

Strong ergodicity breaking due to local constraints in a quantum system

Sthitadhi Roy^{1,2,*} and Achilleas Lazarides^{3,†}

¹*Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics, Clarendon Laboratory,
Oxford University, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PU, United Kingdom*

²*Physical and Theoretical Chemistry, Oxford University,
South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QZ, United Kingdom*

³*Interdisciplinary Centre for Mathematical Modelling and Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Loughborough University, Loughborough, Leicestershire LE11 3TU, United Kingdom*

Quantum systems that violate the eigenstate thermalisation hypothesis thereby falling outside the paradigm of conventional statistical mechanics are of both intellectual and practical interest. We show that such a breaking of ergodicity may arise purely due to local constraints on random many-body Hamiltonians. As an example, we study an ergodic quantum spin-1/2 model which acquires a localised phase upon addition of East-type constraints. We establish its phenomenology using spectral and dynamical properties obtained by exact diagonalisation. Mapping the Hamiltonian to a disordered hopping problem on the Fock space graph we find that potentially non-resonant bottlenecks in the Fock-space dynamics, caused by spatially local segments of frozen spins, lie at the root of localisation. We support this picture by introducing and solving numerically a class of random matrix models that retain the bottlenecks. Finally, we obtain analytical insight into the origins of localisation using the forward-scattering approximation. A numerical treatment of the forward-scattering approximation yields critical points which agree quantitatively with the exact diagonalisation results.

I. INTRODUCTION

The fundamental question of how closed quantum systems admit a thermodynamic description has motivated the study of their excited eigenstates and out-of-equilibrium dynamics [1, 2]. A central concept is the Eigenstate Thermalisation Hypothesis (ETH), satisfied by generic ergodic systems [3–6]. Positing that eigenstate expectation values of local observables are smooth functions of the eigenenergies, ETH amounts to a statement that the energy, an integral of motion, is a state variable so that local observables in the long-time dynamical state are fully determined by its value.¹ Generic systems satisfy this by default, and any violation of the ETH is therefore interesting. In a recent development it was realised that one way ETH can be violated is the presence of many-body localisation (MBL) [7–11] (see Refs. [12–14] for reviews and further references therein). Specifying macroscopic properties of MBL systems requires an extensive set of emergent quasi-local integrals of motion [15–19].

Many of the universal properties of ETH systems are well described within the framework of random matrix theory [3–6, 20], where one studies random matrices incorporating the relevant symmetries of the physical system instead of actual Hamiltonians. The physical intuition here is that a random matrix is the “least structured” object which still captures the characteristic properties of the Hamiltonians of interest. Inspired by this ap-




FIG. 1. The Fock-space graph for a system of $N(=5)$ spins-1/2 where each vertex is a σ^z -product state as indicated and the links correspond to spin-flip terms in the Hamiltonian. (a) The links in red are present for both the QREM as well as for the EastREM whereas the those in grey are present only for the QREM; the constraints in the EastREM switches off the latter. (b) Illustration of how the constraints generally increase the shortest distance between two vertices. For the pair of vertices chosen, the path with the QREM is only of length one (green) whereas for the EastREM the shortest path shown in black is longer.

proach, and focussing on Hamiltonians with finite local Hilbert space dimensions (spin-1/2 systems constituting possibly the simplest example), we ask what properties a random many-body Hamiltonian matrix must satisfy so as to capture the defining properties of MBL systems, namely, violation of ETH and absence of spatial transport.

As the question pertains to the many-body Hamiltonian, it is natural to treat the problem directly as one of localisation in Fock space [21–30]. Any many-body Hamiltonian of our type can be interpreted as a disordered hopping problem on the Fock space of the system.

* sthitadhi.roy@chem.ox.ac.uk

† A.Lazarides@lboro.ac.uk

¹ Assuming no other conserved quantities, for example, total spin, momentum, particle number etc.

Considering the Fock basis states as vertices and the hoppings as links between them, one can view the Hamiltonian matrix as a graph (see Fig. 1).² The question then translates to what properties the graph must have for the system to exhibit non-ergodic behaviour.

In this paper we provide one answer to the question: *constrained hopping on the Fock space due to local kinetic constraints on the real-space degrees of freedom can lead to localisation*. Such constrained dynamics in translation invariant systems have been shown to exhibit slow dynamics and metastable behaviour [31–33], but here we focus on the possibility of a localised phase and accompanying localisation transitions in the eigenstates. Using exact numerical and approximate analytical techniques we demonstrate that constraining Fock-space connectivity leads to localisation, and that this is not due to disorder as the unconstrained version of our model is disordered but never localised. The Fock space of our model is not fragmented but is rather fully connected, thus the physics here is qualitatively different from cases where the constraints fragment Fock space [34–36]. Furthermore our model breaks ergodicity strongly, as signified by the presence of a phase where all eigenstates are localised, thus also differing from weak ergodicity breaking as in the case of quantum many-body scars [37–39]. In our case, ergodicity breaking is due to the states in Fock space naturally grouping into clusters, with dense intra-cluster but sparse inter-cluster connections. This leads to potentially non-resonant bottlenecks in the Fock space, which is the root of localisation. This establishes the central result of this work – *how constrained quantum dynamics can lead to localisation without fragmenting the Fock space*.

Structure of the paper

We start with an overview of the paper in Sec. II which sets up the Fock space we work with and states the main results of this paper. In Sec. III we introduce a kinetically constrained quantum spin-1/2 model to put the ideas on a concrete footing. The constrained quantum model is based on the quantum random energy model (QREM) which acts as our reference unconstrained model. The QREM has been shown to be completely delocalised except for a vanishing fraction of eigenstates at the spectral edges [40–43]. We then impose East model-like constraints [31, 44–46], calling the resulting model the EastREM. Section IV is dedicated to the phenomenology of the model: we map out its phase diagram using spectral and eigenstate properties in Sec. IV A, finding that a fully localised phase emerges, unlike for the QREM. Dynamical properties further support this as shown in

Sec. IV B where we find that an initial state retains its memory locally in space, reflecting the locality of the constraints. In Sec. V we discuss how the constraints impose a particular structure in the Hamiltonian and construct Hamiltonians random apart from having this structure, showing that they still display the dynamics of interest. Finally, in Sec. VI we use the forward scattering approximation (FSA) to obtain a (semi)-analytical understanding of localisation in this model: Secs. VI A and VI B present an analytical treatment of the FSA for the spectral bulk and edges respectively, while Sec. VI C presents a numerical treatment of the FSA, finding agreement with the numerical results of Sec. IV. The FSA explicitly demonstrates the role of constraints and reveals clearly the distinction between the unconstrained (QREM) and constrained (EastREM) versions of the model, explicitly demonstrating the role of the constraints.

II. OVERVIEW

Fock space offers a natural viewpoint from which to approach the problem as any many-body Hamiltonian can be interpreted as a hopping problem on the Fock-space graph,

$$H = \underbrace{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_{\mathcal{H}}} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha|}_{H_{\text{diag}}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta} |\alpha\rangle \langle \beta|}_{H_{\text{offdiag}}}. \quad (1)$$

Here the set of basis states $\{|\alpha\rangle\}$ are the sites on the $N_{\mathcal{H}}$ -dimensional Fock-space graph, of which there are exponentially many (in system size), $N_{\mathcal{H}} \sim e^N$. The diagonal elements of the Hamiltonian \mathcal{E}_{α} are the on-site energies in this Fock space. The off-diagonal elements $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ then represent hopping amplitudes. The offdiagonal part of the Hamiltonian, H_{offdiag} also allows us to define a distance on the Fock space graph – the distance between two states $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$, denoted as $r_{\alpha\beta}$, is defined as the length of the shortest path between them following the links generated by H_{offdiag} .

Hamiltonian matrices as in Eq. (1) which are associated with a many-body system (short-ranged with local degrees of freedom) in general have matrix elements which satisfy two generic features. First, the Fock-space site energies scale as \sqrt{N} , such that one can define an effective on-site disorder strength on the Fock space as $W_{\text{FS}}^2 := N_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha} \langle \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}^2 \rangle = W^2 N$ and W an $\mathcal{O}(1)$ number. This simply reflects that for generic short-ranged systems, each Fock-space site energy is an extensive sum of random numbers. Secondly, the off-diagonal matrix elements are numbers of magnitude $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and, crucially, the average connectivity of the Fock-space sites is extensive: $N_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \langle \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^2 \rangle \sim N$. This is a result of the fact that for short-ranged systems, the Hamiltonian connects a state with an extensive number of different states each differing from the initial one only locally.

² The adjacency matrix of this graph is closely related to the Hamiltonian expressed in the Fock basis.

If all the Fock-space site energies are independent of each other, Eq. (1) can be interpreted as an Anderson localisation problem on a graph with connectivity N , hopping amplitude Γ , and disorder strength W_{FS} . Applying the localisation criterion for Bethe lattices [47], which we expect to work well for cases with diverging connectivity, one finds the critical disorder strength W_c satisfies $\frac{2e\sqrt{N}\Gamma}{W_c} \ln\left(\frac{W_c\sqrt{N}}{2\Gamma}\right) = 1$, so that W_c diverges in the thermodynamic limit.³ A localised phase therefore does not exist, at least in the bulk of the spectrum. We therefore ask what additional ingredients are minimally required to stabilise a many-body localised phase without altering the generic features mentioned above.

Elsewhere [30], one answer to this question was provided: strong correlations in the \mathcal{E}_α , which render the problem fundamentally different from an Anderson localisation problem on a high-dimensional graph.⁴ In fact, this is precisely the scenario for local Hamiltonians where the presence of a localised phase has been argued for on analytical as well as numerical grounds [9, 11, 23, 30, 49–51].

In this work, we take the complementary perspective and show that, depending on the pattern and distribution of connectivities, a fully localised phase may occur even for completely *uncorrelated* Fock-space disorder, *non-fractured* (i.e., *fully-connected*) Fock space, and *typically extensive* connectivity for each site. We demonstrate this for the case of spatially local kinetic constraints, which create bottlenecks in the Fock space but leave it fully connected (every site is accessible from every other).

Although our Fock space is not fragmented, it can be reorganised into sparsely connected clusters. The picture that emerges is one of sites densely interconnected within each cluster, but sparse interconnections between clusters. In other words, the constraints suppress links between sites belonging to different clusters. We show that this is the fundamental mechanism which leads to a fully many-body localised phase in both real and Fock spaces, despite the Fock-space site energies being uncorrelated and the Fock space not being fragmented – this constitutes the central result of this work.

As a concrete setting we consider a system of N quantum spins-1/2 (denoted by the set of Pauli matrices, $\{\sigma^\mu\}$) where the Fock-space basis states are simply the classical configurations – product states in the σ^z -basis. Assigning independent random energies to the 2^N configurations leads to the random energy model (REM) [52] which, upon addition of spin-flip terms σ^x to the Hamiltonian becomes the QuantumREM (QREM). This will be

our reference unconstrained model and has no localised phase in the bulk of the spectrum. Imposing East-like constraints in the spin-flip terms, that is, allowing a particular spin flip only if the spin to its right is pointing up, results in a constrained model which we call the EastREM. The construction of the model and a discussion of implications of the constraints for the structure of the connectivity of Fock space constitutes Sec. III.

The phenomenology of the model is established in Sec. IV. We present results for the statistics of level spacing ratios and participation entropies of the eigenstates on the Fock space which reveal a phase diagram with a fully localised phase. Dynamical autocorrelations from time evolving an initial product state also show non-ergodic behaviour in the form of retention of memory of initial configuration. In fact, the real-space profile of the dynamical autocorrelation directly reflects the effect of the corresponding local kinetic constraints. Finally, we identify the clusters made up of densely connected states and then construct a Hamiltonian matrix where the clusters are described by GOE Hamiltonians but the matrix elements connecting different clusters are as for the EastREM. This random matrix analogue to the EastREM, which we call GOEastREM, displays the relevant features of the EastREM, demonstrating that the clustering is the crucial ingredient.

Analytical insights into the origin of the localisation on the Fock space graph are obtained from the FSA, discussed in Sec. VI. The FSA is an approximation for the non-local propagator on the Fock space which takes into account the contribution only from the shortest paths between two Fock-space sites. As the constraints essentially have the effect of modifying the statistics of shortest paths on the Fock space, the FSA is ideally suited for analysing the EastREM and exposing its differences from the QREM. As elaborated in Sec. VI, two aspects of the statistics of shortest paths are crucial, (i) the scaling of the number of Fock space sites separated by distance r with both system size and r , and (ii) the scaling of number of paths between such Fock space sites separated by r . These features of the Fock space are inputs to the FSA, and the results predict an appearance of localised states in the spectral bulk of the EastREM contrary to the QREM. We also corroborate the theoretical predictions from the FSA with a numerical treatment of the FSA by enumerating the directed paths on the Fock space, and we find that the critical point so obtained is concomitant with that obtained from exact diagonalisation studies of Sec. IV.

III. CONSTRAINED QUANTUM MODEL

Our prototypical model for a kinetically constrained quantum system is one made of N spins-1/2, derived from the QREM by imposing constraints. The σ^z -product states constitute the basis states of our Fock space $|\alpha\rangle \equiv |\{\sigma_i^z\}_\alpha\rangle$ and to each of them is associated

³ The expression is obtained from the so-called ‘upper limit approximation’, however the exact solution was shown to differ from it by a factor $\approx e/2$ [47, 48].

⁴ Here, strong correlations means that two basis states $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ finitely distant from each other have Fock-space site energies finitely different from each other in the thermodynamic limit, $|\mathcal{E}_\alpha - \mathcal{E}_\beta| \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$.

an independent random energy \mathcal{E}_α drawn from a normal distribution with zero mean and variance N . The diagonal (first) part of the Hamiltonian of Eq. (1) is given by

$$H_{\text{REM}} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2^N} \mathcal{E}_\alpha |\{\sigma_i^z\}_\alpha\rangle \langle \{\sigma_i^z\}_\alpha|, \quad (2)$$

with $\mathcal{E}_\alpha \sim \mathcal{N}(0, N)$. Henceforth, we will use the terms spin-configuration $|\{\sigma_i^z\}_\alpha\rangle$ and Fock-space site $|\alpha\rangle$ interchangeably.

The QREM is obtained by adding to H_{REM} unconstrained single flips generated by the Hamiltonian

$$H_X = \Gamma \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^x, \quad (3)$$

which corresponds to the second (hopping) term of Eq. (1), such that the total Hamiltonian is

$$H_{\text{QREM}} = H_{\text{REM}} + H_X. \quad (4)$$

In terms of Fock space sites, the QREM Hamiltonian is precisely a N -dimensional hypercube with $N_{\mathcal{H}} = 2^N$ vertices each of which has a connectivity of exactly N : Each of the N links on any vertex corresponds to a flip of a particular spin as the single spin-flips induced by H_X are unconstrained. Another direct implication of this is that for any Fock-space site, the number of Fock-space sites at a distance r is⁵ $\binom{N}{r}$.

Localisation or lack thereof in the QREM was studied in Ref. [42] where it was found that the model is ergodic in the spectral bulk for infinitesimally small Γ while the spectral edges can have localised eigenstates, so that there are mobility edges at finite energy densities $\epsilon = E/N \sim \Gamma$. However, as the width of the density of states $\sim \sqrt{N}$, in the thermodynamic limit the localised eigenstates occupy only a vanishing fraction of the spectrum. Generic quantum dynamics therefore exhibit ergodic behaviour and we consider the QREM, our reference unconstrained model to be ergodic at all $\Gamma \neq 0$.

The EastREM, our model for a constrained quantum system, is obtained from the QREM by imposing local constraints of the East type such that the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_{\text{EastREM}} = H_{\text{REM}} + H_{\text{East}}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$H_{\text{East}} = \frac{\Gamma}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^x (1 + \sigma_{i+1}^z) \quad (6)$$

⁵ Note that for the offdiagonal part of the Hamiltonian given by H_X of Eq. (3), the distance between two Fock-space sites is the same as the usual Hamming distance – the number spins different between the two configurations.




FIG. 2. (a) The distribution of the connectivities Z on the Fock space generated by H_{East} (6) for different system sizes N . The red dashed line shows the same for H_X (3). While for the latter it is a delta-function at $Z = N$, for the former it is a binomial distribution and has support on lower values of Z . (b) The distribution of the shortest distances from the domain wall state for H_{East} (solid lines). The dashed lines show the same for the H_X , where it is simply the binomial distribution.

where we impose periodic boundary conditions, resulting in a Fock space that is not fragmented.⁶ The constraint modifies the hopping on the Fock space (the second term in Eq. (1)) so that it allows a spin at real-space site i to be flipped if and only if the spin at site $i + 1$ is pointing up. Hence, in terms of hopping in Fock space, it has the effect of switching off all the hopping amplitudes of the QREM Hamiltonian that corresponded to a flip of a spin with the spin to its right pointing up. A visual demonstration is shown in Fig. 1(a) where the red links are present for both the QREM and the EastREM while the blue links are present only on the QREM. This has a number of consequences.

Firstly, the constraints lead to a suppression of the average connectivity, although it still scales as N . Secondly, the distribution of connectivities, which is a delta-function at N for the QREM develops support on lower values as well for the EastREM, see Fig. 2(a). In fact for the EastREM, the distribution of connectivities is binomial $P(Z) = \binom{N}{Z} 2^{-N}$. Thirdly, the removal of the links generally increases the shortest distance between two vertices on the Fock space. For example, Fig. 1(b) shows two sites that were a single hop away from each other on the QREM Fock-space graph and which are much further apart on the EastREM Fock-space. This is studied systematically in Fig. 2(b) where the distribution of shortest distances from a spin-configuration has larger support on larger values for H_{East} compared to the H_X . Finally, the absence of links in the constrained model also removes a large number of paths connecting any two vertices (see

⁶ In the case of open boundary conditions, Fock space is fragmented as if the rightmost $w > 0$ spins are all down, they remain frozen for all time.

Fig. 8), the importance of which will become apparent in Sec. VI. All of the above suggest a general tendency of the constraints to localise a state on the Fock-space. While qualitative now, these pictures will be important later when we formalise the above ideas using the FSA on the Fock-space.

In real space, a qualitative picture of the origins of localisation due to the constraints is as follows. Due to the East-like constraints, any contiguous block of down spins is slow to thermalise as it can only do so in a sequential fashion starting from the right edge of the block. Spins deep inside such blocks, say at a distance r away from the right edge of the block can flip only at r^{th} order in perturbation theory. By contrast, for the QREM any spin is free to flip and they can do so in any order. Furthermore, even the “liquid” regions of the chain, which are regions initially without such frozen blocks are affected by the constraints dynamically. Thermalising the “liquid” regions involves flipping the up spins to down creating new constrained regions, which eventually arrest the dynamics.

IV. PHENOMENOLOGY

A. Spectral properties and MBL phase diagram

To establish the phenomenology of the EastREM in terms of the spectral properties and obtain an MBL phase diagram we use two commonly studied numerical diagnostics: statistics of level spacing ratios and participation entropies of the eigenstates on the Fock space.

The level spacing ratio, s_n , is defined as [9, 11, 53] $s_n = \min(\Delta_n, \Delta_{n+1}) / \max(\Delta_n, \Delta_{n+1})$ with $\Delta_n = E_n - E_{n-1}$, where the E_n s denote the consecutive eigenenergies. For an ergodic system, s_n has a Wigner-Dyson distribution, reflecting the presence of level repulsions, so that $\langle s \rangle \approx 0.53$. A localised system on the other hand has uncorrelated eigenvalues resulting in s_n having a Poisson distribution and $\langle s \rangle \approx 0.386$.

The eigenstates on the Fock space also carry signatures of ergodicity breaking [49, 54, 55]. The q^{th} participation entropy of an eigenstate $|\psi\rangle$ defined via $S_q^{\text{P}}(|\psi\rangle) = \frac{1}{q-1} \ln [\sum_{\alpha} |\langle \psi | \alpha \rangle|^2]^q$ scales as [49]

$$S_q^{\text{P}}(|\psi\rangle) = a_q \ln N_{\mathcal{H}} + b_q \ln \ln N_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (7)$$

In the ergodic phase $a_q \approx 1$ as a consequence of the eigenstate being spread over the entire Fock space whereas in the MBL phase $a_q < 1$ indicating that the support of the eigenstate is a vanishing fraction of the Fock space dimension in the thermodynamic limit.

Numerically analysing the two diagnostics using exact diagonalisation we obtain the MBL phase diagram in the ϵ - Γ plane shown in Fig. 3. We emphasise that the density of states is a Gaussian with a width proportional to \sqrt{N} . Hence, any finite energy density corresponds to the edges of the spectrum where only a vanishing fraction of the

eigenstates live in the thermodynamic limit (see Fig. 3a). It is the middle of the spectrum, $\epsilon = 0$, defined via $\text{Tr}[H]$, which determines the generic dynamical behaviour of the system.

The critical Γ can be obtained from the mean level spacing ratio by collapsing the data for various N onto a common function of $g[(\Gamma - \Gamma_c)N^{1/\nu}]$. Such an exercise leads to the set of critical Γ_c at different energy densities shown by the black circles in Fig. 3(b). Representative plots of the raw data of the mean level spacing ratios in the spectral bulk and edges are shown in panels (c) and (d) respectively.

The critical line in the Γ - ϵ plane so obtained shows a good agreement with that of the deviation of a_1 from 1, the second diagnostic for the MBL transition. For the EastREM, a clear MBL phase emerges at $\epsilon = 0$ with a transition to the ergodic phase at $\Gamma_c \approx 0.17$. This is qualitatively different from the QREM where at $\epsilon = 0$, the model is ergodic at all finite values of Γ . Additionally, in the spectral edges (finite ϵ), the transition from the MBL to ergodic phase occurs at a larger value of Γ in the EastREM compared to the QREM; this indicates a parametric increase of the robustness of localised phase in the presence of the constraints.

B. Non-ergodic dynamics

As a dynamical signature of ergodicity breaking, we study the autocorrelation function

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \psi_0 | \sigma_i^z(t) \sigma_i^z(0) | \psi_0 \rangle, \quad (8)$$

where the initial state is chosen to be the domain wall (DW) state, $|\psi_0\rangle = \underbrace{|\downarrow\downarrow \cdots \downarrow\downarrow\rangle}_{N/2} \underbrace{|\uparrow\uparrow \cdots \uparrow\uparrow\rangle}_{N/2}$. The DW state

has an extensive connectivity of $N/2$ on the Fock-space graph, so that arrested dynamics starting from this initial state, if present, cannot be due to a subextensive connectivity of the initial state. At the same time, it contains an extensively large blockaded segment of down spins thus proving to be a convenient choice for clearly demonstrating the effect of the constraints. We stress that our choice of the initial state is not special; the phase diagram in Fig. 3(a) shows that there exists a phase where *all* the eigenstates are localised.

We employ the kernel polynomial method [56] using Chebyshev polynomials which allows us to evolve systems with $N = 20$ up to very long times, $t \sim 10^4$. The results for $A(t)$ are shown in Fig. 4. For $\Gamma < \Gamma_c$, $A(t)$ saturates to a finite value at long times. The saturated value does not depend on system size, suggesting that the system retains memory of its initial condition in the thermodynamic limit at infinite times. This clearly signifies a strong breaking of ergodicity. In contrast at larger values of Γ , $A(t)$ slowly decays with both t and N . The autocorrelation saturates to a finite value for finite N , but this




FIG. 3. Localisation phase diagram of the EastREM in the Γ - ϵ plane. (a) The total density of states $\rho(E)$ is a Gaussian with a variance $\sim N$ (N being the system size) such that in terms of energy densities $\epsilon = E/N$, the fraction of eigenstates at all finite ϵ is vanishingly small in the thermodynamic limit. Note that $\epsilon = 0$ corresponds to the middle of the spectrum. (b) The ergodic region (blue) is characterised by the first participation ratio's volume law coefficient in Eq. (7), $a_1 \approx 1$ as shown by the colour-map, whereas $a_1 < 1$ in the MBL phase (light region). The black dots show the critical Γ extracted from the level spacing ratios for the EastREM whereas the red squares denote the critical Γ line for the QREM [43]. The black dashed line denotes the result obtained from a numerical treatment of the FSA (Sec. VIC). (c)-(d) Representative plots of the mean level spacing ratio, $\langle s \rangle$, versus Γ for different system sizes N for the bulk and edges of the spectrum respectively. All the data was averaged over 1000 disorder realisations and the statistical errorbars estimated using 500 bootstrap resamplings.

saturation value decays with N such that in the thermodynamic limit the autocorrelation decays to zero at long times. This is the hallmark of an ergodic system. While it is difficult to precisely determine the critical value of Γ separating the two dynamical phases, which we estimate to be in the vicinity of $\Gamma_c \approx 0.17$ (consistently with the exact diagonalisation results of Sec. IVA), the existence of one is clear.

We now turn to the study of the spatially resolved dynamical autocorrelation. We define the autocorrelation functions separately for the left and right halves of the chain (which for the DW initial state correspond to the blockaded and non-blockaded regions),

$$A_{L(R)}(t) = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2(N)} \langle \psi_0 | \sigma_i^z(t) \sigma_i^z(0) | \psi_0 \rangle. \quad (9)$$




FIG. 4. Autocorrelation in the EastREM as a function of time, $A(t)$, starting from the domain wall state for various Γ values and system sizes N . For small values of Γ , the $A(t)$ saturates to a finite value with no perceptible finite-size effects whereas for larger values of Γ , it decays with both t and N like an ergodic system. The critical Γ can be estimated to lie in the vicinity of $\Gamma_c \approx 0.17$. The data was obtained by averaging over 1000 disorder realisations for all systems sizes and statistical errors estimated using standard bootstrap resampling.




FIG. 5. Panels (a) and (d) show the spin-expectation value on the chain (of length $N = 20$) at different times (denoted by the colourbar) for $\Gamma = 0.1$ in the localised phase and 0.3 in the delocalised phase. While in the former, both the halves of the chain fail to thermalise, in the latter, both of them do thermalise although the initially blockaded left half is slower. The other panels show this via the behaviour of $A_L(t)$ and $A_R(t)$, defined in Eq. (9), with t and N : In the localised phase (top panels) neither half thermalises, while in the delocalised phase (bottom panels) both L and R eventually thermalise, with the initially blockaded L region thermalising slower.

Fig. 5 shows the results for $A_{L(R)}(t)$ for two values of Γ in both localised and delocalised phases. In the latter both spatial regions thermalise as reflected in their decay with N and t , although the initially blockaded region is much slower. In the localised phase, both the regions fail to thermalise as seen by the N -independent saturation of both $A_L(t)$ and $A_R(t)$ at long times.

As anticipated in Sec. III, the breaking of ergodicity manifested in localised behaviour can be attributed to two effects.

- (i) Because of the East-like constraint of Eq. (6), any block of contiguous down spins is slow to “melt” since the only spin in that block that can change dynamically is the one on the rightmost edge. The entire block can therefore melt only sequentially starting from the right. In other words, for spin-configurations with such “solid” blocks of frozen spins, a large number of channels out of these configurations, which involve flipping of spins deep in the frozen block are simply unavailable. Moreover, this also has the effect of suppressing the total number of pathways on the Fock space from one configuration to another. For example, there is a single shortest path on the Fock-space graph that connects the DW state to the all-up state. Contrarily for the QREM, the corresponding number of shortest paths is $(N/2)! \sim e^N$.
- (ii) In the localised phase, the apparent liquid regions made up of segments of up spins also don’t thermalise, see Fig. 5(a) and (c). The mechanism underlying this is the creation of new blockades dynamically. Once a single spin (say at site i) is flipped from up to down, the one at $i - 1$ is frozen until the i^{th} spin is flipped back up. However, this flipping is unavoidable; thermalising the region requires, by definition, that the quantum state explore all other spin configurations in the Fock space, and these naturally possess segments of down spins creating new constrained regions which eventually may lead to localisation.

V. MINIMALLY STRUCTURED CONSTRAINED MODEL

To demonstrate the two effects mentioned at the end of Sec. IV B, we now construct a new model in which the second effect is removed by hand while the first left in.⁷ To do so, we recognise that the first effect above, namely the slowness of the melting of blockaded regions, is due to the relatively small number of matrix elements leading out of clusters of states all of which include the same blockaded island, while the second relates to dynamics inside each cluster.

The model we construct consists of GOE matrices describing each of these clusters, with each of these matrix blocks connected to the others by matrix elements which are identical to the same matrix elements as in the EastREM. It is hence a hybrid of a random matrix with




FIG. 6. Construction of the GOEastREM. (a) The Fock-space graph with the spin-configurations arranged into clusters (denoted by the boxes) according to Eq. (10). The offdiagonal parts of the Hamiltonian within the subspace of each cluster is described by a GOE matrix. The links in red denote hoppings on the Fock space between spin-configurations in different clusters as allowed by the East constraints. The Hamiltonian matrices of the EastREM in (b) and GOEastREM in (c) as a colour-map. The blocks denoted by the black lines correspond to the clusters in (a). Note that the inter-cluster matrix elements of the GOEastREM are the same as that of the EastREM whereas the intra-cluster ones are those of GOE matrices.

the EastREM, and arguably the least structured model that still displays one of the features of the EastREM, namely, the difficulty of melting the blockaded islands. Unlike the EastREM, liquid regions will remain liquid under the dynamics of the new model, being fully chaotic as their dynamics is described by the random matrix.

To construct our new model, first we group together spin configurations so that all states with a given length of blockaded down spins starting from the leftmost spin are in the same group:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Cluster\# } 0 &: \overbrace{\downarrow\downarrow \cdots \downarrow\downarrow}^{N/2} \circ \cdots \circ \uparrow, \\
 \text{Cluster\# } 1 &: \overbrace{\downarrow\downarrow \cdots \downarrow}^{N/2-1} \uparrow \circ \cdots \circ \uparrow, \\
 &\vdots \\
 \text{Cluster\# } i &: \overbrace{\downarrow\downarrow \cdots \downarrow}^{N/2-i} \uparrow \circ \cdots \circ \uparrow,
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where the \circ denotes sites which could either be up or down spins. We note two features of this separation of Fock space into clusters:

- (i) Firstly, hoppings in the EastREM between different clusters correspond to progressively melting the solid block. This is because EastREM only allows either the rightmost spin of a blockaded island or the first spin after the island to flip, and either of

⁷ We focus on constructing a model appropriate to an initial domain wall state, but this is not a special choice and any spin-configuration could have been used.

these flips results in a state in cluster $i \pm 1$ so that transitions are only allowed between clusters i and $i \pm 1$ by the EastREM rules.

- (ii) Secondly, flipping spins in the liquid regions corresponds to Fock-space hoppings within a cluster. These lead to formation of new constraints as discussed in Sec. IV B and stop the apparently liquid regions from thermalising in the MBL phase.

In the bottom two panels of Fig. 6 we show a representation of the Hamiltonian matrix of the EastREM (left) and GOEastREM (right) in the basis of the Fock states, arranged so that states in the same block are next to each other. The black lines correspond to the boundaries between blocks, so that the square blocks along the diagonal of the matrices correspond to transitions inside each cluster while the off-diagonal blocks to transitions between the clusters.

To allow spins to flip freely in the liquid regions without the formation of new blockades, we randomise all matrix elements between states in the same cluster while keeping the matrix elements between clusters as in the EastREM model; in other words, we make the blocks on the diagonal in Fig. 6 GOE matrices while keeping everything outside them identical to the EastREM. This has the effect of allowing all intra-cluster transitions (that is, dynamics in the liquid region) with no constraints while keeping the inter-cluster transitions (corresponding to island melting) as in the EastREM model.⁸ Fig. 6 also makes it evident that decreasing the size of an island by more than 1 still cannot be done by a single application of the Hamiltonian (there are still no matrix elements connecting clusters that are not nearest neighbours). Melting an island is thus slow, involving a time $\mathcal{O}(\Gamma^w)$ for an island of length w , like in the EastREM. On the other hand, the GOE structure of the intra-cluster Hamiltonians means that the effect of constraints within the cluster is no longer there as such new constraints cannot be created in the liquid regions.

Hence out of the two effects identified earlier, namely, slow dynamics/localisation in the already frozen region and formation of new frozen regions, the latter has been eliminated in the GOEastREM. This is confirmed in the dynamical autocorrelations in the GOEastREM starting from the domain-wall state as shown in Fig. 7. The results are for $\Gamma = 0.1$ which corresponds to the MBL phase for the EastREM. The left half of the system which corresponds to the solid region fails to thermalise as in the EastREM as indicated by the saturation of $A_L(t)$ with both t and N . On the other hand, the right half rapidly thermalises, resulting in the systematic decay of the saturation values of $A_R(t)$ with N , in stark contrast to the EastREM. This demonstrates that, as anticipated, the




FIG. 7. Dynamical autocorrelations in the left and right halves of the chain (Eq. (9)) for the GOEastREM. (a) The left half of the chain fails to thermalise as indicated by the saturation of $A_L(t)$ with both t and system size N . (b) The right half rapidly thermalises as indicated by the systematic decay of the long-time saturation of $A_R(t)$ with N . Results shown for $\Gamma = 0.1$ and data averaged over 500 disorder realisations.

non-ergodic behaviour shown by the segment of up spins in the EastREM was indeed caused by the formation of new blockades, as the GOEastREM removes that mechanism. At the same time, as the GOEastREM preserves the constraints which lead to non-thermalisation of segments of down spins, similar to the EastREM, indicating that the same mechanism is at play in both the models.

VI. FORWARD SCATTERING APPROXIMATION

To provide analytical insight we now turn to the forward scattering approximation (FSA), which is an approximation to the non-local (in Fock space) Green's function to lowest order in Γ and amounts to a stability analysis of the trivially localised phase at vanishing hopping $\Gamma = 0$ [Eqs. (3)-(5)].

Considering an arbitrary initial state which we label by $\alpha = 0$ and which is an eigenstate of the unperturbed $\Gamma = 0$ Hamiltonian (that is, a σ^z -product state), the weight of the perturbed eigenstate on an arbitrary spin-configuration $\{\sigma_i^z\}_\alpha$, denoted as $\psi(\{\sigma_i^z\}_\alpha)$, is

$$\psi(\{\sigma_i^z\}_\alpha) = \sum_{p \in \text{paths}^*(0, \alpha)} \prod_{\beta \in p} \frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{E}_0 - \mathcal{E}_\beta}, \quad (11)$$

where $\text{paths}^*(0, \alpha)$ is the set of all shortest paths from the unperturbed $\alpha = 0$ state to $|\alpha\rangle$. The \mathcal{E}_α , as before, are the random Fock-space site energies defined in Eq. (2) and are normally distributed, $\mathcal{E}_\alpha \sim \mathcal{N}(0, N)$. In this setting, the breakdown of localisation is signalled by the probability of resonance at arbitrarily large distances r on the Fock space from the site $\alpha = 0$ approaching unity such that under the state spreads to Fock-space sites such distances at finite Γ . The delocalisation criterion can be formally expressed as

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} P \left(\frac{\ln |\psi_r|^2}{2r} > -\xi^{-1} \right) \rightarrow 1, \quad (12)$$

⁸ For a given disorder realisation, the diagonal elements, $\{\mathcal{E}_\alpha\}$, are also the same as that of the EastREM.




FIG. 8. (a) For the EastREM, the distribution of number of paths, $n_{r;\alpha}^{(p)}$, over all states $|\alpha\rangle$ at distance $r = N$ from the DW state. On a log-log scale, the curves bend downwards showing that the distributions decay faster than a power-law and hence are not fat tailed. (b) The mean and typical values of $n_N^{(p)}$, scaled with that of the QREM ($N!$) decays exponentially with N , showing a strong suppression of the number of paths due to the constraints in the EastREM.

where ψ_r denotes the wavefunction amplitude on a Fock-space site distant by r from the initial state and ξ , an analogue of the localisation length on the Fock space. Note that, the delocalisation criterion of Eq. (12) gives a conservative estimate in that it provides a lower bound on the critical Γ as it is enough for the maximum of ψ_r over all configurations at Hamming distance r and disorder realisations to satisfy the resonance condition.

Before proceeding with the FSA analysis, it is useful to define and assign notations to two important features of the Fock-space graph, (i) the number of Fock-space sites at distance r from the initial state, denoted by $n_r^{(s)}$, and (ii) the number of shortest paths to a site $|\alpha\rangle$ at distance r , which we denote by $n_{r;\alpha}^{(p)}$. While this quantity is different for each site, and therefore in principle deserves its site index, Fig. 8 shows that its distribution is not fat tailed. We therefore omit the site indices and use $n_r^{(p)}$ to indicate the average number of paths to sites at a distance r .

In the following, we analyse the localisation properties for states in the middle of the spectrum ($\epsilon = 0$) as well as the edges (finite ϵ). We find that in the middle of the spectrum (thus for the bulk of the states and relevant regime dynamically) the EastREM has a localisation transition at a finite Γ_c whereas the QREM remains delocalised for all Γ , signifying that the constraints change the physics qualitatively. In the edges of the spectrum, the FSA analysis shows that localisation persists for larger Γ in the EastREM compared to the QREM. These two results are consistent with those obtained from exact numerical calculations in Sec. IV.

A. Localisation by constraints in the spectral bulk

We first focus on states in the middle of the spectrum, $\epsilon_0 = 0$, and which constitute the majority. In this case,

all the factors $\Gamma/(\mathcal{E}_0 - \mathcal{E}_\beta) \approx -\Gamma/\mathcal{E}_\beta$ are potentially large and individual paths can become resonant. While a single resonant path is enough to prevent localisation in the QREM [42], demonstrating that localisation is stable in the EastREM (Sec. IV) requires that we sum over all the paths. The probability amplitude on a state $|\alpha\rangle$ at a distance r from the initial state is simply then

$$\psi_\alpha = \sum_p \prod_{\beta \in p} \frac{\Gamma}{-\mathcal{E}_\beta}, \quad (13)$$

where p runs over all shortest paths, the lengths of which are r . As interference effects are not important for localisation in high dimensions, $|\psi_\alpha| = n_r^{(p)} \Gamma^r \prod_{\beta} (|\mathcal{E}_\beta|)^{-1}$. For a resonance to occur, $|\psi_\alpha| > 1$ in Eq. (13). Upon defining $F_r = -\sum_{\beta=1}^r \ln |\mathcal{E}_\beta|$, the resonance condition becomes $F_r > -r \ln \Gamma_r$ with $\Gamma_r = \Gamma (n_r^{(p)})^{1/r}$. Transforming the distributions of the independent \mathcal{E} s, the distribution of F_r can be explicitly written as

$$P_F(F_r) \approx \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \left(F_r + \frac{r}{2} \ln N\right)^{r-1} e^{-(F_r + \frac{r}{2} \ln N)}. \quad (14)$$

The probability for a path of length r to be resonant, p_r^{res} , can be computed as the net support of the distribution P_F on $F_r \geq -r \ln \Gamma_r$,

$$p_r^{\text{res}} = \int_{-r \ln \Gamma}^{\infty} dF_r P_F(F_r) \quad (15)$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \left[r \ln \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\Gamma_r}\right]^{r-1} \exp \left[-r \ln \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\Gamma_r}\right]. \quad (16)$$

As each of the $n_r^{(s)}$ sites at distance r are independent, the probability that there is no resonance at distance r is given by $Q_r = (1 - p_r^{\text{res}})^{n_r^{(s)}} \approx e^{-n_r^{(s)} p_r^{\text{res}}}$. The ratio $\lambda_r = n_{r+1}^{(s)} p_{r+1}^{\text{res}} / n_r^{(s)} p_r^{\text{res}}$ is a monotonically decreasing function of r . Hence, if for some r we have $\lambda_r < 1$ then $n_r^{(s)} p_r^{\text{res}} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and consequently $Q_r \rightarrow 1$; this signals the stability of localisation as Q_r is the probability of no resonances at distance r .

Using Eq. (16), the localisation criterion $\lambda_r < 1$ can be rewritten as

$$\Gamma \leq \underbrace{\frac{n_r^{(p)}}{n_{r+1}^{(p)}} \left(\frac{n_r^{(s)}}{n_{r+1}^{(s)}}\right)}_{K(r,N)} \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\ln N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-r}, \quad (17)$$

for a finite r in the limit of $N \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, for localisation to persist until a finite value of Γ , we require the dependence of $n_r^{(s)}$ and $n_r^{(p)}$ on r and N to be such that $K(r, N)$ does not scale with N .

For the QREM, $n_r^{(s)} = \binom{N}{r}$ and $n_r^{(p)} = r!$, and the ratio $K(r, N) \sim N^{-1/2}/r$. Thus the RHS of Eq. (17) scales as $N^{-1/2}$ and vanishes in the thermodynamic limit rendering localisation impossible. On the other hand, for




FIG. 9. The factor $K(r, N)$ appearing in Eq. (17) for the stability of localisation. For the EastREM, there is range of finite r (seemingly growing N), where $K(r, N)$ does not scale with N . Contrarily in the QREM, the ratio decays with N for all r .

the EastREM, the ratio $K(r, N)$ computed numerically does show an absence of dependence on N for finite r (see Fig. 9); the range of r over which this holds grows with N , suggesting that a localisation-delocalisation transition is indeed possible at a finite Γ for $\epsilon = 0$ in the thermodynamic limit.

Note that the qualitative difference between the QREM and EastREM with regard to the ratio $n_r^{(s)}/n_{r+1}^{(s)}$ arises purely from the constraints. In the QREM, after one flips $r \ll N$ spins, one is free to flip any of the $N - r \approx N$ spins in the next step, which leads to the ratio $n_{r+1}^{(s)}/n_r^{(s)}$ scaling as N . On the other hand, in the EastREM, flipping some spins from up to down creates new blockades and so the number states available on successive steps don't scale as fast as in the QREM. This argument in conjunction with the FSA presents an analytical picture of how the constraints affect the distribution of distances on the Fock space which in turn lead to a constraint-induced localised phase in the EastREM, unlike the QREM.

B. Enhancement of localisation at spectral edges

Let us now consider the situation at a finite energy density $\epsilon_0 = \mathcal{E}_0/N$ which, as the spectral width $\propto \sqrt{N}$, corresponds to the edges of the spectrum. Even though the density of states is exponentially small in this energy region, it is nevertheless important for the dynamics.

The distribution of $\Gamma/(\mathcal{E}_0 - \mathcal{E}_\alpha)$ is fat-tailed so that single sites can become resonant. As most of the \mathcal{E}_α s are $\sim \sqrt{N}$ these resonances are rare, so we focus on paths with a single resonance; for a Fock-space site $|\alpha\rangle$ at distance r to be resonant it is sufficient for a single site to be resonant. For a resonance to occur at distance r (and not before), we require that $\mathcal{E}_\beta \sim \sqrt{N}$ for all but the last β on the shortest path but $|\mathcal{E}_0 - \mathcal{E}_\alpha| \ll 1$. In this scenario, the amplitude on the Fock-space site α at distance r can




FIG. 10. (a) The function $Y(r, N)$, defined in Eq. (22), plotted as a function of $x = r/N$ for various N shows that it is a function of x alone, for the EastREM. The red dashed line shows the corresponding function for the QREM. Note that $x \leq 1$ for the QREM as no two sites are further than N sites apart, while the removal of bonds in the EastREM allows for longer shortest paths. (b) The boundary between the delocalised and localised phases obtained from solving Eq. (24). As in (a), the red dashed line corresponds to the QREM result. Γ_c for the EastREM is larger than that for the QREM for all finite ϵ_0 .

be expressed as

$$\psi_r = n_r^{(p)} \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{E}_0} \right)^{r-1} \frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{E}_0 - \mathcal{E}_\alpha}, \quad (18)$$

where as before $n_r^{(p)}$ is the average number of paths to sites at distance r and we have implicitly assumed that all paths are independent. As the distribution of the number of shortest paths, $n_r^{(p)}$ is not fat-tailed (see Fig. 8(a)), using the average is justified.

From Eq. (18), a resonance at the last site requires that $|\psi_r| > 1$ or equivalently $|\mathcal{E}_0 - \mathcal{E}_\alpha| < n_r^{(p)} \Gamma \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{E}_0} \right)^{r-1}$. Thus the probability of the state being resonant is

$$p_r^{\text{res}} = \int_{\mathcal{E}_0 - n_r^{(p)} \Gamma \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{E}_0} \right)^{r-1}}^{\mathcal{E}_0 + n_r^{(p)} \Gamma \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{E}_0} \right)^{r-1}} d\mathcal{E}_\alpha \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi N}} \exp \left[-\frac{\mathcal{E}_\alpha^2}{2N} \right] \quad (19)$$

$$\approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi N}} \exp \left[-\frac{\mathcal{E}_0^2}{2N} \right] n_r^{(p)} \Gamma \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{E}_0} \right)^{r-1}. \quad (20)$$

Since the \mathcal{E} s are i.i.d. random variables, the expression above holds for any state at a distance r . The probability that none of the $n_r^{(s)}$ sites at distance r is resonant then is simply given as before by $Q_r = (1 - p_r^{\text{res}})^{n_r^{(s)}} \approx e^{-n_r^{(s)} p_r^{\text{res}}}$. Localisation persists if $Q_r \rightarrow 1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ whenever r is a finite-fraction of N ; we thus define $x = r/N$ which will be useful later.

Using the expression in Eq. (20), Q_r can be written as

$$Q_r = \exp \left[-k e^{N f(r, N, \epsilon_0)} \right], \quad (21)$$

where f is

$$f(r, \epsilon_0) = -\frac{\epsilon_0^2}{2} + x \ln \frac{\Gamma}{\epsilon_0} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \ln(n_r^{(s)} n_r^{(p)})}_{Y(r, N)} - x \ln N, \quad (22)$$

where we have only kept terms that survive in the thermodynamic limit.

Crucially, $Y(r, N)$ in Eq. (22) is only a function of x , $Y(r, N) = Y(x)$, which in turn means that $f(r, N, \epsilon_0) = f(x, \epsilon_0)$. This can be trivially shown for the QREM using $n_r^{(p)} = r! \approx (r/e)^r$ and $n_r^{(s)} = \binom{N}{r}$ that $Y(x) = -(1-x) \ln(1-x) - x$. For the EastREM such analytic expressions $n_r^{(p)}$ and $n_r^{(s)}$ are not available,⁹ but the numerically obtained form in Fig. 10(a) shows that $Y(r, N)$ indeed is simply a function of $x = r/N$. The localisation condition $Q_r \rightarrow 1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ then requires that

$$\max_x f(x, \epsilon_0) < 0. \quad (23)$$

The critical Γ can be obtained by solving the equation

$$-\frac{\epsilon_0^2}{2} + x_* \ln \frac{\Gamma_c}{\epsilon_0} + Y(x_*) = 0 \quad (24)$$

where $f(x, \epsilon_0)$ is maximised at x_* .

We solve Eq. (24), for both the QREM and the EastREM, showing the results in Fig. 10. We find that in the EastREM, localisation persists to a larger value of Γ .

C. Numerical treatment of FSA

We now locate the transition numerically exactly within the FSA for small system sizes. To do this, we rewrite the delocalisation criterion of Eq. (12) as

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\Lambda_r > \ln\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma_c}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad (25)$$

where $\Lambda_r = \ln|\psi_r|^2/2r - \ln \Gamma$ and for $\Gamma < \Gamma_c$. Our strategy is to directly calculate the amplitudes ψ_r within the FSA (by obtaining the shortest paths numerically) and from those obtain the distribution of Eq. (25). Eq. (25) then says that the upper limit of its support then determines the critical Γ_c . Without loss of generality, for this calculation we shall take the DW state as the initial state as before.

We note here that while for the QREM to each state there corresponds only one state at hamming distance N , for the EastREM there are $\sim e^N$ such states. Indeed, in the EastREM, the number of configurations at distance

r is peaked at $r = N$. Hence, one can argue that studying the statistics of Λ_N can overestimate $(1/\Gamma_c)$, thus underestimating Γ_c as the likelihood of having a resonance at $r = N$ is quite high simply due to a large fraction of the configurations having $r = N$. As our main result is that $\Gamma_c > 0$ and in general larger than for the QREM, underestimating it is not a problem.

To calculate $P(\Lambda_N)$ starting from the domain-wall state we construct a matrix

$$\mathcal{T} = \Gamma \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \frac{A_{\beta, \gamma}}{\mathcal{E}_0 - \mathcal{E}_\gamma} |\beta\rangle \langle \gamma|$$

with $A_{\beta, \gamma} = 1$ if $r_{(0, \gamma)} < r_{(0, \beta)}$ and $\langle \beta | H_{\text{East}} | \gamma \rangle \neq 0$, where $r_{(0, \beta)}$ is the hamming distance between $|\beta\rangle$ and the domain-wall state. That is, $A_{\beta, \gamma} = 1$ if the transition between the two states $|\beta\rangle$ and $|\gamma\rangle$ is allowed by the Hamiltonian and it increases the distance from the domain-wall state. The amplitude on the configuration $|\alpha\rangle$, at a hamming distance r is then given by

$$\psi(\{\sigma_i^z\}_\alpha) = \langle \alpha | \mathcal{T}^l | 0 \rangle;$$

from which $|\psi_r|$ is obtained as

$$|\psi_r| = \max_{\alpha; r_{(0, \alpha)} = r} \{\psi(\{\sigma_i^z\}_\alpha)\}.$$

The distribution of Λ_N so obtained is shown in Fig. 11(a) for various N . It clearly has finite support, which has an upper bound that becomes sharper with increasing N . This is consistent with the conclusion that as $N \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a sharp value $1/\Gamma_c$ above which the distribution has no weight, as required by Eq. (25).

Since the distribution tends to get sharper with N , one can argue that the critical $1/\Gamma_c$ can be estimated as

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Lambda_N \rangle = \ln(1/\Gamma_c). \quad (26)$$

In order to estimate this limiting value, we fit $N \langle \Lambda_N \rangle$ as a function of N to a form

$$N \langle \Lambda_N \rangle = a + N \ln(1/\Gamma)_c + bN^\gamma, \quad (27)$$

where the last term takes into account the slowly decreasing fluctuations in Λ_N with increasing N . The fit is shown in Fig. 11(b) with the best fit parameters yielding $\ln(1/\Gamma_c) = 1.77 \pm 0.02$ which implies $\Gamma_c = 0.17 \pm 0.01$.

Note that the transition criterion in Eq. (25) can also be equivalently stated as

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} C(\Lambda_N = \ln(1/\Gamma)_c) \rightarrow 1, \quad (28)$$

where $C(\Lambda_N)$ is the cumulative distribution corresponding to $P(\Lambda_N)$. For finite-sized systems, we plot the $1 - C(\Lambda_N)$ in Fig. 11(c) and observe a clear crossing of the data for various system sizes. The value at which the crossing occurs and which we identify as the critical point matches remarkably well with that obtained from the finite-size scaling analysis of $\langle \Lambda_N \rangle$, as shown by the grey shaded region in Fig. 11(c). More importantly, the critical value so obtained, Γ_c^{FSA} , is in excellent agreement with the infinite temperature Γ_c obtained from exact diagonalisation, see Fig. 3.

⁹ Note here that the mean number of shortest paths between Fock-space sites distant by N in the EastREM scaled by the same quantity for the QREM decays systematically with N which is a direct result of the constraints.




FIG. 11. Numerical treatment of FSA for the EastREM. (a) The distributions $P(\Lambda_N)$ for different N . (b) The circles show the data for $N\langle\Lambda_N\rangle$ and the red dashed line shows a fit to the form in Eq. (27). The best fit parameters are $\ln(J/\Gamma)_c = 1.77 \pm 0.02$ and $\gamma = 0.09$, the low value of the latter highlighting the slow decay of the fluctuations in Λ_N with N . (c) The survival function, $1 - C(\Lambda_N)$ corresponding to $P(\Lambda_N)$ shows a clear crossing of the data for various N suggesting a critical point well in agreement with that extracted from (b) as shown by the grey shaded region.

VII. DISCUSSION

In conclusion, we have shown that local constraints can induce strong ergodicity breaking manifested as localisation in quantum many-body systems, crucially without shattering the Fock space. The locality of the constraints allows us to identify the long-lived local spatial configurations responsible for the dynamical arrest, which in Fock space turn out to correspond to dynamical bottlenecks caused by sparse connectivity between clusters of states. These results are exemplified by a quantum random energy model with East-like constraints, which we introduce and call the EastREM. We provide further support for the picture of isolated regions of Fock space by constructing and studying a random matrix model with GOE blocks for each cluster but the same intercluster connections as the EastREM. This model, which we name the GOEastREM, is the minimally structured model possessing the localisation mechanism we have identified. We finally obtain analytical insight by applying the FSA in Fock space. The constraints modify the distance (in Fock space) dependence of the number of accessible sites and paths to them, and the FSA shows how this leads to localisation. In addition to providing this insight, the FSA is in excellent agreement with the ED results (see Fig. 3).

At this juncture, a number of potential directions for

future work present themselves. An immediate direction of interest is a systematic study of the statistical mechanics of the paths on the Fock space by treating them as directed polymers on a correlated but random landscape. The replica trick [57] is ideally suited to obtain further analytical insight into the problem as the non-local propagator on the Fock space is expected to be dominated only by a few paths which pass through the resonant bottlenecks. In fact, in the context of many-body localisation in traditionally studied short-ranged disordered spin chains, a classical percolation proxy on the Fock space was recently introduced [27, 28]. The effect of constraints on such a percolation picture and the potential connections to the directed polymer picture could shed light on the nature of the transition.

A different question is whether an approach based on random unitary circuits, recently used to study universal properties of ergodic systems [58–60], can be generalised to include local constraints such that ergodicity is broken. In fact, there have been works on including conservation laws [61–63] as well as ergodicity breaking [64] in unitary circuits. The question then is to modifying the structure of the unitary gates in the circuit such that the scrambling is constrained locally, analogously to having a conserved degree of freedom locally. The physics in this scenario remains fundamentally different from unitary circuits with conservation laws which shatter the Hilbert space [34, 35].

Looking further afield, periodically driven (Floquet) systems have emerged as one of the more active areas of research in quantum dynamics. The main difficulty in seeing interesting physics with them is that ergodic systems inevitably heat up under driving [65–67]. Two routes to arresting this heating have been integrability [68] and Floquet-MBL [69, 70], both of which rely on breaking ergodicity to prevent heating. It is then natural to ask whether the present method of breaking ergodicity with local constraints can also prevent the heating up of driven quantum systems, without explicitly fragmenting the Fock space (thus rendering the physics distinct from that of scars in Floquet systems [71, 72]).

Finally one might ask whether many body localisation originating from correlations in Fock space [30], can be identified as being caused by emergent constraints due to the correlated Fock-space disorder.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank D. E. Logan for illuminating discussions about the FSA. This work was in part supported by EPSRC Grants No. EP/N01930X/1 and EP/S020527/1.

[1] M. Srednicki, The approach to thermal equilibrium in quantized chaotic systems, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32**,

- [2] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Thermalization and its mechanism for generic isolated quantum systems, *Nature* **452**, 854 (2008).
- [3] J. M. Deutsch, Quantum statistical mechanics in a closed system, *Phys. Rev. A* **43**, 2046 (1991).
- [4] M. Srednicki, Chaos and quantum thermalization, *Phys. Rev. E* **50**, 888 (1994).
- [5] L. D'Alessio, Y. Kafri, A. Polkovnikov, and M. Rigol, From quantum chaos and eigenstate thermalization to statistical mechanics and thermodynamics, *Advances in Physics* **65**, 239 (2016).
- [6] J. M. Deutsch, Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **81**, 082001 (2018).
- [7] D. M. Basko, I. L. Aleiner, and B. L. Altshuler, Metal-insulator transition in a weakly interacting many-electron system with localized single-particle states, *Annals of Physics* **321**, 1126 (2006).
- [8] I. V. Gornyi, A. D. Mirlin, and D. G. Polyakov, Interacting electrons in disordered wires: Anderson localization and low- T transport, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 206603 (2005).
- [9] V. Oganesyan and D. A. Huse, Localization of interacting fermions at high temperature, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 155111 (2007).
- [10] M. Žnidarič, T. Prosen, and P. Prelovšek, Many-body localization in the Heisenberg XXZ magnet in a random field, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 064426 (2008).
- [11] A. Pal and D. A. Huse, Many-body localization phase transition, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 174411 (2010).
- [12] R. Nandkishore and D. A. Huse, Many-body localization and thermalization in quantum statistical mechanics, *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **6**, 15 (2015).
- [13] F. Alet and N. Laflorencie, Many-body localization: an introduction and selected topics, *Comptes Rendus Physique* **19**, 498 (2018).
- [14] D. A. Abanin, E. Altman, I. Bloch, and M. Serbyn, Colloquium: Many-body localization, thermalization, and entanglement, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 021001 (2019).
- [15] M. Serbyn, Z. Papić, and D. A. Abanin, Local conservation laws and the structure of the many-body localized states, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 127201 (2013).
- [16] D. A. Huse, R. Nandkishore, and V. Oganesyan, Phenomenology of fully many-body-localized systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 174202 (2014).
- [17] V. Ros, M. Müller, and A. Scardicchio, Integrals of motion in the many-body localized phase, *Nucl. Phys. B* **891**, 420 (2015).
- [18] L. Rademaker and M. Ortuño, Explicit local integrals of motion for the many-body localized state, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 010404 (2016).
- [19] J. Z. Imbrie, V. Ros, and A. Scardicchio, Local integrals of motion in many-body localized systems, *Annalen der Physik* **529**, 1600278 (2017).
- [20] M. L. Mehta, *Random matrices* (Elsevier, 2004).
- [21] D. E. Logan and P. G. Wolynes, Quantum localization and energy flow in many-dimensional fermi resonant systems, *J. Chem. Phys.* **93**, 4994 (1990).
- [22] B. L. Altshuler, Y. Gefen, A. Kamenev, and L. S. Levitov, Quasiparticle lifetime in a finite system: A nonperturbative approach, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 2803 (1997).
- [23] D. E. Logan and S. Welsh, Many-body localization in Fock space: A local perspective, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 045131 (2019).
- [24] S. Roy and D. E. Logan, Self-consistent theory of many-body localisation in a quantum spin chain with long-range interactions, *SciPost Phys.* **7**, 42 (2019).
- [25] F. Pietracaprina, V. Ros, and A. Scardicchio, Forward approximation as a mean-field approximation for the Anderson and many-body localization transitions, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 054201 (2016).
- [26] F. Pietracaprina and N. Laflorencie, Hilbert space fragmentation and many-body localization, arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.05709 (2019).
- [27] S. Roy, D. E. Logan, and J. T. Chalker, Exact solution of a percolation analog for the many-body localization transition, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 220201 (2019).
- [28] S. Roy, J. T. Chalker, and D. E. Logan, Percolation in fock space as a proxy for many-body localization, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 104206 (2019).
- [29] G. De Tomasi, D. Hetterich, P. Sala, and F. Pollmann, Dynamics of strongly interacting systems: From fock-space fragmentation to many-body localization, arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.03073 (2019).
- [30] S. Roy and D. E. Logan, Fock-space correlations and the origins of many-body localisation, arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.12370 (2019).
- [31] M. van Horssen, E. Levi, and J. P. Garrahan, Dynamics of many-body localization in a translation-invariant quantum glass model, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 100305 (2015).
- [32] Z. Lan, M. van Horssen, S. Powell, and J. P. Garrahan, Quantum slow relaxation and metastability due to dynamical constraints, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 040603 (2018).
- [33] N. Pancotti, G. Giudice, J. I. Cirac, J. P. Garrahan, and M. C. Bañuls, Quantum east model: localization, non-thermal eigenstates and slow dynamics, arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.06616 (2019).
- [34] S. Pai, M. Pretko, and R. M. Nandkishore, Localization in fractonic random circuits, *Phys. Rev. X* **9**, 021003 (2019).
- [35] V. Khemani and R. Nandkishore, Local constraints can globally shatter Hilbert space: a new route to quantum information protection, arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.04815 (2019).
- [36] P. Sala, T. Rakovszky, R. Verresen, M. Knap, and F. Pollmann, Ergodicity-breaking arising from Hilbert space fragmentation in dipole-conserving hamiltonians, arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.04266 (2019).
- [37] C. J. Turner, A. A. Michailidis, D. A. Abanin, M. Serbyn, and Z. Papić, Weak ergodicity breaking from quantum many-body scars, *Nat. Phys.* **14**, 745 (2018).
- [38] C. J. Turner, A. A. Michailidis, D. A. Abanin, M. Serbyn, and Z. Papić, Quantum scarred eigenstates in a rydberg atom chain: Entanglement, breakdown of thermalization, and stability to perturbations, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 155134 (2018).
- [39] V. Khemani, C. R. Laumann, and A. Chandran, Signatures of integrability in the dynamics of Rydberg-blockaded chains, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 161101 (2019).
- [40] Y. Y. Goldschmidt, Solvable model of the quantum spin glass in a transverse field, *Phys. Rev. B* **41**, 4858 (1990).
- [41] C. R. Laumann, A. Pal, and A. Scardicchio, Many-body mobility edge in a mean-field quantum spin glass, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 200405 (2014).
- [42] C. L. Baldwin, C. R. Laumann, A. Pal, and A. Scardicchio, The many-body localized phase of the quantum random energy model, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 024202 (2016).
- [43] C. L. Baldwin, C. R. Laumann, A. Pal, and A. Scardicchio, Clustering of nonergodic eigenstates in quantum spin glasses, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 127201 (2017).

- [44] F. Ritort and P. Sollich, Glassy dynamics of kinetically constrained models, *Advances in Physics* **52**, 219 (2003).
- [45] J. P. Garrahan, P. Sollich, and C. Toninelli, Kinetically constrained models, in *Dynamical heterogeneities in glasses, colloids, and granular media*, edited by L. Berthier, G. Biroli, J.-P. Bouchaud, L. Cipelletti, and W. van Saarloos (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2011).
- [46] J. P. Garrahan, Aspects of non-equilibrium in classical and quantum systems: Slow relaxation and glasses, dynamical large deviations, quantum non-ergodicity, and open quantum dynamics, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **504**, 130 (2018).
- [47] R. Abou-Chacra, D. J. Thouless, and P. W. Anderson, A self-consistent theory of localization, *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics* **6**, 1734 (1973).
- [48] P. W. Anderson, Absence of diffusion in certain random lattices, *Phys. Rev.* **109**, 1492 (1958).
- [49] D. J. Luitz, N. Laflorencie, and F. Alet, Many-body localization edge in the random-field Heisenberg chain, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 081103 (2015).
- [50] Y. Bar Lev, G. Cohen, and D. R. Reichman, Absence of diffusion in an interacting system of spinless fermions on a one-dimensional disordered lattice, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 100601 (2015).
- [51] J. Z. Imbrie, On many-body localization for quantum spin chains, *J. Stat. Phys.* **163**, 998 (2016).
- [52] B. Derrida, Random-energy model: Limit of a family of disordered models, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45**, 79 (1980).
- [53] Y. Y. Atas, E. Bogomolny, O. Giraud, and G. Roux, Distribution of the ratio of consecutive level spacings in random matrix ensembles, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 084101 (2013).
- [54] A. De Luca and A. Scardicchio, Ergodicity breaking in a model showing many-body localization, *Europhys. Lett.* **101**, 37003 (2013).
- [55] N. Macé, F. Alet, and N. Laflorencie, Multifractal scalings across the many-body localization transition, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 180601 (2019).
- [56] A. Weiße, G. Wellein, A. Alvermann, and H. Fehske, The kernel polynomial method, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **78**, 275 (2006).
- [57] M. Mezard, G. Parisi, and M. Virasoro, *Spin Glass Theory and Beyond*, Lecture Notes in Physics Series (World Scientific, 1987).
- [58] A. Nahum, J. Ruhman, S. Vijay, and J. Haah, Quantum entanglement growth under random unitary dynamics, *Phys. Rev. X* **7**, 031016 (2017).
- [59] C. W. von Keyserlingk, T. Rakovszky, F. Pollmann, and S. L. Sondhi, Operator hydrodynamics, otocs, and entanglement growth in systems without conservation laws, *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 021013 (2018).
- [60] A. Chan, A. De Luca, and J. T. Chalker, Solution of a minimal model for many-body quantum chaos, *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 041019 (2018).
- [61] T. Rakovszky, F. Pollmann, and C. W. von Keyserlingk, Diffusive hydrodynamics of out-of-time-ordered correlators with charge conservation, *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 031058 (2018).
- [62] V. Khemani, A. Vishwanath, and D. A. Huse, Operator spreading and the emergence of dissipative hydrodynamics under unitary evolution with conservation laws, *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 031057 (2018).
- [63] A. J. Friedman, A. Chan, A. De Luca, and J. T. Chalker, Spectral statistics and many-body quantum chaos with conserved charge, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 210603 (2019).
- [64] A. Chan, A. De Luca, and J. T. Chalker, Spectral statistics in spatially extended chaotic quantum many-body systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 060601 (2018).
- [65] A. Lazarides, A. Das, and R. Moessner, Equilibrium states of generic quantum systems subject to periodic driving, *Phys. Rev. E* **90**, 012110 (2014).
- [66] L. D'Alessio and M. Rigol, Long-time behavior of isolated periodically driven interacting lattice systems, *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 041048 (2014).
- [67] P. Ponte, A. Chandran, Z. Papić, and D. A. Abanin, Periodically driven ergodic and many-body localized quantum systems, *Annals of Physics* **353**, 196 (2015).
- [68] A. Lazarides, A. Das, and R. Moessner, Periodic thermodynamics of isolated quantum systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 150401 (2014).
- [69] A. Lazarides, A. Das, and R. Moessner, Fate of many-body localization under periodic driving, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 030402 (2015).
- [70] P. Ponte, Z. Papić, F. Huveneers, and D. A. Abanin, Many-body localization in periodically driven systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 140401 (2015).
- [71] B. Mukherjee, S. Nandy, A. Sen, and K. Sengupta, Collapse and revival of quantum many-body scars via floquet engineering, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.08212* (2019).
- [72] A. Haldar, D. Sen, R. Moessner, and A. Das, Scars in strongly driven floquet matter: resonance vs emergent conservation laws, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.04064* (2019).