

# Existence of Schrödinger Evolution with Absorbing Boundary Condition

Stefan Teufel<sup>\*†</sup> and Roderich Tumulka<sup>\*‡</sup>

July 29, 2022

## Abstract

Consider a non-relativistic quantum particle with wave function inside a region  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , and suppose that detectors are placed along the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . The question how to compute the probability distribution of the time at which the detector surface registers the particle boils down to finding a reasonable mathematical definition of an ideal detecting surface; a particularly convincing definition, called the *absorbing boundary rule*, involves a time evolution for the particle's wave function  $\psi$  expressed by a Schrödinger equation in  $\Omega$  together with an “absorbing” boundary condition on  $\partial\Omega$  first considered by Werner in 1987, viz.,  $\partial\psi/\partial n = i\kappa\psi$  with  $\kappa > 0$  and  $\partial/\partial n$  the normal derivative. We provide here a discussion of the rigorous mathematical foundation of this rule. First, for the viability of the rule it plays a crucial role that these two equations together uniquely define the time evolution of  $\psi$ ; we point out here how the Hille-Yosida theorem implies that the time evolution is well defined and given by a contraction semigroup. Second, we show that the collapse required for the  $N$ -particle version of the problem is well defined. Finally, we also prove analogous results for the Dirac equation.

Key words: Hille-Yosida theorem, detection time in quantum mechanics, time observable, arrival time in quantum mechanics, contraction semigroup, Schrödinger equation, Dirac equation.

## 1 Introduction

Suppose an ideal detecting surface is placed along the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  of an open region  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  in physical space, and a non-relativistic quantum particle is prepared at time 0 with wave function  $\psi_0$  with support in  $\Omega$ . Let  $Z = (T, \mathbf{X}) \in [0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega$  be the random

---

<sup>\*</sup>Mathematisches Institut, Eberhard-Karls-Universität, Auf der Morgenstelle 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany.

<sup>†</sup>E-mail: stefan.teufel@uni-tuebingen.de

<sup>‡</sup>E-mail: roderich.tumulka@uni-tuebingen.de

time and location of the detection event; we write  $Z = \infty$  if no detection event ever occurs. What is the probability distribution of  $Z$ ? As we have argued elsewhere [13], there is a simple rule for computing this distribution that is particularly convincing, called the absorbing boundary rule; its equations were first considered by Werner [17]. According to this rule,  $\psi$  evolves according to the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi + V\psi \quad (1)$$

in  $\Omega$  with potential  $V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n}(\mathbf{x}) = i\kappa(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}) \quad (2)$$

at every  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$ , where  $\partial/\partial n$  is the outward normal derivative on the surface, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla \psi(\mathbf{x}) \quad (3)$$

with  $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$  the unit vector perpendicular to  $\partial\Omega$  at  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$  pointing outside  $\Omega$ , and  $\kappa(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  are given values of dimension 1/length that characterize the type of ideal detector (wave number of sensitivity). Note that the region  $\Omega$  does not have to be bounded; for example, a half-space is allowed.

Then, the absorbing boundary rule asserts,

$$\text{Prob}_{\psi_0}(t_1 \leq T < t_2, \mathbf{X} \in B) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \int_B d^2\mathbf{x} \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{j}^{\psi_t}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (4)$$

for any  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2$  and any measurable set  $B \subseteq \partial\Omega$ , with  $d^2\mathbf{x}$  the surface area element and  $\mathbf{j}^{\psi}$  the probability current vector field defined by  $\psi$ , which is

$$\mathbf{j}^{\psi} = \frac{\hbar}{m} \text{Im} \psi^* \nabla \psi. \quad (5)$$

Note that the boundary condition (2) implies that the current  $\mathbf{j}^{\psi}$  is always outward-pointing on  $\partial\Omega$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{j}^{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ , so (2) is an “absorbing” boundary condition, and one should expect  $\|\psi_t\|$  not to be constant but to be a decreasing function of  $t$ . It is taken for granted in (4) that  $\|\psi_0\| = 1$ . Finally, to complete the statement of the absorbing boundary rule, the probability that no detection ever occurs is

$$\text{Prob}_{\psi_0}(Z = \infty) = 1 - \int_0^\infty dt \int_{\partial\Omega} d^2\mathbf{x} \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{j}^{\psi_t}(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_t\|^2. \quad (6)$$

Among other things, in this paper we deduce from the Hille-Yosida theorem [18, 6, 4, 7] that (1) and (2) define a unique, autonomous time evolution for  $\psi$ , provided  $\kappa(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ ,

see Theorem 1 below. (If  $\kappa(\mathbf{x}) < 0$  then the boundary condition (2) is not absorbing but emitting, that is, there is a current coming out of the boundary. For boundary points  $\mathbf{x}$  with  $\kappa(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  the boundary condition is a Neumann boundary condition and thus reflecting.)

As we will explain, it follows further that if  $\kappa(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  everywhere, then the probability distribution given by (4) and (6) is well defined for every  $\psi_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ , and can be expressed in terms of a POVM (positive-operator-valued measure). We also extend these results to the Dirac equation (Theorem 3).

In the presence of more than one particle in  $\Omega$ , the wave function must be collapsed appropriately when the first particle reaches  $\partial\Omega$  and triggers a detector, and we have developed and discussed the appropriate equations in [14]. The  $N$ -particle Schrödinger equation in  $\Omega^N$  gets supplemented by the appropriate boundary condition on  $\partial(\Omega^N)$ , which is

$$\mathbf{n}_i(\mathbf{x}_i) \cdot \nabla_i \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) = i \kappa(\mathbf{x}_i) \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \quad \text{when } \mathbf{x}_i \in \partial\Omega. \quad (7)$$

Suppose that at time  $T^1$ , the first detector gets triggered, in fact at location  $\mathbf{X}^1$  by particle number  $I^1$ . Now particle number  $I^1$  gets absorbed and removed from consideration, and the wave function replaced by the conditional wave function

$$\psi'(x') = \mathcal{N} \psi_{T^1}(x', \mathbf{x}_{I^1} = \mathbf{X}^1) \quad (8)$$

with  $x' \in \Omega^{N-1}$  any configuration of the remaining  $N-1$  particles and  $\mathcal{N}$  the appropriate normalizing factor. If  $\psi$  is symmetric or anti-symmetric under permutations (as it would have to be for identical particles) then so will be  $\psi'$ . The process now repeats according to the corresponding equations for  $N-1$  particles.

For this process to be well-defined, we need to explain what exactly (8) means and why  $\psi'$  is a well-defined vector in  $L^2(\Omega^{N-1})$ ; the difficulty comes from the fact that a general element of  $L^2(\Omega^N)$ , such as  $\psi_{T^1}$ , does not have well-defined values on a set of measure 0, such as the set where  $\mathbf{x}_{I^1} = \mathbf{X}^1$ . This point will be addressed by Theorem 2 and its proof.

For further discussion of the absorbing boundary rule, see [13, 14, 15, 3]; a discrete version on a lattice is described in [3]. For an overview of other proposals for the detection time distribution in quantum mechanics, see [8]. In Section 2, we describe our theorems. In Sections 3–7, we give the proofs.

## 2 Results

### 2.1 Single Particle

We consider regions  $\Omega$  of the following type.

**Assumption 1.**  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  is open and has a boundary  $\partial\Omega$  that is locally Lipschitz and piecewise  $C^1$  with finitely many pieces.

The condition “locally Lipschitz” is satisfied, for example, by any smooth boundary (such as a sphere) and by a piecewise smooth boundary with positive opening angles everywhere at the edges (such as a cube); see [1, p. 83] for a detailed formulation of this condition. Our first theorem can be formulated as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that Assumption 1 is satisfied, that  $\kappa : \partial\Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is measurable and bounded, and that  $V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Laplacian-bounded with relative bound  $< \hbar^2/2m$ . Then, for every  $\psi_0 \in L^2(\Omega) = L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ , (1) and (2) have a unique solution given by  $\psi_t = W_t\psi_0$  for  $t \geq 0$ , where  $W_t : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  are contraction operators that form a strongly continuous semigroup; the semigroup  $W_t = \exp(-iHt/\hbar)$  is generated by the operator  $-iH/\hbar$  with  $H = -(\hbar^2/2m)\nabla^2 + V$  on the domain formed by those  $\psi_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$  which satisfy the boundary condition (2).*

We give the proof in Section 3. Here,  $H^2(\Omega)$  denotes the second Sobolev space of  $\Omega$ , i.e., the space of  $\psi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$  whose second distributional derivatives lie in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

For an operator  $V$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  to be “Laplacian-bounded with relative bound  $a > 0$ ” [10, p. 162] means that its domain includes the domain  $H^2(\Omega)$  of the Laplacian and there is  $b > 0$  such that for all  $\psi \in H^2(\Omega)$ ,

$$\|V\psi\| \leq a\|\Delta\psi\| + b\|\psi\|. \quad (9)$$

This condition is trivially satisfied for every  $a > 0$  if the potential  $V$  is a bounded function on  $\Omega$ . In dimension  $d = 3$  it is also satisfied for every  $a > 0$  if  $V = V_1 + V_2$  with  $V_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $V_2$  bounded,<sup>1</sup> a class of potentials including the Coulomb potential with arbitrary prefactor.

The terminology “contraction” means that  $\|W_t\psi\| \leq \|\psi\|$ ; “semigroup” means that  $W_t W_s = W_{t+s}$  and  $W_0 = I$  (the identity operator); “strongly continuous” means that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|W_t\psi_0 - \psi_0\| = 0$  for every  $\psi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Since  $W_t$  is in general not unitary,  $\|\psi_t\|$  is in general  $< 1$  for  $t > 0$  and has the physical meaning of

$$\|\psi_t\|^2 = \text{Prob}_{\psi_0}(T > t). \quad (10)$$

The spectrum of a contraction  $W$  lies in the closed unit disk  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$  in the complex plane; however,  $W$  is not necessarily diagonalizable. The generator  $H$  of a contraction semigroup has spectrum in the lower half plane  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z \leq 0\}$ ; again,  $H$  need not be diagonalizable. In the present case neither  $W_t$  nor  $H$  are unitarily diagonalizable (they are not *normal* operators, i.e., do not commute with their adjoints), as we show in Remark 2 in Section 4. At least in some cases,  $H$  can be diagonalized, but the eigenfunctions are not mutually orthogonal [16, 3].

---

<sup>1</sup>This is shown in [10, p. 165] for  $\mathbb{R}^d$  but can be obtained in a similar fashion also for  $\Omega$ : Consider first  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and use the fact that for any given  $a > 0$  and  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , there is  $b > 0$  with  $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq a\|\Delta\varphi\|_2 + b\|\varphi\|_2$ . Since  $\|V\varphi\|_2 \leq \|V_1\|_2\|\varphi\|_\infty + \|V_2\|_\infty\|\varphi\|_2$ , it follows that  $V$  is Laplacian-bounded with arbitrarily small relative bound on  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ . Since  $H^2(\Omega)$  is complete in the second Sobolev norm, every element  $\psi$  is a limit of  $\varphi_n \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  with  $\Delta\varphi_n \rightarrow \Delta\psi$ , so that  $V$  is Laplacian-bounded with arbitrarily small relative bound also on  $H^2(\Omega)$ .

The next question that arises is whether the probability distribution (4) is well defined for a general  $\psi$ . The difficulty comes from the fact that (4) involves evaluating  $\psi_t$  on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $\psi_t$  may fail to be continuous; since a general element  $\psi_t$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  is an equivalence class of functions modulo arbitrary changes on a set of volume 0, and since  $\partial\Omega$  has volume 0, it is not well defined what  $\psi_t$  is on  $\partial\Omega$ . A solution to this problem, due to Werner [17], can be summarized as follows.

**Corollary 1.** *There is a POVM  $E_\kappa(\cdot)$  on  $[0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega \cup \{\infty\}$  acting on  $L^2(\Omega)$  such that the probability distribution*

$$\text{Prob}_{\psi_0}(Z \in \cdot) = \langle \psi_0 | E_\kappa(\cdot) | \psi_0 \rangle \quad (11)$$

*(defined for every  $\psi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$  with  $\|\psi_0\| = 1$ ) agrees with (4) and (6) (with  $d - 1$  dimensional surface integrals) whenever the latter expressions are well defined.*

We have included a proof in Section 5, following Werner's argument.

## 2.2 Many Particles

We now turn to the case of  $N$  particles. To begin with, we obtain from Theorem 1 by replacing  $\Omega \rightarrow \Omega^N$  and  $d \rightarrow Nd$  that the time evolution up to the first detection event, and the distribution of the detection time and place, are well defined for any  $\psi_0 \in L^2(\Omega^N)$ :

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\kappa$ , and  $V : \Omega^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be as before. Then the  $N$ -particle Schrödinger equation (1) in  $\Omega^N$  and boundary condition (7) define a contraction semigroup  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $L^2(\Omega^N)$  and a POVM  $E_\kappa(\cdot)$  on  $[0, \infty) \times \partial(\Omega^N) \cup \{\infty\}$  such that, for any  $\psi_0 \in L^2(\Omega^N)$  with  $\|\psi_0\| = 1$ , the joint distribution of  $T^1, \mathbf{X}^1, I^1$  exists and is the appropriate marginal of  $\langle \psi_0 | E_\kappa(\cdot) | \psi_0 \rangle$ .*

Next, we construct the entire process of  $N$  detections; the crucial step is to guarantee the existence of the collapsed wave function.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\psi_t \in L^2(\Omega^N)$  follow the  $N$ -particle evolution with boundary condition (7), and  $\|\psi_0\| = 1$ . If  $T^1 < \infty$ , then, with probability 1,  $\psi'$  is a well defined element of  $L^2(\Omega^{N-1})$ ; its probability distribution, conditional on  $T^1 = t$  and  $I^1 = i$ , is well defined over the unit sphere in  $L^2(\Omega^{N-1})$ . The density matrix  $\rho'$  associated with this distribution is of the form  $\mathcal{C}|\psi_t\rangle\langle\psi_t|$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a completely positive map defined on the trace class of  $L^2(\Omega^N)$ . Moreover, if the potential  $V_k : \Omega^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for  $k$  particles is Laplacian-bounded with bound  $< \hbar^2/2m$  for every  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , then the joint distribution of the detection times and places of all the particles exists and is defined by a POVM.*

The condition on  $V_k$  is satisfied in particular for bounded potentials and in  $d = 3$  for Coulomb pair potentials  $\sum_{i \neq j} e_i e_j / |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|$  with arbitrary constants  $e_i$ .

## 2.3 Dirac Particle

Our third theorem is an analog of Theorem 1 for the Dirac equation. In the version of the absorbing boundary rule for the Dirac equation on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , described in [15], the Schrödinger equation (1) is replaced by the Dirac equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -i\hbar \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla \psi + mc^2 \beta \psi + V \psi, \quad (12)$$

where  $\psi_t : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$  is spinor-valued and the potential  $V$  may take values in the set  $\text{Herm}(\mathbb{C}^4)$  of Hermitian (i.e., self-adjoint) complex  $4 \times 4$  matrices. The boundary condition (2) is replaced by the “semi-ideal absorbing boundary condition” [15] for the Dirac equation,

$$(\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \theta(\mathbf{x}) \beta) \psi(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{1 + \theta^2(\mathbf{x})} \psi(\mathbf{x}) \quad (13)$$

for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$ , with  $\theta(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$  a parameter roughly analogous to  $\kappa(\mathbf{x})$ . Since for any unit vector  $\mathbf{u}$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \theta\beta$  is a  $4 \times 4$  matrix with eigenvalues  $\pm\sqrt{1 + \theta^2}$  [15], each of which has an eigenspace of (complex) dimension 2, the condition (13) can equivalently be expressed by saying that  $\psi(\mathbf{x})$  has to lie in a particular ( $\mathbf{x}$ -dependent) 2-dimensional subspace of spin space  $\mathbb{C}^4$ , viz., the eigenspace of  $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \theta(\mathbf{x})\beta$  with eigenvalue  $+\sqrt{1 + \theta^2(\mathbf{x})}$ . Again, the boundary condition (13) implies that the probability current

$$\mathbf{j}^\psi = \psi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\alpha} \psi \quad (14)$$

points outward, i.e.,  $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{j}^\psi(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ , for  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$ . The rule asserts that the joint distribution of  $T$  and  $\mathbf{X}$  is given by (4) and (6) with  $\mathbf{j}$  given by (14) instead of (5) and  $\psi$  evolved by (12) and (13).

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that Assumption 1 is satisfied, that  $\theta : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable and bounded, and that  $V : \Omega \rightarrow \text{Herm}(\mathbb{C}^4)$  is relatively bounded with respect to the free Dirac operator  $-i\hbar \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla + mc^2 \beta$  with relative bound  $< 1$ . Then, for every  $\psi_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$ , (12) and (13) have a unique solution in the sense that  $\psi_t = \tilde{W}_t \psi_0$  for  $t \geq 0$ , where  $\tilde{W}_t : L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  are contraction operators that form a strongly continuous semigroup; the semigroup  $\tilde{W}_t = \exp(-i\tilde{H}t/\hbar)$  is generated by the operator  $-i\tilde{H}/\hbar$  with  $\tilde{H} = -i\hbar \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla + mc^2 \beta + V$  on the domain formed by those  $\psi_0 \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  which satisfy the boundary condition (13).*

We give the proof in Section 7. The assumption on  $V$  is satisfied, e.g., for bounded  $V$  and for Coulomb potentials  $C/|\mathbf{x}|$  with prefactor  $C < c\hbar/2$  [12, p. 114].

**Corollary 3.** *There is a POVM  $E(\cdot)$  on  $[0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega \cup \{\infty\}$  acting on  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  such that the probability distribution*

$$\text{Prob}_{\psi_0}(Z \in \cdot) = \langle \psi_0 | E(\cdot) | \psi_0 \rangle \quad (15)$$

*(defined for every  $\psi_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  with  $\|\psi_0\| = 1$ ) agrees with (4) and (6), based on (12), (13), and (14), whenever the expressions in (4) and (6) are well defined.*

The proof of Corollary 3 is completely analogous to that of Corollary 1.

For an overview of the theory of boundary conditions for the Dirac equation, see [2]. For work on boundary conditions for the Dirac equation that lead to a self-adjoint Hamiltonian, see [5]. For a general characterization of the reflecting boundary conditions for the Dirac equation, as well as of the interior-boundary conditions, see [11].

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

Theorems 1 and 3 are applications of the Hille-Yosida Theorem for contraction semigroups. For our purpose the following version of this theorem is most convenient (see for example Theorem I.3.15 and Corollary I.3.17 in [4]).

**Theorem 4** (Lumer-Phillips Theorem for Contraction Semigroups). *Let  $H$  be a closed linear operator defined on a dense linear subspace  $D(H)$  of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Moreover, assume that  $-iH$  and its adjoint  $iH^*$  are dissipative, i.e., that for all  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\psi \in D(H)$  and  $\phi \in D(H^*)$ ,*

$$\|(\lambda I + iH)\psi\| \geq \lambda\|\psi\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|(\lambda I - iH^*)\phi\| \geq \lambda\|\phi\|. \quad (16)$$

*Then  $-iH/\hbar$  generates a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions  $W_t = e^{-iHt/\hbar}$ .*

Here, the Hilbert space is  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\Omega)$ , and  $H = -(\hbar^2/2m)\nabla^2 + V$  on the following domain  $D(H)$ . By the Stein extension theorem [1, p. 146, 154], every  $f \in H^k(\Omega)$  possesses an extension in  $H^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Since, by Rademacher's theorem, every Lipschitz function is differentiable almost everywhere, a surface area measure  $d^{d-1}\mathbf{x}$  and a Hilbert space  $L^2(\partial\Omega, d^{d-1}\mathbf{x})$  are uniquely defined on  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$  is defined almost everywhere on  $\partial\Omega$ . By the Sobolev imbedding theorem [1, p. 85], functions  $f \in H^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $k \geq 1$  possess a “trace” on any affine hyperplane  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e., an unambiguous restriction to  $P$  that lies in  $L^2(P, d^{d-1}\mathbf{x})$ . For  $d > 1$ ,  $\partial\Omega$  consists not necessarily of hyperplanes but  $C^1$  surfaces, and a suitable version of the Sobolev imbedding theorem [1, p. 164] provides a trace of  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  or  $\psi \in H^1(\Omega)$  also in this case. Since  $\partial\Omega$  is assumed to consist of finitely many  $C^1$  surfaces, a trace in  $L^2(\partial\Omega, d^{d-1}\mathbf{x})$  exists for  $\psi \in H^1(\Omega)$  (and thus also for  $\psi \in H^k(\Omega)$  with  $k > 1$ ). Thus, for  $\psi \in H^2(\Omega)$ , both  $\psi$  and  $\nabla\psi$  (whose  $d$  components lie in  $H^1(\Omega)$ ) can be evaluated on  $\partial\Omega$ , and (2) is a meaningful condition that defines a linear subspace of  $H^2(\Omega)$ ; this subspace is  $D(H)$ .

The Laplacian maps  $H^2(\Omega)$  to  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Since  $V$  is Laplacian-bounded, the differential expression  $H = -(\hbar^2/2m)\Delta + V$  is still well defined on  $H^2(\Omega)$ , in particular on  $D(H)$ , and yields  $H\psi \in L^2(\Omega)$ .  $D(H)$  is dense in  $L^2(\Omega)$  because, for example, the smooth functions with compact support in  $\Omega$  (away from  $\partial\Omega$ ), all of which lie in  $D(H)$ , are dense in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

To see that  $H$  is a closed operator, i.e., that the graph of  $H$  is a closed set in  $L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ , it suffices to verify that  $D(H)$  is complete in the graph norm  $\|\psi\|_H := \|H\psi\| + \|\psi\|$ . In our case, the graph norm is equivalent to the second Sobolev norm

$\|\cdot\|_{H^2(\Omega)}$ , as follows from standard arguments using that  $V$  is Laplacian-bounded with bound  $< \hbar^2/2m$ . Hence, it suffices to show that  $D(H)$  is complete in the Sobolev norm.

This follows from the well-known fact that  $H^2(\Omega)$  is complete in the Sobolev norm and the further fact that  $D(H)$  is a closed subspace of  $H^2(\Omega)$ . The latter in turn follows from the fact that  $D(H)$  is the kernel of a bounded operator  $T : H^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega)$ , viz., the trace operator that maps  $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  to  $\partial\psi/\partial n - i\kappa\psi : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . This operator is bounded in the relevant norms according to the Sobolev imbedding theorem [1, p. 164] (using that  $\kappa$  is bounded). The upshot so far is that  $H$  is closed, so the first hypothesis of Theorem 4 is satisfied.

The rest of the proof consists of checking the two conditions in (16). We first show that  $-iH$  is dissipative. Since for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\psi \in D(H)$

$$\|(\lambda I + iH)\psi\|^2 = \langle \lambda\psi + iH\psi | \lambda\psi + iH\psi \rangle \quad (17)$$

$$= \lambda^2 \|\psi\|^2 + \|H\psi\|^2 - 2\lambda \operatorname{Im}\langle \psi | H\psi \rangle, \quad (18)$$

it suffices to show that

$$\operatorname{Im}\langle \psi | H\psi \rangle \leq 0. \quad (19)$$

Now, let  $\nabla\psi$  denote the distributional derivative, which lies in  $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^d)$ ; by the Stein extension theorem, we can extend both  $\psi$  and  $\nabla\psi$  outside  $\Omega$ ; then we exploit that we can integrate by parts (i.e., use the Ostrogradski–Gauss integral theorem) for functions from  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We thus obtain from the boundary condition (2) that

$$\langle \psi | H\psi \rangle = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{\Omega} d^d\mathbf{x} \psi^* \nabla^2 \psi + \langle \psi | V\psi \rangle \quad (20)$$

$$= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{\Omega} d^d\mathbf{x} \nabla \cdot (\psi^* \nabla \psi) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{\Omega} d^d\mathbf{x} (\nabla \psi^*) \cdot (\nabla \psi) + \langle \psi | V\psi \rangle \quad (21)$$

$$= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{\partial\Omega} d^{d-1}\mathbf{x} \psi^* \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \psi + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \|\nabla \psi\|^2 + \langle \psi | V\psi \rangle \quad (22)$$

$$= -i\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{\partial\Omega} d^{d-1}\mathbf{x} \kappa |\psi|^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \|\nabla \psi\|^2 + \langle \psi | V\psi \rangle, \quad (23)$$

so

$$\operatorname{Im}\langle \psi | H\psi \rangle = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{\partial\Omega} d^2\mathbf{x} \kappa |\psi|^2. \quad (24)$$

Thus, (19) is satisfied for  $\kappa(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  (but not for  $\kappa(\mathbf{x}) < 0$ ).

In order to determine the adjoint operator  $H^*$  of  $H$ , consider first the restriction  $H_0 := H|_{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}$  of  $H$  to functions with compact support contained in  $\Omega$ . Since  $H$  extends  $H_0$ ,  $H_0^*$  extends  $H^*$ , i.e.  $D(H^*) \subset D(H_0^*)$  and  $H^*\phi = H_0^*\phi$  for all  $\phi \in D(H^*)$ . Since, by definition of  $H^2(\Omega)$ , the domain of  $H_0^*$  is  $H^2(\Omega)$ , we conclude that  $D(H^*) \subset H^2(\Omega)$ . This allows us to determine the domain  $D(H^*)$  using again integration by parts. For



$\psi \in D(H)$  and  $\phi \in H^2(\Omega)$  we obtain

$$\langle \phi | H \psi \rangle = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{\partial\Omega} d^{d-1} \mathbf{x} \phi^* \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \psi + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{\Omega} d^d \mathbf{x} (\nabla \phi^*) \cdot (\nabla \psi) + \langle \phi | V \psi \rangle \quad (25)$$

$$= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{\partial\Omega} d^{d-1} \mathbf{x} \phi^* \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \psi + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{\partial\Omega} d^{d-1} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi^* \psi \quad (26)$$

$$+ \langle (-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V) \phi | \psi \rangle \quad (27)$$

$$= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{\partial\Omega} d^{d-1} \mathbf{x} (-i\kappa \phi^* + \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi^*) \psi + \langle (-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V) \phi | \psi \rangle. \quad (28)$$

Since  $\phi \in D(H^*)$  if and only if there exists  $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\langle \phi | H \psi \rangle = \langle \eta | \psi \rangle$  for all  $\psi \in D(H)$ , we conclude that  $\phi$  must obey the boundary condition

$$-i\kappa \phi^* + \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi^* = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi = -i\kappa \phi. \quad (29)$$

Hence, the domain  $D(H^*)$  of  $H^*$  contains all functions in  $H^2(\Omega)$  that satisfy the boundary condition (29). It is now straightforward to show that also  $iH^*$  is dissipative by exactly the same argument as for  $-iH$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 4 Remarks

1. Suppose we replace  $i\kappa$  in the boundary condition (2) by any complex number  $\nu + i\kappa$  with  $\nu, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ , so that (2) becomes

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n}(\mathbf{x}) = (\nu(\mathbf{x}) + i\kappa(\mathbf{x})) \psi(\mathbf{x}). \quad (30)$$

If  $\kappa(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ , then this boundary condition is still absorbing, i.e., one that forces the current to point outward. We see from the proof of Theorem 1 that also with this boundary condition a contraction semigroup is generated (and thus the evolution is well defined) because

$$\langle \psi | H \psi \rangle = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{\partial\Omega} d^2 \mathbf{x} (\nu(\mathbf{x}) + i\kappa(\mathbf{x})) |\psi|^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \|\nabla \psi\|^2 + \langle \psi | V \psi \rangle, \quad (31)$$

so (24) remains valid.

2. Unlike self-adjoint Hamiltonians,  $H$  is not unitarily diagonalizable when  $\kappa(\mathbf{x}) > 0$  on a set of  $\mathbf{x}$ s of positive measure in  $\partial\Omega$ , as we prove below. (We note also that the Hamiltonian of the discrete version of the absorbing boundary rule for a quantum particle on a lattice [3] is easily checked to be non-normal ( $HH^* \neq H^*H$ ), and thus not unitarily diagonalizable.) It seems that, at least in many cases, a complete set of (generalized, non-normalizable) eigenfunctions exists, but they are not mutually orthogonal [16].

Recall that an operator  $A$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  is unitarily diagonalizable if and only if there is a generalized orthonormal basis, i.e., a unitary isomorphism  $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(S, \mu)$  for some measure space  $(S, \mu)$ , such that  $M = UAU^{-1}$  is the multiplication operator by some function  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The domain  $D(M)$  on which the graph of  $M$  is closed is given by

$$D(M) = \left\{ \psi \in L^2(S, \mu) : \int_S |f(s) \psi(s)|^2 \mu(ds) < \infty \right\}. \quad (32)$$

Since the adjoint  $T^*$  of any operator  $T$  with domain  $D(T)$  is defined on the domain

$$D(T^*) = \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{H} : \exists \phi \in \mathcal{H} : \forall \chi \in D(T) : \langle \psi | T\chi \rangle = \langle \phi | \chi \rangle \right\} \quad (33)$$

(and given there by  $T^*\psi = \phi$ ), the adjoint  $M^*$  of a multiplication operator  $M$  has domain  $D(M^*) = D(M)$  and is given there by multiplication by  $f^*$ . When  $\kappa(\mathbf{x}) > 0$  on a set of positive measure, then, as the proof of Theorem 1 has shown,  $H$  has domain  $D(H)$  different from  $D(H^*)$ , while the graph of  $H$  is closed, so it follows that  $H$  cannot be unitarily diagonalizable.

## 5 Proof of Corollary 1

For any  $\psi_0 \in D(H)$ , also  $\psi_t = \exp(-iHt/\hbar)\psi_0$  lies in  $D(H)$ . Moreover, for any  $\psi \in D(H)$ ,  $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{j}^\psi(\mathbf{x}) = (\hbar\kappa(\mathbf{x})/m)|\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , and the restriction of  $\psi$  to  $\partial\Omega$  is well defined as an element of  $L^2(\partial\Omega, d^{d-1}\mathbf{x})$  (in particular, well defined up to changes on sets of area 0) by virtue of the Sobolev imbedding theorem [1, p. 164] and the fact that  $D(H) \subset H^2(\Omega)$ . It follows that for  $\psi_0 \in D(H)$  with  $\|\psi_0\| = 1$ , (4) and (6) together define a probability distribution on  $\mathcal{Z} = [0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega \cup \{\infty\}$ .

Now define, for  $\psi_0 \in D(H)$ ,  $J\psi_0$  to be the function on  $[0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega$  such that  $J\psi_0(t, \cdot)$  is  $\sqrt{\hbar\kappa(\mathbf{x})/m}$  times the restriction of  $\psi_t$  to  $\partial\Omega$ . Then  $J\psi_0 \in L^2([0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega, dt d^{d-1}\mathbf{x})$ , and

$$\|J\psi_0\|^2 = \frac{\hbar}{m} \int_0^\infty dt \int_{\partial\Omega} d^{d-1}\mathbf{x} \kappa(\mathbf{x}) |\psi_t(\mathbf{x})|^2 = \|\psi_0\|^2 - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_t\|^2 \leq \|\psi_0\|^2. \quad (34)$$

(Note that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_t\|^2$  exists because  $t \mapsto \|\psi_t\|^2$  is a non-negative, decreasing function.) The fact  $\|J\psi_0\| \leq \|\psi_0\|$  means that  $J : D(H) \rightarrow L^2([0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega, dt d^{d-1}\mathbf{x})$  is a bounded operator with operator norm no greater than 1 (i.e., a contraction). Thus,  $J$  possesses a unique bounded extension to  $L^2(\Omega)$ , which we will also denote by  $J$ .

For arbitrary  $\psi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$  (outside  $D(H)$ ) with  $\|\psi_0\| = 1$ ,  $|J\psi_0(t, \mathbf{x})|^2$  is the joint probability density of  $T$  and  $\mathbf{X}$ , and  $1 - \|J\psi_0\|^2 = \text{Prob}_{\psi_0}(Z = \infty)$ ; that is, the distribution of  $Z$  is well defined. The POVM  $E_\kappa$  is given on  $[0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega$  by

$$E_\kappa(\cdot) = J^* P(\cdot) J, \quad (35)$$

where  $P$  is the natural PVM (projection-valued measure) on  $L^2([0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega, dt d^{d-1}\mathbf{x})$ . (The *natural PVM* on  $L^2(X, \mu)$  associates with every measurable subset  $B$  of the measure space  $(X, \mu)$  the projection to the subspace consisting of the functions vanishing outside  $B$ .) The definition of  $E_\kappa$  is completed by setting  $E_\kappa(\{\infty\}) = I - J^*J$ , which is a positive operator by (34). It follows that  $E_\kappa([0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega \cup \{\infty\}) = I$ , so  $E_\kappa$  is a POVM, and that (11) agrees with (4) and (6) for  $\psi_0 \in D(H)$ . It also follows that  $E_\kappa(\{\infty\}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} W_t^* W_t$  because  $W_t^* W_t = E_\kappa([t, \infty) \times \partial\Omega \cup \{\infty\})$ .

## 6 Several Particles

The main new issue about the case of several particles is whether the collapsed wave function  $\psi'$  in (8) is well defined. To this end, we begin with some general considerations about conditional wave functions.

### 6.1 Conditional Wave Functions

In general, for a wave function  $\psi(a, b)$  of two variables  $a, b$ , the conditional wave function  $\psi'$  is defined as follows: insert for  $a$  a random value  $A$  whose distribution is the appropriate marginal of  $|\psi|^2$ , and then normalize. Thus,  $\psi'$  is a random function of the single variable  $b$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces, and let  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$  be equipped with the product measure. For every  $\psi \in L^2(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B})$  with  $\|\psi\| = 1$ , the probability distribution of the conditional wave function  $\psi'$  is well defined on the unit sphere of  $L^2(\mathcal{B})$  (equipped with the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra).*

*Proof.* Using the  $\sigma$ -finiteness, the product measure exists and is unique and  $\sigma$ -finite. Let  $A$  be a random variable taking values in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $|\psi|^2$  distribution, i.e.,

$$\text{Prob}(A \in S) = \int_S da \int_{\mathcal{B}} db |\psi(a, b)|^2 \quad (36)$$

for all measurable subsets  $S$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We first ask whether inserting  $A$  for  $a$  as in  $\psi_*(b) := \psi(A, b)$  defines a unique element of  $L^2(\mathcal{B})$ . To this end, we pick any function  $\tilde{\psi}$  belonging to the equivalence class of functions that  $\psi$  is and set  $\psi_*(b) := \tilde{\psi}(A, b)$ . By Fubini's theorem (which uses the  $\sigma$ -finiteness), the set of  $a$  values such that  $b \mapsto \tilde{\psi}(a, b)$  is not square-integrable has measure 0 in  $\mathcal{A}$ ; thus,  $A$  has probability 1 to be such that  $\psi_*$  is square-integrable. If we had picked another function  $\hat{\psi}$  instead of  $\tilde{\psi}$ , then  $\hat{\psi}$  would differ from  $\tilde{\psi}$  on a set  $M$  of measure 0 in  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ . The distribution (36) of  $A$  is independent of whether we choose  $\tilde{\psi}$  or  $\hat{\psi}$ . The set of  $a$  values such that  $M \cap (\{a\} \times \mathcal{B})$  has positive measure in  $\mathcal{B}$ , has measure 0 in  $\mathcal{A}$  (else  $M$  would have positive measure); thus,  $A$  has probability 1 to be such that  $b \mapsto \tilde{\psi}(A, b)$  agrees with  $b \mapsto \hat{\psi}(A, b)$  except on a set of measure 0 in  $\mathcal{B}$ , thus defining the same element of  $L^2(\mathcal{B})$ . Moreover, the mapping

$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{B})$  that maps  $a$  to the equivalence class of  $\tilde{\psi}(a, \cdot)$  (or to 0 when  $\tilde{\psi}(a, \cdot)$  is not square-integrable) is measurable relative to the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $L^2(\mathcal{B})$  because for separable Hilbert spaces (such as  $L^2(\mathcal{B})$  since  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\sigma$ -finite), Borel measurability is equivalent to weak measurability [9, Thm. IV.22], and weak measurability means that for any  $\phi \in L^2(\mathcal{B})$ , the mapping  $a \mapsto \int_{\mathcal{B}} db \phi^*(b) \tilde{\psi}(a, b)$  is measurable, which is satisfied. Thus, the distribution of  $\psi_*$  is a well-defined probability measure in  $L^2(\mathcal{B})$ .

Next we focus on normalization:  $A$  has probability 1 to be such that the norm in  $L^2(\mathcal{B})$  of  $\psi_*$  is non-zero. After all,  $A$  has probability 0 to lie in the set of  $a$  values for which  $\int_{\mathcal{B}} db |\psi(a, b)|^2 = 0$ . Thus, with probability 1,  $\|\psi_*\|$  is neither 0 nor  $\infty$ , so  $\psi_*$  can be normalized, i.e.,  $\mathcal{N} := 1/\|\psi_*\|$  and  $\psi' = \mathcal{N}\psi_*$  exist. Since in any Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  the normalization mapping  $\mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \{\phi \in \mathcal{H} : \|\phi\| = 1\}$ ,  $\phi \mapsto \phi/\|\phi\|$  is continuous, it is Borel-measurable. Thus, the distribution of  $\psi'$  is defined on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of the unit sphere and is independent of the choice of  $\tilde{\psi}$  within the equivalence class that is  $\psi$ .  $\square$

## 6.2 Proof of Corollary 2

We formulate the proof for 3 space dimensions. We want to apply Theorem 1 to  $d = 3N$  and  $\Omega^N$  instead of  $\Omega$ . The boundary  $\partial(\Omega^N)$  consists of  $N$  faces  $F_i$  corresponding to  $\mathbf{x}_i$  reaching the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  while the other  $\mathbf{x}_j$  remain in the interior of  $\Omega$ ,  $\partial(\Omega^N) = \cup_i F_i$ . Since  $\partial\Omega$  is locally Lipschitz and piecewise  $C^1$ , so is  $\partial(\Omega^N)$ ; note that even if  $\partial\Omega$  is  $C^1$ ,  $\partial(\Omega^N)$  will have edges. By Theorem 1, the time evolution of  $\psi$  in  $\Omega^N$  exists for all  $t > 0$ , and by (the  $3N$ -dimensional version of) Corollary 1 there is a well defined distribution for the time  $T^1$  and location  $\mathbf{X}^1$  at which the first detector gets triggered (if any ever gets triggered), as well as the number  $I^1$  of the particle that triggered it.

As explained in Section 5 for  $N = 1$ ,  $J\psi_0$ , defined now for the  $3N$ -dimensional case, is a well defined element of

$$L^2([0, \infty) \times \partial(\Omega^N)) = L^2([0, \infty) \times \partial(\Omega^N), dt d^{3N-1}x) = \oplus_i L^2([0, \infty) \times F_i) \quad (37)$$

for arbitrary  $\psi_0 \in L^2(\Omega^N)$ . The joint distribution of  $T^1, \mathbf{X}^1, I^1$  is a suitable marginal of  $|J\psi_0|^2$  (ignoring the other  $\mathbf{x}_j$ ). Now Corollary 2 follows.

## 6.3 Proof of Theorem 2

We formulate the proof for 3 space dimensions. Writing  $F_{I^1}$  as  $\partial\Omega \times \Omega^{N-1}$ , with the first factor referring to  $\mathbf{x}_{I^1}$ , and  $L^2([0, \infty) \times F_i)$  as  $L^2([0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega \times \Omega^{N-1})$ ,  $\psi'$  is the conditional wave function with  $\mathcal{A} = [0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \Omega^{N-1}$ ,  $A = (T^1, \mathbf{X}^1)$ ,  $b = \mathbf{x}'$ ; thus,  $\psi'$  is with probability 1 a well-defined element of  $L^2(\Omega^{N-1})$ .

The density matrix  $\rho' = \mathbb{E}|\psi'\rangle\langle\psi'|$  (where  $\mathbb{E}$  means expectation value) associated with the distribution of  $\psi'$  (conditional on  $T^1 = t$  and  $I^1 = i$ ) is  $\rho' = \mathcal{C}|\psi_t\rangle\langle\psi_t|$  with

$$\mathcal{C}\rho = \frac{\hbar}{m} \int_{\partial\Omega} d^2\mathbf{x}_i \kappa(\mathbf{x}_i) \langle\mathbf{x}_i|\rho|\mathbf{x}_i\rangle, \quad (38)$$

where  $|\mathbf{x}_i\rangle$  is a partial ket (in the  $\mathbf{x}_i$  variable only), so  $\langle \mathbf{x}_i | \rho | \mathbf{x}_i \rangle$  is an operator on  $L^2(\Omega^{N-1})$ .

Once we have that  $\psi'$  is well defined, we can feed that into a new round of solving a Schrödinger equation with the absorbing boundary condition (7) for  $N - 1$  particles, starting at time  $T^1$  and resulting in the detection of particle  $I^2$  at time  $T^2$  and location  $\mathbf{X}^2$ . It remains to show that the joint distribution of  $Z^1 = (T^1, I^1, \mathbf{X}^1)$  and  $Z^2 = (T^2, I^2, \mathbf{X}^2)$  (and  $Z^3, \dots, Z^N$ ) comes from a POVM. The key fact here is that the normalization factor  $\mathcal{N}$  in the definition (8) of  $\psi'$  is related to the probability density  $f_i(t, \mathbf{x})$  of  $Z^1$  at the realized value of  $Z^1$  (which led to  $\psi'$ ) according to

$$\mathcal{N}^{-2} = f_{I^1}(T^1, \mathbf{X}^1) = \frac{\langle \psi_0 | E_N(dt d^2\mathbf{x} \times \{i\}) | \psi_0 \rangle}{dt d^2\mathbf{x}}(I^1, T^1, \mathbf{X}^1) \quad (39)$$

with  $E_N(\cdot)$  the POVM governing the distribution of  $Z^1$  as given by Corollary 2; the existence of a density is guaranteed by the appropriate analog of (4). As a consequence, the joint density  $f_{ii'}(t, \mathbf{x}, t', \mathbf{x}')$  of  $Z^1$  and  $Z^2$ , which by definition is

$$f_{ii'}(t, \mathbf{x}, t', \mathbf{x}') = \frac{\langle \psi_0 | E_N(dt d^2\mathbf{x} \times \{i\}) | \psi_0 \rangle}{dt d^2\mathbf{x}} \frac{\langle \psi' | E_{N-1}(dt' d^2\mathbf{x}' \times \{i'\}) | \psi' \rangle}{dt' d^2\mathbf{x}'}, \quad (40)$$

turns out to be (because the first factor equals  $\mathcal{N}^{-2}$ , which compensates the factor  $\mathcal{N}$  in each  $\psi'$  and leaves us, in place of  $\psi'$ , with  $\psi_t$  with  $\mathbf{x}$  inserted for  $\mathbf{x}_i$ )

$$f_{ii'}(t, \mathbf{x}, t', \mathbf{x}') = \langle \psi_t | \frac{E_{N-1}(dt' d^2\mathbf{x}' \times \{i'\}) \otimes |\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}\rangle \langle \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}|}{dt' d^2\mathbf{x}'} | \psi_t \rangle. \quad (41)$$

From this expression it is straightforward to conclude that the joint distribution in terms of  $\psi_0$  is given by a POVM. For more than two detection events, the calculation works in the same way.

## 7 Proof of Theorem 3

Now the domain  $D(\tilde{H})$  is defined in terms of the first Sobolev space  $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$ ; by the Stein extension theorem,  $\psi \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  possesses an extension in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$ , and by the Sobolev imbedding theorem, it possesses a trace on  $\partial\Omega$ , so the boundary condition (13) is meaningful and defines a subspace of  $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$ , which is  $D(\tilde{H})$ . This subspace is dense in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  because, for example, it contains the smooth functions with compact support in the interior of  $\Omega$ , which are dense. That  $H$  is closed follows along the same lines as in the proof of Theorem 1 by verifying that the graph norm is equivalent to the first Sobolev norm.

As in the proof of Theorem 1 we show that  $-i\tilde{H}$  and  $i\tilde{H}^*$  are dissipative. To verify (19) for  $\tilde{H}$  and  $\psi \in D(\tilde{H})$ , we make the following calculation, in which  $\nabla\psi$  means again

the distributional derivative of  $\psi$ :

$$\operatorname{Im}\langle\psi|\tilde{H}\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2i}\langle\psi|\tilde{H}\psi\rangle - \frac{1}{2i}\langle\tilde{H}\psi|\psi\rangle \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\frac{c\hbar}{2} \int_{\Omega} d^3\mathbf{x} \psi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla \psi - \frac{c\hbar}{2} \int_{\Omega} d^3\mathbf{x} (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla \psi)^\dagger \psi \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2i} \langle\psi|(mc^2\beta + V)\psi\rangle - \frac{1}{2i} \langle(mc^2\beta + V)\psi|\psi\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

$$= -\frac{c\hbar}{2} \int_{\Omega} d^3\mathbf{x} \nabla \cdot (\psi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\alpha} \psi) \quad (44)$$

since  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ , and  $\beta$  are self-adjoint matrices and  $V$  is assumed Hermitian-valued. Exploiting the boundary condition (13) and again the fact that integration by parts can be applied to functions from the first Sobolev space, we obtain that

$$\operatorname{Im}\langle\psi|\tilde{H}\psi\rangle = -\frac{c\hbar}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} d^2\mathbf{x} \mathbf{n} \cdot (\psi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\alpha} \psi) \quad (45)$$

$$= -\frac{c\hbar}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} d^2\mathbf{x} \psi^\dagger (\sqrt{1+\theta^2} - \theta\beta) \psi \quad (46)$$

$$\leq 0 \quad (47)$$

because  $\beta$  has eigenvalues  $\pm 1$ , so  $\sqrt{1+\theta^2} - \theta\beta$  is a positive definite matrix for every  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence,  $-i\tilde{H}$  is dissipative.

For  $\phi \in D(\tilde{H}^*) \subset H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  and  $\psi \in D(\tilde{H})$  we find as in (44) and (45) that

$$\langle\phi|\tilde{H}\psi\rangle = -ic\hbar \int_{\partial\Omega} d^2\mathbf{x} \phi^\dagger \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} \psi + \langle(-ic\hbar \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla + mc^2\beta + V)\phi|\psi\rangle. \quad (48)$$

Therefore, the domain of  $\tilde{H}^*$  consist of those  $\phi \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  for which  $\phi(\mathbf{x})$  lies in the orthogonal complement of the range of  $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} P_\theta(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$ , where  $P_\theta(\mathbf{x})$  denotes the orthogonal projection in  $\mathbb{C}^4$  onto the eigenspace of  $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \theta(\mathbf{x})\beta$  with eigenvalue  $+\sqrt{1+\theta^2(\mathbf{x})}$ , i.e.,

$$P_\theta = \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \theta\beta + \sqrt{1+\theta^2}}{2\sqrt{1+\theta^2}}. \quad (49)$$

We find that

$$\operatorname{ran}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} P_\theta) = \operatorname{ran}\left(\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} \left(\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \theta\beta + \sqrt{1+\theta^2}\right)\right) \quad (50)$$

$$= \operatorname{ran}\left(\left(\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} - \theta\beta + \sqrt{1+\theta^2}\right) \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}\right) \quad (51)$$

and, using that  $\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}$  is bijective,

$$= \operatorname{ran}\left(\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} - \theta\beta + \sqrt{1+\theta^2}\right) \quad (52)$$

$$= \operatorname{ran} P_{-\theta}, \quad (53)$$

so the orthogonal complement of this space is the kernel of  $P_{-\theta}$ . Hence,  $\phi \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  is in the domain of  $\tilde{H}^*$  if and only if it satisfies the boundary condition

$$(\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} - \theta(\mathbf{x})\beta) \phi(\mathbf{x}) = -\sqrt{1 + \theta^2(\mathbf{x})} \phi(\mathbf{x}) \quad (54)$$

for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$ . Using this boundary condition, dissipativity of  $i\tilde{H}^*$  follows exactly as above for  $-i\tilde{H}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

*Acknowledgments.* We thank Sascha Eichmann and Julian Schmidt for helpful discussions and an anonymous referee for pointing out a non-trivial gap in the proof of Theorem 1 in an earlier version of this article.

## Declarations

*Funding.* Not applicable.

*Conflict of interests.* Not applicable.

*Availability of data and material.* Not applicable.

*Code availability.* Not applicable.

## References

- [1] R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier: *Sobolev Spaces*. (2nd edition) Amsterdam and Boston: Academic Press (2003)
- [2] C. Bär and W. Ballmann: Guide to Boundary Value Problems for Dirac-Type Operators. Pages 43–80 in W. Ballmann, C. Blohmann, G. Faltings, P. Teichner, and D. Zagier (editors): *Arbeitstagung Bonn 2013*, series Progress in Mathematics vol. **319**, Berlin: Springer-Verlag (2016) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.3021>
- [3] A. Dhar, S. Teufel, and R. Tumulka: Detection Time Distribution in Quantum Mechanics on a Lattice. In preparation (2022)
- [4] K.-J. Engel and R. Nagel: *One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations*. Berlin: Springer (2000)
- [5] F. Finster and C. Röken: Self-Adjointness of the Dirac Hamiltonian for a Class of Non-Uniformly Elliptic Boundary Value Problems. *Annals of Mathematical Sciences and Applications* **1**: 301–320 (2016) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.00761>
- [6] E. Hille: *Functional Analysis and Semi-Groups*. New York: American Mathematical Society (1948)
- [7] Hille-Yosida theorem. In *Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia*, [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hille%E2%80%93Yosida\\_theorem](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hille%E2%80%93Yosida_theorem) (accessed 13 October 2015)

- [8] J.G. Muga and R. Leavens: Arrival Time in Quantum Mechanics. *Physics Reports* **338**: 353 (2000)
- [9] M. Reed and B. Simon: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. I: Functional Analysis, revised and enlarged edition*. San Diego: Academic Press (1980)
- [10] M. Reed and B. Simon: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness*. San Diego: Academic Press (1975)
- [11] J. Schmidt, S. Teufel, and R. Tumulka: Interior-Boundary Conditions for Many-Body Dirac Operators and Codimension-1 Boundaries. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **52**: 295202 (2019) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1811.02947>
- [12] B. Thaller: *The Dirac Equation*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag (1992)
- [13] R. Tumulka: Distribution of the Time at Which an Ideal Detector Clicks. *Annals of Physics* **442**: 168910 (2022) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.03715> (2016)
- [14] R. Tumulka: Detection Time Distribution for Several Quantum Particles. Preprint (2016) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.03871>
- [15] R. Tumulka: Detection Time Distribution for the Dirac Equation. Preprint (2016) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.04571>
- [16] R. Tumulka: Absorbing Boundary Condition as Limiting Case of Imaginary Potentials. Preprint (2019) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1911.12730>
- [17] R. Werner: Arrival time observables in quantum mechanics. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, section A* **47**: 429–449 (1987)
- [18] K. Yosida: On the differentiability and the representation of one-parameter semi-group of linear operators. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan* **1**: 15–21 (1948)