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#### Abstract

We use tools from free probability to study the spectra of certain operators on infinite graphs, including the adjacency operator and the Laplacian. Special attention is devoted to universal covers of finite undirected graphs. In this direction we derive a new formula for the spectral radius of a universal cover and provide new proofs of results of Sunada [Sun92] and Aomoto [ $\mathrm{A}^{+} 88$ ] using free probability.

We then develop a framework that extends the applicability of the techniques mentioned above beyond universal covers to a broader class of infinite graphs. More specifically, we introduce a new combinatorial product operation on graphs and show that in the non-commutative probability context, it corresponds to the notion of freeness with amalgamation.

Finally, we discuss the special case of Cayley graphs of amalgamated free products of groups. We show that that these graphs, as well as universal covers, can be constructed using our graph product and can therefore be analyzed using our framework.
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## 1 Introduction

In the present work, by a graph we mean a locally finite undirected graph allowing loops and multi-edges, unless otherwise specified. Given a graph $\mathcal{G}$, we denote its vertex set by $V(\mathcal{G})$ and its edge multiset by $E(\mathcal{G})$.

The adjacency matrix, the graph Laplacian matrix, and transition matrices for symmetric random walks all fall under the umbrella of so-called "Jacobi matrices on graphs" [ABS20]. These are Hermitian operators $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ acting on $\mathcal{H}=\ell^{2}(V(\mathcal{G}))$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{\mathcal{G}} f\right)(u)=b_{u} f(u)+\sum_{\substack{e \in E(\mathcal{G}) \\ e=\{u, v\}, v \neq u}} a_{e} f(v)+2 \sum_{\substack{l \in E(\mathcal{G}) \\ l=\{u, u\}}} a_{l} f(u) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for real coefficients $\left\{b_{u}\right\}_{u \in V(\mathcal{G})}$ and nonzero real coefficients $\left\{a_{e}\right\}_{e \in E(\mathcal{G})}{ }^{1}$. (The factor 2 appears for loops because it will be convenient in our application to universal covers, but see Section 1.2.1 for further discussion involving half-loops.) Jacobi matrices on graphs will be the main operators considered in this work.

We now recall the notion of spectral measure. Given a vertex $u \in V(\mathcal{G})$ one can define the state $\tau_{u}: B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{u}(X) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle\delta_{u}, X \delta_{u}\right\rangle, \quad \forall X \in B(\mathcal{H}), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{u} \in \ell^{2}(V(\mathcal{G}))$ denotes the indicator function of the singleton $\{u\}$. Since $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ is self-adjoint, its spectrum, which we denote by $\sigma\left(A_{\mathcal{G}}\right)$, is contained in $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, by the functional calculus, $\tau_{u}$ induces a probability measure $\mu_{A_{\mathcal{G}}, u}$ supported on $\sigma\left(A_{\mathcal{G}}\right)$ with the property that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{k} d \mu_{A_{\mathcal{G}}, u}(x)=\tau_{u}\left(X^{k}\right)
$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. As it is customary in the spectral graph theory literature, throughout this work we refer to $\mu_{A_{\mathcal{G}}, u}$ as the spectral measure of $\mathcal{G}$ with respect to the root $u \in V(\mathcal{G})$ and the weighting $p$. In terms of functional analysis, $\mu_{A_{\mathcal{G}}, u}$ is the composition of the usual projection-valued spectral measure of $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ with $\tau_{u}$. In applied mathematics and physics, $\mu_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{G}}, u}$ is often referred to as the density of states or spectral density.

The spectra and spectral measures of different graphs have been extensively studied in the last several decades in different contexts. But despite significant progress in the area, current mathematical tools are still unable to answer simple questions about the spectra and spectral measures of certain infinite graphs of interest. In this paper we address this question from the perspective of free probability theory, and give a framework that tackles the aforementioned question for a certain class of graphs. We test our machinery on two important classes of graphs, universal covers and Cayley graphs.

Universal covers. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a connected graph with $n$ vertices. One can form its universal covering graph ${ }^{2}$, which we denote by $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})$. The universal covering graph comes with a covering map

[^0]$\phi: \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. For simplicity, when $\mathcal{G}$ is clear from context, we will write $\mathcal{T}$ instead of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})$. Recall that $\mathcal{T}$ is an infinite tree if $\mathcal{G}$ has at least one cycle or loop and is $\mathcal{G}$ itself when $\mathcal{G}$ is a tree.

Any Jacobi matrix $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ on $\mathcal{G}$ can be lifted via $\phi$ to a Jacobi matrix $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ on $\mathcal{T}$, called a "periodic Jacobi matrix with period $n$ " [ABS20] or a "pulled-back local operator" [AFH15]. We can then associate a canonical measure to $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ as follows. For any $u \in V(\mathcal{G})$ we fix a representative $\tilde{u} \in V(\mathcal{T})$ in the fiber $\phi^{-1}(u)$, and then consider the respective state $\tau_{\tilde{u}}$ as in (2). Now let

$$
\tau_{\mathcal{T}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{u \in V(\mathcal{G})} \tau_{\tilde{u}},
$$

and denote by $\mu_{A_{\mathcal{T}}}$ the induced scalar measure. We refer to $\mu_{A_{\mathcal{T}}}$ as the spectral measure of $\mathcal{T}$. $\mu_{A_{\mathcal{T}}}$
From recent work of Bordenave and Collins [BC19], we know that for any finite graph $\mathcal{G}$, both $\sigma\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{T}}$ strongly dictate the spectral behaviour of large random lifts of $\mathcal{G}$, even to the extent of controlling all outliers in the spectrum. In turn, random lifts and their asymptotic behaviour are of interest in the study of expander graphs [MSS13, HPS18].

Previous results give an explicit description of $\sigma\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{T}}$ when $\mathcal{G}$ has a particular structure [Kes59, McK81, GM88, FTS94]. Others have made some progress in the case when $\mathcal{G}$ is an arbitrary graph $\left[\mathrm{A}^{+} 88\right.$, Sun92, ABS20]. However, as we discuss in the last section, many fundamental questions remain open.

Cayley graphs. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and $S \subset G$ be a finite symmetric generating set; i.e. we assume that if $s \in S$ then $s^{-1} \in S$. We denote by $\Gamma=\Gamma(G, S)$ the Cayley graph of $G$ with respect to $S$. Since $S$ is symmetric, $\Gamma$ is undirected. By definition of $\Gamma$, any weighting $a: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$induces a weighting on the edges of $\Gamma$ in the obvious way. The canonical scalar measure associated to $A_{\Gamma}$ is the spectral measure of $\Gamma$ with respect to the root $e \in G$ and the given weighting. Both $\sigma\left(A_{\Gamma}\right)$ and $\mu_{\Gamma, e}$ have been studied thoroughly in the context of random walks on groups [Kes59, Woe86, Woe87, McL88, CS86]. However, several basic spectral questions about some natural Cayley graphs remain open [KFSH19].

## Bibliographic note

After posting the first version of this article to the arXiv, we became aware of the work of Avni, Breuer and Simon [ABS20] posted six weeks prior. Upon reading their work, we have revised our article in a few ways. First, in place of our previous notion of "adjacency operators on weighted graphs," we have adopted their terminology of Jacobi matrices on graphs, both to provide consistency in the literature and because it provides a useful distinction between diagonal elements $b_{v}$ and loops (which behave differently upon taking covers). Second, our theorem on the number of bands in the spectrum was demonstrated in [ABS20] to be implicit in work of Sunada [Sun92], which we had not realized. Both our independent proof and the proof given in [ABS20] argue that the Jacobi operator of a universal cover can be viewed as a specific element of a matrix $C^{*}$-algebra, and then use a standard K-theory argument which relies on a theorem of Pimsner and Voiculescu. However, these two proofs differ in the way in which the connection with the $C^{*}$-algebra is made. The proof we present here uses random lifts and the fact that independent random permutation matrices are asymptotically free (an idea that has previously been exploited in [BC19] for different purposes), while the proof in [ABS20] deals directly with the Jacobi operator on a concrete Hilbert space. Given the relevance of this result, we have decided to keep our alternative argument in this work, but
we no longer state the result as ours. Finally, as we are able to answer some questions left open in [ABS20], we include these answers in in Section 3.2 of this revision.

To the best of our knowledge, other than what is mentioned in the above paragraph, there is no further overlap between our work and [ABS20].

### 1.1 Results

### 1.1.1 Universal covers

In this section $\mathcal{G}$ will denote a finite graph with $n$ vertices. Let $\mathcal{T}$ denote the universal cover of $\mathcal{G}$, and $\phi$ the covering $\operatorname{map} \phi: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$.

Roughly speaking, the proofs of our results regarding universal covers use free probability in two different ways.
(1) Free probability techniques are used to argue that Jacobi operators on $\mathcal{T}$ can be represented as $n \times n$ matrices with entries on a certain $C^{*}$-algebra. For different applications it is convenient to use different $C^{*}$-algebras.
(2) Once a given Jacobi operator of $\mathcal{T}$ is viewed as an element of a matrix $C^{*}$-algebra, we argue that such element can be decomposed as a sum of simpler operators that are free with amalgamation over the algebra $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ (see Section 2 for precise definitions). This decomposition allows the use of tools from free probability to understand the spectrum of the original Jacobi operator.

Regarding step (1), it is worth noting that once one has represented the Jacobi operator as an specific element of a matrix $C^{*}$-algebra, it is not hard to find elementary proofs that show that such a representation is correct. However, one should appreciate that it is not clear a priori that this connection with $C^{*}$-algebras exists, and neither is it easy to "guess" what the correct representation of the Jacobi operator on a given $C^{*}$-algebra is. It is for this reason that we decided to include the free probability arguments for step (1), since we believe they provide a conceptual way to arrive at the $C^{*}$-algebra representations.

Spectral radius. Let $m$ be the number of edges in $\mathcal{G}$ where loops are counted twice and let $\Gamma_{m}$ be the discrete group obtained by taking the free product of $m$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Using our combinatorial graph product, which is discussed below in Section 1.1.2, we show that Jacobi operators on $\mathcal{T}$ can be represented as elements of the tensor product of $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ with the reduced $C^{*}$-algebra of $\Gamma_{m}$ (see Section 2 for definitions). We then use a theorem of Lehner [Leh99] to prove the following min-max formula for the spectral radius (actually, both spectral edges) of Jacobi operators.

Theorem 1.1 (Formula for the spectral radius). Let $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ be a Jacobi matrix on a graph $\mathcal{G}$ with vertex set [ $n$ ] and parameters $\left\{b_{i}\right\},\left\{a_{e}\right\}$ as in (1), and let $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ be its pullback to the universal cover $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})$. Let $\rho_{r}\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ denote the right edge (i.e. maximum element) of the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{r}\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}\right)=\inf _{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}>0} \max _{i \in[n]}\left[b_{i}+\frac{1}{2 y_{i}}\left(2-\operatorname{deg}(i)+\sum_{j:\{i, j\} \in E(\mathcal{G})} \sqrt{1+4 a_{\langle i, j\}}^{2} y_{i} y_{j}}\right)\right] . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}(i)$ is the degree of the vertex $\operatorname{in} \boldsymbol{\mathcal { G }}$, and where loops each contribute 2 to the degree, and each loop is understood to appear twice in the summation. Moreover, the infimum can be restricted to those $n$-tuples $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ for which the $n$ expressions inside the max symbol are equal to each other.

Remark 1.2. Using the fact that $-A_{\mathcal{G}}$ is also a Jacobi matrix on $\mathcal{G}$, one may obtain a similar expression for the left edge of the spectrum $\rho_{l}$. The spectral radius is equal to $\max \left\{\rho_{r},-\rho_{l}\right\}$.

Remark 1.3. In the case where $b_{v}=0$ for all $v \in V(\mathcal{G})$, the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ is symmetric about zero, because $\mathcal{T}$ is bipartite.

One can use Lagrange multipliers on the above optimization problem to find an explicit algebraic description of $\rho(\mathcal{T})$ from equation (3); see Corollary 5.6.

Aomoto's equations. For $u \in V(\mathcal{G})$, we can take a representative $\tilde{u} \in V(\mathcal{T})$ in the fiber $\phi^{-1}(u)$, and consider the spectral measure with respect to the vertex $\tilde{u}$, namely $\mu_{A_{\mathcal{T}}, \tilde{u}}$. We may then form the Cauchy transform of this measure:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{u}(z) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \tau_{\tilde{u}}\left[\left(z-A_{\mathcal{T}}\right)^{-1}\right]=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{z-t} d \mu_{A_{\mathcal{T}}, \tilde{u}}(t) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Cauchy transform is also known as the Stieltjes transform, and is closely related to the Green function or resolvent $\left(z-A_{\mathcal{T}}\right)^{-1}$, as well as to the walk generating function $Q_{\tilde{u}}(z)=\frac{1}{z} w_{\tilde{u}}(1 / z)$ which counts closed walks on $\mathcal{T}$ based at $\tilde{u}$. It is a standard fact in analysis that the spectral measure $\mu_{A_{\mathcal{T}}, \tilde{u}}$ can be fully recovered from $w_{u}(z)$ via the Stieltjes inversion formula.

Using the operator-valued version of Voiculescu's $R$-transform, we recover the following system of functional equations for the $w_{u}(z)$ presented below in Theorem 1.4. Most of the computations that we needed were already done by Lehner in [Leh99].

Theorem 1.4 (Aomoto [A+88]). Let $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ be a Jacobi matrix on a finite graph $\mathcal{G}$ with parameters $\left\{a_{e}\right\},\left\{b_{v}\right\}$ as in (1) and let $w_{u}(z)$ be the Cauchy transforms for $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ as in (4). Then, the following system of equations holds for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ in a neighborhood of infinity and for all real $z$ outside the convex hull of the spectrum $\sigma\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{w_{u}(z)=\frac{1}{2\left(z-b_{u}\right)}\left(2-\operatorname{deg}(u)+\sum_{\substack{e \in E(\mathcal{G}) \\ e=\{u, v\}, v \neq u}} \sqrt{1+4 a_{e}^{2} w_{u}(z) w_{v}(z)}+\sum_{e=\{u, u\} \in E(\mathcal{G})} 2 \sqrt{1+4 a_{e}^{2} w_{u}(z)^{2}}\right) \quad \forall u \in V(\mathcal{G}) .\right. \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each loop at $u$ contributes 2 to the degree $\operatorname{deg}(u)$.
Remark 1.5. Since in Theorem 1.4 we are restricting $z$ to be in a neighborhood of infinity and Cauchy transforms vanish at infinity, the expressions inside the radicals of (5) are never zero and hence the square roots are well defined. Moreover, by analyzing the behaviour of the $w_{u}(z)$ at infinity, one sees that one should take the positive branch for each square root for the system of equations to hold.

The above system of equations was first discovered by Aomoto [ $\left.\mathrm{A}^{+} 88\right]$ using techniques from the literature of random walks on groups. See [KLW13, §5 and §6], [KLW14, §4] and [ABS20, §6] and for a survey of similar results related to algebraicity together with their role in showing that $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ has no singular continuous spectrum.

We then use Theorem 1.4 to prove the following.
Theorem 1.6 (Vanishing density at the edge). Let $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ be the adjacency matrix of a finite graph $\mathcal{G}$ with at least two cycles, and let $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ be its pullback to the universal cover $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})$. Let $\mu$ and $\rho$ be the spectral distribution and spectral radius of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ respectively. Then $\mu$ is absolutely continuous in a neighborhood of $\rho$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow \rho} d \mu(x)=0$.

We believe that the converse of the above theorem is true at least under the assumption that all $a_{e}=1$ and all $b_{v}=0$. To illustrate this behaviour consider the case when $\mathcal{G}$ is a $d$-regular graph. In this case we know that the spectral distribution of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ is the Kesten-McKay distribution of parameter $d$, and hence its density vanishes at the edge if and only if $d \geq 3$, or equivalently, if and only if $\mathcal{G}$ is $d$-regular and has more than one cycle.

Sunada's theorem on the band structure. Let $m$ be the number of edges in $\mathcal{G}$ where each loop is counted once and let $\mathbb{F}_{m}$ denote the free group on $m$ generators. In [BC19], large random lifts of graphs were studied in the context of free probability. In Section 3 we recall from [BC19] how random lifts can be used to obtain a representation of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ as elements of the tensor product of $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ with the reduced $C^{*}$-algebra of $\mathbb{F}_{m}$. This representation can be combined with a theorem of Pimsner and Voiculescu [PV82] to conclude the following result:

Theorem 1.7 (Sunada). Let $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ be a Jacobi matrix on a graph $\mathcal{G}$ with $n$ vertices, and let $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ be its lift to the universal cover of $\mathcal{G}$. Then the spectral measure of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ assigns an integer multiple of $1 / n$ to any connected component of the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$. Consequently, the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ contains at most $n$ connected components.

Remark 1.8 (Tightness). As mentioned above, if $\mathcal{G}$ is a tree then $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{T}$, and hence, if $\mathcal{G}$ has distinct eigenvalues (e.g. $\mathcal{G}$ is a path and $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ is its adjacency matrix), Sunada's bound is tight. A more interesting example of tightness is when $\mathcal{G}$ is a cycle and the $a_{e}$ and $b_{v}$ are not constrained, in this case $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a periodic Jacobi operator and its spectrum can have n bands (see [ABS20, §2]).

The trick needed to reduce the proof of the above theorem to the theorem in [PV82] is standard in K-theory and was first used in the context of graph theory by Aomoto and Kato in [AK88]. The upper bound on the number of bands of the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ is implicit in the work of Sunada [Sun92]. It was then highlighted and proved explicitly in [ABS20]. This technique was also used in [KFSH19] to upper bound the number of bands for certain infinite lattices.

In relation to questions regarding the number of bands in the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$, in Section 3.2 we provide answers to several questions in [ABS20] regarding possible extensions of theorems of Borg and Borg-Hochstadt from the setting of the infinite path to the setting of general universal covering trees.

### 1.1.2 Amalgamated graph products

The fact that Jacobi operators on universal covers can be decomposed as a free convolution with amalgamation seems very fortuitous, and it is not clear a priori how to extend such techniques to other classes of infinite graphs. Inspired by a series of results of different authors [Oba04, ABGO04, ALS07] in which it is shown that each notion of non-commutative probability corresponds to a combinatorial graph product, we investigate the possibility of associating a graph product to the notion of freeness with amalgamation [VDN92, 3.8]. Recall that freeness with amalgamation is not a notion of independence in the sense of Muraki or Speicher [Mur02, Spe97], but it shares many desired properties with these five independences.

With this purpose in mind we consider the following setting. Let $\mathcal{G}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{G}_{n}$ be finite rooted graphs, and let $\mathcal{C}_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}$ be disjoint sets of colors. Assume each $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ is equipped with an edge coloring $c_{i}: E\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}\right) \rightarrow C_{i}$. Let $\mathcal{C}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}$ and let $\mathcal{G}$ be a finite rooted graph with an edge coloring $c: E(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C$.

In this work we define a graph called the free product of $\mathcal{G}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{G}_{n}$ with amalgamation over $\mathcal{G}$. This product can be viewed as a procedure to construct an infinite graph by iteratively copying the local structure of the $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ and where the way in which these neighborhoods are combined is dictated by the structure of the graph $\mathcal{G}$ and by how the colorings $c_{i}$ relate to $c$.

The upshot here is that if $\mathcal{K}$ is a graph constructed through this procedure, the Jacobi operator $A_{\mathcal{K}}$ can be written as an amalgamated free convolution of much simpler non-commutative random variables. Hence, in this situation much of the machinery developed around freeness with amalgamation (e.g. [Leh99, Spe98, Voi95, BBL19]) can be used to understand the spectral measure of $\mathcal{K}$.

It turns out that the amalgamated free product of graphs is general enough to encapsulate the construction both of universal covers and of Cayley graphs of amalgamated free products of groups.

### 1.2 Related work and motivation

### 1.2.1 Spectral measures of universal covers

Let us be precise about our notion of universal cover for graphs, which is not identical to the standard topological notion of universal covering space. For this, it is useful to work with the directed edge set $E^{\mathrm{dir}}(\mathcal{G})$ which consists of ordered pairs of vertices, and which is equipped with an edge-reversal involution $\imath: E^{\operatorname{dir}}(G) \rightarrow E^{\operatorname{dir}}(G)$. An edge $\{u, v\}$ in $E(\mathcal{G})$ with $u \neq v$ contributes two directed edges $(u, v)$ and $(v, u)$ to $E^{\operatorname{dir}}(G)$, and $\imath((u, v))=(v, u)$. We treat a loop as two elements $e_{1}=(v, v)$ and $e_{2}=(v, v)$ with $\imath\left(e_{1}\right)=e_{2}$, where $\imath$ is the involution which for non-loop edges simply reverses the edge. This is the useful notion of whole-loop, introduced by Friedman [Fri93] alongside the contrasting notion of half-loop, for which one places a single element $e=(v, v)$ in $E^{\mathrm{dir}}(\mathcal{G})$ with $\imath(e)=e$. Whole-loop corresponds to the standard notion of loop used in graph theory. We will occasionally allow half-loops, for example in the definition of universal cover below, but unless explicitly stated otherwise, in this work graphs will not permitted to have half-loops, and "loops" will refer exclusively to whole-loops.

Definition 1.9 (Universal cover of a graph). Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a finite undirected graph, possibly with half-loops, and fix a root $v_{0} \in V(\mathcal{G})$. The universal cover of $\mathcal{G}$ is the tree $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})$ constructed as follows:
(1) We place one vertex in $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})$ for every non-backtracking walk in $\mathcal{G}$ starting at $v_{0}$, including the empty walk, where non-backtracking means that no directed edge e is immediately followed by $\imath(e)$.
(2) We connect two vertices in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { T }}(\mathcal{G})$ by an edge if the walk corresponding to one of them can be obtained by appending an edge to the walk corresponding to the other.

We will say that $\mathcal{G}$ is a base graph of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})$.
Example 1.10 (Bouquets). Let $k \geq 0$. The universal cover of a single vertex with $k$ half-loops is the $k$-regular tree, which is a single vertex for $k=0$, a single edge for $k=1$, and infinite otherwise. The universal cover of a single vertex with $k$ whole-loops is the $2 k$-regular tree.

One may also define the notion of covering map for graphs (see e.g. [FK19]), and one has that any connected cover of $\mathcal{G}$ is covered by $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})$.

Studying the spectra of universal covers is a hard problem and only in specific cases can explicit results be obtained. The spectral measure of the universal cover of non-weighted $d$-regular graphs
was first computed by Kesten [Kes59] in the context of Cayley graphs, then revisited by McKay [McK81] in the context of random graphs. Godsil derived a formula for the density of the universal cover of bipartite biregular graphs [GM88]. More general results were obtained by Aomoto [ $\mathrm{A}^{+} 88$ ] (see Theorem 1.4 above), and independently by Figà-Talamanca and Steger [FTS94]. The analogous result was then obtained in the more general context of infinite trees of finite cone type [KLW14]. This last class of graphs is closely related to covers of directed graphs; in fact, universal covers of graphs can be realized as trees of finite cone type by using the set of directed edges of the base graph as the set of labels; see [AS17, §4] for details. In this direction, in [KLW13] it was shown that adjacency matrices of trees of finite cone type have no singular continuous spectrum. Independently, in [ABS20] it was shown that periodic Jacobi matrices on trees (i.e. Jacobi operators on universal covers) have no singular continuous spectrum.

When one tries to go beyond the case where $\mathcal{G}$ is regular or bipartite biregular, the spectrum of the adjacency operator of the universal cover becomes unexpectedly complicated (see Table 1 below) and it is hard to obtain results that are more explicit than Theorem 1.4.

Our main motivation to study this problem for arbitrary graphs is that, as shown in [BC19], the spectra of these infinite objects govern the behaviour of large random lifts of finite graphs, and on the other hand, random lifts have been instrumental for obtaining groundbreaking results on the existence of optimal (Ramanujan) expanders [MSS13, HPS18]. We refer the reader to Section 1.2.2 for a definition and discussion of random lifts.

$\mathcal{G}_{5}$

$\mu_{\mathcal{T}_{5}}$

$\mathcal{G}_{6}$

$\mu \mathcal{T}_{4}$

$\mu_{\mathcal{T}_{6}}$

Table 1: Six graphs $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ alongside the respective approximation to $\mu \mathcal{T}_{i}$, the spectral measure of the adjacency operator on $A_{\mathcal{T}_{i}}$ (that is, $a_{e}=1$ and $b_{v}=0$ for all $e$ and $v$ ). The approximations presented are (scaled) histograms of the eigenvalues of a large random lift (taken as in Section 1.2.2) of the respective graph. See Table 2 for the spectral radii of the $\mathcal{T}_{i}$.

Observation 1.11. For simplicity let us consider only the adjacency operator (so $b_{v}=0$ and $a_{e}=1$ for all vertices $v$ and edges $e$.) Table 1 shows that even if the base graphs are similar in some sense, the spectra of their universal cover may be quite different. In particular, we make the following remarks:
i) (Topological equivalence) $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ are homeomorphic and, in particular, they have the same fundamental group. Yet the spectra of the universal covers differ.
ii) (Eigenvalues of base graph) The graphs $\mathcal{G}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{4}$ are cospectral (i.e. their non-weighted adjacency matrices have the same multiset of eigenvalues); however, the spectra of their universal covers possess very different features.
iii) (Perturbations) $\mathcal{G}_{3}$ is obtained by adding a leaf to $\mathcal{G}_{2}$. In this case a gap in the spectrum around zero is created. From experiments, it seems adding leaves or edges can often cause major changes in the spectrum.
iv) (Degrees) Graphs $\mathcal{G}_{5}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{6}$ have the same degree sequence.

### 1.2.2 Random lifts

A random $d$-lift of a graph is a random $d$-fold cover of the graph with a particular structure. Recently, random $d$-lifts have been studied in the context of expander graphs. For example, random 2 -lifts were used by Marcus, Spielman and Srivastava to show the existence of Ramanujan graphs of every degree [MSS13]; these techniques were later generalized by Hall, Puder and Sawin [HPS18] to $n$-lifts for $n \geq 2$.

Definition 1.12 (Random lift). Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a finite graph with vertices labeled $1, \ldots, n$, and let $d \geq 1$. For every edge e in the multiset $E(\mathcal{G})$, let $U_{e}$ be an independent uniform random $d \times d$ permutation matrix. $A$ random $d$-lift of $\mathcal{G}$ is a random graph whose adjacency matrix is given by

$$
\sum_{e=\{i, j\} \in E(\mathcal{G})} \Delta_{i j} \otimes U_{e}+\Delta_{j i} \otimes U_{e}^{*}
$$

where $\Delta_{i j}$ denotes the $n \times n$ matrix with a 1 in the $(i, j)$ entry and 0 everywhere else.
It is a well known fact that as $d$ goes to infinity, random $d$-lifts of a fixed graph $\mathcal{G}$ converge in the Benjamini-Schramm sense [BS11] to the universal covering graph $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{G}$. In particular, this implies that spectral measure of $\mathcal{T}$ is the weak limit of the mean eigenvalue distribution of the random $d$-lifts:

Lemma 1.13 (Limits of random lifts). Let $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ be a Jacobi matrix on a finite graph $\mathcal{G}$ and let $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ be its pullback to the universal cover $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})$. Let $\mu_{A_{\mathcal{T}}}$ be its spectral measure. For every d, denote by $A_{d}$ the pullback of $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ to a random d-lift of $\mathcal{G}$. Then, for every $k$ we have that

$$
\lim _{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d} \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{d}^{k}\right)\right]=\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{k} d \mu_{A_{\mathcal{T}}}(x) .
$$

Recently, using tools from free probability, Bordenave and Collins showed that the convergence above holds in a much stronger sense, implying convergence of the edges of the spectrum [BC19]. Their theorem also handles half-loops $e$, for which $U_{e}$ is defined to be a random matching (see [FK19] for a discussion of related models of random lifts). Bordenave and Collins viewed the limiting operator $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ as an element of a certain group $C^{*}$-algebra. We expand this discussion in Section 3.

### 1.2.3 Spectral radii of universal covers

In this discussion we fix a finite graph $\mathcal{G}$ and let $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ denote is adjacency matrix (that is $a_{e}=1$ and $b_{v}=0$ for all $e$ and $v$ ), and we denote the universal cover of $\mathcal{G}$ by $\mathcal{T}$. We denote the spectral radius of $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ by $\rho(\mathcal{G})$ and $\rho(\mathcal{T})$ respectively.

The quantity $\rho(\mathcal{T})$ is fundamental in the theory of Ramanujan graphs [LPS88]. Indeed, in general, a graph $\mathcal{G}$ is said to be Ramanujan if $\sigma(\mathcal{G})$ is contained in $[-\rho(\mathcal{T}), \rho(\mathcal{T})] \cup\{-\rho(\mathcal{G}), \rho(\mathcal{G})\}$ [Gre95]; and many of the results in the area are stated in terms of $\rho(\mathcal{T})$. For example, the main result in [MSS13] states that any graph $\mathcal{G}$ has a 2 -lift $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ such that the new eigenvalues of $A_{\mathcal{G}^{\prime}}$ are bounded above by $\rho(\mathcal{T})$, while its generalization in [HPS18] shows that the same is true for $n$-lifts for every $n \geq 2$. Similar techniques have been used in [MO19] to obtain analogous results for quotients of a class of infinite graphs that goes beyond universal covers, where the results are also given in terms of the spectral radius of the given infinite graph.

However, in most cases $\rho(\mathcal{T})$ is mentioned only as an implicit quantity and no quantitative bounds on it are provided. When $\mathcal{G}$ is $d$-regular, by the work of Kesten [Kes59] we know that $\rho(\mathcal{T})=2 \sqrt{d-1}$. If $\mathcal{G}$ is a $(c, d)$-biregular bipartite graph, by Godsil [GM88] we know that $\rho(\mathcal{G})=\sqrt{c-1}+\sqrt{d-1}$. In the case of general graphs, Hoory [Hoo05] proved that if $d_{\mathrm{avg}}(\mathcal{G})$ is the average degree of $\mathcal{G}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(\mathcal{T}) \geq 2 \sqrt{d_{\mathrm{avg}}(\mathcal{G})-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, an upper bound can be obtained trivially by noting that if $d_{\max }(\mathcal{G})$ is the maximum degree of $\mathcal{G}$, then $\mathcal{T}$ can be embedded in the infinite $d_{\max }(\mathcal{G})$-regular tree and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sqrt{d_{\max }(\mathcal{G})-1} \geq \rho(\mathcal{T}) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, if $\mathcal{G}$ is a regular graph we have $d_{\text {avg }}(\mathcal{G})=d_{\max }(\mathcal{G})$, so by putting together (6) and (7) the formula of Kesten is recovered.

It is hard to find any explicit formula for $\rho(\mathcal{T})$ that only depends on the adjacencies in $\mathcal{G}$ and not, for example, on the paths in $\mathcal{G}$ or the powers of $A_{\mathcal{G}}$. This is due in part to the fact that two similar base graphs may have universal covering trees with fairly different spectral radii. In the table below we used the system of equations in Corollary 5.6 to compute the spectral radii of the respective universal covering trees in Table 1.

### 1.2.4 Graph products and non-commutative probability

In recent years different problems in spectral graph theory have been approached from the perspective of non-commutative probability theory; we refer the reader to the book of Hora and Obata [HO07] for a unified exposition. Of particular interest for the present work are a sequence of results [Oba04, ABGO04, ALS07] which establish a correspondence between different combinatorial graph products and different notions of stochastic independence in non-commutative probability (see Example 1.14 below). We summarize this correspondence in the dictionary presented in the table below. As mentioned before, part of the motivation of this project is to extend this dictionary to include the notion of freeness with amalgamation ${ }^{3}$.

[^1]| Base graph $\mathcal{G}$ | $\rho(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G}))$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ | $\approx 3.0368$ |
| $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(7+\sqrt{33})}$ |$\approx 2.5243$| $\mathcal{G}_{3}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(6+\sqrt{5}+\sqrt{27+6 \sqrt{5}})}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $\approx 2.7012$ |
| $\mathcal{G}_{4}$ |  |
| $\mathcal{G}_{5}$ |  |
| $\mathcal{G}_{6}$ | $1+\sqrt{2}$ |

Table 2: Using Mathematica, the system of equations in Corollary 5.6 was solved for the graphs in Table 1. In some cases explicit solutions in radicals were output. Previous results on the biregular bipartite case mentioned above imply the result for $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { G }}_{5}$.

| Graph product | Notion of independence |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cartesian | Classical (tensor) |
| Free | Free |
| Comb | Monotone |
| Star | Boolean |

Table 3: On the left, graph products are mentioned (see [ABGO04] for definitions). On the right, the corresponding notions of stochastic independence are given (see [SW97, Mur01] for definitions of Boolean and Monotone independence, respectively.)

Example 1.14 (Cartesian product). Given two graphs $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}$, one may form their Cartesian product $\mathcal{G}_{1} \square \mathcal{G}_{2}$, with vertex set and edge set as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V\left(\mathcal{G}_{1} \square \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)=V\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}\right) \times V\left(\mathcal{G}_{2}\right) \\
E\left(\mathcal{G}_{1} \square \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)=\left\{\left\{\left(v, w_{1}\right),\left(v, w_{2}\right)\right\}:\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\} \in E\left(\mathcal{G}_{2}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{\left(v_{1}, w\right),\left(v_{2}, w\right)\right\}:\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \in E\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}\right)\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

In other words, we have the relation of adjacency matrices $A_{\mathcal{G}_{1} \square \mathcal{G}_{2}}=A_{\mathcal{G}_{1}} \otimes I+I \otimes A_{\mathcal{G}_{2}}$. Using this representation, one sees that the spectrum of $\mathcal{G}_{1} \square \mathcal{G}_{2}$ is the Minkowskisum $\left\{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}: \lambda_{1} \in \sigma\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}\right), \lambda_{2} \in \sigma\left(\mathcal{G}_{2}\right)\right\}$. In the language of spectral measures, this says that the spectral measure $\mu$ of the Cartesian product is the (classical) convolution of the spectral measures of the constituent graphs; that is, $\mu$ is the law of the sum of two independent random variables distributed according to the spectral measure of $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ and of $\mathcal{G}_{2}$, respectively. ${ }^{4}$

In this work, the free graph product is of particular interest. The connections of this product to free probability were developed by Accardi, Lenczewski and Sałapata [ALS07]. The authors pointed out that Voiculescu's notion of free independence introduced in [Voi85] could be used when analysing the spectrum of free products of graphs. As an example provided in their paper, one can recover the spectral measure of the $d$-regular infinite tree, as the free convolution of $d$ signed Bernoulli distributions (also known as Rademacher distributions).

[^2]However, the roots of the theory of free graph products go back to Kesten [Kes59], who studied the spectra of random walks on free groups. We survey this area in the next subsection.

Finally, we draw attention to the additive graph product recently defined by Mohanty and O'Donnell [MO19], who showed that X-Ramanujan graphs (a generalized notion of Ramanujan graph) can always be obtained by taking certain quotients of any graph constructed via their product. In the aforementioned work the features of the spectrum of the resulting infinite graphs are left as implicit quantities. Hence, a natural complementary line of research would then be that of understanding the spectrum of the infinite graphs arising from the additive graph product. Understanding the intersection between the class of graphs obtained via the amalgamated free graph product and the additive graph product would shed some light on this question.

### 1.2.5 Random walks on groups

The ' 80 s and ' 90 s saw a flurry of activity on the spectra of random walks on Cayley graphs of free products of groups; see [Woe00] for a detailed exposition. The analytic formula relating the spectral measure of the product graph to the spectral measures of its factors (essentially, Voiculescu's $R$-transform) was discovered independently by McLaughlin [McL88], Soardi [Soa86], and Woess [Woe86], but it was Voiculescu's work [Voi85] that put it into the more general context of non-commutative probability.

Interestingly, also in [Voi85], Voiculescu laid out a more general theory of freeness with amalgamation, which extends the scalars $\mathbb{C}$ to an arbitrary unital algebra $\mathcal{B}$. On the other hand, in a parallel way, some progress was also made by the graph theory community in the study of random walks on amalgams [PW85]. In particular Cartwright and Soardi [CS86] developed the combinatorial tools to obtain the Green function of the Cayley graph of an amalgamated free product of finite groups, in the particular case in which the subgroup over which amalgamation is performed is a normal subgroup of the groups in the product. In Section 6 we point out that the tools of free probability allow one to approach this problem even if the normality assumption is dropped.

### 1.3 Structure of the paper

Section 2 contains the machinery from the theory of free probability that will be needed in the present work. The subsequent sections draw from different parts of Section 2 and are developed in a more or less parallel fashion. For this reason, the reader may jump straight to any of the sections that are of their interest and go back as needed.

In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.7. Here, the only knowledge from free probability that is used is contained in Section 2.1. Section 3 contains the results from $C^{*}$-algebras that it uses.

In Section 4 we develop the theory behind our graph product, which we call the amalgamated free graph product. This discussion is based on the construction given in Section 2.4 and a good understanding of the content of Sections 2.1-2.3 is recommended. The content of Section 2.4 will not be used again.

In Section 5 we adopt an algebraic approach to the description of the spectral measure of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$, the lift of the Jacobi matrix to the universal covering tree. The analysis of this section is based on interpreting $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ as an operator-valued matrix with free entries. This interpretation coincides with the framework used by Lehner in [Leh99]. Our proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.1 rely on the work of Lehner.

In Section 6 we discuss the problem of understanding the spectral distribution of Cayley graphs of those groups that can be written as amalgamated free products of simpler groups ${ }^{5}$. This question was investigated in the 80's in the context of random walks on groups, see [CS86, PW85, Woe87] for related work. Despite considerable progress, understanding the spectrum of some of these Cayley graphs is still beyond the reach of the current tools in the area (see Section 6 for details). With this motivation in mind, in Section 6 we discuss the bearing of free probability theory on this problem and show that our graph product encodes the combinatorial construction of the Cayley graphs in question.

## 2 Preliminaries on free probability

In this section we describe the tools from the theory of free probability that will be used throughout this preprint. A basic background on $C^{*}$-algebras is recommended, but not necessary for all of the following discussion. We refer the reader to [Dav96] for an introduction to $C^{*}$-algebras.

### 2.1 Free probability

Free probability was introduced by Dan-Virgil Voiculescu in his seminal papers [Voi85, Voi86]. We refer the reader to [VDN92] for a detailed introduction. This theory is developed in the context of non-commutative probability, in which random variables are viewed as elements of a non-commutative algebra and the notion of expectation from classical probability is substituted by a linear functional on the algebra.
Definition 2.1 (Non-commutative probability space). A non-commutative probability space is a pair $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital $\mathbb{C}$-algebra and $\tau: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a unital linear map.

In this work the following type of non-commutative probability space is of primary importance.
Example 2.2. Let $G$ be a discrete group and denote the reduced $C^{*}$-algebra of $G$ by $C_{r e d}^{*}(G) .{ }^{6}$ Then the $C^{*}$-algebra $C_{\text {red }}^{*}(G)$ has a canonical state given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{e}(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle\delta_{e}, x \delta_{e}\right\rangle, \quad \forall x \in C_{\mathrm{red}}^{*}(G), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{e} \in \ell^{2}(G)$ denotes the indicator of the identity element $e \in G$.
As Voiculescu showed, in non-commutative probability there is not a unique notion of stochastic independence.

Definition 2.3 (Free independence). Let $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$ be a non-commutative probability space, and let $\left\{\mathcal{A}_{i}\right\}_{\in I}$ be a family of unital subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$. We say that the algebras $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ are freely independent (or just free) if $\tau\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{n}\right)=0$ whenever $a_{i} \in \mathcal{A}_{j_{i}}, j_{1} \neq j_{2} \neq \cdots \neq j_{n}{ }^{7}$ and $\tau\left(a_{i}\right)=0$.

Sets of random variables are said to be freely independent if the algebras they generate are free.
In the proof of Theorem 1.7 we will use the following.
Proposition 2.4 (Voiculescu). Let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ be the $m$ canonical generators of $\mathbb{F}_{m}{ }^{8}$ and $\lambda$ be the left regular $\mathbb{F}_{m}$

[^3]representation of $\mathbb{F}_{m}$ on $\ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{m}\right)$. Then, the random variables $\lambda\left(g_{1}\right), \ldots, \lambda\left(g_{m}\right)$ are free in the non-commutative probability space $\left(C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(\mathbb{F}_{m}\right), \tau\right)$, where $\tau$ is as in (8).

Note that in Proposition 2.4 the random variables $\lambda\left(g_{i}\right)$ are unitaries and satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(\lambda\left(g_{i}\right)^{k}\right)=0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In non-commutative probability, random variables satisfying (9) are called Haar unitaries.

### 2.2 Operator-valued probability spaces

We will require the following generalization of the notion of non-commutative probability space.
Definition 2.5 (Operator-valued probability space). A triple $(\mathcal{A}, E, \mathcal{B})$ is called an operator-valued probability space if $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital algebra, $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with $1_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{B}$, and $E: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a conditional expectation, i.e. $E$ is a linear map satisfying $E \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{B}}=\operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $E\left[b_{1} a b_{2}\right]=b_{1} E[a] b_{2}$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathcal{B}$. Often, to stress the choice of $\mathcal{B}$ we will often say that $(\mathcal{A}, E, \mathcal{B})$ is a $\mathcal{B}$-valued probability space.

In the present we are interested in the following situations.
Example 2.6 (Matrices with entries in the algebra). Let $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$ be a non-commutative probability space. Then, the algebra $M_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ (i.e. $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathcal{A}$ ) has a copy of $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ as a subalgebra, namely $M_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}}$. Hence, $M_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ can be viewed as an $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$-valued probability space with the conditional expectation $\operatorname{Id}_{M_{n}(\mathbb{C})} \otimes \tau$. In other words, if $X \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ is given by $X=\left(x_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$ then $E$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(X) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(\tau\left(x_{i j}\right)\right)_{i, j=1}^{n} \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C}), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$-valued conditional expectation.
Example 2.7 (Group $C^{*}$-algebras). Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$, and denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the canonical copy of $C_{\mathrm{red}}^{*}(H)$ inside $C_{\mathrm{red}}^{*}(G)$. Then, we can view $C_{\mathrm{red}}^{*}(G)$ as a $\mathcal{B}$-valued probability space, by considering the canonical conditional expectation $E: C_{\text {red }}^{*}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, namely the projection of $C_{\text {red }}^{*}(G)$ onto $\mathcal{B}$ that is orthogonal with respect to the GNS inner product induced by the canonical trace of $C_{\text {red }}^{*}(G)$.

### 2.3 Freeness with amalgamation

One of the main technical ingredients of this preprint is Voiculescu's notion of freeness with amalagamation [Voi85, §5]. See [VDN92, §3.8] and [MS17, §9] for an introduction to the subject, and [Jek18] for a detailed development.

Definition 2.8 (Freeness with amalgamation). Consider an operator-valued probability space $(\mathcal{A}, E, \mathcal{B})$. Let $\left\{\mathcal{A}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}_{i}$ for every $i \in I$. We say that the algebras $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ are free with amalgamation over $\mathcal{B}$ if $E\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{n}\right)=0$ whenever $a_{i} \in \mathcal{A}_{j_{i}}, j_{1} \neq j_{2} \neq \cdots \neq j_{n}$ and $E\left(a_{i}\right)=0$.

Note in the above definition that in the particular case in which $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathbb{C}$, we recover the usual definition of free independence. In this work we exploit the following relation between freeness and freeness with amalgamation.

[^4]Observation 2.9. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$ be a non-commutative probability space and $\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be freely independent subalgebras. Let $X, Y \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ with $X=\left(x_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$ and $Y=\left(y_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$. If $x_{i j} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$ and $y_{i j} \in \mathcal{A}_{2}$ for every $i, j=1, \ldots, n$, then $X$ and $Y$ are free with amalgamation over $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ with respect to the conditional expectation defined in (10).

In Section 6 we will use the following.
Proposition 2.10 (Voiculescu). Let $G_{1}, G_{2}$ be discrete groups with a common subgroup H. Let $G$ be the group free product with amalgamation of $G_{1}, G_{2}$ over $H$, i.e. $G \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}$. Consider the inclusions $\rho_{0}: C_{\text {red }}^{*}(H) \rightarrow C_{\text {red }}^{*}(G)$ and $\rho_{i}: C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(G_{i}\right) \rightarrow C_{\text {red }}^{*}(G)$ for $i=1,2$. Now, as in Example 2.7 put $\mathcal{B} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \rho_{0}\left(C_{\text {red }}^{*}(H)\right)$ and view $C_{\text {red }}^{*}(G)$ as a $\mathcal{B}$-valued probability space. Then $\rho_{1}\left(C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(G_{1}\right)\right)$ and $\rho_{2}\left(C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)$ are free with amalgamation over $\mathcal{B}$.

### 2.4 The amalgamated free product of Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-modules

Note that if $(\mathcal{A}, E, \mathcal{B})$ is an operator-valued probability space then naturally $\mathcal{A}$ can be viewed as a $\mathcal{B}$-bimodule, in which case $E$ being a conditional expectation is equivalent to $E$ being a $\mathcal{B}$-bimodule homorphism. In the remaining of this section we will assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a unital $C^{*}$-algebra and we will focus on the following type of $\mathcal{B}$-valued probability space.

Example 2.11 (Operators on Hilbert modules). This setup is based on [Voi85, 5.1]. Assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is a unital $C^{*}$-algebra and that $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-bimodule. ${ }^{9}$ Furthermore assume that $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfies $\langle\xi, \xi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=1_{\mathcal{B}}$. Let $\xi \mathcal{B} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\{\xi b: b \in \mathcal{B}\}$ and consider the decomposition $\mathcal{H}=\xi \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. Denote the $*$-algebra of bounded, adjointable, right $\mathcal{B}$-linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$ by $\widetilde{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and assume that there is $a *$-algebra homorphism $\chi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \widetilde{B}(\mathcal{H})$, satisfying that $\chi\left(b_{1}\right)\left(\xi b_{2}\right)=\xi b_{1} b_{2}$ for every $b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathcal{B}$ and that $\mathcal{H}$ is invariant under the action of $\chi(\mathcal{B})$. Then, via $\chi$ we can view $\mathcal{B}$ as a subalgebra of $\widetilde{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and it is easy to verify that under the aformentioned conditions the triple $(\widetilde{B}(\mathcal{H}), E, \mathcal{B})$ is a $\mathcal{B}$-valued probability space, if we define

$$
E(X) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\langle\xi, X \xi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \forall X \in \widetilde{B}(\mathcal{H}) .
$$

Now consider a family of Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-bimodules $\left\{\mathcal{H}_{i}\right\}_{\epsilon \mathrm{E}}$. For every $i \in I$ suppose that $\xi_{i} \in \mathcal{H}_{i}, \mathcal{H}_{i}=$ $\xi_{i} \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{i}, \widetilde{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right)$ and $\chi_{i}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \widetilde{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right)$ are as in Example (2.11) and that the respective assumptions are satisfied. Then, as mentioned above, by taking $E_{i}(X) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle\xi_{i}, X \xi_{i}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{i}}$ we can view each $\widetilde{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right)$ as a $\mathcal{B}$-valued probability space. We will now explain how to construct a bigger $\mathcal{B}$-valued probability space in which we can find copies of the $\widetilde{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right)$ that are free with amalgamation over $\mathcal{B}$. We refer the reader to [Voi85, §5] for the original source and [Jek18, §4] for an extended exposition.

With this end define the amalgamated free product over $\mathcal{B}$ of the $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ as

$$
(\mathcal{H}, \xi) \stackrel{\text { def }}{\stackrel{\text { d }}{\mathcal{B}}} \underset{i \in I}{ }\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}, \xi_{i}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \xi \mathcal{B} \oplus \bigoplus_{i_{1} \neq i_{2} \neq \cdots \neq i_{n}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}_{i_{1}} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}_{i_{n}}
$$

[^5]Next, for every $i \in I$ we consider the subspace of $\mathcal{H}$

$$
\mathcal{H}(i) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \xi \mathcal{B} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \neq i_{1}, i_{1} \neq i_{2} \neq \cdots \neq i_{n}}{\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{H}_{1}}}_{i_{1}} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}{\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{H}_{i_{n}}} .} .
$$

Now define the identifications $V_{i}: \mathcal{H}_{i} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{H}(i) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by sending $\xi_{i} \otimes \xi$ to $\xi$, and in the obvious
 $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}_{i} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}_{i_{1}} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}_{i_{n}}\right)$ with $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}_{i} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}_{i_{1}} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}_{i_{n}}$. Then, for every $i \in I$, define $\rho_{i}: \widetilde{B}\left(\mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}_{j}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$
\rho_{i}(X) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} V_{i}\left(X \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{H}(i)}\right) V_{i}^{-1} .
$$

Note that also $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ defines a $\mathcal{B}$-valued conditional expectation on $\widetilde{B}(\mathcal{H})$ given by

$$
E(X) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\langle\xi, X \xi\rangle .
$$

Theorem 2.12 (Voiculescu). The above construction satisfies the following :
i) For every $i \in I, \rho_{i}: \widetilde{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an injective $*$-algebra homomorphism.
ii) For every $i \in I$ and every $X \in \widetilde{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right)$ it holds that $E\left(\rho_{i}(X)\right)=E_{i}(X)$.
iii) The family of subalgebras $\left\{\rho_{i}\left(\widetilde{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right)\right)\right\}_{i \in I}$ of $\widetilde{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are free with amalgamation over $\mathcal{B}$ with respect to $E$.

### 2.5 The operator-valued $R$-transform

In what follows we consider an operator valued space $(\mathcal{A}, E, \mathcal{B})$ with $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ being unital $C^{*}$-algebras. Moreover, we assume that $E$ is positive, meaning that $E\left[X X^{*}\right] \geq 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$.

In the scalar case, namely when $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathbb{C}$, Voiculescu's $R$-transform is used to compute the distribution of sums of free random variables. See [VDN92, §3] for an introductory reference. The same machinery extends without many modifications to the operator-valued context. A good introductory reference for this subject is [MS17, §9].

The first step towards defining the operator-valued $R$-transform is to define the operator-valued version of the Cauchy transform, which essentially is the conditional expectation applied to the resolvent. ${ }^{10}$

Definition 2.13 (Operator-valued Cauchy transform). Let $\mathbb{H}^{+} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{b \in \mathcal{B}: \operatorname{Im}(b)>\varepsilon 1_{\mathcal{A}}\right.$, for some $\left.\varepsilon>0\right\}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{-} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}-\mathbb{H}^{+}$. Then, for any self-adjoint $\mathrm{X} \in \mathcal{A}$, the operator-valued Cauchy transform of X is the function $G_{X}: \mathbb{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{-}$given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{X}(b) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} E\left[\left(b 1_{\mathcal{A}}-X\right)^{-1}\right] . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observation 2.14. We can recover the scalar Cauchy transform from the operator-valued Cauchy transform. More specifically, consider a non-commutative probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$ and a unital subalgebra $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ together with a conditional expectation $E: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. Furthermore, assume that $\tau$ and $E$ are compatible in the sense that $\tau(X)=\tau(E(X))$ for every $X \in \mathcal{A}$.

[^6]Let $X \in \mathcal{A}$ be self adjoint and let $\mu$ be the probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ given by the distribution of $X$ with respect to $\tau$. Then, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Im}(z)>0$ we have that

$$
\tau\left(G_{X}\left(z 1_{\mathcal{B}}\right)\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{z-t} d \mu(t)
$$

where $G_{X}$ is as in (11).
Without going into much detail we recall that the operator-valued Cauchy transform has a left inverse when restricted to a proper neighborhood of infinity, so the following definition makes sense.

Definition 2.15 (Operator valued $R$-transform). Let $X \in \mathcal{A}$ be self-adjoint. In a suitable neighborhood of $0_{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathcal{B}$, the operator valued $R$-transform of $X$ is well defined by the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
b G_{X}(b)=1+R_{X}\left(G_{X}(b)\right) G_{X}(b), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{X}(b)$ is as in (11).
Many things are known about the $R$-transform (both the scalar and operator-valued). Here we will only need the following fact.

Theorem 2.16 (Voiculescu). Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ be self-adjoint random variables that are free with amalgamation over $\mathcal{B}$, then

$$
R_{X+Y}=R_{X}+R_{Y}
$$

where $R_{X}$ and $R_{Y}$ are as in Definition 2.15.

## 3 Band structure of universal covers

### 3.1 Sunada's theorem via asymptotic freeness

In this section, we give an alternate route to a theorem of Sunada [Sun92, ABS20] upper-bounding number of connected components of the spectrum of the universal cover. We also point out a generalization to the case where the base graph is allowed to contain half-loops.

We state the theorem below:
Restatement of Theorem 1.7. Let $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ be a Jacobi matrix on a graph $\mathcal{G}$ with $n$ vertices, and let $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ be its lift to the universal cover of $\mathcal{G}$. Then the spectral measure of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ assigns an integer multiple of $1 / n$ to each connected component of the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$. Consequently, the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ contains at most $n$ connected components.

Let $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ be a Jacobi matrix on a finite graph with $n$ vertices (and no half-loops). Recalling Definition 1.12, the pullback of $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ to a random $d$-lift of $\mathcal{G}$ is given by the random $n d \times n d$ Hermitian matrix

$$
\sum_{i \in V(\mathcal{G})} b_{i} \Delta_{i i} \otimes I+\sum_{e=\{i, j\} \in E(\mathcal{G})} a_{e}\left(\Delta_{i j} \otimes U_{e}+\Delta_{j i} \otimes U_{e}^{*}\right)
$$

where $\left\{U_{e}\right\}_{e \in E(\mathcal{G})}$ are independent uniform random permutation matrices conditioned on $U_{l(e)}=U_{e}^{*}$ for all $e$, and $\Delta_{i j}$ denotes the $n \times n$ matrix with a 1 in the entry $(i, j)$ and a 0 everywhere else.

As usual, we can view the $U_{e}$ in the above definition as elements of the non-commutative probability space of $d \times d$ random matrices with state $\frac{1}{d} \mathbb{E} \circ \mathrm{Tr}$. It easy to see that each $U_{i}$ converges in distribution to a Haar unitary random variable. The asymptotic joint distribution is given by the following classical result of Nica.

Theorem 3.1 (Nica [Nic93]). Fix $m>0$. For every d let $\left\{U_{1}^{(d)}, \ldots, U_{m}^{(d)}\right\}$ be a family of independent uniform $d \times d$ permutation matrices. Then, with respect to the state $\frac{1}{d} \operatorname{Tr}_{d}(\cdot)$, the family $\left\{U_{1}^{(d)}, \ldots, U_{m}^{(d)}\right\}$ converges in distribution to a family $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$ of free Haar unitaries as $d$ goes to infinity.

This result of Nica says that independent random permutation matrices converge to free Haar unitaries. On the other hand, the result in Proposition 2.4 gives a construction for a family of free Haar unitaries in $C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(\mathbb{F}_{m}\right)$, while Lemma 1.13 above says that random lifts converge in distribution to $A_{\mathcal{T}}$. These arguments put together yield the following lemma, which appeared implicitly in [BC19].

Lemma 3.2. Let $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ be a Jacobi matrix on a graph $\mathcal{G}$ with $n$ vertices (with no half-loops), and let $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ be its pullback to its universal cover. Let $\gamma: E(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow[\mathrm{m}]$ be a numbering of the edges (i.e. $\gamma$ is a bijection) and let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ be the canonical free generators of $\mathbb{F}_{m}$. Let $\tau$ be the canonical trace on $C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(\mathbb{F}_{m}\right)$, and let $\lambda$ denote the left regular representation of $\mathbb{F}_{m}$ on $\ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{m}\right)$. Then the spectral measure of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ is the same as the spectral measure of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \in V(\mathcal{G})} b_{i} \otimes 1+\sum_{\substack{e \in E(\mathcal{G}) \\ e=\{i, j\}}} a_{e}\left(\left(\Delta_{i j} \otimes \lambda\left(g_{\gamma(e)}\right)+\Delta_{j i} \otimes \lambda\left(g_{\gamma(e)}^{-1}\right)\right)\right. \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the non-commutative probability space $\left(M_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(\mathbb{F}_{m}\right), \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} \otimes \tau\right)$.
Now the problem has beed reduced to studying the spectral measure of a particular operator that lives in a well studied $C^{*}$-algebra. ${ }^{11}$ In order to study the band structure of the spectrum of this random variable a standard trick in operator K-theory will be used. In the context of graph theory, this technique was first used by Aomoto [ $\mathrm{A}^{+} 88$ ] and has been used in the study of different infinite graphs by different authors (e.g. [Sun92, KFSH19]).

The main technical result that one needs from the theory of $C^{*}$-algebras is the following.
Proposition 3.3. Let $m$ and $n$ be positive integers and $\tau$ be the canonical trace in $C_{\mathrm{red}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{F}_{m}\right)$. Then, if $P \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(\mathbb{F}_{m}\right)$ is a projection, $(\operatorname{Tr} \otimes \tau)(P)$ is a non-negative integer.

Using a standard K-theory argument it can be seen that the above proposition follows from a deep and technical result of Pimsner and Voiculescu [PV82]. A self-contained proof appears in [ABS20].

Sunada's theorem now follows from a simple argument:

[^7]Proof of Theorem 1.7. Let $I$ be a connected component of $\sigma\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$. Define $f(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \chi_{I}(x)$ to be the indicator function of the set $I$. Since $I$ is a connected component of the spectrum, $f$ is a continuous function on $\sigma\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ and hence $f\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}\right) \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(\mathbb{F}_{m}\right)$. Moreover, since the range of $f$ is contained in $\mathbb{R}$ and $f^{2}=f$, by the continuous functional calculus $f(T)$ is a projection.

On the other hand, if $\mu_{A_{\mathcal{T}}}$ is the spectral distribution of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ with respect to the state $\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} \otimes \tau$, we have that

$$
\mu_{A_{\mathcal{T}}}(I)=\int_{\sigma(T)} \chi_{I}(t) d \mu_{\mathcal{T}}(t)=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} \otimes \tau(f(T)) .
$$

So, by Proposition 3.3, the proof is concluded.
If the base graph $\mathcal{G}$ has half-loops, a similar result can be proven. In this case the random permutations in the lift associated to half-loops should be taken to be random matchings. By the work in [Nic93], asymptotic freeness also holds for independent random matchings and independent random uniform permutations. Hence, for some $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$, the analog of Lemma 3.2 still holds if one replaces the $C^{*}$-algebra for $C_{\mathrm{red}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{F}_{m_{1}} * \Gamma_{m_{2}}\right)$, where $\Gamma_{m_{2}}$ is the free product of $m_{2}$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. The $K$-theory of this $C^{*}$-algebra is also well understood (see for example [Sun92]), and hence one can get an analog of Proposition 3.3. In this case, one obtains that the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ can have at most $2 n$ bands. This result is again tight since $\mathcal{G}$ can be a finite tree with a half-loop added to some vertex, in which case $\mathcal{T}$ will be a finite tree with $2 n$ vertices.

### 3.2 Spectral splitting

For fixed $\mathcal{G}$, varying the coefficients $a_{e}$ can incur a change in the number of bands in the spectrum of the universal cover. For the specific case of regular trees, Figá-Talamanca and Steger [FTS94] gave an explicit description of this phenomenon. Here, to make it compatible with our context, the theorem below paraphrases Lemma 1.4 in Chapter 2 of [FTS94].

Theorem 3.4 (Figá-Talamanca, Steger). Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the graph with two vertices $u, v$ and $d$ parallel edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}$ connecting them. Assume that $a_{e_{1}} \geq \cdots \geq a_{e_{d}}>0$ and $b_{u}=b_{v}=0$. Then, zero is in the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}(G)}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{e_{1}}^{2} \leq \sum_{i=2}^{d} a_{e_{i}}^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be as in the above theorem. Note that from Theorem 1.7 it follows that the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})}$ has at most two bands. Combining this with the fact that the spectrum is symmetric about zero (as $\mathcal{T}$ is bipartite), we get that $A_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})}$ has a connected spectrum if and only inequality (14) is satisfied. This observation already answers some questions raised in [ABS20] regarding the possibility of extending Borg's theorem to Jacobi operators on universal covers.

If $d=2 k+1$ and the $a_{e_{i}}$ are chosen to be distinct and in such a way that (14) holds, we get an example of a $(2 k+1)$-regular tree with non-constant coefficients and connected spectrum. This gives a negative answer to Conjecture 9.6 in [ABS20]. On the other hand, if $d=2 k$ and the $a_{e_{i}}$ are chosen with the same characteristics as above, we get have that $A_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G )}}$ has connected spectrum and it cannot be viewed as the Jacobi operator of a universal cover of a graph with only one vertex and no half-loops. This disproves Conjecture 9.7 in [ABS20].

Now we show that non-constant degree universal covers with similar characteristics can be constructed. With this end now we will use $\mathcal{G}$ to denote the graph $\propto \bigcirc$ consisting of two vertices
$u, v$, with a loop $e_{1}$ on $u$ and two parallel edges $e_{2}, e_{3}$ connecting $u$ and $v$. Put $b_{u}=b_{v}=0$ and assume $a_{e_{i}}>0$.


Figure 1: The graph $\mathcal{G}$ and a finite portion of its universal $\operatorname{cover} \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})$, along with an approximate eigenvector for zero.

As in the example of regular trees, since $\mathcal{G}$ has two vertices, we also have that the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})}$ is connected if and only if it contains zero. By Lemma 3.2, determining the invertibility of $A_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})}$ is equivalent to deciding if the following operator-valued matrix is invertible

$$
X \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left.a_{e_{1}} \lambda\left(g_{1}\right)+\lambda\left(g_{1}\right)^{*}\right) & a_{e_{2}} \lambda\left(g_{2}\right)+a_{e_{3}} \lambda\left(g_{3}\right) \\
a_{e_{2}} \lambda\left(g_{2}\right)^{*}+a_{e_{3}} \lambda\left(g_{3}\right)^{*}
\end{array}\right) \in M_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{\mathrm{red}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right),
$$

where $g_{1}, g_{2}$ and $g_{3}$ are the canonical generators of $\mathbb{F}_{3}$.
We separate our analysis into two cases. First assume that $a_{e_{2}} \neq a_{e_{3}}$. We can further assume without loss of generality that $a_{e_{2}}>a_{e_{3}}$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{e_{2}} \lambda\left(g_{2}\right)+a_{e_{3}} \lambda\left(g_{3}\right)=a_{e_{2}} \lambda\left(g_{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{a_{e_{3}}}{a_{e_{2}}} \lambda\left(g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}\right)\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $a_{e_{2}}>a_{e_{3}}$ we have $\left\|\frac{a_{e_{r}}}{a_{e_{2}}} \lambda\left(g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}\right)\right\|<1$, which implies that $1+\frac{a_{e_{r}}}{a_{e_{2}}} \lambda\left(g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}\right)$ is invertible, and in view of (15) this implies that $a_{e_{2}} \lambda\left(g_{2}\right)+a_{e_{3}} \lambda\left(g_{3}\right)$ is also invertible. Set $x \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(a_{e_{2}} \lambda\left(g_{2}\right)+a_{e_{3}} \lambda\left(g_{e_{3}}\right)\right)^{-1}$ and

$$
Y=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & x^{*} \\
x & -a_{e_{1}} x\left(\lambda\left(g_{1}\right)+\lambda\left(g_{1}\right)^{*}\right) x^{*}
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is easy to see that $X Y=I_{2} \otimes 1$ and hence that zero is not in the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})}$ when $a_{e_{2}} \neq a_{e_{3}}$.
Now assume that $a_{e_{2}}=a_{e_{3}}$. In this case one can show that $A_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})}$ is not invertible. Indeed, let $x_{n}$ be the vector whose entries alternate between 1 and -1 along $n$ consecutive degree-two vertices on the $y$-axis of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})$ as depicted in Figure 1. Then $\left\|x_{n}\right\|=\sqrt{n}$ while $\left\|A_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})} x_{n}\right\|=\sqrt{2}$, so $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of approximate eigenvectors for zero. Alternatively, one can show that $X$ is not invertible
by noting that $X(1,2)$ is a scalar multiple of $\lambda\left(g_{2}\right)+\lambda\left(g_{3}\right)$, which is not invertible since its spectrum is $\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leq \sqrt{2}\}$ (see Example 5.5 in [HL99]). This means that both $X(1,2)$ and $X(2,1)$ are not invertible, and hence $X$ is not invertible.

This discussion can be summarized as follows.
Example 3.5. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the graph $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ consisting of two vertices $u, v$, with a loop $e_{1}$ on $u$ and two parallel edges $e_{2}, e_{3}$ connecting $u$ and $v$. Put $b_{u}=b_{v}=0$ and assume that $a_{e_{i}}>0$ for $i=1,2,3$. Then, if $a_{e_{1}}=a_{e_{2}}$, the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})}$ is connected, otherwise it has two bands.

This example disproves Conjecture 9.5 in [ABS20], since it provides a graph $\mathcal{G}$ of non-constant degree and specific coefficients for which $A_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})}$ has a connected spectrum.

Finally, in [ABS20] an interesting conjecture was made regarding the possibility of generalizing the Borg-Hochstadt theorem. Roughly speaking, in the language of our work, it was conjectured that for an arbitrary universal cover $\mathcal{T}$, if the cumulative distribution function of the spectral measure of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ is of the form $j / p$ inside every gap, then there exists a quotient of $\mathcal{T}$, say $\mathcal{G}$, such that $|V(\mathcal{G})|$ is a divisor of $p .{ }^{12}$ In some sense, Example 3.5 is already a counterexample of this conjecture, since in this case when $a_{e_{1}}=a_{e_{2}}$ there is only one band in the spectrum, while the smallest quotient of the universal cover has two vertices. However, it is still of interest to find an example with an interior spectral gap where the extension of the Borg-Hochstadt theorem does not hold. We do this below.

Let $m \geq 4$ and let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ be the canonical generators of $\mathbb{F}_{m}$. Take $x \in C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(\mathbb{F}_{m}\right)$ self-adjoint. If $x$ is invertible then

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x & \lambda\left(g_{1}\right) & 0  \tag{16}\\
\lambda\left(g_{1}\right)^{*} & 0 & \lambda\left(g_{2}\right) \\
0 & \lambda\left(g_{2}\right)^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x^{-1} & 0 & -x^{-1} \lambda\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right) \\
0 & 0 & \lambda\left(g_{2}\right) \\
-\lambda\left(g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}^{-1}\right) x^{-1} & \lambda\left(g_{2}^{-1}\right) & \lambda\left(g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}^{-1}\right) x^{-1} \lambda\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right)=I_{3} \otimes 1 .
$$

Now consider a graph $\mathcal{G}$ with three vertices $u, v, w$ and edges $e_{1}, e_{2}$ connecting $u$ with $v$, and $v$ with $w$, respectively. Assume that $b_{u}=b_{v}=b_{w}=0$. If we add whole-loops on the vertex $u$ then by Lemma 3.2 $A_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})}$ will have the form of the first matrix in the left side of (16). Moreover, $x$ will correspond to the loops on $u$ and it can be made invertible by putting at least two loops on $u$ and varying their Jacobi coefficients as shown in Example 3.5. So, for the cases where $x$ is invertible, zero will not be in the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$, but by Theorem 1.7 and since the spectral density is symmetric about zero, this means that $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ has exactly two bands, each with mass $1 / 2$. This provides an example of a universal cover whose smallest quotient has three vertices, and where the mass of the bands has the form $j / 2$.

## 4 The amalgamated free product for graphs

In Section 4.1 we give a combinatorial description of our product and show that it extends both the free product of graphs given in [Que94] and the notion of universal cover of a graph. At the end of Section 4.1 we state Theorem 4.8, the main result of this section, which explains the use of having such a product.

[^8]In Section 4.2 we delve into the technicalities of the product, showing the connection between the combinatorial description given in Section 4.1 and the construction of freeness with amalgamation described in Section 2.4.

To lighten notation, in the remaining of this section for a graph $\mathcal{G}$ we will write $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, e)$ to denote that $\mathcal{V}$ is $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G}), \mathcal{E}$ is $E(\mathcal{G})$, and $e$ is the root of $\mathcal{G}$.

### 4.1 Combinatorial description

Motivated by the construction of Cayley graphs of free products of groups, Quenell introduced the notion of free product for general rooted graphs in [Que94]. Later, Accardi, Lencewksi and Sałapata [ALS07] made explicit the relation between this product and free probability.

Let $\mathcal{G}_{1}=\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{1}, e_{1}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{G}_{n}=\left(\mathcal{V}_{n}, \mathcal{E}_{n}, e_{n}\right)$ be rooted graphs and for every $i \in[n]$ denote $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{V}_{i}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathcal{V}_{i} \backslash\left\{e_{i}\right\}$. We begin by recalling the definition of free product of rooted graphs which we will $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{V}}$ denote by $*\left\{\mathcal{G}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$.

The vertex set of $*\left\{\mathcal{G}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ will be the following set of words:

$$
\begin{equation*}
*\left\{\mathcal{V}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\{e\} \cup\left\{v_{1} \cdots v_{m}: v_{k} \in \stackrel{\circ}{\mathscr{V}}_{i_{k}} \text { and } i_{k} \neq i_{k+1} \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq m-1, m \geq 1\right\} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in [ALS07] we think of $e$ as the empty word and we allow the roots $e_{i}$ to appear in words also playing the role of an empty word, that is, if $w \in *\left\{\mathcal{V}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ then we have the convention $w e_{i}=e_{i} w=w$. The set of edges in the free product is defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
*\left\{\mathcal{E}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}=\left\{\left\{v u, v^{\prime} u\right\}:\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\} \in \cup_{i \in[n]} \mathcal{E}_{i} \text { and } u, v u, v^{\prime} u \in *\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right\} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In summary $*\left\{\mathcal{G}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}=\left(*\left\{\mathcal{V}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}, *\left\{\mathcal{E}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}, e\right)$. See Figure 2 for an example.


Figure 2: On the left side of the equality we show two graphs $\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}$ rooted at their red vertices. On the right side we show the free product graph $\mathcal{G}_{1} * \mathcal{G}_{2}$, also rooted at its red vertex.

We expand the notion of free product of graphs by introducing the concept of relator graph.
Definition 4.1 (Relator graph). Let $C$ be a finite set. A relator graph with colors in $C$ is a finite rooted graph $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, r)$ together with an edge coloring $c: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Our amalgamated free product of rooted graphs $\mathcal{G}_{1}=\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{1}, e_{1}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{G}_{n}=\left(\mathcal{V}_{n}, \mathcal{E}_{n}, e_{n}\right)$ will be defined relative to a relator graph $(\mathcal{G}, c)$ and a coloring of the $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ compatible with the coloring of the relator graph. The colorings together with the graph structure of the relator graph indicate how the $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ will be combined. Thus, one may obtain different results even if the $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ are fixed, if the relator $(\mathcal{G}, c)$ is modified.

Definition 4.2 (Amalgamated free product for graphs). Let $\mathcal{G}_{1}=\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{1}, e_{1}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{G}_{n}=\left(\mathcal{V}_{n}, \mathcal{E}_{n}, e_{n}\right)$ be finite rooted graphs. Assume that each $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ comes equipped with an edge coloring $c_{i}: \mathcal{E}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{i}$ such that $C_{i} \cap \mathcal{C}_{j}=\emptyset$ for every $i \neq j$. Let $C \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}$ and let $(\mathcal{G}, c)$ be a relator graph with colors in $C$ and $k$ vertices. Denote $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, r)$ and $\operatorname{set} \mathcal{V}=[k]$.

Then, the free product of the $\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}, c_{i}\right)$ with amalgamation over $(\mathcal{G}, c)$, which we denote by ${ }_{(\mathcal{G}, c)}\left\{\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}, c_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$, is the rooted graph with vertex set

$$
{ }_{(G, c)}\left\{\mathcal{V}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\{(r, e)\} \cup([k] \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{W}}),
$$

where $\stackrel{\circ}{W} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(*\left\{\mathcal{V}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right) \backslash\{e\}$, and whose edge set is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }^{*}(\mathcal{G}, c)\left\{\left(\mathcal{E}_{i}, c_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{(i, v u),\left(i^{\prime}, v^{\prime} u\right)\right\}:\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\} \in \mathcal{E}_{j} \text { for some } j \in[n],\left\{i, i^{\prime}\right\} \in \mathcal{E} \text { and } c\left(i, i^{\prime}\right)=c_{j}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as in (18), we are assuming that $u, u v, u v^{\prime} \in{ }^{*}(\mathcal{G}, c)\left\{\mathcal{V}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ and allowing the $e_{i}$ to play the role of empty words.

This product generalizes different constructions.
Example 4.3 (Free products of graphs). Using the notation from 4.2, if each $C_{i}$ has only one element and $\mathcal{G}$ is a graph with a single vertex and $n$ loops, each colored with a different color, we have

$$
{ }^{*}(\mathcal{G}, c)\left\{\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}, c_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}=*\left\{\mathcal{G}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} .
$$

Hence, this construction generalizes Quenell's free product of graphs.
In Section 6 we prove a stronger result: roughly, we show that if $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}$ are discrete groups with a common subgroup $H$, and $\mathcal{G}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{G}_{n}$ are their Cayley graphs, then the Cayley graph of the amalgamated free product ${ }^{*} H G_{i}$ can be constructed as an amalgamated graph product of the $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ for a suitable choice of colorings and relator graph.

Example 4.4 (Universal cover). Let $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ be a finite graph with $n$ vertices and let $m$ be the number of edges in $\mathcal{E}$ where every whole loop is counted twice.

First we consider the case where $\mathcal{G}$ has no loops. Let $c: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow[m]$ be a coloring that assigns a different color to each edge. Then, by rooting $\mathcal{G}$ at an arbitrary vertex $r \in \mathcal{V}$ we turn $(\mathcal{G}, c)$ into a relator graph. Now, for every $i \in[m]$ take $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ to be a rooted graph consisting of two vertices (one of them being the root $e_{i}$ ) connected by a single edge with color $c(i)$. Then the graph ${ }_{(\mathcal{G}, c)}\left\{\mathcal{G}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ may be disconnected; however, the connected component containing the vertex $(r, e)$ is isomorphic to the universal cover of $\mathcal{G}$. Moreover, the root $(r, e)$ is an element of the fiber of $r$. See Figure 3 for an example.

If $\mathcal{G}$ has loops an extra step is needed. Define $\mathcal{E}_{\text {half }}$ to be the set of edges obtained by splitting every whole loop in $\mathcal{E}$ into two loops and let $\mathcal{G}_{\text {half }}=\left(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}_{\text {half }}\right)$. In this case we consider the coloring $c: \boldsymbol{\mathcal { E }}_{\text {half }} \rightarrow[\mathrm{m}]$. Then by rooting $\mathcal{G}_{\text {half }}$ at $r$, we turn $\left(\mathcal{G}_{\text {half }}, \boldsymbol{c}\right)$ into a relator graph. The rest is done as in the no-loop case.

As Example 4.4 shows, even if the $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ and the $\mathcal{G}$ are connected, the amalgamated graph product may be a disconnected graph. Typically, we will only care about the connected component containing the root, this motivates the following definition.

Definition 4.5 (Core of the product). Using the notation of Definition 4.2 we define the core of ${ }_{(\mathcal{G}, c)}\left\{\mathcal{G}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ to be the connected component containing the vertex $(r, e)$.


Figure 3: Example of the construction of a universal cover using the amalgamated free product of graphs.

So far all the discussion in this section has been at the level of combinatorics, however, our main result here is about Jacobi operators. It is then necessary to clarify how the coefficients associated to a graph are incorporated to this framework.

Note that conceptually weights on vertices are treated differently than loops, but Jacobi operators do not distinguish between these two objects. It is for this reason that working only with weighted adjacency matrices (i.e. assuming $b_{v}=0$ for all vertices) heavily simplifies our analysis. For the sake of clarity, and because we are introducing a new concept, we have decided to only discuss the simpler case in this work.

Definition 4.6 (Lifting coefficients). Using the notation of Definition 4.2, assume that for $i=1, \ldots, n$ the Jacobi operators $A_{\mathcal{G}_{i}}$ and $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ are weighted adjacency matrices. Let $a_{e}^{(i)}$ be the coefficients of $A_{\mathcal{G}_{i}}$, and $a_{e}^{(0)}$ be the coefficients of $A_{\mathcal{G}}$. Then, these coefficients lift to ${ }_{(\mathcal{G}, c)}\{\mathcal{G}\}_{i=1}^{n}$ as follows

$$
a_{\left\{(i, v u),\left(i^{\prime}, v^{\prime} u\right)\right\}} \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=} a_{\left\{i, i^{\prime}\right\}}^{(0)} a_{\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\}}^{(i)} .
$$

When working with the amalgamated free product of graphs it is convenient to have the following notation.

Definition 4.7 (Notation). Fix $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ a graph and $c: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a coloring of the edges. For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ we denote by $\mathcal{G}[\alpha]$ the graph with the same vertex set as $\mathcal{G}$ but that only includes those edges of color $\alpha$, i.e. $\mathcal{G}[\alpha]$ $\mathcal{G}=\left(\mathcal{V}, c^{-1}(\alpha)\right)$.

We are now ready to state the main theorem.
Theorem 4.8. Use the notation in Definition 4.2 and Definition 4.7. For $i \in[n]$ and every $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_{i}$ let $A_{\alpha}$ and $X_{\alpha}$ be weighted adjacency matrices of $\mathcal{G}_{i}[\alpha]$ and $\mathcal{G}[\alpha]$ respectively. Then, there exists a non-commutative probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$ and random variables $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n} \in \mathcal{A}$ with the following properties:
i) The sum $T_{1}+\cdots+T_{n}$ distributes with respect to $\tau$ as the Jacobi operator corresponding to the core of ${ }^{*}(\mathcal{G}, c)\left\{\mathcal{G}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ with respect to the state induced by its root.
ii) If $k \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}|\mathcal{V}|$, there exists a subalgebra $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with $\mathcal{B} \cong M_{k}(\mathbb{C})$ and a conditional expectation $E: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ compatible with $\tau$, such that the $T_{i}$ are free with amalgamation over $\mathcal{B}$ with respect to $E$.
iii) Fix $i \in[n]$, let $l_{i} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left|\mathcal{V}_{i}\right|$ and note that $X_{\alpha} \otimes A_{\alpha} \in M_{k}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{l_{i}}(\mathbb{C})$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_{i}$. Then, if we view $M_{l_{i}}(\mathbb{C})$ as a non-commutative probability space with the functional induced by the root of $\mathcal{G}_{i}$, and from there construct the $M_{k}(\mathbb{C})$-valued probability space $\left(M_{k}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{l_{i}}(\mathbb{C}), E_{i}, M_{k}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ as in Example 2.6, we have that

$$
\sum_{\alpha \in C_{i}} X_{\alpha} \otimes A_{\alpha}
$$

distributes with respect to $E_{i}$ as $T_{i}$ with respect to $E$.

### 4.2 Proof of Theorem 4.8

Since we care about the explicit action of the operators in question we think of the $X_{\alpha}$ as elements in $B\left(\ell^{2}(\mathcal{V})\right)$ and of the $A_{\alpha}$ as elements of $B\left(\ell^{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{i}\right)\right)$ if $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_{i}$. To lighten notation let

$$
\mathcal{B} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} B\left(\ell^{2}(\mathcal{V})\right) .
$$

In what follows, the notion of tensor will be used in different ways, we will use $\otimes_{\mathcal{B}}, \otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\otimes$ to denote the tensor of $\mathcal{B}$-modules, $\mathbb{C}$-algebras and $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces respectively. Although, to avoid overloading, the subscript in $\otimes_{\mathcal{B}}$ will be dropped when using it to denote pure tensor elements in a $\mathcal{B}$-module tensor product.

We begin by connecting the framework in Example 2.6 with the construction of the amalgamated free product for Hilbert modules described in Section 2.4.

Step 1: Construction of the operator-valued probability spaces. For every $i \in[n]$ let

$$
\mathcal{H}_{i} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathcal{B} \otimes \ell^{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{i}\right),
$$

and note that this is a $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$-bimodule with the right and left actions of $\mathcal{B}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(X \otimes v) Y \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} X Y \otimes v \quad \text { and } \quad Y(X \otimes v)=Y X \otimes v \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{B} \text { and } \forall v \in \ell^{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{i}\right) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we can turn $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ into a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-bimodule by using the $\mathcal{B}$-valued inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle X \otimes u, Y \otimes v\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{i}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\langle u, v\rangle_{\ell^{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{i}\right)} X^{*} Y, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{B} \text { and } \forall u, v \in \ell^{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{i}\right) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that for every $i \in[n], e_{i} \in \mathcal{V}_{i}$ denotes the root of $\mathcal{G}_{i}$, so $\delta_{e_{i}}$ is the distinguished vector in the Hilbert space $\ell^{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{i}\right)$. Following the construction outlined in Section 2.4, we set $\xi_{i} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} I_{k} \otimes \delta_{e_{i}}$ to be the distinguished vector of $\mathcal{H}_{i}$, and we note that, by (20), $\xi_{i} \mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B} \otimes \delta_{e_{i}}$. Hence, the decomposition $\mathcal{H}_{i}=\xi_{i} \mathcal{B} \oplus \stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}_{i}$ satisfies

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}_{i}=\mathcal{B} \otimes \ell^{2}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{V}}_{i}\right),
$$

where as before $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{V}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathcal{V}_{i} \backslash\left\{e_{i}\right\}$.
As mentioned in Example 2.11, the $*$-algebra of bounded, adjointable, right $\mathcal{B}$-linear operators $\widetilde{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right)$ is a $\mathcal{B}$-valued probability space with the conditional expectation defined by $E_{i}(S) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle\xi_{i}, S \xi_{i}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{i}}$
for every $S \in \widetilde{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right)$. But since $\mathcal{B}$ and $B\left(\ell^{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{i}\right)\right)$ are finite dimensional, we can easily do the identification

$$
\widetilde{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right) \cong \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B\left(\ell^{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{i}\right)\right),
$$

by letting each $X \otimes A \in \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B\left(\ell^{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{i}\right)\right)$ act on $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \otimes A\left(X^{\prime} \otimes v\right)=X X^{\prime} \otimes A v, \quad \forall X^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}, \forall v \in \ell^{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{i}\right) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now note that under this identification, the $E_{i}$ we just defined also coincide with the construction given in Example 2.6, since for a pure tensor $X \otimes A \in \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B\left(\ell^{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{i}\right)\right)$ we have, by (21) and (22), that

$$
E_{i}(X \otimes A)=\left\langle\xi_{i}, X \otimes A\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{i}}=\left\langle I_{k} \otimes \delta_{e_{i}}, X \otimes A\left(I_{k} \otimes \delta_{e_{i}}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{i}}=\left\langle I_{k} \otimes \delta_{e_{i}}, X \otimes A \delta_{e_{i}}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{i}}=\left\langle\delta_{e_{i}}, A \delta_{e_{i}}\right\rangle_{\ell^{2}\left(\mathcal{V}_{i}\right)} X .
$$

We can now use Voiculescu's construction of the free product of Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-modules with amalgamation over $\mathcal{B}$.

Step 2: Defining $\mathcal{A}, \tau$, and the $T_{i}$. Note that $\mathcal{B}$ and the Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-bimodules $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ satisfy all the conditions required in Section 2.4, so we can go ahead with the construction of the amalgamated free product. Define

$$
\mathcal{H} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \xi \mathcal{B} \oplus \bigoplus_{i_{1} \neq i_{2} \neq \cdots \neq i_{n}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}_{i_{1}} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}_{i_{m}},
$$

where $\xi=I_{n} \otimes \delta_{e}$ and $\delta_{e}$ is the vector resulting from identifying the $\delta_{e_{i}}$. Also define $\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \widetilde{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Now, for every $i \in[n]$, let $\rho_{i}: \widetilde{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the inclusions described in Section 2.4 and set

$$
T_{i} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{\alpha \in C_{i}} \rho_{i}\left(X_{\alpha} \otimes A_{\alpha}\right) .
$$

We will denote by $E$ the natural $\mathcal{B}$-valued conditional expectation on $\widetilde{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\tau_{r}$ will denote the state on $\mathcal{B}$ given by $\tau_{r}(b) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle\delta_{r}, b \delta_{r}\right\rangle_{\ell^{2}(\mathcal{V})}$. We can then lift $\tau_{r}$ to a state $\tau$ on $\widetilde{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by defining

$$
\tau \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \tau_{r} \circ E .
$$

With these definitions, from Theorem 2.12 it is clear that the $T_{i}$ are free with amalgamation over $\mathcal{B}$ with respect to the conditional expectation $E$, and that each $T_{i}$ distributes as

$$
\sum_{\alpha \in C_{i}} X_{\alpha} \otimes A_{\alpha} .
$$

It remains to show that $T_{1}+\cdots T_{n}$ distributes as the Jacobi operator on the infinite graph constructed in Definition 4.2 when the lift of Jacobi coefficients is done as in Definition 4.6.

Step 3: Relating $T_{1}+\cdots+T_{n}$ to the Jacobi operator. For every $i, j \in[n]$ let $\Delta_{i j}$ denote the operator in $B\left(\ell^{2}(\mathcal{V})\right)$ corresponding to the matrix in $M_{k}(\mathbb{C})$ with a 1 in the entry $(i, j)$ and 0 everywhere else. We will use that

$$
\Delta_{i j} \Delta_{l m}= \begin{cases}\Delta_{i m} & \text { if } j=l  \tag{23}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Define $T \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} T_{1}+\cdots+T_{n}$. The main idea of Step 3 is to find a $\mathbb{C}$-vector subspace $V$ of $\mathcal{H}$ (containing $\Delta_{r r} \otimes \delta_{e}$ ) that is invariant under the action of $T$ and such that a $\mathbb{C}$-base of $V$ can be bijected with $V\left(\left\{*{ }_{(G, c)} \mathcal{G}_{i}\right\}\right)$ so that it is clear that $T$ acts on $V$ in the same way that the Jacobi operator acts on $\ell^{2}\left(V\left(\left\{{ }^{*}(\mathcal{G}, c) \mathcal{G}_{i}\right\}\right)\right)$. First note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} & \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \xi \mathcal{B} \oplus \bigoplus_{i_{1} \neq i_{2} \neq \cdots \neq i_{n}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}_{i_{1}} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}{\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{H}_{i_{m}}}} \quad=\mathcal{B} \otimes \delta_{e} \oplus \bigoplus_{i_{1} \neq i_{2} \neq \cdots \neq i_{n}}\left(\mathcal{B} \otimes \ell^{2}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{V}}_{i_{1}}\right)\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}\left(\mathcal{B} \otimes \ell^{2}\left({\stackrel{\circ}{V_{i m}}}_{i_{m}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and that as $\mathcal{B}$-bimodules we have the identification

$$
\left(\mathcal{B} \otimes \ell^{2}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{V}}_{i_{1}}\right)\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}\left(\mathcal{B} \otimes \ell^{2}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{V}}_{i_{m}}\right)\right) \cong \mathcal{B} \otimes \ell^{2}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{V}}_{i_{1}}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \ell^{2}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{V}}_{i_{m}}\right),
$$

for every $i_{1} \neq i_{2} \neq \cdots \neq i_{m}$. Using this identification, for every $l \in[k]$ define the subset of $\mathcal{H}$

Now, by definition of $\tau_{r}$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ we have

$$
\tau\left(T^{p}\right)=\tau_{r}\left(\left\langle I_{k} \otimes \delta_{e}, T^{p}\left(I_{k} \otimes \delta_{e}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right)=\sum_{l=1}^{k} \tau_{r}\left(\left\langle\Delta_{l l} \otimes \delta_{e}, T^{p}\left(I_{k} \otimes \delta_{e}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right)=\tau_{r}\left(\left\langle\Delta_{r r} \otimes \delta_{e}, T^{p}\left(I_{k} \otimes \delta_{e}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right)
$$

On the other hand, from (23) and the definition of $T$ it follows that the $\mathbb{C}$-span of each $\Theta_{l}$ is is $T$-invariant, which implies that $\tau_{r}\left(\left\langle\Delta_{r r} \otimes \delta_{e}, T^{p}\left(I_{k} \otimes \delta_{e}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right)=\tau_{r}\left(\left\langle\Delta_{r r} \otimes \delta_{e}, T^{p}\left(\Delta_{r r} \otimes \delta_{e}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right)$ and hence

$$
\tau\left(T^{p}\right)=\tau_{r}\left(\left\langle\Delta_{r r} \otimes \delta_{e}, T^{p}\left(\Delta_{r r} \otimes \delta_{e}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right) .
$$

It follows that the distribution of $T$ with respect to $\tau$ is determine by the action of $T$ on $\Theta_{r}$. Then we note that $\Theta_{r}$ is in bijection with ${ }_{(G, c)}\left\{\mathcal{V}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$, and under this correspondence $T$ acts on $\Theta_{r}$ in the same way as the Jacobi operator of ${ }_{(\mathcal{G}, c)}\left\{\mathcal{G}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ acts on $\left.\ell^{2}{ }_{\left({ }_{(\mathcal{G}, c)}\right.}\left\{\mathcal{V}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right)$. This concludes the proof.

### 4.3 Implications for $A_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})}$

Here we consider a graph $\mathcal{G}$ with $n$ vertices and let $m$ be the number of edges in $\mathcal{G}$ where each whole loop is counted twice. We denote the universal cover of $\mathcal{G}$ by $\mathcal{T}$. To lighten notation we assume that $V(\mathcal{G})=[n]$ and let $\Delta_{i j}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix with a 1 in the entry $(i, j)$ and 0 elsewhere. Let $E_{\text {half }}(\mathcal{G})$ be the set obtained by taking $E(\mathcal{G})$ and splitting every whole loop into two loops. Fix a numbering $\gamma: E_{\mathrm{half}}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow[m]$.

Let $\Gamma_{m}$ denote the discrete group $\Gamma_{m} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathbb{Z}_{2} * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ be its canonical generators. $\Gamma_{m}, g_{i}$ As usual $\lambda$ will denote the left regular representation of $\Gamma_{m}$ on $\ell^{2}\left(\Gamma_{m}\right)$.

Example 4.4 together with Theorem 4.8 indicate that we can decompose $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ as a sum of random variables that are free with amalgamation over $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$. If we look closer into the construction of the amalgamated graph product, we actually see that we can represent $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ as an $n \times n$ operator-valued matrix with entries in $C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(\Gamma_{m}\right)$. We formalize this below.

Proposition 4.9. Let $r \in V(\mathcal{G})$ and $\tilde{r} \in V(\mathcal{T})$ be a representative in the fiber of $r$. Let $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ be a Jacobi operator on $\mathcal{G}$ and $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ be its lift to $\mathcal{T}$. Then, the spectral measure of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ with respect to the root $\tilde{r}$ coincides with that of

## $X_{\mathcal{G}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\mathcal{G}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{i \in V(\mathcal{G})} b_{i} \Delta_{i i} \otimes 1+\sum_{e=\{i, i\} \in E_{\text {half }}(\mathcal{G})} a_{e} \Delta_{i i} \otimes \lambda\left(g_{\gamma(e)}\right)+\sum_{\substack{e \in E_{\text {nalf }}(\mathcal{G}) \\ e=\{i, j, i \neq j}} a_{e}\left(\Delta_{i j}+\Delta_{j i}\right) \otimes \lambda\left(g_{\gamma(e)}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

viewed as an element of the non-commutative probability space $\left(M_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{\mathrm{red}}^{*}\left(\Gamma_{m}\right), \tau_{r} \otimes \tau_{e}\right)$, where

$$
\tau_{r}(X) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} X_{r r} \forall X \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{e}(T) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle\delta_{e}, T \delta_{e}\right\rangle \forall T \in C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(\Gamma_{m}\right) .
$$

Proof. We follow the construction from Example 4.4. Let $r$ be the root of $\mathcal{G}$. For every $i \in[m]$ let $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ be a graph with two vertices (one of them being the root $e_{i}$ ) connected by an edge and let $A_{i}$ be the adjacency matrix of $\mathcal{G}_{i}$. Note that $A_{i}$ distributes as a Rademacher ${ }^{13}$ random variable, which is also the distribution of any $\lambda\left(g_{i}\right)$ in the probability space $\left(C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(\Gamma_{m}\right), \tau_{e}\right)$.

As in Example 4.4, we will use $\mathcal{G}_{\text {half }} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(V(\mathcal{G}), E_{\text {half }}(\mathcal{G})\right)$ to build the relator graph. Color the elements in $E_{\text {half }}(\mathcal{G})$ with colors in $[\mathrm{m}]$, assigning a different color to every element in $E_{\text {half }}(\mathcal{G})$. Since we only defined the our graph product for weighted adjacency matrices, for now we will ignore the $b_{v}$ and only assign the Jacobi coefficients $a_{e}$ to $\mathcal{G}_{\text {half }}$. So, for every $\{i, j\}=e \in E_{\text {half }}(\mathcal{G})$ with $i \neq j$, the subgraph $\mathcal{G}_{\text {half }}[\gamma(e)]$ whose vertex set is $\mathcal{V}$ and whose only edge is $e$ has $a_{e}\left(\Delta_{i j}+\Delta_{j i}\right)$ as its adjacency matrix. While for every $\{i, i\}=e \in E_{\text {half }}(\mathcal{G})$, the subgraph $\mathcal{G}_{\text {half }}[\gamma(e)]$ whose vertex set is $\mathcal{V}$ and whose only edge is $e$ has $a_{e} \Delta_{i i}$ as its adjacency matrix.

For $i \in[m]$, denote the adjacency matrix of $\mathcal{G}_{\text {half }}[i]$ by $X_{i}$. Then, here the operators from Theorem 4.8 are given by $X_{i} \otimes A_{i}$.

Note that for any $e=\{i, j\} \in E_{\text {half }}(\mathcal{G})$, the operator $X_{\gamma(e)} \otimes A_{\gamma(e)}$ distributes as the random variable $a_{e}\left(\Delta_{i j}+\Delta_{j i}\right) \otimes \lambda\left(g_{\gamma(e)}\right)$ if $i \neq j$ and as $a_{e} \Delta_{i i} \otimes \lambda\left(g_{\gamma(e)}\right)$ otherwise. But Theorem 4.8 produces copies in $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$-distribution of the $X_{i} \otimes A_{i}$, namely $T_{i}$, that are free with amalgamation over $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, and says that $T=T_{1}+\cdots+T_{m}$ distributes as the weighted adjacency matrix on $\mathcal{T}$. At this point it is clear that $T$ is equal in distribution to the right side of (24) if $b_{v}=0$ for all $v \in \mathcal{G}$.

In some way, our graph product has brought conceptual understanding of why we can represent $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ as an element of $M_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{\mathrm{red}}^{*}\left(\Gamma_{m}\right)$ when the $b_{v}=0$. Once this is clear, one way to handle the case when $b_{v} \neq 0$ is to identify $V(\mathcal{T})$ with a certain orthonormal set of $\mathbb{C}^{n} \otimes \ell^{2}\left(\Gamma_{m}\right)$ in the obvious way, and compare action the of the diagonal part of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ on $\ell^{2}(V(\mathcal{T}))$ with the diagonal part of $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n} \otimes \ell^{2}\left(\Gamma_{m}\right)$. We skip the details of how this is done, since it is lengthy to make this more specific, but not very enlightening.

## 5 Universal covers: Algebraic description of the spectrum

In this section we will use the setup from Section 4.3. That is, $\mathcal{G}$ will be a graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges, where each loop is counted as two edges. The vertex set $V(\mathcal{G})$ will be identified with the set $[n]$. We will use $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ to denote the random variable defined in (24), and $\Gamma_{m}$ will denote the free product of $m$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. We will also be working in the $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$-valued probability space $M_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(\Gamma_{m}\right)$ with conditional expectation $E=\mathrm{Id} \otimes \tau_{e}$.

The starting point of this subsection is the result from Proposition 4.9, which implies that the problem of computing the spectral distribution of $\mathcal{T}$, is equivalent to that of computing the spectral

[^9]distribution of an operator-valued matrix with free Rademacher random variables in the entries. The latter problem has already been addressed by Lehner in [Leh99].

### 5.1 Aomoto's equations via the R-transform

The goal of this subsection is to prove Theorem 1.4 via free probability. We begin by noting that if we apply [Leh99, Proposition 3.1], and specialize from positive definite matrices to diagonal positive definite matrices, we get the following result:
Proposition 5.1 (Lehner). Let $W \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ be a diagonal matrix with positive entries and let $w_{i} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} W_{i i}$. Then the $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$-valued $R$-transform of $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ at $W$, i.e. $R_{X_{\mathcal{G}}}(W)$, is also diagonal, and the diagonal entries are given by

$$
R_{X_{\mathcal{G}}}(W)(i, i)=b_{i}+\frac{1}{2 w_{i}}\left(\sum_{\substack{e \in E(\mathcal{G}) \\ e=\{i, j\}, j \neq i}}\left(\sqrt{1+4 a_{e}^{2} w_{i} w_{j}}-1\right)+2 \sum_{\substack{l \in E(\mathcal{G}) \\ l=\{i, i\}}}\left(\sqrt{1+4 a_{l}^{2} w_{i}^{2}}-1\right)\right), \quad \forall i \in[n] .
$$

In order to use the above proposition we will need the following lemma.
Lemma 5.2. Let $G_{X_{\mathcal{G}}}$ denote the $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$-valued Cauchy transform of $X_{\mathcal{G}}$. Then for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ in a neighborhood of infinity, $G_{X_{\mathcal{G}}}\left(z I_{n}\right)$ is diagonal.
Proof. We will use the following power series expansion (in a neighborhood of infinity) for the Cauchy transform

$$
G_{X_{\mathcal{G}}}(B)=\sum_{k \geq 0} E\left[B^{-1}\left(X_{\mathcal{G}} B^{-1}\right)^{k}\right] .
$$

For $B=z I_{n}$, the terms in the right-hand side of the above equation will be of the form $z^{-(k+1)} E\left[X_{G}^{k}\right]$. It is then enough to show that $E\left[X_{\mathcal{G}}^{k}\right]$ is a diagonal matrix for every $k$. To this end note that $X_{G}(i, j)=0$ whenever $\{i, j\} \notin \mathcal{E}$. By expanding out the matrix product, we see that $X_{\mathcal{G}}^{k}(i, j)$ is a linear combination of terms of the form

$$
\pi\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \lambda\left(g_{\gamma\left(e_{1}\right)}\right) \lambda\left(g_{\gamma\left(e_{2}\right)}\right) \cdots \lambda\left(g_{\gamma\left(e_{k}\right)}\right),
$$

where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}$ is a sequence in $E^{\text {dir }}$ forming a (possibly backtracking) path in $\mathcal{G}$ from $i$ to $j$.
Fix $i, j \in[n]$ with $i \neq j$. To see that $E\left[X_{\mathcal{G}}^{k}\right](i, j)=\tau\left(X_{\mathcal{G}}^{k}(i, j)\right)=0$, we show that each $\tau\left(\pi\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right)\right)$ is 0 . If the path $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ is backtracking, then repeatedly applying $\lambda\left(g_{\gamma(e)}\right)^{2}=1_{C_{\text {red }}^{*}}\left(\Gamma_{m}\right)$, we can reduce $\pi\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right)$ to a product $\pi^{\prime}$ that corresponds to a nonbacktracking path. Now since $\tau\left(g_{\gamma(e)}\right)=0$ for all $e$, the definition of free independence implies directly that $\tau\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=0$, as desired.

We can now recover Theorem 1.4.
Restatement of Theorem 1.4 (Aomoto). Let $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ be a Jacobi matrix on a finite graph $\mathcal{G}$ with parameters $\left\{a_{e}\right\},\left\{b_{v}\right\}$ as in (1) and let $w_{u}(z)$ be the Cauchy transforms for $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ as in (4). Then, the following system of equations holds for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ in a neighborhood of infinity and for all real $z$ outside the convex hull of the spectrum $\sigma\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ :
$\left\{w_{u}(z)=\frac{1}{2\left(z-b_{u}\right)}\left(2-\operatorname{deg}(u)+\sum_{\substack{e \in E(\mathcal{G}) \\ e=\{u, v\}, v \neq u}} \sqrt{1+4 a_{\{u, v\}}^{2} w_{u}(z) w_{v}(z)}+\sum_{e=\{u, u\} \in E(\mathcal{G})} 2 \sqrt{1+4 a_{e}^{2} w_{u}(z)^{2}}\right) \quad \forall u \in V(\mathcal{G})\right.$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. As in the statement, for every $i \in[n]$ let $w_{i}(z)$ be the Cauchy transform of the spectral measure of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ corresponding to $i$. Let $W(z) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} G_{X_{\mathcal{G}}}\left(z I_{n}\right)$. From Lemma 5.2 we know that $W(z)$ is diagonal. Moreover, from Observation 2.14 we have that

$$
w_{i}(z)=W(z)(i, i)
$$

and $w_{i}(z)>0$ for sufficiently large real $z$. Plugging into the definition of $R$-transform (12) we obtain

$$
z w_{i}(z)=1+R_{X_{\mathcal{G}}}(W)(i, i) w_{i}(z)
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. After substituting in the expression for $R_{X_{\mathcal{G}}}$ from Proposition 5.1 and simplifying, we get that the system of equations in the theorem statement holds for sufficiently large positive real $z$. Since $w_{u}(z)$ is holomorphic and $w_{u}(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, both sides of the equations are holomorphic in a neighborhood of infinity, so by analytic continuation the system of equations holds in a neighborhood of infinity. Since $w_{u}(z) w_{v}(z)>0$ for all $u, v$ when $z$ is real and outside the convex hull of the spectrum $\sigma\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$, the system of equations holds for these $z$ as well, as the singularity of the square root is always avoided.

Remark 5.3. If $\mathcal{G}$ is allowed to have half-loops (see Section 1.2.1) then Theorem 1.4 holds where each half-loop $e=\{u, u\}$ contributes $\sqrt{1+4 a_{e}^{2} w_{u}(z)^{2}}$ to the summation, without the factor of 2 that would appear if e were a whole-loop.

### 5.2 The spectral radii of a base graph and its universal cover

Using the system of equations for the Cauchy transforms, we may prove the following condition on when the spectral radii of a graph and its universal cover match.

Proposition 5.4. Let $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ be Jacobi matrix on a finite graph $\mathcal{G}$ with coefficients $b_{v}=0$ and $a_{e}>0$ for all $v \in V(\mathcal{G})$ and $e \in E(\mathcal{G})$. Let $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ be its pullback to the universal $\operatorname{cover} \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})$. Let $\mu$ and $\rho$ denote the spectral measure and spectral radius of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ respectively. Suppose that

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\mu((\rho-\epsilon, \rho])}{\epsilon}>0 .
$$

Then, the maximum eigenvalue of $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ is equal to $\rho$.
Proof. Let $w(z)$ denote the Cauchy transform of $\mu$. Then for $t>\rho$, we have

$$
w(t) \geq \int_{\rho-\epsilon}^{\rho} \frac{1}{x} d \mu(x) \geq \frac{\mu(\rho-\epsilon, \rho])}{\epsilon+(t-\rho)}
$$

for each $\epsilon>0$. Taking the limit as $t \rightarrow \rho^{+}$and then the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \rho^{+}} w(t)=\infty . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for all $u \in V(\mathcal{G})$, let $w_{u}(z)$ be defined as in (4). Recall that $w(z)=\frac{1}{|V(\mathcal{G})|} \sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{G})} w_{v}(z)$.
Note that $w_{u}(t)$ is analytic, positive and strictly decreasing for $t>\rho$, so $\lim _{t \rightarrow \rho^{+}} w_{u}(t)$ exists and lies in $(0, \infty]$. Thus, for all $u, v \in V(\mathcal{G})$, we have that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \rho^{+}} w_{v}(t) / w_{u}(t)$ exists and lies in $[0, \infty]$.

In fact, we claim $\lim _{t \rightarrow \rho^{+}} w_{u}(t) / w_{v}(t)<\infty$ when $u$ is a neighbor of $v$. If not, then setting $z=t$ in Theorem 1.4 and rearranging, we would have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\frac{2-\operatorname{deg}(u)}{2 w_{u}(t)}+\sum_{\substack{e \in E(\mathcal{G}) \\ e=\{u, v\rangle, v \neq u}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4 w_{u}(t)^{2}}+a_{e}^{2} \frac{w_{v}(t)}{w_{u}(t)}}+\sum_{\substack{e \in E(\mathcal{G}) \\ e=\{u, u\}}} 2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{4 w_{u}(t)^{2}}+a_{e}^{2}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the right hand side would diverge as $t \rightarrow \rho^{+}$while the left hand side would converge, a contradiction.

Taking the reciprocal and switching the roles of $u$ and $v$, we in fact obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\lim _{t \rightarrow \rho^{+}} w_{v}(t) / w_{u}(t)<\infty \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $u$ and $v$ are neighbors. By the connectedness of $\mathcal{G}$, (27) actually holds for arbitrary vertices $u, v$. Together with (25), this implies $\lim _{t \rightarrow \rho^{+}} w_{u}(t)=\infty$ for all $u \in V(\mathcal{G})$.

By (27), there exist positive real numbers $\left\{\widetilde{w}_{u}\right\}_{u \in V(G)}$ with the property

$$
\frac{\widetilde{w_{u}}}{\widetilde{w_{v}}}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \rho^{+}} \frac{w_{u}(t)}{w_{v}(t)} .
$$

Taking the limit as $t \rightarrow \rho^{+}$of (26), we get

$$
\rho \sqrt{\widetilde{w_{u}}}=\sum_{\substack{e \in E(\mathcal{G}) \\ e=\{u, v), v \neq u}} a_{e} \sqrt{\widetilde{w_{v}}}+2 \sum_{\substack{l \in E(\mathcal{G}) \\ l=\{u, u\}}} a_{l} \sqrt{\widetilde{w_{u}}} .
$$

Recalling the definition (1) of $A_{\mathcal{G}}$, we have explicitly shown that $\rho$ is an eigenvalue of $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ with an eigenvector with strictly positive entries. Since by hypothesis the entries of $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ are nonnegative, by the Perron-Frobenius theorem $\rho$ is in fact the maximum eigenvalue of $A_{\mathcal{G}}$.

In the case of the adjacency operator (that is, the case $b_{v}=0$ and $a_{e}=1$ for all $v \in V(\mathcal{G})$ and $e \in E(\mathcal{G})$ ), we have the following corollary:

Restatement of Theorem 1.6. Let $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ be the adjacency matrix of a finite graph $\mathcal{G}$ with at least two cycles, and let $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ be its pullback to the universal cover $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})$. Let $\mu$ and $\rho$ be the spectral distribution and spectral radius of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}$ respectively. Then $\mu$ is absolutely continuous in a neighborhood of $\rho$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow \rho} d \mu(x)=0$.

Proof. Theorem 2 of [HR19] states that if (and only if) $\mathcal{G}$ has at least two cycles, the maximum eigenvalue of $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ is strictly greater than $\rho$. Thus, applying the contrapositive of Proposition 5.4, we have

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\mu((\rho-\epsilon, \rho])}{\epsilon}=0 .
$$

In particular $\mu$ has no atoms in ( $\rho-\epsilon, \rho$ ] for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small. Also, $\mu$ has no singular continuous part [KLW13, ABS20]) due to algebraicity of the Cauchy transforms. Thus, the conclusion follows.

### 5.3 Formula for the spectral radius

Let $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ be a Jacobi matrix on a graph $\mathcal{G}$ with $n$ vertices, and let $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ be its pullback to the universal covering tree $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})$. Let $\rho(\mathcal{T})$ be the spectral radius of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$. The main objective of this subsection is to prove Theorem 1.1. We start by stating some intermediate results.

We will build on one of the main results of [Leh99], namely Theorem 1.1. As above we will denote the free product of $m$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ by $\Gamma_{m}$ and we will denote the canonical generators by $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$. The left regular representation of $\Gamma_{m}$ will be denoted by $\lambda$.
Theorem 5.5 (Lehner). Assume that $m \geq 2$, and let $A_{0}, \ldots, A_{m}$ be $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices, with $A_{0}$ positive semidefinite. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{0} \otimes 1_{C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(\Gamma_{m}\right)}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i} \otimes \lambda\left(g_{i}\right)\right\|=\inf _{\mathrm{Z}>0}\left\|2 Z+A_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} Z^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left(I_{n}+\left(Z^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_{i} Z^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-I_{n}\right) Z^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\| \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all positive definite invertible $n \times n$ matrices $Z$. Moreover, the infimum can be restricted to those $Z$ for which the expression inside the norm sign equals a positive scalar multiple of the identity matrix $I_{n}$.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1. In short, the proof uses Aomoto's equations (Theorem $1.4)$ to reduce the expression (28).

Restatement of Theorem 1.1. Let $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ be a Jacobi matrix on a graph $\mathcal{G}$ with vertex set [ $n$ ], and let $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ be its pullback to the universal cover $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})$. Let $\rho_{r}\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ denote the right edge of the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{r}\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}\right)=\inf _{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}>0} \max _{i \in[n]}\left[b_{i}+\frac{1}{2 y_{i}}\left(2-\operatorname{deg}(i)+\sum_{j:\{i, j \in E(\mathcal{G})} \sqrt{1+4 a_{\{i, j\}}^{2} y_{i} y_{j}}\right)\right] . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}(i)$ is the degree of the vertex $\operatorname{in} \mathcal{G}$, and where loops each contribute 2 to the degree, and each loop is understood to appear twice in the summation. Moreover, the infimum can be restricted to those $n$-tuples $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ for which the $n$ expressions inside the max symbol are equal to each other.

Proof. For $i=1, \ldots, n$ define $g_{i}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ to be the expression inside the max symbol in the theorem statement. Let $w_{i}(z)$ denote the Cauchy transform of the spectral measure of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ rooted at an element in the fiber of $i$. Fix $t>\rho_{r}(T)$. On the one hand, $\infty>w_{i}(t)>0$ for every $i \in[n]$. On the other hand, from Theorem 1.4 we have $t=g_{i}\left(w_{1}(t), \ldots, w_{n}(t)\right)$ for every $i$. Together, this implies that

$$
t \geq \inf _{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}>0} \max _{i \in[n]} g_{i}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)
$$

Since the above inequality holds for any $t>\rho_{r}\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$, it holds for $t=\rho_{r}\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$. It remains to show the opposite inequality.

From Proposition 4.9 we have that $\rho\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}\right)=\left\|X_{\mathcal{G}}\right\|$, where $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ is as in (24). We would like to apply Theorem 5.5 on $X_{\mathcal{G}}$, but the theorem requires $A_{0}$ to be positive semidefinite. To remedy this, take $\lambda \geq 0$ large enough so that $\lambda+b_{i} \geq 0$ for all $i$; we may now apply Theorem 5.5 on $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}+\lambda\right)=\left\|X_{\mathcal{G}}+\lambda I_{n}\right\|=\inf _{Z>0}\left\|2 Z+B+\lambda I_{n}+\sum_{e \in E(\mathcal{G})} Z^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left(I_{n}+a_{e}^{2}\left(Z^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_{e} Z^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-I_{n}\right) Z^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\| \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B=\operatorname{diag}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$, and where for each edge $e=\{i, j\}$ with $i \neq j$ we have $A_{e}=\Delta_{i j}+\Delta_{j i}$, and each loop $e=\{i, i\}$ is understood to appear twice in the summation, both times with $A_{e}=\Delta_{i i}$. We will now see that in this case the infimum is achieved by diagonal matrices. Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}>0$ and take $Y:=\operatorname{diag}\left(1 / 2 y_{1}, \ldots, 1 / 2 y_{n}\right)$. Simple computations yield that upon setting $Z=Y$ in (30), the quantity inside the norm on the right hand side becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda I_{n}+\operatorname{diag}\left(g_{1}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right), \ldots, g_{n}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lambda+g_{i}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \geq 0$ for all $i$, we have

$$
\left\|\lambda I_{n}+\operatorname{diag}\left[g_{1}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right), \ldots, g_{n}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right]\right\|=\lambda+\max _{i \in[n]} g_{i}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right) .
$$

Then, (30) and (31) yield

$$
\rho\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}+\lambda\right) \leq \lambda+\inf _{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}>0} \max _{i \in[n]} g_{i}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) .
$$

Since $\rho_{r}\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}\right)+\lambda=\rho_{r}\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}+\lambda\right) \leq \rho\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}+\lambda\right)$, we have

$$
\rho_{r}\left(A_{\mathcal{T}}\right) \leq \inf _{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}>0} \max _{i \in[n]} g_{i}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)
$$

as desired.

Applying Lagrange multipliers to the optimization problem (3), using the constraint that all $n$ expressions inside the max symbol are equal, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 5.6. Let $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ be a Jacobi matrix on a finite graph $\mathcal{G}$ with vertex set $[n]$ and Jacobi coefficients $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{G}},\left\{b_{e}\right\}_{e \in E(\mathcal{G})}$, and let $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ be its pullback to the universal cover $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})$. Let $\rho_{r}$ denote the right edge of the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}}$.

Then $t=\rho_{r}$ is the only real number such that, under the constraint $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}>0$, the following system of $2 n+1$ equations in the variables $t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ has a solution:

$$
\begin{cases}1=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i,} \\ \lambda_{i}\left(t-b_{i}\right)=\sum_{\substack{e \in E(\mathcal{G}) \\ e=\{i, j\}}} a_{e}^{2} \frac{y_{j} \lambda_{i}+y_{i} \lambda_{j}}{\sqrt{1+4 a_{e}^{2} y_{i} y_{j}}} & \forall i \in[n], \\ t=b_{i}+\frac{1}{2 y_{i}}\left(2-\operatorname{deg}(i)+\sum_{\substack{e \in E(\mathcal{G}) \\ e=\{i, j\}}} \sqrt{1+4 a_{e}^{2} y_{i} y_{j}}\right) & \forall i \in[n],\end{cases}
$$

where each loop of $\mathcal{G}$ is understood to appear twice in each summation, and loops count twice towards the degree $\operatorname{deg}(i)$.

## 6 Cayley graphs

In this section, we shift our attention away from general Jacobi matrices on graphs to just the adjacency operator. Consider a finitely generated group $G$ and let $S \subset G$ be a finite generating set. Denote by $\Gamma(G, S)$ the (left) Cayley graph of $G$ with respect to $S$. When it is clear from the context, we will simply write $\Gamma$. Let $\lambda$ be the left regular representation of $G$, and note that the operator

$$
T_{\Gamma} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{s \in S} \lambda(s) \in C_{\mathrm{red}}^{*}(G)
$$

is essentially equivalent to the adjacency operator of $\Gamma$. Indeed, if $G$ is identified with the vertices of $\Gamma$, then for every $g \in G$

$$
T_{\Gamma}\left(\delta_{g}\right)=\sum_{s \in S} \delta_{s g} .
$$

Moreover, if $S$ is symmetric, meaning $S=S^{-1}$, then clearly $\Gamma$ is undirected and $T_{\Gamma}$ is self-adjoint. As mentioned in the introduction, some spectral problems regarding Cayley graphs seem to be out of reach of current mathematical tools. We provide the following as an example.
Problem 6.1 (Sarnak). Fix a positive integer $g$ and let $G_{g}$ denote the fundamental group of the orientable surface of genus $g$, namely:

$$
G_{g}=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{g} \mid\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right] \ldots\left[a_{g}, b_{g}\right]=0\right\rangle,
$$

where $[x, y]$ denotes the commutator $x y x^{-1} y^{-1}$. Take the symmetric generating set $S \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{a_{i}, a_{i}^{-1}, b_{i}, b_{i}^{-1}\right\}_{i=1}^{g}$ and use $\Gamma_{g}$ to denote $\Gamma\left(G_{g}, S\right)$ and e to denote the vertex in $\Gamma$ corresponding to the identity.
(1) Provide a useful description of $\mu_{\Gamma_{g}, \text { e }}$ and decide if its Cauchy transform is algebraic.
(2) Determine the spectral radius of $A_{\Gamma_{g}}$, and determine whether or not it is algebraic.

For the cases $g=2$ and $g=3$ in the problem above, numerical upper and lower bounds have been found that match up to three significant figures; see [Nag04] for a survey of related results. On the other hand, a particular case of the Kadison-Kaplansky conjecture proven by Puschnigg [Pus02] implies that $\sigma\left(T_{\Gamma_{g}}\right)$ is connected for every $g$, and hence question (2) is equivalent to determining $\sigma\left(T_{\Gamma}\right)$. However, very little is known about $\mu_{\Gamma_{g}, e}$.

Using the presentation above, it is not hard to see that for $g \geq 2, G_{g}$ can be written as a free product of copies of $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$ with amalgamation over a group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. In view of Proposition 2.10 , it is then natural to use the fact that $G_{g}$ is an amalgamated free product of groups and the theory of free probability to reformulate Problem 6.1.
Observation 6.2. Let $G_{1}, G_{2}$ be two finitely generated groups with a common subgroup $H$. Let $S_{1} \subset G_{1}$ and $S_{2} \subset G_{2}$ be respective finite generating sets. For $j=1,2$, let $\iota_{j}: G_{j} \rightarrow G_{1}{ }^{*} G_{2}$ be the canonical inclusion and let $S=\iota_{1}\left(S_{1}\right) \cup \iota_{2}\left(S_{2}\right)$. Let $\lambda$ be the left regular representation of $G_{1}{ }^{*} G_{2}$ on $\ell^{2}\left(G_{1}{ }^{*} G_{2}\right)$. Let $\Gamma \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \Gamma\left(G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}, S\right), \Gamma_{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \Gamma\left(G_{1}, S_{1}\right)$ and $\Gamma \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \Gamma\left(G_{2}, S_{2}\right)$. Then we have the following decomposition

$$
T_{\Gamma}=\sum_{s \in S} \lambda(s)=\sum_{s \in \epsilon_{1}\left(S_{1}\right)} \lambda(s)+\sum_{r \in \epsilon_{2}\left(S_{2}\right)} \lambda(r)=\widetilde{T_{\Gamma_{1}}}+\widetilde{T_{\Gamma_{2}}}
$$

where $\widetilde{T_{\Gamma_{j}}}$ denotes the natural lift of $T_{\Gamma_{j}} \in C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(G_{j}\right)$ into $C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(\ell^{2}\left(G_{1} *_{H} G_{2}\right)\right)$. From Proposition 2.10 we know that $\widetilde{T_{\Gamma_{1}}}$ and $\widetilde{T_{\Gamma_{2}}}$ are free with amalgamation over $C_{\text {red }}^{*}(H)$ with respect to the conditional expectation $E: C_{\text {red }}^{*}\left(G_{1}{ }^{*} H G_{2}\right) \rightarrow C_{\text {red }}^{*}(H)$ defined as in Example 2.7.

After using Observation 6.2 on Problem 6.1, the difficulty now resides in finding a useful expression for the operator-valued $R$-transform of the respective operators, which is an extremely difficult task. Then, a possible alternative is to attempt to write $\Gamma_{g}$ as an amalgamated free graph product, with the hope that the operators obtained in this way will have a more tame $R$-transform.

With this motivation in mind, in the next section we show that any Cayley graph of an amalgamated free product of finite groups can be written as an amalgamated free graph product of finite graphs. As we remark in Section 7, with more care one may be able to obtain analogous results for arbitrary (possibly infinite) discrete groups.

### 6.1 Cayley graphs via the amalgamated free graph product

Note that in the setup of Observation 6.2, freeness with amalgamation appears because we are working in a group $C^{*}$-algebra of an amalgamated free group product. In contrast, in the case of the amalgamated free graph product, the freeness with amalgamation comes from the fact that we are working in a tensor algebra. The following discussion uses a technique sketched in [KFSH19, Appendix C] following Sunada [Sun92], and allows us to go from the former setup to the latter.

Let $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}$ be finite groups with a common subgroup $H$ and finite symmetric generating sets $S_{i}=S_{i}^{-1}$. For each $i$ fix a set of representatives $R_{i}$ for the set of right cosets of $H$ in $G_{i}$, and stipulate that $e_{G_{i}} \in R_{i}$ for each $i$. The following structure theorem for the amalgamated free product of groups is well known; see e.g. [Ser80]:
Theorem 6.3 (Structure of amalgamated free products of groups). Each element $g$ of the amalgamated free product of groups * ${ }_{H}\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ can uniquely be represented in the normal form

$$
g=h r_{1} \cdots r_{n}
$$

where $h \in H, r_{k} \in R_{i_{k}}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $i_{k} \neq i_{k+1}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.
The above theorem is useful even in the case $n=1$ corresponding to a single group $G$ amalgamated over a subgroup $H$, in which case one obtains the induced representation. To be precise, the theorem gives a bijection $G \leftrightarrow H \times R$, so the left regular representation of $G$ induces a left group action $\phi$ of $G$ on $H \times R$, which yields an inclusion of algebras $\chi: \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow B\left(\ell^{2}(H)\right) \otimes B\left(\ell^{2}(H \backslash G)\right)$. $\quad \phi$

We are now ready to express Cayley graphs of amalgamated free group products via our amalgamated free graph product, following along with the combinatorial description of our graph product in Definition 4.2. The reader may find it helpful to first look at Example 6.5.

Construction. We produce a family of colored graphs $\left\{\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}, c_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ and a relator graph $(\mathcal{G}, c)$ as follows.
(i) Vertices: Set $V(\mathcal{G})=H$ and for every $i$ set $V\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}\right)=R_{i}$. Then the vertex set of the amalgamated product graph is $H \times *\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$, which is the desired vertex set for the Cayley graph of $*_{H}\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ by Theorem 6.3.
(ii) Roots: Set the root of $\mathcal{G}$ to be $e_{H}$, and the root of $\mathcal{G}_{i}$ to be $e_{G_{i}}$ for all $i$.
(iii) Color sets: For every $i$ let $C_{i}=S_{i} \times H \times R_{i}$ and $C=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}$.
(iv) Edges: For each element $g=h r_{1} \ldots r_{n} \in *_{H} G_{i}$ (as in Theorem 6.3), for each $i \in[n]$ and for each generator $s \in S_{i}$, we may uniquely write $s h=h^{\prime} r$ for some $h^{\prime} \in H$ and $r \in R_{i}$, where $\left(h^{\prime}, r\right)=\phi(s,(h, e))$. We have one of two cases:
(a) $i \neq i_{1}$, in which case $s g$ has the normal form

$$
s g=h^{\prime} r r_{1} \ldots r_{n}
$$

and we create directed edges $\left(h, h^{\prime}\right)$ in the relator graph and $(e, r)$ in the graph $\mathcal{G}_{i}$, both of the same color ( $s, h, e$ ).
(b) $i=i_{1}$, in which case $h^{\prime} r r_{1}=h^{\prime \prime} r_{1}^{\prime}$ for $r_{1}^{\prime} \in R_{i_{1}}$ and $s g$ has the normal form

$$
s g=h^{\prime \prime} r_{1}^{\prime} r_{2} \ldots r_{n}
$$

and we create directed edges $\left(h, h^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in the relator graph and $\left(r_{1}, r_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ in $\mathcal{G}_{i_{1}}$, both of the same color ( $s, h, r_{1}$ ).

As a consequence, in our amalgamated product graph, we will have the edge $(g, s g)$ as desired, with the same color. Because $S_{i}=S_{i}^{-1}$ and $\phi$ is a group action, for any directed edge constructed its reverse edge will also be constructed, so if desired we may identify the colors associated to these edges and obtain colored undirected graphs.

Thus, by construction we have the following theorem.
Theorem 6.4. Let $S=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} S_{i}$ and let $(\mathcal{G}, c),\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}, c_{i}\right)$ be defined as above. Then

$$
{ }^{*}(\mathcal{G}, c)\left\{\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}, c_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \cong \Gamma\left(*_{H}\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}, S\right) .
$$

Example 6.5. Here we provide the explicit construction for the Cayley graph of SL(2, Z $)$, which can be viewed as the free product of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ with amalgamation over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, and has the finite presentation

$$
S L(2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong\left\langle x, y \mid x^{4}=y^{6}=1, x^{2}=y^{3}\right\rangle .
$$

Let $\lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{4}}, \lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{6}}$ denote the left regular representations of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$, respectively. We start by evaluating the inclusion $\chi_{1}: \mathbb{C}\left[\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right] \rightarrow B\left(\ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\right) \otimes B\left(\ell^{2}(\{0,2\},\{1,3\})\right)$ at the element $\lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{4}}(1)+\lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{4}}(-1)$ where we use the coset representatives $R=\{0,1\}$. It is easily seen that

$$
\chi_{1}\left(\lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{4}}(1)+\lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{4}}(-1)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \otimes\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \otimes\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Now we consider the inclusion $\chi_{2}: \mathbb{C}\left[\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right] \rightarrow B\left(\ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\right) \otimes B\left(\ell^{2}(\{0,3\},\{1,4\},\{2,5\})\right)$ where the set of coset representatives $R=\{0,1,2\}$ is used. We then have

$$
\chi_{2}\left(\lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{6}}(1)+\lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{6}}(-1)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \otimes\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \otimes\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Due to the simple form of the above equations, ultimately arising from the abelian nature of the groups, we may get away with using fewer colors than in the fully general construction above. Associating a color to each pure tensor above, we obtain the colored graphs depicted in Figure 4.


Figure 4: (A finite portion of) the Cayley graph of $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$, represented as an amalgamated product of graphs.

## 7 Future research

We find that there are many fruitful directions to pursue going forward.
Amalgamated free product for graphs. For certain operators on different classes of infinite graphs, the respective Green functions (or other related functions) have been shown to be algebraic [Woe87, KLW13, ABS20]. On the other hand, algebraicity is of relevance in the context of spectral theory since it provides means to show that the operators in question have no singular continuous spectrum [KLW13, ABS20]. We believe that Jacobi operators on any graph defined via our product also have no singular continuous spectrum, and it is possible that the work of Anderson [And14] might lead to showing algebraicity of their Green functions. Proving this would provide a generalization of Theorem 6.7 in [ABS20] and other results in the literature of spectral analysis of Cayley graphs. We state this as a conjecture:

Conjecture 7.1. Any Jacobi operator on a graph constructed via the amalgamated free product of graphs (where the Jacobi coefficients are lifted from the graphs in the product in a sensible way) has no singular continuous spectrum.

There are some natural extensions of our graph product. In the present work we have only considered the case of finite graphs; one could hope to move beyond the finite realm. More concretely, it may be possible to extend the amalgamated free graph product to consider (possibly infinite) locally finite graphs with bounded degree. This would allow, for example, to decompose the Cayley graph of a surface group using an analog of Theorem 4.8. On a different direction, our product can be extended to directed graphs without much work, but the relevance of doing so is not clear to us.

Another direction is to obtain a more complete characterization of which graphs can be obtained using our graph product. We have shown that Cayley graphs of amalgamated free products of groups can be obtained, as well as universal covers of graphs. One specific question is whether the "additive product" graphs defined by Mohanty and O'Donnell [MO19] can be obtained using our graph product.

Universal covers. Many fundamental questions regarding Jacobi operators on universal covers remain open. For example, even if Sunada's theorem (Theorem 1.7 above) is tight, determining the exact number of bands in the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})}$ in terms of $\mathcal{G}$ and the $a_{e}, b_{v}$, is currently out of reach. Here we pose some more modest, but still challenging goals that would bring some understanding to this problem.

Problem 7.2. Find a characterization of the base graphs $\mathcal{G}$ and coefficients $a_{e}, b_{v}$ for which $A_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})}$ has connected spectrum.

Problem 7.3. Find an infinite sequence of graphs $\mathcal{G}_{n}$ (without half-loops) growing in size and Jacobi coefficients $a_{e}^{(n)}, b_{v}^{(n)}$ such that for every $n, \mathcal{G}_{n}$ has at least two cycles and the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}\left(\mathcal{G}_{n}\right)}$ has $\left|V\left(\mathcal{G}_{n}\right)\right|$ bands.

It is also not well understood for which base graphs do Jacobi operators on the universal cover have atoms in their spectral distribution. Moreover, it is not known where the atoms may be located. In this direction, there is the recent work of Belinschi, Bercovici and Liu [BBL19], which analyzes the atom structure of operator-valued free convolutions. Their work could prove useful, in light of the fact that Jacobi operators on universal covers are essentially operator-valued free convolutions.

Finally, we conjecture that the converse of Theorem 1.6 holds:
Conjecture 7.4. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a finite graph and let $A_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})}$ be the adjacency matrix of its universal cover (that is, we take $a_{e}=1$ and $b_{v}=0$ ). Let $\mu$ and $\rho$ be the spectral measure and spectral radius of $A_{\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})}$ respectively. If $\mu$ has an atom at $\rho$ or $\lim _{x \rightarrow \rho} d \mu(x) \neq 0$ then $\mathcal{G}$ has at most one cycle.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note that we are deviating from the standard definition of Jacobi operator which requires the $a_{e}$ to be strictly positive. This positivity assumption does play an important role in the general theory of Jacobi matrices. However, in this work, results are not affected by the sign of the $a_{e}$ and allowing negative coefficients sometimes simplifies our exposition .
    ${ }^{2}$ See Section 1.2.1 for a precise definition and a subtlety involving covers of loops.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Graph products related to the notion of amalgamation in group theory, such as the one appearing in [Moh06], have appeared in the past. However, these unrelated products were introduced with the purpose of studying symmetries in graphs and it is not clear if they relate to spectral theory.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ To be precise here in the definition of spectral measure, we must specify that the trace functional $\tau_{n}$ we use on each space of $n \times n$ matrices is $\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr}(\cdot)$, where $\operatorname{Tr}$ denotes the ordinary matrix trace.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Amalgamated free product groups are a particular case of Serre's notion of amalgam. See [Ser80] for a discussion of amalgams and their Cayley graphs.
    ${ }^{6}$ In other words, $C_{\text {red }}^{*}(G)$ is the norm closure in $B\left(\ell^{2}(G)\right)$ of the left regular representation of $G$ on $\ell^{2}(G)$. See [Dav96, §7].
    ${ }^{7}$ Here and throughout, we use this shorthand to mean $j_{i} \neq j_{i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i<n$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ Here, $\mathbb{F}_{m}$ denotes the free group on $m$ generators.

[^5]:    ${ }^{9}$ Roughly speaking, a right Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module is a $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{B}$-bimodule with a $\mathcal{B}$-valued inner product for which $\mathcal{H}$ is complete in some sense. We refer the reader to [Spe98, §4.6], [Bla06, §2.7] or [Jek18, §1.2] for a development of this and other related concepts.

[^6]:    ${ }^{10}$ The Cauchy transform is also known as the Stieltjes transform, and the resolvent as the Green function.

[^7]:    ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~A}$ similar approach is used by [ABS20]. They show that $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ is unitarily equivalent to the Jacobi matrix of the base graph with one edge for each element in the fundamental group of $\mathcal{G}$ replaced with a free group generator. Their approach does not use asymptotic freeness, nor any other free probability concept.

[^8]:    ${ }^{12}$ Actually, the conjecture was stated in terms of the notion of period discussed in [ABS20], where an ultimate definition of period was left open. However, there does not seem to be a sensible definition of period that rules out the counterexamples presented here.

[^9]:    ${ }^{13}$ By Rademacher random variable, we mean a random variable with distribution $\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{-1}+\delta_{1}\right)$.

