

On the Distribution of an Arbitrary Subset of the Eigenvalues for some Finite Dimensional Random Matrices

Marco Chiani

DEI, University of Bologna,

Viale Risorgimento 2, 40136 Bologna, ITALY (e-mail: marco.chiani@unibo.it).

Alberto Zanella

National Research Council of Italy (CNR), IEIIT,

Viale Risorgimento 2, 40136 Bologna, ITALY (e-mail: alberto.zanella@cnr.it).

We present some new results on the joint distribution of an arbitrary subset of the ordered eigenvalues of complex Wishart, double Wishart, and Gaussian hermitian random matrices of finite dimensions, using a tensor pseudo-determinant operator. Specifically, we derive compact expressions for the joint probability distribution function of the eigenvalues and the expectation of functions of the eigenvalues, including joint moments, for the case of both ordered and unordered eigenvalues.

1. Introduction

The distribution of the eigenvalues of random matrices appears in multivariate statistics, including principal component analysis and analysis of large data sets, in physics, including nuclear spectra, quantum theory, atomic physics, in communication theory, especially in relation to multiple-input multiple-output systems, and in signal processing [1–16]. For example, the probability that the eigenvalues of a random symmetric matrix are within an interval finds application in the analysis of the stability in physics, complex networks, complex ecosystems [17–21], for the analysis of the restricted isometry constant in compressed sensing [14, 22–24], and it is also related to the expected number of minima in random polynomials [25]. The distribution of the eigenvalues appears also in statistical ranking and selection theory for radar signal processing [26–28], in cognitive radio systems [29–34], and for adaptive filter design [35].

Owing to the difficulties in computing the exact marginal distributions of eigenvalues, asymptotic formulas for matrices with large dimensions are often used as approximations. These approaches allow to investigate only specific subclasses of matrices. For example, the asymptotical distribution of the largest eigenvalue of Wishart matrices is known only for the uncorrelated case [36]. In the presence of correlation, the analysis is much more involved and Gaussian approximations are generally applied [37].

For random matrices with finite dimensions (non-asymptotic analysis), the derivation of the distribution of eigenvalues is generally difficult. In particular, for complex matrices, which are the focus of this paper, only few results are available. Expressions for

the cumulative distribution function (c.d.f.) of the largest, smallest and ℓ^{th} largest eigenvalue of a complex Wishart matrix have been obtained in previous works (see for instance [38, 39]); however, the direct computation of the corresponding probability distribution function (p.d.f.)'s from the c.d.f. is not straightforward. A polynomial expression for the p.d.f. largest eigenvalue for the uncorrelated central Wishart case was proposed in [40, 41]. The p.d.f. of the largest eigenvalue for the case of uncorrelated noncentral Wishart was studied in [42]. Expressions for the c.d.f. and a first order expansion for the p.d.f. of λ_ℓ in the uncorrelated noncentral case were given in [43]. The p.d.f. of the ℓ^{th} largest eigenvalue for uncorrelated central, correlated central and uncorrelated noncentral Wishart cases was also studied in [44–47]. The distribution of the largest eigenvalue and the probability that all eigenvalues are within an interval, as well as efficient recursive methods for their numerical computation, has been found for real and complex Wishart, multivariate Beta (also known as double Wishart or MANOVA), for the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE) and for the Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE) [21, 48, 49].^a Expressions for the joint p.d.f. of subsets of unordered eigenvalues of uncorrelated non central Wishart matrices were given in [50]. Closed form expressions for the marginal c.d.f.s and p.d.f.s of some Hermitian random matrices, which also include Wishart matrices, were given in [51]. The moment generating function (MGF) of the largest eigenvalue for both uncorrelated and correlated central Wishart cases was given in [52]. Besides the finite case, approximations and asymptotics for uncorrelated Wishart and for spiked Wishart have been studied in recent literature (see e.g. [10, 53–55]).

The goal of the paper is to provide a unified framework for the derivation of marginal distributions, joint distribution and moments of subset of eigenvalues, for a general class of random matrices with finite size, including the GUE, the correlated central Wishart matrices, with as a particular case the spiked Wishart, the uncorrelated noncentral Wishart matrices, and double Wishart matrices (multivariate beta). In particular, we generalize the results in [45, 46] and derive simple expressions for the joint p.d.f. of an arbitrary subset of the eigenvalues.

Indicating with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_M$ the ordered nonzero eigenvalues for the mentioned random matrices, the contributions of the paper can be summarized as follows:

- We derive simple and concise expressions for the p.d.f. of the ℓ^{th} largest eigenvalue λ_ℓ .
- We obtain the joint distribution of L arbitrary, ordered or unordered, eigenvalues. The joint distribution of two arbitrary ordered eigenvalues is a special case of this more general distribution.
- We provide a compact expression for the expectation of statistics of the type $\mathcal{S}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_M) = \prod_i \varphi_i(\lambda_i)$, where $\varphi_i: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are arbitrary functions and λ_i are the unordered eigenvalues. The joint moments of subsets of eigenvalues can be computed as a particular case.

^aThese matrices are also denominated, using the names of the associated weight polynomials, as Laguerre (Wishart), Jacobi (double Wishart), and Hermite (Gaussian) ensembles.

Throughout the paper, we will use $f_X(x)$ to denote the p.d.f. of the random variable (r.v.) X and $\mathbb{E}\{\cdot\}$ to denote the expectation operator. We will use bold for vectors and matrices, so that for example \mathbf{x} denotes a vector, and $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ denotes a $(m \times n)$ matrix with complex elements, $a_{i,j}$, with \mathbf{a}_j denoting the j^{th} column vector of \mathbf{A} . We will use $|\mathbf{A}|$ or $\det \mathbf{A}$ to denote the determinant of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, and the superscript $(\cdot)^\dagger$ for conjugation and transposition. With $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})$ we indicate the Vandermonde matrix with elements $v_{i,j} = x_j^{i-1}$ and determinant $|\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})| = \prod_{i < j} (x_j - x_i)$. We denote by $r(x; a, b)$ the indicator function

$$r(x; a, b) \triangleq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \leq x \leq b \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

and with $\delta(\cdot)$ the Dirac's delta function.

The paper is organized as follows. The main theorems to the eigenvalue distribution of some classes of random matrices are provided in Section 2. The proof of the main result is presented in Section 3. Section 4 describes some applications of the results presented in Section 2. The results of Section 2 are also specialized in Section 5 to the case of correlated Wishart matrix. Conclusions are given in Section 6.

Throughout the paper we will generally refer to complex matrices, unless otherwise stated.

2. Main results

The goal of the paper is to provide a unified framework for the derivation of marginal distributions, joint distribution of subset of eigenvalues, and moments for a general class of random matrices with arbitrary size. To this aim, we consider M real ordered random variables $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \triangleq (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_M)$ contained in the interval (α, β) with $\beta \geq \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_M \geq \alpha$, whose ordered joint p.d.f. is of the form

$$f_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\mathbf{x}) = K |\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{x})| \cdot |\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{x})| \prod_{i=1}^M \xi(x_i). \quad (2.1)$$

In the previous equation $\mathbf{x} \triangleq (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_M)$, K is a normalizing constant, $\xi(x)$ is an arbitrary function, $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$ is a matrix with elements $\phi_{i,j} = \phi_i(x_j)$, $\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ with $N \geq M$ is a matrix having elements

$$\Psi_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \psi_i(x_j) & j = 1, \dots, M \\ \bar{\psi}_{i,j} & j = M + 1, \dots, N \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

with $\phi_i(\cdot)$, $\psi_i(\cdot)$ arbitrary scalar functions and $\bar{\psi}_{i,j}$ arbitrary constants.

Expression (2.1) is of particular importance in multivariate statistical analysis as it represents the joint p.d.f. of the eigenvalues of central Wishart or pseudo-Wishart matrices having covariance matrix with arbitrary multiplicity, noncentral Wishart with covariance matrix equal to the identity matrix, multivariate beta (double Wishart) matrices, as well as the GUE [3, 4, 11, 36, 56]. More precisely, some cases where the distribution of the eigenvalues is in the form (2.1) are the following.

- (1) Complex central uncorrelated Wishart matrices: assume a Gaussian complex $M \times n$ matrix \mathbf{X} with independent, identically distributed (i.i.d.) columns, each circularly symmetric with covariance $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{I}$ (identity covariance), with $\mathbb{E}\{\mathbf{X}\} = \mathbf{0}$, and $n \geq M$. The joint p.d.f. of the (real) ordered eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \dots \geq \lambda_M \geq 0$ of the complex Wishart matrix $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^H \sim \mathcal{CW}_M(n, \mathbf{I})$ is [7, 10, 11]

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_M) = K |\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})|^2 \prod_{i=1}^M e^{-x_i} x_i^{n-M} \quad (2.3)$$

where $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_M \geq 0$ and K is a normalizing constant given by $1/K = \prod_{i=1}^M (n-i)!(M-i)!$.

- (2) Complex noncentral uncorrelated Wishart matrices: under the same hypothesis of (1), with $\mathbb{E}\{\mathbf{X}\} = \mathbf{Q} \neq \mathbf{0}$, the joint p.d.f. of the (real) ordered eigenvalues of the complex noncentral uncorrelated Wishart matrix $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^H$ is given by [46, 57]

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_M) = K |\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x})| \cdot |\mathbf{\Upsilon}(\mathbf{x})| \prod_{i=1}^M x_i^{n-M} e^{-x_i} \quad (2.4)$$

where K is a normalizing constant [46, 57], the $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ element of $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x})$ is x_j^{M-i} , and the $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ element of $\mathbf{\Upsilon}(\mathbf{x})$, $v_{i,j}$, is

$$v_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{{}_0F_1(n-M+1, \mu_j x_i)}{(n-M)!} & j = 1, \dots, \nu \\ x_i^{M-j} & j = \nu + 1, \dots, M \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

where $\nu \leq M$ is the rank of $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^H$, $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_\nu$ are the ordered eigenvalues of $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^H$, and ${}_0F_1(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the hypergeometric function.

- (3) Hermitian Gaussian matrices (GUE): the GUE is composed of complex Hermitian random matrices with i.i.d. $\mathcal{CN}(0, 1/2)$ entries on the upper-triangle, and $\mathcal{N}(0, 1/2)$ on the main diagonal. The joint distribution of the ordered eigenvalues can be written as [36]

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_M) = K |\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})|^2 \prod_{i=1}^M e^{-x_i^2} \quad (2.6)$$

where $K = 2^{M(M-1)/2} (\pi^{M/2} \prod_{i=1}^M \Gamma(i))^{-1}$ is a normalizing constant.

- (4) Multivariate beta (double Wishart) matrices: let \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} denote two independent complex Gaussian matrices, each constituted by zero mean i.i.d. columns with common covariance. Multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) is based on the statistic of the eigenvalues of $(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{B}$ (beta matrix), where $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^H$ and $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^H$ are independent Wishart matrices. These eigenvalues are clearly related to the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B}$ (double Wishart or multivariate beta). The joint distribution of the M non-null eigenvalues of a multivariate complex beta matrix in the null case can be written in the form [21, 38]

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_M) = K |\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})|^2 \prod_{i=1}^M x_i^m (1 - x_i)^n \quad (2.7)$$

where m, n are related to the dimensions of the matrices \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} , the eigenvalues are in the interval $(0, 1)$ so that $1 > x_1 \geq x_2 \cdots \geq x_M > 0$, and K is a normalizing constant [21, 38].

- (5) Complex correlated Wishart matrices: in Section 5 we will describe in detail the Wishart case with arbitrary correlation (including the spiked model), for which the joint distribution of the eigenvalues (see (5.1) and (5.4)) has the form (2.1).

In Theorem 2.1 we first generalise the result [11, Th. 2] to cover the case of matrices having different sizes.

The main result of the paper is then Theorem 2.2, which gives the marginal joint distribution of L arbitrary ordered r.v.s.

Definition 2.1. For a rank 3 tensor $\mathbf{A} = \{a_{i,j,k}\}_{i,j,k=1,\dots,N}$, we define the pseudo-determinant operator $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{A})$ as

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{A}) \triangleq \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \text{sgn}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \text{sgn}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \prod_{k=1}^N a_{\mu_k, \alpha_k, k} \quad (2.8)$$

where the sums are over all possible permutations, $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, of the integers $1, \dots, N$. It is worth noting that $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{A})$ can be simplified as

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \text{sgn}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \det \mathbf{A}^{(\boldsymbol{\mu})} \quad (2.9)$$

where the $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ element of the matrix $\mathbf{A}^{(\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ is $a_{\mu_i, j, i}$. Therefore, the computational complexity of the pseudo-determinant operator is equivalent to that of $N!$ conventional determinant operators. In particular, if the matrix $\mathbf{A}^{(\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ remains the same for some permutations $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, the computational complexity of the operator $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{A})$ can be strongly reduced. As a special case, when $a_{i,j,k}$ are independent of k , i.e., $a_{i,j,k} = a_{i,j,1}$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{A}) = N! \det \left(\{a_{i,j,1}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,N} \right) \quad (2.10)$$

i.e., the pseudo-determinant $\mathcal{T}(\cdot)$ of the tensor $\{a_{i,j,k}\}_{i,j,k=1,\dots,N}$ degenerates into $N!$ times the determinant of the matrix $\{a_{i,j,1}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,N}$.

Using the above definition, we have the following theorem, which represents the generalization of [11, Th. 2] when the integrand function is composed by the product of the determinants of two matrices having different sizes.

Theorem 2.1. Given M arbitrary functions $\xi_i(\cdot)$ and two arbitrary matrices $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$, with (i, j) elements $\Phi_i(x_j)$, and $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, $N \geq M$, with elements as in (2.2), the following identity holds:

$$\int \dots \int_{\mathcal{D}} |\Phi(\mathbf{x})| \cdot |\Psi(\mathbf{x})| \prod_{k=1}^M \xi_k(x_k) d\mathbf{x} = \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{C}) \quad (2.11)$$

where the multiple integral is over the hypercube

$$\mathcal{D} = \{a \leq x_1 \leq b, a \leq x_2 \leq b, \dots, a \leq x_M \leq b\}$$

$d\mathbf{x} = dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_M$ and the elements of the tensor \mathbf{C} are

$$C_{i,j,k} = \begin{cases} \int_a^b \Phi_i(x) \Psi_j(x) \xi_k(x) dx & i \leq M, \quad k \leq M \\ \int_a^b \Psi_j(x) \xi_k(x) dx & i > M, \quad k \leq M \\ 0 & i < k, \quad k > M \\ \bar{\Psi}_{j,k} & i \geq k, \quad k > M. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

Proof. Since the integrand function in (2.11) does not depend on the specific values of the matrices but only on their determinants, $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ in (2.11) can be replaced by an arbitrary matrix, say $\hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{x})$, having the same determinant. A possible choice for the elements of $\hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ is the following

$$\hat{\Phi}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \hat{\Phi}_i(x_j) = \Phi_i(x_j) & i \leq M, \quad j \leq M \\ \hat{\Phi}_i(x_j) = 1 & i > M, \quad j \leq M \\ 1 & i > M, \quad M < j \leq i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

Applying the definition (2.13), the integral in the left-hand side (LHS) of (2.11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \cdots \int_{\mathcal{D}} |\hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{x})| \cdot |\Psi(\mathbf{x})| \prod_{k=1}^M \xi_k(x_k) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int \cdots \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{l=1}^N \hat{\Phi}_{\sigma_l, l} \right] \cdot \left[\sum_{\mu} \text{sgn}(\mu) \prod_{k=1}^N \Psi_{\mu_k, k} \right] \prod_{k=1}^M \xi_k(x_k) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \sum_{\mu} \text{sgn}(\mu) \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{k=M+1}^N \hat{\Phi}_{\sigma_k, k} \bar{\Psi}_{\mu_k, k} \int \cdots \int_{\mathcal{D}} \prod_{k=1}^M \hat{\Phi}_{\sigma_k}(x_k) \Psi_{\mu_k}(x_k) \xi_k(x_k) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \sum_{\mu} \text{sgn}(\mu) \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{k=M+1}^N \hat{\Phi}_{\sigma_k, k} \bar{\Psi}_{\mu_k, k} \prod_{k=1}^M \int_a^b \hat{\Phi}_{\sigma_k}(x) \Psi_{\mu_k}(x) \xi_k(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{\mu} \text{sgn}(\mu) \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{k=1}^N C_{\sigma_k, \mu_k, k} = \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{C}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where the elements of \mathbf{C} are defined in (2.12). \square

The following Theorem gives the joint distribution of an arbitrary subset of eigenvalues.

Theorem 2.2. *The joint p.d.f. of L arbitrary ordered eigenvalues $\lambda_{i_1}, \lambda_{i_2}, \dots, \lambda_{i_L}$, with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_L$ with joint distribution as in (2.1) is given by*

$$f_{\lambda_{i_1}, \lambda_{i_2}, \dots, \lambda_{i_L}}(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_L}) = c(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_L) K \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{A}) \quad (2.15)$$

where $c(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_L) = 1/\prod_{\ell=1}^{L+1} (i_\ell - i_{\ell-1} - 1)!$ and the $N \times N \times N$ tensor \mathbf{A} has elements

$$a_{i,j,k} \triangleq \begin{cases} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \varsigma_i(x) \Psi_j(x) \eta_k(x) dx & k \leq M \\ 0 & k > M, \quad i < k \\ \bar{\Psi}_{j,k} & k > M, \quad i \geq k. \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

The function $\eta_k(x)$ in the previous equation is

$$\eta_k(x) \triangleq \begin{cases} \delta(x - x_k) & k \in \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_L\} \\ r(x; x_{i_{\varepsilon(k)}}, x_{i_{\varepsilon(k)}-1}) & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

and the segment indicator $\varepsilon(k)$ is defined as the unique integer such that $i_{\varepsilon(k)-1} \leq k < i_{\varepsilon(k)}$, with the convention $i_0 \triangleq 0, x_0 \triangleq \beta, i_{L+1} = M+1, x_{L+1} = \alpha$.

Proof. See Section 3. □

3. Proof of Theorem 2.2

In this section we will prove Theorem 2.2, by first deriving the p.d.f. for one eigenvalue, then for two arbitrary eigenvalues, and finally for the general case of L arbitrary eigenvalues.

The marginal distribution of one ordered eigenvalue is obtained in the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *The p.d.f. of the ℓ^{th} ordered eigenvalue is given by*

$$f_{\lambda_\ell}(x_\ell) = c(\ell) K \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{A}) \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$c(\ell) \triangleq \frac{1}{(\ell-1)!(M-i)!} \quad (3.2)$$

and the $N \times N \times N$ tensor $\mathbf{A} = A(x_\ell)$ has elements

$$a_{i,j,k} \triangleq \begin{cases} \int_{x_\ell}^{\beta} \varsigma_i(x) \Psi_j(x) dx & k < \ell \leq M \\ \varsigma_i(x_\ell) \Psi_j(x_\ell) & k = \ell \leq M \\ \int_{\alpha}^{x_\ell} \varsigma_i(x) \Psi_j(x) dx & \ell < k \leq M \\ 0 & k > M, \quad i < k \\ \bar{\Psi}_{j,k} & k > M, \quad i \geq k \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\varsigma_i(x) \triangleq \begin{cases} \Phi_i(x) \xi(x) & i \leq M \\ \xi(x) & i > M. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. For the marginal distribution of the ℓ^{th} ordered eigenvalue we have to evaluate

$$f_{\lambda_\ell}(x_\ell) = \int \cdots \int_{\mathcal{D}(x_\ell)} d\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(\ell)} f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(\ell)}, x_\ell) \quad (3.5)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(\ell)} \triangleq (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\ell-1}, \lambda_{\ell+1}, \dots, \lambda_M)$ is the vector $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ excluding λ_ℓ , and

$$\mathcal{D}(x_\ell) = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(\ell)} : \lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{\ell-1} \geq x_\ell \geq \lambda_{\ell+1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_M \right\}.$$

The previous expression can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\lambda_\ell}(x_\ell) &= \underbrace{\int_{x_\ell}^\beta d\lambda_{\ell-1} \cdots \int_{\lambda_3}^\beta d\lambda_2 \int_{\lambda_2}^\beta d\lambda_1}_{\beta > \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq x_\ell} \\ &\times \underbrace{\int_\alpha^{x_\ell} d\lambda_{\ell+1} \cdots \int_\alpha^{\lambda_{M-2}} d\lambda_{M-1} \int_\alpha^{\lambda_{M-1}} d\lambda_M}_{x_\ell \geq \lambda_{\ell+1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_M > \alpha} f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(\ell)}, x_\ell). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Now, due to the symmetry of the function in (2.1) we can also write

$$f_{\lambda_\ell}(x_\ell) = c(\ell) \int_{x_\ell}^\beta \cdots \int_{x_\ell}^\beta d\lambda_1 \cdots d\lambda_{\ell-1} \int_\alpha^{x_\ell} \cdots \int_\alpha^{x_\ell} d\lambda_{\ell+1} \cdots d\lambda_M f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(\ell)}, x_\ell)$$

where $c(\ell)$ is defined in (3.2). To be able to use the $\mathcal{T}(\cdot)$ operator we must integrate $f(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ with respect to all variables (this is hypercubical integration domain). To this aim, we use the indicator function $r(x; a, b)$ defined in the introduction, that, together with the Dirac's delta function $\delta(\cdot)$, allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\lambda_\ell}(x_\ell) &= c(\ell) \int_\alpha^\beta \cdots \int_\alpha^\beta r(\lambda_1; x_\ell, \beta) \cdots r(\lambda_{\ell-1}; x_\ell, \beta) \\ &\times \delta(\lambda_\ell - x_\ell) r(\lambda_{\ell+1}; \alpha, x_\ell) \cdots r(\lambda_M; \alpha, x_\ell) f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) d\boldsymbol{\lambda}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Then, by using Theorem 2.1 in (3.7) with $a = \alpha$, $b = \beta$, and

$$\xi_k(x) = \begin{cases} r(x; x_\ell, \beta) \xi(x) & k < \ell \\ \delta(x - x_\ell) \xi(x) & k = \ell \\ r(x; \alpha, x_\ell) \xi(x) & \ell + 1 \leq k < M \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

we get (3.1) and (3.3). \square

The marginal joint distribution of any two ordered eigenvalues is given in the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *The joint p.d.f. of the ℓ^{th} and s^{th} ordered eigenvalues, $s > \ell$, is given by*

$$f_{\lambda_\ell, \lambda_s}(x_\ell, x_s) = c(\ell, s) K\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{A}) \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$c(\ell, s) \triangleq \frac{1}{(\ell-1)! (s-\ell-1)! (M-s)!} \quad (3.10)$$

the $N \times N \times N$ tensor \mathbf{A} has elements

$$a_{i,j,k} \triangleq \begin{cases} \int_{x_\ell}^{\beta} \varsigma_i(x) \Psi_j(x) dx & k < \ell \leq M \\ \varsigma_i(x_\ell) \Psi_j(x_\ell) & k = \ell \leq M \\ \int_{x_s}^{x_\ell} \varsigma_i(x) \Psi_j(x) dx & \ell < k < s \leq M \\ \varsigma_i(x_s) \Psi_j(x_s) & k = s \leq M \\ \int_{\alpha}^{x_s} \varsigma_i(x) \Psi_j(x) dx & s < k \leq M \\ 0 & k > M, \quad i < k \\ \bar{\Psi}_{j,k} & k > M, \quad i \geq k \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

and ς_i is defined in (3.4).

Proof. For the proof we proceed as for Lemma 3.1 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\lambda_\ell, \lambda_s}(x_\ell, x_s) &= c(\ell, s) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \cdots \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} r(\lambda_1; x_\ell, \beta) \cdots r(\lambda_{\ell-1}; x_\ell, \beta) \\ &\quad \times \delta(\lambda_\ell - x_\ell) r(\lambda_{\ell+1}; x_s, x_\ell) \cdots r(\lambda_{s-1}; x_s, x_\ell) \delta(\lambda_s - x_s) \\ &\quad \times r(\lambda_{s+1}; \alpha, x_s) \cdots r(\lambda_M; \alpha, x_s) f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) d\boldsymbol{\lambda} \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where $c(\ell, s)$ is defined in (3.10). Using Theorem 2.1 with

$$\xi_k(x) = \begin{cases} r(x; x_\ell, \beta) \xi(x) & k < \ell \\ \delta(x - x_\ell) \xi(x) & k = \ell \\ r(x; x_s, x_\ell) \xi(x) & \ell + 1 \leq k < s \\ \delta(x - x_s) \xi(x) & k = s \\ r(x; \alpha, x_s) \xi(x) & s < k \leq M \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

we finally obtain (3.11). \square

For the proof of the general case of Theorem 2.2, that is, the marginal joint distribution of L arbitrary ordered eigenvalues, we follow the same approach used for the two previous Lemmas, generalizing (3.12) to the case of L variables kept fixed and integrating over the remaining $M - L$ ones. In this way we obtain (2.15), (2.16), and (2.17)

4. Some applications of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2

4.1. Expected value of a function of the ℓ^{th} ordered eigenvalue

Theorem 4.1. *The expected value of an arbitrary function $\varphi(\cdot)$ of the ℓ^{th} ordered eigenvalue is given by*

$$\mathbb{E} \{ \varphi(\lambda_\ell) \} = c(\ell) K \mathcal{I} \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I} &\triangleq \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \varphi(x_{\ell}) \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{A}(x_{\ell})) dx_{\ell} \\ &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \text{sgn}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \text{sgn}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \varphi(x_{\ell}) \prod_{k=1}^N a_{\mu_k, \alpha_k, k}(x_{\ell}) dx_{\ell}\end{aligned}\quad (4.2)$$

and $a_{\mu_k, \alpha_k, k}(x_{\ell})$ are defined in (3.3).

Proof. By direct substitution. \square

By specializing the previous result to $\varphi(x) = x^m$ we obtain the moments of the distribution of an arbitrary ordered eigenvalue, with $\varphi(x) = r(x; 0, \lambda_{\ell})$ we obtain the c.d.f., and with $\varphi(x) = e^{\nu x}$ we get the moment generating function (m.g.f.) of λ_{ℓ} .

Note also that in many cases the evaluation of (4.2) does not require multidimensional numerical integration. For example, as shown by (5.5), for Wishart matrices the functions $a_{i,j,k}(x_{\ell})$ can be written in closed form.

4.2. Probability that all eigenvalues are within the interval $[a, b]$

Theorem 4.2. The probability that all eigenvalues are within the interval $[a, b]$ is given by

$$\Pr \{ \text{All eigenvalues are} \in [a, b] \} = \frac{K}{M!} \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{A}) \quad (4.3)$$

where the $N \times N \times N$ tensor \mathbf{A} has elements

$$a_{i,j,k} = \begin{cases} \int_a^b \Phi_i(x) \Psi_j(x) \xi(x) dx & i \leq M, \quad k \leq M \\ \int_a^b \Psi_j(x) \xi(x) dx & i > M, \quad k \leq M \\ 0 & i < k, \quad k > M \\ \bar{\Psi}_{j,k} & i \geq k, \quad k > M \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. For the proof we note that

$$\underbrace{\int \cdots \int}_{b \geq x_1 \geq \cdots \geq x_M \geq a} f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{K}{M!} \int_a^b \cdots \int_a^b |\Phi(\mathbf{x})| \cdot |\Psi(\mathbf{x})| \prod_{k=1}^M \xi(x_k) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (4.5)$$

Then, by applying Theorem 2.1 we get (4.3). \square

As a special case, if $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{C}^{M \times M}$ with $\Psi_{i,j} = \Psi_i(x_j)$,^b the probability that all eigenvalues are within the interval $[a, b]$ becomes

$$\Pr \{ \text{All eigenvalues are} \in [a, b] \} = K |\mathbf{B}| \quad (4.6)$$

^bThis is the case, for instance, of the joint p.d.f. of the eigenvalues of central Wishart matrices

where $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{C}^{M \times M}$ has elements

$$b_{i,j} \triangleq \int_a^b \Phi_i(x) \Psi_j(x) \xi(x) dx. \quad (4.7)$$

4.3. The unordered case: marginal joint distribution of L arbitrary eigenvalues.

Theorem 4.3. *The joint p.d.f. of L arbitrary unordered eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_L$ (note that due to symmetry we can always assume the first L without loss in generality) is given by*

$$f_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_L}^{(\text{unord})}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_L) = \frac{K}{M!} \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{A}) \quad (4.8)$$

where the $N \times N \times N$ tensor \mathbf{A} has elements

$$a_{i,j,k} = \begin{cases} \int_a^\beta \varsigma_i(x) \Psi_j(x) \eta_k(x) dx & k \leq M \\ 0 & k > M, \quad i < k \\ \bar{\Psi}_{j,k} & k > M, \quad i \geq k \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

with

$$\eta_k(x) \triangleq \begin{cases} \delta(x - x_k) & k \leq L \\ 1 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

Proof. For the proof we proceed similarly to the previous cases. \square

Note that some results for the unordered case can be also found in [58].

4.4. The unordered case: expected value, moments and c.d.f. of L eigenvalues

Theorem 4.4. *The expected value of the product of arbitrary functions $\varphi_k(\cdot)$ applied to the unordered eigenvalues is given by*

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \prod_{\ell=1}^M \varphi_\ell(\lambda_\ell) \right\} = \frac{K}{M!} \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{A}) \quad (4.11)$$

where the $N \times N \times N$ tensor \mathbf{A} has elements:

$$a_{i,j,k} = \begin{cases} \int_a^\beta \Phi_i(x) \Psi_j(x) \xi(x) \varphi_k(x) dx & i \leq M, \quad k \leq M \\ \int_a^\beta \Psi_j(x) \xi(x) \varphi_k(x) dx & i > M, \quad k \leq M \\ 0 & i < k, \quad k > M \\ \bar{\Psi}_{j,k} & i \geq k, \quad k > M \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. Immediate by Theorem 2.1. \square

Special cases include the joint moments for unordered eigenvalues:

$$\mathbb{E} \{ \lambda_1^{m_1} \dots \lambda_M^{m_M} \} \quad (4.13)$$

obtained with $\varphi_\ell(\lambda_\ell) = \lambda_\ell^{m_\ell}$ (by setting $m_\ell = 0$ for some ℓ we obtain the joint moments of the marginal eigenvalues).

The joint m.g.f. can be written as

$$M_{\mathbf{\lambda}}(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_M) \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \prod_{\ell=1}^M e^{\nu_\ell \lambda_\ell} \right\} \quad (4.14)$$

which can be obtained from (4.11) with $\varphi_\ell(\lambda_\ell) = e^{\nu_\ell \lambda_\ell}$.

5. Results for Complex Wishart Matrices

As previously observed, the expression for the joint p.d.f. of the eigenvalues of complex central Wishart matrices has the same form as in (2.1). To apply the results of Sections 2 and 4 to the cases of Wishart and pseudo-Wishart matrices, the following Lemma can be used [56].

Lemma 5.1.

Denoting by \mathbf{X} a complex Gaussian $(p \times n)$ random matrix with zero mean, unit variance, i.i.d. entries and by $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ an $(n \times n)$ positive definite matrix, the joint p.d.f. of the (real) nonzero ordered eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_M \geq 0$, with $M = \min(n, p)$, of the $(p \times p)$ quadratic form $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{X}^\dagger$ is

$$f_{\mathbf{\lambda}}(x_1, \dots, x_M) = K |\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})| \cdot |\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}, \phi)| \prod_{i=1}^M \xi(x_i) \quad (5.1)$$

where $\xi(x) = x^{p-M}$, $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})$ is the $(M \times M)$ Vandermonde matrix with elements $v_{i,j} = x_j^{i-1}$. The constant K is given by

$$K = \frac{(-1)^{p(n-M)}}{\Gamma_{(M)}(p)} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^L \phi_{(i)}^{m_i p}}{\prod_{i=1}^L \Gamma_{(m_i)}(m_i) \prod_{i < j} (\phi_{(i)} - \phi_{(j)})^{m_i m_j}} \quad (5.2)$$

where $\Gamma_{(m)}(n) \triangleq \prod_{i=1}^m (n-i)!$ and $\phi_{(1)} > \phi_{(2)} > \dots > \phi_{(L)}$ are the L distinct eigenvalues of $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$, with associated multiplicities m_1, \dots, m_L such that $\sum_{i=1}^L m_i = n$.

The $(n \times n)$ matrix $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}, \phi)$ has elements

$$g_{i,j} = \begin{cases} g_i(x_j) = (-x_j)^{d(i)} e^{-\phi_{(e(i))} x_j} & j = 1, \dots, M \\ \tilde{g}_{i,j} = [n-j]_{d(i)} \phi_{(e(i))}^{n-j-d(i)} & j = M+1, \dots, n \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

where $[a]_n \triangleq a(a-1) \dots (a-n+1)$, $e(i)$ denotes the unique integer such that

$$m_1 + \dots + m_{e(i)-1} < i \leq m_1 + \dots + m_{e(i)}$$

and

$$d(i) = \sum_{k=1}^{e(i)} m_k - i.$$

It can be checked that the uncorrelated case (2.3) is the special case of (5.1) for $\Sigma = \mathbf{I}$.

Another interesting special case is when Σ is spiked, i.e., with $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = \sigma_4 = \dots = \sigma_n$. For this spiked model correlation we have the following result.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathbf{W} \sim \mathcal{CW}_M(n, \Sigma)$ be a complex Wishart matrix, $n \geq M$. Denote $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 = \dots = \sigma_M > 0$ the ordered eigenvalues of Σ (spiked covariance matrix). Then, the joint p.d.f. of the ordered eigenvalues of \mathbf{W} is*

$$f_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_M) = K |\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\sigma})| \cdot \prod_{i < j}^M (x_i - x_j) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^M x_i^{n-M} \quad (5.4)$$

where $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ has elements

$$e_{i,j} = \begin{cases} e^{-x_i/\sigma_1} & j = 1 \\ x_i^{M-j} e^{-x_i/\sigma_2} & j = 2, \dots, M \end{cases}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{K} = \sigma_1^{n-M+1} \sigma_2^{(n-1)(M-1)} (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)^{M-1} \prod_{i=1}^M (n-i)! \prod_{\ell=2}^{M-2} \ell!$$

Proof. This is a particular case of Lemma 5.1. □

Using the p.d.f. expression in lemma 5.1, The results of Sections 2 and 4 can be easily specialized to the Wishart (or pseudo-Wishart) case. In particular, M , N , $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$, and $\Psi(\mathbf{x})$ in Theorems 3.1-4.4 must be replaced by M , p , $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}, \phi)$ in Lemma 5.1.

For example, the elements of tensors in (3.3) of Lemma 3.1 and (3.11) of Lemma 3.2 can be written for the Wishart matrices as follows.

- Tensor elements for the distribution of one eigenvalue for Wishart matrices, (3.3) of Lemma 3.1:

$$a_{i,j,k} \triangleq \begin{cases} (-1)^{d(j)} \phi_{(e(j))}^{-\omega_{i,j}} \Gamma(\omega_{i,j}, x_\ell \phi(e(j))) & k < \ell \leq M \\ x_\ell^{p-M+\zeta_i} (-x_\ell)^{d(j)} e^{-\phi(e(j))} & k = \ell \leq M \\ (-1)^{d(j)} \phi_{(e(j))}^{-\omega_{i,j}} \gamma(\omega_{i,j}, x_\ell \phi(e(j))) & \ell < k \leq M \\ 0 & k > M, \quad i < k \\ [n-j]_{d(i)} \phi_{(e(i))}^{n-j-d(i)} & k > M, \quad i \geq k \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

- Tensor elements for the distribution of two eigenvalues for Wishart matrices, (3.11) of Lemma 3.2:

$$a_{i,j,k} \triangleq \begin{cases} (-1)^{d(j)} \phi_{(e(j))}^{-\omega_{i,j}} \Gamma(\omega_{i,j}, x_\ell \phi(e(j))) & k < \ell \leq M \\ x_\ell^{p-M+\zeta_i} (-x_\ell)^{d(j)} e^{-\phi(e(j))} & k = \ell \leq M \\ (-1)^{d(j)} \phi_{(e(j))}^{-\omega_{i,j}} \Gamma(\omega_{i,j}, x_s \phi(e(j)), x_\ell \phi(e(j))) & \ell < k < s \leq M \\ x_s^{p-M+\zeta_i} (-x_s)^{d(j)} e^{-\phi(e(j))} & k = s \leq M \\ (-1)^{d(j)} \phi_{(e(j))}^{-\omega_{i,j}} \gamma(\omega_{i,j}, x_s \phi(e(j))) & s < k \leq M \\ 0 & k > M, \quad i < k \\ [n-j]_{d(i)} \phi_{(e(i))}^{n-j-d(i)} & k > M, \quad i \geq k \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$\zeta_i \triangleq \begin{cases} i-1 & i \leq M \\ 0 & i > M \end{cases} \quad (5.7)$$

$\omega_{i,j} \triangleq p - M + \zeta_i + d(j) + 1$, the upper and lower incomplete Gamma functions are indicated as $\Gamma(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\gamma(\cdot, \cdot)$, respectively, and $\Gamma(n, x_1, x_2) \triangleq \Gamma(n, x_1) - \Gamma(n, x_2)$ [59].

- Tensor elements for the distribution of one eigenvalue for Wishart matrices with spiked covariance matrix, (3.3) of Lemma 3.1:

$$a_{i,j,k} \triangleq (-1)^{i-1} \begin{cases} \sigma_1^{\theta_i} \Gamma(\theta_i, x_\ell / \sigma_1) & k < \ell \quad j = 1 \\ \sigma_2^{\varrho_{i,j}} \Gamma(\varrho_{i,j}, x_\ell / \sigma_2) & k < \ell \quad j > 1 \\ x_\ell^{\theta_i-1} e^{x_\ell / \sigma_1} & k = \ell \quad j = 1 \\ x_\ell^{\varrho_{i,j}-1} e^{-x_\ell / \sigma_2} & k = \ell \quad j > 1 \\ \sigma_1^{\theta_i} \gamma(\theta_i, x_\ell / \sigma_1) & k > \ell \quad j = 1 \\ \sigma_2^{\varrho_{i,j}} \gamma(\varrho_{i,j}, x_\ell / \sigma_2) & k > \ell \quad j > 1 \end{cases} \quad (5.8)$$

where $\theta_i \triangleq n - M + i$ and $\varrho_{i,j} \triangleq n + i - j$.

- Tensor elements for the distribution of two eigenvalues for Wishart matrices with spiked covariance matrix, (3.11) of Lemma 3.2:

$$a_{i,j,k} \triangleq (-1)^{i-1} \begin{cases} \sigma_1^{\theta_i} \Gamma(\theta_i, x_\ell / \sigma_1) & k < \ell \leq M \quad j = 1 \\ \sigma_2^{\varrho_{i,j}} \Gamma(\varrho_{i,j}, x_\ell / \sigma_2) & k < \ell \leq M \quad j > 1 \\ x_\ell^{\theta_i-1} e^{-x_\ell / \sigma_1} & k = \ell \leq M \quad j = 1 \\ x_\ell^{\varrho_{i,j}-1} e^{-x_\ell / \sigma_2} & k = \ell \leq M \quad j > 1 \\ \sigma_1^{\theta_i} \Gamma(\theta_i, x_s / \sigma_1, x_\ell / \sigma_1) & \ell < k < s \leq M \quad j = 1 \\ \sigma_2^{\varrho_{i,j}} \Gamma(\varrho_{i,j}, x_s / \sigma_2, x_\ell / \sigma_2) & \ell < k < s \leq M \quad j > 1 \\ x_s^{\theta_i-1} e^{-x_s / \sigma_1} & k = s \leq M \quad j = 1 \\ x_s^{\varrho_{i,j}-1} e^{-x_s / \sigma_2} & k = s \leq M \quad j > 1 \\ \sigma_1^{\theta_i} \gamma(\theta_i, x_s / \sigma_1) & s < k \leq M \quad j = 1 \\ \sigma_2^{\varrho_{i,j}} \gamma(\varrho_{i,j}, x_s / \sigma_2) & s < k \leq M \quad j > 1. \end{cases} \quad (5.9)$$

5.1. Numerical examples

We present some numerical examples related to the p.d.f. of the i^{th} largest eigenvalue and the joint p.d.f. of the i^{th} and j^{th} ordered eigenvalues of a central Wishart matrix. For the sake of conciseness we only show results for the uncorrelated and for the spiked correlated Wishart cases.

Fig. 1 shows the p.d.f.s of the various ordered eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_4$, of the uncorrelated Wishart matrix with $M = 4$ and $n = 5$. The curves have been obtained from Lemma 3.1 and (3.3), where, starting from (2.3) we get for the uncorrelated Wishart $\Psi_i(x) = \Phi_i(x) = x^{i-1}$ and $\xi(x) = x^{n-M} e^{-x}$. Note that the integrals in (3.3) are in this case expressible in terms of gamma functions.

To show the effect of correlation, in Fig. 2 the p.d.f.s of the various ordered eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_4$ of the spiked correlated Wishart matrix with $M = 4$ and $n = 5$ are reported. The correlation matrix here has eigenvalues $\sigma_1 = 10, \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = \sigma_4 = 1$. One of the effects of a spiked correlation is to increase the expected value and variance of the largest eigenvalue, as can be seen from Fig. 2.

In Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 we report the eigenvalues distribution for $M = 6$ and $n = 10$, with and without correlation. To allow a comparison with the uncorrelated case the same scale is kept. For this reason, only a part of the left tail of the distribution of the largest eigenvalue is visible.

One of the possible applications of the expression for the marginal distribution of single eigenvalues is in the field of the performance analysis of communications systems characterized by the presence of multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems, characterized by the presence of multiple antennas at both transmit and receive side. More specifically, in the MIMO scheme denoted as singular value decomposition (SVD) MIMO, the symbol error probability associated at each eigen-channel depends on the value of the correspondent eigenvalue of the MIMO channel matrix, which is typically modeled as a Wishart [9].

In Fig. 5 we report the eigenvalues distribution for $M = 6$ for the Gaussian unitary

ensemble. The curves have been obtained from Lemma 3.1 and (3.3), where, starting from (2.6) we get for the GUE $\Psi_i(x) = \Phi_i(x) = x^{i-1}$ and $\xi(x) = e^{-x^2}$. Note that even in this case the integrals in (3.3) are expressible in terms of gamma functions.

With reference to the joint distribution of two eigenvalues, we report in Figs. 6-11 the joint p.d.f. for all possible couples of eigenvalues in the case of uncorrelated Wishart matrix with $M = 4$ and $n = 5$. The surfaces have been obtained from Lemma 3.2 and (3.11) with $\Psi_i(x) = \Phi_i(x) = x^{i-1}$ and $\xi(x) = x^{n-M}e^{-x}$. Even in this case the integrals in (3.11) can be expressed in terms of gamma functions.

Note that, as shown in (2.9), the computation of the operator $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{A})$ requires the evaluation of $N!$ determinants of $(N \times N)$ matrices; this number can make the operation impractical for large values of N . Fortunately, when dealing with the evaluation of the distribution of subsets of the eigenvalues, the elements of the rank 3 tensor present some regularity patterns that can be exploited to simplify the evaluation of $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{A})$. In particular, the matrix to be evaluated does not change for some kinds of permutations; therefore, we can group the $N!$ permutations so that each group contains permutations that provide the same determinant. This latter consideration leads to a significant reduction of the number of determinants to be computed. More specifically, for the derivation of the p.d.f. of the ℓ^{th} ordered eigenvalue, the number of determinants reduces from $N!$ to

$$\frac{N!}{(\ell-1)!(M-\ell)!}. \quad (5.10)$$

For the case of the derivation of the joint p.d.f. of the ℓ^{th} and s^{th} (with $s > \ell$) ordered eigenvalues, the number of permutations reduces to

$$\frac{N!}{(\ell-1)!(s-\ell-1)!(M-s)!}. \quad (5.11)$$

The procedure can be easily generalized to the case of joint p.d.f. of L ordered eigenvalues; in this case the number of the determinants reduces to

$$\frac{N!}{(M-i_L)! \prod_{m=1}^L (i_m - i_{m-1} - 1)!} \quad (5.12)$$

where $i_0 = 0$. It is worth noting that these results hold for all the matrices whose joint p.d.f. of the eigenvalues takes the form in (2.1); therefore, this approach can be applied to a very general class of matrices, like, for instance, Wishart, Hermitian Gaussian, Multivariate beta.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we focused on the random matrices whose joint distribution of the eigenvalues can be written in the form (2.1) and proposed a unified framework for the derivation of the marginal distribution of the eigenvalues, some related moments, and the joint distribution of an arbitrary subset of ordered eigenvalues. The results can be applied to the case of both central (uncorrelated or correlated, including the spiked model) and noncentral uncorrelated Wishart matrices, double Wishart (beta) matrices, as well as to GUE, and

can be used to address many aspects of interest for wireless communications, radar signal processing, and physics.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Italian Ministry for Education, University and Research (MIUR) under the program Dipartimenti di Eccellenza (2018-2022).

References

- [1] T. W. Anderson, *An Introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis*. New York: Wiley, 2003.
- [2] R. J. Muirhead, *Aspects of Multivariate Statistical Theory*, 1st ed. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 1982.
- [3] M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, 3rd ed. Boston, MA: Academic Press, 2004.
- [4] P. J. Forrester, *Log-gases and random matrices*. Princeton University Press, 2010.
- [5] G. Akemann, J. Baik, and P. D. Francesco, Eds., *The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory*. Oxford University Press, 2011.
- [6] J. H. Winters, "On the capacity of radio communication systems with diversity in Rayleigh fading environment," *IEEE J. Select. Areas Commun.*, vol. SAC-5, no. 5, pp. 871–878, Jun. 1987.
- [7] A. Edelman, "Eigenvalues and condition numbers of random matrices," *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, vol. 1988, pp. 543–560, 1988.
- [8] G. J. Foschini, "Layered space-time architecture for wireless communication a fading environment when using multiple antennas," *Bell Labs Tech. J.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 41–59, Autumn 1996.
- [9] E. Telatar, "Capacity of multi-antenna Gaussian channels," *Europ. Trans. on Telecomm.*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 585–595, Nov.-Dec. 1999.
- [10] I. Johnstone, "On the distribution of the largest eigenvalue in principal components analysis," *The Annals of Statistics*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 295–327, 2001.
- [11] M. Chiani, M. Z. Win, and A. Zanella, "On the capacity of spatially correlated MIMO Rayleigh fading channels," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 2363–2371, Oct. 2003.
- [12] P. J. Smith, S. Roy, and M. Shafi, "Capacity of MIMO systems with semicorrelated flat fading," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 2781–2788, Oct. 2003.
- [13] H. Shin, M. Win, J. H. Lee, and M. Chiani, "On the capacity of doubly correlated MIMO channels," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 5, no. 8, pp. 2253–2266, Aug. 2006.
- [14] E. J. Candès and T. Tao, "Decoding by linear programming," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 51, no. 12, pp. 4203–4215, Dec 2005.
- [15] F. Penna, R. Garelo, and M. A. Spirito, "Cooperative spectrum sensing based on the limiting eigenvalue ratio distribution in Wishart matrices," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 507–509, 2009.
- [16] Y. Chen and M. McKay, "Coulumb fluid, Painlevé transcendents, and the information theory of MIMO systems," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 7, pp. 4594–4634, July 2012.
- [17] R. M. May, "Will a Large Complex System be Stable?" *Nature*, vol. 238, pp. 413–414, Aug. 1972.
- [18] A. Aazami and R. Easther, "Cosmology from random multifield potentials," *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 0603, p. 013, 2006.
- [19] D. S. Dean and S. N. Majumdar, "Extreme value statistics of eigenvalues of Gaussian random matrices," *Physical Review E*, vol. 77, no. 4, p. 041108, Apr. 2008.
- [20] M. C. D. Marsh, L. McAllister, E. Pajer, and T. Wrase, "Charting an Inflationary Landscape

- with Random Matrix Theory,” *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 11, p. 40, Nov. 2013.
- [21] M. Chiani, “On the probability that all eigenvalues of Gaussian, Wishart, and double Wishart random matrices lie within an interval,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 63, no. 7, pp. 4521–4531, Jul 2017.
 - [22] D. L. Donoho, “Compressed sensing,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 1289–1306, 2006.
 - [23] E. J. Candès and M. B. Wakin, “An introduction to compressive sampling,” *Signal Processing Magazine, IEEE*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 21–30, 2008.
 - [24] A. Elzanaty, A. Giorgetti, and M. Chiani, “Limits on Sparse Data Acquisition: RIC Analysis of Finite Gaussian Matrices,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, pp. –, 2018, to appear.
 - [25] J.-P. Dedieu and G. Malajovich, “On the number of minima of a random polynomial,” *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints*, Feb. 2007.
 - [26] M. Wax and T. Kailath, “Detection of signals by information theoretic criteria,” *Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing [see also IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing]*, *IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 387–392, Apr 1985.
 - [27] P. Chen, M. Wicks, and R. Adve, “Development of a statistical procedure for detecting the number of signals in a radar measurement,” *Radar, Sonar and Navigation, IEE Proceedings -*, vol. 148, no. 4, pp. 219–226, Aug 2001.
 - [28] M. Chiani and M. Win, “Estimating the number of signals observed by multiple sensors,” in *IEEE 2010 IAPR Workshop on Cognitive Information Processing (CIP2010)*, Elba Island, Italy, Jun. 2010, pp. 156–161.
 - [29] F. Penna, R. Garello, and M. Spirito, “Cooperative spectrum sensing based on the limiting eigenvalue ratio distribution in Wishart matrices,” *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, Jul. 2009.
 - [30] A. Kortun, T. Ratnarajah, M. Sellathurai, C. Zhong, and C. Papadias, “On the performance of eigenvalue-based cooperative spectrum sensing for cognitive radio,” *IEEE J. Sel. Topics in Signal Proc.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 49 – 55, Feb. 2011.
 - [31] M. Matthaiou, M. McKay, P. Smith, and J. Nosssek, “On the condition number distribution of complex Wishart matrices,” *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 1705 –1717, Jun. 2010.
 - [32] C. Zhong, M. McKay, T. Ratnarajah, and K.-K. Wong, “Distribution of the Demmel condition number of Wishart matrices,” *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 1309 –1320, May 2011.
 - [33] A. Mariani, A. Giorgetti, and M. Chiani, “Model order selection based on information theoretic criteria: Design of the penalty,” *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 63, no. 11, pp. 2779–2789, June 2015.
 - [34] —, “Wideband spectrum sensing by model order selection,” *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. 6710–6721, Dec 2015.
 - [35] S. S. Haykin, *Adaptive filter theory*. Pearson Education, 2008.
 - [36] C. Tracy and H. Widom, “The distributions of random matrix theory and their applications,” *New Trends in Mathematical Physics*, pp. 753–765, 2009.
 - [37] P. Dharmawansa, B. Nadler, and O. Schwartz, “Roy’s largest root under rank-one perturbations: The complex valued case and applications,” *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, vol. 174, november 2019.
 - [38] C. G. Khatri, “Distribution of the largest or the smallest characteristic root under null hypothesis concerning complex multivariate normal populations,” *Ann. Math. Stat.*, vol. 35, pp. 1807–18 102, 1964.
 - [39] —, “Non-central distribution of i-th largest characteristic roots of three matrices concerning complex multivariate multivariate normal populations,” *Journal of Institute of Ann. Statistical Math.*, vol. 21, pp. 23–32, 1969.
 - [40] P. Dighe, R. Mallik, and S. Jamuar, “Analysis of transmit-receive diversity in Rayleigh fading,”

- IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 694–703, Apr. 2003.
- [41] A. Maaref and S. Aissa, “Closed-form expressions for the outage and ergodic Shannon capacity of MIMO MRC systems,” *IEEE Trans. Comm.*, vol. 53, no. 7, pp. 1092–1095, Jul. 2005.
 - [42] G. Alfano, A. Tulino, A. Lozano, and S. Verdu, “Capacity of MIMO channels with one-sided correlation,” in *IEEE Eighth Intern. Symp. on Spread Spectrum Techniques and Applications (ISSA 2004)*, Aug. 2004, pp. 515–519.
 - [43] S. Jin, M. McKay, X. Gao, and I. Collings, “MIMO multichannel beamforming: SER and outage using new eigenvalue distributions of complex noncentral Wishart matrices,” *IEEE Trans. Comm.*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 424–434, Mar. 2008.
 - [44] R. Kwan, C. Leung, and P. Ho, “Distribution of ordered eigenvalues of Wishart matrices,” *IEE Electronic Letters*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 31–32, Mar. 2007.
 - [45] M. Chiani and A. Zanella, “Joint distribution of an arbitrary subset of the ordered eigenvalues of Wishart matrices,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Commun.*, Cannes, France, Sep. 2008, pp. 1–6, invited Paper.
 - [46] A. Zanella, M. Chiani, and M. Z. Win, “On the marginal distribution of the eigenvalues of Wishart matrices,” *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 1050–1060, Apr. 2009.
 - [47] A. Zanella and M. Chiani, “Reduced complexity power allocation strategies for MIMO systems with singular value decomposition,” *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 61, no. 9, pp. 4031–4041, nov. 2012.
 - [48] M. Chiani, “Distribution of the largest eigenvalue for real Wishart and Gaussian random matrices and a simple approximation for the Tracy-Widom distribution,” *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, vol. 129, pp. 69–81, 2014.
 - [49] —, “Distribution of the largest root of a matrix for Roy’s test in multivariate analysis of variance,” *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, vol. 143, pp. 467–471, 2016, also in arxiv, 2014.
 - [50] A. Maaref and S. Aissa, “Joint and marginal eigenvalue distributions of (non)central complex Wishart matrices and PDF-based approach for characterizing the capacity statistics of MIMO Ricean and Rayleigh fading channels,” *IEEE Trans. Wireless Comm.*, vol. 6, no. 10, pp. 3607–3619, Oct. 2007.
 - [51] L. Ordoez, D. Palomar, and J. Fonollosa, “Ordered eigenvalues of a general class of hermitian random matrices with application to the performance analysis of MIMO systems,” *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 672–689, Feb. 2009.
 - [52] M. McKay, I. Collings, and P. Smith, “Capacity and SER analysis of MIMO beamforming with MRC,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. on Commun.*, vol. 3, Istanbul, Turkey, Jun. 2006, pp. 1326–1330.
 - [53] Z. Bai and J. W. Silverstein, *Spectral Analysis of Large Dimensional Random Matrices*. Science Press, 2006, 2006.
 - [54] B. Nadler, “Finite sample approximation results for principal component analysis: a matrix perturbation approach,” *The Annals of Statistics*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 2791–2817, 2008.
 - [55] I. Johnstone and B. Nadler, “Roy’s largest root test under rank-one alternatives,” *Biometrika*, vol. 104, no. 1, pp. 181–193, 2017.
 - [56] M. Chiani, M. Z. Win, and H. Shin, “MIMO networks: the effects of interference,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 336–349, Jan. 2010.
 - [57] S. Jin, M. R. McKay, X. Gao, and I. B. Collings, “MIMO multichannel beamforming: SER and outage using new eigenvalue distributions of complex noncentral Wishart matrices,” *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 424–434, 2008.
 - [58] A. Maaref and S. Aissa, “Eigenvalue distributions of Wishart-type random matrices with application to the performance analysis of MIMO MRC systems,” *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 2678–2689, Jul. 2007.
 - [59] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*. Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Commerce, 1970.




Fig. 1. Marginal probability distribution function of each ordered eigenvalue for the uncorrelated central Wishart matrix with $M = 4$ and $n = 5$. The correlation matrix here has eigenvalues $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = \sigma_4 = 1$.




Fig. 2. Marginal probability distribution function of each ordered eigenvalue for the spiked correlated central Wishart matrix with $M = 4$ and $n = 5$. The correlation matrix here has eigenvalues $\sigma_1 = 10, \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = \sigma_4 = 1$.




Fig. 3. Marginal probability distribution function of each ordered eigenvalue for the uncorrelated central Wishart matrix with $M = 6$ and $n = 10$. The correlation matrix here has eigenvalues $\sigma_i = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, 6$.




Fig. 4. Marginal probability distribution function of each ordered eigenvalue for the spiked correlated central Wishart matrix with $M = 6$ and $n = 10$. For λ_1 just the left tail is visible on this scale. The correlation matrix here has eigenvalues $\sigma_1 = 10$, $\sigma_i = 1$, $i = 2, \dots, 6$.




Fig. 5. Marginal probability distribution function of each ordered eigenvalue for the GUE with $M = 6$.




Fig. 6. Joint probability density function of λ_1 and λ_2 of the uncorrelated central Wishart matrix with $M = 4$ and $n = 5$.




Fig. 7. Joint probability density function of λ_1 and λ_3 of the uncorrelated central Wishart matrix with $M = 4$ and $n = 5$.




Fig. 8. Joint probability density function of λ_1 and λ_4 of the uncorrelated central Wishart matrix with $M = 4$ and $n = 5$.




Fig. 9. Joint probability density function of λ_2 and λ_3 of the uncorrelated central Wishart matrix with $M = 4$ and $n = 5$.




Fig. 10. Joint probability density function of λ_2 and λ_4 of the uncorrelated central Wishart matrix with $M = 4$ and $n = 5$.




Fig. 11. Joint probability density function of λ_3 and λ_4 of the uncorrelated central Wishart matrix with $M = 4$ and $n = 5$.