

# Construction of mutually unbiased maximally entangled bases in $\mathbb{C}^{2^s} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^s}$ by using Galois rings

DENGMING XU\*

## Abstract

Mutually unbiased bases plays a central role in quantum mechanics and quantum information processing. As an important class of mutually unbiased bases, mutually unbiased maximally entangled bases (MUMEBs) in bipartite systems have attracted much attention in recent years. In the paper, we try to construct MUMEBs in  $\mathbb{C}^{2^s} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^s}$  by using Galois rings, which is different from the work in [15], where finite fields are used. As applications, we obtain several new types of MUMEBs in  $\mathbb{C}^{2^s} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^s}$  and prove that  $M(2^s, 2^s) \geq 3(2^s - 1)$ , which raises the lower bound of  $M(2^s, 2^s)$  given in [14].

## 1 Introduction

Mutually unbiased bases (MUBs) and maximally entangled states play a central role in quantum mechanics and quantum information processing, such as quantum key distribution, cryptographic protocols, mean king's problem and quantum teleportation and super dense coding, see [6, 8] for details. As an important class of mutually unbiased bases, mutually unbiased maximally entangled bases (MUMEBs) in bipartite systems also have a close relation with unitary 2-design ([9]) and mutually unbiased unitary bases ([10]). Moreover, MUMEBs can be used to construct MUBs in a Hilbert space of composite order ([11]). In recent years, construction of MUMEBs has attracted much attention ([5, 8, 14, 15]).

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d \geq 2$ . Two orthonormal bases  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{|\phi_i\rangle \mid 1 \leq i \leq d\}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{|\psi_i\rangle \mid 1 \leq i \leq d\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^d$  are said to be mutually unbiased if

$$\forall 1 \leq i, j \leq d, \quad |\langle \phi_i | \psi_j \rangle| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}.$$

A set of orthonormal bases  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots, \mathcal{B}_m$  in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  is called a set of mutually unbiased bases (MUBs) if every pair in the set is mutually unbiased. Let  $N(d)$  denote the maximum cardinality of any set of MUBs in  $\mathbb{C}^d$ . It was proved that  $N(d) = d + 1$  if  $d$  is a prime power ([2, 7, 12]). However, little has been known when  $d$  is a composite number, the exact value of  $N(6)$  is still unknown.

Suppose  $d \geq 2$ . A (pure) maximally entangled state in  $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$  can be written as

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=1}^d |e_i\rangle \otimes U|e_i\rangle,$$

---

\*Sino-European Institute of Aviation Engineering, Civil Aviation University of China, 300300 Tianjin, People's Republic of China.

Keywords: Mutually unbiased bases, Mutually unbiased maximally entangled states, Galois rings, Trace-zero excluded subsets, Unitary matrices.

E-mail: xudeng17@163.com, Tel: 0086-22-24092750.

where  $\{e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d\}$  is an orthonormal bases of  $\mathbb{C}^d$  and  $U$  is a unitary operator on  $\mathbb{C}^d$ . A base  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$  is called a maximally entangled base (MEB) if each element in  $\mathcal{B}$  is a maximally entangled state. Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots, \mathcal{B}_m\}$  be a set of orthonormal MEBs in  $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ . We call  $\mathcal{A}$  a set of mutually unbiased maximally entangled bases (MUMEBs) if every pair in  $\{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots, \mathcal{B}_m\}$  is mutually unbiased. Let  $M(d, d)$  be the maximal cardinality of any set of mutually unbiased maximally entangled bases in  $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ . It is obvious that  $M(d, d) \leq N(d)$ . In [9], the author proved that  $M(d, d) \leq d^2 - 1$  when  $d$  is a prime, and  $M(d, d) = d^2 - 1$  for  $d = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11$ . However, it is still unclear if this is true for all prime numbers. In [8, 11], the authors provided general methods to construct MUMEBs. In [14], it was proved that  $M(d, d) \geq 2(d - 1)$  for any prime power number  $d$ . In addition, the authors in [5] constructed MUMEBs in  $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$  when  $d$  is a composite number.

Let  $q$  be an odd prime power, the author in [15] proved that  $M(q, q) \geq \frac{q^2-1}{2}$  by constructing some special subsets in the special linear group  $SL(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$ . Unfortunately, the construction is not applicable when  $q = 2^s$ . Let  $s \geq 2$ . Inspired by the work in [15], by using Galois rings instead of finite fields used in [15], we construct MUMEBs in  $\mathbb{C}^{2^s} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^s}$  through trace-zero excluded subsets of the special linear group  $SL(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^s})$ . Namely, a non-empty subset  $C$  of  $SL(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^s})$  is called a **trace-zero excluded subset** if for any different  $A$  and  $B$  in  $C$ , the trace of  $A^{-1}B$  is nonzero. Based on the definition, by means of basic results on the Galois ring  $GR(4, 4^s)$ , we obtain the following result (see Theorem 3.6).

**Theorem A** *Suppose that  $C$  is a trace-zero excluded subset of  $SL(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^s})$ . Then  $(\Phi_A)_{A \in C}$  is a set of MUMEBs in  $\mathbb{C}^{2^s} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^s}$ .*

Theorem A provides a new method to construct MUMEBs in  $\mathbb{C}^{2^s} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^s}$ . It gives us a possibility to higher the lower bound of  $M(2^s, 2^s)$ . Based on Theorem A, we construct new types of MUMEBs in  $\mathbb{C}^{2^s} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^s}$  by seeking trace-zero excluded subsets in  $SL(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^s})$ . As an application of the theorem, we prove that  $M(2^s, 2^s) \geq 3(2^s - 1)$  (see Proposition 3.8), which raises the lower bound of  $M(2^s, 2^s)$  given in [14].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first introduce basic definitions and results about Galois rings, and then briefly recall a general construction of MUMEBs in  $\mathbb{C}^{2^s} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^s}$  given in [14], finally we show how to construct unitary matrices from  $SL(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^s})$ . In Section 3, we give a proof of Theorem A and construct MUMEBs in  $\mathbb{C}^{2^s} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^s}$  by seeking trace-zero excluded subsets of  $SL(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^s})$ . In Section 4, we give conclusions of the paper.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 An introduction to basic facts about Galois rings

First, we recall basic definitions and facts about Galois rings from [4, 13].

Let  $h_2(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_2[X]$  be a primitive polynomial of degree  $s \geq 2$ . Then there is a unique monic polynomial  $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$  of degree  $s$  such that  $h(x) \equiv h_2(x) \pmod{2}$  and  $h(x)$  divides  $x^{2^s-1} - 1 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $\xi$  be a root of  $h(x)$  such that  $\xi^{2^s-1} = 1$ . Then the **Galois ring**  $GR(4, 4^s)$  is defined by  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}_4(\xi)$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_s = \{0, 1, \xi, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^{2^s-2}\}$ .

Then

$$\mathbb{Z}_4(\xi) = \{a + 2b \mid (a, b) \in \mathcal{T}_s \times \mathcal{T}_s\}.$$

The Galois ring  $\mathcal{R}$  has a unique maximal ideal  $2\mathcal{R}$  and the residue field  $\mathcal{R}/2\mathcal{R}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_{2^s}$ , we will identify  $\mathcal{R}/2\mathcal{R}$  with  $\mathbb{F}_{2^s}$ . The *Frobenius map*  $f$  from  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathcal{R}$  is defined by

$$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{T}_s, f(a + 2b) = a^2 + 2b^2.$$

The *relative trace*  $tr$  from  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  is defined by

$$\forall c \in \mathcal{R}, \quad tr(c) = c + f(c) + f^2(c) + \cdots + f^{s-1}(c).$$

The *additive character*  $\lambda$  of  $(\mathcal{R}, +)$  is defined by  $\forall x \in \mathcal{R}, \lambda(x) = i^{tr(x)}$ . It is easy to see from the definition that

$$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{T}_s, \quad \lambda(a) = \lambda(a^2), \quad \lambda(2b) = \lambda(2b^2),$$

we will use these facts frequently in the rest of the paper.

Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{T}_s$ . Since  $(a^{2^{s-1}})^2 = a$ , we set  $\sqrt{a} = a^{2^{s-1}}$ . Then one can check that  $a + b + 2\sqrt{ab} \in \mathcal{T}_s$  and we write

$$a + b = \underbrace{a + b + 2\sqrt{ab}}_{\in \mathcal{T}_s} + 2\underbrace{(\sqrt{ab})}_{\in \mathcal{T}_s}.$$

Define  $a \oplus b = a + b + 2\sqrt{ab}$ . Then we have

$$(a \oplus b)^2 = (a + b)^2, \quad 2(a \oplus b) = 2(a + b).$$

In this way,  $(\mathcal{T}_s, \oplus, \cdot)$  forms a field. Let  $\mu$  be the canonical map from  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}/2\mathcal{R} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{2^s}$ , we get an isomorphism of fields:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi: (\mathcal{T}_s, \oplus, \cdot) &\rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_{2^s}, +, \cdot) \\ x &\mapsto \mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

Based on the isomorphism, for any  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^s}$ ,  $\lambda(\phi^{-1}(x))$  will be denoted by  $\lambda(x)$  for abbreviation. In this way, for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^s}$ ,  $\lambda(\phi^{-1}(a + b))$  will be denoted by  $\lambda(a \oplus b)$ .

For the proof of the main results, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** [4, Lemma 3] *Keep these notations as above. Then the following is true.*

(1) Set  $\Gamma(r) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{T}_s} \lambda(rx)$  for each  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ . Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{T}_s$  with  $a \neq 0$ . Then  $\Gamma(a + 2b) = \Gamma(1)\lambda(-a^{-1}b)$  and  $|\Gamma(1)| = \sqrt{2^s}$ .

(2) Let  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then

$$\left| \sum_{x \in \mathcal{T}_s} \lambda(rx) \right| = \begin{cases} 0, & r \in 2\mathcal{T}_s, r \neq 0; \\ 2^s, & r = 0; \\ \sqrt{2^s}, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

## 2.2 General construction of mutually unbiased maximally entangled bases by using Galois rings.

From now on, let  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $s \geq 2$ . Set  $q = 2^s$  and  $F = \mathbb{F}_{2^s}$ . Let  $\xi$  be a fixed primitive element in  $F$ , we order the elements in  $F$  as  $F = \{0, 1, \xi, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^{2^s-2}\}$ . Fix an orthonormal basis  $\{|e_r\rangle : r \in F\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^q$ . Define Pauli operators

$$H_{\xi, \eta} = \sum_{r \in F} \lambda(2(r\xi)) |e_{r+\eta}\rangle \langle e_r|, \quad \xi, \eta \in F$$

Given a unitary matrix  $U$  in  $M_q(\mathbb{C})$ , we know that  $\{|Ue_r\rangle : r \in F\}$  is again an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^q$ . Applying  $H_{\xi, \eta} \otimes I_q$  on the following maximally entangled state  $|\Psi_U\rangle$ ,

$$|\Psi_U\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{r \in F} |e_r\rangle \otimes U|e_r\rangle.$$

we get  $q^2$  maximally entangled states:

$$(H_{\xi, \eta} \otimes I_q) |\Psi_U\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{r \in F} \lambda(2r\xi) |e_{r+\eta}\rangle \otimes U|e_r\rangle \quad \xi, \eta \in F.$$

Set  $\Psi_U = \{(H_{\xi, \eta} \otimes I_q) |\Psi_U\rangle \mid \xi, \eta \in F\}$ .

Now we can state the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2** [14, Lemma 4.2] (1) For any unitary matrix  $U$  in  $M_q(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\Psi_U$  is an orthonormal MEB in  $\mathbb{C}^q \otimes \mathbb{C}^q$ .  
(2) Given two unitary matrices  $U, V$  in  $M_q(\mathbb{C})$ , set  $W = U^*V = (w_{i,j})$ . Then  $\Psi_U$  and  $\Psi_V$  in  $\mathbb{C}^q \otimes \mathbb{C}^q$  are mutually unbiased if and only if

$$\forall \xi, \eta \in F, \quad \left| \sum_{r \in F} \lambda(2r\xi) w_{r, r+\eta} \right| = 1. \quad (4.1)$$

## 2.3 Unitary matrices constructed from $SL(2, F)$

The work of this subsection is inspired by [1, 15]. We will use the Galois ring  $\mathcal{R}$  instead of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  used in [1] and the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  used in [15]. Recall that

$$SL(2, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(F) \mid \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = 1 \right\}.$$

Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \in SL(2, F)$ .

- Suppose  $\beta \neq 0$ . Define  $V_A$  as:

$$\forall m, n \in F, \quad (V_A)_{m,n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \lambda(\beta^{-1}(\alpha n^2 + 2mn + \delta m^2)).$$

- Suppose  $\beta = 0$ . Then  $\delta \neq 0$ . Let

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma & \delta \\ \alpha & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $L, K \in SL(2, F)$  and  $A = LK$ . We define  $V_A = V_L V_K$ .

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \in SL(2, F)$ .

(1) If  $\beta = 0$ , then

$$\forall m, n \in F, \quad (V_A)_{m,n} = \begin{cases} \lambda(mn\gamma) & m = \alpha n \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if  $\gamma = 0$ , then  $V_A$  is the permutation matrix defined in [8]. In particular,  $V_{I_2} = I_q$ .

(2)  $V_A$  is a unitary matrix.

**Proof** (1) If  $\beta = 0$ , then  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\delta = \alpha^{-1}$ . Let  $m, n \in F$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (V_A)_{m,n} &= \sum_{k \in F} (V_L)_{m,k} (V_K)_{k,n} = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k \in F} \lambda(2mk + \delta^{-1}(\gamma n^2 + 2kn)) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \lambda(\alpha \gamma n^2) \sum_{k \in F} \lambda(2k(m + \alpha n)) \quad (\delta = \alpha^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \lambda(\alpha \gamma n^2) \sum_{k \in F} \lambda(2k(m \oplus \alpha n)) \end{aligned}$$

Then the result follows from Lemma 2.1

(2) If  $\beta = 0$ , then we get from (1) that  $V_A$  is unitary. Now suppose that  $\beta \neq 0$ . Let  $m, n \in F$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (V_A^* V_A)_{m,n} &= \sum_{k \in F} (\overline{V_A})_{k,m} (V_K)_{k,n} = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k \in F} \lambda(\beta^{-1}(\alpha n^2 + 2nk + \delta k^2) - \beta^{-1}(\alpha m^2 + 2km + \delta k^2)) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \lambda(\beta^{-1} \alpha (n^2 - m^2)) \sum_{k \in F} \lambda(2k\beta^{-1}(n - m)) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \lambda(\beta^{-1} \alpha (n^2 - m^2)) \sum_{k \in F} \lambda(2k\beta^{-1}(n \oplus m)) \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $V_A$  is unitary.  $\square$

The rest of this section will not be used in our proofs, we show it here and hope that it may be of its own interest. Let  $a \in F$ . Define two matrices  $X_a$  and  $Z_a$  in  $M_q(\mathbb{C})$  as follows:

$$\forall m, n \in F, \quad (X_a)_{m,n} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = n + a \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad (Z_a)_{m,n} = \begin{cases} \lambda(2ma) & \text{if } m = n \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Then  $X_a^* = X_a$  and  $Z_a^* = Z_a$ . Let  $v = (a, b) \in F^2$ . One can check  $Z_b X_a = \lambda(2ab) X_a Z_b$ . Define  $D_v = \lambda(ab) X_a Z_b$ .

Then  $D_v^* = D_v$  and

$$\forall m, n \in F, \quad (D_v)_{m,n} = \begin{cases} \lambda(ab + 2bn) & m = n + a \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.4** Keep the notations as above. Then  $\forall A \in SL(2, F), \forall v \in F^2, V_A D_v V_A^* = \pm D_{Av}$ .

**Proof** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \in SL(2, F)$ .

(1) Suppose  $\beta \neq 0$ . Set  $v = (a, b)$ . By definition, we have

$$\forall m, n \in F, \quad (V_A)_{m,n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \lambda(\beta^{-1}(\delta m^2 + 2mn + \alpha n^2)), \quad (D_v)_{m,n} = \begin{cases} \lambda(ab + 2bn) & m = n + a \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Then for each  $(m, n) \in F^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (V_A D_V)_{m,n} &= \sum_{k \in F} (V_A)_{m,k} (D_V)_{k,n} = (V_A)_{m,n+a} (D_V)_{n+a,n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \lambda(ab + 2bn + \beta^{-1}(\delta m^2 + 2(n \oplus a)m + \alpha(n \oplus a)^2)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \lambda(ab + 2bn + \beta^{-1}(\delta m^2 + \alpha n^2 + \alpha a^2 + 2nm + 2am + 2\alpha na)) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (D_V V_A)_{m,n} &= \sum_{k \in F} (D_V)_{m,k} (V_A)_{k,n} = (D_V)_{m,m+a} (V_A)_{m+a,n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \lambda(-ab + 2bm + \beta^{-1}(\delta(m \oplus a)^2 + 2(m \oplus a)n + \alpha n^2)). \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \lambda(-ab + 2bm + \beta^{-1}(\delta m^2 + \delta a^2 + \alpha n^2 + 2\delta ma + 2mn + 2an)) \end{aligned}$$

Set  $Av = (\alpha a + \beta b, \gamma a + \delta b) = (a', b')$ . Recall that in  $\mathcal{R}$ , we have equations:  $2a' = 2(\alpha a \oplus \beta b)$ ,  $2b' = (\gamma a \oplus \delta b)$ ,  $2(a')^2 = (\gamma a \oplus \delta b)^2$  and  $(\alpha a \oplus \beta b)(\gamma a \oplus \delta b) = (\alpha a + \beta b + 2\sqrt{\alpha\beta ab})(\gamma a + \delta b + 2\sqrt{\gamma\delta ab})$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{Av})_{m,k} (V_A)_{k,n} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \lambda(-(\alpha a \oplus \beta b)(\gamma a \oplus \delta b) + 2b'm + \beta^{-1}(\delta m^2 + \delta a'^2 + \alpha n^2 + 2\delta ma' + 2mn + 2a'n)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \lambda(2\sqrt{\alpha\beta ab}(\gamma a + \delta b) + 2\sqrt{\gamma\delta ab}(\alpha a + \beta b)) \times \\ &\quad \lambda(-(\alpha a + \beta b)(\gamma a + \delta b) + 2b'm + \beta^{-1}(\delta m^2 + \delta a'^2 + \alpha n^2 + 2\delta ma' + 2mn + 2a'n)). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [15], one can check that

$$(D_{Av} V_A)_{m,n} = (V_A D_V)_{m,n} \lambda(2\sqrt{\alpha\beta ab}(\gamma a + \delta b) + 2\sqrt{\gamma\delta ab}(\alpha a + \beta b))$$

by a careful calculation. As a result,

$$V_A D_V V_A^* = \lambda(2\sqrt{\alpha\beta ab}(\gamma a + \delta b) + 2\sqrt{\gamma\delta ab}(\alpha a + \beta b)) D_{Av},$$

where  $\lambda(2\sqrt{\alpha\beta ab}(\gamma a + \delta b) + 2\sqrt{\gamma\delta ab}(\alpha a + \beta b)) = \pm 1$ .

(2) Suppose that  $\beta = 0$ . Then it follows from (1) and the definition of  $V_A$  that the result is also true.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of the Main results

#### 3.1 The sufficient condition

For simplicity, we denote  $\Phi_A = \Phi_{V_A}$  for each  $A \in SL(2, F)$ . Now we study when  $\Phi_A$  and  $\Phi_B$  are mutually unbiased for  $A, B \in SL(2, F)$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ \gamma_1 & \alpha_1^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_2 & 0 \\ \gamma_2 & \alpha_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$  in  $SL(2, F)$ . If  $\text{trace}(A^{-1}B) \neq 0$ , then  $\Phi_A$  and  $\Phi_B$  are mutually unbiased.*

**Proof** Let  $m, n \in F$ . Then we have by Lemma 2.3 that

$$(V_A^* V_B)_{m,n} = \sum_{k \in F} \overline{(V_A)_{k,m}} (V_B)_{k,n} = \begin{cases} \overline{(V_A)_{\alpha_1 m, m}} (V_B)_{\alpha_2 n, n} = \lambda(\alpha_2 n^2 \gamma_2 - \alpha_1 \gamma_1 m^2), & m = \alpha_1^{-1} \alpha_2 n \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

If  $\text{trace}(A^{-1}B) \neq 0$ , then  $\alpha_1^{-1}\alpha_2 \neq 1$ . It follows  $1 + \alpha_1^{-1}\alpha_2 \neq 0$ . Let  $\xi, \eta \in F$ . Then

$$\left| \sum_{r \in F} \lambda(2r\xi)(V_A^*V_B)_{r,r+\eta} \right| = |\lambda(2r\xi) \lambda(\alpha_2(r \oplus \eta)^2\gamma_2 - \alpha_1\gamma_1r^2)| = 1. \quad (r = (1 + \alpha_1^{-1}\alpha_2)^{-1}\alpha_1^{-1}\alpha_2\eta)$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $\Phi_A$  and  $\Phi_B$  are mutually unbiased.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ \gamma_1 & \alpha_1^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \\ \gamma_2 & \delta_2 \end{bmatrix}$  in  $SL(2, F)$  such that  $\beta_2 \neq 0$ . If  $\text{trace}(A^{-1}B) \neq 0$ , then  $\Phi_A$  and  $\Phi_B$  are mutually unbiased.

**Proof** Let  $m, n \in F$ . It follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$(V_A^*V_B)_{m,n} = \sum_{k \in F} \overline{(V_A)_{k,m}}(V_B)_{k,n} = \overline{(V_A)_{\alpha_1 m, m}}(V_B)_{\alpha_1 m, n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \lambda(\beta_2^{-1}(\alpha_2 n^2 + 2\alpha_1 m n + \delta_2(\alpha_1 m)^2) - \alpha_1 m^2 \gamma_1)$$

Let  $\xi, \eta \in F$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r \in F} \lambda(2r\xi)(V_A^*V_B)_{r,r+\eta} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{r \in F} \lambda(2r\xi + \beta_2^{-1}(\alpha_2(r \oplus \eta)^2 + 2\alpha_1 r(r \oplus \eta) + \delta_2(\alpha_1 r)^2) - \alpha_1 r^2 \gamma_1) \\ &= \frac{\lambda(\beta_2^{-1}\alpha_2\eta^2)}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{r \in F} \lambda(r^2(\beta_2^{-1}\alpha_2 + \beta_2^{-1}\delta_2\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_1\gamma_1) + 2r^2(\xi^2 + \beta_2^{-2}\alpha_2^2\eta^2 + \beta_2^{-1}\alpha_1 + \beta_2^{-2}\alpha_1^2\eta^2)) \\ &= \frac{\lambda(\beta_2^{-1}\alpha_2\eta^2)}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{r \in F} \lambda(r(\beta_2^{-1}\alpha_2 + \beta_2^{-1}\delta_2\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_1\gamma_1) + 2(\xi^2 + \beta_2^{-2}\alpha_2^2\eta^2 + \beta_2^{-1}\alpha_1 + \beta_2^{-2}\alpha_1^2\eta^2)) \\ &= \frac{\lambda(\beta_2^{-1}\alpha_2\eta^2)}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{r \in F} \lambda(r((\beta_2^{-1}\alpha_2 \oplus \beta_2^{-1}\delta_2\alpha_1^2 \oplus \alpha_1\gamma_1) + 2c)) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $c \in \mathcal{T}_5$ . It follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that  $\Phi_A$  and  $\Phi_B$  are mutually unbiased if and only if  $|\sum_{r \in F} \lambda(2r\xi)(V_A^*V_B)_{r,r+\eta}| = 1$ , if and only if  $\beta_2^{-1}\alpha_2 \oplus \beta_2^{-1}\delta_2\alpha_1^2 \oplus \alpha_1\gamma_1 \neq 0$ , if and only if  $\beta_2^{-1}\alpha_2 + \beta_2^{-1}\delta_2\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_1\gamma_1 \neq 0$  in  $F$ , if and only if

$$\beta_2\alpha_1^{-1}(\beta_2^{-1}\alpha_2 + \beta_2^{-1}\delta_2\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_1\gamma_1) = \alpha_1^{-1}\alpha_2 + \delta_2\alpha_1 + \beta_2\gamma_1 = \text{trace}(A^{-1}B) \neq 0. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 3.3** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \gamma_1 & \delta_1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \\ \gamma_2 & \delta_2 \end{bmatrix}$  in  $SL(2, F)$  such that  $\beta_1 \neq 0, \beta_2 \neq 0$ . If  $\text{trace}(A^{-1}B) \neq 0$ , then  $\Phi_A$  and  $\Phi_B$  are mutually unbiased.

**Proof** Let  $m, n \in F$ . Then

$$(V_A)_{m,n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \lambda(\beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1 n^2 + 2mn + \delta_1 m^2)), \quad (V_B)_{m,n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \lambda(\beta_2^{-1}(\alpha_2 n^2 + 2mn + \delta_2 m^2)).$$

It follow that

$$(V_A^*V_B)_{m,n} = \sum_{k \in F} \overline{(V_A)_{k,m}}(V_B)_{k,n} = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k \in F} \lambda(\beta_2^{-1}(\alpha_2 n^2 + 2kn + \delta_2 k^2) - \beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1 m^2 + 2km + \delta_1 k^2))$$

Let  $\xi, \eta \in F$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (V_A^*V_B)_{r,r+\eta} &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k \in F} \lambda(\beta_2^{-1}(\alpha_2(r \oplus \eta)^2 + 2k(r \oplus \eta) + \delta_2 k^2) - \beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1 r^2 + 2kr + \delta_1 k^2)) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k \in F} \lambda(\beta_2^{-1}(\alpha_2(r + \eta)^2 + 2k(r + \eta) + \delta_2 k^2) - \beta_1^{-1}(\alpha_1 r^2 + 2kr + \delta_1 k^2)) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \lambda(\alpha_2\beta_2^{-1}\eta^2) \lambda((\beta_2^{-1}\alpha_2 - \beta_1^{-1}\alpha_1)r^2 + 2r\alpha_2\beta_2^{-1}\eta) \sum_{k \in F} \lambda((\beta_2^{-1}\delta_2 - \beta_1^{-1}\delta_1)k^2 + 2k(\beta_2^{-1}r + \beta_1^{-1}r + \beta_2^{-1}\eta)) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r \in F} \lambda(2r\xi)(V_A^* V_B)_{r,r+\eta} \\
&= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r \in F} \lambda(2r\xi) \lambda(\alpha_2 \beta_2^{-1} \eta^2) \lambda((\beta_2^{-1} \alpha_2 - \beta_1^{-1} \alpha_1) r^2 + 2r \alpha_2 \beta_2^{-1} \eta) \sum_{k \in F} \lambda((\beta_2^{-1} \delta_2 - \beta_1^{-1} \delta_1) k^2 + 2k(\beta_2^{-1} r + \beta_1^{-1} r + \beta_2^{-1} \eta)) \\
&= \frac{1}{q} \lambda(\alpha_2 \beta_2^{-1} \eta^2) \sum_{r \in F} \lambda((\beta_2^{-1} \alpha_2 - \beta_1^{-1} \alpha_1) r^2 + 2r^2(\alpha_2^2 \beta_2^{-2} \eta^2 + \xi^2)) \sum_{k \in F} \lambda((\beta_2^{-1} \delta_2 - \beta_1^{-1} \delta_1) k^2 + 2k(\beta_2^{-1} r + \beta_1^{-1} r + \beta_2^{-1} \eta))
\end{aligned}$$

For the second sum, we have equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \in F} \lambda((\beta_2^{-1} \delta_2 - \beta_1^{-1} \delta_1) k^2 + 2k(\beta_2^{-1} r + \beta_1^{-1} r + \beta_2^{-1} \eta)) \\
&= \sum_{k \in F} \lambda((\beta_2^{-1} \delta_2 - \beta_1^{-1} \delta_1) k^2 + 2k^2(\beta_2^{-2} r^2 + \beta_1^{-2} r^2 + \beta_2^{-2} \eta^2)) \\
&= \sum_{k \in F} \lambda(k((\beta_2^{-1} \delta_2 - \beta_1^{-1} \delta_1) + 2(\beta_2^{-2} r^2 + \beta_1^{-2} r^2 + \beta_2^{-2} \eta^2))) \\
&= \sum_{k \in F} \lambda(k((\beta_2^{-1} \delta_2 + \beta_1^{-1} \delta_1) + 2(\beta_2^{-2} r^2 + \beta_1^{-2} r^2 + \beta_2^{-2} \eta^2 + \beta_1^{-1} \delta_1))) \\
&= \sum_{k \in F} \lambda(k((\beta_2^{-1} \delta_2 \oplus \beta_1^{-1} \delta_1) + 2((\beta_2^{-2} \oplus \beta_1^{-2}) r^2 \oplus \underbrace{\beta_2^{-2} \eta^2 \oplus \beta_1^{-1} \delta_1 \oplus \beta_2^{-1} \delta_2 \beta_1^{-1} \delta_1}_{d})))
\end{aligned}$$

**Case 1**  $\beta_2^{-1} \delta_2 \oplus \beta_1^{-1} \delta_1 = 0$ . We claim that  $\beta_1^{-2} \oplus \beta_2^{-2} \neq 0$ .

*Proof of the claim:* Suppose that  $\beta_1^{-2} \oplus \beta_2^{-2} = 0$ . Then we get equations  $\beta_2^{-1} \delta_2 + \beta_1^{-1} \delta_1 = 0$  and  $\beta_1^{-2} + \beta_2^{-2} = 0$  in  $F$ . It follows that  $\beta_1 = \beta_2$  and  $\delta_1 = \delta_2$ . Since both  $A$  and  $B$  are in  $SL(2, F)$ , we have  $\alpha_1 \delta_1 + \beta_1 \gamma_1 = \alpha_2 \delta_2 + \beta_2 \gamma_2 = 1$ . Then  $\alpha_1 \delta_1 + \beta_1 \gamma_1 + \alpha_2 \delta_2 + \beta_2 \gamma_2 = \beta_1 \gamma_2 + \beta_2 \gamma_1 + \alpha_2 \delta_1 + \alpha_1 \delta_2 = \text{trace}(A^{-1} B) = 0$ , which is a contradiction.

Now we return to calculate  $|\sum_{r \in F} \lambda(2r\xi)(V_A^* V_B)_{r,r+\eta}|$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
|\sum_{r \in F} \lambda(2r\xi)(V_A^* V_B)_{r,r+\eta}| &= \frac{1}{q} |\sum_{r \in F} \lambda((\beta_2^{-1} \alpha_2 - \beta_1^{-1} \alpha_1) r^2 + 2r^2(\alpha_2^2 \beta_2^{-2} \eta^2 + \xi^2)) \sum_{k \in F} \lambda(2k((\beta_2^{-2} \oplus \beta_1^{-2}) r^2 \oplus d))| \\
&= |\lambda((\beta_2^{-1} \alpha_2 - \beta_1^{-1} \alpha_1) r^2 + 2r^2(\alpha_2^2 \beta_2^{-2} \eta^2 + \xi^2))| \quad (r^2 = (\beta_2^{-2} + \beta_1^{-2})^{-1} d \text{ in } F) \\
&= 1,
\end{aligned}$$

the second equality follows from Lemma 2.1.

**Case 2**  $\beta_2^{-1} \delta_2 \oplus \beta_1^{-1} \delta_1 \neq 0$ .

Set  $u = (\beta_2^{-1} \delta_2 \oplus \beta_1^{-1} \delta_1)^{-1} ((\beta_2^{-2} \oplus \beta_1^{-2}) r^2 \oplus d)$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\sum_{k \in F} \lambda(k((\beta_2^{-1} \delta_2 - \beta_1^{-1} \delta_1) + 2(\beta_2^{-2} r^2 + \beta_1^{-2} r^2 + \beta_2^{-2} \eta^2))) = \Gamma(1) \lambda(-u).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r \in F} \lambda(2r\xi)(V_A^* V_B)_{r,r+\eta} &= \frac{\Gamma(1)}{q} \lambda(\alpha_2 \beta_2^{-1} \eta^2) \sum_{r \in F} \lambda((\beta_2^{-1} \alpha_2 - \beta_1^{-1} \alpha_1) r^2 + 2r^2(\alpha_2^2 \beta_2^{-2} \eta^2 + \xi^2)) \lambda(-u) \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(1)}{q} \lambda(\alpha_2 \beta_2^{-1} \eta^2) \sum_{r \in F} \lambda(u + (\beta_2^{-1} \alpha_2 + \beta_1^{-1} \alpha_1) r^2 + 2(u + r^2(\alpha_2^2 \beta_2^{-2} \eta^2 + \xi^2 + \beta_1^{-1} \alpha_1)))
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $|\Gamma(1)| = \sqrt{q}$ . By the properties of the character  $\lambda$ , we get that there exists  $c \in \mathcal{T}_s$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{r \in F} \lambda(2r\xi)(V_A^* V_B)_{r,r+\eta} \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \left| \sum_{r \in F} \lambda(r((\beta_2^{-1} \delta_2 \oplus \beta_1^{-1} \delta_1)^{-1} (\beta_2^{-2} \oplus \beta_1^{-2}) \oplus (\beta_2^{-1} \alpha_2 \oplus \beta_1^{-1} \alpha_1) + 2c)) \right|.$$



It follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that  $\Phi_A$  and  $\Phi_B$  are mutually unbiased if and only if  $|\sum_{r \in F} \lambda(2r\xi)(V_A^* V_B)_{r,r+\eta}| = 1$ , if and only if  $(\beta_2^{-1}\delta_2 \oplus \beta_1^{-1}\delta_1)^{-1}(\beta_2^{-2} \oplus \beta_1^{-2}) \oplus (\beta_2^{-1}\alpha_2 \oplus \beta_1^{-1}\alpha_1) \neq 0$ , if and only if in  $F$  the following equation holds:

$$(\beta_2^{-1}\delta_2 + \beta_1^{-1}\delta_1)^{-1}(\beta_2^{-2} + \beta_1^{-2}) + (\beta_2^{-1}\alpha_2 + \beta_1^{-1}\alpha_1) \neq 0. \quad (*)$$

Note that  $(1 + \alpha_1\delta_1 = \beta_1\gamma_1)$  and  $(1 + \alpha_2\delta_2 = \beta_2\gamma_2)$ . Then  $(*)$  holds if and only if

$$\beta_2^{-2} + \beta_1^{-2} \neq (\beta_2^{-1}\delta_2 + \beta_1^{-1}\delta_1)(\beta_2^{-1}\alpha_2 + \beta_1^{-1}\alpha_1) = \beta_2^{-2}\alpha_2\delta_2 + \beta_1^{-2}\alpha_1\delta_1 + \beta_1^{-1}\beta_2^{-1}(\alpha_2\delta_1 + \alpha_1\delta_2)$$

if and only if  $\beta_2^{-2}(1 + \alpha_2\delta_2) + \beta_1^{-2}(1 + \alpha_1\delta_1) \neq \beta_1^{-1}\beta_2^{-1}(\alpha_2\delta_1 + \alpha_1\delta_2),$

if and only if  $\beta_2^{-1}\gamma_2 + \beta_1^{-1}\gamma_1 \neq \beta_1^{-1}\beta_2^{-1}(\alpha_2\delta_1 + \alpha_1\delta_2),$

if and only if  $\beta_1\gamma_2 + \beta_2\gamma_1 \neq \alpha_2\delta_1 + \alpha_1\delta_2,$

if and only if  $\text{trace}(A^{-1}B) = \beta_1\gamma_2 + \beta_2\gamma_1 + \alpha_2\delta_1 + \alpha_1\delta_2 \neq 0. \quad \square$

By Lemmas 3.1,3.2 and 3.3, we get the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.4** *Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \gamma_1 & \delta_1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \\ \gamma_2 & \delta_2 \end{bmatrix}$  in  $SL(2, F)$ . If  $\text{trace}(A^{-1}B) \neq 0$ , then  $\Phi_A$  and  $\Phi_B$  are mutually unbiased.*

**Definition 3.5** *A non-empty subset  $C$  of  $SL(2, F)$  is called a **trace-zero excluded subset** if*

$$\forall A, B \in C, \quad \text{trace}(A^{-1}B) = 0 \iff A = B.$$

As a direct consequence of Proposition 3.4 and Definition 3.5, we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.6** *Suppose that  $C$  is a trace-zero excluded subset of  $SL(2, F)$ . Then  $(\Phi_A)_{A \in C}$  is a set of MUMEBs in  $\mathbb{C}^q \otimes \mathbb{C}^q$ .*

**Remark 3.1** *Given two unitary matrices  $A$  and  $B$  in  $M_q(\mathbb{C})$ , one can check whether  $\Phi_A$  and  $\Phi_B$  are mutually unbiased by Lemma 2.2. However, finding suitable unitary matrices becomes more and more difficult with the increase of the dimension  $q$ . The theorem provides a new idea to construct MUMEBs in bipartite system, because it not only simplifies the calculation but also provides a large number of unitary matrices.*

## 3.2 The constructions and examples

Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & c_1 \\ c_1 & b_1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & c_2 \\ c_2 & b_2 \end{bmatrix}$  in  $SL(2, F)$  such that  $a_1 \neq b_1, a_2 \neq b_2$ . Then  $\text{trace}(A^{-1}B) = a_2b_1 + a_1b_2$ . Thus,  $\text{trace}(A^{-1}B) \neq 0$  if and only if  $(a_1, b_1)$  and  $(a_2, b_2)$  are linearly independent. It follows that we can always construct trace-zero excluded subsets of cardinality  $q + 1$ . In particular, we get the following result.

**Proposition 3.7** *Let  $\xi$  be a primitive element in  $F$ . Then the set*

$$C = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{1+\xi^k} \\ \sqrt{1+\xi^k} & \xi^k \end{bmatrix} \mid 0 \leq k \leq q-2 \right\}$$

*is a trace-zero excluded subset.*

The following result provides a completely new set of MUMEBs in  $\mathbb{C}^{2^s} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^s}$ .

**Proposition 3.8** *Let  $\xi$  be a primitive element in  $F$ . Then the set*

$$C = \left\{ A_k = \begin{bmatrix} \xi^k & 0 \\ 0 & \xi^{-k} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_k = \begin{bmatrix} \xi^k & \xi^k \\ \xi^{-k} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi^k \\ \xi^{-k} & \xi^{-k} \end{bmatrix} \mid 0 \leq k \leq q-2 \right\}$$

*is a trace-zero excluded subset. In particular,  $M(q, q) \geq 3(q-1)$ .*

**Proof** Let  $0 \leq k, j \leq q-2$ . Then  $\text{trace}(A_k^{-1}B_j) = \text{trace}(B_k^{-1}C_j) = \xi^{j-k} \neq 0$  and  $\text{trace}(A_k^{-1}C_j) = \xi^{k-j} \neq 0$ . Moreover, if  $k \neq j$ , then  $\text{trace}(B_k^{-1}B_j) = \text{trace}(C_k^{-1}C_j) = \xi^{k-j} + \xi^{j-k} \neq 0$ . Consequently,  $C$  is a trace-zero excluded subset. It follows from Theorem 3.6 that  $M(q, q) \geq 3(q-1)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.2** *Proposition 3.8 is a generalization of [14, Theorem 4.8], where it was proved that  $M(q, q) \geq 2(q-1)$*

In the last of this section, we give examples to show that our construction is different from the work in [15] and how the main results can be used to construct MUMEBs.

Let  $h_2(x) = x^2 + x + 1$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]$ . It is easy to check that the unique polynomial  $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$  satisfying  $h(x) \equiv h_2(x) \pmod{2}$  and  $h(x)$  divides  $x^{2^s-1} - 1 \pmod{4}$  is  $h(x) = x^2 + x + 1$ . Let  $\xi$  be a root of  $h(x)$  such that  $\xi^3 = 1$  and  $\alpha = \phi(\xi)$ . Then we have the following:

$$\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2 = 1 + \alpha\}, \quad \mathcal{T}_2 = \{0, 1, \xi, \xi^2\}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_4[\xi] = \{a + 2b \mid a, b \in \mathcal{T}_2\}.$$

By a direct calculation, we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(0) &= 0 & \text{tr}(1) &= 2 & \text{tr}(\xi) &= 3 & \text{tr}(\xi^2) &= 3 \\ \text{tr}(2) &= 0 & \text{tr}(1+2) &= 2 & \text{tr}(\xi+2) &= 3 & \text{tr}(\xi^2+2) &= 3 \\ \text{tr}(2\xi) &= 2 & \text{tr}(1+2\xi) &= 0 & \text{tr}(\xi+2\xi) &= 1 & \text{tr}(\xi^2+2\xi) &= 1 \\ \text{tr}(2\xi^2) &= 2 & \text{tr}(1+2\xi^2) &= 0 & \text{tr}(\xi+2\xi^2) &= 1 & \text{tr}(\xi^2+2\xi^2) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.3** *Set  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . We get that  $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and*

$$V_A = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -i & -i \\ 1 & -1 & i & i \\ 1 & 1 & i & -i \\ 1 & 1 & -i & i \end{bmatrix}, \quad V_{A^2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -i & -i & -i & -i \\ i & i & -i & -i \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad V_{A^2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & i & -i \\ -i & i & i & -i \\ -i & i & -i & i \end{bmatrix}$$

*It follows that there does not exist  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|z| = 1$  such that  $V_{A^2} = zV_A^2$ , which is different from [15, Lemma 3.2], where it was proved that for each  $A \in SL(2, F)$  with  $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$ , there exists  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|z| = 1$  such that  $V_{A^2} = zV_A^2$ .*

**Example 3.1** *Set  $s = 2$  in Proposition 3.7. Set*

$$D_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{1+\xi^k} \\ \sqrt{1+\xi^k} & \xi^k \end{bmatrix} \quad (0 \leq k \leq 2) \quad D_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad D_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

*By a direct calculation, we get the following unitary matrices:*

$$V_{D_0} = I_4 \quad V_{D_1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -i & -i & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & i & -1 \\ -i & -1 & 1 & -i \\ -i & 1 & 1 & i \end{bmatrix} \quad V_{D_2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -i & -1 & -i \\ -1 & -i & -1 & i \\ -i & 1 & i & 1 \\ -i & -1 & -i & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad V_{D_3} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -i & -i \\ 1 & -1 & i & -i \\ 1 & 1 & i & -i \\ 1 & 1 & -i & i \end{bmatrix} \quad V_{D_4} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & i & -i \\ -i & i & i & -i \\ -i & i & -i & i \end{bmatrix}$$

*By Theorem 3.6,  $(\Phi_{V_{D_i}})_{0 \leq i \leq 4}$  is a set of MUMEBs in  $\mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$ .*

**Example 3.2** Set  $s = 2$  in Proposition 3.8. By a direct calculation, we get the following unitary matrices:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1 = V_{A_0} &= I_4 & V_2 = V_{A_1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & V_3 = V_{A_2} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
V_4 = V_{B_0} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -i & -i \\ 1 & -1 & i & i \\ 1 & 1 & i & -i \\ 1 & 1 & -i & i \end{bmatrix} & V_5 = V_{B_1} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -i & -i \\ 1 & 1 & -i & i \\ 1 & -1 & i & i \\ 1 & 1 & i & -i \end{bmatrix} & V_6 = V_{B_2} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -i & -i \\ 1 & 1 & i & -i \\ 1 & 1 & -i & i \\ 1 & -1 & i & i \end{bmatrix} \\
V_7 = V_{C_0} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -i & i & i & -i \\ -i & i & -i & i \end{bmatrix} & V_8 = V_{C_1} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -i & i & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -i & i & i & -i \end{bmatrix} & V_9 = V_{C_2} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -i & i & 1 & 1 \\ -i & i & -i & i \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.6,  $(\Phi_{V_i})_{1 \leq i \leq 9}$  is a set of MUMEBs in  $\mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$ .

## 4 Conclusions

To construct mutually unbiased maximally entangled bases in bipartite system  $\mathbb{C}^{2^s} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^s}$ , we introduce the notation of trace-zero excluded subset of  $SL(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^s})$  and establish a relation between trace-zero excluded subsets of  $SL(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^s})$  and MUMEBs in  $\mathbb{C}^{2^s} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^s}$ . We obtain a set of MUMEBs in  $\mathbb{C}^{2^s} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^s}$  with cardinality  $3(q-1)$  by constructing trace-zero excluded subsets in  $SL(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^s})$ , which generalizes one of the main results in [14].

In the paper, we provide a new method to construct MUMEBs in  $\mathbb{C}^{2^s} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^s}$ . However, the trace-zero excluded subsets constructed in the paper are limited. It would be interesting to construct trace-zero excluded subsets of  $SL(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^s})$  with larger cardinalities, which will raise the lower bound of  $M(2^s, 2^s)$ .

## References

- [1] D. M. APPLEBY, Symmetric informationally complete-positive operator valued measures and the extended Clifford group. *Journal of mathematical physics*, **46**, 052107 (2005).
- [2] S. BANDYOPADHYAY, P. O. BOYKIN, V. ROYCHOWDHURY AND F. VATAN, A new proof for the existence of mutually unbiased bases. *Algorithmica*, **34**, 512-528 (2002).
- [3] S. BRIERLEY, Mutually Unbiased Bases in Low Dimensions. PhD thesis. University of York Department of Mathematics. November (2009).
- [4] C. CARLET, One-weight  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes. In J. Buchmann, T. Høholdt, H. Stichtenoth, and H. Tapia-Recillas, editors, *Coding Theory, Cryptography and Related Areas*, 57-72. Springer, 2000.
- [5] X. Y. CHENG AND Y. SHANG, New bounds of mutually unbiased bases in  $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ . *Quantum Information and Computation* **18** (13-14), 1152-1164 (2018).
- [6] T. DURT., B.-G. ENGLERT, I. BENGTTSSON AND K. ZYCZKOWSKI, On mutually unbiased bases. *Int. J. Quant. Inf.* **8**, 535-640 (2010).
- [7] A. KLAPPENECKER AND M. RÖTTELER, Constructions of mutually unbiased bases. *International Conference on Finite Fields and Applications*, 2003.

- [8] J. Y. LIU, M. H. YANG AND K. Q. FENG, Mutually unbiased maximally entangled bases in  $\mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^d$ . *Quant. Inf. Process*, **16**, no. 6: 159 (2017).
- [9] A. J. SCOTT, Optimizing quantum process tomography with unitary 2-designs. *J. Phys. A*, **41**, 055308 (2008).
- [10] J.S. SHARRI, N. M. NASIR AND S.MANCINI, Mutually unbiased unitary bases. *Phys. Review A*, **94**, 052328 (2016).
- [11] Y. H. TAO, H. NAN, J. ZHANG AND S. M. FEI , Mutually unbiased maximally entangled bases in  $\mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^{kd}$ . *Quant. Inf. Process*, **14**, 2291-2300 (2015).
- [12] W. K. WOOTTERS AND B. D. FIELDS, Optimal state-determination by mutually unbiased measurements. *Annals of physics*, **191**, 363-381 (1989).
- [13] Z. X. WAN, *Lectures Notes on Finite Fields and Galois Rings*. Word Scientific, Singapore, 2003.
- [14] DENGMINX XU, Construction of mutually unbiased maximally entangled bases through permutations of Hadamard matrices. *Quant. Inf. Process*, **16**, no. 3: 65 (2017).
- [15] DENGMINX XU, Trace-2 excluded subsets of special linear groups over finite fields and mutually unbiased maximally entangled bases. *Quant. Inf. Process*, **18**, no. 7: 213 (2019).

2019.10