t^{-\frac{N}{2r}} \|\varphi\|_r + \|\varphi\|_q \right], \quad t > 0, \quad \varphi \in L^r \cap L^q.$

We also recall the following from [12].

Corollary 3.3. ([12, Corollary 3.3, p. 2394]) *Let $p > 1$, $N > \frac{2p}{p-1}$, $r > \frac{N}{2}$. Then, for every $g \in L^1 \cap L^r$, we have*

$$\|e^{t\Delta}g\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \kappa(t) \|g\|_{L^1 \cap L^r}, \quad t > 0,$$

where $\kappa \in L^1(0, \infty)$ is given by

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{1}{(\log 2)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \min \left\{ t^{-\frac{N}{2r}} + 1, t^{-\frac{N}{2}} \left(\log(t^{-\frac{N}{2}} + 1) \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \right\}.$$

Here we use $\|g\|_{L^1 \cap L^r} = \|g\|_1 + \|g\|_r$.

For $N = 2p/(p-1)$ we have a similar result. For this we need to introduce an appropriate Orlicz space. Let $\phi(s) := e^{s^p} - 1 - s^p$, $s \geq 0$ and L^ϕ be the associated Orlicz space with the Luxemburg norm (2.1). From the definition, we have

$$C_1 \|u\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \|u\|_p + \|u\|_{L^\phi} \leq C_2 \|u\|_{\exp L^p}, \quad (3.2)$$

for some $C_1, C_2 > 0$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $p > 1$, $r > \frac{N}{2} = \frac{p}{p-1}$. For every $g \in L^1 \cap L^{2p} \cap L^r$, we have*

$$\|e^{t\Delta}g\|_{L^\phi} \leq \zeta(t) \|g\|_{L^1 \cap L^{2p} \cap L^r}, \quad t > 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\zeta \in L^1(0, \infty)$ is given by

$$\zeta(t) = \frac{1}{(\log 2)^{1/p}} \min \left\{ 1 + t^{-\frac{N}{2r}}, t^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} \left(\log(t^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} + 1) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2p}} \right\}.$$

Proof. We have, using Proposition 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi \left(\frac{|e^{t\Delta}g|}{\alpha} \right) dx &= \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{\|e^{t\Delta}g\|_{p^k}^{pk}}{\alpha^{pk} k!} \\ &\leq \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{t^{-\frac{N}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p^k})pk} \|g\|_1^{pk}}{\alpha^{pk} k!} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{t^{-\frac{p}{p-1}(1-\frac{1}{p^k})pk} \|g\|_1^{pk}}{\alpha^{pk} k!} \\ &= t^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \phi \left(\frac{t^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} \|g\|_1}{\alpha} \right) \\ &\leq t^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \left(\exp \left\{ \left(\frac{t^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} \|g\|_1}{\alpha} \right)^{2p} \right\} - 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $e^s - 1 - s \leq e^{s^2} - 1$ for every $s \geq 0$. Therefore we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{t\Delta}g\|_{L^\phi} &\leq \inf \left\{ \alpha > 0, t^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \left(\exp \left\{ \left(\frac{t^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}\|g\|_1}{\alpha} \right)^{2p} \right\} - 1 \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= t^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} \left(\log \left(t^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} + 1 \right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2p}} \|g\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

On the other hand, from the embedding $L^{2p} \cap L^\infty \hookrightarrow L^\phi$, we see that

$$\|e^{t\Delta}g\|_{L^\phi} \leq \frac{1}{(\log 2)^{1/p}} \left[\|e^{t\Delta}g\|_\infty + \|e^{t\Delta}g\|_{2p} \right].$$

Using Proposition 3.1, and let $r > \frac{N}{2} = \frac{p}{p-1}$ we obtain that

$$\|e^{t\Delta}g\|_{L^\phi} \leq \frac{1}{(\log 2)^{1/p}} \left[t^{-\frac{N}{2r}} \|g\|_r + \|g\|_{2p} \right]. \quad (3.5)$$

Combining the inequalities (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\|e^{t\Delta}g\|_{L^\phi} \leq \zeta(t) \|g\|_{L^1 \cap L^{2p} \cap L^r}.$$

Since $\frac{N}{2r} < 1$ and $\frac{p}{p-1} - \frac{p}{p-1} \frac{1}{2p} = \frac{2p-1}{2(p-1)} > 1$, we have that $\zeta \in L^1(0, \infty)$. \square

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.2. We consider the associated integral equation

$$u(t) = e^{t\Delta}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} (f(u(s))) ds, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\|u_0\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \varepsilon$, with small $\varepsilon > 0$ to be fixed later. The nonlinearity f satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \leq C |u - v| \left(|u|^{m-1} e^{\lambda|u|^p} + |v|^{m-1} e^{\lambda|v|^p} \right), \quad (4.2)$$

for some constants $C > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$. Here $p > 1$ and m is larger than $1 + \frac{2p}{N}$. From (4.2), we deduce that

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \leq C |u - v| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \left(|u|^{pk+m-1} + |v|^{pk+m-1} \right). \quad (4.3)$$

We will perform a fixed point argument on a suitable metric space. For $M > 0$ we introduce the space

$$Y_M := \left\{ u \in L^\infty(0, \infty, \exp L^p); \sup_{t>0} t^\sigma \|u(t)\|_a + \|u\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; \exp L^p)} \leq M \right\},$$

where

$$a > \frac{N(m-1)}{2} \geq p \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{m-1} - \frac{N}{2a} = \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{2}{N(m-1)} - \frac{1}{a} \right) > 0.$$

It follows by Proposition 2.3 that endowed with the metric

$$d(u, v) = \sup_{t>0} \left(t^\sigma \|u(t) - v(t)\|_a \right),$$

Y_M is a complete metric space. For $u \in Y_M$, we define $\Phi(u)$ by

$$\Phi(u)(t) := e^{t\Delta}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}(f(u(s)))ds. \quad (4.4)$$

By Propositions 3.2 (i), 3.1 and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p}, \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t^\sigma \|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_a &\leq t^\sigma t^{-\frac{N}{2}\left(\frac{2}{N(m-1)} - \frac{1}{a}\right)} \|u_0\|_{\frac{N(m-1)}{2}} \\ &= \|u_0\|_{\frac{N(m-1)}{2}} \leq C \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where we have used $1 < p \leq \frac{N(m-1)}{2} < a$.

To estimate $\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}(f(u(s)))$, we use the results and estimates of the previous Sections 2 and 3. We treat separately the cases $N > 2p/(p-1)$, $N = 2p/(p-1)$ and $N < 2p/(p-1)$. The proof is done using similar argument as that in [9, 11, 13].

4.1. The case $N > 2p/(p-1)$. We first recall the following lemma established in [12, Lemma 2.7, p. 2387]. Here we remove the condition $a > N/2$ which, in fact is not needed.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $m \geq p > 1$, $a > \frac{N(m-1)}{2}$. Define $\sigma = \frac{1}{m-1} - \frac{N}{2a} > 0$. Assume that $N > \frac{2p}{p-1}$, $a < \frac{N(m-1)}{2} \frac{1}{(2-m)_+}$. Then, there exist $r, q, (\theta_k)_{k=0}^\infty, (\rho_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ such that*

$$1 \leq r \leq a, \quad q \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{q}.$$

$$0 < \theta_k < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q(pk+m-1)} = \frac{\theta_k}{a} + \frac{1-\theta_k}{\rho_k}.$$

$$p \leq \rho_k < \infty, \quad \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{a} \right) < 1, \quad \sigma \left[\theta_k(pk+m-1) + 1 \right] < 1.$$

$$1 - \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{a} \right) - \sigma \theta_k(pk+m-1) = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\theta_k \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \longrightarrow \infty. \quad (4.7)$$

$$\rho_k \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad k \longrightarrow \infty. \quad (4.8)$$

$$\frac{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta_k)}{p\rho_k} (1+\rho_k) \leq k, \quad k \geq 1. \quad (4.9)$$

We now turn to the proof of the theorem in the case $N > 2p/(p-1)$. This case is done in [12]. For completeness we give the proof here. Let $u \in Y_M$. Using Proposition 3.2 and

Corollary 3.3, we get for $q > N/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi(u)(t)\|_{\exp L^p} &\leq \|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + \int_0^t \left\| e^{(t-s)\Delta}(f(u(s))) \right\|_{\exp L^p} ds \\
&\leq \|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + \int_0^t \kappa(t-s) \left(\|f(u(s))\|_{L^1 \cap L^q} \right) ds \\
&\leq \|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + \|f(u)\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;(L^1 \cap L^q))} \int_0^\infty \kappa(s) ds \\
&\leq \|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + C\|f(u)\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;(L^1 \cap L^q))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|\Phi(u)\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;\exp L^p)} \leq \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + C\|f(u)\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;(L^1 \cap L^q))}.$$

It remains to estimate the nonlinearity $f(u)$ in L^r for $r = 1, q$. To this end, let us remark that

$$|f(u)| \leq C|u|^m \left(e^{\lambda|u|^p} - 1 \right) + C|u|^m. \quad (4.10)$$

By Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.5, we have for $1 \leq r \leq q$ and since $m \geq p$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f(u)\|_r &\leq C\|u\|_{mr}^m + C\| |u|^m (e^{\lambda|u|^p} - 1) \|_r \\
&\leq C\|u\|_{mr}^m + C\|u\|_{2mr}^m \|e^{\lambda|u|^p} - 1\|_{2r} \\
&\leq C\|u\|_{\exp L^p}^m \left(\|e^{\lambda|u|^p} - 1\|_{2r} + 1 \right).
\end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

According to Lemma 2.6, and the fact that $u \in Y_M$, we have for $2q\lambda M^p \leq 1$,

$$\|f(u)\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;L^r)} \leq CM^m. \quad (4.12)$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi(u)\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;\exp L^p)} &\leq \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + CM^m \\
&\leq \varepsilon + CM^m.
\end{aligned}$$

Let u, v be two elements of Y_M . By using (4.3) and Proposition 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_a &\leq t^\sigma \int_0^t \left\| e^{(t-s)\Delta}(f(u(s)) - f(v(s))) \right\|_a ds \\
&\leq t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|f(u(s)) - f(v(s))\|_r ds \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|(u-v)(|u|^{pk+m-1} + |v|^{pk+m-1})\|_r ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq r \leq a$. We use the Hölder inequality with $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{q}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_a &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|u-v\|_a \times \\ &\quad \| |u|^{pk+m-1} + |v|^{pk+m-1} \|_q ds, \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|u-v\|_a \times \\ &\quad \left(\|u\|_{q(pk+m-1)}^{pk+m-1} + \|v\|_{q(pk+m-1)}^{pk+m-1} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using interpolation inequality with $\frac{1}{q(pk+m-1)} = \frac{\theta}{a} + \frac{1-\theta}{\rho}$, $p \leq \rho < \infty$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_a &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|u-v\|_a \\ &\quad \times \left(\|u\|_a^{(pk+m-1)\theta} \|u\|_\rho^{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)} + \|v\|_a^{(pk+m-1)\theta} \|v\|_\rho^{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_a &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|u-v\|_a \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho}{p} + 1\right)^{\frac{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)}{\rho}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\|u\|_a^{(pk+m-1)\theta} \|u\|_{\exp L^p}^{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)} + \|v\|_a^{(pk+m-1)\theta} \|v\|_{\exp L^p}^{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)} \right) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Applying the fact that $u, v \in Y_M$ in (4.13), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_a &\leq Cd(u, v) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho}{p} + 1\right)^{\frac{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)}{\rho}} M^{pk+m-1} \\ &\quad \times t^\sigma \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} s^{-\sigma(1+(pk+m-1)\theta)} ds \right) \\ &\leq Cd(u, v) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho}{p} + 1\right)^{\frac{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)}{\rho}} M^{pk+m-1} \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{B}\left(1 - \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{a}\right), 1 - \sigma(1 + (pk+m-1)\theta)\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where the parameters $a, q, r, \theta = \theta_k, \rho = \rho_k$ are given by Lemma 4.1. Remark that θ_k satisfies

$$0 < \theta_k < \frac{1}{pk+m-1} \min\left(m-1, \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma}\right).$$

For these parameters, using (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain that

$$\mathcal{B}\left(1 - \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{a}\right), 1 - \sigma(1 + (pk+m-1)\theta)\right) \leq C, \quad (4.15)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent on k . Moreover, using (4.7)-(4.8)-(4.9) together with (2.9) and (2.8) gives

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{\rho_k}{p} + 1\right)^{\frac{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta_k)}{\rho_k}} \leq C^k k!. \quad (4.16)$$

Combining (4.14), (4.15) and (4.16) we get

$$t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_a \leq Cd(u, v) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (C\lambda)^k M^{pk+m-1}.$$

Hence, we get for M small,

$$d(\Phi(u), \Phi(v)) \leq CM^{m-1}d(u, v).$$

The above estimates show that $\Phi : Y_M \rightarrow Y_M$ is a contraction mapping for ε and M sufficiently small. By Banach's fixed point theorem, we thus obtain the existence of a unique u in Y_M with $\Phi(u) = u$. By (4.4), u solves the integral equation (4.1) with f satisfying (4.2). The estimate (1.5) follows from $u \in Y_M$. This terminates the proof of the existence of a global solution to (4.1) for $N > 2p/(p-1)$.

4.2. The case of $N < 2p/(p-1)$. According to (4.6) and (4.5), it remains to establish the following two inequalities

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} (f(u(s))) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; \exp L^p)} \leq C_1(M), \quad (4.17)$$

and

$$\sup_{t>0} t^\sigma \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} (f(u) - f(v)) ds \right\|_a \leq C_2(M) \sup_{s>0} (s^\sigma \|u(s) - v(s)\|_a), \quad (4.18)$$

where $u, v \in Y_M$ and with C_1 and C_2 are small when M is small.

ESTIMATE (4.17). We have

$$\left(\log \left((t-s)^{-N/2} + 1 \right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \leq 2^{1/p} (t-s)^{\frac{N}{2p}} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s < t - \eta^{-\frac{2}{N}}, \quad (4.19)$$

where $\eta = \inf \{ z \geq 1; \ z > 2 \log(1+z) \}$. Therefore, using Proposition 3.2 Part (iii), we have, for $r > N/2$ and $0 < t \leq \eta^{-2/N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} f(u(s)) ds \right\|_{\exp L^p} &\leq C \int_0^t \left((t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2r}} + 1 \right) \|f(u(s))\|_{L^r \cap L^1} ds \\ &\leq C \sup_{t>0} \|f(u(t))\|_{L^r \cap L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $t \geq \eta^{-2/N}$ and $1 \leq q \leq p$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} f(u(s)) ds \right\|_{\exp L^p} \leq \int_0^t \left\| e^{(t-s)\Delta} f(u(s)) \right\|_{\exp L^p} ds \\
& \leq \int_0^{t-\eta^{-\frac{2}{N}}} \left\| e^{(t-s)\Delta} f(u(s)) \right\|_{\exp L^p} ds + \int_{t-\eta^{-\frac{2}{N}}}^t \left\| e^{(t-s)\Delta} f(u(s)) \right\|_{\exp L^p} ds \\
& \leq \int_0^{t-\eta^{-\frac{2}{N}}} (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2q}} (\log((t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}} + 1))^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f(u(s))\|_q ds \\
& \quad + \int_{t-\eta^{-\frac{2}{N}}}^t \left((t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2r}} + 1 \right) \|f(u(s))\|_{L^r \cap L^1} ds \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2q} + \frac{N}{2p}} \|f(u(s))\|_q ds + C \sup_{t>0} \|f(u(t))\|_{L^r \cap L^1} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{J}.
\end{aligned}$$

We first estimate \mathbf{I} . By (4.3) and the fact that $f(0) = 0$, we have

$$\mathbf{I} = \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2q} + \frac{N}{2p}} \|f(u(s))\|_q ds \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2q} + \frac{N}{2p}} \|u\|_{(pk+m)_q}^{pk+m} ds.$$

Using interpolation inequality and Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{I} & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2q} + \frac{N}{2p}} \|u\|_a^{(pk+m)\theta} \|u\|_{\rho}^{(pk+m)(1-\theta)} ds \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2q} + \frac{N}{2p}} \|u\|_a^{(pk+m)\theta} \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho}{p} + 1\right)^{\frac{(pk+m)(1-\theta)}{\rho}} \|u\|_{\exp L^p}^{(pk+m)(1-\theta)} ds \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho}{p} + 1\right)^{\frac{(pk+m)(1-\theta)}{\rho}} M^{pk+m} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2q} + \frac{N}{2p}} s^{-(pk+m)\theta\sigma} ds \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho}{p} + 1\right)^{\frac{(pk+m)(1-\theta)}{\rho}} M^{pk+m} t^{1 - \frac{N}{2q} + \frac{N}{2p} - (pk+m)\theta\sigma} \\
& \quad \times \mathcal{B}\left(1 - \frac{N}{2q} + \frac{N}{2p}, 1 - (pk+m)\theta\sigma\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{B} is the beta function and ρ, θ, q satisfy, for all k ,

$$\begin{aligned}
a & > \frac{(m-1)p}{p-1}, \quad 0 \leq \theta = \theta_k \leq 1, \quad 1 \leq q \leq p, \quad \frac{N}{2q} - \frac{N}{2p} < 1, \quad (pk+m)\theta\sigma < 1, \\
1 - \frac{N}{2q} + \frac{N}{2p} - (pk+m)\theta\sigma & = 0, \quad \frac{1}{(pk+m)q} = \frac{\theta}{a} + \frac{1-\theta}{\rho}, \quad p \leq \rho = \rho_k < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

For any $a > \frac{(m-1)p}{p-1}$, one can choose

$$\frac{1 - \frac{N(p-1)}{2p}}{(pk+m)\sigma} < \theta_k < \frac{m-1}{pk+m}.$$

It is obvious that for such θ_k , there exist q, ρ such that the above conditions are satisfied. Note that $1 - \frac{N(p-1)}{2p} > 0$ in the present case, this gives the supplementary condition on a .

Arguing as above, we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}\left(1 - \frac{N}{2q} + \frac{N}{2p}, 1 - (pk + m)\theta\sigma\right) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{N}{2q} + \frac{N}{2p}\right) \Gamma\left(1 - (pk + m)\theta\sigma\right)} \leq C, \quad (4.20)$$

and

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{\rho_k}{p} + 1\right)^{\frac{(pk+m)(1-\theta_k)}{\rho_k}} \leq C^k k!, \quad (4.21)$$

Combining (4.20) and (4.21), we have, for small M ,

$$\mathbf{I} \leq C M^m. \quad (4.22)$$

To estimate the term \mathbf{J} , we write

$$\|f(u)\|_\tau \leq C \| |u|^m e^{\lambda|u|^p} \|_\tau \leq C \| |u|^m (e^{\lambda|u|^p} + 1 - 1) \|_\tau,$$

with $\tau = r$ or $\tau = 1$. By Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(u)\|_\tau &\leq C \| |u|_{2m\tau}^m \| e^{\lambda|u|^p} - 1 \|_{2\tau} + \| |u|_{m\tau}^m \|_{m\tau} \\ &\leq C \| |u|_{\exp L^p}^m \| \left(\| e^{\lambda|u|^p} - 1 \|_{2\tau} + 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $m\tau > Nm/2 > N(m-1)/2 \geq p$ and $m \geq p$. Now, by Lemma 2.6, for $2\tau\lambda M^p \leq 1$, we have

$$\|e^{\lambda|u|^p} - 1\|_{2\tau} \leq (2\tau\lambda M^p)^{\frac{1}{2\tau}} \leq 1.$$

Then we conclude that, for $u \in Y_M$,

$$\mathbf{J} = C \sup_{t>0} \|f(u(t))\|_{L^r \cap L^1} \leq C M^m.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} (f(u)) ds \right\|_{\exp L^p} \leq C M^m. \quad (4.23)$$

ESTIMATE (4.18). By (4.3) and Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^\sigma \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} (f(u) - f(v)) ds \right\|_a &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \| (u-v)(|u|^{pk+m-1} + |v|^{pk+m-1}) \|_r ds. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t^\sigma \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} (f(u) - f(v)) ds \right\|_a &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|u-v\|_a \left(\| |u|^{pk+m-1} + |v|^{pk+m-1} \|_q \right) ds \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|u-v\|_a \left(\| |u|^{pk+m-1} \|_{q(pk+m-1)} + \| |v|^{pk+m-1} \|_{q(pk+m-1)} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using interpolation inequality where $\frac{1}{q(pk+m-1)} = \frac{\theta}{a} + \frac{1-\theta}{\rho}$, $p \leq \rho < \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^\sigma \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} (f(u) - f(v)) ds \right\|_a &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|u-v\|_a \\ &\quad \times (\|u\|_a^{(pk+m-1)\theta} \|u\|_{\rho}^{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)} + \|v\|_a^{(pk+m-1)\theta} \|v\|_{\rho}^{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)}) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t^\sigma \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} (f(u) - f(v)) ds \right\|_a &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|u-v\|_a \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho}{p}+1\right)^{\frac{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)}{\rho}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\|u\|_a^{(pk+m-1)\theta} \|u\|_{\exp L^p}^{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)} + \|v\|_a^{(pk+m-1)\theta} \|v\|_{\exp L^p}^{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)} \right) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Applying the fact that $u, v \in Y_M$ in (4.24), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} t^\sigma \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} (f(u) - f(v)) ds \right\|_a &\leq Cd(u, v) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho}{p}+1\right)^{\frac{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)}{\rho}} M^{pk+m-1} \\ &\quad \times t^\sigma \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} s^{-\sigma(1+(pk+m-1)\theta)} ds \right) \\ &\leq Cd(u, v) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho}{p}+1\right)^{\frac{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)}{\rho}} M^{pk+m-1} \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{B}\left(1 - \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{a}\right), 1 - \sigma(1 + (pk+m-1)\theta)\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

where the exponents a, q, r, θ, ρ satisfy for all k ,

$$\begin{aligned} m < a < \frac{N(m-1)}{2(2-m)_+}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq a, \quad \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{a}\right) < 1, \quad \sigma(1 + (pk+m-1)\theta) < 1, \\ 0 \leq \theta = \theta_k \leq 1, \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{q}, \quad \frac{1}{(pk+m-1)q} = \frac{\theta}{a} + \frac{1-\theta}{\rho}, \quad p \leq \rho < \infty, \\ 1 - \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{a}\right) - (pk+m-1)\theta\sigma = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For any $a > m$, one can choose

$$\frac{\frac{N}{2a} + 1 - \frac{N}{2}}{(pk+m-1)\sigma} < \theta_k < \frac{1}{pk+m-1} \min\left(m-1, \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma}\right).$$

It is obvious that for such θ_k , there exist r, q, ρ such that the above conditions are satisfied.

Using (2.6), (2.7) and the fact that $1 - \sigma > 0$, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{B}\left(1 - \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{a}\right), 1 - \sigma(1 + (pk+m-1)\theta)\right) \leq C, \quad (4.26)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent on k . As above, we also have

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{\rho_k}{p} + 1\right)^{\frac{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta_k)}{\rho_k}} \leq C^k k!. \quad (4.27)$$

Combining (4.25), (4.26) and (4.27) we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^\sigma \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} (f(u) - f(v)) ds \right\|_a & \leq C d(u, v) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho}{p} + 1\right)^{\frac{(pk+m-1)(1-\theta)}{\rho}} M^{pk+m-1} \\ & \quad \times \mathcal{B}\left(1 - \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{a}\right), 1 - \sigma(1 + (pk+m-1)\theta)\right) \\ & \leq C d(u, v) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (C\lambda)^k M^{pk+m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we get (for small M)

$$t^\sigma \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} (f(u) - f(v)) ds \right\|_a \leq CM^{m-1} d(u, v).$$

This together with (4.23) and (4.6) concludes the proof of global existence for dimensions $N < 2p/(p-1)$.

4.3. The case $N = 2p/(p-1)$. Let u, v be two elements of Y_M . By using (4.3) and Proposition 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_a & \leq t^\sigma \int_0^t \left\| e^{(t-s)\Delta} (f(u(s)) - f(v(s))) \right\|_a ds \\ & \leq t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|f(u(s)) - f(v(s))\|_r ds \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|(u-v)(|u|^{pk+m-1} + |v|^{pk+m-1})\|_r ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq r \leq a$. We use the Hölder inequality with $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{q}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_a & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|u-v\|_a \times \\ & \quad \||u|^{pk+m-1} + |v|^{pk+m-1}\|_q ds, \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} t^\sigma \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{a})} \|u-v\|_a \times \\ & \quad \left(\|u\|_{q(pk+m-1)}^{pk+m-1} + \|v\|_{q(pk+m-1)}^{pk+m-1} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Similar calculations as in the subsection 4.1, give

$$t^\sigma \|\Phi(u) - \Phi(v)\|_a \leq CM^{m-1} \sup_{s>0} (s^\sigma \|u-v\|_a) = CM^{m-1} d(u, v). \quad (4.28)$$

Hence, we need a to satisfy $\frac{N(m-1)}{2} < a < \frac{N(m-1)}{2} \frac{1}{(2-m)_+}$.

We now estimate $\|\Phi(u)\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;\exp L^p)}$. We have, by (4.5) and (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(u)\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;\exp L^p)} &\leq \|e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;\exp L^p)} \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}(f(u(s)))ds \right\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;\exp L^p)} \\ &\leq \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}(f(u(s)))ds \right\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;\exp L^p)} \\ &\leq \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}(f(u(s)))ds \right\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;L^\phi)} \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}(f(u(s)))ds \right\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;L^p)}. \end{aligned}$$

We first estimate $\left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}(f(u(s)))ds \right\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;L^\phi)}$. By the same argument as in the case $N > 2p/(p-1)$, using Corollary 3.4, we obtain

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}(f(u(s)))ds \right\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;L^\phi)} \leq CM^m. \quad (4.29)$$

Second we estimate $\left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}(f(u(s)))ds \right\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;L^p)}$. By using (4.3) and Proposition 3.1, we obtain

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}(f(u(s)))ds \right\|_p \leq C \int_0^t \|e^{(t-s)\Delta}(f(u(s)))\|_p ds \leq C \int_0^t \|f(u(s))\|_p ds.$$

Using similar computations as for the term **I**, in the case $N < 2p/(p-1)$, where we take $q = p$ there, we obtain

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}(f(u(s)))ds \right\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;L^p)} \leq CM^m. \quad (4.30)$$

Note here that we need the condition $(2-m)_+ < \frac{N(p-1)}{2p} = 1$ which is satisfied since $m > 1$. Also, we need a to satisfy $\frac{(m-1)p}{p-1} < a < \frac{N(m-1)}{2} \frac{1}{(2-m)_+}$, which is consistent with the last conditions found on a , since $N = 2p/(p-1)$.

From (4.29) and (4.30), it follows that

$$\|\Phi(u)\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;\exp L^p)} \leq \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + 2CM^m.$$

Now, by (4.6) the inequality (4.28) gives

$$t^\sigma \|\Phi(u)\|_p \leq \|u_0\|_{\exp L^p} + CM^m.$$

If we choose M and ε small then Φ maps Y_M into itself. Moreover, thanks to the inequality (4.28) we obtain that Φ is a contraction map on Y_M . The conclusion follows by the Banach fixed point theorem.

4.4. Proof of the statement (1.4). The proof of the statement (1.4) is done in [12]. For reader convenience we recall it here. Let $q \geq \max(N/2, p)$. Using Lemma 2.4 Part (iii) that is the embedding $L^p \cap L^\infty \hookrightarrow \exp L^p$, and Proposition 3.1, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\exp L^p} &\leq \int_0^t \|e^{(t-s)\Delta}f(u(s))\|_{\exp L^p} ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \|e^{(t-s)\Delta}f(u(s))\|_p ds + C \int_0^t \|e^{(t-s)\Delta}f(u(s))\|_\infty ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \|f(u(s))\|_p ds + C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2q}} \|f(u(s))\|_q ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

We now estimate $\|f(u(s))\|_r$ for $r = p, q$. Since $|f(u)| \leq C|u|^m e^{\lambda|u|^p}$, we write

$$\|f(u)\|_r \leq C \| |u|^m (e^{\lambda|u|^p} - 1 + 1) \|_r.$$

Using Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(u)\|_r &\leq C \| |u|^m (e^{\lambda|u|^p} - 1) \|_{2r} + \| |u|^m \|_{mr}^m \\ &\leq C \| |u|^m \|_{\exp L^p}^m \left(\|e^{\lambda|u|^p} - 1\|_{2r} + 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\|f(u)\|_r \leq C \| |u|^m \|_{\exp L^p}^m \left((2\lambda r M^p)^{\frac{1}{2r}} + 1 \right) \leq C \| |u|^m \|_{\exp L^p}^m. \quad (4.32)$$

Using (4.32) in (4.31), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\exp L^p} &\leq C \int_0^t \left(\|u(s)\|_{\exp L^p}^m + (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2q}} \|u(s)\|_{\exp L^p}^m \right) ds \\ &\leq Ct \|u\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;\exp L^p)}^m + Ct^{1-\frac{N}{2q}} \|u\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;\exp L^p)}^m \\ &\leq C_1 t + C_2 t^{1-\frac{N}{2q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_1, C_2 are finite positive constants. Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(t) - e^{t\Delta}u_0\|_{\exp L^p} = 0$, and statement (1.4) is now proved. The fact that $u(t) \rightarrow u_0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ in the weak* topology can be done as in [9]. This completes the proof of the theorem.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank the anonymous referee for his/her valuable comments which helped to improve the article.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Adams and J. F. Fournier, *Sobolev Spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam), 2003. [3](#)
- [2] Z. W. Birnbaum and W. Orlicz, *Über die Verallgemeinerung des Begriffes der zueinander Konjugierten Potenzen*, *Studia Mathematica*, **3** (1931), 1–67. [3](#)
- [3] H. Brezis and T. Cazenave, *A nonlinear heat equation with singular initial data*, *J. Anal. Math.*, **68** (1996), 277–304. [3](#)
- [4] T. Cazenave and F. B. Weissler, *Asymptotically self-similar global solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger and heat equations*, *Math. Z.*, **228** (1998), 83–120. [3](#)
- [5] Y. Fujishima and N. Ioku, *Existence and nonexistence of solutions for the heat equation with a superlinear source term*, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **118** (2018), 128–158. [1](#)

- [6] G. Furioli, T. Kawakami, B. Ruf and E. Terraneo, *Asymptotic behavior and decay estimates of the solutions for a nonlinear parabolic equation with exponential nonlinearity*, J. Differential Equations, **262** (2017), 145–180. [1](#)
- [7] P. Harjulehto and P. Hästö, *Orlicz Spaces and Generalized Orlicz Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2236, Springer, Cham, 2019, to appear, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-15100-3. [3](#), [4](#)
- [8] S. Ibrahim, R. Jrad, M. Majdoub and T. Saanouni, *Local well posedness of a 2D semilinear heat equation*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, **21** (2014), 535–551. [1](#)
- [9] N. Ioku, *The Cauchy problem for heat equations with exponential nonlinearity*, J. Differential Equations, **251** (2011), 1172–1194. [1](#), [3](#), [8](#), [17](#)
- [10] N. Ioku, B. Ruf and E. Terraneo, *Existence, Non-existence, and Uniqueness for a Heat Equation with Exponential Nonlinearity in \mathbb{R}^2* , Math. Phys. Anal. Geom., **18** (2015), Art. 29, 19 pp. [1](#)
- [11] M. Majdoub, S. Otsmane and S. Tayachi, *Local well-posedness and global existence for the biharmonic heat equation with exponential nonlinearity*, Advances in Differential Equations, **23** (2018), 489–522. [1](#), [3](#), [8](#)
- [12] M. Majdoub and S. Tayachi, *Global existence and decay estimates for the heat equation with general power-exponential nonlinearities*, Proc. Int. of Maths.–2018, Rio de Janeiro., **2** (2018), 2379–2404. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [8](#), [17](#)
- [13] M. Nakamura and T. Ozawa, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equations in the Sobolev space of critical order*, J. Funct. Anal., **155** (1998), 364–380. [3](#), [8](#)
- [14] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Applications of Orlicz spaces*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2002. [3](#)
- [15] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, New York : M. Dekker, (1991). [3](#), [4](#)
- [16] B. Ruf and E. Terraneo, *The Cauchy problem for a semilinear heat equation with singular initial data*, Evolution equations, semigroups and functional analysis (Milano, 2000), Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 295–309, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2002. [1](#), [4](#)
- [17] S. Snoussi, S. Tayachi and F. B. Weissler, *Asymptotically self-similar global solutions of a general semilinear heat equation*, Mathematische Annalen, **321** (2001), 131–155. [3](#)
- [18] F. B. Weissler, *Semilinear evolution equations in Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., **32** (1979), 277–296. [1](#)
- [19] F. B. Weissler, *Local existence and nonexistence for semilinear parabolic equations in L^p* , Indiana Univ. Math. J., **29** (1980), 79–102. [1](#), [3](#)

(M. Majdoub) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, IMAM ABDULRAHMAN BIN FAISAL UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 1982, DAMMAM, SAUDI ARABIA

BASIC & APPLIED SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH CENTER, IMAM ABDULRAHMAN BIN FAISAL UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 1982, 31441, DAMMAM, SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: mmajdoub@iau.edu.sa & med.majdoub@gmail.com

(S. Tayachi) UNIVERSITÉ DE TUNIS EL MANAR, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TUNIS, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, LABORATOIRE ÉQUATIONS AUX DÉRIVÉES PARTIELLES (LR03ES04), 2092 TUNIS, TUNISIE

E-mail address: slim.tayachi@fst.rnu.tn & slimtayachi@gmail.com