Twisted superfluids in moving frame.

A.Yu.Okulov*
Russian Academy of Sciences, 119991, Moscow, Russian Federation.
(Dated: January 24, 2023)

Helical waveguide filled by superfluid is shown to transform rotations of the reference frame $\vec{\Omega}_{\oplus}$ into linear displacements of the atomic ensemble and vise versa the linear displacements of the reference frame $\vec{V}$ initialize rotations $\vec{\Omega}_{\oplus}$ of ensemble. In the mean-field Gross-Pitaevskii equation with a weakly modulated gravitation potential the exact solutions for macroscopic wavefunction Ψ demonstrate the emergence of *redshift* phase factor. For helical waveguides formed by phase-conjugated optical vortices with high angular momentum $L_z = \pm\ell\hbar \sim \pm\hbar \cdot 10^{3\div 4}$ one may expect the significant improvement of the phase-sensitivity $\delta\phi$ by factor containing 2ℓ compared to the conventional nonsingular matter-wave interferometry.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Tx 42.65.Hw 42.50.Lc 04.80.Nn

Keywords: Superfluids, vortices, angular momentum, conservation laws, reference frames.

arXiv:1912.07073v3 [nlin.PS] 23 Jan 2023

The conservation laws exist due to the space-time symmetries. Homogeneity of time, isotropy and homogeneity of space lead to invariance of energy, linear momentum and angular momentum of isolated system with respect to infinitesimal translations dt, dz and rotations $d\theta$ [1]:

$$\hat{H} = i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \hat{P}_z = -i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \hat{L}_z = -i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}, \tag{1}$$

where (t, z, r, θ) is cylindrical coordinate system, $\hat{H}, \hat{P}_z, \hat{L}_z$ are operators of energy, linear and angular momenta projections on motion axis z. Lorenz invariance states that observer in closed box placed in inertial reference frame is not able to detect his motion with respect to outer world unless acceleration to appear [2]. In the same way the isotropy of space gives no chance to find preferential direction with a special properties. For these reasons isolated frictionless setup in isotropic space demonstrates mutual exchange of angular momentum between its constituents provided the external torque is absent (fig.1). But when the axis of rotating top is deflected forcedly the rest of setup will undergo the torque $\vec{T}$ due to angular momentum $\vec{L}$ conservation.

The conservation of angular momentum $\vec{L}$ means that when a part of isolated system in *apriory* isotropic space acquires rotation hence it's angular momentum (AM) is changed the rest of system should acquire the oppositely directed AM. The most popular example is experimentalist on rotating platform with rotating *top* in his hands (fig.1a) [3]. When rotating *top* with $\vec{L}_{top}(t)$ is tilted with respect to rotation axis $\vec{L}_{plat}(t)$ the torque $\vec{T}(t) = -d\vec{L}_{top}(t)/dt$ appears acting on a platform in order to conserve the total angular momentum of the system $\vec{L}(t) = \vec{L}(t=0) = \vec{L}_{plat}(t) + \vec{L}_{top}(t)$. When at $t = T$ *top* goes to exactly opposite direction at the angle 180^o the platform acquires doubled angular momentum $\vec{L}_{top}(t=0) = -\vec{L}_{top}(T)$ of the top $\vec{L}_{plat}(t=T) = 2\vec{L}_{top}(t=0)$.

Another example is reversal of photon's spin $S_z = \pm\hbar$ in Beth's experiment (fig.1b) [4]. The visible light with circular polarization propagates twice through $\lambda/2$ plate suspended on quartz wire. As a consequence torque $\vec{T}_{\lambda/2}$ on a plate appears whose value $|\vec{T}_{\lambda/2}| = 2IS/\omega$ is proportional to light intensity I, where S is the square of plate, ω is carrier frequency of light. Birefringent $\lambda/4$ plate knocks over the $\pm\hbar$ angular momentum of photon to the opposite one $\mp\hbar$ in double pass after reflection from mirror M. The important feature of Beth's experiment is photon's *spin reversal* in reflection from mirror $\mathbf{M}$: for this purpose the $\lambda/4$ plate is placed near $\mathbf{M}$ in order to alternate *spin* $\mp\hbar$ of reflected photons. Without $\lambda/4$ plate the optical torque $\vec{T}_{\lambda/2}$ would be exactly zero [4]. The $\lambda/4$ plate and mirror $\mathbf{M}$ double the torque upon $\lambda/2$ plate due to *phase-conjugation* of photons [5].

The else example is from the field of singular optics [6] where structured light beams with orbital angular momentum $L_z = \pm\ell\hbar$ (OAM) and topological charge ℓ [7] interact with anisotropic media. Such interaction induces torque on medium and exchange of angular momenta between photons and medium. The unique example of $perfect$ OAM $reversal$ is optical phase conjugation [8](fig.1c). Phase conjugation means a perfect coincidence of helical phase fronts of incident and reflected photons hence angular momentum of phase-conjugated photons $L_z = \mp\ell\hbar$ ought to be exactly

*Electronic address: alexey.okulov@gmail.com; URL: https://sites.google.com/site/okulovalexey

opposite to OAM of the incident ones $L_z = \pm\ell\hbar$. As a result of reflection the anisotropic phase-conjugating mirror (PCM) acquires doubled angular momentum $L_z = \pm 2\ell\hbar$ as a recoil.

Current communication aims to show that small perturbations of the helical waveguide orientation and displacements [9] are capable to produce macroscopically observable motions due to exchange of angular momenta between superfluid. Indeed superfluid localized within twisted boundaries where rotational symmetry is absent turns to be sensitive to displacements with translational speed $\vec{V}$, rotations $\vec{\Omega}_{\oplus}$ and acceleration $\vec{g}$ of reference frame where waveguide is in rest(fig.1d). This situation may be realized experimentally by virtue of quantum interference [10] device with twisted interference fringes [11]. Atomic interference fringes are formed inside helical waveguide produced by two phase-conjugated optical vortices with carrier frequencies detuned by $\delta\omega$ [12]. Thus twisted waveguide potential U_{tw} is given in cylindrical coordinates t, z, r, θ as follows [13]:

$$U_{tw}(t,z,r,\theta) \sim \exp\left[\frac{-2r^2}{D(z)^2}\right][1+\cos(2kz+2\ell\theta+\delta\omega t)]\cdot\frac{r^{2|\ell|}}{D(z)^{2|\ell|}}, \tag{2}$$

where Rayleigh range $L_R \cong D_0^2/\lambda$ of LG (Laguerre-Gaussian) vortex beams with topological charge ℓ [14] depends on beam waist radius D_0, wavenumber $2\pi/\lambda$, $D(z) = D_0\sqrt{1+z^2/k^2D_0^4}$. Such helical trapping potential had been already implemented experimentally with phase conjugating photorefractive mirror [15, 16] and phase-conjugating mirror using four-wave mixing in trapped atomic ensemble [17].Noteworthy this OAM *reversal* holds for speckle fields [18] as well. In a given speckle pattern OAM carried by multiple vortices is inverted *locally* for each vortex in the speckle provided each inversion of $L_z = \pm\ell\hbar$ results in excitation of acoustical vortex with $L_z = \pm 2\ell\hbar$ [19].

Consider the general case when matter wave interferometer is placed upon rigid gravitating sphere rotating with angular velocity $\vec{\Omega}_{\oplus}$ and this sphere moves also translationally with linear velocity $\vec{V}$. (fig.2). The interferometer axis $\vec{z}(t)$ (unit dimensionless vector) moves around frame rotation axis $\vec{\Omega}_{\oplus}$ and it is in translational motion with speed $\vec{V}$ simultaneously. Two reference frames will be considered hereafter. In a reference frame collocated with interferometer (*lab frame*) the Gross-Pitaevskii equation [20] for atomic ensemble wavefunction Ψ has the form :

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\Psi + \tilde{U}_{tw}(z,r,\theta)\,\Psi + \tilde{g}N_a|\Psi|^{\,2}\Psi \\ -\vec{V}\cdot\vec{z}\,\hat{P}_z\Psi &- \vec{\Omega}_{\oplus}\cdot\vec{z}\,\hat{L}_z\Psi + mz\,[\vec{g}_0+\epsilon\vec{g}_1(t)]\cdot\vec{z}\,\Psi, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where m is the mass of trapped atom, N_a is number of atoms in condensate, $\tilde{g} = 4\pi\hbar^2\,a_S/m$, a_S is S-wave scattering length, $\vec{g} = \vec{g}_0 + \epsilon\vec{g}_1(t)$ is free-fall acceleration slowly perturbed in time t, ϵ is small parameter, μ is chemical potential [21]. In Thomas-Fermi approximation the exact solution of this equation is a superposition of the two phase-conjugated matter-wave vortices:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Psi}_{tw}(t,z,r,\theta) \sim \exp&\left[\frac{-i\mu t + imz\int_{-\infty}^{t}\vec{g}(\tau)\cdot\vec{z}d\tau}{\hbar}\right]\cdot \\ &\left[\cos(kz+\ell\theta+2kt\,\vec{V}\cdot\vec{z}+2\,\ell t\,\vec{\Omega}_{\oplus}\cdot\vec{z})\right]\frac{r^{|\ell|}}{D(z)^{|\ell|}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

This solution for macroscopic wavefunction in helical potential predicts that from the point of view of *external observer* , i.e. when viewed from a so-called *rest frame*, spiral atomic cloud with density profile $|\tilde{\Psi}_{tw}|^{\,2} = \mu - \tilde{U}_{tw}(z,r,\theta)/\tilde{g}$ will move along interferometer axis $\vec{z}$ with translational velocity $\vec{V}\cdot\vec{z}$ and it will rotate with angular velocity $\vec{\Omega}_{\oplus}\cdot\vec{z}$ under following restriction [9]:

$$\vec{V}\cdot\vec{z} = -\vec{\Omega}_{\oplus}\cdot\vec{z}\,\ell/k. \tag{5}$$

Besides from the point of view of *external observer* the wavefunction Ψ_{tw} contains the phase factor due to potential energy of condensate in a slowly perturbed gravity $\vec{g}(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{tw}(t,z,r,\theta) \sim \exp&\left[\frac{-i\mu t + imz\int_{-\infty}^{t}\vec{g}(\tau)\cdot\vec{z}d\tau}{\hbar}\right]\cdot \\ &\left[e^{ikz+i\ell\theta}+e^{-ikz-i\ell\theta}\right]\cdot\exp\left[\frac{-r^2}{D(z)^2}\right]\frac{r^{|\ell|}}{D(z)^{|\ell|}}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where phase factor is analogous to $redshift$ which is by definition the change of photons frequency in gravitational field. In accordance with equivalence principle [22] acceleration of reference frame $\vec{g}(\tau)$ is equivalent to gravity. In

our case potential energy of superfluid ensemble in GPE (3) changes the phase of wavefunction Ψ_{tw} in (6) as well. Time-dependent phase of superfluid ensemble wavefunction is a key quantity in matter-wave interferometry.

Two other exact solutions of GPE in moving frame demonstrate as frame rotations with $\vec{\Omega}_\oplus$ and frame translations with $\vec{V}$ affect the wavefunction Ψ. Indeed the sole translation of atomic interferometer with constant speed $\vec{V}$ (without rotation and in the absence of gravity $\vec{g}$) under applicability of GPE:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\Psi + \tilde{U}_{tw}(z,r,\theta)\,\Psi + \tilde{g}N_a|\Psi|^{\,2}\Psi - \vec{V}\cdot\vec{z}\,\hat{P}_z\Psi, \tag{7}$$

leads to splitting of eigenfrequencies (linear Doppler ???) and rotation of matter wavetrain around $\vec{z}$ axis (fig.2b):

$$\Psi_{tw}(t,z,r,\theta) \sim \exp\left[\frac{-i\mu t}{\hbar}\right]\cos(kz + \ell\theta + 2kt\,\vec{V}\cdot\vec{z})\cdot\exp\left[-\frac{r^2}{D(z)^2}\right]\frac{r^{|\ell|}}{D(z)^{|\ell|}}. \tag{8}$$

In the same way the sole rotation of atomic interferometer with constant angular velocity $\vec{\Omega}_\oplus$ (without translation and in the absence of gravity $\vec{g}$):

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\Psi + \tilde{U}_{tw}(z,r,\theta)\,\Psi + \tilde{g}N_a|\Psi|^{\,2}\Psi - \vec{\Omega}_\oplus\cdot\vec{z}\,\hat{L}_z\Psi, \tag{9}$$

leads to splitting of eigenfrequencies and translation of matter wavetrain with speed $V_{\Omega_\oplus} = \ell\,\vec{\Omega}_\oplus\cdot\vec{z}/k$ along $\vec{z}$ axis (fig.2a):

$$\Psi_{tw}(t,z,r,\theta) \sim \exp\left[\frac{-i\mu t}{\hbar}\right]\cos(kz + \ell\theta + 2\,\ell t\,\vec{\Omega}_\oplus\cdot\vec{z})\cdot\exp\left[-\frac{r^2}{D(z)^2}\right]\frac{r^{|\ell|}}{D(z)^{|\ell|}}. \tag{10}$$

In this case the matter wave vortices inside helical waveguide experience the splitting of energy δE due to Coriolis effect:

$$\delta E(t) = -\hbar\ell\vec{z}(t)\cdot\vec{\Omega}_\oplus,\;\; \alpha(t) = \int_0^t d\tau\;\delta E(t)/\hbar, \tag{11}$$

where geometric phase $\alpha(t)$ had been acquired via transport of rotating matter wave vortices trapped by helical waveguide (2) along a closed trajectory [23, 24]. When axes $\vec{z}$ and $\vec{\Omega}_\oplus$ are parallel to each other the differentiation of geometric phase gives the frequency shift via angular Doppler effect [14, 25]. For the *lab* upon surface of rotating hard sphere, this happens when *lab* is placed e.g. on Poles vertically, at equator horizontally directed to poles and in a some other geometrically evident locations. The orthogonal mutual orientation of $\vec{z}$ and $\vec{\Omega}_\oplus$ means that *lab* is placed horizontally on the Poles, vertically deployed on equator and some other obvious cases. The general case of arbitrary angle between translational and rotational velocities $\vec{z}$ and $\vec{\Omega}_\oplus$ is a quantum analog to classical *wheel wobbling* motion [3]. The exact solutions (6), (8), (10) are each composed of two phase-conjugating matter-wave vortices and one may expect that their perfectly spiral matter-wave patterns will move without distortions provided the motions of reference frame are sufficiently small. Though more sophisticated analysis of stability [26] of these exact solutions in the *wheel wobbling* regime in the spirit of Bespalov-Talanov approach would be of high interest [27].

Another example is spatiotemporal evolution of the non-equilibrium exciton-polariton condensate. For this ensemble the open dissipative Gross-Pitaevskii (dGP) equation [28] has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi_{ep}}{\partial t} = &-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_p}\Delta\Psi_{ep} + U_{tw}(\vec{r})\,\Psi_{ep} + g_{pp}N_{ep}|\Psi_{ep}|^{\,2}\Psi_{ep} \\ &-\vec{\Omega}_\oplus\cdot\vec{z}\,\hat{L}_z\Psi_{ep} + m_p z\,[\vec{g}_0 + \epsilon\vec{g}_1(t)]\cdot\vec{z}\,\Psi_{ep} \\ &-\vec{V}\cdot\vec{z}\,\hat{P}_z\Psi_{ep} + \frac{i}{2}(P(\vec{r}) - \gamma - \eta|\Psi_{ep}|^{\,2})\Psi_{ep}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where Ψ_{ep} is the order parameter for exciton-polariton superfluid, g_{pp} is polariton-polariton interaction constant, m_p is polariton mass, $P(\vec{r})$ is pumpung rate, γ is polariton decay rate, η is gain saturation. Again the Thomas-Fermi solution for the condensate density near equilibrium $|\Psi_{ep}|^{\,2} = (P(\vec{r}) - \gamma)/\eta$ for observer in a reference frame

moving with angular velocity $\vec{\Omega}_{\oplus}$, translational speed $\vec{V}$ and acceleration $\vec{g}_0 + \epsilon\vec{g}_1(t)$ is superposition of the two phase-conjugated vortices of exciton-polariton superfluid:

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{ep}(t,z,r,\theta) \sim \exp\left[\frac{-i\mu t + im_p z\int_{-\infty}^{t}\vec{g}(\tau)\cdot\vec{z}\,d\tau)}{\hbar}\right] \left[\cos(kz+\ell\theta)\right]\exp\left[-\frac{r^2}{D(z)^2}\right]\frac{r^{|\ell|}}{D(z)^{|\ell|}}, \tag{13}$$

with identical dynamics to (fig.2) under external disturbances of reference frame and time-modulated $redshift$ of superfluid ensemble.

The coarse azymuthal interference pattern forming macroscopic wavefunctions Ψ (4), (13) provides the additional opportunity to enhance sensitivity of matter-wave interferometers. One may suggest that a higher density of the interference fringes (2ℓ per $\lambda/2$) in the helical interference pattern [34] may enhance phase sensitivity of this spiral matter-wave interferometer by a factor $(2\ell)^{\alpha}$, where $1 \le \alpha \le 2$:

$$(2\ell)^{\alpha}\delta N\cdot\delta\phi \sim 1; \delta\phi = \frac{1}{(2\ell)^{\alpha}\delta N} = \frac{1}{(2\ell)^{\alpha}\sqrt{N}}. \tag{14}$$

This conjecture may be supported by the following arguments. Indeed the uncertainty relation for the number of particles in condensate N and its phase ϕ puts the fundamental limit on achievable accuracy of the phase measurement $\delta\phi$ (14). This limit occurs due to noncommutativity of number $\hat{N}$ and phase $\hat{\phi}$ operators $[\hat{N},\hat{\phi}] = i$ [35] that leads to evaluation of the fundamentally achievable phase accuracy as $\delta\phi \sim 1/\sqrt{(}N)$. The further possible improvement of accuracy is known due to the usage of nonclassical quantum states [36–38] $\delta\phi \sim 1/N$.

The possibility of the more sensitive measurements emerges from *commutativity* of the number operator $\hat{N}$ and angular momentum operators $\hat{L}$ and $\hat{L}_z$. Because $[\hat{N},\hat{L}] = 0$ and $[\hat{N},\hat{L}_z] = 0$, there exists a possibility of statistically *independent* measurements of the phase ϕ and rotations angle θ. For this reason the *simultaneous* measurement of the phase ϕ and rotations angle θ may be organized without additional noise induced by measurements. The accurate measurements of the rotation angle $\hat{\Theta}$ with deviation $\delta\theta$ will induce uncertainty of angular momentum $\hat{L}_z = -i\hbar\partial/\partial\theta$ due to noncommutativity ($[\hat{L}_z,\hat{\Theta}] = -i\hbar$) [40]. The fluctuations of $\hat{L}_z$ because of precise measurements of θ will obey standard uncertainty relation $\delta L_z\cdot\delta\theta \ge \hbar/2$ [39]. But noise produced by measurements of angle θ will not result in additional noise in phase $\hat{\phi}$ measurements because of commutativity $[\hat{N},\hat{L}] = 0$. This fundamental property of quantum measurements of wavefunction phase $\phi = arg\Psi$ and azymuthal rotation angle θ offers the unique opportunity to the further improvement of the standard quantum uncertainty of phase measurement [32] provided a special implementation of the θ measurement procedure. Namely the *simultaneous* measurement measurement of the ϕ and θ may reach the drastically new level $\delta\phi \sim 2\ell^{-\alpha}/\sqrt{N}$.

When reference frame rotates with container at angular velocity $\Omega_{\oplus}$ the circulation acquires the additional Sagnac term:

$$\oint_{\Gamma}\vec{v}\cdot d\vec{r} = \oint_{\Gamma}(\vec{v}-\Omega_{\oplus}\times\vec{r})\cdot d\vec{r} = n\kappa - 2\Omega_{\oplus}\cdot\vec{S}, \tag{15}$$

where $\vec{S}$ is area enclosed by contour Γ. Hence the phase of wavefunction is shifted by $\delta\phi_{SF} = (m/\hbar)2\Omega_{\oplus}\cdot\vec{S}$. Taking into account de Broglie wavelength of massive particle moving with speed $|\vec{v}|$: $\lambda_B = 2\pi\hbar/(m|\vec{v}|)$ we get the evident similarity of Sagnac shifts for massless photons $\delta\phi_{phot}$ and matter waves along with their numerical comparison in favour of matter waves:

$$\delta\phi_{SF} = \frac{4\pi\Omega_{\oplus}\cdot\vec{S}}{\lambda_B|\vec{v}|},\ \delta\phi_{phot} = \frac{4\pi\Omega_{\oplus}\cdot\vec{S}_{phot}}{\lambda c}, \frac{\delta\phi_{SF}}{\delta\phi_{phot}} = \frac{\lambda mc|\vec{S}|}{h|\vec{S}_{phot}|} = \frac{mc^2|\vec{S}|}{h\nu|\vec{S}_{phot}|} \sim 10^{10}\frac{|\vec{S}|}{|\vec{S}_{phot}|}, \tag{16}$$

because of smallness of photon's energy $h\nu = h\lambda/c \sim 1eV$ compared to the rest mass of a typical atom. This advantage of the matter waves is a somewhat diminished by a substantially larger area $|\vec{S}_{phot}|$ enclosed by optical fibers compared to atomic waveguides $|\vec{S}|$ [32].

In summary the matter wave interferometer with helical waveguide (fig.2) [11, 29] had been considered in perturbed reference frame. The weak space-time metric modulations were taken into account via slightly modulated free-fall acceleration $\vec{g}(\tau) = \vec{g}_0 + \epsilon\vec{g}_1(t)$. The exact solutions of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation demonstrate the appearance of macroscopically observable motion of helically confined superfluids in anisotropic environment when lab frame is

under externally driven displacements, rotations [33] and accelerations $\vec{g}(t)$. The time varying free fall acceleration $\vec{g}(t)$ induces phase-modulation of condensate wavefunction being perfect analog of gravitational $redshift$ of photons.

The possible applications are inertial navigation, detection of seismic vibrations and gravitational waves [30, 31]. The sensitivity of spiral superfluid interferometer will be evaluated taking into account quantum fluctuations of the particles number δN and phase of wavefunction ϕ [32] in another work.

---

[1] Lifshitz E.M., Pitaevskii L.P. and Berestetskii V.B. *Q*uantum Electrodynamics . (Oxford:Butterworth-Heineman);1982.
[2] A.A.Michelson Relative Motion of Earth and Aether . Philosophical Magazine 1904; **8**(48), 716-719.
[3] R.P.Feynman, R.Leyton and M.Sands *S*pace,time, motion . Ch.20, (Reading: Massachusetts);(1963).
[4] R.A.Beth Mechanical detection and measurement of the angular momentum of light . Phys.Rev. 1936 ;**50**,115.
[5] Basov N.G., Zubarev I.G.,Mironov A.B., Mikhailov S.I. and Okulov A.Yu. Laser interferometer with wavefront reversing mirrors . JETP,1980 ; **52**, 847.
[6] E.Abramochkin, V.Volostnikov Beam transformations and nontransformed beams . Opt.Comm., 1989 ; **83**, 123-135.
[7] L.Allen, M.W.Beijersbergen, R.J.C.Spreeuw and J.P.Woerdman Orbital angular momentum of light and the transformation of Laguerre-Gaussian laser modes. Phys.Rev. A, 1992; **45**,8185-8189.
[8] Okulov A.Yu. Angular momentum of photons and phase conjugation. J.Phys.B., 2008; **41**, 101001
[9] Okulov A.Yu. Superfluid rotation sensor with helical laser trap. Journ.Low.Temp.Phys., 2013; **171**, 397-407.
[10] Li P., Malomed B.A. , Mihalache D. Vortex solitons in fractional nonlinear Schrodinger equation with the cubic-quintic nonlinearity. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 2020; **137**, 109783.
[11] Okulov A.Yu. Cold matter trapping via slowly rotating helical potential . Phys.Lett.A, 2012; **376**, 650-655.
[12] Okulov A.Yu. Optical and Sound Helical structures in a Mandelstam - Brillouin mirror. JETP Lett.2008; **88**, 631.
[13] Babiker M.,Andrews D.L.,Lembessis V.E. Atoms in complex twisted light. J.Opt., 2018; **21**(1),013001.
[14] Speirits F.C., Lavery M.P.J. , Padgett M.J. , Barnett S.M. Optical Angular Momentum in a Rotating Frame . Opt. Lett.,2014; **39(10)**, 2944-2946.
[15] Mamaev A.V.,Saffman M. and Zozulya A.A. Time dependent evolution of an optical vortex in photorefractive media. Phys.Rev.A, 1997; **56**, R1713.
[16] M.Woerdemann, C.Alpmann and C.Denz Self-pumped phase conjugation of light beams carrying orbital angular momentum . Opt. Express, 2009; **17**, 22791.
[17] D.V.Petrov and J.W.R.Tabosa Optical Pumping of Orbital Angular Momentum of Light in Cold Cesium Atoms . Phys.Rev.Lett.,1999; **83**,4967.
[18] Okulov A.Yu. Twisted speckle entities inside wavefront reversal mirrors. Phys.Rev.A , 2009; **80**, 013837.
[19] Okulov A.Yu. Laser singular θ-pinch. Phys.Lett.A, 2010; **374**,4523-4527.
[20] Dalfovo F., Giorgini S., Stringari S., Pitaevskii L.P. Theory of Bose-Einstein condensation in trapped gases .Rev.Mod.Phys. 1999; **71**, 463.
[21] Al Rsheed A.,Lyras A., Aldossary O.M. and Lembessis V.E. Rotating optical tubes for vertical transport of atoms . Phys.Rev.A. 2016; **94**, 063423.
[22] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Classical theory of fields* . Butterworth-Heinemann: Oxford; 1975.
[23] Okulov A.Yu. Optical counterpart of Foucault pendulum. J.Opt.Soc.Am. A , 2023; **40**(2), to appear.
[24] J.F.Nye and M.V.Berry, Quantal phase factors accompanying adiabatic changes, Proc.R.Soc.London, Ser.A, 1984; **392**,45-57.
[25] Okulov A.Yu. Rotational Doppler shift of the phase-conjugated photons. J.Opt.Soc.Am. B 2012; **29**, 714-718.
[26] Williams F., Tsitoura F.,Horikis T.P., Kevrekidis P.G. Solitary waves in the resonant nonlinear Shrodinger equation: Stability and dynamical properties. Phys. Lett. A 2020;**384**, 126441.
[27] A.Yu.Okulov, Structured light entities, chaos and nonlocal maps . Chaos, Solitons and Fractals. 2020; **133**, 109638.
[28] Moxley III F.I., Weizhong Dai, Dowling J.P.,Birnes T. Sagnac interferometry with coherent vortex superposition states in exciton-polariton condensates. Phys.Rev.A. 2016; **93**, 053603.
[29] Lembessis V.E. , Alqarni A. ,Alshamari S. , Siddig A. , Aldossary O. M. Artificial gauge magnetic fields and electric fields for free two-level atoms interacting with optical Ferris wheel light fields . J. Opt. Soc. Am. B. 2017; **34**, 1122-1129.
[30] Abbott B.P. et al. Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger . Phys.Rev.Lett. 2016; **116**, 061102.
[31] Dimopoulos S., Graham P.W., Hogan J.M., Kasevich M.A., Rajendran S. Gravitational wave detection with atom interferometry. Phys.Lett.B 2009; **678**, 37-40.
[32] Scully M.O., Zubairy M.S. *Quantum optics*. Cambridge University Press; 1997.
[33] Cuevas J.M., Malomed B.A., and Kevrekidis P. G. Two-dimensional discrete solitons in rotating lattices. Phys.Rev.E 2007; **76**, 046608.
[34] Padgett M.J., Miatto F.M., Lavery M.P.J., Zeilinger A., Boyd R.W., Divergence of an orbital-angular-momentum-carrying beam under propagation.
[35] Barnett S.M. and Pegg D.T. Quantum theory of optical phase correlations Phys.Rev.A 1990; **42**, 6713.
[36] Caves C.M. , Quantum-mechanical noise in an interferometer, Phys.Rev.D, 1981; **23**, 1693.
[37] Dowling J.P.,Correlated input-port, matter-wave interferometer: quantum-noise limits to the atom-laser gyroscope,

Phys.Rev.A,1998; **57**, 4736.
[38] Dowling J.P., Quantum optical metrology-the lowdown on high-N00N states, Contemporary Physics,2008; **49**(2), 125.
[39] Franke-Arnold S., Barnett S.M. , Eric Yao, Jonathan Leach, Johannes Courtial and Miles Padgett. Uncertainty principle for angular position and angular momentum. New.Journ.Phys;2004 **6(2)**, 103.
[40] Judge D. On the uncertainty relation for L_z ans ϕ. Phys.Lett. 1963; **5**,189.




FIG. 1: (Color online) a) Operator upon rotating platform reverses the *top* with angular momentum $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_+$ to opposite direction $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_-$. As a result the platform with operator acquire angular momentum $2\vec{\mathcal{L}}_+$. b) $\lambda/2$ quartz plate acquires angular momentum $\pm 2\hbar$ due to reversal of photon's angular momentum $\pm\hbar$ in Beth's setup. c) Phase-conjugating mirror PCM reverses angular momentum of twisted photon $\pm\hbar\ell$ and acquires angular momentum $\pm 2\hbar\ell$. d) Matter wave interferometer in a frame slightly shaken by translations $\vec{V}$, rotations $\vec{\Omega}_\oplus$ and gravity modulations $\vec{g}(t)$ induces macroscopic motion of atomic cloud via "wheel wobbling" interaction.




FIG. 2: (Color online) Phase-conjugating vortex matter-wave interferometer (*lab*) with topological charge $\ell = \pm 2$ in different moving reference frames: a) *lab* is rotated only with angular velocity $\vec{\Omega}_\oplus$. For positive ℓ atomic cloud rotates clockwise and it moves up. For negative ℓ atomic cloud rotates counter-clockwise and it moves down. b) *lab* is translated up without rotation with linear velocity $\vec{V}$. For positive ℓ atomic cloud rotates clockwise and it moves up. For negative ℓ atomic cloud rotates counter-clockwise and it moves down. Grey horizontal line indicates unmovable LG beam necklace.