

INVARIANT ALGEBRAIC SURFACES AND CONSTRAINED SYSTEMS

PAULO R. DA SILVA AND OTÁVIO H. PEREZ

ABSTRACT. We study flows of smooth vector fields X over invariant surfaces M which are levels of rational first integrals. It leads us to study constrained systems, that is, systems with impasses. We identify a subset $\mathcal{I} \subset M$ which we call “pseudo-impasse” set and analyze the flow of X by points of \mathcal{I} . Systems well known in the literature exemplify our results: Lorenz, Chen, Falkner-Skan and Fisher-Kolmogorov. We also study 1-parameter families of integrable systems and unfolding of minimal sets. Our main tool is the geometric singular perturbation theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a smooth vector field. A trajectory of X is a smooth curve $\varphi(t)$ satisfying that

$$\dot{\varphi}(t) = X(\varphi(t)), t \in I \subseteq \mathbb{R}.$$

We say that a smooth function $H : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *first integral* of X if it satisfies $\langle \nabla H, X \rangle = 0$. It means that $H(\varphi(t)) = H(\varphi(0))$, for any $t \in I$.

Our main goal is to describe the flow of X on invariant algebraic surfaces, that is on $M = H^{-1}(0)$ with H being a polynomial function.

Here we focus our attention on surfaces $M = H^{-1}(0)$ with $H(x, y, z) = f(x, y)z - g(x, y)$, where f, g are polynomials. Considering these surfaces is not very restrictive. In fact, as we will see in the examples below, many surfaces, including singular parts or being disconnected, can be represented in this way.

The surface M can be written as the disjoint union of two subsets: \mathcal{G}_M and \mathcal{I}_M . The first one is the graphic of $z = (g/f)(x, y)$, and the second one represents the subset of M that cannot be written as a graphic. The set \mathcal{I}_M is called *pseudo-impasse set*. Geometrically, $\mathcal{I}_M \subset M$ is a set of lines which are parallel to the z -axis. See *Proposition 4*, section 3.

Before we present our results, let's start with an example to indicate what kind of problem we are interested in.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34C05, 34C45, 34D15, 93C70.

Key words and phrases. Invariant Manifolds, Constrained Systems, Singular Perturbation.

Example. Consider the vector field $X(x, y, z) = (2y, 2xz, (x^2 - 1)z - (y^2 - 1))$ where $M = H^{-1}(0)$ defines a smooth algebraic invariant surface, with $H(x, y, z) = (x^2 - 1)z - (y^2 - 1)$. We can write $M = \mathcal{G}_M \cup \mathcal{I}_M$, where $\mathcal{G}_M = \{(x, y, z) \in M \mid z = (y^2 - 1)/(x^2 - 1), x \neq \pm 1\}$ and $\mathcal{I}_M = \{(x, y, z) \in M \mid y^2 - 1 = 0 = x^2 - 1, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$. \mathcal{G}_M is a graphic and the pseudo impasse \mathcal{I}_M is a set of four lines that are ortogonally projected on the xy -plane. See figure 1. The flow of X on \mathcal{G}_M is described by

$$(1) \quad \dot{x} = 2y, \quad (x^2 - 1)\dot{y} = 2x(y^2 - 1).$$

Additional effort is needed to describe the flow in \mathcal{I}_M . The projections of \mathcal{I}_M on xy -plane are hyperbolic equilibrium points of the system $\dot{x} = (x^2 - 1)2y$, $\dot{y} = 2x(y^2 - 1)$. It is easy to see that \mathcal{I}_M does not contain any equilibrium point and the four lines are not invariant.




FIGURE 1. Phase portrait of $X(x, y, z) = (2y, 2xz, (x^2 - 1)z - (y^2 - 1))$ (left) and surface M (right). The pseudo impasse set \mathcal{I}_M is denoted in blue.

Systems written as (1) are known as *constrained systems* (or *impasse systems*). Constrained systems have been widely studied in the literature. In [21] the author classified normal forms in \mathbb{R}^2 and in [19] the authors gave normal forms defined in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$. Both references assume that the impasse manifold is smooth. Applications in electrical circuits can be found in [18].

Below we briefly list some results that we have proved about flows and impasses.

- The flow of X on the algebraic invariant manifold M is determined by a constrained system $A(x, y)(\dot{x}, \dot{y}) = F(x, y)$, $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (see section 2 for a precise definition). The projection of \mathcal{I}_M on \mathbb{R}^2 lies on the impasse set $\mathcal{I} = \{(x, y) : \det A(x, y) = 0\}$ and it is a set of equilibrium points of the adjoint vector field A^*F . See *Theorem 7*, section 3.

- Let $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be the projection of a line $r \subset \mathcal{I}_M$. Then $(x, y) \in \mathcal{I}$ is a hyperbolic equilibrium point for the adjoint vector field A^*F if, and only if, (x, y) is a hyperbolic node. Moreover, r does not contain equilibrium points of X , r is transversal to the flow and r does not contain any singular points of the surface M . See *Proposition 11* and *Theorem 15*, section 3. If $(x, y) \in \mathcal{I}$ is a non hyperbolic equilibrium point then, under some conditions, r intersects the singular part of M or r is invariant by the flow. See *Theorem 16*, section 3.

In section 4 we exemplify our results with well-known systems in the literature, for example, Falkner-Skan Equation, Lorenz System and Chen System. We discuss how the study of flows on invariant surfaces by means of a constrained system can be extended in higher dimensions.

In the second part of this paper we consider 1-parameter families of first integrals and unfoldings of minimal sets. In our approach, singular perturbation theory [9] is the main tool. More precisely, we prove that equilibrium points and periodic orbits of smooth system which are contained in algebraic invariant surfaces persist under small perturbations.

Example. Let $H_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a family of smooth functions given by $H_\varepsilon(x, y, z) = x - y^2 - \varepsilon z$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, H_ε is a first integral of $X_\varepsilon(x, y, z) = (2y(y + z) - \varepsilon(y - z), y + z, y - z)$. If φ_0 is a trajectory of X_0 in the level $H_0(x, y, z) = 0$, then φ_0 is a solution of

$$(2) \quad 0 = x - y^2, \quad \dot{y} = y + z, \quad \dot{z} = y - z.$$

System (2) is an algebraic differential equation and it describes the slow flow on the slow manifold of a singular perturbation problem. Furthermore, *Proposition 19*, section 5, says that φ_0 is a trajectory of X_0 if, and only if, φ_0 is a solution of (2). This allows us to study the flow of X_ε for $\varepsilon > 0$. More precisely, we study the flow of X_ε in the levels $H_\varepsilon(x, y, z) = 0$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ using singular perturbation problems. For this purpose, Fenichel Theorem is our main tool. Concerning to 1-parameter families of smooth vector fields we prove:

- Let H_ε be a family of first integrals of n -dimensional smooth vector fields X_ε . The equilibria of X_ε on $\{H_\varepsilon = 0\}$ are equilibria of a singular perturbation problem. If $p_0 \in \{H_0 = 0\}$ is an equilibrium point of X_0 , then there exists a sequence of equilibrium points p_ε of X_ε , satisfying that $p_\varepsilon \rightarrow p_0$ and $p_\varepsilon \in \{H_\varepsilon = 0\}$. See *Proposition 20*, section 5.
- Under some conditions, periodic orbits of X_0 contained in $\{H_0 = 0\}$ persist under small perturbations. See *Proposition 21*, section 5.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we present basic concepts and definitions concerning constrained systems and singular perturbation

theory. We start section 3 studying some geometric properties of the algebraic invariant surface, and then we present results that relate the flows on M to constrained systems. We exemplify these results in section 4 with well-known systems in the literature, such as Falkner-Skan, Lorenz and Chen systems. We also discuss how the problem of describe flows on invariant surfaces by means of constrained systems extends in higher dimensions. Finally, in section 5 we deal with families of first integrals and slow-fast systems.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON THE GEOMETRIC SINGULAR PERTURBATION THEORY AND IMPASSES

In this section we present basic concepts and definitions concerning constrained systems and singular perturbation theory. We also refer [19, 21] and [9] for an introduction of constrained systems and singular perturbation theory, respectively.

2.1. Constrained systems. A *constrained system* (or *impasse system*) is given by

$$(3) \quad A(\mathbf{x})\dot{\mathbf{x}} = F(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a smooth vector field and $A(\mathbf{x})$ is a square matrix of order n whose entries $a_{ij}(\mathbf{x})$ smoothly depend on \mathbf{x} . This kind of system generalizes vector fields because at the points where $\det A(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ we can rewrite (3) as $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = A^{-1}(\mathbf{x})F(\mathbf{x})$. On the other hand, at the points where $\det A(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ (called *impasse points*) we cannot assure the existence and/or uniqueness of the solutions. Another particularity of (3) is the existence of the *impasse manifold*, defined by

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{I} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \det A(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}.$$

We can draw the phase portrait of (3) as follows. We relate the system (3) to the vector field A^*F , where A^* is the adjoint matrix of A characterized by $AA^* = A^*A = \det(A)I$. Thus, the phase portrait of (3) can be seen as the phase portrait of the vector field A^*F by removing the impasse points from its orbits and inverting its orientation where $\det A < 0$. As in [4], the vector field A^*F will be called adjoint vector field.

It can be found normal forms for constrained systems in \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 3$ in [21] and [19], respectively. In both references, the authors studied the dynamics of (3) in the neighborhood of a regular point $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathcal{I}$, that is, $d(\det A(\mathbf{x}))(\mathbf{x}_0) \neq 0$. Moreover, they adopted the hypothesis that the trajectories of the system (3) either do not intercept \mathcal{I} , or intercept \mathcal{I} in a finite number of isolated points.

Let $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ be a regular impasse point and consider the following conditions.

- (A) The vector space $\ker A(\mathbf{x}_0)$ is transversal to \mathcal{I} .
- (B) The vector $F(\mathbf{x}_0)$ does not belong to the range of $A(\mathbf{x}_0)$.

By linear algebra, we know that $\text{Im}A = \ker A^*$ and $\ker A = \text{Im}A^*$. Therefore, condition (A) means that the vector field A^*F is transversal to \mathcal{I} at \mathbf{x}_0 and condition (B) means that \mathbf{x}_0 is not an equilibrium point of A^*F .

Definition 1. Let $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ be a regular impasse point of (3).

- (1) \mathbf{x}_0 is non singular if \mathbf{x}_0 satisfies conditions (A) and (B).
- (2) \mathbf{x}_0 is a *K-singularity* (kernel singularity) if \mathbf{x}_0 satisfies (B) and does not satisfy (A).
- (3) \mathbf{x}_0 is an *R-singularity* (range singularity) if \mathbf{x}_0 satisfies (A) and does not satisfy (B).
- (4) \mathbf{x}_0 is an *RK-singularity* (range-kernel singularity) if \mathbf{x}_0 does not satisfy conditions (A) and (B).

2.2. Slow-fast systems and Fenichel Theory. A *singularly perturbed system* is a system of the form

$$(5) \quad \varepsilon \dot{\mathbf{x}} = f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \varepsilon), \quad \dot{\mathbf{y}} = g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \varepsilon),$$

where f, g are smooth, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is small. The dot \cdot denotes the derivative with respect to t .

The parameter ε measures the variation rate of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} . When $\varepsilon = 0$, the system (5) reduces to the differential-algebraic system

$$(6) \quad 0 = f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, 0), \quad \dot{\mathbf{y}} = g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, 0).$$

System (6) is called *reduced problem* or *slow equation*. By taking $t = \varepsilon\tau$ in (5), we obtain the system

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{x}' = f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \varepsilon), \quad \mathbf{y}' = \varepsilon g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \varepsilon),$$

where $'$ denotes the derivative with respect to τ . In the limit $\varepsilon = 0$, we obtain the *fast equation* (or *layer problem*) given by

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{x}' = f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, 0), \quad \mathbf{y}' = 0.$$

System (8) is reduced to n differential equation with respect to the fast variable \mathbf{x} , which depends on the slow variable \mathbf{y} as a parameter. For $\varepsilon > 0$, systems (5) and (7) are equivalents.

The set

$$(9) \quad S_0 = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \mid f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, 0) = 0\}$$

is the phase space of (6) and it is known in the literature as *critical manifold* or *slow manifold*. Notice that S_0 is the set of equilibrium points of (8).

We can interpret the phase portrait (5) and (7) when ε is close to 0 as follows. A point outside S_0 moves from a stable fast fiber according to the dynamics of (8), until it reaches a stable branch of S_0 . Then, the dynamics change to (6). If the corresponding solution reaches a singularity or a bifurcation point (where S_0 loses stability), thus the dynamics changes to (8).

A point $p = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in S_0$ is *normally hyperbolic* if $f_{\mathbf{x}}(p, 0)$ is a matrix whose all eigenvalues have nonzero real parts being k^s eigenvalues with negative real parts and k^u eigenvalues with positive real parts. The set of all normally hyperbolic points of S_0 is denoted by $\mathcal{NH}(S_0)$.

The following theorem is one of the most important results of singular perturbation theory and it is due to Fenichel. Such result describes how is the flow of system (5) for ε is sufficiently small. See [9] for details.

Theorem 2. *Let $N_0 \subset \mathcal{NH}(S_0)$ be a j -dimensional normally hyperbolic compact submanifold of S_0 for (6), with a $(j + j^s)$ -dimensional local stable manifold W^s and a $(j + j^u)$ -dimensional local unstable manifold W^u . Suppose that system (5) satisfies $f, g \in C^r$. Then for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small the following statements are true.*

- F1. *There exists a C^{r-1} family of compact locally invariant manifolds $\{N_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$ of (5) converging to N_0 , according Hausdorff distance. Moreover, N_ε is diffeomorphic to N_0 .*
- F2. *There exist C^{r-1} families of $(j + j^s + k^s)$ -dimensional and $(j + j^u + k^u)$ -dimensional manifolds N_ε^s and N_ε^u , respectively, such that N_ε^s and N_ε^u are the local stable and unstable manifolds of N_ε .*

2.3. Catastrophes as invariant surfaces. The slow flow (6) of a singular perturbation problem, with $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, is given by a constrained system

$$(10) \quad 0 = V_x(x, y, z), \quad \dot{y} = \beta(x, y, z), \quad \dot{z} = \gamma(x, y, z),$$

where V is the *potential function*. According Takens [20], under topological equivalence, there are 12 normal forms of generic constrained differential equations.

$V(x, y, z)$	$X(x, y, z)$	Type
$\frac{x^2}{2}$	$(0, 1, 0)$ $(0, y, z)$ $(0, y, -z)$ $(0, -y, -z)$	Flow-box Source Saddle Sink
$\frac{x^3}{3} + yx$	$(0, 1, 0)$ $(0, -1, 0)$ $(0, 3x + z, 1)$ $(0, -3x + z, 1)$ $(0, -z, 1)$ $(0, x + z, 1)$	Flow-box 1 Flow-box 2 Source Sink Saddle Focus
$\frac{x^4}{4} + \frac{zx^2}{2} + yx$	$(0, 1, 0)$ $(0, -1, 0)$	Flow-box 1 Flow-box 2

Following Proposition, whose proof can be found in [1], says the slow flow on the slow manifold is given by a constrained system.

Proposition 3. *Consider system (10) and a point p satisfying*

- (a) $V_x(p) = 0, V_{xx}(p) = 0;$
- (b) $V_{xy}(p) \neq 0$ or $V_{xz}(p) \neq 0$.

Then system (10) can be written as

$$(11) \quad -V_{xx}\dot{x} = V_{xy}\beta + V_{xz}\gamma, \quad \dot{z} = \gamma,$$

where $y = \xi(x, z)$ is the solution of $V_x(p) = 0$.

If $V(x, y, z) = \frac{x^2}{2}$, then equation (11) defines a smooth system.

If $V(x, y, z) = \frac{x^3}{3} + yx$, then equation (11) defines a constrained system where $\mathcal{I} = \{(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x = 0\}$. For the Flow-Box normal forms, system (11) is given by $-2x\dot{x} = \mp 1$, $\dot{z} = 0$, where the adjoint vector field is $\dot{x} = \mp 1$, $\dot{z} = 0$. It follows that the origin is non singular. The source and sink normal forms are $-2x\dot{x} = \pm 3x + z$, $\dot{z} = 1$, where the adjoint vector field is $\dot{x} = \pm 3x + z$, $\dot{z} = -2x$. Since the origin is a node for the adjoint vector field, it is a R-singularity. The same occurs for the saddle and focus normal forms. For the saddle normal form, system (11) takes form $-2x\dot{x} = -z$, $\dot{z} = 1$, where the adjoint vector field is $\dot{x} = -z$, $\dot{z} = -2x$. For the focus normal form, system (11) takes form $-2x\dot{x} = x + z$, $\dot{z} = 1$, where the adjoint vector field is $\dot{x} = x + z$, $\dot{z} = -2x$.

If $V(x, y, z) = \frac{x^4}{4} + \frac{zx^2}{2} + yx$, then the impasse set of (11) is given by $\mathcal{I} = \{(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | 3x^2 + z = 0\}$ and the flow-box normal forms are given by $-(3x^2 + z)\dot{x} = 1$, $\dot{z} = 0$, where the adjoint vector field is $\dot{x} = 1$, $\dot{z} = 0$. In this case, the origin is a K-singularity.




FIGURE 2. Phase portraits of (11) for the source (left) and sink (right) cases.




FIGURE 3. Phase portraits of (11) for the saddle (left) and focus (right) cases.




FIGURE 4. Phase portraits of (11), fold case.

3. PHASE PORTRAIT ON INVARIANT ALGEBRAIC SURFACES

Let X be a smooth vector field in \mathbb{R}^3 with polynomial first integral $H(x, y, z) = f(x, y)z - g(x, y)$. Denote $M = H^{-1}(0) = \mathcal{G}_M \cup \mathcal{I}_M$ where \mathcal{G}_M is the graphic of $z = (g/f)(x, y)$ and $\mathcal{I}_M \subset M$ is the *pseudo-impasse* subset of M . The next proposition summarizes some properties of M .

Proposition 4. *Let \mathcal{Z}_f and \mathcal{Z}_g be the zero sets of f and g , respectively.*


- (a) *If $\mathcal{Z}_f \cap \mathcal{Z}_g = \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{I}_M = \emptyset$ and $M = \mathcal{G}_M$.*
- (b) *If $\mathcal{Z}_f \cap \mathcal{Z}_g$ is a set containing isolated points, then \mathcal{I}_M is a set formed by lines which are parallel to the z -axis. See figure 5.*
- (c) *If \mathcal{Z}_f and \mathcal{Z}_g coincide in an open set of \mathbb{R}^2 , then \mathcal{I}_M is a surface that is ortogonal to the xy -plane.*
- (d) *If there exist $p \neq q \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $f(p) \cdot f(q) < 0$ and $\mathcal{I}_M = \emptyset$ then M is disconnected.*
- (e) *If p is a singular point of M , then $p \in \mathcal{I}_M$.*

Proof. We can see the sets \mathcal{Z}_f and \mathcal{Z}_g as curves in \mathbb{R}^2 . This implies that \mathcal{I}_M is a set of lines such that they are parallel to the z -axis and they intercept the xy -plane at the points of intersection of the curves \mathcal{Z}_f and \mathcal{Z}_g . Then it follows that the itens (a),(b) and (c) are true. For item (d), suppose that $\mathcal{I}_M = \emptyset$, that is, $M = \mathcal{G}_M$. By hypothesis, f assumes positives and negatives values, therefore we can write $M = \mathcal{G}_M^+ \cup \mathcal{G}_M^-$, where $\mathcal{G}_M^+ = \{f(x, y) > 0, z = (g/f)(x, y)\}$ and $\mathcal{G}_M^- = \{f(x, y) < 0, z = (g/f)(x, y)\}$. The sets \mathcal{G}_M^+ and \mathcal{G}_M^- are open sets contained in M such that $\mathcal{G}_M^+ \cap \mathcal{G}_M^- = \emptyset$, thus the surface is disconnected. Now note that a point $p = (x_0, y_0, z_0) \in M$ is singular if, and only if, $\nabla H(p) = 0$. In other words, p will be a singular point of M if

$$f_x(x_0, y_0)z_0 = g_x(x_0, y_0), \quad f_y(x_0, y_0)z_0 = g_y(x_0, y_0), \quad f(x_0, y_0) = 0.$$

The last equation assures that $p \in \mathcal{I}_M$ and thus item (e) holds. \square

The set \mathcal{I}_M is not necessarily the set of all singular points of M . As we shall see, there are examples of regular surfaces such that $\mathcal{I}_M \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, the hypothesis that f assumes positive and negative values is crucial

FIGURE 5. Pseudo-impasse set \mathcal{I}_M in blue.

in Proposition 4.

In the particular case where X is a polynomial vector field we can $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = X(\mathbf{x})$ to an analytic system on a closed ball of radius one, whose interior is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 and its boundary, the 2-dimensional sphere \mathbb{S}^2 , plays the role of the infinity. This closed ball is denoted by \mathbb{D}^3 and called the *Poincaré ball*, because the technique for doing such an extension is precisely the *Poincaré compactification* for a polynomial differential system in \mathbb{R}^3 , which is described in details in [6]. Besides, we also can extend M to the infinity. In [11] the authors proved the following Lemma in order to obtain the expression of a surface at infinity.

Lemma 5. *Let $H : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a polynomial of degree m and $H(x, y, z) = 0$ be an algebraic surface. The extension of this surface to the boundary of the Poincaré ball $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ is obtained solving the system $w^m H(x/w, y/w, z/w) = 0$, $w = 0$.*

If P is a polynomial, denote the degree of P by $d(P)$. We can write P as $P(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^m P_j(x, y)$, where P_j is a homogeneous polynomial of degree j .

Proposition 6. *The extension of the surface M to infinity contains the poles $(0, 0, \pm 1)$. In particular, if $d(f) \geq d(g)$ then such extensions contain the big circle $\{z = 0\}$.*

Proof. If H is a polynomial of degree m we have three cases to consider.

- $d(g) = m$ and $d(f) < m - 1$: Lemma 5 provides $g_m(x, y) = 0$ and therefore the extension of M is the same as the extension of the surface $\{g_m(x, y) = 0, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$.
- $d(g) < m$ and $d(f) = m - 1$: Lemma 5 provides $f_{m-1}(x, y)z = 0$, and this implies that the extension of M is the union of the great circle $\{z = 0\}$ with the extensions of the surface $f_{m-1}(x, y) = 0$.
- $d(g) = m$ and $d(f) = m - 1$: Lemma 5 provides $f_{m-1}(x, y)z - g_m(x, y) = 0$.

Since $g_m(x, y)$ and $f_{m-1}(x, y)$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree m and $m-1$ respectively, the poles $(0, 0, \pm 1)$ belongs to the projection at the infinity. \square

Let $X(x, y, z) = (\alpha(x, y, z), \beta(x, y, z), \gamma(x, y, z))$, be a smooth vector field with α, β given by $\alpha(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i(x, y) z^i$, $\beta(x, y, z) = \sum_{j=0}^n \beta_j(x, y) z^j$.

Theorem 7. *If $M = \{f(x, y)z - g(x, y) = 0\}$ is an invariant algebraic surface of $X = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then there exists a constrained system defined in \mathbb{R}^2 such that:*

- (1) *The impasse curve of such system is given by $\mathcal{I} = \{(x, y) | f(x, y) = 0\}$.*
- (2) *On $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{I}$, the orbits of the constrained system are the projections of the ones on \mathcal{G}_M .*
- (3) *The projection of \mathcal{I}_M on \mathbb{R}^2 is contained in \mathcal{I} and it is a set of equilibrium points of the adjoint system.*

Proof. If $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{G}_M$ then $z = \xi(x, y) = (g/f)(x, y)$. Thus the orbits of X on \mathcal{G}_M are obtained from the solutions of

$$(12) \quad \dot{x} = \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i(x, y) \left((g/f)(x, y) \right)^i, \quad \dot{y} = \sum_{j=0}^n \beta_j(x, y) \left((g/f)(x, y) \right)^j,$$

Rewriting (12) we get the constrained system

$$(13) \quad f^m \dot{x} = \sum_{i=0}^m f^{m-i} g^i \alpha_i, \quad f^n \dot{y} = \sum_{j=0}^n f^{n-j} g^j \beta_j,$$

where $f^k(x, y) = \left(f(x, y) \right)^k$ and $g^l(x, y) = \left(g(x, y) \right)^l$.

The impasse curve of (13) is $\mathcal{I} = \{(x, y) | f(x, y) = 0\}$ and system (13) has the phase portrait of system (12) on the region $f(x, y) \neq 0$. Therefore, the orbits of X on \mathcal{G}_M are the image by ξ of the orbits of (13) on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{I}$.

The adjoint system of (13) is given by

$$(14) \quad \dot{x} = f^n \left(\sum_{i=0}^m f^{m-i} g^i \alpha_i \right), \quad \dot{y} = f^m \left(\sum_{j=0}^n f^{n-j} g^j \beta_j \right).$$

Moreover, the projection of \mathcal{I}_M on \mathbb{R}^2 is given by $\{(x, y) | f(x, y) = 0 = g(x, y)\}$, which is a set containing equilibrium points of the adjoint vector field (14). \square

Theorem 7 shows us that outside the impasse curve \mathcal{I} the orbits of system (13) are the orbits of X on \mathcal{G}_M . Thus, our objective is to describe the orbits of X by points on \mathcal{I}_M .

In the proof of Theorem 7, observe that \mathcal{I} is a curve of equilibrium points for the adjoint system (14). This leads us to start our studies with less degenerate cases. For this, we will consider a system in \mathbb{R}^3 given by

$$(15) \quad \dot{x} = \alpha(x, y), \quad \dot{y} = \sum_{j=0}^n \beta_j(x, y) z^j, \quad \dot{z} = \gamma(x, y, z),$$

which has invariant algebraic surface $M = H^{-1}(0)$, $H(x, y, z) = f(x, y)z - g(x, y)$. As in the proof of Theorem 7 we obtain the constrained system

$$(16) \quad \dot{x} = \alpha, \quad f^n \dot{y} = g^n \beta_n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f^{n-j} g^j \beta_j,$$

whose adjoint system is given by

$$(17) \quad \dot{x} = f^n \alpha, \quad \dot{y} = g^n \beta_n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f^{n-j} g^j \beta_j.$$

We already know by Theorem 7 that the projection $\Pi(\mathcal{I}_M) \subset \mathcal{I}$ is a set containing only equilibrium points of the adjoint system. These are the points that we must study to understand the flows of (15) on $\mathcal{I}_M \subset M$.

The next result classifies the impasse points by means of the functions f and g .

Proposition 8. *Let $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be an impasse point of system (16).*

- (1) *p is a R-singularity if and only if $g(p) = 0$ or $\beta_n(p) = 0$.*
- (2) *p is a K-singularity if and only if $f_y(p) = 0$.*
- (3) *p is a RK-singularity if and only if p is R-singularity and K-singularity simultaneously.*
- (4) *p is non singular if and only if p does not satisfy any of the previous conditions.*

Proof. It follows directly from Definition 1 and the expression of the adjoint system (17). \square

We say that an impasse point of system (16) p is *R-singularity of first kind* if $g(p) = 0$ and *R-singularity of second kind* if $\beta_n(p) = 0$. The set of R-singularities of first kind is exactly the projection of \mathcal{I}_M on the xy -plane. Moreover, it may appear R-singularities of first and second kind simultaneously.

Corollary 9. *Consider the adjoint system (17) and the surface $M = H^{-1}(0)$, $H(x, y, z) = f(x, y)z - g(x, y)$.*

- (1) *Let p be a singular point of M . Thus the projection of p on xy -plane is a R-singularity of first kind for the adjoint system.*
- (2) *If there exists $p \neq q \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $f(p)f(q) < 0$ and the impasse curve \mathcal{I} does not have R-singularities of first kind then M is disconnected.*

Proof. For item 1, recall that Proposition 4 assures that $p \in \mathcal{I}_M$. It follows from the last remark that the projection of p is a R-singularity of first kind.

If \mathcal{I} does not have R-singularities of first kind, then \mathcal{I}_M is empty. By Proposition 4, the item 2 is true. \square

Let r be a parallel line with respect to the z -axis and $q \in \mathcal{I}$ the point in which r intercepts the xz -plane. From Corollary 9 we know that if q is not a R or RK-singularity of first kind, then r does not intercept the surface M . On the other hand, as a consequence of Proposition 6 we have that r intersects M at infinity.

Corollary 10. *If $q \in \mathcal{I}$ is an isolated K-singularity, R-singularity of second kind or RK-singularity of second kind, then the line $r = \{(p, z) | z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ intersects M at the infinity.*

Proof. We already know by Proposition 6 that the extension of M at the infinity contains the poles $(0, 0 \pm 1)$. The corollary follows observing that the line reaches such poles at the infinity. \square

Remark. We say that $H(x, y, z)$ is a *Darboux polynomial* of X if it satisfies $(\nabla H) \cdot X = \mu H$, where $\mu = \mu(x, y, z)$ is a real polynomial called the *cofactor* of $H(x, y, z)$. The surface $H(x, y, z) = 0$ is an *invariant algebraic surface*.

Example. The polynomial $H(x, y, z) = yz - 1$ is a Darboux polynomial of $X(x, y, z) = (0, z, -z^3)$ with cofactor $\mu(x, y, z) = -z^2$. The flows on $M = H^{-1}(0)$ are described by the constrained system $\dot{x} = 0, y\dot{y} = 1$. All points on $\mathcal{I} = \{y = 0\}$ are non singular. It follows from Corollary 9 that M is disconnected. See Figure 6.

Example. The polynomial $H(x, y, z) = (x + y^2)z - 1$ is Darboux polynomial for $X(x, y, z) = (0, z, -2yz^3)$ with cofactor $\mu(x, y, z) = -2yz^2$. The flows on $M = H^{-1}(0)$ are described by the constrained system $\dot{x} = 0, (x + y^2)\dot{y} = 1$. The origin is a K-singularity. Moreover, it follows from Corollary 9 that M is disconnected. See Figure 7.

Example. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be a parameter satisfying $|\lambda| > 1$. The polynomial $H(x, y, z) = (y - x)z - 1$ is Darboux polynomial for $X(x, y, z) = (1, \lambda yz, z^2 - \lambda yz^3)$ with cofactor $\mu(x, y, z) = z - \lambda yz^2$. The flows on $M = H^{-1}(0)$ are described by the constrained system $\dot{x} = 1, (y - x)\dot{y} = \lambda y$. The origin is a hyperbolic equilibrium point for the adjoint vector field, whose eigenvalues are -1 and λ and its eigenvectors are $v_{-1} = (1, 0)$ and $v_\lambda = (1, 1 + \lambda)$. If $\lambda > 1$ the origin will be a saddle point and if $\lambda < 1$ the origin will be a node. In both cases, the origin is a R-singularity of second kind. See Figure 8.

Example. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be a parameter satisfying $\lambda > 0$. The polynomial $H(x, y, z) = (y - x)z - 1$ is Darboux polynomial for $X(x, y, z) = (\frac{1+\lambda^2}{\lambda}, -(\lambda x + y)z, (\lambda x + y)z^3)$ with cofactor $\mu(x, y, z) = (\lambda x + y)z^2$. The flows

on $M = H^{-1}(0)$ are described by the constrained system $\dot{x} = \frac{1+\lambda^2}{\lambda}$, $y\dot{y} = -(\lambda x + y)$. The origin is a R-singularity of second kind. Moreover, it is a hyperbolic focus for the adjoint vector field whose eigenvalues are $\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{-3-4\lambda^2}}{2}$. See figure 9.

Example. The polynomial $H(x, y, z) = y^2 z - (x + y)$ is a first integral for $X(x, y, z) = (\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}(yz + \frac{1}{2}), z^2)$ and the flows on $M = H^{-1}(0)$ are described by $\dot{x} = \frac{1}{4}$, $y\dot{y} = -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3y}{2} + x)$. The origin is a R-singularity of first kind for the system whose eigenvalues are $-\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{4}$. See figure 10.




FIGURE 6. Phase portrait of $X(x, yz) = (0, z, -z^3)$ (left) and surface M (right).




FIGURE 7. Phase portrait of $X(x, yz) = (0, z, -2yz^3)$ (left) and surface M (right).




FIGURE 8. Phase portrait of $X(x, y, z) = (1, \lambda yz, z^2 - \lambda yz^3)$ for $\lambda > 1$, for $\lambda < 1$ and surface M (right).




FIGURE 9. Phase portrait of $X(x, y, z) = (\frac{1+\lambda^2}{\lambda}, -(\lambda x+y)z, (\lambda x+y)z^3)$ (left) and surface M (right).




FIGURE 10. Phase portrait of $X(x, y, z) = (\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}(yz + \frac{1}{2}), z^2)$ (left) and surface M (right). The pseudo impasse set \mathcal{I}_M is denoted in blue.

If $n \geq 2$ the linearization of the adjoint vector field (17) $J\tilde{X}(x, y)$ is given by

$$(18) \quad \begin{pmatrix} f^{n-1}(nf_x\alpha + f\alpha_x) & f^{n-1}(nf_y\alpha + f\alpha_y) \\ g^{n-1}(ng_x\beta_n + g\beta_{nx}) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S_{ix} & g^{n-1}(ng_y\beta_n + g\beta_{ny}) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S_{iy} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$S_{ix} = f^{n-i-1} g^{i-1} \left(f g \beta_{ix} + ((n-i) f_x g + i f g_x) \beta_i \right),$$

and

$$S_{iy} = f^{n-i-1} g^{i-1} \left(f g \beta_{iy} + ((n-i) f_y g + i f g_y) \beta_i \right).$$

If $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a R-singularity (of first or second kind), then p will be non-hyperbolic. In particular, if p is R-singularity of first kind then $J\tilde{X}(p)$ is identically zero.

3.1. Particular case: $\beta(x, y)$ with degree 1 in the variable z . In what follows, we suppose that X is

$$(19) \quad \dot{x} = \alpha(x, y), \quad \dot{y} = \beta_1(x, y)z + \beta_0(x, y), \quad \dot{z} = \gamma(x, y, z),$$

whose flows are described by the constrained system

$$(20) \quad \dot{x} = \alpha(x, y), \quad f(x, y)\dot{y} = g(x, y)\beta_1(x, y) + f(x, y)\beta_0(x, y),$$

and its adjoint system is

$$(21) \quad \dot{x} = f(x, y)\alpha(x, y), \quad \dot{y} = g(x, y)\beta_1(x, y) + f(x, y)\beta_0(x, y).$$

The linearization $J\tilde{X}(x, y)$ of (21) is

$$(22) \quad \begin{pmatrix} f_x \alpha + f \alpha_x & f_y \alpha + f \alpha_y \\ (f \beta_{0x} + g \beta_{1x}) + (f_x \beta_0 + g_x \beta_1) & (f \beta_{0y} + g \beta_{1y}) + (f_y \beta_0 + g_y \beta_1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Another justify for our choice is that all the examples in the next section (Falkner-Skan Equation, Lorenz System and Chen System) are in the form (19). Moreover, linear vector fields can be written as (19).

It is important to observe that it may exist a function $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x, y) = \tilde{f}(x, y)\beta_1(x, y)$. In fact, this is the case for Lorenz System and Chen System. This implies that System (19) gives rise to the constrained system

$$(23) \quad \dot{x} = \alpha(x, y), \quad \tilde{f}(x, y)\dot{y} = g(x, y) + \tilde{f}(x, y)\beta_0(x, y),$$

whose adjoint vector field is

$$(24) \quad \dot{x} = \tilde{f}(x, y)\alpha(x, y), \quad \dot{y} = g(x, y) + \tilde{f}(x, y)\beta_0(x, y).$$

System (23) does not have R-singularities of second kind and \mathcal{I}_M is the union of two sets: lines that intersect the xy -plane at the points of $\{\tilde{f}(x, y) = 0 = g(x, y)\}$ and the set of lines that intersect the xy -plane at the points of $\{\beta_1(x, y) = 0 = g(x, y)\}$. System (23) describes the flow on the first set only.

In Lorenz and Chen Systems, the sets $\{\tilde{f}(x, y) = 0\}$ and $\{\beta_1(x, y) = 0\}$ are the same. Therefore, we will focus in this case.

Proposition 11. *Consider system (20) and let $r = \{(x_0, y_0, z)\} \subset \mathcal{I}_M$ be a line. Then*

- (1) *The point $q = (x_0, y_0)$ is a RK-singularity of first kind or a R-singularity of first and second kind.*
- (2) *If q is a RK-singularity of first kind, then q is a hyperbolic equilibrium point if, and only if, q is a node with one eigenvalue.*
- (3) *If q is a R-singularity of first and second kind, then q is non hyperbolic.*

Proof. Since $H(x, y, z) = f(x, y)z - g(x, y)$ defines an invariant surface for (19), then the equation $z^2 f_y \beta_1 + z(\alpha f_x + \beta_0 f_y - \beta_1 g_y) - (\alpha g_x + \beta_0 g_y) + \gamma f = \mu H$ holds for $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. In particular, this still true for points in the pseudo-impasse set \mathcal{I}_M , that is, points such that $f(x, y) = g(x, y) = 0$. Then we have $z^2 f_y \beta_1 + z(\alpha f_x + \beta_0 f_y - \beta_1 g_y) - (\alpha g_x + \beta_0 g_y) = 0$. In particular, we have a polynomial in the z variable which is identically zero. Therefore its coefficients are identically zero for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and it implies that

$$(25) \quad f_y \beta_1 = 0, \quad \alpha f_x + \beta_0 f_y - \beta_1 g_y = 0, \quad \alpha g_x + \beta_0 g_y = 0.$$

The first equation in (25) tells us that a R-singularity of first kind $q = (x_0, y_0)$ satisfies $f_y(q) = 0$ or $\beta_1(q) = 0$, and therefore q is a RK-singularity of first kind or a R-singularity of first and second kind. For the second statement, if q is RK-singularity of first kind then the linearization of (21) is $J\tilde{X}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} f_x \alpha & 0 \\ (f_x \beta_0 + g_x \beta_1) & g_y \beta_1 \end{pmatrix}$, and it implies that q is hyperbolic if, and only if, $f_x \alpha$ and $g_y \beta_1$ are non-zero. Moreover, the second equation in (25) assures that $f_x \alpha = g_y \beta_1$, and then q is a hyperbolic node for the adjoint vector field (21). Finally, for the third statement, if q is a R-singularity of first and second kind then the linearization of (21) is $J\tilde{X}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} f_x \alpha & f_y \alpha \\ f_x \beta_0 & f_y \beta_0 \end{pmatrix}$, and its eigenvalues are 0 and $f_x \alpha + f_y \beta_0$. Therefore q is non hyperbolic. \square

Remark 12. *Proposition 11 concerns systems of the form (20), and in general the statements 2 and 3 are not true for systems of the form (23). In fact, according our examples, systems like (23) can have a hyperbolic node of the adjoint system with two eigenvectors.*

Theorem 13. *Suppose that $H : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $H(x, y, z) = f(x, y)z - g(x, y)$ defines an algebraic invariant surface for (19). Assume that \mathcal{Z}_f intercepts \mathcal{Z}_g at isolated points.*

- (1) *Let $p = (x_0, y_0, z_0) \in \mathcal{I}_M$ be a point and let $r \subset \mathcal{I}_M$ be the line through p . If $X(p) \in r$ then $q = (x_0, y_0)$ is a non hyperbolic equilibrium point of the adjoint vector field (21).*
- (2) *If $p = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$ is a singular point for M then $q = (x_0, y_0)$ is a non hyperbolic equilibrium point for the adjoint vector field (21).*
- (3) *If $q = (x_0, y_0)$ is a hyperbolic RK-singularity of first kind for the adjoint system (21), then the line $r = \{(q, z), z \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathcal{I}_M$ does not contain any equilibrium point of (19).*

Proof. Since $X(p) \in r$, then $X(p) = (0, 0, \gamma(p))$. In particular, we have $\alpha(x_0, y_0) = 0$ and therefore the linearization $J\tilde{X}(x_0, y_0)$ of the adjoint system (21) at (x_0, y_0) is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (f_x\beta_0 + g_x\beta_1) & (f_y\beta_0 + g_y\beta_1) \end{pmatrix}$. The eigenvalues are 0 and $f_y\beta_0 + g_y\beta_1$, thus q is not hyperbolic. For the second statement, Corollary 9 assures that q is R-singularity of first kind and therefore q is an equilibrium point for the adjoint system (21). Since p is singular, we have the equations $f_x(x_0, y_0)z_0 = g_x(x_0, y_0)$, $f_y(x_0, y_0)z_0 = g_y(x_0, y_0)$, $f(x_0, y_0) = 0$, and therefore the linearization $J\tilde{X}(q)$ of (21) computed in q is $\begin{pmatrix} f_x\alpha & f_y\alpha \\ f_x(\beta_0 + z_0\beta_1) & f_y(\beta_0 + z_0\beta_1) \end{pmatrix}$.

The eigenvalues are 0 and $f_x\alpha + f_y(\beta_0 + \beta_1 z_0)$. It follows that q is non hyperbolic. Finally, for the third statement, since $q = (x_0, y_0)$ is a hyperbolic R-singularity of first kind then $f(q) = g(q) = f_y(q) = 0$. The linearization $J\tilde{X}(x, y)$ of (21) computed at q is $\begin{pmatrix} f_x\alpha & 0 \\ (f_x\beta_0 + g_x\beta_1) & g_y\beta_1 \end{pmatrix}$.

In particular, $\alpha(q) \neq 0$ and therefore the line $r = \{(x_0, y_0, z), z \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathcal{I}_M$ does not contain any equilibrium point of system (19). \square

Corollary 14. *Let $r \subset \mathcal{I}_M$ be an invariant line of (19). Then the projection of r on the xy -plane is a non hyperbolic equilibrium point for (21).*

Proof. Note that for all $p \in r$, $X(p) \in r$. By the first item of the Theorem (13), the Corollary is true. \square

Corollary 15. *If $q = (x_0, y_0)$ is a hyperbolic RK-singularity of first kind of the adjoint system (21) then the line $r = \{(x_0, y_0, z) | z \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathcal{I}_M$ satisfies:*

- (1) *The vector field (19) is transversal to r .*
- (2) *There are no singular point of M in r .*
- (3) *The line r does not contain any equilibrium points of system (19).*

The next results concern to the flow in a line $r \subset \mathcal{I}_M$ which its projection is a non hyperbolic point for the adjoint vector field (21).

Theorem 16. *Let $q = (x_0, y_0) \in \mathcal{I}$ be an isolated R-singularity of (21) and r be the line through q such that $r \subset \mathcal{I}_M$.*

- (1) *If $\nabla f(q)$ and $\nabla g(q)$ are linearly independent and q is of first and second kind, then r is invariant by the flows of (19). Moreover, the linearization of (21) computed at q is zero.*
- (2) *If $\nabla f(q)$ and $\nabla g(q)$ are linearly independent and q is a RK-singularity, then r is invariant by the flows of (19) if, and only if, $\alpha(q) = 0$.*
- (3) *If $\nabla f(q)$ and $\nabla g(q)$ are linearly dependent, then there is a singular point of M in r .*

Proof. Proposition 11 implies that q is a non hyperbolic point of the adjoint system (21). Since q is a R-singularity of first and second kind, then equation (25) becomes $\alpha f_x + \beta_0 f_y = 0$, $\alpha g_x + \beta_0 g_y = 0$. We can rewrite the previous

equations as $\langle (\alpha(q), \beta_0(q)), \nabla f(q) \rangle = 0$, $\langle (\alpha(q), \beta_0(q)), \nabla g(q) \rangle = 0$. Since $\nabla f(q)$ and $\nabla g(q)$ are linearly independent, it follows that $(\alpha(q), \beta_0(q)) = (0, 0)$. Therefore we have $\alpha(q) = \beta_0(q) = \beta_1(q) = 0$ and r is an invariant set for (19). Moreover, the linearization of (21) computed at q is zero. For the second statement, since q is a RK-singularity then $g_y(q) \neq 0$ and equation (25) becomes $\beta_0 = -\frac{\alpha g_x}{g_y}$, $\beta_1 = \frac{\alpha f_x}{g_y}$, and then $\alpha(q) = 0$ is a necessary and sufficient condition to assure that \mathcal{I}_M is invariant. Finally, if $\nabla f(q)$ and $\nabla g(q)$ are linearly dependent then there is $c \neq 0$ such that $\nabla g = c \nabla f$. Therefore we have $\nabla H(q, z) = ((z - c)f_x, (z - c)f_y, 0)$, and then (x_0, y_0, c) is a singular point of M . \square

Proposition 17. *Let $p = (x_0, y_0, z_0) \in \mathcal{I}_M$ be a singular point of M and let r be the line through p such that $r \subset \mathcal{I}_M$. Then $X(p) \in r$ if, and only if, the linearization of the adjoint system (21) at $q = (x_0, y_0)$ is identically zero.*

Proof. Since p is singular, we have the equations $f_x(x_0, y_0)z_0 = g_x(x_0, y_0)$, $f_y(x_0, y_0)z_0 = g_y(x_0, y_0)$, $f(x_0, y_0) = 0$, and therefore the linearization of (21) at q is $J\tilde{X}(q) = \begin{pmatrix} f_x \alpha & f_y \alpha \\ f_x(\beta_0 + z_0 \beta_1) & f_y(\beta_0 + z_0 \beta_1) \end{pmatrix}$.

Remember that we are supposing that \mathcal{I} is regular, thus $f_x(q) \neq 0$ or $f_y(q) \neq 0$. Then $J\tilde{X}(q)$ is identically null if, and only if, $\alpha(q) = 0$ and $\beta_0(q) + z_0 \beta_1(q) = 0$. \square

Corollary 18. *Let $r = \{(x_0, y_0, z) | z \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathcal{I}_M$ be a line parallel to the z -axis. If r is a singular subset of M , then r is invariant by (19) if, and only if, the linearization of (21) at $q = (x_0, y_0)$ is identically null.*

Proof. Observe that for all $p \in r$ we have $X(p) \in r$. \square

4. EXAMPLES

In this section we apply our results to four well-known equations: Falkner-Skan equation (derived from fluid dynamics); Lorenz equation (meteorological studies); Chen equation (shows chaotic behavior) and Fisher-Kolmogorov equation (related to population dynamics).

4.1. Falkner-Skan equation.

The Falkner-Skan equation was studied in [8] and it is given by $f''' + f f' + \lambda(1 - (f')^2) = 0$, where λ is a parameter. This equation describes a model in fluid dynamics and it describes a model of the steady two-dimensional flow of a slightly viscous incompressible fluid past a wedge. We can express this equation as a system of differential equations

$$(26) \quad \dot{x} = y, \quad \dot{y} = z, \quad \dot{z} = -xz - \lambda(1 - y^2).$$

In [14] the authors proved that $H(x, y, z) = 2xz + (1 - y^2)$ is the only Darboux polynomial of (26) when $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$. Observe that $\langle (H_x, H_y, H_z), X \rangle = -xH(x, y, z)$. Denote $M = \{H(x, y, z) = 0\}$. The pseudo impasse set $\mathcal{I}_M \subset$

M is given by the lines $r_{1,2} = \{(0, \pm 1, z), z \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Moreover, M is a regular surface.

Let $f(x, y) = 2x$ and $g(x, y) = y^2 - 1$. The dynamics of (26) on M are described by

$$(27) \quad \dot{x} = y, \quad 2x\dot{y} = y^2 - 1,$$

whose impasse curve is given by $\mathcal{I} = \{x = 0\}$. Its adjoint vector field is

$$(28) \quad \dot{x} = 2xy, \quad \dot{y} = y^2 - 1,$$

and the equilibrium points $p_{1,2} = (0, \pm 1)$ are RK-singularities of first kind on \mathcal{I} . Note that the points $p_{1,2}$ are the projections of the lines $r_{1,2}$. Observe that there are no equilibrium points of (28) outside \mathcal{I} , therefore there are no equilibrium points of (26) on \mathcal{G}_M when $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$.

The linearization of (28) is given by $J\tilde{X}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2y & 2x \\ 0 & 2y \end{pmatrix}$, and thus p_1 is an unstable hyperbolic node and p_2 is a stable hyperbolic node for the adjoint vector field (28). It follows from Theorem 15 that the flows of (26) with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ is transversal to \mathcal{I}_M and \mathcal{I}_M does not contain any equilibrium point of (26). See figure 11.

Moreover, note that when we project the flows of (26) with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ on the xz -plane we obtain the systems $\dot{x} = \pm\sqrt{xz+1}$, $\dot{z} = 0$, where $\dot{z} = 0$ means that the trajectories of (26) on M are contained in planes parallel to the xy -plane. Another way to verify this fact is observing that at the points of M the system (26) takes form $\dot{x} = y$, $\dot{y} = z$, $\dot{z} = 0$.




FIGURE 11. Phase portrait of (27) (left) and surface M (right). The pseudo impasse set \mathcal{I}_M is denoted in blue.

4.2. Lorenz System.

The Lorenz System is given by

$$(29) \quad \dot{x} = s(-x + y), \quad \dot{y} = rx - y - xz, \quad \dot{z} = xy - bz,$$

where $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are variables and $(s, r, b) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are parameters. This model was proposed by Lorenz in 1963 (see [16]) in order to study meteorological phenomena. When $(s, r, b) = (10, 28, \frac{8}{3})$, this system presents chaotic

behavior. In [15] the authors gave all the six invariant algebraic surfaces for the Lorenz System (29). In [3] the flows on such invariant surfaces were considered and in [11] the authors studied the global dynamics of (29).

Three out of six invariant algebraic surfaces can be written in the form $M = \{H^{-1}(0)\}$ with $H_i(x, y, z) = f_i(x, y)z - g_i(x, y)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. They are:

Case	(r, s, b)	$f_i(x, y)$	$g_i(x, y)$
(a)	$(r, 0, \frac{1}{3})$	$-\frac{4}{3}x^2$	$\frac{4}{9}y^2 + \frac{8}{9}xy - \frac{4}{3}rx^2 - x^4$
(b)	$(r, 1, 4)$	$-4x^2 - 16(1-r)$	$4rx^2 - 8xy + 4y^2 - x^4$
(c)	$(2s-1, s, 6s-2)$	$-4sx^2$	$4s^2y^2 - 4s(4s-2)xy + (4s-2)^2x^2 - x^4$

In what follows, we analyze the flows of (29) on such surfaces.

Case (a). Since $(r, s, b) = (r, 0, \frac{1}{3})$, system (29) takes form

$$(30) \quad \dot{x} = \frac{1}{3}(-x + y), \quad \dot{y} = rx - y - xz, \quad \dot{z} = xy,$$

and its flows on $M_1 = \{H_1(x, y, z) = 0\}$ is described by the constrained system

$$(31) \quad \dot{x} = \frac{1}{3}(-x + y), \quad \frac{4}{3}x\dot{y} = \frac{4}{3}x(rx - y) + \frac{4}{9}y^2 + \frac{8}{9}xy - \frac{4}{3}rx^2 - x^4,$$

whose adjoint vector field is given by

$$(32) \quad \dot{x} = \frac{4}{9}x(-x + y), \quad \dot{y} = \frac{4}{3}x(rx - y) + \frac{4}{9}y^2 + \frac{8}{9}xy - \frac{4}{3}rx^2 - x^4.$$

The origin $(0, 0) \in \mathcal{I}_1 = \{x = 0\}$ is the only equilibrium point for (32). Since $\mathcal{I}_{M_1} = \{(0, 0, z) | z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a singular subset of M_1 and the linearization of (32) computed at $(0, 0)$ is zero, it follows from Proposition 17 that \mathcal{I}_{M_1} is an invariant set of (30). This fact also can be verified observing that all points on \mathcal{I}_{M_1} are equilibrium points. Notice that outside \mathcal{I}_1 there are no equilibrium points for (32), therefore there are no equilibrium points of (30) on \mathcal{G}_{M_1} . See figure 12.




FIGURE 12. Phase portrait of (31) (left) and surface M_1 (right). The pseudo impasse set \mathcal{I}_M is denoted in blue.

Case (b). Suppose $(r, s, b) = (r, 1, 4)$. For $r < 1$ the function f_2 is always nonzero, for $r = 1$ it has only one root and for $r > 1$ it has two roots. Since

we are interested in the cases where f_2 has real roots (because it is in this case that constrained systems rise), we will study the cases where $r = 1$ and $r > 1$.

For $r = 1$, system (29) is

$$(33) \quad \dot{x} = -x + y, \quad \dot{y} = x - y - xz, \quad \dot{z} = -4z + xy,$$

and its flows on $M_2 = \{-4x^2z - (4x^2 - 8xy + 4y^2 - x^4) = 0\}$ are described by

$$(34) \quad \dot{x} = -x + y, \quad 4x\dot{y} = 4x(x - y) + (4x^2 - 8xy + 4y^2 - x^4),$$

whose adjoint system is

$$(35) \quad \dot{x} = 4x(-x + y), \quad \dot{y} = 4x(x - y) + (4x^2 - 8xy + 4y^2 - x^4).$$

The origin $(0, 0) \in \mathcal{I}_2 = \{x = 0\}$ is the only equilibrium point of (35). Since $\mathcal{I}_{M_2} = \{(0, 0, z) | z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a singular set of M_2 and the linearization of (35) computed at $(0, 0)$ is zero, it follows from Proposition 17 that \mathcal{I}_{M_2} is invariant for (33). This fact also can be checked observing that at the points on \mathcal{I}_{M_2} the vector field (33) is $(0, 0, -4z)$. See figure 13.

For $r > 1$, system (29) is

$$(36) \quad \dot{x} = -x + y, \quad \dot{y} = rx - y - xz, \quad \dot{z} = -4z + xy.$$

Denote $A(x, r) = -4x^2 - 16(1 - r)$, $M_2 = \{A(x, r)z - (4rx^2 - 8xy + 4y^2 - x^4) = 0\}$. The flow of (36) on M_2 is described by the constrained system

$$(37) \quad \dot{x} = -x + y, \quad A(x, r)\dot{y} = A(x, r)(rx - y) - x(4rx^2 - 8xy + 4y^2 - x^4),$$

whose adjoint system is

$$(38) \quad \dot{x} = A(x, r)(-x + y), \quad \dot{y} = A(x, r)(rx - y) - x(4rx^2 - 8xy + 4y^2 - x^4).$$

In this case, $\mathcal{I}_2^\pm = \{(\pm 2\sqrt{r-1}, y), y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ are impasse curves for system (37). The pseudo impasse set in M_2 are the lines

$$\mathcal{I}_{M_2}^\pm = \{(\pm 2\sqrt{r-1}, \pm 2\sqrt{r-1}, z), z \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Observe that $(\pm 2\sqrt{r-1}, \pm 2\sqrt{r-1}, r-1) \in \mathcal{I}_{M_2}^\pm$ are singular points of M_2 and equilibrium points for (36). However, all the other points on $\mathcal{I}_{M_2}^\pm$ are regular points. System (38) has three equilibrium points, and two of them are on the impasse curve. More precisely, $(\pm 2\sqrt{r-1}, \pm 2\sqrt{r-1}) \in \mathcal{I}_2^\pm$. The linearization of (38) computed in such points is identically zero. The third equilibrium point of (38) is the origin and it is a hyperbolic saddle. Therefore, there is a saddle point in \mathcal{G}_{M_2} . See figure 14.

Case (c). Since $(r, s, b) = (2s-1, s, 6s-2)$, system (29) takes form

$$(39) \quad \dot{x} = s(-x + y), \quad \dot{y} = (2s-1)x - y - xz, \quad \dot{z} = -(6s-2)z + xy,$$

Denote $g_3(x, y) = 4s^2y^2 + (4s-2)^2x^2 - 4s(4s-2)xy - x^4$. The flow of (39) on $M_3 = \{H_3(x, y, z) = 0\}$ is given by

$$(40) \quad \dot{x} = s(-x + y), \quad 4sx\dot{y} = 4sx((2s-1)x - y) + g_3(x, y),$$




FIGURE 13. Phase portrait of (34) (left) and surface M_2 for $r = 1$ (right). The pseudo impasse set \mathcal{I}_M is denoted in blue.




FIGURE 14. Phase portrait of system (37) (left) and surface M_2 for $r > 1$ (right). The pseudo impasse set \mathcal{I}_M is denoted in blue.

whose adjoint system is

$$(41) \quad \dot{x} = 4s^2x(-x + y), \quad \dot{y} = 4sx((2s - 1)x - y) + g_3(x, y).$$

The impasse curve is given by $\mathcal{I}_3 = \{x = 0\}$ and the origin $(0, 0)$ is the only equilibrium point for (41). Since $\mathcal{I}_{M_3} = \{(0, 0, z) | z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a singular set of M_3 and the linearization of (41) computed at $(0, 0)$ is zero, it follows from Proposition 17 that \mathcal{I}_{M_3} is invariant by the flows of (39). This fact also can be checked observing that on \mathcal{I}_{M_3} the system (39) is $X = (0, 0, -(6s - 2)z)$.

Observe that outside \mathcal{I}_3 system (41) has two equilibrium points given by $(\pm 2\sqrt{1 + 3s^2 - 4s}, \pm 2\sqrt{1 + 3s^2 - 4s})$. Such points are different when $s > 1$ or $s < \frac{1}{3}$ and they collide at the origin when $s = 1$ or $s = \frac{1}{3}$. Therefore there are two equilibrium points of (39) on \mathcal{G}_{M_3} when $s > 1$ or $s < \frac{1}{3}$. See figure 15.

4.3. Chen System.

Chen System is given by

$$(42) \quad \dot{x} = a(-x + y), \quad \dot{y} = (c - a)x + cy - xz, \quad \dot{z} = xy - bz,$$




FIGURE 15. Phase portrait of System (40) (left) and surface M_3 for $s = 1$ (right). The pseudo impasse set \mathcal{I}_M is denoted in blue.

where $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are variables and $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are parameters. This model was proposed for the first time in [5] and it shows a chaotic behavior for a convenient choice of a, b and c . In [17] was given six invariant algebraic surfaces for Chen System (42). In [2] the authors studied the dynamics of (42) on such surfaces and in [13] the global dynamics of (42) was considered.

Two out of six invariant algebraic surfaces studied in such references can be written in the form $M = \{H_i^{-1}(0)\}$ com $H_i(x, y, z) = f_i(x, y)z - g_i(x, y)$, $i = 4, 5$. They are:

Case	(a, b, c)	$f_i(x, y)$	$g_i(x, y)$
(d)	$(-\frac{c}{3}, 0, c)$	$\frac{4}{3}cx^2$	$\frac{16}{9}c^2x^2 + \frac{8}{9}c^2xy + \frac{4}{9}c^2y^2 - x^4$
(e)	$(-c, -4c, c)$	$4cx^2 + 48c^3$	$4c^2y^2 - 8c^2xy - 8c^2x^2 - x^4$

Observe that for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $f_5(x, y) = 4cx^2 + 48c^3$ is always non zero. This implies that the pseudo impasse set \mathcal{I}_{M_5} is empty and the flows on M_5 are described by a smooth system.

When $(a, b, c) = (-\frac{c}{3}, 0, c)$, system (42) is

$$(43) \quad \dot{x} = -\frac{c}{3}(-x + y), \quad \dot{y} = c(\frac{4}{3}x + y) - xz, \quad \dot{z} = xy,$$

and its flows on $M_4 = \{H_4(x, y, z) = 0\}$ are described by

$$(44) \quad \dot{x} = -\frac{c}{3}(-x + y), \quad \frac{4}{3}cx\dot{y} = \frac{4}{3}c^2x(\frac{4}{3}x + y) - g_5(x, y),$$

whose adjoint system is

$$(45) \quad \dot{x} = \frac{4}{9}c^2x(x - y), \quad \dot{y} = \frac{4}{3}c^2x(\frac{4}{3}x + y) - g_5(x, y).$$

The impasse curve is given by $\mathcal{I}_4 = \{x = 0\}$ and the origin $(0, 0)$ is the only equilibrium point for (45). Since $\mathcal{I}_{M_4} = \{(0, 0, z) | z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a singular set of M_4 and the linearization of (45) computed at $(0, 0)$ is zero, it follows from Proposition 17 that \mathcal{I}_{M_4} is an invariant set for the flows of (43). Observe

that outside \mathcal{I}_4 there are no equilibrium points for (45), therefore there are no equilibrium points for (43) on \mathcal{G}_{M_4} . See figure 16.




FIGURE 16. Phase portrait of system (44) (left) and surface M_4 (right). The pseudo impasse set \mathcal{I}_M is denoted in blue.

4.4. Constrained systems in higher dimensions and flows on invariant hypersurfaces. In this section we discuss how the previous problems can be extended to higher dimensions. In order to do this, we illustrate our discussion by means of an example.

The Fisher-Kolmogorov Equation was introduced in [10] and it is a model for populational dynamics. Such equation is given by $u_t = u_{xx} + u - u^3$. In [7] the authors proposed the Extended Fisher-Kolmogorov Equation (EFK-equation for short) given by $u_t = -\gamma u_{xxxx} + u_{xx} + u - u^3$, $\gamma > 0$.

Observe that for $\gamma = 0$, EFK-equation becomes the regular Fisher-Kolmogorov equation. For stationary solutions (solutions in which do not depend on time t), the EFK-equation reduces to

$$-\gamma u_{xxxx} + u_{xx} + u - u^3 = 0, \quad \gamma > 0.$$

Applying some transformations and changes of variables, we can express the stationary solutions of the EFK-equation by means of the polynomial system

$$(46) \quad \dot{x} = y, \quad \dot{y} = z, \quad \dot{z} = w, \quad \dot{w} = x - qz - x^3,$$

where $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$ is negative.

In [12] the authors proved that $H(x, y, z, w) = \frac{qy^2 - x^2 - z^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{4} + wy$ is a first integral for (46) and therefore $H^{-1}(k)$ defines an invariant algebraic hypersurface. For $k \neq 0$ and $k \neq -\frac{1}{4}$, $H^{-1}(k)$ is a smooth 3-dimensional hypersurface. Note that H is written in the form $H(x, y, z, w) = f(x, y, z)w - g(x, y, z)$, and therefore we can define the sets \mathcal{G}_M and \mathcal{I}_M , where $M = H^{-1}(k)$. Moreover, Proposition 4 is still true for n -dimensional hypersurfaces.

The authors also proved in [12] that the flows on $H^{-1}(k)$ can be described by the constrained system

$$(47) \quad \dot{x} = y, \quad \dot{y} = z, \quad 4y\dot{z} = 4k + 2(x^2 + z^2 - qy^2) - x^4,$$

whose adjoint vector field is

$$(48) \quad \dot{x} = 4y^2, \quad \dot{y} = 4yz, \quad \dot{z} = 4k + 2(x^2 + z^2 - qy^2) - x^4.$$

The ideas used to prove this fact are the same used in the proof of Theorem 7. Note that the impasse surface of (47) is the xz -plane on \mathbb{R}^3 , and the projection of the pseudo impasse set $\mathcal{I}_M = \left\{ (x, y, z, w) \mid y = 0 = \frac{qy^2 - x^2 - z^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{4} + k, w \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ is a one-dimensional curve of equilibrium points for the adjoint vector field (48).

Although Proposition 4 and Theorem 7 can be easily extended in higher dimensions, it is harder to generalize Theorem 15 and Proposition 11. In the 2-dimensional case, the projection of the pseudo impasse set is a set of equilibrium points contained in the impasse curve. In higher dimensions, if M is a n -dimensional hypersurface embedded in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , then \mathcal{I}_M and \mathcal{I} will be a $(n-1)$ -dimensional submanifold of M and \mathbb{R}^n , respectively. Moreover, the projection of \mathcal{I}_M is a $(n-2)$ -dimensional submanifold of \mathcal{I} and all their points are equilibrium points for the n -dimensional constrained system. This case require a detailed analysis.

5. UNFOLDING MINIMAL SETS IN 1-PARAMETER FAMILIES OF INVARIANT ALGEBRAIC SURFACES

In this section we consider 1-parameter families of smooth vector fields

$$(49) \quad X_\varepsilon(x, \mathbf{y}) = \left(\alpha_\varepsilon(x, \mathbf{y}), \beta_\varepsilon(x, \mathbf{y}) \right), \quad \varepsilon \downarrow 0,$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Assume that $H_\varepsilon(x, \mathbf{y})$ is a smooth first integral and denote

$$(50) \quad M_\varepsilon = H_\varepsilon^{-1}(0),$$

the corresponding invariant surface. We also assume that the vector field and the first integral vary smoothly with respect to the parameter ε .

The trajectories of (49) are the solutions of

$$(51) \quad \dot{x} = \alpha_\varepsilon(x, \mathbf{y}), \quad \dot{\mathbf{y}} = \beta_\varepsilon(x, \mathbf{y}).$$

Using singular perturbation theory and Fenichel Theorem 2 as main tools, we study the persistence of equilibrium points and periodic orbits using normal hyperbolicity.

Since H_ε is a first integral of (51), for each ε sufficiently small we have $\alpha_\varepsilon(H_\varepsilon)_x + \beta_\varepsilon(H_\varepsilon)_\mathbf{y} = 0$. Thus we can rewrite system (51) as

$$(52) \quad \dot{x} = - \left(\frac{\beta_\varepsilon(H_\varepsilon)_\mathbf{y}}{(H_\varepsilon)_x} \right), \quad \dot{\mathbf{y}} = \beta_\varepsilon(x, \mathbf{y}).$$

The smooth dependence on ε implies that $\alpha_\varepsilon \rightarrow \alpha_0$, $\beta_\varepsilon \rightarrow \beta_0$ and $H_\varepsilon \rightarrow H_0$ in the C^1 -topology.

If $\varphi_0(t) = (x_0(t), \mathbf{y}_0(t))$ is a solution of (51) satisfying that $H_0(\varphi_0(0)) = 0$, then $\varphi_0(t)$ is a solution for the differential-algebraic equation

$$(53) \quad 0 = H_0(x, \mathbf{y}), \quad \dot{\mathbf{y}} = \beta_0(x, \mathbf{y}).$$

Conversely, consider the differential-algebraic equation (53) and let $p_0 \in \mathcal{NH}(M_0)$. There is a neighborhood $V \subset \mathcal{NH}(M_0)$ of p_0 such that if $\varphi_0(t) = (x_0(t), \mathbf{y}_0(t))$, $\varphi_0(0) = p_0$ is a solution of (53) in V , then $\varphi_0(t)$ is a solution of

$$(54) \quad \dot{x} = -\left(\frac{\beta_0(H_0)\mathbf{y}}{(H_0)_x}\right), \quad \dot{\mathbf{y}} = \beta_0(x, \mathbf{y}).$$

The neighborhood V is the neighborhood in which $(H_0)_x \neq 0$. Differentiating $H_0(x, \mathbf{y}) = 0$ with respect to t , we get $0 = \dot{x}(H_0)_x + \dot{\mathbf{y}}(H_0)_y$, $\dot{\mathbf{y}} = \beta_0(x, \mathbf{y})$, as desired. We summarize these previous facts in the following Proposition.

Proposition 19. *Let $H_0 : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth first integral of system (51) with $\varepsilon = 0$ and $p_0 \in \mathcal{NH}(M_0)$ be a normally hyperbolic point. Then there exists a neighborhood $V \subset \mathcal{NH}(M_0)$ of p_0 such that $\varphi_0(t) = (x_0(t), \mathbf{y}_0(t))$, $\varphi_0(0) = p_0$, is a solution of the slow system (53) in V if, and only if, $\varphi_0(t)$ is a solution of (54)*

In other words, Proposition 19 says that φ_0 is an orbit on the normally hyperbolic part of the slow manifold if, and only if, φ_0 is an orbit of (54) on the level $H_0 = 0$.

Now consider the singularly perturbed system

$$(55) \quad \varepsilon \dot{x} = H_\varepsilon(x, \mathbf{y}), \quad \dot{\mathbf{y}} = \beta_\varepsilon(x, \mathbf{y}).$$

We remark that M_0 is the slow manifold of (55). Take $p_0 = (x_0, \mathbf{y}_0) \in \mathcal{NH}(M_0)$. Since $H_\varepsilon \rightarrow H_0$ in the C^1 -topology we have

$$H_\varepsilon(p_0) \rightarrow H_0(p_0), \quad \frac{\partial^i H_\varepsilon}{\partial x^i}(p_0) \rightarrow \frac{\partial^i H_0}{\partial x^i}(p_0), \quad \frac{\partial^i H_\varepsilon}{\partial \mathbf{y}^i}(p_0) \rightarrow \frac{\partial^i H_0}{\partial \mathbf{y}^i}(p_0).$$

Remark. Let N_ε be the family of locally invariant surface of (55) given by Fenichel's Theorem 2 converging to a compact subset $N_0 \subset \mathcal{NH}(M_0)$. Then there is a compact subset $\widetilde{M}_\varepsilon \subset \mathcal{NH}(M_\varepsilon)$ diffeomorphic to N_ε , for ε sufficiently small.

From now on we denote $\mathcal{NH}(M_\varepsilon) = \{(x, \mathbf{y}) \in M_\varepsilon : (H_\varepsilon)_x \neq 0\}$. The next statements aim to relate the dynamics of (52) on $\mathcal{NH}(M_\varepsilon)$ with the dynamics of the singular perturbation problem (55). We will always suppose that $\mathcal{NH}(M_0)$ and V is the neighborhood given by Proposition 19. The idea is to use the normal hyperbolicity and Fenichel Theorem 2 to study the persistence of equilibrium points and periodic orbits.

Proposition 20. *Let $p_0 \in N_0$ be an equilibrium point of (52) (for $\varepsilon = 0$). Then*

- (a): *For $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, there is a sequence of points $p_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{NH}(M_\varepsilon)$ which converges to p_0 and, for each ε , p_ε is an equilibrium point of (52).*
- (b): *$p_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{NH}(M_\varepsilon)$ is an equilibrium point of (52) (for $\varepsilon > 0$) if, and only if, p_ε is an equilibrium point for (55).*

Proof. Since p_0 is an equilibrium point, it follows from Fenichel's Theorem that for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, there is a sequence of points $p_\varepsilon \in N_\varepsilon$ which converges to p_0 such that p_ε is an equilibrium point of the singularly perturbed problem (55). The manifold N_ε is locally invariant and normally hyperbolic for (55).

In particular, for each ε we have $\beta_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon) = \gamma_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon) = 0$ and thus p_ε is an equilibrium point for (52). Since $H_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon) = 0$ and $p_\varepsilon \in N_\varepsilon$ we have $(H_\varepsilon)_x(p_\varepsilon) \neq 0$ for ε sufficiently small. Thus $p_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{NH}(M_\varepsilon)$ and statement (a) is true. Statement (b) follows directly. \square

For the next result, we will suppose that (55) is a singularly perturbed system of the form

$$(56) \quad \varepsilon \dot{x} = H_\varepsilon(x, \mathbf{y}), \quad \dot{\mathbf{y}} = \beta_\varepsilon(\mathbf{y}),$$

that is, we require that β_ε does not depend on x . This assumption is not a simple convenience. In fact, since (55) is well defined in the normally hyperbolic part of the slow manifold, by the Implicit Function Theorem there is a function Φ_ε such that $\Phi_\varepsilon(\mathbf{y}) = x$.

We also suppose that (52) is a system of the form

$$(57) \quad \dot{x} = - \left(\frac{\beta_\varepsilon(H_\varepsilon)\mathbf{y}}{(H_\varepsilon)_x} \right), \quad \dot{\mathbf{y}} = \beta_\varepsilon(\mathbf{y}).$$

Proposition 21. *Let $\{\varphi_0(t)\} \subset V$ be a periodic orbit of (57) (for $\varepsilon = 0$). Then*

- (a): *For $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, there is a sequence of orbits $\{\bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon(t)\} \subset \mathcal{NH}(M_\varepsilon)$ which converges to $\{\varphi_0(t)\}$, according Hausdorff distance, such that for each ε , $\{\bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon(t)\}$ is a periodic orbit of (57).*
- (b): *If $\{\psi_\varepsilon(t)\} \subset \mathcal{NH}(M_\varepsilon)$ is a periodic orbit of (57) for $\varepsilon > 0$, then $\{\psi_\varepsilon(t)\}$ is a periodic orbit of (56).*

Proof. Let $\varphi_0(t) = (x_0(t), \mathbf{y}_0(t))$ be a periodic orbit of (57) (for $\varepsilon = 0$) in V . Thus $\varphi_0(t)$ is a periodic orbit for (56), for $\varepsilon = 0$, by Proposition 19. Note that $\{\varphi_0(t)\}$ is compact, then it follows from Fenichel's Theorem that for ε sufficiently small, there is a sequence of periodic orbits $\varphi_\varepsilon(t) = (x_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{y}_\varepsilon(t))$ for (56) which converges to φ_0 and such that $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\} \subset N_\varepsilon$.

On $\mathcal{NH}(M_\varepsilon)$, we have $(H_\varepsilon)_x \neq 0$. By the Implicit Function Theorem, there is a function Φ_ε such that we can write $\mathcal{NH}(M_\varepsilon)$ as the graphic of Φ_ε .

Define $\bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon(t) = (\Phi_\varepsilon \circ \mathbf{y}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{y}_\varepsilon(t))$. Since φ_ε is periodic, $\mathbf{y}_\varepsilon(t)$ is periodic and then $\bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon(t)$ is periodic. Moreover, $\bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{NH}(M_\varepsilon)$ because $\bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon$ is contained in the graphic of Φ_ε . We also have that $\bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon(t)$ is solution of (57) because $\dot{\mathbf{y}} = \beta_\varepsilon(\bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon(t))$ and $H_\varepsilon \circ \bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon(t) = 0$ implies $\dot{x} = -\frac{\beta_\varepsilon(H_\varepsilon)_y + \gamma_\varepsilon(H_\varepsilon)_z}{(H_\varepsilon)_x}$.

Finally, we have that $\{\bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon\}$ converges to $\{\varphi_0\}$ because Φ_ε converges to Φ_0 and we can write $\varphi_0(t) = (\Phi_0(\mathbf{y}_0(t)), \mathbf{y}_0(t))$. For item (b), observe that $\dot{\mathbf{y}} = \beta_\varepsilon(\psi_\varepsilon(t))$ and $H_\varepsilon \circ \psi_\varepsilon(t) = 0$, and then $\{\psi_\varepsilon(t)\}$ is periodic of (56). This completes the proof. \square

Example. Consider $H(x, y, z, \varepsilon) = x - \left(\frac{y^2 + z^2}{2}\right) - \varepsilon h(x, y)$, $\beta(y, z, \varepsilon) = -z + y(1 - y^2 - z^2) + \varepsilon$, $\gamma(y, z, \varepsilon) = y + z(1 - y^2 - z^2) + \varepsilon$, where $h : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth. Then H_ε is a first integral of

$$(58) \quad \dot{x} = \beta \cdot (y + \varepsilon h_y) + \gamma \cdot (z + \varepsilon h_z), \quad \dot{y} = \beta, \quad \dot{z} = \gamma.$$

For $\varepsilon = 0$, by Proposition 19 the flow on M_0 is given by the algebraic differential equation $0 = x - \left(\frac{y^2 + z^2}{2}\right)$, $\dot{y} = -z + y(1 - y^2 - z^2)$, $\dot{z} = y + z(1 - y^2 - z^2)$.

Note that there is a stable limit cycle on M_0 and the origin is an equilibrium point. It follows from Propositions 20 and 21 that for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such compact orbits persist for system (58). This fact also can be checked noticing that the system $\dot{y} = -z + y(1 - y^2 - z^2)$, $\dot{z} = y + z(1 - y^2 - z^2)$ is structurally stable.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Paulo R. da Silva is partially supported by CAPES and FAPESP. Otávio H. Perez is partially supported by FAPESP. .

REFERENCES

- [1] Broer, H.W., Kaper, T.J. and Krupa, M. Geometric desingularization of a cusp singularity in slow-fast systems with applications to Zeeman's examples. *J. Dyn. Diff. Equat.* **25** (2013), 925-958.
- [2] Cao, K., C. Chen, C. and Zhang, X. The Chen system having and algebraic surface. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* **18** (2008), 3753-3758.
- [3] Cao, J. and Zhang, X. Dynamics of the Lorenz system having an invariant algebraic surface. *J. of Math. Physics* **48** (2007), 1-13.
- [4] Cardin, P.T., Silva, P.R. and Teixeira, M.A. Implicit differential equations with impasse singularities and singular perturbation problems. *Isr. J. Math.* **189** (2012), 189-307.
- [5] Chen, G. and T. Ueta, T. Yet another chaotic attractor. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* **9** (1999), 1465-1466.
- [6] Cima, A. and Llibre, J. Bounded polynomial vector fields. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **318** (1990), 557-579.
- [7] Dee, G.T. and Saarloos, W. Bistable systems with propagating fronts leading to patternformation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60** (1988), 2641-2644.

- [8] Falkner, G. and Skan, S.W. Solutions of the boundary layer equations. *Phil. Magazine* **12** (1931), 865-896.
- [9] Fenichel, N. Geometric singular perturbation theory for ordinary differential equations. *J. Differ. Equ.* **31** (1979), 53 - 98.
- [10] Fischer, R.A. The Wave of Advance of Advantageous Genes. *Annals of Eugenics* **7-4**, (1937), 353-369.
- [11] Llibre, J., Messias, M. and Silva, P.R. Global dynamics of the Lorenz system with invariant algebraic surfaces. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* **20-10** (2010), 3137-3155.
- [12] Llibre, J., Messias, M. and Silva, P.R. Global dynamics of stationary solutions of the extended Fisher-Kolmogorov equation. *J. of Math. Physics* **52** (2011), 112701.
- [13] Llibre, J., Messias, M. and Silva, P.R. Global dynamics in the Poincaré ball of the Chen system having invariant algebraic surfaces. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos*, **22** (2012), 1250154.
- [14] Llibre, J. and Valls, C. On the Darboux integrability of Blasius and Falkner-Skan equation. *Computers and Fluids*, **86** (2013), 71-76.
- [15] Llibre, J. and Zhang, X. Invariant algebraic surfaces of the Lorenz System. *J. of Math. Physics*, **43** (2002), 1622-1645.
- [16] Lorenz, E.N. Deterministic nonperiodic flow. *J. of the Atmospheric Sciences*, **20** (1963), 130-141.
- [17] Lu, T. and Zhang, X. Darboux polynomials and algebraic integrability of the Chen system. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos*, **17-8** (2007), 2739-2748.
- [18] Smale, S. On the mathematical foundation of electrical networks. *J. of Diff. Geometry* **7** (1972), 193-210.
- [19] Sotomayor, J. and Zhitomirskii, M. Impasse singularities of differential systems of the form $A(x)x' = F(x)$. *J. of Diff. Equations* **169** (2001), 567-587.
- [20] Takens, F. Constrained equations: a study of implicit differential equations and their discontinuous solutions. Structural Stability, the Theory of Catastrophes, and Applications in the Sciences. *Lecture Notes in Math.* **525** (1976), Springer-Verlag, 134-234.
- [21] Zhitomirskii, M. Local normal forms for constrained systems on 2-manifolds. *B. da Soc. Bras. de Matematica* **24** (1993), 211-232.

SÃO PAULO STATE UNIVERSITY (UNESP), INSTITUTE OF BIOSCIENCES, HUMANITIES AND EXACT SCIENCES. RUA C. COLOMBO, 2265, CEP 15054-000. S. J. RIO PRETO, SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL.

E-mail address: paulo.r.silva@unesp.br

E-mail address: otavioperez@hotmail.com