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#### Abstract

The mass and coupling of the scalar tetraquark $T_{b b ; \bar{u} \bar{d}}^{-}$(hereafter $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$) are calculated in the context of the QCD two-point sum rule method. In computations we take into account effects of various quark, gluon and mixed condensates up to dimension ten. The result obtained for the mass of this state $m=(10135 \pm 240) \mathrm{MeV}$ demonstrates that it is stable against the strong and electromagnetic decays. We also explore the dominant semileptonic $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c ; \bar{u} \bar{d}}^{0} / \bar{\nu}_{l}$ and nonleptonic decays $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow$ $\widetilde{Z}_{b c ; \bar{u} \bar{d}}^{0} M$, where $\widetilde{Z}_{b c ; \bar{u} \bar{d}}^{0}$ is the scalar tetraquark composed of color-sextet diquark and antidiquark, and $M$ is one of the final-state pseudoscalar mesons $\pi^{-}, K^{-}, D^{-}$and $D_{s}^{-}$, respectively. The partial widths of these processes are calculated in terms of the weak form factors $G_{1(2)}\left(q^{2}\right)$, which are determined from the QCD three-point sum rules. Predictions for the mass, full width $\Gamma_{\text {full }}=$ $(10.88 \pm 1.88) \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{MeV}$, and mean lifetime $\tau=0.61_{-0.09}^{+0.13} \mathrm{ps}$ of the $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$obtained in the present work can be used in theoretical and experimental studies of this exotic state.


## I. INTRODUCTION

Four-quark states composed of heavy diquarks $Q Q^{\prime}$ and light $\overline{q q}^{\prime}$ antidiquarks are real candidates to stable exotic mesons. During last few years interest to these tetraquarks is renewed, although main qualitative results concerning a stability of the compounds $Q Q^{\prime} \overline{q q^{\prime}}$ against strong decays were obtained many years ago [1-4]. Thus, it was shown that such four-quark mesons would be stable if the ratio $m_{Q} / m_{q}$ is sufficiently large. A prominent particle from this series is the axial-vector state $T_{b b ; \bar{u} \bar{d}}^{-}$ (in what follows $T_{b b}^{-}$): Studies conducted in the framework of different models confirmed, that its mass is below the $B \bar{B}^{*}$ threshold, and $T_{b b}^{-}$is the particle stable against strong decays [4, 5].

Discovery of double-charmed $\Xi_{c c}^{++}$baryon stimulated investigations of heavy tetraquarks because parameters of this baryon were used in phenomenological models to estimate the mass of $T_{b b}^{-}$[6, 7]. The prediction for the mass of $T_{b b}^{-}$obtained in Ref. [6] equals to $m=$ $(10389 \pm 12) \mathrm{MeV}$ being 215 MeV below $B^{-\bar{B}^{* 0}}$ and 170 MeV below $B^{-} \bar{B}^{0} \gamma$ thresholds, respectively. This means that the tetraquark $T_{b b}^{-}$is stable against the strong and radiative decays and should dissociate to conventional mesons only weakly. The similar conclusion on strong-interaction stable nature of $T_{b b}^{-}$was made in Ref. [7] on the basis of the heavy-quark symmetry analysis. Its mass was found equal to $m=10482 \mathrm{MeV}$ which is 121 MeV below the open-bottom threshold.

In the context of the QCD sum rule method the axial-vector particle $T_{b b}^{-}$was recently studied in our paper [8]. In accordance with Ref. [8] the mass of $T_{b b}^{-}$ amounts to $m=(10035 \pm 260) \mathrm{MeV}$ that confirms once more its stability against the strong and radiative decays. We also explored the semileptonic decays $T_{b b}^{-}$
$\rightarrow Z_{b c}^{0} l \bar{\nu}_{l}$ and calculated partial widths of these processes. In these decays, we treated the final-state tetraquark $Z_{b c}^{0}=[b c][\bar{u} \bar{d}]$ as a scalar particle built of color-triplet diquarks $\left[\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{c}\right]_{b c} \otimes\left[\mathbf{3}_{c}\right]_{\bar{u} \bar{d}}$. The predictions for the full width $\Gamma=(7.17 \pm 1.23) \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{MeV}$ and mean lifetime $\tau=9.18_{-1.34}^{+1.90}$ fs of the axial-vector tetraquark $T_{b b}^{-}$ are useful for experimental investigation of a family of double-heavy exotic mesons. The parameters of the $T_{b b}^{-}$ and its weak decays were considered in Ref. [9] as well.

It is worth noting that apart from $T_{b b}^{-}$, some of tetraquarks containing heavy $b b$ and $b c$ diquarks and light antidiquarks may be stable against strong (radiative) decays, and transform to ordinary mesons via weak interactions. Exotic mesons with various quantum numbers composed of heavy $b b$ or $b c$ diquarks were also objects of intensive studies. Thus, the parameters of the four-quark compounds $Q Q \overline{q q}$ with the spin-parities $J^{P}=0^{-}, 0^{+}, 1^{-}$and $1^{+}$were evaluated in the context of the QCD sum rule method in Ref. 10]. We explored the heavy exotic scalar meson $T_{b b ; \overline{u s}}^{-}$, and calculated its mass, width and mean lifetime 11]. The charged tetraquarks $Z_{b c ; \overline{u u}}^{-}$and $Z_{b c ; \overline{d d}}^{+}$were investigated in Ref. [12], and the prediction $m=(7.14 \pm 0.10) \mathrm{GeV}$ was made for their masses. The scalar and axial-vector states $b c \bar{u} \bar{d}$ were in the focus of theoretical studies as well. Indeed, calculations carried out in Ref. [6] proved that $Z_{b c}^{0}$ is below the threshold for $S$-wave decays to ordinary mesons $B^{-} D^{+}$and $\overline{B^{0}} D^{0}$. To similar conclusions led analysis of the ground-state $Q Q^{\prime} \bar{u} \bar{d}$ tetraquarks' masses performed using the Bethe-Salpeter method [13]: the mass of $Z_{b c}^{0}$ found there equals to 6.93 GeV and is lower than the relevant strong threshold. The lattice simulations showed the strong-interaction stability of the $I\left(J^{P}\right)=0\left(1^{+}\right)$exotic meson $Z_{u d ; \bar{c} \bar{b}}^{0}$ with the mass in the range 15 to 61 MeV below $\bar{D} B^{*}$ threshold [14]. Another confirmation of the stable nature of the tetraquarks $b c \bar{u} \bar{d}$ came from

Ref. [15]. In this article it was shown that both the $J^{P}=0^{+}$and $1^{+}$isoscalar tetraquarks $b c \bar{u} \bar{d}$ are stable against the strong decays. The isoscalar tetraquark with $J^{P}=0^{+}$is also electromagnetic-interaction stable particle, whereas $J^{P}=1^{+}$may transform to the final state $\bar{B} D \gamma$ through the electromagnetic interaction. On the contrary, the masses of the scalar and axial-vector $b c \bar{u} \bar{d}$ states were estimated respectively around 7229 MeV and 7272 MeV , which mean that they can decay to ordinary mesons $B^{-} D^{+} / \overline{B^{0}} D^{0}$ and $B^{*} D$ [7].

We determined spectroscopic parameters of the scalar exotic meson $Z_{b c}^{0}$ in Ref. [8]. To this end, we used the QCD sum rule method and found $m_{Z}=(6660 \pm$ $150) \mathrm{MeV}$. This result is considerably below thresholds for strong and radiative decays of $Z_{b c}^{0}$ to conventional heavy mesons $B^{-} D^{+}$and $\overline{B^{0}} D^{0}$, and to final states $\overline{B^{0}} D_{1}^{0} \gamma$ and $B^{*} D_{0}^{*} \gamma$, respectively. In other words, the scalar tetraquark $Z_{b c}^{0}$ is the strong- and electromagneticinteraction stable particle, therefore its weak decay channels are of special interest [16]. The axial-vector exotic meson $[b c][\bar{u} \bar{d}]$ was investigated in Ref. [17], in which its spectroscopic parameters and possible strong and weak decays were considered.

Four quark mesons $T_{c c}$ made of diquarks $c c$ and light antidiquarks, their properties and decays were studied in numerous publications [5-7, 18, 19]. The scalar tetraquark $c c \bar{u} \bar{d}$ has the mass 3845 MeV higher than the threshold 3735 MeV for strong decay to mesons $D^{0} D^{+}$. It has the width 12.4 MeV and may be classified as relatively narrow resonance [19]. The charmed partner of the axial-vector tetraquark $T_{b b}^{-}$, i.e., four quark state $c c \bar{u} \bar{d}$ with $J^{P}=1^{+}$was explored in Refs. [5-7, 18]. It turned out that mass of this state is higher than corresponding two-meson threshold, which makes it unstable against strong decays. As expected, tetraquarks $T_{c c}$ are unstable particles, and one of main problems is investigation their strong decay channels. Production mechanisms of exotic mesons $T_{c c}$ in the heavy ion and proton-proton collisions, electron-positron annihilations, in decays of $B_{c}$ meson and heavy $\Xi_{b c}$ baryon were addressed in the literature [20 24].

There are exotic mesons with quark content different than ones considered till now, which nevertheless are stable particles. One of them, namely the scalar tetraquark $T_{b s ; \bar{u} \bar{d}}^{-}$was studied in Ref. [25], where we computed its mass, coupling and full width.

In the present article we are going to continue our analysis of exotic mesons $b b \bar{u} \bar{d}$ and explore the scalar partner of $T_{b b}^{-}$with the same quark content. We denote this particle $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$and compute its spectroscopic parameters, full width and mean lifetime. The mass $m$ and coupling $f$ of the tetraquark $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$are evaluated by means of the QCD two-point sum rule method, where we take into account various quark, gluon, and mixed vacuum condensates up to dimension ten. A result for the mass of the state $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$ is important for our following investigations. Indeed, $m$ determines whether $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$is strong-interaction stable par-
ticle or not. A simple consideration allows one to see that the scalar tetraquark $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$in $S$-wave can strongly fallapart to a pair of conventional mesons $B^{-} \bar{B}^{0}$ provided its mass is higher than the threshold 10560 MeV . But, our calculations demonstrate that mass of this tetraquark is $m=(10135 \pm 240) \mathrm{MeV}$, and therefore $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$is a stronginteraction stable particle. It is also stable against an electromagnetic dissociation $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow B^{-} \bar{B}_{1}(5721)^{0} \gamma$, because this process may run only if the mass of the initial particle exceeds 11003 MeV which is not a case. As a result, to determine the full width and mean lifetime of $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$we have to study its weak decays.

The dominant weak decays of the $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$are generated by a subprocess $b \rightarrow W^{-} c$ which lead to its semileptonic and nonleptonic transformation to the exotic scalar meson $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ (a brief form of $\widetilde{Z}_{b c ; \bar{u} \bar{d}}^{0}$ ). We model $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ as a tetraquark composed of the color-sextet diquark and antidiquark $\left[\mathbf{6}_{c}\right]_{b c} \otimes\left[\overline{\mathbf{6}}_{c}\right]_{\bar{u} \bar{d}}$ : Reasons for such choice will be explained in the next section. The weak processes to be explored are the semileptonic $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} l \bar{\nu}_{l}$, and nonleptonic $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} M$ decays of $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$. In the present work, we consider the decays, where $M$ is one of the conventional pseudoscalar mesons $\pi^{-}, K^{-}, D^{-}$and $D_{s}^{-}$. It is clear that nonleptonic decays can be kinematically realized if $m-\widetilde{m}_{Z}>m_{M}$ with $\widetilde{m}_{Z}$ and $m_{M}$ being the masses of the tetraquark $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ and meson $\mathcal{N}_{\sim}$, respectively. The spectroscopic parameters $\widetilde{m}_{Z}$, and $\widetilde{f}_{Z}$ of the scalar tetraquark $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ are necessary to calculate partial widths of all weak decays under consideration, and will be found as well.

The full width of the tetraquark $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$is calculated by taking into account aforementioned semileptonic and nonleptonic decay modes. For these purposes, we employ the QCD three-point sum rule approach and compute weak form factors $G_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)$ and $G_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$ required for our studies. These form factors enter into differential rate $d \Gamma / d q^{2}$ of semileptonic and partial width of nonleptonic processes. The sum rule computations, unfortunately, lead to reliable predictions for $G_{1(2)}\left(q^{2}\right)$ only at limited values of the momentum transfers $q^{2}$. To integrate $d \Gamma / d q^{2}$ over $m_{l}^{2} \leq q^{2} \leq\left(m-\widetilde{m}_{Z}\right)^{2}$, and find the partial widths of the semileptonic decays, we need to extrapolate these predictions to whole $q^{2}$ domain. The latter is achieved by introducing fit functions $\mathcal{G}_{1(2)}\left(q^{2}\right)$ that coincide with the sum rule results when they are accessible, and can be easily extrapolated to all $q^{2}$.

This article is organized in the following manner: In Sec. III, we calculate the mass and coupling of the scalar tetraquarks $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$and $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$. To this end, we derive sum rules from analysis of the relevant two-point correlation functions: in numerical computations we take into account quark, gluon and mixed condensates up to dimension ten. In Sec. III, using spectroscopic parameters of the initial and final tetraquarks and three-point sum rules, we compute the weak form factors $G_{1(2)}\left(q^{2}\right)$ in regions of
the momentum transfers $q^{2}$, where the method leads to reliable predictions. In this section we also determine the model functions $\mathcal{G}_{1(2)}\left(q^{2}\right)$ and find the partial widths of the semileptonic decays $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} l \bar{\nu}_{l}$. In the next Sec. IV] we explore the nonleptonic decays $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} M$ of the tetraquark $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$. Here, we write down our final predictions for the full width and lifetime of the $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$. Section V is devoted to analysis of obtained results, and contains our concluding remarks.

## II. SPECTROSCOPIC PARAMETERS OF THE SCALAR TETRAQUARKS $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$AND $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$

The spectroscopic parameters $m$, and $f$ of the tetraquark $T_{b: \bar{d} \text {. }}^{-}$are required to reveal its nature and answer a question whether this state is stable against strong and electromagnetic decays or not. The mass and coupling of $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ are important to explore the weak decays of the master particle $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$. Besides, the tetraquark $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$, as its partner state $Z_{b c}^{0}$, may be strong- and/or electromagnetic-interaction stable particle, which is of independent interest for us.

The parameters of these states can be extracted from the two-point correlation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi(p)=i \int d^{4} x e^{i p x}\langle 0| \mathcal{T}\left\{J(x) J^{\dagger}(0)\right\}|0\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J(x)$ is the interpolating current for a scalar particle. In the case of $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$it is given by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x)=\left[b_{a}^{T}(x) C \gamma_{5} b_{b}(x)\right]\left[\bar{u}_{a}(x) \gamma_{5} C \bar{d}_{b}^{T}(x)\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are color indices, and $C$ is the chargeconjugation operator.

The current $J(x)$ is built of the color-sextet scalar diquark and antidiquark, because the structure $\left[\mathbf{6}_{c}\right]_{b b} \otimes$ $\left[\overline{\mathbf{6}}_{c}\right]_{\bar{u} \bar{d}}$ is only possible color organization for $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$. In fact, the heavy diquark $b_{a}^{T} C \gamma_{5} b_{b}$ is composed of two $b$ quarks and has flavor symmetric structure, therefore it should be symmetric in color indices as well. As a result, the light antidiquark has to belong to antisextet representation of the color group. The relevant antidiquark field $\bar{u}_{a} \gamma_{5} C \bar{d}_{b}^{T}+\bar{u}_{b} \gamma_{5} C \bar{d}_{a}^{T}$ is symmetric under replacement $a \leftrightarrow b$. But, because components of this field in the current $J(x)$ generate two equal terms, we keep in Eq. (2) one of them.

The final-state tetraquark $b c \bar{u} \bar{d}$, in general, may be composed of either color triplet or sextet diquaks. It is known that four-quark mesons with scalar colorantitriplet diquark and color-triplet antidiquark constituents are lowest lying particles, because such twoquark structures are most attractive and stable ones [26]. Problem is that, a matrix element for weak transition of the tetraquark $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$to a scalar state $b c \bar{u} \bar{d}$ with colortriplet constituents is equal to zero identically. Therefore,
we construct the scalar particle $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ from color-sextet diquarks, and choose its interpolating current in the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{J}_{Z}(x)= & {\left[b_{a}^{T}(x) C \gamma_{5} c_{b}(x)\right]\left[\bar{u}_{a}(x) \gamma_{5} C \bar{d}_{b}^{T}(x)\right.} \\
& \left.+\bar{u}_{b}(x) \gamma_{5} C \bar{d}_{a}^{T}(x)\right] . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The color-symmetric nature of the antidiquark field in $\widetilde{J}_{Z}(x)$ is evident. The scalar diquark $b c$ can be interpolated by the field $b_{a}^{T} C \gamma_{5} c_{b}+b_{b}^{T} C \gamma_{5} c_{a}$, but its components lead to equal currents, as a result, in Eq. (3) we use one of these terms.


FIG. 1: The mass $m$ of the tetraquark $T_{b: \bar{d}^{-}}$as a function of the Borel $M^{2}$ and continuum threshold $s_{0}$ parameters.

Spectroscopic parameters of different scalar tetraquarks were objects of detailed sum rule analysis, therefore we provide below only essential stages of calculations in the case of the tetraquark $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$, and give final results for the $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$.

The sum rules to evaluate $m$ and $f$ can be obtained by matching two expressions of the correlation function $\Pi(p)$ : the first expression is calculated using the physical parameters of $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$, whereas the second one is written down in terms of quark propagators. The physical side of the sum rules $\Pi^{\text {Phys }}(p)$ in the "ground-state + continuum" scheme is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi^{\text {Phys }}(p)=\frac{\langle 0| J\left|T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}(p)\right\rangle\left\langle T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}(p)\right| J^{\dagger}|0\rangle}{m^{2}-p^{2}}+\cdots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. (4) we write down contribution of only the groundstate tetraquark, and denote by dots effects of higher resonances and continuum states. Using definition of the spectroscopic parameters of $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$through the matrix element

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 0| J\left|T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}(p)\right\rangle=f m \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we recast $\Pi^{\text {Phys }}(p)$ into the final form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi^{\text {Phys }}(p)=\frac{f^{2} m^{2}}{m^{2}-p^{2}}+\cdots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\Pi^{\mathrm{Phys}}(p)$ has a trivial Lorentz structure proportional to $\sim I$, and the term in Eq. (6) is the invariant amplitude $\Pi^{\text {Phys }}\left(p^{2}\right)$ corresponding to this structure.

To determine the second component of the sum rule analysis, we calculate $\Pi(p)$ using the quark-gluon degrees of freedom. For these purposes, we insert the explicit expression of the interpolating current $J(x)$ into Eq. (41), and contract relevant heavy and light quark fields. After these operations for $\Pi^{\mathrm{OPE}}(p)$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Pi^{\mathrm{OPE}}(p)=i \int d^{4} x e^{i p x} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\gamma_{5} \widetilde{S}_{d}^{b^{\prime} b}(-x) \gamma_{5} S_{u}^{a^{\prime} a}(-x)\right] \\
\times \quad & \left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\gamma_{5} \widetilde{S}_{b}^{a a^{\prime}}(x) \gamma_{5} S_{b}^{b b^{\prime}}(x)\right]+\operatorname{Tr}\left[\gamma_{5} \widetilde{S}_{b}^{b a^{\prime}}(x) \gamma_{5} S_{b}^{a b^{\prime}}(x)\right]\right\}, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S_{b}(x)$ and $S_{u(d)}(x)$ are the heavy $b$ - and light $u(d)$ quark propagators, respectively. Above we also introduce the shorthand notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{S}_{b(u, d)}(x)=C S_{b(u, d)}^{T}(x) C \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The explicit expressions of the heavy and light quark propagators can be found, for instance, in Ref. [27]. The nonperturbative parts of the propagators contain various quark, gluon, and mixed condensates which are sources of nonperturbative terms in $\Pi^{\mathrm{OPE}}(p)$.

The first equality necessary to derive the sum rules are obtained by equating the amplitudes $\Pi^{\text {Phys }}\left(p^{2}\right)$ and $\Pi^{\mathrm{OPE}}\left(p^{2}\right)$, and applying to both sides of this expression the Borel transformation: By this way we suppress contributions to the sum rules of higher resonances and continuum states. But even after the Borel transformation suppressed terms appear as a contamination in the physical side of the equality. Fortunately, they can be subtracted by invoking assumption about quark-hadron duality. The second equality required for our purposes is derived by applying the operator $d / d\left(-1 / M^{2}\right)$ to the first one. These two expressions are enough to get the sum rules for $m$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2}=\frac{\int_{4 m_{b}^{2}}^{s_{0}} d s s \rho^{\mathrm{OPE}}(s) e^{-s / M^{2}}}{\int_{4 m_{b}^{2}}^{s_{0}} d s \rho^{\mathrm{OPE}}(s) e^{-s / M^{2}}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $f$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{2}=\frac{1}{m^{2}} \int_{4 m_{b}^{2}}^{s_{0}} d s \rho^{\mathrm{OPE}}(s) e^{\left(m^{2}-s\right) / M^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two-point spectral density $\rho^{\mathrm{OPE}}(s)$ is computed as an imaginary part of the correlation function $\Pi^{\mathrm{OPE}}(p)$. We include into analysis vacuum condensates up to dimension 10: because the final expression of $\rho^{\mathrm{OPE}}(s)$ is rather lengthy, we do not write down it here.

The sum rules (9) and (10) contain the universal vac-
uum condensates and masses of $b$ and $c$ quarks:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle\bar{q} q\rangle=-(0.24 \pm 0.01)^{3} \mathrm{GeV}^{3},\langle\bar{s} s\rangle=0.8\langle\bar{q} q\rangle \\
& \left\langle\bar{q} g_{s} \sigma G q\right\rangle=m_{0}^{2}\langle\bar{q} q\rangle,\left\langle\bar{s} g_{s} \sigma G s\right\rangle=m_{0}^{2}\langle\bar{s} s\rangle \\
& m_{0}^{2}=(0.8 \pm 0.1) \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \\
& \left\langle\frac{\alpha_{s} G^{2}}{\pi}\right\rangle=(0.012 \pm 0.004) \mathrm{GeV}^{4} \\
& \left\langle g_{s}^{3} G^{3}\right\rangle=(0.57 \pm 0.29) \mathrm{GeV}^{6} \\
& m_{c}=1.27 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{GeV}, m_{b}=4.18_{-0.02}^{+0.03} \mathrm{GeV} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Besides, $m$ and $f$ depend on the Borel $M^{2}$ and continuum threshold $s_{0}$ parameters appeared in Eqs. (9) and (10) after the Borel transformation and continuum subtraction procedures, respectively. The $M^{2}$ and $s_{0}$ are the auxiliary parameters of the problem under discussion, a correct choice of which is an important task of computations. But proper regions for $M^{2}$ and $s_{0}$ should meet some restrictions imposed on the pole contribution (PC) and convergence of the operator product expansion (OPE). In fact, at maximum of $M^{2}$ the PC should obey the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{PC}=\frac{\Pi\left(M^{2}, s_{0}\right)}{\Pi\left(M^{2}, \infty\right)}>0.2 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Pi\left(M^{2}, s_{0}\right)$ is the Borel-transformed and subtracted invariant amplitude $\Pi^{\mathrm{OPE}}\left(p^{2}\right)$. The minimum of $M^{2}$ is fixed from analysis of the ratio

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(M^{2}\right)=\frac{\Pi^{\operatorname{DimN}}\left(M^{2}, s_{0}\right)}{\Pi\left(M^{2}, s_{0}\right)} \leq 0.01 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. (13) $\Pi^{\operatorname{DimN}}\left(M^{2}, s_{0}\right)$ denotes a contribution of the last term (or a sum of last few terms) to the correlation function. In the present calculations we use the sum of last three terms, and hence $\operatorname{DimN} \equiv \operatorname{Dim}(8+9+10)$.

Our analysis demonstrates that the working windows for the parameters $M^{2}$ and $s_{0}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2} \in[8.5,12] \mathrm{GeV}^{2}, s_{0} \in[113,118] \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and they satisfy all aforementioned constraints on $M^{2}$ and $s_{0}$. Indeed, at $M^{2}=12 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ the pole contribution is 0.21 , whereas at $M^{2}=8.5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ it amounts to 0.61 . These two values of $M^{2}$ fix the boundaries of a region where the Borel parameter can be varied. Relatively wide range of $M^{2}$ allows us to explore the stability of obtained predictions for $m$ and $f$. It is worth emphasizing that, we extract these parameters approximately at a middle region of the window (14), where the pole contribution is $\mathrm{PC} \approx 0.48-0.51$. This fact confirms the ground state nature of the tetraquark $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$. At the minimum of $M^{2}=8.5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ we get $R \approx 0.006$. Apart from that, at minimum of the Borel parameter the perturbative contribution forms $79 \%$ of the whole result overshooting significantly the nonperturbative terms.

Our results for $m$ and $f$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
m & =(10135 \pm 240) \mathrm{MeV} \\
f & =(2.26 \pm 0.57) \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{GeV}^{4} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where uncertainties of computations are shown as well. Theoretical uncertainties in the case of $m$ equal to $\pm 2.4 \%$, whereas for the coupling $f$ they amount to $\pm 25 \%$ remaining, at the same time, within limits accepted in sum rule computations. It is worth noting that these uncertainties appear mainly due to variations of the parameters $M^{2}$ and $s_{0}$. In Fig. 1 we display the sum rule's prediction for $m$ as a function of $M^{2}$ and $s_{0}$, where one can see residual dependence of the mass on these parameters.

The mass and coupling of the scalar tetraquark $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ are calculated by the same way. The phenomenological side of the corresponding sum rules is determined by Eq. (6) with evident replacement $(m, f) \rightarrow\left(\widetilde{m}_{Z}, \widetilde{f}_{Z}\right)$. Their QCD side is given by the following formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{\Pi}^{\mathrm{OPE}}(p)=i \int d^{4} x e^{i p x} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\gamma_{5} \widetilde{S}_{b}^{a a^{\prime}}(x) \gamma_{5} S_{c}^{b b^{\prime}}(x)\right] \\
& \times\left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\gamma_{5} \widetilde{S}_{d}^{b^{\prime} b}(-x) \gamma_{5} S_{u}^{a^{\prime} a}(-x)\right]+\operatorname{Tr}\left[\gamma_{5} \widetilde{S}_{d}^{a^{\prime} b}(-x)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\times \gamma_{5} S_{u}^{b^{\prime} a}(-x)\right]+\operatorname{Tr}\left[\gamma_{5} \widetilde{S}_{d}^{b^{\prime} a}(-x) \gamma_{5} S_{u}^{a^{\prime} b}(-x)\right] \\
& \left.+\operatorname{Tr}\left[\gamma_{5} \widetilde{S}_{d}^{a^{\prime} a}(-x) \gamma_{5} S_{u}^{b^{\prime} b}(-x)\right]\right\} . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

The mass $\widetilde{m}_{Z}$ and coupling $\tilde{f}_{Z}$ of the tetraquark $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ can be found from Eqs. (9) and (10) by replacing $\rho^{\mathrm{OPE}}(s) \rightarrow$ $\widetilde{\rho}^{\mathrm{OPE}}(s)$, where the spectral density $\widetilde{\rho}^{\mathrm{OPE}}(s)$ is found using the correlation function $\widetilde{\Pi}^{\mathrm{OPE}}(p)$, and substituting $\left(m_{b}+m_{c}\right)^{2}$ instead of $4 m_{b}^{2}$. As working windows for $M^{2}$ and $s_{0}$ we utilize

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2} \in[5.5,6.5] \mathrm{GeV}^{2}, s_{0} \in[53,54] \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The regions (17) obey standard constraints of the sum rule computations. Thus, at $M^{2}=5.5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ the ratio $R$ is 0.01 , hence the convergence of the sum rules is satisfied. The pole contribution PC at $M^{2}=6.5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and $M^{2}=5.5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ equals to 0.24 and 0.71 , respectively. At minimum of $M^{2}$ the perturbative contribution constitutes $72 \%$ of the whole result exceeding considerably nonperturbative terms.

For $\widetilde{m}_{Z}$ and $\widetilde{f}_{Z}$ our computations yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{m}_{Z} & =(6730 \pm 150) \mathrm{MeV} \\
\widetilde{f}_{Z} & =(6.2 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{GeV}^{4} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

In Fig. 2 we plot the prediction obtained for the mass of the tetraquark $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ and show its dependence on $M^{2}$ and $s_{0}$.

## III. SEMILEPTONIC DECAYS $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} l \bar{\nu}_{l}$

The result for the mass of the tetraquark $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$proves its stability against the strong and radiative decays. In fact, the central value of the mass $m=10135 \mathrm{MeV}$ is 425 MeV lower than the threshold 10560 MeV for strong decay to mesons $B^{-} \bar{B}^{0}$. Its maximal allowed


FIG. 2: The mass $\widetilde{m}_{Z}$ of the tetraquark $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ as a function of the parameters $M^{2}$ and $s_{0}$.
value $m_{\text {max }}=10375 \mathrm{MeV}$ is 185 MeV below this limit as well. In other words, the $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$is a strong-interaction stable particle. The threshold 11003 MeV for the decay $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow B^{-} \bar{B}_{1}(5721)^{0} \gamma$ is higher than $m_{\max }$ which forbids this electromagnetic process. Therefore, the full width and mean lifetime of the $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$are determined by its weak decays.

This section is devoted to analysis of the dominant semileptonic decay $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} l \bar{\nu}_{l}$ triggered by the weak transition of the heavy $b$-quark $b \rightarrow W^{-} c \rightarrow c l \bar{\nu}$. It is evident, that the mass difference 3405 MeV between the states $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$and $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ makes all decays $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} l \bar{\nu}_{l}$, where $l=e, \mu$ and $\tau$ kinematically allowed ones. Here, we neglect processes generated by a subprocess $b \rightarrow W^{-} u$, because they are suppressed relative to dominant decays by a factor $\left|V_{b u}\right|^{2} /\left|V_{b c}\right|^{2} \simeq 0.01$ with $V_{q_{1} q_{2}}$ being the Cabibbo-Khobayasi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix elements.

At the tree-level the subprocess $b \rightarrow W^{-} c$ can be described using the effective Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{eff}}=\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} V_{b c} \bar{c} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b \bar{l} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) \nu_{l} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $G_{F}$ and $V_{b c}$ are the Fermi coupling constant and CKM matrix element, respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{F} & =1.16637 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{GeV}^{-2} \\
\left|V_{b c}\right| & =(42.2 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

A matrix element of $\mathcal{H}^{\text {eff }}$ between the initial and final tetraquarks

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right| \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{eff}}\left|T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}(p)\right\rangle=L_{\mu} H^{\mu} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

consists of leptonic and hadronic factors. A leptonic part of the matrix element $L_{\mu}$ is universal for all semileptonic decays and does not contain information on features of tetraquarks. Therefore, we are interested in calculation of $H^{\mu}$ which is nothing more than the matrix element of the current

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mu}^{\mathrm{tr}}=\bar{c} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be detailed using form factors $G_{1(2)}\left(q^{2}\right)$ that parametrize the long-distance dynamics of the weak transition. In terms of $G_{1(2)}\left(q^{2}\right)$ the matrix element $H^{\mu}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right| J_{\mu}^{\mathrm{tr}}\left|T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}(p)\right\rangle=G_{1}\left(q^{2}\right) P_{\mu}+G_{2}\left(q^{2}\right) q_{\mu} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ are the momenta of the initial and final tetraquarks, respectively. Above, we also use notations $P_{\mu}=p_{\mu}^{\prime}+p_{\mu}$ and $q_{\mu}=p_{\mu}-p_{\mu}^{\prime}$. The $q_{\mu}$ is the momentum transferred to the leptons, hence $q^{2}$ changes in the region $m_{l}^{2} \leq q^{2} \leq\left(m-\widetilde{m}_{Z}\right)^{2}$, where $m_{l}$ is the mass of a lepton $l$.

The sum rules for the form factors $G_{1(2)}\left(q^{2}\right)$ can be extracted from the three-point correlation function

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{\mu}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)= & i^{2} \int d^{4} x d^{4} y e^{i\left(p^{\prime} y-p x\right)} \\
& \times\langle 0| \mathcal{T}\left\{\widetilde{J}_{Z}(y) J_{\mu}^{\operatorname{tr}}(0) J^{\dagger}(x)\right\}|0\rangle \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

As usual, we write down $\Pi_{\mu}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)$ using the spectroscopic parameters of the tetraquarks, and get the physical side of the sum rule $\Pi_{\mu}^{\mathrm{Phys}}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)$. The function $\Pi_{\mu}^{\text {Phys }}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)$ has the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Pi_{\mu}^{\mathrm{Phys}}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\langle 0| \widetilde{J}_{Z}\left|\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right| J_{\mu}^{\mathrm{tr}}\left|T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}(p)\right\rangle}{\left(p^{2}-m^{2}\right)\left(p^{2}-\widetilde{m}_{Z}^{2}\right)} \\
& \times\left\langle T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}(p)\right| J^{\dagger}|0\rangle+\cdots \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where the term in Eq. (25) is contribution of the groundstate particles: contributions of excited resonances and continuum states are denoted by dots.

The phenomenological side of the sum rules can be simplified by substituting in Eq. (25) expressions of matrix elements in terms of the tetraquarks' masses and couplings, and weak transition form factors. To this end, we employ Eqs. (5) and (23), and additionally invoke the matrix element of the state $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 0| \widetilde{J}_{Z}\left|\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\widetilde{f}_{Z} \widetilde{m}_{Z} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{\mu}^{\mathrm{Phys}}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)= & \frac{f m \tilde{f}_{Z} \widetilde{m}_{Z}}{\left(p^{2}-m^{2}\right)\left(p^{\prime 2}-\widetilde{m}_{Z}^{2}\right)} \\
& \times\left[G_{1}\left(q^{2}\right) P_{\mu}+G_{2}\left(q^{2}\right) q_{\mu}\right]+\cdots . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

We find $\Pi_{\mu}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)$ also using explicitly the interpolating currents in the correlator, and expressing (24) in terms of quark propagators, which lead to the QCD side of the
sum rules

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Pi_{\mu}^{\mathrm{OPE}}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)=i^{2} \int d^{4} x d^{4} y e^{i\left(p^{\prime} y-p x\right)}\left(\operatorname { T r } \left[\gamma_{5} \widetilde{S}_{d}^{b^{\prime} b}(x-y)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times \gamma_{5} S_{u}^{a^{\prime} a}(x-y)\right]+\operatorname{Tr}\left[\gamma_{5} \widetilde{S}_{d}^{b^{\prime} a}(x-y) \gamma_{\nu} S_{u}^{a^{\prime} b}(x-y)\right]\right) \\
& \times\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\gamma_{5} \widetilde{S}_{b}^{a a^{\prime}}(y-x) \gamma_{5} S_{c}^{b i}(y) \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) S_{b}^{i b^{\prime}}(y)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\operatorname{Tr}\left[\gamma_{5} \widetilde{S}_{b}^{i a^{\prime}}(-x)\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) \gamma_{\mu} \widetilde{S}_{c}^{b i}(y) \gamma_{5} S_{b}^{a b^{\prime}}(y-x)\right]\right) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

It is seen that the correlator $\Pi_{\mu}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)$ has structures proportional to $P_{\mu}$ and $q_{\mu}$. Extracting from $\Pi_{\mu}^{\text {Phys }}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)$ and $\Pi_{\mu}^{\mathrm{OPE}}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)$ invariant amplitudes corresponding to these structures, and equating them to each other, we can derive sum rules for the form factors $G_{1(2)}\left(q^{2}\right)$. One of the main procedures in our computations is the Borel transformation of obtained equalities. Because relevant amplitudes depend on $p^{2}$ and $p^{\prime 2}$, in order to suppress contributions of higher resonances and continuum states, we should apply the double Borel transformation over these variables. Final expressions obtained after these operations depend on a set of Borel parameters $\mathbf{M}^{2}=$ $\left(M_{1}^{2}, M_{2}^{2}\right)$. Then the continuum subtraction should also be carried out in two channels by introducing a set of threshold parameters $\mathbf{s}_{0}=\left(s_{0}, s_{0}^{\prime}\right)$.

After these manipulations, we derive the sum rules

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{i}\left(\mathbf{M}^{2}, \mathbf{s}_{0}, q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{f m \widetilde{f}_{Z} \widetilde{m}_{Z}} \int_{4 m_{b}^{2}}^{s_{0}} d s e^{\left(m^{2}-s\right) / M_{1}^{2}} \\
& \times \int_{\left(m_{b}+m_{c}\right)^{2}}^{s_{0}^{\prime}} d s^{\prime} \rho_{i}\left(s, s^{\prime}, q^{2}\right) e^{\left(\widetilde{m}_{Z}^{2}-s^{\prime}\right) / M_{2}^{2}}, \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho_{1(2)}\left(s, s^{\prime}, q^{2}\right)$ are the spectral densities calculated with dimension-7 accuracy. In Eq. (29) the pair of parameters $\left(M_{1}^{2}, s_{0}\right)$ describes the initial tetraquark $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$, whereas the set $\left(M_{2}^{2}, s_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ corresponds to the final state $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$.

In computations the working regions for $\mathbf{M}^{2}$ and $\mathbf{s}_{0}$ are chosen as in analyses of the masses $m$ and $\widetilde{m}_{Z}$. Input information necessary for numerical calculations of $G_{1(2)}\left(q^{2}\right)$ that includes the vacuum condensates, spectroscopic parameters of the tetraquarks $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$and $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ are presented in Eqs. (11), (15) and (18), respectively. In Fig. 3 we show obtained predictions for the form factors $G_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)$ and $G_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$.

The sum rules give reliable results for $G_{1(2)}\left(q^{2}\right)$ in the region $m_{l}^{2} \leq q^{2} \leq 8 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, which is not enough to calculate the partial width of the process $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} l \bar{\nu}_{l}$ under analysis. Thus, the form factors $G_{1(2)}\left(q^{2}\right)$ determine the
differential decay rate $d \Gamma / d q^{2}$ of this process

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d \Gamma}{d q^{2}}=\frac{G_{F}^{2}\left|V_{b c}\right|^{2}}{64 \pi^{3} m^{3}} \lambda\left(m^{2}, \widetilde{m}_{Z}^{2}, q^{2}\right)\left(\frac{q^{2}-m_{l}^{2}}{q^{2}}\right)^{2} \\
\times \quad & \left\{( 2 q ^ { 2 } + m _ { l } ^ { 2 } ) \left[G_{1}^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)\left(\frac{q^{2}}{2}-m^{2}-\widetilde{m}_{Z}^{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-G_{2}^{2}\left(q^{2}\right) \frac{q^{2}}{2}+\left(\widetilde{m}_{Z}^{2}-m^{2}\right) G_{1}\left(q^{2}\right) G_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)\right] \\
& \left.+\frac{q^{2}+m_{l}^{2}}{q^{2}}\left[G_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)\left(m^{2}-\widetilde{m}_{Z}^{2}\right)+G_{2}\left(q^{2}\right) q^{2}\right]^{2}\right\}, \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda\left(m^{2}, \widetilde{m}_{Z}^{2}, q^{2}\right)=\left[m^{4}+\widetilde{m}_{Z}^{4}+q^{4}\right. \\
& \left.-2\left(m^{2} \widetilde{m}_{Z}^{2}+m^{2} q^{2}+\widetilde{m}_{Z}^{2} q^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

To find the width of a semileptonic decay, $d \Gamma / d q^{2}$ should be integrated over $q^{2}$ in the limits $m_{l}^{2} \leq q^{2} \leq\left(m-\widetilde{m}_{Z}\right)^{2}$. But $m_{l}^{2} \leq q^{2} \leq 11.59 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ is wider than the region where the sum rules lead to strong results. This problem can be evaded by introducing fit functions $\mathcal{G}_{i}\left(q^{2}\right)(i=$ $1,2)$ : at the momentum transfers $q^{2}$ accessible for the sum rule computations they have to coincide with $G_{i}\left(q^{2}\right)$, but have analytic forms suitable to carry out integrations over $q^{2}$.


FIG. 3: Predictions for the form factors $\left|G_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)\right|$ (the lower red circles) and $G_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$ (the upper blue squares). The lines are the fit functions $\left|\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)\right|$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$, respectively.

For these purposes, we use the functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{i}\left(q^{2}\right)=\mathcal{G}_{0}^{i} \exp \left[g_{1}^{i} \frac{q^{2}}{m^{2}}+g_{2}^{i}\left(\frac{q^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{0}^{i}, g_{1}^{i}$, and $g_{2}^{i}$ are constants which have to be fixed by comparing $\mathcal{G}_{i}\left(q^{2}\right)$ and $G_{i}\left(q^{2}\right)$ at common domains of validity. Performed numerical analysis gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{G}_{0}^{1}=-0.26, g_{1}^{1}=10.14, g_{2}^{1}=-10.36 \\
& \mathcal{G}_{0}^{2}=0.35, g_{1}^{2}=8.87, g_{2}^{2}=-7.91 \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

| Channel | Partial width |
| :---: | :---: |
| $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} e^{-} \bar{\nu}_{e}$ | $(4.45 \pm 1.28) \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b_{c}}^{0} \mu^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ | $(4.44 \pm 1.26) \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{Z_{c}}^{0} \tau^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ | $(1.99 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} \pi^{-}$ | $(5.13 \pm 1.42) \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} K^{-}$ | $(3.93 \pm 1.12) \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} D^{-}$ | $(8.49 \pm 2.41) \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} D_{s}^{-}$ | $(2.92 \pm 0.82) \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{MeV}$ |

TABLE I: Partial width of the $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$tetraquark's weak decay channels.

The functions $\mathcal{G}_{i}\left(q^{2}\right)$ are plotted in Fig. 3 one can see an agreement between the sum rule predictions and fit functions.

The masses of the leptons $m_{e}=0.511 \mathrm{MeV}, m_{\mu}=$ 105.658 MeV , and $m_{\tau}=(1776.82 \pm 0.16) \mathrm{MeV}$ used to find $\Gamma\left(T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} l \bar{\nu}_{l}\right)$ are taken from Ref. 28]. The results obtained for the partial width of the semileptonic decays $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} l \bar{\nu}_{l}$ are collected in Table $\mathbb{\square}$

## IV. NONLEPTONIC DECAYS $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} M$

Nonleptonic decays of $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$may be generated by weak transformations of constituent quarks (antiquarks) of $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$ provided these processes are kinematically allowed. The subprocesses $\bar{u} \rightarrow \bar{d} W^{-}, \bar{u} \rightarrow \underline{\bar{s}} W^{-}$and $\bar{u} \rightarrow \bar{d} W^{-}$imply production of tetraquarks $b b \overline{d d}, b b \bar{s} \bar{d}$ and $b b \overline{b d}$, respectively, and a meson. It is clear that such processes are forbidden kinematically, because the mass of a produced tetraquark is either equal to or higher than the mass $m$ of $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$(in the present work $m_{u}=m_{d} \equiv 0$ ). The same arguments are true also for weak transitions of the antidiquark $\bar{d}$. The dominant nonleptonic decays of $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$ is triggered by the subprocess $b \rightarrow W^{-} c$, whereas the transition $b \rightarrow W^{-} u$ leads to decays suppressed relative to main ones, as it has been explained in the previous section. Therefore, we concentrate here on weak decays $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} M$ of the tetraquark $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$.

In these processes $M$ is one of the pseudoscalar mesons $\pi^{-}, K^{-}, D^{-}$, and $D_{s}^{-}$. They appear at the final state due to decays of $W^{-}$to quark-antiquark pairs $d \bar{u}, s \bar{u}, d \bar{c}$, and $s \bar{c}$, respectively. In Table II we present the masses and decay constants of the mesons $\pi^{-}, K^{-}, D^{-}$, and $D_{s}^{-}$. It is easy to see, that the mass of the master particle $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$meets a requirement $m>\widetilde{m}_{Z}+m_{M}$, and all these decays are kinematically allowed processes.

It is convenient to describe production of mesons $M$ using the effective Hamiltonian, and introduce relevant effective weak vertices. We restrict ourselves by analyzing only tree-level contributions to decays: the relevant Feynman diagram for the process $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} K^{-}$, as an exam-
ple, is depicted in Fig. 4. To study the nonleptonic weak decays $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} M$, we also adopt the QCD factorization method. This approach was applied to investigate nonleptonic decays of conventional mesons [29, 30], but can be used to study decays of the tetraquarks as well. Thus, nonleptonic decays of the scalar exotic mesons $Z_{b c}^{0}$, $T_{b s ; \bar{u} \bar{d}}^{-}$and $T_{b b ; \overline{u s}}^{-}$(in a short form $T_{b: \bar{s}}^{-}$) were analyzed by this way in Refs. 11, 16, 25], respectively.

We provide details of analysis for the decay $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow$ $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} \pi^{-}$, and write down final predictions for other channels.


FIG. 4: The tree-level Feynman diagram for the nonleptonic decay $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} K^{-}$. The black square denotes the effective weak vertex.

At the tree-level, the effective Hamiltonian for this decay is given by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{n} .-\mathrm{lep}}^{\mathrm{eff}}=\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} V_{b c} V_{u d}^{*}\left[c_{1}(\mu) Q_{1}+c_{2}(\mu) Q_{2}\right] \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1} & =\left(\bar{d}_{i} u_{i}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}}\left(\bar{c}_{j} b_{j}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \\
Q_{2} & =\left(\bar{d}_{i} u_{j}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}}\left(\bar{c}_{j} b_{i}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}} \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

and $i, j$ are the color indices, and $\left(\bar{q}_{1} q_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}}$ means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{q}_{1} q_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}}=\bar{q}_{1} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) q_{2} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is worth noting that, we do not include into Eq. (34) current-current operators appearing due the QCD penguin and electroweak-penguin diagrams. The shortdistance Wilson coefficients $c_{1}(\mu)$ and $c_{2}(\mu)$ are given at the factorization scale $\mu$.

In the factorization method the amplitude of the decay $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} \pi^{-}$has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}= & \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} V_{b c} V_{u d}^{*} a_{1}(\mu)\left\langle\pi^{-}(q)\right|\left(\bar{d}_{i} u_{i}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}}|0\rangle \\
& \times\left\langle\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right|\left(\bar{c}_{j} b_{j}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}}\left|T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}(p)\right\rangle \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}(\mu)=c_{1}(\mu)+\frac{1}{N_{c}} c_{2}(\mu) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $N_{c}=3$ being the number of quark colors. The only unknown matrix element $\left\langle\pi^{-}(q)\right|\left(\bar{d}_{i} u_{i}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}}|0\rangle$ in $\mathcal{A}$ can be defined in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\pi^{-}(q)\right|\left(\bar{d}_{i} u_{i}\right)_{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}}|0\rangle=i f_{\pi} q_{\mu} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, it is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{A}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}= & i \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} f_{\pi} V_{b c} V_{u d}^{*} a_{1}(\mu) \\
& \times\left[G_{1}\left(q^{2}\right) P q+G_{2}\left(q^{2}\right) q^{2}\right] \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

For completeness we provide below the partial width of this process

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma\left(T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} \pi^{-}\right)=\frac{G_{F}^{2} f_{\pi}^{2}\left|V_{b c}\right|^{2}\left|V_{u d}\right|^{2}}{32 \pi m^{3}} \\
& \lambda\left(m^{2}, \widetilde{m}_{Z}^{2}, m_{\pi}^{2}\right)\left[G_{1}\left(m^{2}-\widetilde{m}_{Z}^{2}\right)+G_{2} m_{\pi}^{2}\right]^{2} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

where the weak form factors $G_{1(2)}\left(q^{2}\right)$ are computed at $q^{2}=m_{\pi}^{2}$. The decay modes $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} K^{-}\left(D^{-}, D_{s}^{-}\right)$ can be analyzed in a similar manner. To this end, one has to replace in Eq. (41) $\left(m_{\pi}, f_{\pi}\right)$ by the masses and decay constants of the mesons $K^{-}, D^{-}$, and $D_{s}^{-}$, make the substitutions $V_{u d} \rightarrow V_{u s}, V_{c d}$, and $V_{c s}$, and fix the form factors at $q^{2}=m_{M}^{2}$.

All input information necessary for numerical analysis are collected in Table II] it contains spectroscopic parameters of the final state mesons, and CKM matrix elements. The coefficients $c_{1}\left(m_{b}\right)$, and $c_{2}\left(m_{b}\right)$ with next-toleading order QCD corrections are borrowed from Refs. [31-33]

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}\left(m_{b}\right)=1.117, c_{2}\left(m_{b}\right)=-0.257 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the decay $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} \pi^{-}$, calculations yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} \pi^{-}\right)=(5.13 \pm 1.42) \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{MeV} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Partial widths of this and other nonleptonic decays of the tetraquark $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$are moved to Table It is evident that widths of these processes are very small, and can be safely neglected in computation of the full width of the $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$.

As a result, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{\text {full }} & =(10.88 \pm 1.88) \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{MeV} \\
\tau & =6.05_{-0.89}^{+1.26} \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~s} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

which are among main predictions of the present work.

| Quantity | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| $m_{\pi}$ | 139.570 MeV |
| $m_{K}$ | $(493.677 \pm 0.016) \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| $m_{D}$ | $(1869.61 \pm 0.10) \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| $m_{D_{s}}$ | $(1968.30 \pm 0.11) \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| $f_{\pi}$ | 131 MeV |
| $f_{K}$ | $(155.72 \pm 0.51) \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| $f_{D}$ | $(203.7 \pm 4.7) \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| $f_{D_{s}}$ | $(257.8 \pm 4.1) \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| $\left\|V_{u d}\right\|$ | $0.97420 \pm 0.00021$ |
| $\left\|V_{u s}\right\|$ | $0.2243 \pm 0.0005$ |
| $\left\|V_{c d}\right\|$ | $0.218 \pm 0.004$ |
| $\left\|V_{c s}\right\|$ | $0.997 \pm 0.017$ |

TABLE II: Masses and decay constants of the final state pseudoscalar mesons. The CKM matrix elements are also included.

## V. ANALYSIS AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

In the present work we have calculated the mass, width and lifetime of the stable scalar tetraquark $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$with the content $b b \bar{u} \bar{d}$. This particle can be considered as a $u d$ member of the scalar multiplet $b b \overline{q q}^{\prime}$. Another particle from this multiplet $T_{b: s}^{-}$was studied in our article 11]. The tetraquark $T_{b: \bar{s}}^{-}$is composed of $b b \overline{u s}$ quarks, has the mass

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=(10250 \pm 270) \mathrm{MeV} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is stable against the strong and electromagnetic decays. By comparing parameters of the tetraquarks $T_{b: \bar{s}}^{-}$ and $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$one can easily reveal a mass gap 115 MeV in this multiplet, which is consistent with analysis of the open charm-bottom axial-vector states $Z_{s}=[c s][\bar{b} \bar{s}]$ and $Z_{q}=[c q][\bar{b} \bar{q}]$ 34] . In fact, the mass splitting between $Z_{s}$ and $Z_{q}$ equals approximately to 240 MeV , which is caused by two $s$ quarks in the $Z_{s}$, hence a single $s$ generates the mass splitting 120 MeV .

The second particle considered in this work is the tetraquark $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ appeared due to weak decays of the master particle $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$. We have treated $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ as a scalar exotic meson $b c \bar{u} \bar{d}$ built of diquark and antidiquark with symmetric color structures, and calculated its spectroscopic parameters $\widetilde{m}_{Z}$ and $\widetilde{f}_{Z}$. The scalar particle $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ is stable against $S$-wave decays to mesons $B^{-} D^{+}$and $\bar{B}^{0} D^{0}$ because thresholds for these processes $7149 / 7144 \mathrm{MeV}$ are higher than mass of the $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$. For the same reasons $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ can not transform to conventional mesons through electromagnetic decays. In fact, threshold for a such process $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} \rightarrow B^{-} D_{s 1}(2460)^{+} \gamma$ is equal to 7739 MeV and considerably exceeds the mass of the tetraquark $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$.

There are two other scalar exotic mesons with the same or close quark contents. First of them is particle
$Z_{b c}^{0}=b c \bar{u} \bar{d}$ composed of the color-triplet diquark and antidiquark. The mass of this exotic meson is equal to $m_{Z}=(6660 \pm 150) \mathrm{MeV}$ [8]. The second scalar tetraquark is $s$ partner of $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$, i.e., an exotic meson $Z_{b: \bar{s}}^{0}=b c \overline{u s}$ with color-sextet organization of constituent diquarks. This particle was investigated in Ref. [11], in which its mass was estimated within the range

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{m}=(6830 \pm 140) \mathrm{MeV} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mass splitting inside of the multiplet of scalar particles $b c \overline{q q}^{\prime}$ with color-sextet structure of diquark and antidiquark

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{m}-\widetilde{m}_{Z}=100 \mathrm{MeV} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

is compatible with our above-stated discussion. Comparing the masses of $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ and $Z_{b c}^{0}$ with the color-sextet and -triplet organization of constituents, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta m=\widetilde{m}_{Z}-m_{Z}=70 \mathrm{MeV} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mass gap between axial-vector four-quark mesons $[c s][\overline{c s}]$ with different color structures of constituent diquarks was studied in Ref. 35]. The "color-triplet" and "color-sextet" states were interpreted there as candidates to resonances $X(4140)$ and $X(4274)$, respectively. The theoretical estimate for a difference of their masses amounts to $\Delta m \approx 180 \mathrm{MeV}$. The triplet-sextet splitting in the scalar system $\left(Z_{b c}^{0}, \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}\right)$ is numerically smaller than in the case of axial-vector tetraquarks. But one should take into account that axial-vector particles $[c s][\overline{c s}]$ are composed of a heavy diquark and an antidiquark, whereas tetraquarks $b c \overline{q q}^{\prime}$ are built of the heavy diquark and light antidiquark. Whether the triplet-sextet splitting depends only on spin-parities of these particles or bears also information on their structures, worths additional studies.

The estimates presented above for splitting of different tetraquarks are found using central values of their masses. Parameters of these states, including their masses, have been extracted by means of the QCD sum rule method, predictions of which contain theoretical uncertainties. Therefore, the results for mass splitting in the multiplet of double-heavy tetraquarks should be considered with some caution. In our view, the picture drawn above, nevertheless, is a credible image of the real exotic-meson spectroscopy.

We have computed partial widths of the semileptonic $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} l \bar{\nu}_{l}$ and nonleptonic $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-} \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0} M$ decays, where $M$ is one of the pseudoscalar mesons $\pi^{-}, K^{-}$, $D^{-}$, and $D_{s}^{-}$. In these processes final hadronic states are either the scalar tetraquark $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$ or this tetraquark and a conventional meson $M$. It turned out that partial widths of semileptonic decays are considerably higher than ones of nonleptonic modes. Namely the semileptonic decay channels have been used to evaluate the full width and lifetime of $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$. It should be noted that there are weak
nonleptonic decays of $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$which at the final state contains two ordinary mesons. Such processes were analyzed in Ref. [36], in which the authors considered decays of the axial-vector tetraquark $T_{b b}^{-}$. Similar channels can be examined in the case of the scalar particle $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$as well. But, partial widths of these modes are considerably smaller than widths of the semileptonic decays, and latter determine mean lifetime of $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$.

Till now the experimental collaborations did not observe weakly decaying tetraquarks, which would be strong evidence for their existence. It is worth noting that active experiments, such as LHCb , have a certain potential to discover weak decay modes of tetraquarks $T_{b b}$. Such potential should have also a Tera- $Z$ factory. In Refs. [36, 37] the authors addressed namely these problems, and considered the processes $Z \rightarrow b \bar{b} b \bar{b}$, $p p \rightarrow b \bar{b} b \bar{b}+X$, and $p p \rightarrow b \bar{b} c \bar{c}+X$ to estimate production rates of double heavy tetraquarks. It was found that the integrated cross section for production of $T_{b b}^{-}$is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(p p \rightarrow T_{b b}^{-}+X\right)=2.8_{-0.7}^{+1.0} \mathrm{nb} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas for the tetraquark with the content $T_{b c}^{0}=b c \bar{u} \bar{d}$ similar analysis leads to estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(p p \rightarrow T_{b c}^{0}+X\right)=103_{-25}^{+39} \mathrm{nb} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

In accordance with predictions of Ref. [37], this implies producing of approximately $\mathcal{O}\left(10^{8}\right)$ events with $T_{b b}^{-}$and $\mathcal{O}\left(10^{9}\right)$ events with $T_{b c}^{0}$ during LHC Runs $1-4$.

The $Z$-boson factories with the integrated luminosity of $10^{12} Z$-boson events may lead to production significant
number of tetraquarks $T_{b b}^{-}$and allow one to measure its parameters. This conclusion is based on the estimate for the branching ratio

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}\left(Z \rightarrow T_{b b}^{-}+\overline{b b}\right)=\left(1.4_{-0.5}^{+1.1}\right) \times 10^{-6} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

made in Ref. 36].
The production of the tetraquarks $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}, \widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$, and $Z_{b c}^{0}$ in proton-proton collisions at LHC and future $Z$-factories seems may be analyzed within the scheme discussed in Refs. 36, 37] by taking into account differences due to scalar nature of these particles. One also can utilize the QCD sum rule method to evaluate some of matrix elements used in these investigations and refine existing approach. Relevant processes in $p p$ and $e^{+} e^{-}$collisions require detailed studies and analysis, which are beyond the scope of the present work.

Spectroscopic parameters of the scalar particles $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$ and $\widetilde{Z}_{b c}^{0}$, as well as weak decays of $T_{b: \bar{d}}^{-}$studied in the present work provide new and useful information on features of double-heavy exotic mesons $b b \overline{q q}^{\prime}$ and $b c \overline{q q}^{\prime}$, and form a basis for future investigations.
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