

Scaling functions for eigenstate entanglement crossovers in harmonic lattices

Thomas Barthel and Qiang Miao

Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA

(Dated: January 27, 2019)

For quantum matter, eigenstate entanglement entropies obey an area law or log-area law at low energies and small subsystem sizes and cross over to volume laws for high energies and large subsystems. The crossover can be described by scaling functions. We demonstrate this for the harmonic lattice model which describes quantized lattice vibrations and is a regularization for free scalar field theories, describing, e.g., spin-0 bosonic particles. In one dimension, the groundstate entanglement obeys a log-area law. For dimensions $d \geq 2$, it displays area laws, even at criticality, because excitation energies vanish only at a single point in momentum space. We sample excited states. The distribution of their entanglement entropies are sharply peaked around subsystem entropies of corresponding thermodynamic ensembles in accordance with the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis. In this way, we determine entanglement scaling functions which correspond to the quantum critical regime of the model. We show how infrared singularities of the system can be handled and how to access the thermodynamic limit using a perturbative trick for the covariance matrix. Eigenstates for quasi-free bosonic systems are not Gaussian. We resolve this problem by considering appropriate squeezed states instead. For these, entanglement entropies can be evaluated efficiently.

I. INTRODUCTION

Consider a bipartite system in a pure state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. Then, quantum non-locality can be quantified by the von Neumann entanglement entropy

$$S = -\text{Tr} \hat{\rho}_A \ln \hat{\rho}_A, \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{\rho}_A = \text{Tr}_B |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ is the reduced density matrix of subsystem \mathcal{A} [1–4]. Specifically, we assume compact subsystems \mathcal{A} with linear size ℓ , i.e., volume ℓ^d where d is the number of spatial dimensions. For typical condensed matter systems, the groundstate entanglement entropy obeys an area law, $S \sim \ell^{d-1}$ [5–12], or a log-area law, $S \sim \ell^{d-1} \log \ell$ [13–16]. According to quantum typicality [17–19], generic states should however obey a volume law, i.e., have an extensive entanglement entropy $S \sim \ell^d$. As discussed in our recent contribution [20], entanglement entropies of energy eigenstates, in particular, cross over from the groundstate scaling at low energies and small subsystem sizes to an extensive scaling at high energies and large subsystem sizes. Previous work considered states with few-particle excitations, i.e., vanishing excitation-energy density, and found that, up to subleading corrections, they still obey (log-)area laws [21–26]. The same scaling was found for special classes of (rare) highly excited states [21, 27] which can usually be interpreted as ground states of other local Hamiltonians. Extensive entanglement entropies have been demonstrated for broad classes of highly excited states in Refs. [27–30]. Extensive scaling of the *average* eigenstate entanglement was shown for quasi-free fermionic systems and chaotic Hamiltonians [31–35].

In the recent contribution [20], we pointed out that the crossover from groundstate scaling to volume laws can be deduced using the eigenstate thermalization (hypothesis) (ETH). In fact, it is described by scaling functions; those for the quantum critical regions are universal [36]. We exemplified this point for critical as well as gapped one-




FIG. 1. **Mechanical analog of the harmonic lattice model.** Oscillators of eigenfrequency ω on a d -dimensional lattice are coupled by springs of strength κ . The Hamiltonian has d uncoupled terms $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_x + \hat{H}_y \dots$, each corresponding to the harmonic lattice model in Eq. (2).

dimensional (1d) systems and also for critical fermionic systems in $d \geq 2$ dimensions [20].

In this paper, we consider the harmonic lattice model

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} (\hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}}^2 + \omega^2 \hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}}^2) + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \sum_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \rangle} (\hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}} - \hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}'})^2 \quad (2)$$

with $[\hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}'}] = i\delta_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'}$, and determine scaling functions for the eigenstate entanglement crossover from the groundstate scaling to volume laws. The model describes, e.g., quantized lattice vibrations in solids (phonons) which follow Bose-Einstein statistics. The continuum limit is the free scalar (Klein-Gordon) quantum field theory

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^d r \left[\hat{\pi}^2 + \omega^2 \hat{\phi}^2 + \kappa (\partial_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{\phi})^2 \right] \quad (3)$$

with $[\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{r}), \hat{\pi}(\mathbf{r}')] = i\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$. In particle physics with $d = 3$ and $\kappa = 1$, it is used to describe spin-0 bosons of mass ω . In the gapped regime $\omega > 0$, the groundstate entanglement entropy obeys the area law. At the quantum critical point $\omega = 0$, the system has gapless excitations

and the groundstate entanglement entropy follows the log law $S_{\text{gs}} \sim \frac{c}{3} \ln \ell$ for $d = 1$ [5, 7, 37, 38], but still follows an area law $S_{\text{gs}} \sim \alpha \ell^{d-1}$ for $d > 1$ [5, 15, 37, 39]. See Refs. [40–42] for reviews on entanglement scaling.

The ETH [43–51] implies that local expectation values of all (strong ETH) or at least the majority of all (weak ETH) energy eigenstates are indistinguishable from expectation values of corresponding microcanonical ensembles with the same energy. Deviations vanish in the thermodynamic limit. In contrast to strong ETH, weak ETH allows for an exponentially small number of untypical eigenstates [52]. While strong ETH is difficult to establish in a general way, weak ETH [46, 53, 54] applies very generally and can be understood rather easily; see Ref. [20]. We show and use that, due to the ETH, the entanglement entropies of excited states can be deduced from subsystem entropies of corresponding thermodynamic ensembles [20]. Exploiting the equivalence of thermodynamic ensembles for large systems [55–58], we employ the grand-canonical ensemble (GCE) $\hat{\rho} = \exp(-\beta \hat{H})/Z$ to deduce entanglement entropies in excited states with energy $E(\beta) = \langle \hat{H} \rangle_\beta$ and phonon number $N(\beta) = \langle \hat{N} \rangle_\beta$. For simplicity, we keep the chemical potential at $\mu = 0$. Entanglement entropies of typical eigenstates are very close to GCE subsystem entropies. Hence, they are extensive for large subsystems,

$$S(\ell, E) \stackrel{\text{typical}}{\approx} S_{\text{GCE}}(\ell, \beta) \xrightarrow{\ell \gg \xi} \ell^d s_{\text{th}}(\beta), \quad (4)$$

where $s_{\text{th}}(\beta)$ denotes the thermodynamic entropy density, and ξ is the thermal correlation length. More specifically, S crosses over from the groundstate scaling at small ℓ to extensive scaling at large ℓ . The crossover length increases with decreasing energy (β^{-1}). That the groundstate scaling is recovered at small ℓ is due to a momentum-space resolution problem which can be easily understood for non-interacting translation-invariant systems [20]: Limiting measurements to a small subsystem corresponds to coarse-graining in momentum space, due to which states with finite energy density become indistinguishable from the ground state.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the harmonic lattice model is diagonalized and we give the covariance matrices that characterize thermal equilibrium states. Sec. III shows how entanglement entropies and finite-temperature subsystem entropies can be computed from covariance matrices. The critical 1d and 2d harmonic lattice models feature ultraviolet and, more importantly, infrared singularities. We discuss in Sec. IV how these can be regularized, and how we can access the thermodynamic limit, while retaining scale invariance. Finally, scaling functions for the eigenstate entanglement crossovers are determined numerically in Sec. V. Eigenstates for quasi-free bosonic systems are not Gaussian, and hence it is usually computationally expensive to assess their entanglement entropies. We resolve this problem by considering appropriate squeezed states instead. They are discussed in Sec. VI and used in Sec. VII to

assert the applicability of the ETH for the considered systems. We conclude in Sec. VIII, commenting also on the universality of the obtained scaling functions.

II. DIAGONALIZATION OF THE HARMONIC LATTICE MODEL

The position and momentum operators in the harmonic lattice model (2) obey the canonical commutation relations

$$[\hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}'}] = i\delta_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'}, \quad [\hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}'}] = 0, \quad [\hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}'}] = 0.$$

The translation invariance can be utilized to transform to a system of uncoupled harmonic oscillators

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{x}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{x}_{\mathbf{k}}), \quad (5)$$

where $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ is specified below and

$$\hat{x}_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}} = \hat{x}_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger, \quad (6a)$$

$$\hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}} = \hat{p}_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \quad (6b)$$

such that

$$[\hat{x}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger, \hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}'}] = i\delta_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}, \quad [\hat{x}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger, \hat{x}_{\mathbf{k}'}] = 0, \quad [\hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger, \hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}'}] = 0. \quad (7)$$

The final step of the diagonalization is to define bosonic ladder operators

$$\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{1/2} \hat{x}_{\mathbf{k}} + i\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1/2} \hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}} \right) \quad (8)$$

such that

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} + 1/2 \right). \quad (9)$$

For a d -dimensional system with lattice basis vectors \mathbf{a}_i , the phonon dispersion relation is

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\omega^2 + 4\kappa \sum_{i=1}^d \sin^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_i}{2} \right)}. \quad (10)$$

For clarity, we will assume a square lattice on a d -dimensional torus in the following, i.e., $[\mathbf{a}_i]_j = \delta_{i,j}$ and $k_i = 0, \frac{2\pi}{L_i}, \dots, (L_i - 1) \frac{2\pi}{L_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, where $\{L_i\}$ are the torus circumferences. The total number of sites is hence $N = \prod_{i=1}^d L_i$. In the low-energy regime, relative displacements of neighbors are small and one can take the continuum limit of the model, yielding the free scalar (Klein-Gordon) quantum field theory (3).

The energy gap of the system vanishes for $\omega \rightarrow 0$, closing at $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$. For numerical computations, one can avoid problems with the zero-momentum mode, by switching

to antiperiodic boundary conditions, implying a shift of the momenta k_i by $\frac{\pi}{L}$. Antiperiodic boundary conditions correspond to a coupling like $(\hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_L)^2$ across the boundary. For $\omega = 0$, this keeps the oscillators from flying off $x_{\mathbf{r}} \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and imposes a finite-size gap $\propto 1/L$. Further issues with infrared singularities of the model occurring in 1d and 2d are discussed in Sec. IV.

The fact that the model is quadratic in $\hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}}$ implies that equilibrium states $\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta\hat{H}}$ are Gaussian states and, according to Wick's theorem [59], all observables can hence be computed from the single-particle Green's functions. In particular, we will employ the covariance matrices

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}^x &:= \langle \hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}'} \rangle_{\beta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} e^{i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{r}'} \langle \hat{x}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{x}_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle_{\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \cos(\mathbf{k}\cdot\Delta\mathbf{r}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}} \coth\left(\frac{\beta\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (11a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}^p &:= \langle \hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}'} \rangle_{\beta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} e^{i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{r}'} \langle \hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle_{\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \cos(\mathbf{k}\cdot\Delta\mathbf{r}) \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \coth\left(\frac{\beta\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (11b)$$

$$G_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}^{xp} := \langle \hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}'} \rangle_{\beta} = \frac{i}{2} \delta_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}. \quad (11c)$$

These are functions of $\Delta\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'$ only, due to the translation invariance.

III. SUBSYSTEM DENSITY MATRICES AND ENTROPIES

Let $\hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{A}} = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{B}} \hat{\rho}$ denote the reduced density matrix for subsystem \mathcal{A} , when the total system is in a Gaussian state $\hat{\rho}$. As pointed out in Sec. II, the Gaussian states $\hat{\rho}$ with vanishing first moments $\langle \hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}} \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}} \rangle$ are fully characterized by the covariance matrices $G_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}^x = \langle \hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}'} \rangle$, $G_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}^p = \langle \hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}'} \rangle$, and $G_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}^{xp} = \langle \hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}'} \rangle$. Expectation values of arbitrary observables can be computed from G through Wick's theorem [59]. It follows further, that $\hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a Gaussian state, characterized by the subsystem covariance matrices

$$g^x := G^x|_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad g^p := G^p|_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad g^{xp} := G^{xp}|_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad (12)$$

i.e., the restriction of the covariance matrices to sites \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' in subsystem \mathcal{A} . For a subsystem with $N_{\mathcal{A}}$ sites, these are $N_{\mathcal{A}} \times N_{\mathcal{A}}$ matrices.

Let us now employ a canonical transformation T for the position and momentum operators in the subsystem to diagonalize g in the sense that

$$\begin{bmatrix} g^x & g^{xp} - \frac{i}{2} \\ (g^{xp} - \frac{i}{2})^{\dagger} & g^p \end{bmatrix} = T^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} \nu & \\ & \nu \end{bmatrix} T, \quad T \in \text{Sp}(N_{\mathcal{A}}),$$

For a canonical transformation, T has to be a symplectic matrix. The diagonal matrix ν contains the sym-

plectic eigenvalues $\nu_k \geq 1/2$. Such a transformation always exists according to the Williamson theorem [60, 61]. For cases where $g^{xp} = \frac{i}{2} \mathbb{1}$, as for the equilibrium states $\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta\hat{H}}$ in Sec. II, the diagonalization can be simplified:

$$M := \sqrt{g^p} g^x \sqrt{g^p} \stackrel{\text{diag}}{=} O^{\top} \nu^2 O, \quad T = T_x \oplus T_p \quad (13)$$

with $T_x = \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} O (g^p)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $T_p = \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} O (g^p)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

The transformation T yields new position and momentum operators and corresponding bosonic ladder operators $\tilde{b}_k^{\dagger}, \tilde{b}_k$ that create and destroy particles in \mathcal{A} such that

$$\langle \tilde{b}_k^{\dagger} \tilde{b}_{k'} \rangle = \delta_{k,k'} (\nu_k - 1/2) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \tilde{b}_k \tilde{b}_{k'} \rangle = 0. \quad (14)$$

As a Gaussian state with vanishing first moments, $\hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the exponential of a quadratic form in the ladder operators. Due to Eq. (14), the subsystem density matrix hence takes the form

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{A}} = \prod_k \hat{\rho}_k \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{\rho}_k = \frac{1}{\nu_k + 1/2} \left(\frac{\nu_k - 1/2}{\nu_k + 1/2} \right)^{\tilde{b}_k^{\dagger} \tilde{b}_k}.$$

Finally, we obtain the subsystem entropy in terms of the symplectic eigenvalues

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mathcal{A}} &= -\text{Tr} \hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{A}} \ln \hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{A}} = -\sum_k \text{Tr} \hat{\rho}_k \ln \hat{\rho}_k = \sum_k h(\nu_k), \\ h(\nu) &= (\nu + \frac{1}{2}) \ln(\nu + \frac{1}{2}) - (\nu - \frac{1}{2}) \ln(\nu - \frac{1}{2}). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

For pure states $\hat{\rho}$, this is the von Neumann entanglement entropy.

IV. SINGULARITIES, REGULARIZATION, AND THERMODYNAMIC LIMIT

In the following, we will only concern ourselves with the harmonic lattice model Eq. (2) at the critical point $\omega = 0$. Also, we can set $\kappa = 1$ without loss of generality, as it can be eliminated through a canonical transformation $\hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}} \mapsto \kappa^{-1/4} \hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}}$, $\hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}} \mapsto \kappa^{1/4} \hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}}$, and $\hat{H} \mapsto \hat{H}/\sqrt{\kappa}$. The low-energy field theory (3) is then rotation- and scale-invariant.

The study of the (critical) harmonic lattice model is complicated by ultraviolet and infrared singularities. Here, we discuss how these can be handled, and how we can access the thermodynamic limit, while retaining scale invariance.

In the thermodynamic limit $L_i \rightarrow \infty$, the covariance matrices (11) take the form

$$G_{\Delta\mathbf{r}}^x = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^d} \int d^d k \cos(\mathbf{k}\cdot\Delta\mathbf{r}) \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}} \coth\left(\frac{\beta\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{2}\right), \quad (16a)$$

$$G_{\Delta\mathbf{r}}^p = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^d} \int d^d k \cos(\mathbf{k}\cdot\Delta\mathbf{r}) \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \coth\left(\frac{\beta\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{2}\right). \quad (16b)$$

In the continuum limit (3), the dispersion $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = |\mathbf{k}|$ is linear for arbitrarily large momenta. The resulting divergent ultraviolet behavior is most pronounced in

$G_{\Delta\mathbf{r}=0}^p \sim \int dk k^d$. This singularity can be resolved by imposing an ultraviolet cutoff k_{\max} , or by studying the model on a lattice. As described in Sec. II, we follow the second approach and the integrals (16) run over the Brillouin zone $[-\pi, \pi]^d$.

While the thermodynamic limit for G^p is well-defined, G^x has an infrared singularity for 1d systems at nonzero temperatures, 1d systems in the ground state, and for 2d systems at nonzero temperatures. The d dependence is due to different density of states; for small energies it is $g(\varepsilon) \propto \varepsilon^{d-1}$ (see the appendix). As far as we know, the infrared singularities have not really been discussed in the literature so far; for 1d groundstates they have of course been noticed [5, 37, 62]. At small momenta, the integrand in (16a) is $\sim 2/(\beta k^2)$ for nonzero temperatures and it is $\sim 1/k$ for the ground state. The singularities can be regularized by introducing an infrared cutoff k_0 or, equivalently, choosing a finite linear system size L ($k_0 = 2\pi/L$). As we want to derive scaling functions for subsystem entropies at nonzero temperature, we also want the low-energy/long-distance features of the regularized G^x to be scale invariant. This can be achieved by choosing a temperature-dependent momentum cutoff proportional to temperature

$$k_0 = q_0/\beta, \quad q_0 = \text{const.}$$

or, equivalently, finite system sizes $L \propto \beta$. For low energies, where $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \approx |\mathbf{k}|$, we then have indeed

$$G_{\Delta\mathbf{r}}^x \rightarrow \frac{\beta^{1-d}}{2(2\pi)^d} \int_{|\mathbf{q}|>q_0} d^d q \cos\left(\frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \Delta\mathbf{r}}{\beta}\right) \frac{1}{|\mathbf{q}|} \coth(|\mathbf{q}|/2),$$

i.e., $\beta^{d-1}G^x$ becomes a function of $\Delta\mathbf{r}/\beta$ only instead of $\Delta\mathbf{r}$ and β .

We can split $G_{\Delta\mathbf{r}}^x$ into a non-singular part $G_{\Delta\mathbf{r}}^{x(\text{ns})}$ and a singular part $G^{x(s)}$ which, importantly, is independent of $\Delta\mathbf{r}$. At nonzero temperatures, the singular part is

$$G^{x(s)} = \frac{1}{\pi q_0} \quad \text{for 1d,} \quad (17a)$$

$$G^{x(s)} = \frac{1}{2\pi\beta} \ln\left(\frac{1}{q_0}\right) \quad \text{for 2d.} \quad (17b)$$

Let us deduce the corresponding non-singular and singular contributions to subsystem entropies. For the $N_{\mathcal{A}} \times N_{\mathcal{A}}$ subsystem covariance matrices from Sec. III, we have

$$g^x = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^\top + g^{x(\text{ns})} \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{\lambda} := G^{x(s)}, \quad (18)$$

where $g^{x(\text{ns})}$ is the restriction of $G^{x(\text{ns})}$ to the subsystem \mathcal{A} and $\mathbf{v} := (1, 1, \dots, 1)^\top$. The leading (singular) term is due to the fact that $G^{x(s)}$ is independent of $\Delta\mathbf{r}$, i.e., it enters g^x as the prefactor of the matrix of ones $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^\top$. With this, the left-hand side of Eq. (13) becomes

$$M = \sqrt{g^p} g^x \sqrt{g^p} = \frac{w}{\lambda} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^\top + M^{(\text{ns})}, \quad (19)$$

where $M^{(\text{ns})} := \sqrt{g^p} g^{x(\text{ns})} \sqrt{g^p}$, $w := \mathbf{v}^\top g^p \mathbf{v}$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} := \sqrt{g^p} \mathbf{v} / \sqrt{w}$ such that $\|\tilde{\mathbf{v}}\| = 1$.

In the thermodynamic limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ($\Leftrightarrow q_0 \rightarrow 0$), we can obtain the covariance-matrix eigenvalues ν_k in Eq. (13) (the eigenvalues of M are ν_k^2) through perturbation theory in λ . The unperturbed problem has the eigenvalue w/λ for eigenvector $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ and eigenvalue zero in the orthogonal complement of $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$. So, degenerate first-order perturbation theory gives the spectrum

$$\nu_s^2 = \frac{w}{\lambda} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^\top M^{(\text{ns})} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}, \quad (20a)$$

$$\{\nu_{\text{ns}}^2\} = \text{spect}(PM^{(\text{ns})}P), \quad (20b)$$

where, $P = \mathbb{1} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^\top$ projects onto the orthogonal complement of $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$.

For the evaluation of entropies according to Eq. (15), the second term in the singular eigenvalue ν_s^2 is actually irrelevant: For $y_s = \frac{1}{\lambda'} + y + \mathcal{O}(\lambda')$, we have

$$y_s \ln y_s = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda'} + y\right) \ln \frac{1}{\lambda'} + y + \mathcal{O}(\lambda')$$

and $h(y_s) = \ln \frac{1}{\lambda'} + 1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda')$ is hence y -independent. For the subsystem entropy (15), we finally arrive at the result

$$S_{\mathcal{A}} = S_{\mathcal{A}}^{(\text{ns})} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{w}{\lambda} + 1, \quad (21)$$

where $S_{\mathcal{A}}^{(\text{ns})}$ is the contribution from the nonsingular eigenvalues ν_{ns} in Eq. (20b).

Eq. (21), allows us to extract the entropies for the thermodynamic limit from finite-size computations and to control infrared singularities in 1d and 2d. In particular, we can choose any sufficiently large linear system size L and compute g^x and g^p according to Eq. (11). The matrix g^p converges very quickly with L . From this we get converged w and $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ as specified below Eq. (19). Then, we evaluate $PM^{(\text{ns})}P = P\sqrt{g^p} g^{x(\text{ns})} \sqrt{g^p} P$, which also converges quickly with L , and obtain $\{\nu_{\text{ns}}\}$ from it. This gives all terms for Eq. (21) besides λ . The singular term $1/\lambda \equiv G^{x(s)}$ as given by Eq. (17) depends on the infrared cutoff $k_0 = q_0/\beta$ but not on the subsystem size.

V. ENTANGLEMENT CROSSOVERS

In this section, we determine the scaling functions for the entanglement crossover using finite-temperature subsystem entropies for $\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta\hat{H}}$ with $\omega = 0$ and $\kappa = 1$ as before. As discussed in the introduction, the coincidence of finite-temperature subsystem entropies and the energy-eigenstate entanglement entropies is due to the ETH. The validity of the ETH for the considered systems is substantiated numerically in Sec. VII.




FIG. 2. **Crossover scaling function for 1d.** The regularized subsystem entropies (22), computed for the critical 1d model with various temperatures and subsystem sizes, follow the logarithmic groundstate scaling before crossing over to an extensive regime (left). After subtraction of the subleading term (24c), the data collapses onto a scaling function when plotted as a function of ℓ/β (right). The total system size was chosen to be $L \approx 4 \times 10^6$. In this case, the scaling function can be obtained from 1+1d CFT [Eq. (24b)]. In the extensive regime, it is in accordance with the thermodynamic entropy density (25).

A. $d = 1$

For the critical 1d harmonic lattice model, the singular term (17a) in the subsystem entropy is $\lambda = \pi q_0$. Based on Eq. (21), we can hence define the regularized 1d subsystem entropy

$$S_r(\ell, \beta) = S^{(\text{ns})}(\ell, \beta) + \frac{1}{2} \ln w(\ell, \beta) + 1, \quad (22)$$

where we removed the q_0 term.

Figure 2 shows the regularized subsystem entropies after subtraction of a subleading ℓ -independent term $C_{1d}(\beta)$ that we discuss below. For several temperatures it is plotted as a function of ℓ/β . The data collapses onto a single curve – the scaling function that describes the crossover of subsystem entanglement entropies from the log-area law ($S \sim \ln \ell$) in the ground state to the volume law ($S \sim \ell$) for excited energy eigenstates. The data collapse is due to the scale invariance in this quantum critical regime [36] of the model. The dispersion is linear at low momenta which dominate the long-range physics with group velocity $v = 1$. There is just a single energy scale, set by the temperature β^{-1} . Hence, $S_r - C_{1d}$ is not a function of ℓ and β but only of ℓ/β ,

$$S_r(\ell, \beta) - C_{1d}(\beta) = \Phi_{1d}(\ell/\beta). \quad (23)$$

In fact, Poincaré and scale invariance in the continuum limit (3) imply that the long-range physics is described by $(d+1)$ -dimensional conformal field theory (CFT) [63–65]. For $d = 1$, the conformal invariance is very restrictive and the CFT subsystem entropies can be computed using the replica trick and analytic continuation [7, 66]. One obtains

$$S^{\text{cft}} = \frac{c}{3} \ln \left[\frac{\beta v}{\pi a} \sinh \left(\frac{\pi \ell}{\beta v} \right) \right] + c' \quad (24a)$$

with the central charge being $c = 1$ in our case, with an ultraviolet cutoff $1/a$ as set by the lattice spacing, and a nonuniversal constant c' . The universal scaling function Φ_{1d} is simply the leading term in

$$S^{\text{cft}} = \frac{c}{3} \ln \left[2 \sinh \left(\frac{\pi \ell}{v \beta} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\ell^0) \quad (24b)$$

which is indeed a function of ℓ/β only. And we can read off the subleading term

$$C_{1d}(\beta) = \frac{c}{3} \ln \left(\frac{\beta v}{2\pi a} \right) + c' \quad (24c)$$

which was taken into account for Fig. 2.

For small subsystem size ℓ or temperature β^{-1} , Eq. (24a) recovers the log law $\frac{c}{3} \ln(\ell/a)$ of the ground-state entanglement entropy. This can be attributed to a limited momentum-space resolution when probing on small subsystems [20]. The crossover to extensive scaling $S \sim \ell$ occurs at $\ell \sim \beta v/\pi$. The corresponding entropy density can be deduced by evaluation of the thermodynamic entropy S_{th} . In appendix A, we show this computation and the 1d result

$$s_{\text{th}} = \frac{S_{\text{th}}}{L} = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\pi}{3} \quad (25)$$

indeed coincides with the large- ℓ/β limit of Eq. (24b).

B. $d = 2$

For the critical 2d harmonic lattice model, the singular term (17b) in the subsystem entropy is $\lambda = 2\pi\beta/\ln(1/q_0)$. Based on Eq. (21), we can hence define the regularized 2d subsystem entropy

$$S_r(\ell, \beta) = S^{(\text{ns})}(\ell, \beta) + \frac{1}{2} \ln w(\ell, \beta) + 1 - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi\beta), \quad (26)$$




FIG. 3. **Crossover scaling function for 2d.** This figure shows regularized subsystem entropies (26) for the critical 2d model, computed for various temperatures and subsystems of size $\ell \times \ell$ (left). When plotted as a function of ℓ/β , the data collapses onto a scaling function (right). The total system had size $L \times L$ with $L = 16384$. In the extensive large- ℓ/β regime, the subsystem entropy is in accordance with the thermodynamic entropy density (28).

where we removed the q_0 term.

Figure 3, shows regularized subsystem entropies as a function of ℓ/β after subtraction of a subleading area law term $\alpha\ell$, where we extracted $\alpha \approx 0.4464$ from the ground-state entanglement entropy. Subsystems were chosen as $\ell \times \ell$ squares. As in the 1d case, data for various ℓ and β collapses onto a scaling function that describes the crossover of subsystem entanglement entropies from the area law ($S \sim \ell$) in the ground state to the volume law ($S \sim \ell^2$) for excited energy eigenstates. Due to the scale invariance in the quantum critical regime, $S_r - \alpha\ell$ is not a function of ℓ and β but only of ℓ/β ,

$$S_r(\ell, \beta) - \alpha\ell = \Phi_{2d}(\ell/\beta). \quad (27)$$

In the figure, we multiply it by β/ℓ to nicely show the crossover to the extensive scaling.

For 2d, the divergent term $\frac{1}{2} \ln(1/\lambda)$ in the subsystem entropy (21) grows very slowly – double-logarithmically in the system size L , because λ already decreases logarithmically. Hence, using the perturbative approach explained in Sec. IV is imperative in this case. One could not realistically reach the thermodynamic regime and extract the scaling function with a naive numerical computation.

For small ℓ/β the subsystem entropies follow the groundstate entanglement curve. For large ℓ/β we cross over to extensive scaling $S \sim \ell^2$. The corresponding entropy density coincides with the thermodynamic value. As shown in appendix A, it is

$$s_{\text{th}} = \frac{S_{\text{th}}}{L^2} = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{3\zeta(3)}{2\pi} \quad (28)$$

with the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$.

VI. SQUEEZED-STATE EXCITATIONS

To establish the ETH for the harmonic lattice model (2), we should in principle compute entanglement entropies for energy eigenstates

$$|\mathbf{n}\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\mathbf{k}}!}} (\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger)^{n_{\mathbf{k}}} |0\rangle \quad (29)$$

of a fixed energy $E = E(\beta)$ and show that they are sharply peaked around the subsystem entropy $S(\ell, \beta)$ of the corresponding thermal ensemble $\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta\hat{H}}$ discussed in Sec. V. In contrast to quasi-free fermionic systems, the bosonic Fock states (29) are however *not* Gaussian states and there are no efficient methods to compute entanglement entropies of large subsystems for these states. The cost would scale exponentially in the subsystem size.

We resolve this problem by studying many-body squeezed states. As discussed below, they are useful approximations to the exact eigenstates (29) with a narrow energy distribution, and they are Gaussian states such that entanglement entropies can be computed efficiently with the method of Sec. III.

A. Diagonalization of \hat{H} with a real transformation

We will put each \mathbf{k} mode into a squeezed state. If we would simply squeeze the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ with operators $\exp(\frac{1}{2}[z^* \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - z(\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger)^2])$, the resulting state would actually not be translation invariant as the corresponding position and momentum operators from Eq. (6) are not self-adjoint, $\hat{x}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \neq \hat{x}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \neq \hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}}$.

To construct translation-invariant squeezed states, we slightly modify the diagonalization procedure, defining




FIG. 4. **Checking ETH for 1d and 2d.** The numerical tests support the applicability of ETH for the study of eigenstate entanglement entropies in the critical harmonic lattice models for 1d (left) and 2d (right). Points are averaged entanglement entropies $S_{\text{sq}}(\ell)$ for the randomly sampled squeezed states (32) in energy windows of size $\Delta E = 1$ around $E(\beta) = \langle \hat{H} \rangle_\beta$ and with particle number $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} n_{\mathbf{k}} = \lfloor \langle \hat{N} \rangle_\beta \rfloor$. Standard deviations are smaller than the symbol sizes. Lines show the subsystem entropy $S(\ell, \beta)$ in the corresponding GCE with chemical potential $\mu = 0$. The agreement is excellent. For better discriminability and to cancel infrared singularities, we plot $S_{\text{sq}}(\ell) - S_{\text{sq}}(1)$. Total linear system sizes are $L = 4.2 \cdot 10^6$ for 1d and $L = 8192$ for 2d.

new self-adjoint operators through a rotation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{x}'_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \hat{x}'_{-\mathbf{k}} \end{pmatrix} := U \begin{pmatrix} \hat{x}_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \hat{x}_{-\mathbf{k}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \hat{p}'_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \hat{p}'_{-\mathbf{k}} \end{pmatrix} := U \begin{pmatrix} \hat{p}_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \hat{p}_{-\mathbf{k}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{with } U = \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\pi/4} & e^{i\pi/4} \\ e^{i\pi/4} & -e^{-i\pi/4} \end{bmatrix}.$$

These obey the canonical commutation relations (7) and can also be written in the form

$$\hat{x}'_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} + \pi/4) \hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}} = \hat{x}'_{\mathbf{k}},$$

$$\hat{p}'_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} + \pi/4) \hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}} = \hat{p}'_{\mathbf{k}}$$

Due to the symmetry $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{k}}$, we still have $\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\hat{p}'_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{x}'_{\mathbf{k}}^2)$ as in Eq. (5). Finally, defining ladder operators

$$\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{1/2} \hat{x}'_{\mathbf{k}} + i \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1/2} \hat{p}'_{\mathbf{k}} \right),$$

we arrive at $\hat{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} (\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} + 1/2)$ as in Eq. (9). Clearly, the vacuum $|0\rangle$ for the annihilation operators $\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is also the vacuum for the $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}$.

B. Squeezed states: Single mode

For a single bosonic mode with ladder operator \hat{a} , we define the squeeze operator $\hat{S}(z)$ and squeezed state $|z\rangle$,

$$\hat{S}(z) := e^{\frac{1}{2}[z^* \hat{a}^2 - z (\hat{a}^\dagger)^2]}, \quad |z\rangle := \hat{S}(z)|0\rangle \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \quad (30)$$

with unitary $\hat{S}^\dagger(z) = \hat{S}(-z)$. With the polar form $z = r e^{i\varphi}$, let us also introduce the squeezed operators

$$\hat{a}(z) := \hat{S}^\dagger(z) \hat{a} \hat{S}(z) = \cosh(r) \hat{a} - e^{i\varphi} \sinh(r) \hat{a}^\dagger$$

which obey the canonical commutation relations.

For the computation of entanglement entropies we will need expectation values $\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle_z$ and $\langle \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle_z$. The former is

$$\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle_z = \langle \hat{a}^\dagger(z) \hat{a}(z) \rangle_0 = \sinh^2(r), \quad (31a)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle_0$ denotes vacuum expectation values. To mimic particle number eigenstates $|n\rangle$ as in Eq. (29), we will choose integer

$$\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle_z = n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad r = \text{asinh}(\sqrt{n}).$$

The second required expectation value is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle_z &= \langle \hat{a}(z) \hat{a}(z) \rangle_0 = -e^{i\varphi} \sinh(r) \cosh(r) \\ &= -e^{i\varphi} \sqrt{n(n+1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (31b)$$

Hence, the particle number standard deviation in these squeezed states is $\Delta n_z = \sqrt{\langle \hat{n}^2 \rangle_z - n^2} = \sqrt{2n(n+1)}$.

C. Squeezed states: Many-body covariances

In generalization of Eq. (30), we employ many-body squeezed states

$$|z\rangle := \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{S}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) |0\rangle \quad \text{with} \quad z_{\mathbf{k}} = r_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}} \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (32a)$$

These are Gaussian states with vanishing first moments $\langle \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle_z = 0$. With the choice

$$z_{\mathbf{k}} = z_{-\mathbf{k}} \quad \text{and} \quad r_{\mathbf{k}} = \text{asinh}(\sqrt{n_{\mathbf{k}}}), \quad (32b)$$

they are translation invariant and can be used to mimic the Fock states (29). In particular, the total particle

number and energy expectation values coincide, $\langle \hat{N} \rangle_{\mathbf{z}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} n_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\langle \hat{H} \rangle_{\mathbf{z}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} n_{\mathbf{k}}$. The relative fluctuations of these quantities vanish in the thermodynamic limit. For example, $\Delta N_{\mathbf{z}}/N_{\mathbf{z}}$ scales as $1/\ln L$ in 1d, $\sqrt{\ln L}/L$ in 2d, and $L^{-d/2}$ for $d \geq 3$.

The covariance matrices $G_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}^x = \langle \hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}'} \rangle_{\mathbf{z}}$, $G_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}^p = \langle \hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}'} \rangle_{\mathbf{z}}$ and $G_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}^{xp} = \langle \hat{x}_{\mathbf{r}} \hat{p}_{\mathbf{r}'} \rangle_{\mathbf{z}}$, needed for the computation of entanglement entropies according to Sec. III, follow from Eqs. (31). One gets

$$G_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}^x = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}} \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{r}) \times \left(n_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{1}{2} - \cos(\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}) \sqrt{n_{\mathbf{k}}(n_{\mathbf{k}} + 1)} \right),$$

$$G_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}^p = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{r}) \times \left(n_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{1}{2} + \cos(\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}) \sqrt{n_{\mathbf{k}}(n_{\mathbf{k}} + 1)} \right),$$

$$G_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}^{xp} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{r}) \left(\frac{i}{2} - \sin(\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}) \sqrt{n_{\mathbf{k}}(n_{\mathbf{k}} + 1)} \right),$$

where $\Delta \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'$.

VII. ASSERTION OF THE ETH IN ONE AND TWO DIMENSIONS

To assert the ETH, we sample squeezed states (32) with integer $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ obeying $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} n_{\mathbf{k}} = \lfloor \langle \hat{N} \rangle_{\beta} \rfloor$ from small energy windows of width ΔE around $E(\beta) := \langle \hat{H} \rangle_{\beta}$ with equal probability. For each sample, angles $\varphi_{\mathbf{k}} \in [0, 2\pi)$ are chosen randomly according to the uniform distribution. A Markov chain of such squeezed states is generated as follows. We start from an arbitrary valid initial choice for the $\{n_{\mathbf{k}}\}$. In each update, two wavevectors \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{k}' are chosen randomly. For $n_{\mathbf{k}} \geq 1$, we decrease $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ and increase $n_{\mathbf{k}'}$ by 1 if the energy stays in the predefined window. Otherwise, the update is rejected. For each energy $E(\beta)$, a total of $16000N$ updates are done and entanglement entropies are computed every $16N$ iterations, where $N = L^d$ is the total system size.

Typical samples have $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ very close to the GCE expectation value $\langle \hat{n}_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle_{\beta}$, in the sense that $n_{\mathbf{k}}$, averaged over small momentum-space patches, quickly approaches $\langle \hat{n}_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle_{\beta}$. These are then smooth functions of \mathbf{k} . Furthermore, the averages $\overline{\cos \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}$ and $\overline{\sin \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}$ over small momentum-space patches vanish for random angles $\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}$, such that the distribution of the squeezed-state covariance matrices G in Sec. VIC will be sharply peaked around the GCE covariance matrices (11). Hence, the entanglement entropies $S_{\text{sq}}(\ell)$ of these squeezed-state excitations will be sharply peaked around the GCE subsystem entropies $S(\ell, \beta)$ analyzed in Sec. V.

For the quantum-critical 1d and 2d systems, we again have the infrared singularity in G^x as discussed in Sec. IV. This results here in a sensitivity with respect

to the angles $\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}$ for small $\mathbf{k} \approx \mathbf{0}$. This effect can however be removed through a regularization. As we are not interested in scale invariance here, we simply regularize by considering the differences $S_{\text{sq}}(\ell) - S_{\text{sq}}(1)$.

These arguments on the coincidence of $S_{\text{sq}}(\ell)$ and $S(\ell, \beta)$ are confirmed numerically in Fig. 4 for the 1d and 2d critical harmonic lattice models [$\omega = 0$ and $\kappa = 1$ in Eq. (2)], showing excellent agreement.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the ETH can be applied for harmonic lattice models to elucidate the crossover of entanglement entropies in energy eigenstates from the groundstate scaling at small subsystem sizes and low energies to the extensive scaling at large sizes and higher energies. In particular, entanglement entropies of almost all eigenstates coincide with subsystem entropies of a corresponding thermal equilibrium ensemble. We find scaling functions for the crossovers in critical 1d and 2d harmonic lattices (massless free scalar quantum field theory), because of this relation of eigenstate entanglement and an equilibrium quantity in the quantum critical regime of the model. To this purpose, we also introduced an infrared regularization scheme that retains scale invariance. The obtained scaling functions will also apply to the quantum critical regions of interacting systems whose renormalization group fixed point is the free scalar theory [36]. It would be very valuable to derive analytical expressions for the crossover functions.

The results, shown here for von Neumann entanglement entropies (1), do also apply for Rényi entanglement entropies. The latter can, e.g., be used to deduce upper bounds on computation costs of tensor network simulations [67, 68].

We gratefully acknowledge support through US Department of Energy grant DE-SC0019449.

Appendix A: Thermodynamic entropy densities

Eigenstate entanglement entropies are related to thermodynamic subsystem entropies due to ETH as discussed in the introduction. As a function of the linear subsystem size ℓ , there is a temperature dependent crossover to a volume law $S \sim \ell^d s_{\text{th}}(\beta)$. In the following, we determine the thermodynamic entropy densities s_{th} for the critical harmonic lattice model (9). In particular, we consider the low-energy regime as described by the free scalar field theory (3) with the linear dispersion relation $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = |\mathbf{k}|$.

For the grand-canonical ensemble $\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta \hat{H}}$, one finds the following well-known result for the thermodynamic entropy

$$S_{\text{th}} = -\text{Tr} \hat{\rho} \ln \hat{\rho} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left[(n_{\mathbf{k}} + 1) \ln(n_{\mathbf{k}} + 1) - n_{\mathbf{k}} \ln n_{\mathbf{k}} \right] \quad (\text{A1})$$

with the Bose-Einstein distribution

$$n_{\mathbf{k}} := \langle \hat{n}_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle_{\beta} = 1 / (e^{\beta \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}} - 1).$$

The density of states for the linear dispersion relation $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = |\mathbf{k}|$ is

$$g(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^d k \delta(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \varepsilon) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} & \text{for 1d,} \\ \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi} & \text{for 2d,} \\ \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\pi^2} & \text{for 3d,} \end{cases} \quad (\text{A2})$$

which we can write as $g(\varepsilon) =: g_d \varepsilon^{d-1} \Theta(\varepsilon)$.

Taking the thermodynamic limit, $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mapsto L^d \int d\varepsilon g(\varepsilon)$

in Eq. (A1), and substituting $q := \beta\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$S_{\text{th}} = \frac{g_d L^d}{\beta^d} \int_0^{\infty} dq q^{d-1} [(n_q + 1) \ln(n_q + 1) - n_q \ln n_q]$$

with $n_q = 1 / (e^q - 1)$. The integrals can be done analytically, giving in the thermodynamic entropy densities

$$s_{\text{th}}(\beta) = \frac{S_{\text{th}}}{L^d} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\pi}{3} \approx \frac{1.047}{\beta} & \text{for 1d,} \\ \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{3\zeta(3)}{2\pi} \approx \frac{0.574}{\beta^2} & \text{for 2d,} \\ \frac{1}{\beta^3} \frac{2\pi^2}{45} \approx \frac{0.439}{\beta^3} & \text{for 3d.} \end{cases} \quad (\text{A3})$$

-
- [1] B. Schumacher, *Quantum coding*, *Phys. Rev. A* **51**, 2738 (1995).
- [2] R. Jozsa and B. Schumacher, *A new proof of the quantum noiseless coding theorem*, *J. Mod. Opt.* **41**, 2343 (1994).
- [3] C. H. Bennett, H. J. Bernstein, S. Popescu, and B. Schumacher, *Concentrating partial entanglement by local operations*, *Phys. Rev. A* **53**, 2046 (1996).
- [4] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [5] C. Callan and F. Wilczek, *On geometric entropy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **333**, 55 (1994).
- [6] J. I. Latorre, E. Rico, and G. Vidal, *Ground state entanglement in quantum spin chains*, *Quant. Inf. Comput.* **4**, 48 (2004).
- [7] P. Calabrese and J. L. Cardy, *Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory*, *J. Stat. Mech.* P06002 (2004).
- [8] M. B. Plenio, J. Eisert, J. Dreißig, and M. Cramer, *Entropy, entanglement, and area: analytical results for harmonic lattice systems*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 060503 (2005).
- [9] M. Cramer, J. Eisert, M. B. Plenio, and J. Dreißig, *Entanglement-area law for general bosonic harmonic lattice systems*, *Phys. Rev. A* **73**, 012309 (2006).
- [10] M. B. Hastings, *Entropy and entanglement in quantum ground states*, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 035114 (2007).
- [11] F. G. S. L. Brandão and M. Horodecki, *An area law for entanglement from exponential decay of correlations*, *Nat. Phys.* **9**, 721 (2013).
- [12] J. Cho, *Realistic area-law bound on entanglement from exponentially decaying correlations*, *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 031009 (2018).
- [13] M. M. Wolf, *Violation of the entropic area law for fermions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 010404 (2006).
- [14] D. Gioev and I. Klich, *Entanglement entropy of fermions in any dimension and the Widom conjecture*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 100503 (2006).
- [15] T. Barthel, M.-C. Chung, and U. Schollwöck, *Entanglement scaling in critical two-dimensional fermionic and bosonic systems*, *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 022329 (2006).
- [16] W. Li, L. Ding, R. Yu, T. Roscilde, and S. Haas, *Scaling behavior of entanglement in two- and three-dimensional free-fermion systems*, *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 073103 (2006).
- [17] S. Popescu, A. J. Short, and A. Winter, *Entanglement and the foundations of statistical mechanics*, *Nature Phys.* **2**, 754 (2006).
- [18] S. Goldstein, J. L. Lebowitz, R. Tumulka, and N. Zanghi, *Canonical typicality*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 050403 (2006).
- [19] J. Gemmer, M. Michel, and G. Mahler, *Quantum Thermodynamics*, Vol. 657 of *Lecture Notes in Physics* (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2004).
- [20] Q. Miao and T. Barthel, *Eigenstate entanglement: Crossover from the ground state to volume laws*, *arXiv:1905.07760* (2019).
- [21] S. Das and S. Shankaranarayanan, *How robust is the entanglement entropy-area relation?*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 121701(R) (2006).
- [22] S. Das, S. Shankaranarayanan, and S. Sur, *Power-law corrections to entanglement entropy of horizons*, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 064013 (2008).
- [23] L. Masanes, *Area law for the entropy of low-energy states*, *Phys. Rev. A* **80**, 052104 (2009).
- [24] F. C. Alcaraz, M. I. Berganza, and G. Sierra, *Entanglement of low-energy excitations in conformal field theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 201601 (2011).
- [25] M. I. Berganza, F. C. Alcaraz, and G. Sierra, *Entanglement of excited states in critical spin chains*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **2012**, P01016 (2012).
- [26] J. Mölter, T. Barthel, U. Schollwöck, and V. Alba, *Bound states and entanglement in the excited states of quantum spin chains*, *J. Stat. Mech.* P10029 (2014).
- [27] V. Alba, M. Fagotti, and P. Calabrese, *Entanglement entropy of excited states*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **2009**, P10020 (2009).
- [28] F. Ares, J. G. Esteve, F. Falceto, and E. Sánchez-Burillo, *Excited state entanglement in homogeneous fermionic chains*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47**, 245301 (2014).
- [29] M. Storms and R. R. P. Singh, *Entanglement in ground and excited states of gapped free-fermion systems and their relationship with Fermi surface and thermodynamic equilibrium properties*, *Phys. Rev. E* **89**, 012125 (2014).
- [30] J. P. Keating, N. Linden, and H. J. Wells, *Spectra and eigenstates of spin chain Hamiltonians*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **338**, 81 (2015).

- [31] L. Vidmar, L. Hackl, E. Bianchi, and M. Rigol, *Entanglement entropy of eigenstates of quadratic fermionic Hamiltonians*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 020601 (2017).
- [32] L. Vidmar and M. Rigol, *Entanglement entropy of eigenstates of quantum chaotic Hamiltonians*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 220603 (2017).
- [33] L. Vidmar, L. Hackl, E. Bianchi, and M. Rigol, *Volume law and quantum criticality in the entanglement entropy of excited eigenstates of the quantum Ising model*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 220602 (2018).
- [34] T.-C. Lu and T. Grover, *Rényi entropy of chaotic eigenstates*, *Phys. Rev. E* **99**, 032111 (2019).
- [35] Y. Huang, *Universal eigenstate entanglement of chaotic local Hamiltonians*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **938**, 594 (2019).
- [36] S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011).
- [37] M. Srednicki, *Entropy and area*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 666 (1993).
- [38] C. Holzhey, F. Larsen, and F. Wilczek, *Geometric and renormalized entropy in conformal field theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **424**, 443 (1994).
- [39] H. Casini and M. Huerta, *Entanglement entropy in free quantum field theory*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42**, 504007 (2009).
- [40] J. Eisert, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio, *Colloquium: Area laws for the entanglement entropy*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 277 (2010).
- [41] J. I. Latorre and A. Riera, *A short review on entanglement in quantum spin systems*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42**, 504002 (2009).
- [42] N. Laflorencie, *Quantum entanglement in condensed matter systems*, *Phys. Rep.* **646**, 1 (2016).
- [43] J. M. Deutsch, *Quantum statistical mechanics in a closed system*, *Phys. Rev. A* **43**, 2046 (1991).
- [44] M. Srednicki, *Chaos and quantum thermalization*, *Phys. Rev. E* **50**, 888 (1994).
- [45] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, *Thermalization and its mechanism for generic isolated quantum systems*, *Nature* **452**, 854 (2008).
- [46] G. Biroli, C. Kollath, and A. M. Läuchli, *Effect of rare fluctuations on the thermalization of isolated quantum systems*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 250401 (2010).
- [47] W. Beugeling, R. Moessner, and M. Haque, *Finite-size scaling of eigenstate thermalization*, *Phys. Rev. E* **89**, 042112 (2014).
- [48] H. Kim, T. N. Ikeda, and D. A. Huse, *Testing whether all eigenstates obey the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis*, *Phys. Rev. E* **90**, 052105 (2014).
- [49] V. Alba, *Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis and integrability in quantum spin chains*, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 155123 (2015).
- [50] H.-H. Lai and K. Yang, *Entanglement entropy scaling laws and eigenstate typicality in free fermion systems*, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 081110(R) (2015).
- [51] A. Dymarsky, N. Lashkari, and H. Liu, *Subsystem eigenstate thermalization hypothesis*, *Phys. Rev. E* **97**, 012140 (2018).
- [52] T. Yoshizawa, E. Iyoda, and T. Sagawa, *Numerical large deviation analysis of the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 200604 (2018).
- [53] T. Mori, *Weak eigenstate thermalization with large deviation bound*, arXiv:1609.09776 (2016).
- [54] E. Iyoda, K. Kaneko, and T. Sagawa, *Fluctuation theorem for many-body pure quantum states*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 100601 (2017).
- [55] J. L. Lebowitz, J. K. Percus, and L. Verlet, *Ensemble dependence of fluctuations with application to machine computations*, *Phys. Rev.* **153**, 250 (1967).
- [56] D. Ruelle, *Statistical Mechanics: Rigorous Results* (W. A. Benjamin, Inc., Reading, Massachusetts, 1969).
- [57] M. P. Müller, E. Adlam, L. Masanes, and N. Wiebe, *Thermalization and canonical typicality in translation-invariant quantum lattice systems*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **340**, 499 (2015).
- [58] H. Tasaki, *On the local equivalence between the canonical and the microcanonical ensembles for quantum spin systems*, *J. Stat. Phys.* **172**, 905 (2018).
- [59] A. L. Fetter and J. D. Walecka, *Quantum theory of many-particle systems* (McGraw-Hill, San Francisco, 1971).
- [60] J. Williamson, *On the Algebraic Problem Concerning the Normal Forms of Linear Dynamical Systems*, *Amer. J. Math.* **58**, 141 (1936).
- [61] R. Simon, S. Chaturvedi, and V. Srinivasan, *Congruences and canonical forms for a positive matrix: Application to the Schweinler-Wigner extremum principle*, *J. Math. Phys.* **40**, 3632 (1999).
- [62] K. Mallayya, R. Tibrewala, S. Shankaranarayanan, and T. Padmanabhan, *Zero modes and divergence of entanglement entropy*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 044058 (2014).
- [63] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **241**, 333 (1984).
- [64] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, and D. Senechal, *Conformal Field Theory* (Springer, New York, 1997).
- [65] J. Polchinski, *Scale and conformal invariance in quantum field theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **303**, 226 (1988).
- [66] V. E. Korepin, *Universality of entropy scaling in one-dimensional gapless models*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 096402 (2004).
- [67] F. Verstraete and J. I. Cirac, *Matrix product states represent ground states faithfully*, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 094423 (2006).
- [68] T. Barthel, *Typical one-dimensional quantum systems at finite temperatures can be simulated efficiently on classical computers*, arXiv:1708.09349 (2017).