A Deep Learning Model for Chilean Bills Classification
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Abstract—Automatic bill classification is an attractive task with many potential applications such as automated detection and counting in images or videos. To address this purpose we present a Deep Learning Model to classify Chilean Banknotes, because of its successful results in image processing applications. For optimal performance of the proposed model, data augmentation techniques are introduced due to the limited number of image samples. Positive results were achieved in this work, verifying that it could be a stepping point to be extended to more complex applications.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The automatic classification of bills may be an interesting work as a step in the process for more complex applications for institutions such as banks or casinos. They need quick and accurate control and sorting operations to handle large amounts of cash. Since each bill has its own design, human vision can distinguish them easily by their letters, colors and patterns, but for a machine vision system this would be slightly difficult. For computers algorithms, it is necessary to obtain the highest number of image characteristics to identify each bill design. Deep Neural Networks (DNN) have achieved exceptional results on image feature extraction. They have demonstrated to be useful for objects and faces detection even introducing different light conditions and object orientations [1]. Also, in recent years, DNN has allowed the detection of simultaneous objects. In particular, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) are the most used model for image processing [2] and applications such as counterfeit bill detection or bills portrait detection [3]–[6]. In this work we propose a CNN model to classify images of Chilean banknotes. Due to the reduced number of samples, we describe the use of different data augmentation techniques to artificially increase the number of images and improve the classification process. Good results are achieved for a sufficient amount of data, without the need to excessively increase the complexity of the model.

II. METHODS

A. Data Collection

Chile has 5 different banknotes for 1000, 2000, 5000, 10000 and 20000 pesos [7]. To collect the data, we download both front and back bills images provided by the Central Bank of Chile website. Since a DNN approach for image classification needs a large amount of samples, we need to increase the dataset size using techniques for data augmentation.

B. Dataset Augmentation

To enhance image classification task, DNN are trained on massive number of data examples, but in some areas, like medical imaging, data is scarce or expensive to generate, therefore, augmentation techniques are used to solve this problem [8]. Moreover, DNN have a large number of parameters to set, which usually causes overfitting. Data augmentation acts as a regularizer to deal this issue. On the other hand it is important to choose good data augmentation functions, because they can slow down training and can introduce biases into the dataset [9]. Some of the techniques used in this work were: translations, rotations, scaling, brightness variation, Gaussian blur, random figures over the image, etc. Fig. 1 presents samples of generated images.

C. Convolutional Neural Networks

CNN are simply neural networks that use convolution in place of general matrix multiplication in at least one of their layers [8]. This neural networks consists of an input layer, one or more convolution layers, one or many fully connected layer, and an output layer. The convolution layer generally includes a convolution operation, a pooling operation, and an activation function. The Convolution is an operation of a matrix of weights called Kernel over the image data matrix. The Kernel slides over the image inputs, performing an element-wise multiplication and then summing up the results into a single output pixel. It works like a filter and the repeated application of the same filter to an input results in a map of activations called a Feature map. The Pooling operation reduces the size of the image by leaving only values that satisfy certain rules among the pixels in a specific area [5]. Usually max or average is used. The Activation Function is used to apply nonlinearity to the results of the previous layer. Generally ReLu, Sigmoid and Hyperbolic Tangent functions are used [5]. The fully connected layer is a simple feed forward neural networks and is the most basic component of CNN. This layer form the last few layer in this network. The input of this layer is
the flattened output from the final pooling or convolutional layer, feeding into the fully connected layer. For classification
tasks, Softmax is used as activation function in the output
layer. Other methods included in this kind of models are
Dropout, technique used to reduce overfitting removing neural
units randomly [10]; and Batch Normalization used to improve

D. AlexNet

AlexNet is a Deep Model architecture used in ImageNet
Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge [12]. In our pro-
posed model we keep the original design of AlexNet only
setting the number of neurons and size of convolution kernels.
AlexNet has 8 layers, the first 5 are convolutional layers, and
the last 3 are fully connected. The detailed configuration used
was:

1) Convolution layer with 32 filters, kernel size of (11,11)
   and (2, 2) max pooling.
2) Convolution layer with 64 filters, kernel size of (5,5)
   and (2, 2) max pooling.
3) Convolution layer with 128 filters, kernel size of (3,3)
   and (2, 2) max pooling. Also include a zero-padding of
   size (1, 1).
4) Convolution layer with 256 filters, kernel size of (3,3)
   and zero-padding of size (1, 1).
5) Convolution layer with 256 filters, kernel size of (3,3)
   and (2, 2) max pooling. Also include a zero-padding of
   size (1, 1).
6) Dense layer with 512 neurons and dropout of 50%.
7) Dense layer with 32 neurons and dropout of 50%.
8) Dense layer with 5 neurons.

The activation function used in all layer was ReLu except
last one with Softmax. Also, all layers used Batch Normal-
ization. Training process were performed using Stochastic
Gradient Descent with 0.1 of learning rate, using 100 samples
as batch size and 400 epochs.

E. Implementation

The model was implemented with Python using the follow-
ing libraries:

- NumPy for data structures manipulation.
- OpenCV for image processing, including data augmenta-
tion techniques.
- Scikit-Learn for metrics and data processing.
- Keras, a library to build Machine Learning models avoid-
ing too much code.

Experiments were performed in computational infrastructure
provided by Leftraru cluster from the National Laboratory of
High Performance Computing Chile (NLHPC).

III. RESULTS

In this section we first define the metrics used in the model
evaluation and then we show the results obtained.

A. Metrics

Let $M$ a matrix where $i$-th row represents the true class
label and $j$-th column the predicted class label. Each element
$M_{i,j}$ is the number of times that the classifier predicted label
$i$ as $j$.

- **Precision** is the number of correct predictions of class $i$
  versus the total of values predicted as $i$.
  \[ \text{Precision}_i = \frac{M_{i,i}}{\sum_j M_{j,i}} \]

- **Recall** is the number of correct predictions of class $i$
  versus total number of instances that should have label $i$.
  \[ \text{Recall}_i = \frac{M_{i,i}}{\sum_j M_{i,j}} \]

- **F$_1$ score** integrate precision and recall respective to a
  specific positive class. The F$_1$ score can be interpreted
  as a weighted average of the precision and recall.
  \[ F_1 = \frac{2 \times \text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \]

- **Accuracy** is the ratio between number of correct predic-
tions over the total of predictions made.
  \[ \text{Accuracy} = \frac{\sum_i M_{i,i}}{\sum_i \sum_j M_{i,j}} \]

B. Model performance

To measure model performance we generate a dataset of
5000 samples, with 1000 samples per class. The images where
scaled to a fixed size of 150 × 150 using the 3 RGB channels.
50% of the images was front and 50% back for each class
and also a 10% of threshold was used to apply a random data
augmentation technique described in sub-section IIB
Then, dataset was split into training and testing sets in a proportion
of 67% and 33% respectively. Training set was also split into
the same proportion for a validation set to analyze metrics in
training step. Fig. 2 shows the Confusion Matrix associated
with the experiment carried out. Visually we notice that the
classifier has a satisfactory performance, making mistakes only
in a few samples. Using metrics described before and with
Confusion Matrix as $M$, Table I summarizes the model per-
formance. Numerically we validate that our proposal reaches
more than 90% in the metrics presented and an accuracy of
94%.

IV. CONTRIBUTION

Interesting results were achieved by means of a CNN model
based on Alexnet architecture and data augmentation tech-
niques. This study provides a proof of concepts as a first step
to make more complex applications such as bill recognition
and counting. Focusing on casinos or banks problems, this
classification task gives the possibility of quick bills process
through images or videos. When the number of banknotes is
very large, we could use this automatic approach to improve
performance in this type of task and therefore help to reduce
the number of human mistakes.
All the source code used in this work are online in a public repository and may be accessed in [https://github.com/dsanmartin/chilean-bills-classification](https://github.com/dsanmartin/chilean-bills-classification)
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APPENDIX

TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Class</th>
<th>Metrics</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>f1-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2000</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>0.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5000</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td>0.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10000</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>0.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20000</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macro Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.944</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Three sample bills with data augmentation techniques. Above $1000 banknote, middle $10000 banknote and below $20000 banknote.

Fig. 2. Confusion Matrix with classification results.