

DISTAL HIGHER RANK LATTICE ACTIONS ON SURFACES

ENHUI SHI

ABSTRACT. Let Γ be a lattice in $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ with $n \geq 3$ and \mathcal{S} be a closed surface. Then Γ has no distal minimal action on \mathcal{S} .

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of distality was introduced by Hilbert for better understanding equicontinuity ([4]). The study of minimal distal systems culminates in the beautiful structure theorem of H. Furstenberg ([6]), which describes completely the relations between distality and equicontinuity for minimal systems. An interesting question is what compact manifold can support a distal minimal group action? Clearly, the answer to this question depends on the topology of the phase space and the algebraic structure of the acting group. A remarkable result says that if a nontrivial space X admits a distal minimal actions by abelian groups, then X cannot be simply connected (see e.g. [1, Chapter 7-Theorem 16]). Thus the 2-sphere \mathbb{S}^2 does not admit any distal minimal abelian group actions. However, it is very easy to construct a minimal isometric nonabelian group action on \mathbb{S}^2 generated by two rigid irrational rotations around different coordinate axes of \mathbb{R}^3 .

We consider the distal minimal actions of some higher rank lattices on closed surfaces (2-dimensional compact connected manifolds) and obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Γ be a lattice in $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ with $n \geq 3$ and \mathcal{S} be a closed surface. Then Γ has no distal minimal action on \mathcal{S} .*

Here we remark that this theorem does not hold for the case $n = 2$, since the free nonabelian group $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$ is a lattice in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and we have mentioned that $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$ can act on \mathbb{S}^2 minimally and distally. In addition, there do exist isometric minimal actions of some lattices in $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ on compact manifolds with dimension > 2 (see e.g. [13] or [3, p.59]). We should notice that there are nondistal minimal higher rank actions on surfaces, such as the action of $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$ on \mathbb{RP}^2 induced by the linear action on \mathbb{R}^3 .

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will recall some basic notions and introduce some results which will be used in the proof of the main theorem.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37B05.

Key words and phrases. surface, distality, higher rank lattice, group action.

2.1. Distal actions. Let X be a topological space and let $\text{Homeo}(X)$ be the homeomorphism group of X . Suppose G is a topological group. A group homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(X)$ is called a *continuous action* of G on X if $(x, g) \mapsto \phi(g)(x)$ is continuous; we use the symbol (X, G, ϕ) to denote this action. The action ϕ is said to be *faithful* if it is injective. For brevity, we usually use gx or $g(x)$ instead of $\phi(g)(x)$ and use (X, G) instead of (X, G, ϕ) if no confusion occurs.

For $x \in X$, the *orbit* of x is the set $Gx \equiv \{gx : g \in G\}$; $K \subset X$ is called *G invariant* if $Gx \in K$ for every $x \in X$; (X, G, ϕ) is called *minimal* if Gx is dense in X for every $x \in X$, which is equivalent to that G has no proper closed invariant set; is called *transitive* if $Gx = X$ for every $x \in X$. If $K \subset X$ is G invariant, then we naturally get a restriction action $\phi|_K$ of G on X ; if K is closed and nonempty, and the restriction action $(K, G, \phi|_K)$ is minimal, then we call K a *minimal set* of X or of the action. It is well known that (X, G, ϕ) always has a minimal set when X is a compact metric space.

Suppose (X, G, ϕ) and (Y, G, ψ) are two actions. If there is a continuous surjection $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(\phi(g)x) = \psi(g)f(x)$ for every $g \in G$ and every $x \in X$, then we say f is a *homomorphism* and (Y, G, ψ) is a *factor* of (X, G, ϕ) . If Y is a single point, then we call (Y, G, ψ) a *trivial factor* of (X, G, ϕ) .

Assume further that X is a compact metric space with metric d . The action (X, G, ϕ) is called *equicontinuous* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $d(gx, gy) < \varepsilon$ whenever $d(x, y) < \delta$; is called *distal*, if for every $x \neq y \in X$, $\inf_{g \in G} d(gx, gy) > 0$. Clearly, equicontinuity implies distality.

The following results can be found in [1].

Theorem 2.1 ([1], p.98). *Let (X, G, ϕ) and (Y, G, ψ) be distal minimal actions, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a homomorphism. Then f is open.*

Theorem 2.2 ([1], p.104). *Let (X, G, ϕ) be a distal minimal action. If X is not a single point, then (X, G, ϕ) has a nontrivial equicontinuous factor.*

Theorem 2.3 ([1], p.52). *Let (X, G, ϕ) be equicontinuous. Then the closure $\overline{\phi(G)}$ in $\text{Homeo}(X)$ with respect to the uniform convergence topology is a compact topological group.*

2.2. Compact transformation groups. Let (X, G, ϕ) be a group action and H be a closed subgroup of G . Then we use X/H to denote the orbit space under the H action, which is endowed with the quotient space topology. We use G/H to denote the coset space with the quotient topology, which is also the orbit space obtained by the left translation action on G by H . If H is a normal closed subgroup of G , then G/H is a topological group.

The following theorems can be seen in [12]. We only state them in some special cases which are enough for our uses.

Theorem 2.4 ([12], p.65). *Let X be a compact metric space and let (X, G) be an action of group G on X . Suppose G is compact. Then for every $x \in X$, G/G_x is homeomorphic to Gx , where $G_x = \{g \in G : gx = x\}$.*

Theorem 2.5 ([12], p.99). *Let G be a compact group and let U be an open neighborhood of the identity e . Then U contains a normal subgroup H of G such that G/H is isomorphic to a Lie group.*

Theorem 2.6 ([12], p.61). *Let X be a compact metric space and let (X, G) be an action of group G on X . Suppose G is compact and H is a closed normal subgroup of G . Then G/H can act on X/H by letting $gH.H(x) = H(gx)$ for $gH \in G/H$ and $H(x) \in X/H$.*

2.3. Higher rank lattices. A subgroup Γ of a Lie group G is called a lattice in G if Γ is discrete and G/Γ has finite volume. If Γ is a lattice of G and G/Γ is compact, then Γ is called a *cocompact* lattice of G . It is well known that $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ with $n \geq 3$ always has cocompact and non-cocompact lattices; $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is a non-cocompact lattice of $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. One may consult [18] for the examples of cocompact lattices in $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$.

The following theorem can be deduced from [11, Theorem VII.6.5] and [18, Corollary 16.4.2] (see also [3, Theorem 12.4]).

Theorem 2.7. *Let Γ be a lattice in $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ with $n \geq 3$ and let H be a compact Lie group. Suppose $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow H$ is a group homomorphism. If $\phi(\Gamma)$ is infinite, then $\dim(H) \geq n^2 - 1$.*

2.4. Open maps on \mathcal{S} . There are several different ways to define the dimension of a topological space. One may consult [5] for these definitions. It is well known that when X is a compact metric space, then all these dimensions of X coincide. In general, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous surjection between compact metric spaces X and Y , then the dimension $\dim(Y)$ can be larger than $\dim(X)$, even if f is open (see [16]). However, the situation is completely different if X is a surface.

The following theorem is implied by the work in the papers [13], [14], [15], and [17].

Theorem 2.8. *Let \mathcal{S} be a closed surface and M be a manifold. If there is an open surjection $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow M$, then $\dim(M) \leq 2$.*

2.5. Dimension of compact subgroups of $\mathrm{Homeo}(\mathcal{S})$. It is well known that if M is a connected compact Riemannian manifold of dimension n and $I(M)$ is the isometric transformation group of M , then $I(M)$ is a compact Lie group of dimension at most $n(n+1)/2$ (see e.g. [10]). In general, for a compact group G of $\mathrm{Homeo}(M)$, it no longer preserves the Riemannian metric on M . However, G can still preserve a compatible metric on M . In fact, let μ be the Haar measure of G and ρ be any compatible metric on M . Then the metric d on M defined by $d(x, y) = \int_{g \in G} \rho(gx, gy) d\mu(g)$ is G invariant.

The following theorem is [9, Proposition 4.1].

Theorem 2.9. *Up to conjugacy, the rotation group $\mathrm{SO}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is the only maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$.*

The following theorem is well known in the theory of topology (see e.g. [7, Corollary 8.17]).

Theorem 2.10. *Any continuous Hausdorff image of a locally connected continuum is locally connected.*

Theorem 2.11. *Let G be a compact Lie group acting faithfully and transitively on a closed surface \mathcal{S} . Then $\dim(G) \leq 3$.*

Proof. Fix a G invariant metric d on \mathcal{S} and fix a point $p \in \mathcal{S}$. Since G acts on \mathcal{S} transitively, G/G_p is homeomorphic to \mathcal{S} by Theorem 2.4, where G_p is the closed subgroup of G which fix p . Note that G_p is also a Lie subgroup of G , since a closed subgroup of a Lie group is a Lie group (see [8, Theorem 3.42]). Thus $\dim(G) = \dim(G_p) + \dim(\mathcal{S}) = \dim(G_p) + 2$. We need only to show that $\dim(G_p) \leq 1$. Let F be the connected component of e in G_p . Then F is a closed and hence a Lie subgroup of G_p which has the same dimension as that of G_p . If $F = e$, then $\dim(G_p) = \dim(F) = 0 \leq 1$ and we are done. So, we assume that F is a nontrivial connected Lie group in the sequel.

Take a closed disk D in \mathcal{S} such that the interior $\mathrm{Int}(D)$ contains p , and take an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the closed ball $\overline{B_d(p, \varepsilon)} \subset \mathrm{Int}(D)$. Since F preserves the metric d and leaves p invariant, $B_d(p, \varepsilon)$ is F invariant. Let D_1 be a closed disk such that $p \in \mathrm{Int}(D_1) \subset D_1 \subset B_d(p, \varepsilon)$. Let $K = \bigcup_{g \in F} gD_1$. Then K is an F invariant locally connected continuum by 2.10, which is the closure of the connected open set $\bigcup_{g \in F} g(\mathrm{Int}(D_1))$. Similar to the argument in [2, corollary 4.7], we then get an F invariant closed disk $D_3 \subset \mathrm{Int}(D)$ with $p \in \mathrm{Int}(D_3)$. Then the boundary ∂D_3 is an F invariant simple closed curve; in fact, ∂D_3 is the boundary of the (unique) unbounded component of $\mathrm{Int}(D) \setminus K$.

Define a map $R : F \rightarrow \mathrm{Homeo}(\partial D_3)$ by letting $R(g) = g|_{\partial D_3}$. If there is some $g \neq e \in F$ such that g fixes every point of ∂D_3 , then g fixes every point of \mathcal{S} (see the proof of [2, Lemma 4.8]), which contradicts the assumption that the action of G on \mathcal{S} is faithful. Therefore, R is a continuous isomorphism between F and $R(F)$; particularly, $R(F)$ is a compact connected subgroup of $\mathrm{Homeo}(\partial D_3)$. Then we get $\dim(F) = \dim(R(F)) = 1$ by Theorem 2.9.

All together, we have $\dim(F) \leq 1$ and hence $\dim(G) \leq 3$. □

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there is a distal minimal action $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Homeo}(\mathcal{S})$. By Theorem 2.2, there is a nontrivial equicontinuous factor (K, Γ, ψ) (which is also minimal). Set $H = \overline{\psi(\Gamma)}$. From Theorem 2.3, H is a compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Homeo}(K)$. Applying Theorem 2.5, we can take a small normal subgroup H' of H such that H/H' is a Lie

group and $H'y$ is a proper subset of K for every $y \in K$. Then we get a continuous transitive action ψ' of the Lie group H/H' on the quotient space K/H' by Theorem 2.6; in particular, K/H' is a connected compact manifold of dimension ≥ 1 . Since $(K/H', \Gamma, \psi'\psi)$ is a non-trivial factor of $(\mathcal{S}, \Gamma, \phi)$, by Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.8, we have $\dim(K/H') \leq 2$. It follows from Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 2.11 that $\dim(H/H') \leq 3$. Since Γ acts on K/H' minimally and K/H' is of dimension ≥ 1 , $\psi'\psi(\Gamma)$ cannot be finite. Thus $\dim(H/H') \geq 8$ by Theorem 2.7, which is a contradiction. \square

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Professor Xiaochuan Liu for letting me notice the reference [2].

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Auslander, Minimal flows and their extensions. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 153. Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes], 122. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1988.
- [2] C. Bonatti, B. Kolev, Surface homeomorphisms with zero-dimensional singular set. *Topology Appl.* 90 (1998), no. 1-3, 69-95.
- [3] A. Brown, Entropy, Lyapunov exponents, and rigidity of group actions. arXiv:1809.09192v4 [math.DS], 11 Jun 2019.
- [4] R. Ellis, Distal transformation Groups, *Pacific J. Math.* 8 (1958) 401-405.
- [5] R. Engelking, Dimension theory. North-Holland Mathematical Library, 19. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-Oxford-New York; PWN Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1978.
- [6] H. Furstenberg, The Structure of distal flows. *Amer. J. Math.* 85 (1963), 477-515.
- [7] S. Nadler, Continuum theory. An introduction. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 158. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1992.
- [8] F. Warner, Foundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups. Springer-Verlag, GTM 94, 1983.
- [9] É. Ghys, Groups acting on the circle. *L'Enseign. Math.* 47 (2001), 329-407.
- [10] S. Kobayashi, Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1995.
- [11] G. Margulis, Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [12] D. Montgomery, L. Zippin, Topological transformation groups. Interscience Publishers, New York-London, 1955.
- [13] R. Moore, Concerning upper semi-continuous collections of continua. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 27 (1925), no. 4, 416-428.

- [14] J. Roberts, N. Steenrod, Monotone transformations of two-dimensional manifolds. Ann. of Math. (2) 39 (1938), no. 4, 851-862.
- [15] S. Stoilow, Sur les transformations continues et la topologie des fonctions analytiques. (French) Ann. Sci. cole Norm. Sup. (3) 45 (1928), 347-382.
- [16] J. Walsh, Monotone and open mappings on manifolds. I. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 209 (1975), 419-432.
- [17] G. Whyburn, Interior Transformations on Surfaces. Amer. J. Math. 60 (1938), no. 2, 477-490.
- [18] D. Witte-Morris, Introduction to arithmetic groups. Deductive Press, 2015.

(E.H. Shi) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SOOCHOW UNIVERSITY, SUZHOU 215006, P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: ehshi@suda.edu.cn