Is Interstellar Object 2I/Borisov a Stardust Comet? Predictions for the Post Perihelion Period

T.M. EUBANKS¹

¹Space Initiatives Inc and I4IS (US), Newport, Virginia 20124

(Received; Revised February 13, 2022; Accepted)

Submitted to ApJL

ABSTRACT

The detection of interstellar bodies passing near the Sun offers the opportunity to observe not just objects similar to those in the solar system, but also unfamiliar objects without solar system analogues. Here I show that Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) stellar evolution may lead to the creation, out of stardust, of substantial numbers of nomadic Post-Main-Sequence Objects (PMSOs). AGB nucleosynthesis will produce three broad classes of PMSO chemistry, oxygen, carbon and nitrogen rich (O-rich, C-rich, N-rich, respectively), depending largely on the original stellar mass. I further show that the Interstellar Comet 2I/Borisov (2I) belongs to a kinematic dynamical stream, the Wolf 630 stream, with an age and galactic orbit consistent with its origination as a stardust comet; the apparent lack of water in the 2I coma is consistent with it being a C-rich PMSO. I also provide predictions for distinguishing stardust comets from more conventional interstellar comets and asteroids ejected during planetary formation; these can be applied to 2I in its upcoming observational phase in early 2020 as it moves away from the Sun. In particular, isotope ratios of the CNO elements could be dispositive, IR detection of the 11.3 μ m SiC line, the 30 μ m line, or the IR PAH lines would provide strong evidence for a C-rich PMSO and detection of Na or Li enhancement would indicate an N-rich PMSO.

Keywords: minor planets, asteroids: individual (2I/Borisov), stars: AGB and post-AGB

1. INTRODUCTION

The chemical evolution of the galaxy is dominated by elements created by slow neutron capture (the sprocess) in AGB stars, (Busso et al. 1999), and by the rapid processes present in explosive nucleosynthesis in Supernova (SN) (Yoshida 2007). The isotopic and elemental composition of presolar grains in primitive meteorites shows that they are predominately from these two sources (Davis 2011), and half of all the elements heavier than iron are thought to result from the s-process (Herwig 2005). AGB material is thus very important in the chemical evolution of the galaxy, and its presence in stardust seems to permeate the galactic disk (Gail et al. 2009). Although PMSOs, condensations of AGB gas and dust, could thus be very common in the galaxy, they

Corresponding author: T. Marshall Eubanks tme@space-initiatives.com will not be present in the solar system except as passing ISOs; every newly found ISO should thus be considered to be a possible PMSO.

The discovery, on August 30, 2019 by the amateur astronomer Gennadiy Borisov, of the interstellar comet 2I (originally C/2019 Q4 (Borisov)) (Guzik et al. 2019) provides a second InterStellar Object (ISO) available for study in its passage through our solar system. 2I was rapidly recognized to be both on a hyperbolic orbit and an active object, superficially similar to a small solar system comet (Jewitt & Luu 2019) with an estimated nucleus diameter of order 1 km (Jewitt et al. 2019). Unlike the case of the first known ISO, 1I/'Oumuamua (or 1I), which never displayed any cometary activity or detectable spectral line emissions (Trilling et al. 2018), 2I is an active comet well situated for a spectroscopic observing campaign in early 2020, after its perihelion and closest approach to the Earth in December, 2019.

2. FORMATION OF SMALL OBJECTS AFTER THE STELLAR MAIN SEQUENCE

Table 1 shows, in its top line, the dependence of AGB chemistry on mass (for an introduction to this complicated subject, see (Herwig 2005; Busso et al. 1999; Gail et al. 2009; Ventura et al. 2017, 2018)). Stars with masses, M, between ~0.8 M_{\odot} and 8 M_{\odot} (where M_{\odot} is the mass of the the Sun) will, after they leave the Main Sequence, pass through a Red Giant stage burning He in their core. Once core burning is completed they pass to their AGB stage, where they are powered by thin shells burning H and He above a largely inert C and O core.

In an AGB star H burning creates He over time. When a sufficient amount has accumulated, He burning ignites, causing a thermal pulse, which may last a few centuries. This causes strong convection which carries processed shell material to the stellar surface, the so-called "third dredge up." At the end of the shell H burning the star will shed even more material, becoming very hot and possibly causing a Planetary Nebulae from ionized gas emissions (Ventura et al. 2018). Once the outer envelope is fully expelled, the remaining hot core becomes a white dwarf and the ejected material cools rapidly.

Although most stars have O-rich surfaces during their period on the main sequence, in the AGB stage each thermal pulse brings more carbon to the surface. For stars with $M \lesssim 1.5 M_{\odot}$, there are not enough of these events to create a C-rich star. More massive stars, up to roughly 3 - 3.5 M_{\odot} , dredge up more and material from their interior and become C-rich stars with C/O > 1, while AGB stars with $M \gtrsim 3 - 3.5 M_{\odot}$ undergo Hot Bottom Burning (HBB) and exhibit the signatures of proton-capture (CNO-chain) nucleosynthesis in their ejected gas and dust (Ventura et al. 2018). This drives the C-N-O abundances to a steady state where ¹⁴N dominates, leading to a N-rich stellar surface. The consumption of ¹²C in this process will lead to very low $^{12}C/^{13}C$ ratios and a modified O-rich chemistry in the ejecta. HBB burning of He will create Lithium through the fusion chain ${}^{3}\text{He} + {}^{4}\text{He} \rightarrow {}^{7}\text{Be} \rightarrow {}^{7}\text{Li}$ (Ventura et al. 2018), leading to the possibility of Lithium rich PMSO.

AGB and post-AGB stars eject a substantial amount of their total mass as dust and gas. These dust driven winds are subject to instabilities (Hopkins & Squire 2018), leading to the molecular condensations visible in PNe, where they are known as cometary knots (O'Dell et al. 2003, 2007; Matsuura et al. 2009). These condensations, which have an individual mass comparable to an Earth mass and a total mass of ~0.2 M_☉ for the relatively nearby Helix Nebula (NGC 7293) (Huggins & Frank 2006), appear to be suitable locations for the condensation of PMSO during and after the PN stage. As these condensations are not gravitationally bound, with relative velocities of ~ 10 km s⁻¹ to their host stars, they could release large numbers of nomadic PMSO into the galaxy, potentially including planetary mass bodies (O'Dell et al. 2003).

There appears to have been little previous discussion of the possibility of PMSO in the galaxy, although there has been consideration of both C-rich and N-rich planets forming from AGB and SN ejecta (Kuchner & Seager 2005). C-rich planets may exist (Madhusudhan et al. 2012), but seem rare; main sequence dwarf carbon stars seem to be due to binary mass transfers and are unlikely sources for C-rich planets or ISOs (Whitehouse et al. 2018). If C-rich ISOs are discovered, they will very likely be PMSOs, samples of the end of stellar evolution.

Over 0.2 M_{\odot} of cold dust has been found in the ejecta of SN 1987A (Indebetouw et al. 2014), and it seems possible that SN could create PMSOs in their remnants. However, the SN 1987A cold dust has an ejection velocity of at least 750 \pm 250 km s⁻¹. If these velocities are typical of SN dust any SN PMSO would be either ejected from the galaxy or put into halo orbits, making a SN origin for 2I unlikely. SN produced PMSO would also be dominated by SN created elements, and so could be readily distinguished from s-process dominated AGB PMSO.

3. 2I/BORISOV: AN ISO FROM THE WOLF 630 DYNAMICAL STREAM

Stellar perturbations make it hard to predict the detailed galactic trajectories of ISOs over intervals much longer than a few million years (Zhang 2018), $< 10^{-3}$ of the history of the galaxy, and no firm association with a nearby star has been claimed for either 1I or 2I (Bailer-Jones et al. 2019; Hallatt & Wiegert 2019). It is thus unlikely that we will find the natal star system of any passing ISO; association with a stellar stream can serve as a proxy to provide at least some bounds on the age, metallicity, and chemical composition of the source stellar system.

The Milky Way's velocity fields are highly nonuniform (Ramos et al. 2018), with a substantial fraction of the stars in the solar neighborhood being concentrated in dynamical streams (also known as associations or moving groups) moving in the galaxy (see, e.g., (Kushniruk et al. 2017; Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018)). Figure 1 shows that the incoming 2I velocity vector "at infinity" (\mathbf{v}_{∞}) is close to the motion of the Wolf 630 dynamical stream in all three velocity components, suggesting that 2I was (before its interaction with the solar system) a member of that stream, just as 1I appears to have been a member of the Pleiades dynamical stream (Feng & Jones 2018; Eubanks 2019a,b).



Figure 1. (Above) The galactocentric U and V components of velocity for 1I, 2I, the LSR and five local dynamical streams. The 1I and 2I incoming velocities are near the centroids of the determinations of the velocities of the Pleiades and Wolf 630 streams, respectively. The stream velocity estimates are from (Kushniruk et al. 2017), supplemented by (Chereul et al. 1998; Liang et al. 2017; Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018). The 1I and LSR velocites are as shown in (Eubanks 2019b), and the 2I velocity estimate is from the ephemeris of Bill Grey / Project Pluto. (Below) The galactocentric V and W components of velocity; the data sources are as described above, with fewer stream data points as not all surveys report the W velocity. The 2I incoming W velocity is near two of the determinations of Wolf 630 stream W velocity; the scatter between these velocity estimates may reflect substructure in the stream kinematics.

Connecting an ISO to a dynamical stream allows the stars in the stream to be used as proxies for natal stellar system of the ISO. Data from a spectroscopic survey of stars with distances < 250 pc (Quillen et al. 2018) reveals that this stream possesses a slightly higher than solar metallicity ([Fe/H] > 0.2 dex). Antoja *et al* (Antoja et al. 2008) determined the kinematics of over 16,000 nearby stars with known ages, the Wolf 630 stream (their moving group 17) has in their data almost no stars younger than 0.5 Gyr, and are mostly 2 - 8 Gyr old. The lower bound of this range agrees well with an earlier estimate for the stellar age of the Wolf 630 stream of 2.7 \pm 0.5 Gyr (Bubar & King 2010). C-rich AGB stars require roughly 0.5 - 2 Gyr to form; it seems likely that Wolf 630 stars are old enough to form C-rich PMSO.

4. PREDICTIONS

Spectroscopic observation of 2I reveals CN (0-0) gas emission (Fitzsimmons et al. 2019) and the [OI] oxygen line (McKay et al. 2019) but not water, OH or water ice (Yang et al. 2019). Precovery observations (and cometary activity) have been found back to December 2018, when 2I was 7.8 AU from the Sun; this early activity is consistent with the presence of CO or CO₂ and in any case requires sublimation of substances more volatile than water ice (Ye et al. 2019). Although C₂ has been detected (Lin et al. 2019), C₃ has not and 2I is regarded as a carbon chain depleted comet (Opitom et al. 2019; Kareta et al. 2019). All of these features are consistent with 2I being an PMSO object derived from C-rich stellar ejecta subject to strong stellar UV emissions during its formation.

A stardust comet with large amounts of volatiles presumably resulted from molecular condensation, possibly from a cometary knot, and should be dominated by s-process elements, possibly dehydrogenated by the intense radiation from PN stars. Table 1 provides indications of the observational differences between the three classes of stardust chemistry, based on observations of AGB and PNe stars, presolar grains and cometary dust. CO is a very stable molecule, absorbing the C and O in the stellar envelope; once the C/O ratio becomes > 1, oxygen will be sequestered in CO and the ejected material will be largely free of oxides, including water. The failure to detect water suggests that 2I may derive from a C-rich stellar environment.

The estimated proportions in the second line in Table 1, with the C-rich class being the most common, are from PNe data in (Ventura et al. 2017). C-rich comet dusts should be oxide poor, water poor, and rich in SiC, TiC and other carbides (Kuchner & Seager 2005). Si₃N₄ may also be present (Clément et al. 2005). AGB carbon dust has aromatic and aliphatic IR emissions

EUBANKS

Feature	O-rich	C-rich	N-rich
Mass Ranges	${\rm M} \lesssim 1.5~{\rm M}_{\bigodot}$	$1.5~{ m M}_{igodot}\lesssim{ m M}\lesssim{ m 3}~{ m M}_{igodot}$	${\rm M}\gtrsim 3.5~{\rm M}_{\bigodot}$
Proportion	$\sim \frac{1}{4}$	$\sim \frac{1}{2}$	$\sim \frac{1}{4}$
C/O	< 1	> 1, up to 3	$\sim 0.05?$
N/O	~ 0.3	~ 0.3	~ 1.3
Water	Water Rich	Water Poor	Water Rich?
Dust	Al ₂ O ₃ & silicates	SiC & Graphite. Si ₃ N ₄ ?	Al ₂ O ₃ & silicates
Other		C aromatic bonds (PAH)	Greatly enhanced ⁷ Li
		C aliphatic bonds	Enhanced Na
$^{12}C/^{13}C$	< 89 ?	\sim 30 - 100	~ 3.5
$^{14}{ m N}/^{15}{ m N}$	~ 400	$\sim 10^2$ to 10^4	$\sim 10^4$?
$^{18}O/^{17}O$	~ 0.1 - 5	?	$\sim 10^{-4}$

 Table 1. Predictions for AGB/PNe PMSO

NOTE—Predictions are derived by the author from results in (Abia et al. 2017; Busso et al. 2014; Clément et al. 2005; Davis 2011; Di Criscienzo et al. 2016; Kuchner & Seager 2005; Ventura et al. 2018, 2017; Wooden et al. 2017), and should be regarded as approximate.

at 3.28 and 3.4 μ m, respectively (Wooden et al. 2017), that may be present in a C-rich comet coma. Finally, C-rich nebula have a number of unexplained spectral features that could be present in a PMSO spectrum, including potentially strong 21 μ m and 30 μ m emission lines (Mishra et al. 2016; Messenger et al. 2013). N-rich PMSO will have an excess of N, Na and possibly Li, and low amounts of ¹²C and ¹⁸O (Di Criscienzo et al. 2016). Although O-rich stardust comets might appear to be compositionally similar to solar system comets, CNO isotope ratios should vary, maybe by orders of magnitude from solar abundances. for any AGB PMSO (Abia et al. 2017; Davis 2011), and should make it possible, if detected, to confirm the identity of PMSO of any chemistry.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Roughly the same mass of metals is produced by post-main-sequence stars as is consumed by star formation, but only a fraction of the protostellar material is ejected into the InterStellar Medium (ISM), while most or all of the AGB and SN ejecta is, implying that even if PMSO production is substantially less efficient than proto-planetesimal production, there may be a greater flux of these objects in the ISM.

Given the failure to detect water or any oxides at present, 2I seems be a possible C or N-rich stardust comet, probably dehydrogenated by PN UV radiation. I predict that 2I will continue to appear water poor; detection of the SiC emission line at ~11.3 μ m, or the IR Carbon lines, would provide a clear signature of a C-rich origin for 2I, which could be confirmed if the ¹²C/¹³C ratio could be determined. The nature of 2I must be regarded at present as uncertain, but it should be possible to determine definitely whether or not it is a PMSO with observations collected over the next few months.

The transit of ISOs through the solar system offers the opportunity to discover and study an entirely new form of celestial body. Discovery and spectroscopic observation of PMSO would almost certainly lead to a much better understanding of post-Main Sequence stellar evolution. A PMSO comet could be observed at close range and sampled directly by *in situ* spacecraft exploration (Hein et al. 2019; Hibberd et al. 2019), providing new insight into the formation of elements essential for terrestrial biologies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author was supported by Space Initiatives Inc and the Institute for Interstellar Studies-US (I4IS-US). Thanks to Bill Gray of Project Pluto for sharing his 2I ephemerides.

REFERENCES

Abia, C., Hedrosa, R. P., Domínguez, I., & Straniero, O. 2017, Astron. Astrophys., 599, A39, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201629969

Antoja, T., Figueras, F., Fernández, D., & Torra, J. 2008, Astron. Astrophys., 490, 135, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:200809519

- Bailer-Jones, C. A. L., Farnocchia, D., Ye, Q., Meech,K. J., & Micheli, M. 2019, arXiv e-prints,
- arXiv:1912.10213. https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.10213
 Bubar, E. J., & King, J. R. 2010, Astron. J., 140, 293, doi: 10.1088/0004-6256/140/2/293
- Busso, M., Gallino, R., & Wasserburg, G. J. 1999, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys., 37, 239, doi: 10.1146/annurev.astro.37.1.239
- Busso, M., Trippella, O., Maiorca, E., & Palmerini, S. 2014, in American Institute of Physics Conference Series, Vol. 1595, American Institute of Physics Conference Series, 41–47, doi: 10.1063/1.4875288
- Chereul, E., Creze, M., & Bienayme, O. 1998, Astron. Astrophys., 340, 384
- Clément, D., Mutschke, H., Klein, R., et al. 2005, Ap. J., 621, 985, doi: 10.1086/426184
- Davis, A. M. 2011, Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, 108, 19142, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1013483108
- Di Criscienzo, M., Ventura, P., García-Hernández, D. A., et al. 2016, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 462, 395, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stw1685
- Eubanks, T. M. 2019a, in Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, Vol. 50, Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, 3262
- Eubanks, T. M. 2019b, Ap. J. Lett., 874, L11, doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/ab0f29
- Feng, F., & Jones, H. R. A. 2018, Ap. J., 852, L27, doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/aaa404
- Fitzsimmons, A., Hainaut, O., Meech, K., et al. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1909.12144.
 - https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.12144
- Gaia Collaboration, Katz, D., Antoja, T., Romero-Gómez,
 M., & et al. 2018, Astron. Astrophys., 616, A11,
 doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201832865
- Gail, H. P., Zhukovska, S. V., Hoppe, P., & Trieloff, M. 2009, Ap. J., 698, 1136,
 doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/698/2/1136
- Guzik, P., Drahus, M., Rusek, K., et al. 2019, Nature Astronomy, 467, doi: 10.1038/s41550-019-0931-8
- Hallatt, T., & Wiegert, P. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1911.02473. https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.02473
- Hein, A. M., Perakis, N., Eubanks, T. M., et al. 2019, Acta Astronautica, 161, 552, doi: 10.1016/j.actaastro.2018.12.042
- Herwig, F. 2005, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys., 43, 435, doi: 10.1146/annurev.astro.43.072103.150600
- Hibberd, A., Perakis, N., & Hein, A. M. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1909.06348.
- https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.06348

- Hopkins, P. F., & Squire, J. 2018, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 479, 4681, doi: 10.1093/mnras/sty1604
- Huggins, P. J., & Frank, A. 2006, in IAU Symposium, Vol. 234, Planetary Nebulae in our Galaxy and Beyond, ed.
 M. J. Barlow & R. H. Méndez, 271–276, doi: 10.1017/S1743921306003085
- Indebetouw, R., Matsuura, M., Dwek, E., et al. 2014, Ap. J. Lett., 782, L2, doi: 10.1088/2041-8205/782/1/L2
- Jewitt, D., Hui, M.-T., Kim, Y., et al. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1912.05422. https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.05422
- Jewitt, D., & Luu, J. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1910.02547. https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.02547
- Kareta, T., Andrews, J., Noonan, J. W., et al. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1910.03222. https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.03222
- Kuchner, M. J., & Seager, S. 2005, arXiv e-prints, astro. https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0504214
- Kushniruk, I., Schirmer, T., & Bensby, T. 2017, Astron. Astrophys., 608, A73, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201731147
- Liang, X. L., Zhao, J. K., Oswalt, T. D., et al. 2017, Ap. J., 844, 152, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aa7cf7
- Lin, H. W., Lee, C.-H., Gerdes, D. W., et al. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1912.06161.
 - https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.06161
- Madhusudhan, N., Lee, K. K. M., & Mousis, O. 2012, ApJL, 759, L40, doi: 10.1088/2041-8205/759/2/L40
- Matsuura, M., Speck, A. K., McHunu, B. M., et al. 2009, Ap. J., 700, 1067, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/700/2/1067
- McKay, A. J., Cochran, A. L., Dello Russo, N., & DiSanti, M. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1910.12785. https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.12785
- Messenger, S. J., Speck, A., & Volk, K. 2013, Ap. J., 764, 142, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/764/2/142
- Mishra, A., Li, A., & Jiang, B. W. 2016, Ap. J., 825, 68, doi: 10.3847/0004-637X/825/1/68
- O'Dell, C. R., Balick, B., Hajian, A. R., Henney, W. J., & Burkert, A. 2003, in Revista Mexicana de Astronomia y Astrofísica Conference Series, Vol. 15, Revista Mexicana de Astronomia y Astrofísica Conference Series, ed. J. Arthur & W. J. Henney, 29–33
- O'Dell, C. R., Henney, W. J., & Ferland, G. J. 2007, Astron. J., 133, 2343, doi: 10.1086/513011
- Opitom, C., Fitzsimmons, A., Jehin, E., et al. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1910.09078. https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.09078
- Quillen, A. C., De Silva, G., Sharma, S., & et al. 2018, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 478, 228, doi: 10.1093/mnras/sty865
- Ramos, P., Antoja, T., & Figueras, F. 2018, Astron. Astrophys., 619, A72, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201833494

- Trilling, D. E., Mommert, M., Hora, J. L., & et al. 2018, Astron. J., 156, 261, doi: 10.3847/1538-3881/aae88f
- Ventura, P., Karakas, A., Dell'Agli, F., García-Hernández, D. A., & Guzman-Ramirez, L. 2018, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 475, 2282, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stx3338
- Ventura, P., Stanghellini, L., Dell'Agli, F., & García-Hernández, D. A. 2017, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 471, 4648, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stx1907
- Whitehouse, L. J., Farihi, J., Green, P. J., Wilson, T. G., & Subasavage, J. P. 2018, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 479, 3873, doi: 10.1093/mnras/sty1622

- Wooden, D. H., Ishii, H. A., & Zolensky, M. E. 2017, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A, 375, 20160260, doi: 10.1098/rsta.2016.0260
- Yang, B., Kelley, M. S. P., Meech, K. J., et al. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1912.05318. https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.05318
- Ye, Q., Kelley, M. S. P., Bolin, B. T., & et al. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1911.05902. https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.05902
- Yoshida, T. 2007, Ap. J., 666, 1048, doi: 10.1086/520631
- Zhang, Q. 2018, Ap. J. Lett., 852, L13, doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/aaa2f7