

Non-commutative graphs in the Fock space over one-particle Hilbert space

G.G. Amosov^{*1,2,3} and A.S. Moiseev^{†4}

¹Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, ul. Gubkina 8, Moscow 119991, Russia

²Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, 9 Institutskii per., Dolgoprudny, 141701, Russia

³Institute of Mathematics, Ufa Federal Research Center of Russian Academy of Sciences, 112 Chernyshevskii st., Ufa, 450077, Russia

⁴Chebyshev Laboratory of St. Petersburg State University, 14th Line V.O. 29B, St. Petersburg 199178, Russia

Abstract

In the present paper we continue our study of non-commutative operator graphs in infinite-dimensional spaces. We consider examples of the non-commutative operator graphs generated by resolutions of identity corresponding to the Heisenberg-Weyl group of operators acting on the Fock space over one-particle state space. The problem of quantum error correction for such graphs is discussed.

keywords: non-commutative operator graphs, covariant resolution of identity, symmetric Fock space, quantum anticliques.

1 Introduction

Let H and $B(H)$ be a Hilbert space and the space of all bounded linear operators on H respectively. A non-commutative operator graph is a subspace

^{*}gramos@mi-ras.ru

[†]alexandrmoiseev@yandex.ru

$\mathcal{V} \subset B(H)$ containing the identity operator and closed under operator conjugation. These objects appear in the theory of quantum error correction. In [1–3] was established the theory of error-correcting codes for a quantum noise. The existence of a code for the channel determines the ability of transmitting quantum information perfectly. Each quantum channel set the unique non-commutative operator graph \mathcal{V} such that the subspace $S \subset H$ is a quantum error-correcting code for the channel iff the orthogonal projection P_S on S satisfies the equality $P_S \mathcal{V} P_S = \mathbb{C} P_S$. The projection P_S is called a quantum anticlique. In this setting the non-commutative operator graph is a quantum analogue of the confusability graph for a classical communication channel [4].

Since [5] a series of papers devoted to the study of operator graphs linearly generated by covariant resolutions of identity was published. For such graphs the sufficient condition to have a quantum anticlique was obtained [6]. In the finite-dimensional case some examples for various groups were presented [6–8]. As a development of these results we constructed the non-commutative operator graph in infinite-dimensional Hilbert space corresponding to the dynamics of the coupled quantum oscillator. The maximal anticlique was found for this model [9].

The theory of coherent states and squeezed coherent states that emerged through pioneering work [10, 11] is a very well known instrument in quantum optics [12, 13]. We use the theory of coherent states to construct examples of quantum anticliques for the operator graph corresponding to the coupled quantum oscillator. The famous Glauber-Sudarshan equality [10, 11] is extremely useful for our needs. It should be noted that coherent states have some generalizations [14]. One of such generalizations could be given through exponential vectors in the symmetric Fock space used in quantum field theory to describe the states of a system with variable number of bosonic particles.

In section 2 we give a description of symmetric Fock space over one-particle Hilbert space. In section 3 we derive examples of non-commutative operator graphs in the Fock space over Hilbert space of arbitrary finite dimension. For such graphs we construct anticliques. The similar techniques is used in [9].

2 Exponential vectors in the symmetric Fock space

Let a^\dagger and a be the standard bosonic creation and annihilation operators. Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ a coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$ is a solution to the equation

$$a |\alpha\rangle = \alpha |\alpha\rangle.$$

Introducing the displacement operator

$$D(\alpha) = \exp(\alpha a^\dagger - \alpha^* a)$$

we obtain

$$D(\alpha) |0\rangle = |\alpha\rangle$$

and

$$D(\alpha)D(\beta) = e^{(\alpha\beta^* - \alpha^*\beta)/2} D(\alpha + \beta).$$

The famous Glauber-Sudarshan equality

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha| d^2\alpha = I \quad (1)$$

can be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int D(\alpha) Q_\beta D(\alpha)^* d^2\alpha = I, \quad (2)$$

where $Q_\beta = |\beta\rangle \langle \beta|$ is an arbitrary coherent state $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

This techniques can be extended to any dimension. Consider the symmetric Fock space over one-particle separable Hilbert space H

$$F(H) = \{\mathbb{C}\Omega\} \oplus H \oplus \cdots \oplus H^{\otimes_s n} \oplus \cdots,$$

where $H^{\otimes_s n}$ is a symmetrized tensor product of n copies of H . Given $f \in H$ an exponential vector $e(f) \in F(H)$ is determined by the formula

$$e(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{f^{\otimes n}}{\sqrt{n!}},$$

the linear envelope of exponential vectors is dense in $F(H)$. Let us define the annihilation and creation operators $a(f), a^\dagger(g)$ as follows:

$$a(f)e(g) = (f, g)e(g), \quad a^\dagger(f)e(g) = \frac{d}{dt}e(g + tf)|_{t=0}.$$

Then, $a(f)$ and $a(g)$ satisfy the canonical commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} a(f)a^\dagger(g) - a^\dagger(g)a(f) &= (f, g)I, \\ a(f)a(g) - a(g)a(f) &= 0, \\ a^\dagger(f)a^\dagger(g) - a^\dagger(g)a^\dagger(f) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Given $f \in H$ define a unitary Heisenberg–Weyl operator by the formula

$$W(f) = \exp(a^\dagger(f) - a(f)). \quad (3)$$

The set of Heisenberg–Weyl operators satisfies the condition

$$W(f)W(g) = e^{-i\text{Im}(g,f)}W(f+g)$$

Thus, (3) determines a projective unitary representation of the Abelian group $(H, +)$. Since

$$W(f)a(g)W(f)^\dagger = a(g) + (g, f)I$$

we get

$$W(f)e(0) = e^{-\frac{\|f\|^2}{2}}e(f).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} W(f)e(g) &= e^{\frac{\|g\|^2}{2}}W(f)W(g)e(0) = e^{\frac{\|g\|^2}{2}}e^{-i\text{Im}(g,f)}W(f+g)e(0) \\ &= e^{-\frac{\|f\|^2}{2}-i\text{Im}(g,f)}e(f+g). \end{aligned}$$

(Note that $e(0) = \Omega$.) Suppose that we have a subset $K \subset H$ considered as a measurable space with some measure μ . Our goal is to obtain the conditions on the orthogonal projection Q and μ under which

$$\int_K W(f)QW(f)^*d\mu(f) = I. \quad (4)$$

Equation (4) ensures us that the following subspace of $B(F(H))$

$$\mathcal{V} = \overline{\text{span}}\{W(f)QW(f)^*, f \in K\}$$

is an operator graph.

Consider a composite system $H = \mathfrak{H} \oplus \mathfrak{K}$. In the case, $F(H)$ and $F(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes F(\mathfrak{K})$ are unitary equivalent. The corresponding map is given by the formula

$$U(e(f \oplus g)) = e(f) \otimes e(g), \quad f, g \in \mathfrak{H}, \quad g \in \mathfrak{K}. \quad (5)$$

If $H = \{\mathbb{C}f\}$ is one-dimensional and $\|f\| = 1$, then given an $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ the exponential vector $e(\alpha f) \in F(H) \equiv F(\mathbb{C})$ can be identified with a unnormalized coherent state $e^{\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}}|\alpha\rangle$. In this case, the Weyl operator $W(\alpha f)$ should be identified with the displacement operator $D(\alpha)$.

3 Non-commutative operator graphs in the symmetric Fock space

Let H be the n -dimensional Hilbert space with the fixed orthonormal basis f_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then, a linear operator

$$U_n : F(H) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes n}$$

defined by the formula

$$U_n(e(\alpha_1 f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha_n f_n)) = e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j|^2} |\alpha_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\alpha_n\rangle$$

fulfils a unitary equivalence between $F(H)$ and $F(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes n}$. Consider an arbitrary unitary $n \times n$ matrix

$$\Phi = \{\Phi_{jk}, 1 \leq j, k \leq n\}.$$

We also need the inverse unitary matrix

$$\Phi^{-1} = \{\Phi_{kj}^*\}.$$

Let us define a positive linear operator $Q_\Phi : F(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes n} \rightarrow F(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes n}$ by the formula

$$Q_\Phi = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^{+\infty} r dr \bigotimes_{j=1}^n |re^{i\theta} \Phi_{j,1}\rangle \langle re^{i\theta} \Phi_{j,1}|.$$

Proposition 1. Q_Φ is the orthogonal projection.

Proof.

Consider a unitary operator $\mathcal{U} : F(H) \rightarrow F(H)$ with the matrix Φ^{-1} in the basis (f_k) . Then,

$$U_n \mathcal{U} U_n^* \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^n |re^{i\theta} \Phi_{j,1}\rangle \right) = |re^{i\theta}\rangle \otimes |0\rangle^{\otimes n-1}.$$

Hence,

$$U_n \mathcal{U} U_n^* Q_\Phi U_n \mathcal{U} U_n^* = I_{F(\mathbb{C})} \otimes |0\rangle \langle 0|^{\otimes n-1}$$

in virtue of (1). \square

Let us take a set of indices

$$R = \{r_j \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq n\},$$

$$\Theta = \{2\pi \geq \theta_j \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

and define a unitary operator $D_{R,\Theta,\Phi} : F(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes n} \rightarrow F(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes n}$ by the formula

$$D_{R,\Theta,\Phi} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^n D \left(\sum_{k=2}^n e^{i\theta_k} r_k \Phi_{j,k} \right).$$

Theorem 1.

$$\frac{1}{\pi^{n-1}} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} D_{R,\Theta,\Phi} Q_{\Phi} D_{R,\Theta,\Phi} \prod_{k=2}^n r_k dr_k d\theta_k = I.$$

Proof.

Denote

$$g_k = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \Phi_{j,k} f_j, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\pi^{n-1}} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} U_n^* D_{R,\Theta,\Phi} Q_{\Phi} D_{R,\Theta,\Phi} U_n \prod_{k=2}^n r_k dr_k d\theta_k \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} W(r_n e^{i\theta_n} g_n) \cdots W(r_2 e^{i\theta_2} g_2) W(r_1 e^{i\theta_1} g_1) |\Omega\rangle \langle \Omega| \\ & \quad W(r_1 e^{i\theta_1} g_1)^* W(r_2 e^{i\theta_2} g_2)^* \cdots W(r_n e^{i\theta_n} g_n)^* \prod_{k=1}^n r_k dr_k d\theta_k \equiv \tilde{Q}. \end{aligned}$$

Since (g_k) are pairwise orthogonal we can define a unitary operator $U_{\Phi} : F(H) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{C}^{\otimes n})$ by the formula

$$U_{\Phi}(e(\beta_1 g_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \beta_n g_n)) = e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n |\beta_k|^2} |\beta_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\beta_n\rangle. \quad (6)$$

It follows that

$$U_{\Phi} \tilde{Q} \tilde{U}_{\Phi}^* = \frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left(\bigotimes_{k=1}^n D(\beta_k) \right) |0\rangle \langle 0|^{\otimes n} \left(\bigotimes_{k=1}^n D^*(\beta_k) \right) \prod_{k=1}^n d^2 \beta_k = I$$

by means of (2).

□

Corollary. *The closure*

$$\mathcal{V}_\Phi = \overline{\text{span}}\{D_{R,\Theta,\Phi}Q_\Phi D_{R,\Theta,\Phi}^*, \Theta \in [0, 2\pi]^{n-1}, R \in [0, +\infty)^{n-1}\}$$

is a non-commutative operator graph.

Theorem 2. *Given $\Phi, \Gamma \in [0, 2\pi]^{n-1}$ and $X \in (0, +\infty)^{n-1}$ the projection $P_{\Phi,\Gamma,X}$ determined by*

$$P_{\Phi,\Gamma,X} = U_\Phi U_n^* (I_{F(\mathbb{C})} \otimes |X_1 e^{i\Gamma_1}\rangle \langle X_1 e^{i\Gamma_1}| \otimes \cdots \otimes |X_{n-1} e^{i\Gamma_{n-1}}\rangle \langle X_{n-1} e^{i\Gamma_{n-1}}|) U_n U_\Phi^*$$

is a quantum anticlique for \mathcal{V}_Φ .

Proof.

We get

$$\begin{aligned} & U_n U_\Phi^* P_{\Phi,\Gamma,X} D_{R,\Theta,\Phi} Q_\Phi D_{R,\Theta,\Phi} P_{\Phi,\Gamma,X} U_\Phi U_n^* \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} |\langle r_{k+1} e^{i\theta_{k+1}}, X_k e^{i\Gamma_k} \rangle|^2 \\ & I_{F(\mathbb{C})} \otimes |X_1 e^{i\Gamma_1}\rangle \langle X_1 e^{i\Gamma_1}| \otimes \cdots \otimes |X_{n-1} e^{i\Gamma_{n-1}}\rangle \langle X_{n-1} e^{i\Gamma_{n-1}}| \\ &= C(\Phi, \Gamma, X, R, \Theta) P_{\Phi,\Gamma,X} \end{aligned}$$

Since U_Φ and U_n are unitary and $F(\mathbb{C})$ is an infinite-dimensional space so the image of $P_{\Phi,\Gamma,X}$ is an infinite-dimensional subspace of $F(H)$. Thus $P_{\Phi,\Gamma,X}$ is an anticlique. \square

4 Conclusion

We constructed non-commutative operator graphs consisting of operators acting on the symmetric Fock space over one-particle state spaces. For such graphs problem of quantum error correction is studied. Quantum anticliques (quantum error correcting codes) are obtained.

5 Acknowledgments

Research of A.S. Mokeev is supported by “Native towns”, a social investment program of PJSC “Gazprom Neft”.

References

- [1] E. Knill, R. Laflamme, “Theory of error-correction codes, ”Physical Review A **55**, 900–911 (1997).
- [2] E. Knill, R. Laflamme, L. Viola, “Theory of quantum error correction for general noise, ”Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2525 (2000).
- [3] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVincenzo, J. A. Smolin, W. K. Wootters, “Mixed state entanglement and quantum error correction, ”Physical Review A **54**, 3824–3851 (1996).
- [4] R. Duan, S. Severini, A. Winter, “Zero-error communication via quantum channels, noncommutative graphs and a quantum Lovasz theta function, ”IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory. **59**, 1164–1174 (2013).
- [5] G.G. Amosov, “On general properties of non-commutative operator graphs, Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics, ”**39** (3), 304–308 (2018).
- [6] G.G. Amosov, A.S. Mokeev, “On non-commutative operator graphs generated by covariant resolutions of identity, ”Quantum Information Processing **17**, 325 (2018).
- [7] G.G. Amosov, A.S. Mokeev, “On non-commutative operator graphs generated by reducible unitary representation of the Heisenberg-Weyl group, ”International Journal of Theoretical Physics, doi:10.1007/s10773-018-3963-4; arXiv:1812.02515.
- [8] G.G. Amosov, A.S. Mokeev, “On linear structure of non-commutative operator graphs, ”Lobachevskii J. Math. **40** (10), 1440–1443 (2019).
- [9] G.G. Amosov, A.S. Mokeev, A.N. Pechen, “Non-commutative graphs and quantum error correction for a two-mode quantum oscillator,”arXiv:1910.08935.
- [10] R.G. Glauber, “Coherent and incoherent states of the radiation field, ”Physical Review **131** (6), 2766–2788 (1963).
- [11] E.C.G. Sudarshan, “Equivalence of semiclassical and quantum mechanical descriptions of statistical light beams, ”Physical Review Letters **10** (7), 277–279 (1963).
- [12] J.R. Klauder, E.C.G. Sudarshan, *Fundamentals of quantum optics*, (W.A. Benjamin, INC, 1968).

- [13] *The theory of non-classical states of light* (ed. V.V. Dodonov, V.I. Man'ko), (Taylor & Francies, 2003).
- [14] A. Perelomov, *Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications*, (Springer-Verlag, 1986).