

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THE STEADY NAVIER-STOKES FLOW IN THE EXTERIOR DOMAIN

YUEYANG MEN, WENDONG WANG, AND LINGLING ZHAO

ABSTRACT. We consider an elliptic equation with unbounded drift in an exterior domain, and obtain quantitative uniqueness estimates at infinity, i.e. the non-trivial solution of $-\Delta u + W \cdot \nabla u = 0$ decays in the form of $\exp(-C|x| \log^2 |x|)$ at infinity provided $\|W\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_1)} \lesssim 1$, which is sharp with the help of some counterexamples. These results also generalize the decay theorem by Kenig-Wang [13] in the whole space. As an application, the asymptotic behavior of an incompressible fluid around a bounded obstacle is also considered. Specially for the two-dimensional case, we can improve the decay rate in [16] to $\exp(-C|x| \log^2 |x|)$, where the minimal decaying rate of $\exp(-C|x|^{\frac{3}{2}+})$ is obtained by Kow-Lin in a recent paper [16] by using appropriate Carleman estimates.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we consider the steady Navier-Stokes equations in an exterior domain Ω :

$$\begin{cases} -\mu \Delta v + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \pi = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0, & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $B_1 = \{x; |x| < 1\}$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1$.

A classical result of Finn ([8]), established in 1965, stated that if $n = 3$, $v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and $v = o(1/|x|)$, then $v = 0$. A few years later, in 1969, Dyer-Edmunds ([7]) showed that, if v has bounded second derivatives and if

$$v(x) = O(\exp(-\exp(\alpha|x|^3))), \quad \text{for all } \alpha > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

then $v = 0$. Note that Dyer-Edmunds' assumption (1.2) is stronger than Finn's assumption $v = o(1/|x|)$, but Dyer-Edmunds' result depends only on the local behavior of v as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. In [16], Kow-Lin show that the minimal decaying rate of any nontrivial solution v is a bit greater than $\exp(-C|x|^{3/2+})$ at infinity in dimension $n \geq 2$. Note that the decay is far from the prior estimates. For example, Gilbarg-Weinberger [12] showed the velocity $v(x) = o(\log^{\frac{1}{2}} |x|)$ and $|Dv| \leq o(|x|^{-\frac{3}{4}}(\log |x|)^{\frac{9}{8}})$ provided that

Date: Dec 26, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q30, 76D03.

Key words and phrases. asymptotic behavior; steady Navier-Stokes equations; Carleman inequality.

the Dirichlet energy is bounded in an exterior domain. For more references on this topic, we refer to [5, 10, 18] and the references therein.

Next we focused on the two-dimensional case. Using the revised technique as in [14] and suitable Caccioppoli-type inequality, we can improve the bound to $\exp(-C|x| \log^2|x|)$. Our first result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that v is a smooth solution of (1.1) with $\|v\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \lesssim 1$ with $2 < p \leq \infty$. Then there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that*

$$M(R) = \inf_{|x_0|=R} \int_{B_1(x_0)} |v(y)|^2 dy \geq \exp(-C_0 R \log^2(R))$$

provided that

$$M(10) \neq 0.$$

Remark 1.1. *The above result improves the decay estimate in [16], where they proved the exponential decay as $\exp(-C|x|^{3/2+})$.*

For the exterior domain, we consider the second order elliptic equation with drift term

$$-\Delta u + W \cdot \nabla u = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

where $W = (W_1, W_2)$ is a real vector-valued function with L^p bound for $2 < p \leq \infty$. For 2D case, it's the vorticity of Navier-stokes equation if we denote $u = \text{curl } v$. Here we are interested in the lower bound of the decay rate for any nontrivial solution u .

When $p = \infty$, the problem is related to Landis' conjecture [15]. That is, let u be a solution of $-\Delta u + Vu = 0$ with $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_0$ satisfying $|u(x)| \leq C \exp(-C|x|^{1+})$, then $u \equiv 0$. Landis' conjecture was disproved by Meshkov [19], who constructed such V and nontrivial u satisfying $|u(x)| \leq C \exp(-C|x|^{\frac{4}{3}})$. He also showed that if $|u(x)| \leq C \exp(-C|x|^{\frac{4}{3}+})$, then $u \equiv 0$. (It should be noted that both V and u constructed by Meshkov are complex-valued functions. It remains an open question whether Landis' conjecture is true for real-valued V and u .) But if we consider the equation (1.3) (or $-\Delta u + W \cdot \nabla u + Vu = 0$), if one applies a suitable Carleman estimate to (1.3) and a scaling device in [1], the best exponent one can get is 2, namely, under the same conditions stated above except $|u(x)| \leq \exp(-C|x|^{2+})$, then u is trivial (see [2] for quantitative forms of this result). Moreover, in [2] the author constructed a Meshkov type example showing that the exponent 2 is in fact optimal for complex-valued W and u .

In a recent paper [14], Kenig-Silvestre-Wang studied Landis' conjecture for second order elliptic equations in the plane in the real setting, including (1.3) with real-valued W and u . It was proved in [14] that if u is a real-valued solution of $-\Delta u + Vu = 0$ with $V \geq 0$ satisfying $|u(x)| \leq C \exp(-C|x|^{1+})$, then $u \equiv 0$. In fact, they prove the lower bound estimate for the nontrivial solution. For the equation (1.3) with L^p drift term in entire plane, they prove the lower bound estimate depend on p . More references, we refer to [3, 4] and the references therein.

In this paper, we prove the following decay rate in an exterior domain.

Theorem 1.2. *Let u be a real solution of*

$$\Delta u - W \cdot \nabla u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad B_1^c = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_1, \quad (1.4)$$

where W satisfies

$$\|W\|_{L^p(B_1^c)} \leq 1, \quad 2 < p \leq \infty. \quad (1.5)$$

Moreover, assume that $\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(B_1^c)} \leq 1$ and there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{|z_0|=3} \int_{B_1(z_0)} |\nabla u|^2 \geq C_0. \quad (1.6)$$

Then there holds

$$\inf_{|z_0|=R} \sup_{|z-z_0|<1} |u(z)| \geq C_2 \exp(-C_1 R \log^2 R), \quad \text{for } R \gg 1, \quad (1.7)$$

where C_2 and C_1 are constants depending on p and C_0 .

Remark 1.2. *Actually, the decay rates above are sharp when $p = \infty$. For example, $u(x) = \exp(-|x|)(1 + |x|)$ and $W(x) = \frac{2-|x|}{|x|^2}x \in L^\infty(B_1^c)$ solve the equation (1.4).*

As in [13], one can also replace the condition of (1.6) by the lower bound at every point.

Corollary 1.1. *Let u be a real solution of (1.4), where W satisfies (1.5). Moreover, assume that $\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(B_1^c)} \leq 1$ and there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\inf_{|z_0|=3} |\nabla u| \geq C'_0. \quad (1.8)$$

Then there holds

$$\inf_{|z_0|=R} \sup_{|z-z_0|<1} |u(z)| \geq C'_2 \exp(-C'_1 R \log^2 R), \quad \text{for } R \gg 1, \quad (1.9)$$

where C'_2 and C'_1 are constants depending on p and C'_0 .

Throughout the remaining section, we denote by C a general positive constant which depends only on known constant coefficients and may be different from line to line.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 with the help of Theorem 1.2. One main step is to obtain the higher regularity of the equation (1.1) via the condition $\|v\|_{L^p(\Omega)} < \infty$. We follow the same route as the proof of Liouville type theorems, for example see [20, 21], where the divergence equation, Poincaré-Sobolev inequality and iteration lemma are used.

Next we begin to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof. Step I. Regularity estimates. Assume that $B_R(x_0) \subset \Omega$ with $0 < R \leq 1$, where $|x_0| \geq 2$, and $\mu = 1$ without loss of generality. Choose a cut-off function $\phi(x) \in C_0^\infty(B_R(x_0))$ with $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ satisfying the following two properties:

i). ϕ is radially decreasing and satisfies

$$\phi(x) = \phi(|x - x_0|) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x - x_0| \leq \rho, \\ 0, & |x - x_0| \geq \tau, \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \frac{R}{2} \leq \rho < \tau \leq R$;

ii). $|\nabla\phi|(x) \leq \frac{C}{\tau-\rho}$, $|\nabla^2\phi|(x) \leq \frac{C}{(\tau-\rho)^2}$, $|\nabla^3\phi|(x) \leq \frac{C}{(\tau-\rho)^3}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

For $1 < s < \infty$, due to Theorem III 3.1 in [9], there exists a constant $C(s)$ and a vector-valued function $\bar{w} : B_\tau(x_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\bar{w} \in W_0^{1,s}(B_\tau(x_0))$ and $\nabla \cdot \bar{w}(x) = \nabla_x \cdot [\phi(x)v(x)]$. Moreover, we get

$$\int_{B_\tau(x_0)} |\nabla \bar{w}(x)|^s dx \leq C(s) \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} |\nabla \phi \cdot v|^s dx. \quad (2.1)$$

Making the inner products $(\phi v - \bar{w})$ on both sides of the equation (1.1), by $\nabla \cdot \bar{w} = \nabla \cdot [\phi v]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \phi |\nabla v|^2 dx \\ &= - \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla v \cdot v dx + \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \nabla \bar{w} : \nabla v dx - \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} v \cdot \nabla v \cdot \phi v dx \\ & \quad + \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} v \cdot \nabla v \cdot \bar{w} dx \\ & \doteq I_1 + \cdots + I_4, \end{aligned}$$

For the term I_1 , it follows from Hölder inequality that

$$|I_1| \leq \frac{C}{\tau - \rho} \left(\int_{B_\tau(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_\tau(x_0)} |v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For the term I_2 , Hölder inequality and (2.1) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq C \left(\int_{B_\tau(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \bar{w}\|_{L^2(B_\tau(x_0))} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\tau - \rho} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(B_\tau(x_0))} \|v\|_{L^2(B_\tau(x_0))}. \end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts and (2.1), we find that

$$I_3 + I_4 \leq \frac{C}{\tau - \rho} \|v\|_{L^3(B_\tau(x_0))}^3.$$

Combining the estimates of $I_1 - I_4$,

$$\int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \phi |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(B_\tau(x_0))}^2 + \frac{C}{(\tau - \rho)^2} \|v\|_{L^2(B_\tau(x_0))}^2 + \frac{C}{\tau - \rho} \|v\|_{L^3(B_\tau(x_0))}^3.$$

Recall that the following Poincaré-Sobolev inequality holds (see, for example, Theorem 8.11 and 8.12 [17])

$$\|f\|_{L^3(B_\tau)} \leq C \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(B_\tau)}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|f\|_{L^2(B_\tau)}^{\frac{2}{3}} + C\tau^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|f\|_{L^2(B_\tau)},$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \phi |\nabla v|^2 dx &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(B_\tau(x_0))}^2 + \frac{C}{(\tau - \rho)^2} \|v\|_{L^2(B_\tau(x_0))}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{(\tau - \rho)^2} \|v\|_{L^2(B_\tau(x_0))}^4 + \frac{C\tau^{-1}}{\tau - \rho} \|v\|_{L^2(B_\tau(x_0))}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Giaquinta's iteration lemma (see [11, Lemma 3.1]), we have

$$\int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq \frac{C}{(\tau - \rho)^2} \|v\|_{L^2(B_\tau(x_0))}^2 + \frac{C}{(\tau - \rho)^2} \|v\|_{L^2(B_\tau(x_0))}^4 + \frac{C\tau^{-1}}{\tau - \rho} \|v\|_{L^2(B_\tau(x_0))}^3 \quad (2.2)$$

Choose $\rho = R/2$ and $\tau = R$, and assume that $R = 1$ without loss of generality. Since $\|v\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \lesssim 1$ with $2 < p \leq \infty$,

$$\int_{B_{1/2}(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq C, \quad (2.3)$$

for any $|x_0| \geq 2$.

Note that the vorticity $\omega = \partial_2 v_1 - \partial_1 v_2$ is as follows:

$$-\Delta \omega + v \cdot \nabla \omega = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (2.4)$$

Making the inner products $\phi \omega$ on both sides of the equation (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \phi |\nabla \omega|^2 dx &= - \int_{B_R(x_0)} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \omega \cdot \omega dx - \int_{B_R(x_0)} v \cdot \nabla \omega \cdot \phi \omega dx \\ &\doteq I'_1 + I'_2. \end{aligned}$$

For the term I'_1 , by Hölder inequality we have

$$|I'_1| \leq \frac{C}{\tau - \rho} \left(\int_{B_\tau(x_0)} |\nabla \omega|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_R(x_0)} |\omega|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{C}{\tau - \rho} \left(\int_{B_\tau(x_0)} |\nabla \omega|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where we used (2.3). By integration by parts, we find that

$$I'_2 = \int_{B_R(x_0)} v \cdot \nabla \phi \omega^2 dx,$$

Then

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} \phi |\nabla \omega|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} |\nabla \omega|^2 dx + \frac{C}{(\tau - \rho)^2} + \frac{C}{\tau - \rho} \|v\|_{L^p(B_\tau(x_0))} \|\omega\|_{L^{2p'}(B_\tau(x_0))}^2$$

where $\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$. Note that when $p = \infty$, we have $p' = 1$ and $\|\omega\|_{L^{2p'}(B_\tau(x_0))}^2 \leq C$ due to (2.3). Next, assume that $2 < p < \infty$. Using Poincaré-Sobolev inequality again

$$\|f\|_{L^{2p'}(B_\tau)} \leq C \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(B_\tau)}^{1-\frac{1}{p'}} \|f\|_{L^2(B_\tau)}^{\frac{1}{p'}} + C\tau^{-1+\frac{1}{p'}} \|f\|_{L^2(B_\tau)},$$

which implies that

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} \phi |\nabla \omega|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} |\nabla \omega|^2 dx + \frac{C}{(\tau - \rho)^2} + \frac{C}{(\tau - \rho)^{p'}} + \frac{C}{\tau - \rho} \tau^{-2+\frac{2}{p'}}$$

Applying Giaquinta's iteration lemma again, we have

$$\int_{B_{1/2}(x_0)} |\nabla \omega|^2 dx \leq C, \quad (2.5)$$

for any $|x_0| \geq 3$. In fact, (2.5) implies that

$$\int_{B_{1/2}(x_0)} |\nabla^2 v|^2 dx \leq C, \quad (2.6)$$

due to integration by parts and $\Delta v = \nabla \operatorname{div}(v) - \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} v$. Moreover, (2.6) and $\|v\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \lesssim 1$ yields that

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_3)} \leq C, \quad (2.7)$$

by Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality.

Furthermore, using the equation (2.4) we get

$$\int_{B_1(x_0)} |\Delta \omega|^2 dx \leq C \int_{B_1(x_0)} |v|^2 |\nabla \omega|^2 dx \leq C,$$

where we used (2.7) and (2.6). It follows that

$$\int_{B_1(x_0)} |\nabla^3 v|^2 dx \leq C, \quad (2.8)$$

which and (2.3) yield that

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_3)} \leq C. \quad (2.9)$$

Similarly, using the equation (2.4) again,

$$\int_{B_1(x_0)} |\Delta \nabla \omega|^2 dx \leq C \int_{B_1(x_0)} |\nabla(v \cdot \nabla \omega)|^2 dx \leq C,$$

where we used (2.7), (2.8), (2.5), (2.9), and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality. It follows that

$$\int_{B_1(x_0)} |\nabla^4 v|^2 dx \leq C,$$

which and (2.6) yield that

$$\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_3)} \leq C. \quad (2.10)$$

Step II. Decay estimates of the vorticity.

Note that the vorticity satisfies the maximum principle, then there exist constants C'_0 and $R_0 > 2$ such that

$$\inf_{|x_1|=R_0} \int_{B_1(x_1)} |\nabla \omega|^2 dx \geq C'_0,$$

since $M(10) \neq 0$.

Applying Theorem 1.2 due to (2.10) and scaling property, by (1.7) we have

$$\inf_{|x_0|=R} \int_{B_1(x_0)} |\omega|^2 dx \geq C'_2 \exp(-C'_1 R \log^2(R)), \quad \text{for } R \gg 1. \quad (2.11)$$

Step III. Decay estimates of the velocity.

By the energy inequality (2.2) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{|x_0|=R} \int_{B_1(x_0)} |\omega|^2 &\leq \inf_{|x_0|=R} \int_{B_1(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 dx \\ &\leq \inf_{|x_0|=R} \int_{B_1(x_0)} |v|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

which and (2.11) imply that

$$M(R) = \inf_{|x_0|=R} \int_{B_1(x_0)} |v(y)|^2 dy \geq \exp(-C_0 R \log^2(R))$$

Then the proof is complete. □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Proof. We follow the same route as in [14]. The difference is, we choose a different cut-off function due to the exterior domain and deal with the L^p drift.

Let $z'_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $|z'_0| \gg 1$. Since (1.4) is invariant under rotation, we can assume that $z'_0 = |z'_0|e_1$, where $e_1 = (1, 0)$. Translating the origin to $-3e_1$, (1.4) becomes

$$\Delta u - W(x, y) \cdot \nabla u = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1^c(-3e_1). \quad (3.1)$$

For simplicity, we still write u and W in the equation in the new coordinates. Now we denote $z_0 = (|z'_0| - 3)e_1$ and set $R = |z_0|$. Define the scaled solution $u_R(z) = u(ARz + z_0)$, where $A > 0$, to be decided. Therefore, u_R solves

$$\Delta u_R - W_R \cdot \nabla u_R = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad B_{\frac{1}{AR}}^c(z_1), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$z_1 = -\left(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{3}{AR}\right)e_1$$

and $W_R(z) = (AR)W(ARz + z_0)$. Thus, for any $2 < p \leq \infty$ there holds

$$\|W_R\|_{L^p(B_{\frac{1}{AR}}^c(z_1))} \leq (AR)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}, \quad (3.3)$$

where we used (1.5). And the origin ($ARz + z_0 = 0$) moves to

$$\hat{z} = -\frac{z_0}{AR} = -\frac{1}{A}e_1.$$

Choose a large A so that

$$B_{\frac{1}{AR}}(z_1) \subset B_{7/5}.$$

Note that $\Delta = 4\partial\bar{\partial}$, where

$$\partial = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x - i\partial_y), \quad \bar{\partial} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x + i\partial_y).$$

It follows from (3.2) that u_R satisfies

$$4\partial\bar{\partial}u_R - W_R \cdot ((\partial + \bar{\partial})u_R, -i(\bar{\partial} - \partial)u_R) = 0,$$

which implies

$$\bar{\partial}(\partial u_R) = \alpha \partial u_R,$$

where we define

$$\alpha \doteq \frac{1}{4}W_R \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\bar{\partial}u_R}{\partial u_R}, -i\frac{\bar{\partial}u_R}{\partial u_R} + i\right), \quad (3.4)$$

for $|z - z_1| \geq \frac{1}{AR}$, otherwise $\alpha = 0$.

Let $g = \chi \partial u_R$, here χ is a cutoff function $\chi \equiv 1$ on $|z - z_1| \geq \frac{9}{8AR}$ and $\chi \equiv 0$ for $|z - z_1| \leq \frac{17}{16AR}$. Note that $\nabla \chi$ is supported on $\frac{17}{16AR} \leq |z - z_1| \leq \frac{9}{8AR}$. Then we have

$$\bar{\partial}g = \alpha g + \bar{\partial}\chi \partial u_R \quad \text{in} \quad B_2. \quad (3.5)$$

We now write \hat{z} as a point in the complex plane, i.e., $\hat{z} = -\frac{1}{A} + i0$. Let $w(z)$ be defined by

$$w(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{B_{7/5}} \frac{\alpha}{\xi - z} d\xi - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{B_{7/5}} \frac{\alpha}{\xi - \hat{z}} d\xi,$$

then $\bar{\partial}w = -\alpha$ in $B_{7/5}$. Recalling that (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$\|\alpha\|_{L^p(B_{7/5})} \leq C(AR)^{1-\frac{2}{p}},$$

In view of [22] (for example, see (6.4)-(6.7),(6.9a)), we have the following estimate of $w(z)$. For $2 < p < \infty$, there holds

$$|w(z)| \leq C(p) \|\alpha\|_{L^p(B_{7/5})} |z - \hat{z}|^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \leq C(AR)^{1-\frac{2}{p}} |z - \hat{z}|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}, \quad \forall z \in B_{7/5}, \quad (3.6)$$

and for $p = \infty$

$$|w(z)| \leq C(AR) |z - \hat{z}| \log \left(\frac{C}{|z - \hat{z}|} \right), \quad \forall z \in B_{7/5}. \quad (3.7)$$

Let $h = e^w g$, then it follows from (3.5) that

$$\bar{\partial} h = e^w (\bar{\partial} \chi) \partial u_R \quad \text{in } B_{7/5}, \quad (3.8)$$

Next we will use the following Carleman type estimate of $\bar{\partial}$ form [6, Proposition 2.1]. Let $\varphi_\tau(z) = \varphi_\tau(|z|) = -\tau \log |z| + |z|^2$, then for any $f \in C_0^\infty(B_{7/5} \setminus \{0\})$, we have that

$$\int |\bar{\partial} f|^2 e^{\varphi_\tau} \geq \frac{1}{4} \int (\Delta \varphi_\tau) |f|^2 e^{\varphi_\tau} = \int |f|^2 e^{\varphi_\tau}. \quad (3.9)$$

Note that φ_τ is decreasing in $|z|$ for $\tau > 8$ and $|z| \leq 2$. We introduce another cutoff function $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$ satisfying

$$\zeta(z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{when } |z| < \frac{1}{4AR}, \\ 1, & \text{when } \frac{1}{2AR} < |z| < 1, \\ 0, & \text{when } |z| > 6/5. \end{cases}$$

Hence the following estimates holds

$$|\nabla \zeta(z)| \leq C(AR) \quad \text{for } z \in X \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \zeta(z)| \leq C \quad \text{for } z \in Y,$$

where

$$X = \{\frac{1}{4AR} < |z| < \frac{1}{2AR}\} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \{1 < |z| < 6/5\}.$$

We also denote

$$Z = \{\frac{1}{2AR} < |z| < 1\}.$$

Note that (3.8), and applying the Carleman estimate (3.9) to ζh we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Z |h|^2 e^{\varphi_\tau} &\leq 2 \int (|\bar{\partial} \zeta h|^2 + |\zeta \bar{\partial} h|^2) e^{\varphi_\tau} \\ &\leq C(AR)^2 \int_X |h|^2 e^{\varphi_\tau} + C \int_Y |h|^2 e^{\varphi_\tau} + \int_{\tilde{Z}} |e^w (\bar{\partial} \chi) \partial u_R|^2 e^{\varphi_\tau}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\tilde{Z} = \{\frac{1}{4AR} < |z| < \frac{6}{5}\}.$$

First, for the left one of (3.10), for A and R large enough we have

$$\int_Z |h|^2 e^{\varphi_\tau} \geq \int_{B_{\frac{1}{AR}}(\hat{z})} |h|^2 e^{\varphi_\tau}.$$

Next let us estimate the terms in the integral inequality of (3.10) in two cases.

Step I. Case I of $2 < p < \infty$. On one hand, it follows from (3.6) that

$$|w(z)| \leq C; \text{ for } z \in B_{\frac{1}{AR}}(\hat{z}),$$

i.e.,

$$e^{w(z)} \geq \frac{1}{C} \text{ for } z \in B_{\frac{1}{AR}}(\hat{z}).$$

And using that for $z \in B_{\frac{1}{AR}}(\hat{z})$, $|z| \leq \frac{1}{AR} + \frac{1}{A}$, we have

$$\int_Z |h|^2 e^{\varphi_\tau} \geq \frac{e^{\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{AR})}}{C} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{AR}}(\hat{z})} |\partial u_R|^2. \quad (3.11)$$

Next we look at $\int_{\tilde{Z}} |e^w(\bar{\partial}\chi)\partial u_R|^2 e^{\varphi_\tau}$. Recall that $\bar{\partial}\chi$ is supported in $\frac{17}{16AR} \leq |z - z_1| \leq \frac{9}{8AR}$. Thus

$$e^{w(z)} \leq C \text{ for } \frac{17}{16AR} \leq |z - z_1| \leq \frac{9}{8AR}. \quad (3.12)$$

Using (3.12) and the known condition $\|\nabla u\|_\infty \lesssim 1$, we have

$$\int_{\tilde{Z}} |e^w(\bar{\partial}\chi)\partial u_R|^2 e^{\varphi_\tau} \leq C(AR)^2 \int_{\frac{17}{16AR} \leq |z - z_1| \leq \frac{9}{8AR}} |\partial u_R|^2 e^{\varphi_\tau} \leq C(AR)^2 e^{\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{15}{8AR})}. \quad (3.13)$$

It follows from (3.6) that

$$|w(z)| \leq C(AR)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}, \quad \forall z \in B_{7/5}.$$

Multiplying $\exp(-\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{AR}))$ on both sides of (3.10), using (3.11), (3.13) and the bound of ∂u , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{AR}}(\hat{z})} |\partial u_R|^2 &\leq C(AR)^2 e^{C(AR)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}} \frac{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{4AR}))}{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{AR}))} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2AR}}(0)} |\partial u_R|^2 \\ &\quad + C e^{C(AR)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}} \frac{\exp(\varphi_\tau(1))}{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{AR}))} \\ &\quad + C(AR)^2 \frac{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{15}{8AR}))}{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{AR}))} \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Re-scaling back to the original variables, by (1.6) we observe that

$$\int_{B_{\frac{1}{AR}}(\hat{z})} |\partial u_R|^2 = \int_{B_1(0)} |\partial u|^2 \geq C_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2AR}}(0)} |\partial u_R|^2 = \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(z_0)} |\partial u|^2 \quad (3.15)$$

Finally, choosing $\tau = C(AR) \log(AR)$ and taking R sufficiently large, it is not hard to see that

$$\begin{cases} C(AR)^2 e^{C(AR)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}} \frac{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{4AR}))}{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{AR}))} \leq \exp(C(AR) \log^2(AR)), \\ C(AR)^2 e^{C(AR)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}} \frac{\exp(\varphi_\tau(1))}{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{AR}))} \rightarrow 0, \\ C(AR)^2 \frac{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{15}{8AR}))}{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{AR}))} \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

Therefore, if R is large enough, then the last two term on the right hand side of (3.14) can be absorbed by the term on the left. Hence we get

$$\int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(z_0)} |\partial u|^2 \geq C \exp(-C(AR) \log^2(AR)). \quad (3.16)$$

Note that the energy estimate implies

$$\int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(z_0)} |\partial u|^2 \leq C \int_{B_1(z_0)} |u|^2 + C \left(\int_{B_1(z_0)} |u|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

which yields that

$$\int_{B_1(z_0)} |u|^2 \geq C \min \left\{ \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(z_0)} |\partial u|^2, \left(\int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(z_0)} |\partial u|^2 \right)^2 \right\}$$

Then the case of $2 < p < \infty$ is complete.

At last, we deal with the case of $p = \infty$.

Step II. Case II. $p = \infty$. On the other hand, (3.7) implies

$$|w(z)| \leq C \ln(AR); \text{ for } z \in B_{\frac{1}{AR}}(\hat{z}),$$

hence

$$e^{w(z)} \geq \frac{1}{(AR)^C} \text{ for } z \in B_{\frac{1}{AR}}(\hat{z}).$$

Similarly as (3.11), we have

$$\int_Z |h|^2 e^{\varphi_\tau} \geq \frac{e^{\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{AR})}}{(AR)^C} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{AR}}(\hat{z})} |\partial u_R|^2. \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$\int_{\tilde{Z}} |e^w(\bar{\partial}\chi) \partial u|^2 e^{\varphi_\tau} \leq C(AR)^C \int_{\frac{17}{16AR} \leq |z-z_1| \leq \frac{9}{8AR}} |\partial u_R|^2 e^{\varphi_\tau} \leq C(AR)^C e^{\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{15}{8AR})}. \quad (3.18)$$

Note that

$$|w(z)| \leq C(AR), \quad \forall z \in B_{7/5}.$$

Multiplying $C(AR)^C \exp(-\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{AR}))$ on both sides of (3.10), using (3.17), (3.18) and the bound of ∂u , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{AR}}(\bar{z})} |\partial u_R|^2 &\leq C(AR)^C \exp(C(AR)) \frac{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{4AR}))}{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{AR}))} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2AR}}(0)} |\partial u_R|^2 \\ &\quad + C(AR)^C \exp(C(AR)) \frac{\exp(\varphi_\tau(1))}{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{AR}))} \\ &\quad + C(AR)^C \frac{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{15}{8AR}))}{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{AR}))} \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Re-scaling back to the original variables again as in (3.15), there hold

$$\int_{B_{\frac{1}{AR}}(\bar{z})} |\partial u_R|^2 = \int_{B_1(0)} |\partial u|^2 \geq C_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2AR}}(0)} |\partial u_R|^2 = \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(z_0)} |\partial u|^2$$

Finally, choosing $\tau = C(AR) \log(AR)$ and taking R sufficiently large, we have

$$\begin{cases} C(AR)^C \exp(C(AR)) \frac{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{4AR}))}{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{AR}))} \leq \exp(CAR(\log^2(AR))), \\ C(AR)^C \exp(C(AR)) \frac{\exp(\varphi_\tau(1))}{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{AR}))} \rightarrow 0, \\ C(AR)^C \frac{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{15}{8AR}))}{\exp(\varphi_\tau(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{AR}))} \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

Therefore, if R is large enough, then the last two term on the right hand side of (3.19) can be absorbed by the term on the left. Consequently,

$$\int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(z_0)} |\partial u|^2 \geq C \exp(-CAR(\log^2(AR))). \quad (3.20)$$

Hence the proof is complete by the interior estimate as in Step I.

□

4. PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.1

Proof. Since $W \in L^p$ with $p > 2$ and $\|\nabla u\|_\infty \leq 1$, we have

$$u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_1),$$

which implies that $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_1)$. Furthermore, by (1.8) there exists a positive constant δ such that

$$\inf_{|z_0|=3} \int_{B_\delta(z_0)} |\nabla u|^2 \geq C_0.$$

With the help of Theorem 1.2, the proof is complete.

□

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

W. Wang was supported by NSFC under grant 11671067 and "the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities".

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bourgain and C. Kenig, On localization in the Anderson-Bernoulli model in higher dimensions, *Invent. Math.*, 161 (2005), 389-426.
- [2] B. Davey, Some quantitative unique continuation results for eigenfunctions of the magnetic Schrödinger operator, *Comm. in PDE*, 39 (2014), 876-945.
- [3] Davey, B.; Kenig, C.; Wang, J.-N., On Landis' conjecture in the plane when the potential has an exponentially decaying negative part. *Algebra i Analiz* 31 (2019), no. 2, 204-226.
- [4] Davey, Blair; Zhu, Jiuyi, Quantitative uniqueness of solutions to second-order elliptic equations with singular lower order terms. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 44 (2019), no. 11, 1217-1251.
- [5] Decaster, Agathe; Iftimie, Drago, On the asymptotic behaviour of 2D stationary Navier-Stokes solutions with symmetry conditions. *Nonlinearity* 30 (2017), no. 10, 3951-3978.
- [6] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman, *Nodal sets for eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on surfaces*, *J. of AMS* **3** (1990), 333-353.
- [7] R.H. Dyer, D.E. Edmunds, Asymptotic behavior of the stationary Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 44 (1969) 340-346.
- [8] R. Finn, Stationary solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, *Proc. Symp. Appl. Math. Soc.* 17 (1965) 121-153.
- [9] G. P. Galdi, An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier- Stokes equations. Steady-state problems. Second edition. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2011. xiv+1018 pp.
- [10] Galdi, G. P.; Novotny, A.; Padula, M., On the two-dimensional steady-state problem of a viscous gas in an exterior domain. *Pacific J. Math.* 179 (1997), no. 1, 65-100.
- [11] M. Giaquinta, Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations and Nonlinear Elliptic Systems, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, (1983).

- [12] Gilbarg, D.; Weinberger, H. F., Asymptotic properties of steady plane solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations with bounded Dirichlet integral. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* 5 (1978), no. 2, 381-404.
- [13] Kenig, Carlos; Wang, Jenn-Nan, Quantitative uniqueness estimates for second order elliptic equations with unbounded drift. *Math. Res. Lett.* 22 (2015), no. 4, 1159-1175.
- [14] Kenig, Carlos; Silvestre, Luis; Wang, Jenn-Nan On Landis' conjecture in the plane. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 40 (2015), no. 4, 766-789.
- [15] V. A. Kondratiev and E. M. Landis, Qualitative properties of the solutions of a second-order nonlinear equation, *Encyclopedia of Math. Sci.* 32 (Partial Differential equations III), Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1988).
- [16] Kow, Pu-Zhao; Lin, Ching-Lung On decay rate of solutions for the stationary Navier-Stokes equation in an exterior domain. *J. Differential Equations* 266 (2019), no. 6, 3279-3309.
- [17] E. H. Lieb, M. Loss, *Analysis*, second edition, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2001).
- [18] Ching-Lung Lin, Gunther Uhlmann, Jenn-Nan Wang, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of the stationary Navier-Stokes equations in an exterior domain, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 60(6) (2011) 2093-2106.
- [19] V. Z. Meshkov, *On the possible rate of decay at infinity of solutions of second order partial differential equations*, *Math. USSR Sbornik*, **72** (1992), 343-360.
- [20] Seregin, G.; Wang, W., Sufficient conditions on Liouville type theorems for the 3D steady Navier-Stokes equations. *Algebra i Analiz*, 31 (2019), no. 2, 269-278.
- [21] Wang, Wendong; Wang, Yuzhao, Liouville-type theorems for the stationary MHD equations in 2D. *Nonlinearity*, 32 (2019), no. 11, 4483-4505.
- [22] I.N. Vekua, *Generalized Analytic Functions*, Pergamon Press, London, 1962.

(Yueyang Men) COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, BEIJING UNIVERSITY OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING, 100029, CHINA

E-mail address: menyuey@mail.buct.edu.cn

(Wendong Wang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DALIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, DALIAN, 116024, CHINA

E-mail address: wendong@dlut.edu.cn

(Lingling Zhao) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DALIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, DALIAN, 116024, CHINA

E-mail address: linglingz@126.com