arXiv:2001.00809v1 [math.AP] 23 Dec 2019

The boundary conrollability for abstract wave equations and its applications

Veli B. Shakhmurov
Department of Mechanical Engineering, Istanbul Okan University, Akfirat, Tuzla 34959 Istanbul, E-mail: veli.sahmurov@okan.edu.tr,
Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences, AZ1141, Baku, F. Agaev 9
E-mail: veli.sahmurov@gmail.com

Abstract

The paper is devoted to the exact controllability of a system of coupled abstract wave equations when the control is exerted on a part of the boundary by means of one control. We give a Kalman type condition and give a description of the attainable set.

1. Introduction, definitions

We consider here, the controllability properties of the nonlocal mixed problem for abstract wave equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + Au = 0,\ (x,t) \in\ Q = (0,a) \times (0,T)\,, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\alpha_1 u\,(0,t) + \beta_1 u\,(a,t) = bf\,(t)\,,\ \alpha_2 u\,(0,t) + \beta_2 u\,(a,t) = 0 \text{ for } t \in (0,T)\,,$$

$$u\,(x,0) = u_0\,(x)\,,\ u_t\,(x,0) = u_1\,(x)\ \text{ for } x \in (0,a)\,,$$

where $a, T > 0$ are given numbers, α_i, β_i are given generally complex numbers, A is a linear operator in a Hilbert space H, b is a given element in H and $f \in L^2\,(0,T)$ is a control function to be determined which acts on the equation by means of the nonlocal boundary condition (1.1). The initial data (u_0, u_1) will belong to a Hilbert space $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}_0 \times \mathbb{H}_1$, where $\mathbb{H}_0 \subset \mathbb{H}_1$ which is to be specified in our main result. Our goal is to give suficient conditions for the exact boundary controllability of the problem (1.1) by using the given space $\mathbb{H}$.

We recall that the problem (1.1) is exactly controllable in $\mathbb{H}$ at time T if, for every initial and final data (u_0, u_1), (v_0, v_1) both in $\mathbb{H}$, there exists a control $f \in L^2\,(0,T)$ such that the solution of problem (1.1) corresponding to (u_0, u_1, f) satisfies

$$u\,(x,T) = v_0\,(x)\,,\ u_t\,(x,T) = v_1\,(x)\ \text{ for } x \in (0,a)\,. \tag{1.2}$$

Due to the linearity and time reversibility of problem (1.1), this is equivalent to exact controllability from zero at time T.

The controllability properties of problem (1.1) are well known for $d-$dimensional coupled wave equations, i.e. for case of $H = \mathbb{R}^d$, A is is a given coupling matrix and b is a given vector from $\mathbb{R}^d$ (see e.g. $[1-12]$). Most of the known controllability results of (1.1) when A is matix are in the case of two coupled equations (see [1, 12]). But the results are for a particular coupling matrix A. In the d-dimensional situation, that is, for a system of coupled wave equations in a domain $\mathbb{R}^n$, Alabau-Boussouria and collaborators have obtained several results

in the case of two equations with the Laplacian plus additional zero order terms and particular coupling matrices (see e.g. $[1-3]$ and the references therein). On the other hand, controllability properties of linear ordinary differential systems are well understood. In contrast to these above mentioned workes, the problem (1.1) involves generally infinite dimensionel linear operator A in abstract Hilbert space H. Moreover, the boundary value problem (BVP) is nonlocal, in general. If we put $\beta_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$, then the nonlocal mixed problem (1.1) stated to be a local mixed problem. Under some sufficient condition on α_i, β_i, $i = 1, 2$, b, f and operator A we derive the conrollability of (1.1).

By selecting the space H and the operators A in (1.1), we obtain different boundary controllability proplem with nonlocal conditions for wave equations which occur in application. Let we put $H = l_2$ and choose A as infinite matrices $[a_{mj}]$ for $m, j = 1, 2, ..., N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbb{N}-$denote the set of natural numbers. Then from our results we obtain the exact boundary controllability of the mixed problem for infinite many system of wave equations

$$\partial_t^2 u_m - \partial_x^2 u_m + \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{mj} u_m = 0, \ \ (x,t) \in \ Q, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\alpha_1 u_m(0,t) + \beta_1 u_m(a,t) = bf(t), \ \alpha_2 u_m(0,t) + \beta_2 u_m(a,t) = 0 \text{ for } t \in (0,T),$$

$$u_m(x,0) = u_{m0}(x), \ \partial_t u_m(x,0) = u_{m1}(x) \ \text{ for } x \in (0,a),$$

where $a_{mj} = a_{mj}(x)$ are complex valued functions and $u_j = u_j(x,t)$.

Moreover, let we choose $E = L^2(0,1)$ and A to be degenerated differential operator in $L^2(0,1)$ defined by

$$D(A) = \left\{ u \in W_\gamma^{[2],2}(0,1), \ \nu_k u^{[m_k]}(0) + \delta_k u^{[m_k]}(1) = 0, \ k = 1,2 \right\},$$

$$A(x)u = a_1(x,y)u^{[2]} + a_2(x,y)u^{[1]}, \ x \in (0,a), \ y \in (0,1), \ m_k \in \{0,1\}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $u^{[i]} = \left(y^\gamma \frac{d}{dy} \right)^\gamma u$ for $0 \le \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$, $a_1 = b_1(x,y)$ is a contınous, $a_2 = b_2(x,y)$ is a bounded functon on $y \in [0,1]$ for a.e. $x \in (0,a)$, ν_k, δ_k are complex numbers and $W_\gamma^{[2],2}(0,1)$ is a weighted Sobolev spase defined by

$$W_\gamma^{[2]}(0,1) = \{ \ u : u \in L^2(0,1), \ u^{[2]} \in L^2(0,1),$$

$$\|u\|_{W_\gamma^{[2]}} = \|u\|_{L^2} + \left\| u^{[2]} \right\|_{L^2} < \infty.$$

Then, from $(1.1)-(1.2)$ we get the exact boundary controllability of the mixed problem for idegenerate wave equations

$$u_{tt} - \partial_x^2 u + \left(a_1 \frac{\partial^{[2]} u}{\partial y^2} + a_2 \frac{\partial^{[1]} u}{\partial y} \right) = 0, \tag{1.5}$$

$$x \in (0,a), \ y \in (0,1), \ t \in (0,T), \ u = u(x,y,t),$$

$$\nu_k u^{[m_k]}(x,0,t) + \delta_k u^{[m_k]}(x,1,t) = 0,\ k = 1,2, \tag{1.6}$$

$$u(x,y,0) = \varphi(x,y),\ u_t(x,y,0) = \psi(x,y). \tag{1.7}$$

To state our results, we provide the following definition:

Let E be a Banach space. $L^p(\Omega;E)$ denotes the space of strongly measurable E-valued functions that are defined on the measurable subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^p} = \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega;E)} = \left(\int_\Omega \|f(x)\|_E^p\, dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},\ 1 \le p < \infty .$$

Let H be a Hilbert space and

$$\|u\| = \|u\|_H = (u,u)_H^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for } u \in H.$$

For $p = 2$ and $E = H$, $L^p(\Omega;E)$ becomes a H-valued function space with inner product:

$$(f,g)_{L^2(\Omega;H)} = \int_\Omega (f(x), g(x))_H\, dx,\ f,\ g \in L^2(\Omega;H).$$

Here, $W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n;H)$, $-\infty < s < \infty$ denotes the $H-$valued Sobolev space of order s which is defined as:

$$W^{s,2} = W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n;H) = (I-\Delta)^{-\frac{s}{2}} L^2(\mathbb{R}^n;H)$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,2}} = \left\|(I-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\right\|_{L^2(R^n;H)} < \infty.$$

It clear that $W^{0,2}(\mathbb{R}^n;E) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n;H)$. Let H_0 and H be two Hilbert spaces and H_0 is continuously and densely embedded into H. Let $W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n;H_0,H)$ denote the Sobolev-Lions type space, i.e.,

$$W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n;H_0,H) = \left\{u \in W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n;H) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n;H_0),\right.$$

$$\left.\|u\|_{W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n;H_0,H)} = \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n;H_0)} + \|u\|_{W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n;H)} < \infty\right\}.$$

Let $C(\Omega;E)$ denote the space of $E-$valued uniformly bounded continious functions on Ω with norm

$$\|u\|_{C(\Omega;E)} = \sup_{x\in\Omega} \|u(x)\|_E .$$

$C^m(\Omega;E)$ will denote the spaces of E-valued uniformly bounded strongly continuous and m-times continuously differentiable functions on Ω with norm

$$\|u\|_{C^m(\Omega;E)} = \max_{0\le|\alpha|\le m} \sup_{x\in\Omega} \|D^\alpha u(x)\|_E .$$

Definition 1.1. Let S be a positive operator in a Hilbert space H with discrete specrum $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and corresponding eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. Moreover, we assume that $\{\varphi_k\}$ is a ortonormal system in H. Let

$$l_r^2 = \left\{ \{c_k\} : \ \|\{c_k\}\|_{l_r^2} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty |c_k|^2 |\lambda_k|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\}.$$

We then define the space

$$W_r = \left\{ f : f = \sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k \varphi_k, \ \|f\| = \|\{c_k\}\|_{l_r^2} < \infty \right\}.$$

For $r > 0$, we set $W_r = D\left(S^{\frac{r}{2}}\right)$, where $D\left(S^\theta\right)$ denotes the domain of the operator S^θ. In the case where $r = 0$, $W_r = H$, and for $r < 0$, we set $W_r = (W_{-r})^*$ where "$*$" indicates the dual space. Also, we recall that the operator $-\partial_x^2$ with nonlocal boundary conditions

$$\alpha_1 u(0) + \beta_1 u(a) = 0, \ \alpha_2 u(0) + \beta_2 u(a) = 0 \tag{1.8}$$

with $\eta = \alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 \neq 0$ admits a sequence of eigenvalues $\left\{\mu_n = \left(\frac{n\pi}{a}\right)^2\right\}$ and eigenfunctions

$$u_n = \{\cos\nu_n x + \sigma(\nu_n)\sin\nu_n x\}, \text{ for } n = 1, 2, ..., \infty, \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$\nu_n = \frac{n\pi}{a}, \ \sigma(\nu_n) = -\frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2\cos\nu_n a}{\alpha_1 + \beta_1\cos\nu_n a} = -\frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2(-1)^n}{\alpha_1 + \beta_1(-1)^n}.$$

This family of eigenfunctions is an orthogonal basis in $L^2(0,a)$ if

$$\sigma(\nu_n)\left(\frac{1}{\nu_n+\nu_k} - \frac{1}{\nu_n-\nu_k}\right) + \sigma(\nu_k)\left[\frac{1}{\nu_n+\nu_k} - \frac{1}{\nu_k-\nu_n}\right] =$$

$$\frac{2a\left[k\sigma(\nu_k) - j\sigma(\nu_n)\right]}{(n^2-k^2)\pi} = 0 \text{ for } n \neq j, \text{ i.e., } \alpha_1 + \beta_1(-1)^n \neq 0,$$

$$n\left[\frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2(-1)^n}{\alpha_1 + \beta_1(-1)^n}\right] - k\left[\frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2(-1)^k}{\alpha_1 + \beta_1(-1)^k}\right] = 0 \text{ for } n \neq k. \tag{1.10}$$

For $S = -\partial_x^2 I$ in $L^2(0,a;H)$ with boundary conditions (1.8), we set $W_r = D\left(S^{\frac{r}{2}}\right)$. So, $W_0 = L^2(0,a;H)$, $W_1 = W_a^1(0,a;H)$, and

$$W_2 = W^2(0,a;H) \cap W_a^1(0,a;H),$$

here

$$W_a^1(0,a;H) = \{\, u\colon\ u \in W^1(0,a;H),$$

$$\alpha_1 u(0) + \beta_1 u(a) = 0,\ \alpha_2 u(0) + \beta_2 u(a) = 0 \,\}.$$

By reasoning as in [13] and method of Hilbert spaces we have the following generalizasion of B. S. Pavlov theorem [13]:

Theorem $\mathbf{A}_1$. Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\colon\ k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a countable set in the complex plane and $\left\{\varphi_j\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a Riesz basis in a Hilbert space H. The family $\left\{\varphi_j \exp\{i\lambda_k t\}\right\}$ forms a Riesz basis in $L^2(0,T;H)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) Λ lies in a strip parallel to the real axis, $\sup\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_k| < \infty$ and is uniformly discrete (or separated), i.e.

$$\delta(\Lambda) := \inf_{k\neq n} |\lambda_k - \lambda_n| > 0; \tag{1.6}$$

(ii) there exists an entire function F of exponential type with indicator diagram of width T and zero set (the generating function of the family fei ntg on the interval $(0;T)$ such that, for some real h, the function $\|F(x+ih)\|_H^2$ satisfies the Helson-Szego condition: functions $u,\ v \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} < \frac{\pi}{2}$ can be found such that

$$\|F(x+ih)\|_H^2 = \exp\{u(x) + \tilde{v}(x)\}; \tag{1.7}$$

here, the map $v \to \tilde{v}$ denotes the Hilbert transform for bounded functions:

$$\tilde{v} = Hv = \frac{1}{\pi} p.v \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{x-t} + \frac{t}{t^2+1}\right] v(t)\, dt.$$

Condition 1.1. Let A be a symmetric operator in a Hilbert space H with discrete specrum $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and corresponding eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Moreover, assume that $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a ortonormal system in H.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. Let the Condition 1.1. holds and A have the distinct eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, assume the following assumptions are satisfied:

(1) a linear operator A and $b \in H$ such that the system $\left\{A^k b\right\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is linearly independent in Hilbert space H;

(2) $\mu_k - \mu_l \neq \lambda_i - \lambda_j$ for each $k,l \in \mathbb{N}$, $i,j \in \{1,2,...\}$ with $k \neq l$ and $i \neq j$;

(3) $\eta = \alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 \neq 0$ and (1.5) is satisfied.

Then the problem (1.1) is exactly controllable in $\mathbb{H} = W_0 \times W_{-1}$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

The existence of solutions. In this section, we use the Fourier method and apply it to the case, where the operator A has distinct eigenvalues. On the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 we denote $\{\lambda_k\}$ to be the family of eigenvectors of A

with corresponding eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. We denote by $(.,.) = (.,.)_H$, $\langle .,. \rangle$ the inner product in Hilbert spaces H and $L^2(\Omega; H)$, respectively. So conjucate operator A^* has eigenvalues $\left\{\bar{\lambda}_k\right\}$ and eigenvectors $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with

$$\left(\varphi_i, \psi_j\right)_H = \delta_{ij}.$$

Let we give some lemmas for proving of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.1. Let the Condition 1.1. holds. Suppose that A have distinct eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2, . . .λ_n, ... Assuming that the assumption (1) is satisfied. Then eigenvectors $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ may be chosen such that $\langle b, \psi_j \rangle = 1$ while $\langle \varphi_i, \psi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$.

Proof. We first claim that $\left(b, \psi_j\right) \neq 0$. Indeed, if there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(b, \psi_i) = 0$, then for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left(A^m b, \psi_i\right) = \left(b, \left(A^*\right)^m \psi_i\right) = \left(b, \left(\bar{\lambda}_i\right)^m \psi_i\right) = \lambda_i^m \left(b, \psi_i\right) = 0.$$

This implies that the system $\left\{A^k b\right\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is linearly dependent in Hilbert space H, which is a contradiction the ussumption (1). Hence, we can construct the sets $\{\tilde{\varphi}_i\}$, $\left\{\tilde{\psi}_i\right\}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$\tilde{\varphi}_i = \left(b, \psi_i\right) \varphi_i, \; \tilde{\psi}_i = \frac{\psi_i}{\left(b, \psi_i\right)}.$$

It then follows that $\left(b, \tilde{\psi}_i\right) = 1$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left(\tilde{\varphi}_i, \tilde{\psi}_j\right) = \delta_{ij}$ for i, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. So we may assume that $\left(b, \psi_i\right) = 1$.

Let us define $\Phi_{nk}(x) = u_n(x) \varphi_k$, where $\{u_n\}$ is a system defined by (1.9). Then $\{\Phi_{nk}(x)\}$, $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ is a basis in $L^2(0, a; H)$ with biorthogonal family $\{\Psi_{nk}(x)\} = \{\bar{u}_n(x) \psi_k\}$, where

$$\int_0^a u_k(x) \bar{u}_n(x) \, dx = \delta_{kn},$$

i.e, for example,

$$\bar{u}_n(x) = \gamma_n u_n(x), \; \gamma_n = \frac{a}{2}\left(1 + \sigma^2 \nu_n\right). \tag{2.1}$$

We then can represent the solution u of the problem (1.1) in the form of the series

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n,k} a_{nk}(t) \Phi_{nk}(x) \tag{2.2}$$

and set

$$v(x,t) = g(t) \Psi_{kl}(x) \tag{2.3}$$

for some $j, l \in \mathbb{N}$, where $g(t) \in C_0^2(0,T)$ such that

$$\int_0^T \left[\left((-1)^k u_x(a,t) - u_x(0,t), \psi_l \right)_H \right] g(t) = 0 \text{ for } k,\, l \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.4}$$

Let the function expressed (2.2) is a solution of (1.1). Then from (1.1) we get

$$\int_0^T \int_0^a (u_{tt} - u_{xx} + Au, v)_H \, dxdt = \int_0^T \int_0^a (u, v_{tt} - v_{xx} + A^* v)_H \, dxdt +$$

$$\int_0^a \left[(u_t, v)_H - (u, v_t)_H \right]_{t=0}^T dx - \int_0^T \left[(u_x, v)_H - (u, v_x)_H \right]_{x=0}^a dt. \tag{2.5}$$

By (1.9), (2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$\bar{u}_k(0) = \gamma_k,\ \bar{u}_k^{(1)}(0) = \nu_k \gamma_k \sigma(\nu_k),$$

$$\bar{u}_k(a) = \gamma_k (-1)^k,\ \bar{u}_k^{(1)}(a) = (-1)^k \nu_k \gamma_k \sigma(\nu_k). \tag{2.6}$$

Since $\Psi_{nk}(x) = \bar{u}_n(x)\psi_k$, from (2.3)-(2.6) by taking the nonlocal problem (1.1), by using Lemma 2.1 and in view of $g \in C_0^2(0,T)$ we obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_0^a (u_{tt} - u_{xx} + Au, v)_H \, dxdt = \int_0^T \int_0^a (u, v_{tt} - v_{xx} + A^* v) \, dxdt =$$

$$\int_0^T \int_0^a \left(u, \Psi_{kl} g^{(2)} - g \Psi_{kl} \partial_x^2 u_k + \bar{\lambda}_k g \Psi_{kl} \right) dxdt +$$

$$\int_0^T \left[(u_x(a,t), \Psi_{kl}(a)) + \frac{\alpha_2}{\eta} f(t) (b, \Psi'_{kl}(a)) \right] g(t)\, dt -$$

$$\int_0^T \left[(u_x(0,t), \Psi_{kl}(0)) - \frac{\beta_2}{\eta} f(t) (b, \Psi'_{kl}(0)) \right] g(t)\, dt =$$

$$\int_0^T \int_0^a \left(u, \Psi_{kl} g^{(2)} + \nu_k^2 g \Psi_{kl} + \bar{\lambda}_l \Psi_{kl} \right) g(t)\, dxdt +$$

$$\frac{\gamma_k \nu_k \sigma(\nu_k)}{\eta}(\beta_2 - \alpha_2) \int_0^T (b, \psi_l) f(t) g(t) dt =$$

$$\int_0^T a_{kl} \left[g^{(2)} + \left(\nu_k^2 + \bar{\lambda}_l \right) \right] g(t) dt + \varkappa_k \int_0^T f(t) g(t) dt =$$

$$\int_0^T \left[a_{kl}^{(2)} + \left(\nu_k^2 + \bar{\lambda}_l \right) a_{kl} \right] g(t) dt - \varkappa_k \int_0^T f(t) g(t) dt = 0,$$

where by Lemma 1, $(b, \psi_l)_H = 1$ and

$$\varkappa_k = \frac{\gamma_k \nu_k \sigma(\nu_k)}{\eta}(\alpha_2 - \beta_2).$$

Thus we obtain the equations

$$a_{kl}'' + \left(\nu_k^2 + \bar{\lambda}_l \right) a_{kl}' = \varkappa_k f(t) \tag{2.7.}$$

with initial conditions

$$a_{kl}(0) = a_{kl}'(0) = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

We assume

$$\omega_{kl} = \left(\nu_k^2 + \bar{\lambda}_l \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \neq 0,\ \nu_k = \frac{k\pi}{a}. \tag{2.9}$$

We can set the following

Proposition 2.1. Let $k \in \mathbb{K} = \{\pm 1,\ \pm 2, ...\}$ and $1 \leq m, l \leq n$ with $m \neq l$. Provided the condition (2) of Theorem 1.1, we have the following:

(1) $|\omega_{kl}| + 1 \asymp k$;

(2) $|\omega_{kl} - \omega_{km}| \asymp k^{-1}$;

(3) For k fixed, the points ω_{kl} are asymptotically close, i.e., these points lie inside an interval whose length tends to zero as k tends to infinity.

Let

$$X = L^2(0, a; H),\ W^s = W^{s,2}(0, a; H),\ Y = X \times W^s.$$

By following [9, Theorem 2.1] we have:

Theorem 2.1. Let the Condition 1.1. holds and A have distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots \lambda_n, ...$ Then for any $f \in L^2(0, T)$ there exists a unique generalized solution $u = u^f(x, t)$ of the problem (1.1) such that $\left(u^f, u_t^f \right) \in C([0, T]; Y)$ and

$$\left\| \left(u^f, u_t^f \right) \right\|_{C([0,T];Y)} \prec \|f\|_{L^2(0,T)}.$$

Proof. The solution of (2.7) − (2.8) is geven by the formula

$$a_{kl}(t) = \varkappa_k \int_0^t f(s) \frac{\sin \omega_{kl}(t - s)}{\omega_{kl}} ds. \tag{2.10}$$

By differentiating we have

$$a'_{kl}(t) = \varkappa_k \int_0^t f(s) \cos \omega_{kl}(t-s)\, ds. \tag{2.11}$$

We now introduce the coefficients

$$c_{kl}(t) = i\omega_{kl} a_{kl}(t) + a'_{kl}(t). \tag{2.12}$$

Now, we define

$$\omega_{-kl} = -\omega_{kl},\ a_{-kl} = a_{kl}, \text{ and } a'_{-kl} = a'_{kl} \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{K},\ l \in \mathbb{N}$$

and rewrite (2.10), (2.11) in the exponential form, we get

$$C_{kl}(t) = \varkappa_k \int_0^t f(s) \exp i(\omega_{kl}(t-s))\, ds. \tag{2.13}$$

Taking into account that Φ_{nj} forms a Riesz basis in $L^2(0,a;H)$ and Proposition 1 property (1), by [] we conclude that

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{K}} \frac{[C_{kl}(t)]^2}{k^2} \asymp \|u(.,t)\|^2_{L^2(0,a;H)} + \|u_t(.,t)\|^2_{H^{-1}(0,a;H)}. \tag{2.14}$$

On the other hand, from the explicit form for !kl, it follows that for any $T > 0$, the family $\{\exp i(\omega_{kl} t)\}$ is either a finite union of Riesz sequences if $T < 2na$ or a Riesz sequence in $L^2(0,T)$ if $T > 2na$ (see [8] Section II.4). We recall that a Riesz sequence is a Riesz basis in the closure of its linear span. Therefore, from (2.13) it follows that for every fixed $t > 0$,

$$\sum_{k,l} \frac{|C_{kl}(t)|^2}{k^2} \prec \|f\|^2_{L^2(0,T)}. \tag{2.15}$$

It can be shown that the series in (2.15) is uniformly convergent by the Weierstrass criterion for uniform convergence. And by the uniform limit theorem, we obtain

$$\sum_{k,l} \frac{|C_{kl}(t+h) - C_{kl}(t)|^2}{k^2} \to 0 \text{ when } h \to 0.$$

2.2. Controllability results. In this section we will prove Theorem 1.1.

Let

$$\alpha_{kl} = C_{kl}(T)\left(\frac{2k}{\pi}\exp\{i\omega_{kl}T\}\right)^{-1} \tag{2.16}$$

and rewrite (2.13) for $t = T$ in the form

$$\alpha_{kl} = (f, e_{kl})_{L^2(0,a)}, \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$e_{kl} = e_{kl}(t) = \exp\{i\omega_{kl}t\}.$$

We note that

$$\sum_{k,l}|\alpha_{kl}|^2 \asymp \sum_{k,l}\frac{|C_{kl}(T)|^2}{k^2}.$$

For any $T > 0$, the family $\{e_{kl}\}$ is not a Riesz basis as a result of Proposition 1 property (3). Therefore, we need to use the so-called exponential divided di erences (EDD). EDD were introduced in [5] and [6] for families of exponentials whose exponents are close, that is, the di erence between exponents tends to zero. Under precise assumptions, the family of EDD forms a Riesz sequence in $L^2(0,T)$. For each fixed k, we define

$$\tilde{e}_{kl} := [\omega_{k1}] = \exp\{i\omega_{k1}t\},$$

and for $2 \le l \le d$, we define

$$\tilde{e}_{kl} := [\omega_{k1}, \omega_{k2}, ..., \omega_{kl}] = \sum_{j=1}^{l}\frac{\exp\{i\omega_{kj}t\}}{\prod\limits_{n\neq j}(\omega_{kj} - \omega_{nj})}.$$

Under Condition (ii) of our theorem, we are able to use this formula for divided differences in place of the formula for generalized divided differences (see e.g. [10]). From asymptotics theory and the explicit formula for ω_{kl}, it follows that the generating function of the family of EDD $\{\tilde{e}_{kl}\}$ is a sine-type function (see [9, 10]). Hence, the family of EDD $\{\tilde{e}_{kl}\}$ forms a Riesz sequence in $L^2(0,T)$. We then define

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{kl} = < f, \tilde{e}_{kl} > .$$

Since $\{\tilde{e}_{kl}\}$ is a Riesz sequence, $\left\{\tilde{\alpha}_{kl} : f \in L^2(0,T)\right\} = l_2$, i.e. any sequence from l_2 can be obtained by a function $f \in L^2(0,T)$ and the family $\{\tilde{e}_{kl}\}$. Proposition 1 property (2) implies that $|\tilde{\alpha}_{kl}| \prec k^{d-1}|\alpha_{kl}|$. Then by reasoning as in [7, § 2.2] we obtain the assertion of Theorem 1.1.

3. Application

3.1. Boundary controllability for infinite many system of wave equations. Consider the problem 1.3. Let

$$l_2(N)=\left\{ u=\{u_j\},\ j=1,2,...N, \|u\|_{l_2(N)}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}|u_j|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}<\infty \right\},$$

where $N\in\mathbb{N}$ (see [15, § 1.18]. Let A be the operator in $l_2(N)$ defined by

$$A=[a_{jm}],\ a_{jm}=b_j 2^{\sigma m},\ m,j=1,2,...,N,\ D(A)=\ l_2^{\sigma}(N)= \tag{3.1}$$

$$\left\{ u=\{u_j\},\ j=1,2,...N, \|u\|_{l_2^{\sigma}(N)}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}2^{\sigma j}u_j^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}<\infty \right\},\ \sigma>0.$$

Let $l_2=l_2(N)$, $b=\{b_m\}$, $m=1,2,...,N$ and

$$X=L^2(0,a;l_2),\ X^s=H^{s,2}(0,a;l_2).$$

From Theorem 1.1 we obtain:

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that:

(1) $a_{jm}\in\mathbb{R}$, $a_{jm}=a_{mj}$ and A have the distinct eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k\}$, $k\in\mathbb{N}$;

(2) A and $b\in H$ such that the system $\left\{A^k b\right\}$, $k\in\mathbb{N}$ is linearly independent in l_2;

(3) $\mu_k-\mu_l\neq\lambda_i-\lambda_j$ for each $k,l\in\mathbb{N}$, $i,j\in\{1,2,...\}$ with $k\neq l$ and $i\neq j$;

(4) $\alpha_1\beta_2-\alpha_2\beta_1\neq 0$ and (1.10) is satisfied.

Then the problem (1.3) is exactly controllable in $\mathbb{H}=X\times X^{-1}$.

Proof. It is clear to see that the operator A defined in Hilbert space l_2 defined by (3.1) is symmetric. By assumptions (1)-(4) all conditions of Theorem 1.1. is satisfied, i.e. we obtain the assertion.

3.2. Boundary controllability for degenerate wave equations. Consider the problem 1.4-1.7. Let

Let $b\in L^2(0,1)$ and

$$Y=L^2\left(0,a;L^2(0,1)\right)=L^2((0,a)\times(0,1)),\ Y^s=H^{s,2}\left(0,a;L^2(0,1)\right).$$

Consider the operator in $L^2(0,1)$ defined by

$$D(A)=W_{\gamma}^{[2],2}(0,1),\ Au=\left(a_1\frac{d^{[2]}u}{dy^2}+a_2\frac{d^{[1]}u}{dy}\right). \tag{3.2}$$

From Theorem 1.1 we obtain:

Theorem 3.2. Assume that:

(1) a_1 is positive continious and a_2 a bounded functions and A is a symmetric operator in $L^2(0,1)$ having the distinct eigenvalues;

(2) A and $B \in H$ such that the system $\left\{A^k b\right\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is linearly independent in l_2 and $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$;

(3) $\mu_k - \mu_l \neq \lambda_i - \lambda_j$ for each $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, $i, j \in \{1, 2, ...\}$ with $k \neq l$ and $i \neq j$;

(4) $\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 \neq 0$, $\nu_1\delta_2 - \nu_2\delta_1$ and (1.10) is satisfied.

Then the problem (1.3) is exactly controllable in $\mathbb{H} = X \times Y^{-1}$.

Proof. By [14, Theorem 3.3], the operator A defined in $L^2(0,1)$ by (3.2) have a discrete specrum. By assumptions (1)-(4) all conditions of Theorem 1.1. is satisfied, i.e. we obtain the assertion.

References

[1] F. Alabau-Boussouira, A two-level energy method for indrect boundary observability and controllability of weakly coupled hyperbolic systems, SIAM J. Control Optim., 42 (2003), 871-906.

[2] F. Alabau-Boussouira, Insensitizing exact controls for the scalar wave equation and exact controllability of 2-coupled cascade systems of PDE's by a single control, Math. Control Signals Systems, 26 (2014), 1-46.

[3] F. Alabau-Boussouira and M. L eautaud, Indirect controllability of locally coupled systems under geometric conditions, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 349 (2011), 395-400.

[4] F. Ammar-Kohdja, A. Benabdallah, M. Gonz alez-Burgos and L. de Teresa, The Kalman condition for the boundary controllability of coupled parabolic systems. bounds on biorthogonal families to complex matrix exponentials, JMPA, 96 (2011), 555{590, https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.matpur.2011.06.005.

[5] S. A. Avdonin and S. A. Ivanov, Exponential Riesz bases of subspaces and divided di erences, St. Petersburg Mathematical Journal, 13 (2002), 339{351.

[6] S. Avdonin and W. Moran, Ingham type inequalities and Riesz bases of subspaces and divided di erences, Int. J. Appl. Math. Compt. Sci., 11 (2001), 803-820.

[7] S. Avdonin and L. de Teresa, The Kalman Condition for the Boundary Controllability of Coupled 1-d Wave Equations, Evol. Equat. and Cont.Theory, 1(9) (2020), 255-273.

[8] S. A. Avdonin and S. A. Ivanov, Families of Exponentials: The Method of Moments in Con- trollability Problems for Distributed Parameter Systems, Cambring University Press, 1995.

[9] S. A. Avdonin, J. Park 1, L. de Teresa, The Kalman condition for the boundary controllability of coupled 1-d wave equations, Evolution equations and control theory, (1) 9 (2020), 255-273.

[10] S. Avdonin, A. Choque and L. de Teresa, Exact boundary controllability results for two coupled 1-d hyperbolic equations, Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci., 23 (2013), 701-710, https://doi.org/10.2478/amcs-2013-0052.

[11] A. Bennour, F. Ammaar Khodja and D. Tenious, Exact and approximate controllability of coupled one-dimensional hyperbolic equations, Ev. Eq. and Cont. Teho., 6 (2017), 487{516.

[12] R. E. Kalman, P. L. Palb and M. A. Arbib, Topics in Mathematical Control Theory, New York-Toronto, Ont.-London, 1969.

[13] B. S. Pavlov, Basicity of exponential system and Muckenhoupt condition, Doklady Akad. Nauk. SSSR. 247(1) (1979), 37-40 (Russian); English transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl. 20: 655-9.

[14] V. B. Shakhmurov, Linear and nonlinear abstract differential equations with small parameters, Banach J. Math. Anal. 10(1) (2016), 147–168.

[15] Interpolation theory, Function spaces, Differential operators, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.