

STABILITY FOR INVERSE SOURCE PROBLEMS BY CARLEMAN ESTIMATES

¹ X. HUANG, ² O. YU. IMANUVILOV AND ^{3,4,5} M. YAMAMOTO

ABSTRACT. In this article, we provide a modified argument for proving conditional stability for inverse problems of determining spatially varying functions in evolution equations by Carleman estimates. Our method needs not any cut-off procedures and can simplify the existing proofs. We establish the conditional stability for inverse source problems for a hyperbolic equation and a parabolic equation, and our method is widely applicable to various evolution equations.

Key words. inverse source problem, Carleman estimates, stability

AMS subject classifications. 35R30, 35R25

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

For evolution equations, we consider inverse source problems of determining spatially varying functions in non-homogeneous terms of the equations.

More precisely, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, and let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and t denote the spatial and the time variables respectively. We set

$$\partial_{x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \quad \partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \nabla = (\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}),$$

$$\nabla_{x,t} = (\nabla, \partial_t), \quad \Delta = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j}^2.$$

¹ Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan e-mail: huangxc@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

² Department of Mathematics, Colorado State University, 101 Weber Building, Fort Collins, CO 80523-1874, U.S.A. e-mail: oleg@math.colostate.edu

³ Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan

⁴ Honorary Member of Academy of Romanian Scientists, Splaiul Independentei Street, no 54, 050094 Bucharest Romania

⁵ Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University) 6 Miklukho-Maklaya St, Moscow, 117198, Russian Federation e-mail: myama@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp.

By $\nu = \nu(x)$ we denote the unit outward normal vector to $\partial\Omega$ at x , and set $\partial_\nu u = \nabla u \cdot \nu$.

Let \mathcal{L} be a suitable partial differential operator in (x, t) and I be an open time interval. We consider

$$\mathcal{L}u = R(x, t)f(x), \quad x \in \Omega, t \in I. \quad (1.1)$$

Our inverse problem is formulated as follows:

For given $t_0 \in I$, function $R(x, t)$ and subboundary $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$, determine $f(x)$ in (1.1) by $u|_{\Gamma \times I}$, $\nabla u|_{\Gamma \times I}$ and $u|_{t=t_0}$.

The choices of the operator \mathcal{L} in (1.1) are quite general, and typical cases are

$$\mathcal{L}u = \partial_t^k u - \Delta u - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(x) \partial_{x_j} u - c(x)u, \quad k = 1 \text{ or } k = 2 \quad (1.2)$$

with $b_j, c \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. We can similarly consider more general elliptic operators but here we omit.

Our formulation for the inverse problem requires only a single measurement of data of solution to an initial boundary value problem for (1.1). For our inverse problem, Bukhgeim and Klivanov [6] created a fundamental methodology which is based on Carleman estimates, and established the uniqueness for inverse problems. See also Klivanov [17], [18].

A Carleman estimate is an L^2 -weighted estimate for solutions to system (1.1), and is stated as follows: by choosing a weight function $\varphi = \varphi(x, t)$, there exist constants $C > 0$ and $s_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega \times I} s^3 |u|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \leq C \int_{\Omega \times I} |\mathcal{L}u|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt + C \int_{\partial(\Omega \times I)} |\nabla_{x,t} u|^2 e^{2s\varphi} d\Sigma \quad (1.3)$$

for all $s \geq s_0$. We note that the constant $C > 0$ should be independent of $s \geq s_0$. The choices of the weight function $\varphi(x, t)$ are essential for the applications, and in this paper we use two types of weight functions:

$$\varphi(x, t) = e^{\lambda(d(x) - \beta(t-t_0)^2)} \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\varphi(x, t) = \exp \left(\frac{e^{\lambda d(x)} - e^{2\lambda \|d\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}}}{t(T-t)} \right), \quad (1.5)$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a large constant, and d is a suitable function. Carleman estimates with the weight function (1.5) hold for parabolic and Schrödinger equations (Imanuvilov [12], Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [13], Baudouin and Puel [2]), but not for hyperbolic types of equations, while the ones with (1.4) more comprehensively hold.

Since [6], we have had many works on inverse problems on the basis of Carleman estimates. Among them, Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [13], [14], [15] are early works establishing the best possible Lipschitz stability over the whole domain Ω .

As monographs, we can refer to Beilina and Klibanov [4], Bellassoued and Yamamoto [5], Fu, Lü and Zhang [10], Klibanov and Timonov [19]. Moreover we list some of related articles on inverse problems by Carleman estimates. Since the researches have been developing widely, it is not easy to compose any comprehensive lists, and one can also consult the references therein.

Hyperbolic equations.

Beilina, Cristofol, Li and Yamamoto [3], Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [16].

Parabolic equations.

Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [13], Yamamoto and Zou [24] by Carleman estimates of type (1.5), and Yamamoto [23] as survey.

Schrödinger equations.

Baudouin and Mercado [1], Baudouin and Puel [2], Mercado, Osses and Rosier [22] by Carleman estimates of type (1.5), and Yuan and Yamamoto [26] by Carleman estimates of type (1.4).

First-order equations (transport equations).

Cannarsa, Floridia and Yamamoto [7], Cannarsa, Floridia, Gölgeleyen and Yamamoto [8], Gölgeleyen and Yamamoto [11].

For plate equations and integro-differential equations related to the viscoelasticity, see for example, Yuan and Yamamoto [25], Cavaterra, Lorenzi and Yamamoto [9].

In the existing works, whenever one applied Carleman estimates of type (1.4), one needed to introduce cut-off functions $\chi(t)$ or $\chi(x, t)$ in order that χu vanishes on the boundary of the domains in x and t where we do not know data of u . On the other hand, in applying Carleman estimates of type (1.5), we need not any cut-off.

The cut-off procedure makes the arguments for the inverse problems more complicated, because we have to apply Carleman estimates not directly to solution to (1.1), but to the functions multiplied by χ , and the structure of the original equations may be changed inconveniently.

In this article, we propose an argument without the cut-off procedure for proving the stability for the inverse problems on the basis of Carleman estimates of type (1.4). The key is that the weight function already takes smaller values on the boundary of a domain in (x, t) where data are not given, so that the weight function can well control such unknown data for proving the stability in the inverse problems, and so the cut-off is not necessary.

Here we investigate an inverse source problem only for second order differential operators \mathcal{L} of hyperbolic or parabolic types but our argument can work similarly to other evolution equation which admit suitable apriori estimates of Carleman type.

First we consider an inverse source problem for a hyperbolic equation.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t^2 u - \Delta u - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(x) \partial_{x_j} u - c(x)u = R(x, t)f(x), \quad x \in \Omega, 0 < t < T, \\ u(\cdot, 0) = \partial_t u(\cdot, 0) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega \times (0, T)} = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (1.6)$$

Here we assume $b_j, c \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.

For arbitrarily fixed $x_0 \notin \overline{\Omega}$, we set

$$\Gamma := \{x \in \partial\Omega; (x - x_0) \cdot \nu(x) \geq 0\}. \quad (1.7)$$

We can prove the following.

Theorem 1 (global Lipschitz stability for an inverse source problem for a hyperbolic equation).

We assume that there exists a constant $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$R \in H^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega)), \quad |R(x, 0)| \geq r_0, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega} \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$T > \left(\max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} |x - x_0|^2 - \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} |x - x_0|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.9)$$

Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|\partial_t \partial_\nu u\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, T))}$$

for each u satisfying (1.6) and the regularity condition

$$\partial_t u \in C([0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap C^2([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)). \quad (1.10)$$

We can relax the regularity assumption (1.10) on function u , but we omit details for simplicity. This type of stability over Ω was proved by e.g., Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [14] with a cut-off argument in t . The reverse inequality

$$\|\partial_t \partial_\nu u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega \times (0, T))} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

can be proved for any $T > 0$ by the multiplier method (e.g., [5] (Chapter 3), Komornik [20]).

Second we consider an inverse source problem for a parabolic equation:

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(x) \partial_{x_j} u - c(x)u = R(x, t)f(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad 0 < t < T. \quad (1.11)$$

Let $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$ be an arbitrarily fixed non-empty relatively open subset. We arbitrarily choose a subdomain $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ such that $\overline{\Omega_0} \subset \Omega \cup \Gamma$, $\partial\Omega_0 \cap \partial\Omega$ is a non-empty relatively open subset of $\partial\Omega$ and $\overline{\partial\Omega_0 \cap \partial\Omega} \subset \Gamma$. Let $0 < t_0 < T$ and let $I = (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$ such that $I \subset (0, T)$.

Then we have

Theorem 2 (local Hölder stability for an inverse source problem for a parabolic equation).

We assume that the function R satisfies

$$R \in H^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega)), \quad |R(x, t_0)| \geq r_0, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega} \quad (1.12)$$

for some constant $r_0 > 0$. Moreover a pair $(u, f) \in (H^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap H^1(0, T; H^2(\Omega))) \times L^2(\Omega)$ solve equation (1.11) and the function u satisfies an a priori bound:

$$\|u\|_{H^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{H^1(0, T; H^2(\Omega))} \leq M \quad (1.13)$$

with some constant $M > 0$. Then there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$ depending on M, Γ, t_0 such that

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega_0)} \leq C(\|\nabla_{x,t} \partial_t u\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, T))} + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, T))} + \|u(\cdot, t_0)\|_{H^2(\Omega)})^\theta.$$

We note that we have no boundary data on whole $\partial\Omega \times (0, T)$, but only $\Gamma \times (0, T)$. With the whole boundary condition on $\partial\Omega \times (0, T)$, we can prove the Lipschitz stability over Ω by Carleman estimate with type (1.5) (Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [13]). Moreover unlike (1.9) in Theorem 1, we need not any conditions on the observation time T .

The article is composed of five sections. In Sections 2, we show the key Carleman estimates for (1.6) and (1.11). Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 respectively. Section 5 gives concluding remarks.

2. TWO KEY CARLEMAN ESTIMATES

We set

$$Q_{(-T, T)} = \Omega \times (-T, T), \quad Q = \Omega \times (0, T).$$

We first consider the following hyperbolic equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 v(x, t) - \Delta v(x, t) - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(x) \partial_{x_j} v - c(x)v = F(x, t), & (x, t) \in Q_{(-T, T)}, \\ v(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (-T, T). \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

For arbitrarily fixed $x_0 \notin \overline{\Omega}$, $\lambda > 0$, $0 \leq t_0 < T$, and $0 < \beta < 1$, we set

$$\varphi(x, t) = e^{\lambda\psi(x, t)}, \quad \psi(x, t) = |x - x_0|^2 - \beta(t - t_0)^2, \quad (x, t) \in Q_{(-T, T)}. \quad (2.2)$$

Henceforth $C > 0$ denotes generic constants which are independent of parameter $s > 0$.

Lemma 1 (Carleman estimate for hyperbolic equation).

Let $\lambda > 0$ be sufficiently large. Then there exist constants $s_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_{(-T, T)}} (s|\nabla_{x, t} v|^2 + s^3|v|^2) e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \leq C \int_{Q_{(-T, T)}} |F|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt + C \int_{\Gamma \times (-T, T)} s|\partial_\nu v|^2 e^{2s\varphi} d\Sigma \\ & + C \int_{\Omega} (s|\nabla_{x, t} v(x, T)|^2 + s^3|v(x, T)|^2) e^{2s\varphi(x, T)} dx \\ & + C \int_{\Omega} (s|\nabla_{x, t} v(x, -T)|^2 + s^3|v(x, -T)|^2) e^{2s\varphi(x, 0)} dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $s > s_0$ and $v \in H^2(-T, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(-T, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ satisfying (2.1).

Lemma 1 is a classical Carleman estimate and we can prove similarly for example to Theorem 4.2 in [5] by keeping the values of u at $t = -T, T$.

Second we show a Carleman estimate for a parabolic equation. We introduce the weight function. First we construct some domain Ω_1 . For $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$, we choose a bounded domain Ω_1 with smooth boundary such that

$$\Omega \subsetneq \Omega_1, \quad \overline{\Gamma} = \overline{\partial\Omega \cap \Omega_1}, \quad \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma \subset \partial\Omega_1. \quad (2.3)$$

In particular, $\Omega_1 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ contains some non-empty open subset. We note that Ω_1 can be constructed as the interior of a union of $\overline{\Omega}$ and the closure of a non-empty domain $\widehat{\Omega}$ satisfying $\widehat{\Omega} \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega}$ and $\partial\widehat{\Omega} \cap \partial\Omega = \Gamma$.

We choose a domain ω such that $\overline{\omega} \subset \Omega_1 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$. Then, by [12], we can find $d \in C^2(\overline{\Omega_1})$ such that

$$d > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_1, \quad |\nabla d| > 0 \quad \text{on } \overline{\Omega_1 \setminus \omega}, \quad d = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_1. \quad (2.4)$$

In particular,

$$d > 0 \quad \text{on } \overline{\Omega_0}, \quad d = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma. \quad (2.5)$$

We recall that we choose a domain $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ satisfying $\overline{\partial\Omega_0 \cap \partial\Omega} \subset \Gamma$ and $\overline{\Omega_0} \subset \Omega \cup \Gamma$.

Then for arbitrarily fixed $t_0 \in (0, T)$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $0 \leq t_0 - \delta < t_0 + \delta \leq T$, we set

$$I = (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta), \quad Q_I = \Omega \times I.$$

We define

$$\widetilde{\psi}(x, t) = d(x) - \beta(t - t_0)^2, \quad \widetilde{\varphi}(x, t) = e^{\lambda\widetilde{\psi}(x, t)}, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times I.$$

Let $v \in H^1(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$ satisfy

$$\partial_t v - \Delta v - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(x) \partial_{x_j} v - c(x)v = F(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, 0 < t < T. \quad (2.6)$$

Then

Lemma 2 (Carleman estimate for parabolic equation).

Let $\lambda > 0$ be chosen sufficiently large and let $\beta > 0$ be arbitrarily fixed. Then there exist constants $s_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_I} \left\{ \frac{1}{s} \left(|\partial_t v|^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n |\partial_{x_j x_i}^2 v|^2 \right) + s |\nabla v|^2 + s^3 |v|^2 \right\} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} dx dt \\ & \leq C \int_{Q_I} |F|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} dx dt + C s^3 \int_{\partial\Omega \times I} (|\nabla_{x,t} v|^2 + |v|^2) e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} d\Sigma \\ & + C s^3 \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v(x, t_0 + \delta)|^2 + |v(x, t_0 + \delta)|^2 + |\nabla v(x, t_0 - \delta)|^2 + |v(x, t_0 - \delta)|^2) e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0 + \delta)} dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \geq s_0$.

This is a classical Carleman estimate and we can prove similarly for example to Lemma 7.1 in [5] or Theorem 3.2 in [23] by keeping all the boundary integrals of $v(\cdot, t_0 \pm \delta)$ and v on $\partial\Omega \times I$ which are produced in the proof.

The Carleman estimate Lemma 1 needs extra data $u(\cdot, -T)$ and $u(\cdot, T)$ of the solution, while Lemma 2 requires such data not only at the end points of the time interval but also on $\partial\Omega \times I$. In applying them to inverse problems, we can control these terms by the weight $e^{2s\varphi}$ or $e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}}$ because the functions φ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ take smaller values on such subboundaries. This is the essence of our argument without the cut-off.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

First Step.

By (1.6) and (1.10) and $R \in H^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega)) \subset C([0, T]; L^\infty(\Omega))$ by (1.8), setting $y = \partial_t u$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 y - \Delta y - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(x) \partial_{x_j} y - c(x)y = \partial_t R(x, t) f(x) \in L^2(Q), & x \in \Omega, 0 < t < T, \\ y(\cdot, 0) = 0, \quad \partial_t y(\cdot, 0) = R(\cdot, 0) f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ y|_{\partial\Omega \times (0, T)} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

For the application of the Carleman estimate, we extend y to $t \in (-T, T)$ by the odd extension: $y(\cdot, -t) = -y(\cdot, t)$ for $0 < t < T$, and we make the odd extension of $(\partial_t R)(\cdot, t) f$

to $(-T, 0)$. Then, by $y(\cdot, 0) = 0$ in Ω , we can directly verify that $y \in H^2(-T, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(-T, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ and

$$\partial_t^2 y - \Delta y - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(x) \partial_{x_j} y - c(x)y = \partial_t R(x, t)f(x) \quad \text{in } Q_{(-T, T)}.$$

We set

$$d_0 := \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |x - x_0|, \quad d_1 := \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |x - x_0|. \quad (3.2)$$

We define φ in $Q_{(-T, T)}$ by (2.2) with $t_0 = 0$. Since (1.9) means $T > \sqrt{d_1^2 - d_0^2}$, we can choose $\beta \in (0, 1)$ sufficiently close to 1, such that

$$T > \frac{\sqrt{d_1^2 - d_0^2}}{\sqrt{\beta}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore we can apply Lemma 1 to y in $Q_{(-T, T)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_{(-T, T)}} (s|\nabla_{x,t} y|^2 + s^3|y|^2) e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \\ & \leq C \int_{Q_{(-T, T)}} |\partial_t R|^2 |f|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt + C e^{Cs} \int_{\Gamma \times (-T, T)} |\partial_\nu y|^2 d\Sigma \\ & \quad + C s^3 \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla_{x,t} y(x, T)|^2 + |y(x, T)|^2 + |\nabla_{x,t} y(x, -T)|^2 + |y(x, -T)|^2) e^{2s\varphi(x, T)} dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $s > s_0$.

We recall that $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$. Noting that $y(\cdot, -t) = -y(\cdot, t)$ for $-T < t < T$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q (s|\nabla_{x,t} y|^2 + s^3|y|^2) e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \quad (3.4) \\ & \leq C J + C e^{Cs} \int_{\Gamma \times (0, T)} |\partial_t \partial_\nu u|^2 d\Sigma + C s^3 \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla_{x,t} y(x, T)|^2 + |y(x, T)|^2) e^{2s\varphi(x, T)} dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \geq s_0$. Henceforth we set

$$J := \int_Q |\partial_t R|^2 |f|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt.$$

Second Step.

We prove that there exist $s_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\partial_t y(x, 0)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, 0)} dx \quad (3.5) \\ & \leq C J + C \int_Q (s|\nabla_{x,t} y|^2 + |y|^2) e^{2s\varphi} dx dt + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_{x,t} y(x, T)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, T)} dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \geq 0$.

Proof of (3.5).

By direct calculations we can prove as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |\partial_t y(x, 0)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, 0)} dx &= - \int_Q \partial_t (|e^{s\varphi} \partial_t y|^2) dx dt + \int_{\Omega} |\partial_t y(x, T)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, T)} dx \quad (3.6) \\
&= - \int_Q (2s(\partial_t \varphi) |\partial_t y|^2 + 2(\partial_t^2 y) \partial_t y) e^{2s\varphi} dx dt + \int_{\Omega} |\partial_t y(x, T)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, T)} dx \\
&= - 2 \int_Q \left\{ s(\partial_t \varphi) |\partial_t y|^2 + \partial_t y \left(\Delta y + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \partial_{x_j} y + cy + (\partial_t R) f \right) \right\} e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} |\partial_t y(x, T)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, T)} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By $y = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times (0, T)$ and $y(\cdot, 0) = 0$ in Ω , integrating by parts, we estimate the following integral on the right-hand side in terms of (3.6):

$$\begin{aligned}
- 2 \int_Q \partial_t y \Delta y e^{2s\varphi} dx dt &= 2 \int_Q (\nabla(\partial_t y) \cdot \nabla y + 2s(\partial_t y) \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla y) e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \\
&= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y(x, T)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, T)} dx - 2s \int_Q \partial_t \varphi |\nabla y|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt + 4 \int_Q s(\partial_t y) \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla y e^{2s\varphi} dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|(\partial_t y) \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla y| \leq C(|\partial_t y|^2 + |\nabla y|^2) \quad \text{in } Q$$

and

$$|\partial_t y| \left| \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \partial_{x_j} y + cy + (\partial_t R) f \right| \leq C(|\nabla_{x,t} y|^2 + |y|^2 + |\partial_t R|^2 |f|^2) \quad \text{in } Q,$$

with (3.6) we can complete the proof of (3.5).

Third Step.

We will complete the proof of Theorem 1 by (3.4) and (3.5). The second equation in (3.1) implies

$$\partial_t y(\cdot, 0) = R(\cdot, 0) f \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Therefore, by noting the assumption $|R(x, 0)| \neq 0$ for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ by (1.8), estimate (3.5) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, 0)} dx \\
&\leq CJ + C \int_Q (s|\nabla_{x,t} y|^2 + |y|^2) e^{2s\varphi} dx dt + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_{x,t} y(x, T)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, T)} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.4) to the second term on the right-hand side to obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, 0)} dx \leq CJ + C e^{Cs} \|\partial_t \partial_{\nu} u\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, T))}^2 \quad (3.7)$$

$$+Cs^3 \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla_{x,t}y(x, T)|^2 + |y(x, T)|^2)e^{2s\varphi(x, T)} dx$$

for sufficiently large $s > 0$.

On the other hand, we have

$$J = o(1) \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, 0)} dx \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.8)$$

Indeed

$$e^{-2s(\varphi(x, 0) - \varphi(x, t))} = e^{-2se^{\lambda|x-x_0|^2}(1-e^{-\lambda\beta t^2})} \leq e^{-2s(1-e^{-\lambda\beta t^2})},$$

by $e^{\lambda|x-x_0|^2} \geq 1$ for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} J &\leq C \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, 0)} \left(\int_0^T \|\partial_t R(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 e^{-2s(\varphi(x, 0) - \varphi(x, t))} dt \right) dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, 0)} \left(\int_0^T \|\partial_t R(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 e^{-2s(1-e^{-\lambda\beta t^2})} dt \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $e^{-2s(1-e^{-\lambda\beta t^2})} \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed $0 < t \leq T$ and $\|\partial_t R(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \in L^1(0, T)$, we apply the Lebesgue convergence theorem, so that we can verify (3.8).

Therefore we absorb the first term on the right-hand side of (3.7) into the left-hand side:

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, 0)} dx \quad (3.9)$$

$$\leq Cs^3 \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla_{x,t}y(x, T)|^2 + |y(x, T)|^2)e^{2s\varphi(x, T)} dx + Ce^{Cs} \|\partial_t \partial_\nu u\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, T))}^2$$

for sufficiently large s . Here we apply the classical a priori estimate (e.g., Lions and Magenes [21]) to (3.1), and we see

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla_{x,t}y(x, T)|^2 dx \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Moreover the Poincaré inequality yields

$$\int_{\Omega} |y(x, T)|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y(x, T)|^2 dx.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla_{x,t}y(x, T)|^2 + |y(x, T)|^2)e^{2s\varphi(x, T)} dx \\ &\leq Ce^{2se^{\lambda(d_1^2 - \beta T^2)}} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla_{x,t}y(x, T)|^2 + |y(x, T)|^2) dx \leq Ce^{2se^{\lambda(d_1^2 - \beta T^2)}} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, 0)} dx = \int_{\Omega} e^{2se^{\lambda|x-x_0|^2}} |f(x)|^2 dx \geq e^{2se^{\lambda d_0^2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Consequently (3.9) yields

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq Cs^3 e^{-c_0 s} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + Ce^{Cs} \|\partial_t \partial_\nu u\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, T))}^2.$$

We set $c_0 = 2 \left(e^{\lambda d_0^2} - e^{\lambda d_1^2 - \lambda \beta T^2} \right)$. The inequality (3.3) yields $c_0 > 0$. Finally, by noting $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^3 e^{-c_0 s} = 0$, we can absorb the first term on the right-hand side by taking sufficiently large s . This proves Theorem 1. ■

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

First Step.

We recall that $u \in H^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap H^1(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$ satisfies (1.11). Setting $z = \partial_t u$, we have

$$\partial_t z - \Delta z - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(x) \partial_{x_j} z - c(x)z = \partial_t R(x, t) f(x), \quad (x, t) \in Q_I \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$z(x, t_0) = \Delta u(x, t_0) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \partial_{x_j} u(x, t_0) + cu(x, t_0) + R(x, t_0) f(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \quad (4.2)$$

We apply Lemma 2 to z , and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_I} \left(\frac{1}{s} |\partial_t z|^2 + s^3 |z|^2 \right) e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} dx dt \quad (4.3) \\ & \leq C\tilde{J} + Cs^3 \int_{\partial\Omega \times I} (|\nabla_{x,t} z|^2 + |z|^2) e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} d\Sigma \\ & + Cs^3 \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla z(x, t_0 + \delta)|^2 + |z(x, t_0 + \delta)|^2 + |\nabla z(x, t_0 - \delta)|^2 + |z(x, t_0 - \delta)|^2) e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0 + \delta)} dx \\ & =: \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Here we set

$$\tilde{J} := \int_{Q_I} |\partial_t R|^2 |f(x)|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} dx dt.$$

By (1.13) and the trace theorem, dividing the integral in (4.3) over $\partial\Omega \times I$ into $\Gamma \times I$ and $(\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma) \times I$, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{J}_2| + |\mathcal{J}_3| \quad (4.4) \\ & \leq Ce^{Cs} \int_{\Gamma \times I} (|\nabla_{x,t} \partial_t u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2) d\Sigma + Cs^3 M^2 \exp \left(2s \max_{x \in \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma, t \in \bar{I}} \tilde{\varphi}(x, t) \right) \\ & + Cs^3 M^2 \exp \left(2s \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0 - \delta) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\min_{x \in \overline{\Omega_0}} d(x) > 0$ by (2.5), for $\delta > 0$, we can choose sufficiently large $\beta > 0$ such that $\max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} d(x) - \beta\delta^2 < 0$, and

$$\sigma_1 := \max\left\{ \max_{x \in \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma, t \in \overline{I}} \tilde{\varphi}(x, t), \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} \tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0 - \delta) \right\} < \sigma_0 := \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega_0}} \tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0). \quad (4.5)$$

Indeed (4.5) is equivalent to

$$\max\left\{ \max_{x \in \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma} d(x), \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} d(x) - \beta\delta^2 \right\} < \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega_0}} d(x).$$

Since $d(x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma$ by (2.5), we can verify (4.5).

Hence (4.4) yields

$$|\mathcal{J}_2| + |\mathcal{J}_3| \leq C e^{Cs} D^2 + C s^3 M^2 e^{2s\sigma_1},$$

where we set

$$D = \|\nabla_{x,t} \partial_t u\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times I)} + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times I)}.$$

Consequently (4.3) implies

$$\int_{Q_I} \left(\frac{1}{s} |\partial_t z|^2 + s^3 |z|^2 \right) e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} dx dt \leq C \tilde{J} + C s^3 M^2 e^{2s\sigma_1} + C e^{Cs} D^2 \quad (4.6)$$

for all $s \geq s_0$.

Second Step.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |z(x, t_0)|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0)} dx \\ &= \int_{t_0 - \delta}^{t_0} \left(\partial_t \int_{\Omega} |z(x, t)|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t)} dx \right) dt + \int_{\Omega} |z(x, t_0 - \delta)|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0 - \delta)} dx \\ &= \int_{t_0 - \delta}^{t_0} \int_{\Omega} (2z \partial_t z + 2s(\partial_t \tilde{\varphi}) |z|^2) e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t)} dx dt + \int_{\Omega} |z(x, t_0 - \delta)|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0 - \delta)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, applying (4.5) to the second term on the right-hand side, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |z(x, t_0)|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0)} dx \leq C \int_{Q_I} (|z| |\partial_t z| + s |z|^2) e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t)} dx dt + C M^2 e^{2s\sigma_1}. \quad (4.7)$$

For the final term, we used (1.13). Since

$$|z| |\partial_t z| = s |z| \frac{1}{s} |\partial_t z| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(s^2 |z|^2 + \frac{1}{s^2} |\partial_t z|^2 \right),$$

applying (4.6) and (4.7), we reach

$$\int_{\Omega} |z(x, t_0)|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0)} dx \leq \frac{C}{s} \tilde{J} + C s^2 M^2 e^{2s\sigma_1} + C e^{Cs} D^2 \quad (4.8)$$

for all $s \geq s_0$. By (4.2) and the second condition in (1.12), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |z(x, t_0)|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0)} dx \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega} |R(x, t_0)f(x)|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0)} dx - C \int_{\Omega} \left| \Delta u(x, t_0) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \partial_{x_j} u(x, t_0) + cu(x, t_0) \right|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0)} dx \\ & \geq r_0^2 \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0)} dx - Ce^{Cs} \|u(\cdot, t_0)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (4.8) yields

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0)} dx \leq C\tilde{J} + Cs^2 M^2 e^{2s\sigma_1} + Ce^{Cs} \tilde{D}^2, \quad (4.9)$$

where we set $\tilde{D} = D + \|u(\cdot, t_0)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}$.

Since

$$\tilde{J} \leq \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0)} \left(\int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \|\partial_t R(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 e^{-2s(\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0) - \tilde{\varphi}(x, t))} dt \right) dx,$$

similarly to (3.8), we can verify

$$\tilde{J} = o(1) \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0)} dx \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore (4.9) implies

$$(1 - o(1)) \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0)} dx \leq Cs^2 M^2 e^{2s\sigma_1} + Ce^{Cs} \tilde{D}^2 \quad \forall s \geq s_0.$$

Shrinking the integral domain Ω to Ω_0 and using $\sigma_0 = \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega_0}} \tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0)$, we see

$$\int_{\Omega_0} |f(x)|^2 dx e^{2s\sigma_0} \leq Cs^2 M^2 e^{2s\sigma_1} + Ce^{Cs} \tilde{D}^2,$$

that is,

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega_0)}^2 \leq Cs^2 M^2 e^{-2s\mu} + Ce^{Cs} \tilde{D}^2 \quad \forall s \geq s_0,$$

where we have

$$\mu := \sigma_0 - \sigma_1 > 0$$

by (4.5). Since $\sup_{s>0} s^2 e^{-s\mu} < \infty$, replacing $C > 0$ by Ce^{Cs_0} and changing s into $s + s_0$ with $s \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq CM^2 e^{-s\mu} + Ce^{Cs} \tilde{D}^2 \quad \forall s \geq 0. \quad (4.10)$$

We minimize the right-hand side by choosing an appropriate value of parameter $s \geq 0$.

Case 1: $M^2 > \tilde{D}^2$. Then we can solve

$$M^2 e^{-s\mu} = e^{Cs} \tilde{D}^2, \quad \text{that is, } s = \frac{2}{C + \mu} \log \frac{M}{\tilde{D}} > 0,$$

so that

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega_0)}^2 \leq CM^{2(1-\theta)} \tilde{D}^{2\theta},$$

where $\theta = \frac{\mu}{C+\mu} \in (0, 1)$.

Case 2: $M^2 \leq \tilde{D}^2$. Then $\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega_0)}^2 \leq C(1 + e^{Cs}) \tilde{D}^2$. By the trace theorem and the Sobolev embedding, we readily see that $\tilde{D} \leq CM$, and $\tilde{D} = \tilde{D}^\theta \tilde{D}^{1-\theta} \leq (CM)^{1-\theta} \tilde{D}^\theta$.

Therefore, in both Cases 1 and 2, we can obtain

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega_0)}^2 \leq C(M) \tilde{D}^{2\theta}.$$

Thus the proof of Theorem 2 is completed. ■

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

5-1. The method by Carleman estimates is widely applicable to other problems, and as such a problem, we establish

Proposition 1 (observability inequality):

For arbitrarily fixed $x_0 \notin \overline{\Omega}$, we assume (1.7) and

$$T > 2 \sqrt{\max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} |x - x_0|^2 - \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} |x - x_0|^2}. \quad (5.1)$$

Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|\partial_t u(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|\partial_\nu u\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, T))} \quad (5.2)$$

for each u satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u = \Delta u + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(x) \partial_{x_j} u + c(x)u & \text{in } Q, \\ u(\cdot, 0) \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \partial_t u(\cdot, 0) \in L^2(\Omega), \\ u|_{\partial\Omega \times (0, T)} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

where $b_j, c \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Inequality (5.2) is called an observability inequality, and there are many related works in the control theory (e.g., [20]). The proof by Carleman estimates is found for example, in Chapter 4 in [5], [10], pp.58-65 in [19]. Our proposed argument in this article can simplify the existing proofs, as one sees below.

By the finiteness of the propagation speed for the hyperbolic equation, the observation time T cannot be arbitrary for estimate (5.2). The right-hand side of (5.1) gives a critical value of T , which can be described only by a choice of x_0 and Ω . Other papers give different critical values and we can compare for example, formula (4.28) (p.96) in [10] and formula (14) (p.36) in [20], which are worse than ours (5.1) for the case of $\partial_t^2 - \Delta$ as the principal

term of the hyperbolic equation. In (5.1), we do not consider the case $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$, but we can similarly discuss also for the case of $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$. Here we omit the discussions for showing the essence of our method.

Proof.

We recall $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$ and (3.2): $d_0 = \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} |x - x_0|$ and $d_1 = \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} |x - x_0|$, and we set

$$\kappa_1 = \exp\left(\lambda\left(d_1^2 - \frac{T^2}{4}\beta\right)\right), \quad \kappa_0 = \exp(\lambda d_0^2).$$

We replace the time interval $(-T, T)$ by $(0, T)$ and we apply Lemma 1 in $Q := \Omega \times (0, T)$. We choose $t_0 = \frac{T}{2}$, and (5.1) allows us to take $0 < \beta < 1$ in (2.2) such that

$$T > 2\frac{\sqrt{d_1^2 - d_0^2}}{\sqrt{\beta}}. \quad (5.4)$$

Then $d_1^2 - \frac{T}{4}\beta^2 < d_0^2$, that is, $\kappa_0 > \kappa_1$.

Now we employ Lemma 1 to (5.3):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q s |\nabla_{x,t} u|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \leq C e^{Cs} \|\partial_\nu u\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, T))}^2 \\ & + C \int_\Omega (s |\nabla_{x,t} u(x, 0)|^2 + s^3 |u(x, 0)|^2 + s |\nabla_{x,t} u(x, T)|^2 + s^3 |u(x, T)|^2) e^{2s\varphi(x, 0)} dx \end{aligned}$$

for all large positive s . We set $E(t) = \int_\Omega |\nabla_{x,t} u(x, t)|^2 dx$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Then the classical energy estimate (e.g., [21]) and the Poincaré inequality yield

$$\int_\Omega (s |\nabla_{x,t} u(x, 0)|^2 + s^3 |u(x, 0)|^2 + s |\nabla_{x,t} u(x, T)|^2 + s^3 |u(x, T)|^2) e^{2s\varphi(x, 0)} dx \leq C s^3 E(0) e^{2s\kappa_1}.$$

Hence

$$\int_Q s |\nabla_{x,t} u|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \leq C e^{Cs} \|\partial_\nu u\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, T))}^2 + C s^3 e^{2s\kappa_1} E(0). \quad (5.5)$$

By (5.4) we further find small $\delta > 0$ such that $T > 2\frac{\sqrt{d_1^2 - d_0^2 + \beta\delta^2}}{\sqrt{\beta}}$. Then we can directly verify

$$\kappa_2 := e^{\lambda(d_0^2 - \beta\delta^2)} > \kappa_1. \quad (5.6)$$

Hence, since $\varphi \geq \kappa_2$ on $\overline{\Omega} \times [\frac{T}{2} - \delta, \frac{T}{2} + \delta]$, we obtain

$$\int_Q s |\nabla_{x,t} u|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \geq \int_{\frac{T}{2}-\delta}^{\frac{T}{2}+\delta} \int_\Omega s |\nabla_{x,t} u|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \geq s e^{2s\kappa_2} \int_{\frac{T}{2}-\delta}^{\frac{T}{2}+\delta} E(t) dt.$$

Again with the classical energy estimate, this yields

$$\int_Q s |\nabla_{x,t} u|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \geq 2C s e^{2s\kappa_2} \delta E(0).$$

Therefore (5.5) yields

$$2Cs e^{2s\kappa_2} \delta E(0) \leq C e^{Cs} \|\partial_\nu u\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, T))}^2 + Cs^3 e^{2\kappa_1} E(0),$$

that is,

$$2Cs e^{2s\kappa_2} \delta \left(1 - \frac{C_1}{\delta} s^2 e^{-2s(\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)} \right) E(0) \leq C e^{Cs} \|\partial_\nu u\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, T))}^2.$$

By (5.6), choosing $s > 0$ large, we complete the proof of the observability inequality.

5.2. Our method is applicable to a Cauchy problem for a parabolic equation.

Proposition 2.

Let $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$ and $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ be given as in Theorem 2. We assume that $u \in H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$ satisfy

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(x) \partial_{x_j} u + c(x)u \quad \text{in } Q, \quad (5.7)$$

with $b_j, c \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and

$$\|u\|_{H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega))} \leq M \quad (5.8)$$

with some constant $M > 0$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, T)$ be arbitrarily given. Then there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\varepsilon, T-\varepsilon; L^2(\Omega_0))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\varepsilon, T-\varepsilon; H^2(\Omega_0))} \leq C (\|\nabla_{x,t} u\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, T))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, T))})^\theta.$$

This is a conditional stability estimate for the Cauchy problem for a parabolic equation (5.7) and see e.g., Theorem 5.1 in [23]. Our proof is much simpler.

Proof.

For given $t_0 > 0$ and $\tilde{\delta} > 0$ satisfying $0 < t_0 - \tilde{\delta} < t_0 + \tilde{\delta} < T$. We apply the Carleman estimate Lemma 2 in $Q_{(t_0 - \tilde{\delta}, t_0 + \tilde{\delta})} := \Omega \times (t_0 - \tilde{\delta}, t_0 + \tilde{\delta})$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_{(t_0 - \tilde{\delta}, t_0 + \tilde{\delta})}} \left\{ \frac{1}{s} \left(|\partial_t u|^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n |\partial_{x_i x_j}^2 u|^2 \right) + s |\nabla u|^2 + s^3 |u|^2 \right\} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} dx dt \quad (5.9) \\ & \leq Cs^3 \int_{\partial\Omega \times (t_0 - \tilde{\delta}, t_0 + \tilde{\delta})} (|\nabla_{x,t} u|^2 + |u|^2) e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} d\Sigma \\ & + Cs^3 \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u(x, t_0 - \tilde{\delta})|^2 + |u(x, t_0 - \tilde{\delta})|^2 + |\nabla u(x, t_0 + \tilde{\delta})|^2 + |u(x, t_0 + \tilde{\delta})|^2) e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0 + \tilde{\delta})} dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \geq s_0$. Here we note that the constants $C > 0$ and $s_0 > 0$ are independent of t_0 because the Carleman estimate is invariant by the translation in time provided that the translated time interval is in $(0, T)$.

We set

$$\tilde{d}_0 = \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega_0}} d(x), \quad \tilde{d}_1 = \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} d(x),$$

and choose $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that $0 < \tilde{\varepsilon} < \tilde{\delta}$. Then we have

$$\max_{x \in \overline{\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma}, t_0 - \tilde{\delta} \leq t \leq t_0 + \tilde{\delta}} \tilde{\varphi}(x, t) \leq 1, \quad \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} \tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0 - \tilde{\delta}) = \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} \tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0 + \tilde{\delta}) = e^{\lambda(\tilde{d}_1^2 - \beta\tilde{\delta}^2)}$$

and

$$\min_{x \in \overline{\Omega_0}, t_0 - \tilde{\varepsilon} \leq t \leq t_0 + \tilde{\varepsilon}} \tilde{\varphi}(x, t) \geq e^{\lambda(\tilde{d}_0^2 - \beta\tilde{\varepsilon}^2)}.$$

Therefore, shrinking the integral domain $Q_{(t_0 - \tilde{\delta}, t_0 + \tilde{\delta})}$ to $\Omega_0 \times (t_0 - \tilde{\varepsilon}, t_0 + \tilde{\varepsilon})$ in the left-hand side of (5.9), by (5.8) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{s} \exp(2se^{\lambda(\tilde{d}_0^2 - \beta\tilde{\varepsilon}^2)}) (\|u\|_{H^1(t_0 - \tilde{\varepsilon}, t_0 + \tilde{\varepsilon}; L^2(\Omega_0))}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(t_0 - \tilde{\varepsilon}, t_0 + \tilde{\varepsilon}; H^2(\Omega_0))}^2) \quad (5.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq Cs^3 \left(\int_{\Gamma \times (t_0 - \tilde{\delta}, t_0 + \tilde{\delta})} (|\nabla_{x,t} u|^2 + |u|^2) e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} d\Sigma + \int_{(\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma) \times (t_0 - \tilde{\delta}, t_0 + \tilde{\delta})} (|\nabla_{x,t} u|^2 + |u|^2) e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} d\Sigma \right) \\ &+ Cs^3 \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u(x, t_0 - \tilde{\delta})|^2 + |u(x, t_0 - \tilde{\delta})|^2 + |\nabla u(x, t_0 + \tilde{\delta})|^2 + |u(x, t_0 + \tilde{\delta})|^2) e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}(x, t_0 + \tilde{\delta})} dx \\ &\leq Cs^3 e^{Cs} D^2 + Cs^3 e^{2s} M^2 + Cs^3 M^2 \exp(2se^{\lambda(\tilde{d}_1^2 - \beta\tilde{\delta}^2)}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \geq s_0$. Here we set $D = \|\nabla_{x,t} u\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, T))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Gamma \times (0, T))}$.

Now for given $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$, we choose $\beta > 0$ and $\tilde{\delta} > 0$. For $t_0 \in (\tilde{\delta}, T - \tilde{\delta})$, we note $(t_0 - \tilde{\delta}, t_0 + \tilde{\delta}) \subset (0, T)$. First choose large $N > 1$ such that

$$N - 1 > \frac{\tilde{d}_1^2 - \tilde{d}_0^2}{\tilde{d}_0^2},$$

and set $\tilde{\delta} = N\tilde{\varepsilon}$. Then, noting that $N^2 - 1 > N - 1$, we can prove

$$\frac{\tilde{d}_1^2 - \tilde{d}_0^2}{\tilde{\delta}^2 - \tilde{\varepsilon}^2} < \frac{\tilde{d}_0^2}{\tilde{\varepsilon}^2}.$$

Therefore we can choose $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\tilde{d}_1^2 - \tilde{d}_0^2}{\tilde{\delta}^2 - \tilde{\varepsilon}^2} < \beta < \frac{\tilde{d}_0^2}{\tilde{\varepsilon}^2}.$$

With these chosen $\beta > 0$ and $\tilde{\delta} > 0$, we can directly verify

$$\mu_1 := e^{\lambda(\tilde{d}_0^2 - \beta\tilde{\varepsilon}^2)} > \mu_2 := \max\{1, e^{\lambda(\tilde{d}_1^2 - \beta\tilde{\delta}^2)}\}.$$

Hence (5.10) yields

$$\|u\|_{H^1(t_0-\tilde{\varepsilon}, t_0+\tilde{\varepsilon}; L^2(\Omega_0))} + \|u\|_{L^2(t_0-\tilde{\varepsilon}, t_0+\tilde{\varepsilon}; H^2(\Omega_0))} \leq Cs^4 M^2 e^{-2s\mu_0} + Cs^4 e^{Cs} D^2$$

for all $s \geq s_0$. Here we note

$$\mu_0 := \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0.$$

Hence, arguing similarly to after (4.10), we obtain

$$\|u\|_{H^1(t_0-\tilde{\varepsilon}, t_0+\tilde{\varepsilon}; L^2(\Omega_0))} + \|u\|_{L^2(t_0-\tilde{\varepsilon}, t_0+\tilde{\varepsilon}; H^2(\Omega_0))} \leq C(M)D^\theta,$$

where the constants $C(M)$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$ are dependent on $M, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \tilde{\delta} > 0$, but independent of t_0 . Varying t_0 over $(\tilde{\delta}, T - \tilde{\delta})$, we have

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\tilde{\delta}-\tilde{\varepsilon}, T-\tilde{\delta}+\tilde{\varepsilon}; L^2(\Omega_0))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\tilde{\delta}-\tilde{\varepsilon}, T-\tilde{\delta}+\tilde{\varepsilon}; H^2(\Omega_0))} \leq C(M)D^\theta.$$

For given $\varepsilon > 0$ in the statement of the proposition, we choose $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon}{N-1}$, so that $\tilde{\delta} - \tilde{\varepsilon} = (N-1)\tilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon$ and $T - \tilde{\delta} + \varepsilon = T - \varepsilon$, we can complete the proof of Proposition 2.

5-3. Our argument proposed in this article works for similar inverse problems for various types of partial differential equations such as plate equations, Schrödinger equation, integro-differential equations, Lamé equations, equations for fluid dynamics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author thanks the Leading Graduate Course for Frontiers of Mathematical Sciences and Physics (FMSP, The University of Tokyo) and was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) 15H05740 and Grant-in-Aid for Research Activity Start-up 19K23400 of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS 1312900 and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) 15H05740 of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The third author was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) 15H05740 of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and by The National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 11771270, 91730303). This work was supported by A3 Foresight Program “Modeling and Computation of Applied Inverse Problems” of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and prepared with the support of the “RUDN University Program 5-100”.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Baudouin and A. Mercado, *An inverse problem for Schrödinger equations with discontinuous main coefficient*, Appl. Anal. **87** (2008) 1145-1165.
- [2] L. Baudouin and J.-P. Puel, *Uniqueness and stability in an inverse problem for the Schrödinger equation*, Inverse Problems **18** (2002) 1537-1554.

- [3] L. Beilina, M. Cristofol, S. Li and M. Yamamoto, *Lipschitz stability for an inverse hyperbolic problem of determining two coefficients by a finite number of observations*, Inverse Problems **34** (2018) 015001.
- [4] L. Beilina and M.V. Klibanov, *Approximate Global Convergence and Adaptivity for Coefficient Inverse Problems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2012.
- [5] M. Bellassoued and M. Yamamoto, *Carleman Estimates and Applications to Inverse Problems for Hyperbolic Systems*, Springer-Japan, Tokyo, 2017.
- [6] A.L. Bukhgeim and M.V.Klibanov, *Global uniqueness of class of multidimensional inverse problems*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **24** (1981) 244-247.
- [7] P. Cannarsa, G. Floridia, M. Yamamoto, *Observability inequalities for transport equations through Carleman estimates*, Springer INdAM series, Vol. 32 (2019) doi:10.1007/978-3-030-17949-6, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.05005>
- [8] P. Cannarsa, G. Floridia, F. Gölgeleyen and M. Yamamoto, *Inverse coefficient problems for a transport equation by local Carleman estimate*, to appear in Inverse Problems (2019).
- [9] C. Cavaterra, A. Lorenzi and M. Yamamoto, *A stability result via Carleman estimates for an inverse source problem related to a hyperbolic integro-differential equation*, Comput. Appl. Math. **25** (2006) 229-250.
- [10] X. Fu, Q. Lü and X. Zhang, *Carleman Estimates for Second Order Partial Differential Operators and Applications*, Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 2019.
- [11] F. Gölgeleyen and M. Yamamoto, *Stability for some inverse problems for transport equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **48** (2016) 2319-2344.
- [12] O. Imanuvilov, *Controllability of parabolic equations*, Math. Sb. **186** (1995) 879-900.
- [13] O. Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, *Lipschitz stability in inverse parabolic problems by the Carleman estimate*, Inverse Problems **14** (1998) 1229-1245.
- [14] O. Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, *Global Lipschitz stability in an inverse hyperbolic problem by interior observations*, Inverse Problems **17** (2001) 717-728.
- [15] O. Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, *Global uniqueness and stability in determining coefficients of wave equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **26** (2001) 1409-1425.
- [16] O. Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, *Determination of a coefficient in an acoustic equation with a single measurement*, Inverse Problems **19** (2003) 157-171.
- [17] M.V. Klibanov, *Inverse problems and Carleman estimates*, Inverse Problems **8** (1992) 575-596.
- [18] M.V. Klibanov, *Carleman estimates for global uniqueness, stability and numerical methods for coefficient inverse problems*, J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl. **21** (2013) 477-560.
- [19] M.V. Klibanov and A. Timonov, *Carleman Estimates for Coefficient Inverse Problems and Numerical Applications*, VSP, Utrecht, 2004.
- [20] V. Komornik, *Exact Controllability and Stabilization the Multiplier Method*, Wiley, Chichester, 1994.

- [21] J.L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Non-homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications*, Vols. I and II, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [22] A. Mercado, A. Osses and L. Rosier, *Inverse problems for the Schrödinger equation via Carleman inequalities with degenerate weights*, Inverse Problems **24** (2008) 015017.
- [23] M. Yamamoto, *Carleman estimates for parabolic equations and applications*, Inverse Problems **25** (2009) 123013.
- [24] M. Yamamoto and J. Zou, *Simultaneous reconstruction of the initial temperature and heat radiative coefficient*, Inverse Problems **17** (2001) 1181-1202.
- [25] G. Yuan and M. Yamamoto, *Lipschitz stability in inverse problems for a Kirchhoff plate equation*, Asymptot. Anal. **53** (2007) 29-60.
- [26] G. Yuan and M. Yamamoto, *Carleman estimates for the Schrödinger equation and applications to an inverse problem and an observability inequality*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. **B 31** (2010) 555-578.