

# Systematic construction of non-autonomous Hamiltonian equations of Painlevé-type. I. Frobenius integrability

Maciej Błaszak

Faculty of Physics, Department of Mathematical Physics and Computer Modelling,  
A. Mickiewicz University  
Uniwersytetu Poznańskiego 2, 61-614 Poznań, Poland  
`blaszakm@amu.edu.pl`

Krzysztof Marciniak

Department of Science and Technology  
Campus Norrköping, Linköping University  
601-74 Norrköping, Sweden  
`krzma@itn.liu.se`

Ziemowit Domański

Institute of Mathematics, Poznań University of Technology  
Piotrowo 3A, 60-965 Poznań, Poland  
`ziemowit.domanski@put.poznan.pl`

December 14, 2021

## Abstract

This article is the first one in a suite of three articles exploring connections between dynamical systems of Stäckel-type and of Painlevé-type. In this article we present a deformation of autonomous Stäckel-type systems to non-autonomous Frobenius integrable systems. First, we consider quasi-Stäckel systems with quadratic in momenta Hamiltonians containing separable potentials with time dependent coefficients and then we present a procedure of deforming these equations to non-autonomous Frobenius integrable systems. Then, we present a procedure of deforming quasi-Stäckel systems with so called magnetic separable potentials to non-autonomous Frobenius integrable systems. We also provide a complete list of all 2- and 3-dimensional Frobenius integrable systems, both with ordinary and with magnetic potentials, that originate in our construction. Further, we prove the equivalence between both classes of systems. Finally we show how Painlevé equations  $P_I - P_{IV}$  can be derived from our scheme.

Keywords: Painlevé equations; Stäckel systems; Frobenius integrability; non-autonomous Hamiltonian equations

2020 MSC Subject Classification: 37J35, 14H70, 70H20

## 1 Introduction

Among all second order nonlinear ordinary differential equations (ODE's) there are two distinguished classes, playing important roles in modern mathematics and physics. The first class is represented by nonlinear equations of Stäckel-type, with an autonomous Hamiltonian representation (see [11] and references therein). The second class is represented by nonlinear ordinary differential equations of Painlevé-type, with a non-autonomous Hamiltonian representation (see [19] and references therein). In both cases Hamiltonian functions are quadratic in momenta. This paper is a first paper in a suite of three papers exploring the so far unknown — to the best knowledge of the authors — connections between both types of systems.

Let us briefly characterize the systems under consideration. On a  $2n$ -dimensional Poisson manifold  $(\mathcal{M}, \pi)$ , where  $\pi$  is a non-degenerated Poisson bi-vector (so that  $M$  is in fact a symplectic manifold with the associated Poisson bivector  $\pi$ ), consider a set of  $n$  autonomous evolution equations (autonomous dynamical systems) of the form

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt_r} = X_r(\xi) \equiv \pi dh_r(\xi), \quad r = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$  denotes points on  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $h_r$  are Hamiltonian functions (smooth real-valued functions on  $\mathcal{M}$ ) and  $X_r = \pi dh_r$  are the related Hamiltonian vector fields on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The set of  $n$  equations (1.1) constitutes an autonomous *Stäckel-type system* if the following two conditions are satisfied:

1. All  $n$  Hamiltonian functions Poisson-commute

$$\{h_r, h_s\} := \pi(dh_r, dh_s) = 0, \quad r, s = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1.2)$$

so the system is Liouville integrable. In consequence all the vector fields  $X_r$  commute as well

$$[X_r, X_s] = 0, \quad r, s = 1, \dots, n$$

and hence the system (1.1) has a common, unique (at least local) solution  $\xi(t_1, \dots, t_n, \xi_0)$  through each point  $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ , depending in general on all the evolution parameters  $t_s$ .

2. The autonomous equations (1.1) are represented by (i.e. are differential consequences of) the Lax equations

$$\frac{d}{dt_k} L(x; \xi) = [U_k(x; \xi), L(x; \xi)], \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$\frac{d}{dt_k} = \{\cdot, h_k\}$$

is the evolutionary derivative along the flow  $k$  in (1.1) and with  $L(x; \xi)$  and  $U_k(x; \xi)$  being matrices belonging to some Lie algebra, depending rationally on the parameter  $x$  called a spectral parameter.

Equations (1.3) are called the isospectral deformation equations, as the eigenvalues of the matrix  $L$  are independent of all times  $t_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . The Lax representation (1.3) allows to find an explicit form of transformation to the so called *separation coordinates* and in consequence to solve all the evolution equations (1.1) in quadratures (see [1, 15, 31] and references therein). One can also invert the whole procedure and start the construction of Stäckel-type system from particular separation relations, as it is done in this paper (see Section 2 as well as [10–12]).

Now, on the same symplectic/Poisson manifold  $(\mathcal{M}, \pi)$ , consider a set of  $n$  non-autonomous evolution equations (non-autonomous dynamical systems) of the form

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt_r} = Y_r(\xi, t) = \pi dH_r(\xi, t), \quad r = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ . The set of  $n$  equations (1.4) constitutes a non-autonomous *Painlevé-type system* if the following two conditions are satisfied:

1. Hamiltonian functions  $H_r$  fulfill the Frobenius integrability condition

$$\frac{\partial H_r}{\partial t_s} - \frac{\partial H_s}{\partial t_r} + \{H_r, H_s\} = f_{rs}(t_1, \dots, t_n), \quad r, s = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1.5)$$

(cf. (1.2)) where  $f_{rs}$  are some functions not depending on the phase-space variables  $\xi$ , only on the parameters  $t_j$  (note that in general the left hand side could also depend on  $\xi$ ). By an appropriate shifting  $H_r \rightarrow H_r + c_r(t)$  we can always make all the functions  $f_{rs}$  vanish. Due to (1.5) the non-autonomous Hamiltonian vector fields  $Y_k(\xi, t)$  satisfy

$$\frac{\partial Y_r}{\partial t_s} - \frac{\partial Y_s}{\partial t_r} + [Y_s, Y_r] = 0, \quad r, s = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1.6)$$

as  $\pi d\{H_r, H_s\} = -[Y_r, Y_s]$ . Therefore, the set of non-autonomous evolution equations (1.4) has again common (at least local) solutions  $\xi(t_1, \dots, t_n, \xi_0)$  through each point  $\xi_0$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ . For the notion of Frobenius integrability, see for example [16, 19, 25].

2. The non-autonomous Hamiltonian equations (1.4) are represented by (i.e. are differential consequences of) the isomonodromic Lax representation

$$\frac{d}{dt_k} L(x; \xi, t) = [U_k(x; \xi, t), L(x; \xi, t)] + \frac{\partial U_k(x; \xi, t)}{\partial x}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n \quad (1.7)$$

where matrices  $L$  and  $U_k$  have rational singularities in  $x$ , and where now

$$\frac{d}{dt_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} + \{\cdot, h_k\}$$

is the evolutionary derivative along the flow  $k$ .

Note that the isomonodromic Lax representation (1.7) is only a necessary condition for the Painlevé property [14]; this is why the system (1.4) with the property (1.5) and the representation (1.7) is called Painlevé-type.

Nowadays we have a thorough knowledge of separable Stäckel-type systems (1.1). We know for example how to construct them from appropriate separation relations, together with their Lax representations for arbitrary  $n$  (see [10, 11] and references therein). Also, a significant progress in constructing new multi-component Painlevé-type equations took place since the modern theory of nonlinear integrable PDE's has been born (the so-called *soliton theory*), starting from the seminal papers [20–22]. It was found that Painlevé equations are strongly connected with the soliton systems and they share many of their properties (see [13, 17, 23, 24, 30, 32] and references therein). In particular, Painlevé equations were constructed under various similarity reductions of soliton PDE's hierarchies but mostly only a single equation from the family (1.4), coming from  $H_1$ , was derived. However, there is virtually no papers/no results on *connections/relations* between these two types of systems.

This paper is the first in a suite of papers that exploit relations between both type of systems. The main objective of this paper is investigations of systems belonging to first of the above definitions, i.e. a systematic construction of non-autonomous Frobenius integrable systems by appropriate deformations of Stäckel-type systems. This method has been introduced in [8] (see also [9] for a simple illustration of this method). Their Lax representations will be derived in the next paper of the suite.

This paper is based on some previous results which we briefly mention here. In [27] we introduced and investigated the so called geodesic quasi-Stäckel Hamiltonians. These Hamiltonians constitute a non-commuting finite-dimensional Lie algebra with respect to the Poisson bracket and thus evolution equations they generate are not Frobenius integrable. Then, in [8] we proved how to deform this algebra to a set of non-autonomous Hamiltonians such that related systems are integrable in the Frobenius sense and such that both sets of associated Hamiltonian vector fields, these before the deformation and these after the deformation, span the same distribution on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly remind the definition and main properties of Liouville integrable systems of Stäckel-type. In Section 3 we describe, following the results in [8, 27], the construction of autonomous geodesic quasi-Stäckel systems as well as their non-autonomous deformations preserving Frobenius integrability. Sections from 4 and onwards contain almost solely new results. In Section 4 we introduce quasi-Stäckel systems with admissible separable potentials and present a procedure of their deformation into non-autonomous Frobenius integrable systems. In Section 5 we present a classification of two- and three-dimensional Frobenius integrable non-autonomous Hamiltonian ODE's with potentials obtained in this way. In Section 6 we introduce quasi-Stäckel systems with admissible separable potentials that we call *magnetic* potentials (for the reasons explained in Section 6) and present a procedure of their deformation into non-autonomous Frobenius integrable equations. In Section 7 we present a classification of two- and three-dimensional Frobenius integrable non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems with magnetic potentials. In Section 8 we construct a multitime-dependent canonical transformation between non-autonomous systems with magnetic potentials and those with ordinary potentials. The final section of this paper is devoted to constructing Painlevé  $P_I - P_{IV}$  equations in the framework of the presented formalism.

## 2 Geodesic Stäckel systems

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider a  $2n$ -dimensional Poisson manifold  $(\mathcal{M}, \pi)$  with a non-degenerate Poisson bivector  $\pi$  (so that  $\mathcal{M}$  is in fact a symplectic manifold with the associated Poisson bivector  $\pi$ ) and a particular set  $(\lambda, \mu) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$  of *almost global* Darboux (canonical) coordinates on  $\mathcal{M}$  (we assume that they exist), so that  $\{\mu_i, \lambda_j\}_\pi = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\}_\pi = \{\mu_i, \mu_j\}_\pi = 0$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . By *almost global* we mean defined everywhere except on a zero-measure set given by some polynomial equations (zeros of functions  $\Delta_i$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ , defined below).

Now, for a fixed  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , consider the following algebraic separation curve in the  $(x, y)$ -plane

$$\sum_{r=1}^n E_r x^{n-r} = \frac{1}{2} x^m y^2. \quad (2.1)$$

By taking  $n$  copies of (2.1) at points  $(x, y) = (\lambda_i, \mu_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we obtain a system of  $n$  linear equations (separation relations) for  $E_r$

$$\sum_{r=1}^n E_r \lambda_i^{n-r} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^m \mu_i^2, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.2)$$

Solving (2.2) with respect to  $E_r$  requires inverting the Stäckel matrix  $S_{ir} = \lambda_i^{n-r}$  that in this case has a form of the Vandermonde matrix.

**Lemma 1** *If  $S$  is the  $n \times n$  Vandermonde matrix given by  $S_{ij} = \lambda_i^{n-j}$  then*

$$[S^{-1}]_{ij} = -\frac{1}{\Delta_j} \frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial \lambda_j}$$

where

$$\rho_i = (-1)^i s_i(\lambda), \quad \Delta_j = \prod_{k \neq j} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)$$

and where  $s_r(\lambda)$  are elementary symmetric polynomials.

This lemma can be proved by direct calculation. By the above lemma, solving (2.1) with respect to  $E_r$  yields  $n$  functions (Hamiltonians)  $E_r$  on  $(\mathcal{M}, \pi)$

$$E_r = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho_r}{\partial \lambda_i} \frac{\lambda_i^m \mu_i^2}{\Delta_i} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mu^T K_r G \mu, \quad r = 1, \dots, n \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$G = \text{diag} \left( \frac{\lambda_1^m}{\Delta_1}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n^m}{\Delta_n} \right)$$

and

$$K_r = -\text{diag} \left( \frac{\partial \rho_r}{\partial \lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \rho_r}{\partial \lambda_n} \right), \quad r = 1, \dots, n.$$

The Hamiltonians  $E_r$  depend on  $m$  through the  $m$ -dependent matrix  $G$  that can be interpreted as a contravariant metric tensor on an  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $Q$  such that  $\mathcal{M} = T^*Q$  (which means that  $g = G^{-1}$  is considered as a usual, covariant metric on  $\mathcal{M}$ ). It can be shown that the metric  $g = G^{-1}$  is flat for  $m = 0, \dots, n$  and of constant curvature for  $m = n + 1$ . Matrices  $K_r$  — note that they do not depend on  $m$  — can be shown to be  $(1, 1)$ -Killing tensors for the metric  $G$  for arbitrary  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The first Hamiltonian  $E_1$  can then be interpreted as the Hamiltonian of a free particle in the pseudo-Riemannian configuration space  $(Q, g = G^{-1})$  [5, 6, 11]. Note that since  $G$  is a  $(2, 0)$ -tensor and  $K_r$  is a  $(1, 1)$ -tensor on  $Q$  then  $K_r G$  is again a  $(2, 0)$ -tensor on  $Q$  while  $\mu^T K_r G \mu$  is a quadratic form on  $\mathcal{M} = T^*Q$  i.e. a function on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

By their very construction from separation relations, the Hamiltonians  $E_r$  (for any fixed  $m$ ) Poisson-commute for all  $r, s = 1, \dots, n$

$$\{E_r, E_s\}_\pi = \pi(dE_r, dE_s) = 0$$

(see for example [11], p. 140), so that  $[X_r, X_s] = 0$  where  $X_r$  denote  $n$  related Hamiltonian vector fields  $X_r = \pi dE_r$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus, for each fixed choice of  $m$ , the  $n$  Hamiltonians  $E_r$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, n$ , constitute a geodesic Stäckel system from the so called Benenti class [2, 3, 5]. The Darboux coordinates  $(\lambda, \mu)$  are separation coordinates for  $E_r$ .

### 3 Frobenius integrable deformations of geodesic quasi-Stäckel systems

In this section we briefly remind, following [27] and [8], the construction of autonomous geodesic quasi-Stäckel systems and their non-autonomous Frobenius integrable deformations.

Fix  $m \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$  and consider the following system of quasi-separation relations (cf. also [29] and compare with [28]) on  $\mathcal{M}$

$$\sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_i^{n-r} \mathcal{E}_r = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^m \mu_i^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n v_{ik}(\lambda) \mu_k, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $v_{ik}$  are some, unspecified so far, functions of all  $\lambda_i$ . Solving (3.1) with respect to  $\mathcal{E}_r$  yields, for each choice of  $m \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$ ,  $n$  Hamiltonians on  $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$\mathcal{E}_r = E_r + W_r = \frac{1}{2} \mu^T G K_r \mu + \mu^T J_r, \quad r = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3.2)$$

with  $E_r$  exactly as in (2.3). The additional terms  $W_r = \mu^T J_r = \sum_{i=1}^n J_r^i \mu_i$  (we will refer to them as *quasi-Stäckel terms*) are nonseparable linear in momenta functions on  $\mathcal{M} = T^*Q$  induced by the vector fields  $J_r = J_r^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i}$  on  $Q$ .

Let us impose two conditions on Hamiltonians (3.2):

1.  $W_1 = 0$  and  $\{E_1, W_r\} = 0$ ,  $r = 2, \dots, n$ , which means that the vector fields  $J_r$  are Killing vector fields for  $G$ .
2. The Hamiltonians  $\mathcal{E}_r$  constitute a Lie algebra with respect to Poisson bracket.

The first condition is met only for  $m \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$ , as then the metric tensor  $G$  has a sufficient number of Killing vectors. Further, both conditions are met if (a sufficient condition) the functions  $v_{ik}$  are chosen as

$$\sum_{k=1}^n v_{ik}(\lambda) \mu_k = \begin{cases} -\sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\mu_i - \mu_k}{\lambda_i - \lambda_k}, & \text{for } m = 0, \\ -\lambda_i^{m-1} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\lambda_i \mu_i - \lambda_k \mu_k}{\lambda_i - \lambda_k} + (m-1) \lambda_i^{m-1} \mu_i, & \text{for } m = 1, \dots, n, \\ -\lambda_i^{n-1} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\lambda_i^2 \mu_i - \lambda_k^2 \mu_k}{\lambda_i - \lambda_k} + (n-1) \lambda_i^n \mu_i, & \text{for } m = n+1. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

The formulas (3.3) constitute a definition of the set of functions  $v_{ik}$  for various  $m$  (thus  $v_{ik}$  depend on  $m$ ). With this choice of  $v_{ik}$  the components of Killing vector fields  $J_r$  are given explicitly by [27]

$$J_r^i = -\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} k \rho_{r-k-1} \frac{\lambda_i^{m+k-1}}{\Delta_i}, \quad r \in I_1^m \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$J_r^i = -\sum_{k=1}^{n-r+1} k \rho_{r+k-1} \frac{\lambda_i^{m-k-1}}{\Delta_i}, \quad r \in I_2^m, \quad (3.5)$$

where for each  $m \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$  the index sets  $I_1^m$  and  $I_2^m$  are defined as follows:

$$I_1^m = \{2, \dots, n-m+1\}, \quad I_2^m = \{n-m+2, \dots, n\}, \quad m = 0, \dots, n+1. \quad (3.6)$$

with the following degenerations for  $m = 0$  and for  $m = n+1$ :

$$I_1^0 = I_1^1 = \{2, \dots, n\}, \quad I_2^0 = I_2^1 = \emptyset, \quad I_1^{n+1} = I_1^n = \emptyset, \quad I_2^{n+1} = I_2^n = \{2, \dots, n\}.$$

With this choice of  $v_{ik}$  the Hamiltonians  $\mathcal{E}_r$  in (3.2) span a ( $m$ -dependent) Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{span}\{\mathcal{E}_r \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) : r = 1, \dots, n\}$  with the following commutation relations [27]:

$$\{\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_r\} = 0, \quad r = 2, \dots, n,$$

and

$$\{\mathcal{E}_r, \mathcal{E}_s\} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } r \in I_1^m \text{ and } s \in I_2^m, \\ (s-r)\mathcal{E}_{r+s-(n-m+2)}, & \text{for } r, s \in I_1^m, \\ -(s-r)\mathcal{E}_{r+s-(n-m+2)}, & \text{for } r, s \in I_2^m, \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Throughout the whole article we use the convention that  $\mathcal{E}_r = 0$  as soon as  $r \leq 0$  or  $r > n$ . The algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  has an Abelian subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{a} = \text{span}\{\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_{\kappa_1}, \mathcal{E}_{n-\kappa_2+1}, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n\} \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\kappa_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{n+3-m}{2} \right\rfloor, \quad \kappa_2 = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

(so that  $\mathfrak{a}$  depends on  $m$  as well). Note that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}$  precisely when  $\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 = n$  as  $\dim \mathfrak{a} = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$ .

In what follows we will often work in the so called Viète canonical coordinates

$$q_i = \rho_i(\lambda), \quad p_i = -\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\lambda_k^{n-i} \mu_k}{\Delta_k}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (3.9)$$

in which all functions  $\mathcal{E}_r(q, p)$  are polynomial functions of their arguments. Explicitly

$$G^{ij} = \begin{cases} q_{i+j+m-n-1}, & i, j = 1, \dots, n-m \\ -q_{i+j+m-n-1}, & i, j = n-m+1, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad m = 0, \dots, n$$

$$G^{ij} = q_i q_j - q_{i+j}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \quad m = n+1$$

and

$$(K_r)_j^i = \begin{cases} q_{i-j+r-1}, & i \leq j \text{ and } r \leq j \\ -q_{i-j+r-1}, & i > j \text{ and } r > j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where we set  $q_0 = 1$ ,  $q_k = 0$  for  $k < 0$  or  $k > n$ . Moreover, the linear in momenta terms in (3.2) attain in Viète coordinates the form

$$W_r = \sum_{k=n-m-r+2}^{n-m} (n+1-m-k) q_{m+r-n-2+k} p_k, \quad r \in I_1^m$$

$$W_r = -\sum_{k=n-m+2}^{2n-m+2-r} (n+1-m-k) q_{m+r-n-2+k} p_k, \quad r \in I_2^m. \quad (3.10)$$

As the Hamiltonians  $\mathcal{E}_r$  in (3.2) do not commute, they do not constitute a Liouville integrable system. In particular, there is no reason to expect that they will possess a common, multi-time solution for a

given initial data  $\xi_0$ . However, in [8] we found polynomial-in-times deformations  $H_r(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  of the Hamiltonians  $\mathcal{E}_r$  such that the Hamiltonians  $H_r$  satisfy the Frobenius integrability condition

$$\frac{\partial H_r}{\partial t_s} - \frac{\partial H_s}{\partial t_r} + \{H_r, H_s\} = 0, \quad r, s = 1, \dots, n \quad (3.11)$$

(cf. (1.5)). More specifically, the deformed Hamiltonians  $H_r$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_r = \mathcal{E}_r - \sum_{j_1=1}^n (\text{ad}_{\mathcal{E}_{j_1}} \mathcal{E}_r) t_{j_1} + \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=j_1}^n \alpha_{rj_1j_2} (\text{ad}_{\mathcal{E}_{j_2}} \text{ad}_{\mathcal{E}_{j_1}} \mathcal{E}_r) t_{j_1} t_{j_2} \\ + \sum_{j_1=1}^n \sum_{j_2=j_1}^n \sum_{j_3=j_2}^n \alpha_{rj_1j_2j_3} (\text{ad}_{\mathcal{E}_{j_3}} \text{ad}_{\mathcal{E}_{j_2}} \text{ad}_{\mathcal{E}_{j_1}} \mathcal{E}_r) t_{j_1} t_{j_2} t_{j_3} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where  $\text{ad}_{\mathcal{E}_i} \mathcal{E}_j = \{\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j\}$  and where the real constants  $\alpha_{rj_1 \dots j_k}$  can be uniquely determined from the Frobenius integrability condition (3.11). Due to the structure of (3.7) the expressions on the right hand side of (3.12) terminate. From (3.7) and (3.8) it follows that for  $r \in \{1\} \cup I_1^m$

$$\begin{aligned} H_r = \mathcal{E}_r, \quad \text{for } r = 1, \dots, \kappa_1, \\ H_r = \sum_{j=1}^r \zeta_{r,j}(t_1, \dots, t_{r-1}) \mathcal{E}_j, \quad \zeta_{r,r} = 1, \quad \text{for } r = \kappa_1 + 1, \dots, n - m + 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

and for  $r \in I_2^m$

$$\begin{aligned} H_r = \sum_{j=0}^{n-r} \zeta_{r,r+j}(t_{r+1}, \dots, t_n) \mathcal{E}_{r+j}, \quad \zeta_{r,r} = 1, \quad \text{for } r = n - m + 2, \dots, n - \kappa_2, \\ H_r = \mathcal{E}_r, \quad \text{for } r = n - \kappa_2 + 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where  $\zeta_j$  are polynomial functions that can be determined from Frobenius conditions (3.11). For details of this construction, we refer the reader to [8], especially formula (9), Theorem 2 (formula (19)), Corollary 1 (formula (21)) and pages 260-261 in this reference.

The functions  $H_r$  define  $n$  non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems on  $\mathcal{M}$

$$\xi_{t_r} = Y_r(\xi, t) = \pi dH_r(\xi, t), \quad r = 1, \dots, n \quad (3.15)$$

which by (3.11) are integrable in the Frobenius sense. It means that the systems (3.15) have a unique (local) common multi-time solution  $\xi = \xi(t_1, \dots, t_n, \xi_0)$  for any initial condition  $\xi_0$  [16, 25]. From (3.11) it follows that vector fields  $Y_r$  satisfy the Frobenius condition (1.6).

**Remark 1** Due to (3.12) the set of  $n$  autonomous vector fields  $X_r = \pi d\mathcal{E}_r$  and the set of  $n$  non-autonomous vector fields  $Y_r = \pi dH_r$  span the same distribution on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Another consequence of these formulas is that the Hamiltonians from the Abelian subalgebra  $\mathfrak{a}$  given by (3.8) remain undeformed, i.e.  $H_r = \mathcal{E}_r$  for  $\mathcal{E}_r \in \mathfrak{a}$ . In particular, as it follows from (3.13) and (3.14), for  $n = 2$  we have that  $H_r = \mathcal{E}_r$  for all  $r = 1, 2$  as then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}$ . For  $n = 3$  the formulas (3.13) and (3.14) yield that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}$  for  $m = 0, 2, 4$  and in these cases again  $H_r = \mathcal{E}_r$  for all  $r$ . For  $n = 3$  and  $m = 1$  we have  $\mathfrak{a} = \text{span}\{\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2\}$  and

$$H_r = \mathcal{E}_r \text{ for } r = 1, 2, \quad H_3 = \mathcal{E}_3 + t_2 \mathcal{E}_1 \quad (3.16)$$

while for  $n = 3$  and  $m = 3$  we have  $\mathfrak{a} = \text{span}\{\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_3\}$  and

$$H_r = \mathcal{E}_r \text{ for } r = 1, 3, \quad H_2 = \mathcal{E}_2 + t_3 \mathcal{E}_3. \quad (3.17)$$

**Example 1** Let us now present a higher dimensional example when  $n = 11$ ,  $m = 6$ . Then  $\kappa_1 = 4$ ,  $\kappa_2 = 3$  and  $\mathfrak{a} = \text{span}\{\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_4, \mathcal{E}_9, \dots, \mathcal{E}_{11}\}$ . From (3.13) and (3.14) it follows that the deformed Hamiltonians

are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
H_r &= \mathcal{E}_r, \quad r = 1, \dots, 4, 9, \dots, 11, \\
H_5 &= \mathcal{E}_5 + t_4 \mathcal{E}_2 + 2t_3 \mathcal{E}_1, \\
H_6 &= \mathcal{E}_6 + 4t_2 \mathcal{E}_1 + (3t_3 - \frac{1}{2}t_5^2) \mathcal{E}_2 + 2t_4 \mathcal{E}_3 + t_5 \mathcal{E}_4, \\
H_7 &= \mathcal{E}_7 + t_8 \mathcal{E}_8 + 2t_9 \mathcal{E}_9 + (3t_{10} + t_8 t_9) \mathcal{E}_{10} + (4t_{11} + 2t_8 t_{10}) \mathcal{E}_{11}, \\
H_8 &= \mathcal{E}_8 + t_9 \mathcal{E}_{10} + 2t_{10} \mathcal{E}_{11}.
\end{aligned}$$

and one can verify that the Hamiltonians  $H_1, \dots, H_{11}$  do satisfy the Frobenius condition (3.11).

Finally, let us remark that the non-autonomous Hamiltonian equations (3.15) are conservative, as by (3.13)–(3.14) the  $r$ -th Hamiltonian  $H_r$  does not depend in explicit way on its own evolution parameter  $t_r$ .

## 4 Frobenius integrable deformations of quasi-Stäckel systems with potentials

In this section we are going to construct, in a systematic way, multi-parameter families of Frobenius integrable non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems with potentials. We will achieve it through appropriate (multi)time-dependent deformations of quasi-Stäckel systems with potentials. Let us thus consider the following quasi-separation relations

$$\sum_{\alpha \in A} c_\alpha(t_1, \dots, t_n) \lambda_i^\alpha + \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_i^{n-r} h_r^A = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^m \mu_i^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n v_{ik}(\lambda) \mu_k, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.1)$$

where as before  $m \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$ ,  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$  is a finite subset of integers and where  $v_{ik}$  are again given by (3.3). The system (4.1) naturally generalizes (3.1). Solving this system with respect to  $h_r^A$  we obtain

$$h_r^A = \mathcal{E}_r + V_r^A = E_r + W_r + V_r^A, \quad r = 1, \dots, n \quad (4.2)$$

where  $E_r$ ,  $W_r$  are given respectively by (2.3) and (3.10). The functions

$$V_r^A = \sum_{\alpha \in A} c_\alpha(t_1, \dots, t_n) V_r^{(\alpha)}$$

on the base manifold  $Q$  are time-dependent linear combinations of the so called basic (elementary) separable potentials  $V_r^{(\alpha)}$ . By linearity of (4.1), the potentials  $V_r^{(\alpha)}$  satisfy the relations

$$\lambda_i^\alpha + \sum_{r=1}^n V_r^{(\alpha)} \lambda_i^{n-r} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z},$$

(we stress that they do not depend on  $m$ ) so, by Lemma 1, they are given by

$$V_r^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho_r}{\partial \lambda_i} \frac{\lambda_i^\alpha}{\Delta_i}, \quad r = 1, \dots, n \quad (4.3)$$

and can be explicitly constructed by the recursion formula [7]

$$V^{(\alpha)} = R^\alpha V^{(0)}, \quad V^{(\alpha)} = (V_1^{(\alpha)}, \dots, V_n^{(\alpha)})^T, \quad (4.4)$$

where in Viète coordinates

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} -q_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -q_n & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.5)$$



with  $V^{(0)} = (0, \dots, 0, -1)^T$ . The formulas (4.4)–(4.5) are non-tensorial in the sense that they are true in any coordinate system on the base manifold  $Q$ . The first  $n$  basic separable potentials are trivial

$$V_k^{(\alpha)} = -\delta_{k,n-\alpha}, \quad \alpha = 0, \dots, n-1. \quad (4.6)$$

The first two nontrivial positive potentials are

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(n)} &= (q_1, \dots, q_n)^T \\ V^{(n+1)} &= (-q_1^2 q_2, -q_1 q_2 + q_3, -q_1 q_3 + q_4, \dots, -q_1 q_{n-1} + q_n, -q_1 q_n)^T \end{aligned}$$

and higher potentials are more complicated polynomials in  $q_i$ . The first two negative potential are

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(-1)} &= \left( \frac{1}{q_n}, \frac{q_1}{q_n}, \dots, \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n} \right)^T \\ V^{(-2)} &= \left( -\frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n^2}, \frac{1}{q_n} - \frac{q_1 q_{n-1}}{q_n^2}, \frac{q_1}{q_n} - \frac{q_2 q_{n-1}}{q_n^2}, \frac{q_2}{q_n} - \frac{q_3 q_{n-1}}{q_n^2}, \dots, \frac{q_{n-2}}{q_n} - \frac{q_{n-1}^2}{q_n^2} \right)^T \end{aligned}$$

and the higher negative potentials are more complicated rational functions of all  $q_i$ .

In this section, we will solve the following problem.

**Problem 1** *For an arbitrary  $m \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$ , determine the set  $A$  as well as the explicit form of the coefficients  $c_\alpha(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  such that the system (4.1), can be deformed by formulas (3.13)–(3.14) to a Frobenius integrable non-autonomous system satisfying (3.11).*

In order to solve this problem we will first consider Hamiltonians (4.2) containing a *single* basic separable potential  $V_r^{(\alpha)}$  with a fixed  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$h_r^{(\alpha)} = \mathcal{E}_r + V_r^{(\alpha)} = E_r + W_r + V_r^{(\alpha)}, \quad r = 1, \dots, n. \quad (4.7)$$

The following commutation relations are valid between the Hamiltonians  $h_r^{(\alpha)}$  (we focus only on cases when  $\alpha \geq n$  or  $\alpha < 0$  as otherwise the potentials  $V_r^{(\alpha)}$  are trivial and the system becomes simply the geodesic quasi-Stäckel system, analyzed in the previous section):

**Theorem 1** *Consider the Hamiltonians (4.7). The following commutation relations hold:*

(i) *when  $r, s \in \{1\} \cup I_1^m$*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ h_r^{(n+k)}, h_s^{(n+k)} \right\} &= (s-r) h_{r+s+m-n-2}^{(n+k)} \\ &\quad + (2r+k+m-n-2) V_s^{(r+k+m-2)} - (2s+k+m-n-2) V_r^{(s+k+m-2)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

*for  $k = 0, \dots, n-m+2$  and*

$$\left\{ h_r^{(-k)}, h_s^{(-k)} \right\} = (s-r) h_{r+s+m-n-2}^{(-k)} \quad (4.9)$$

*for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ ,*

(ii) *when  $r, s \in I_2^m$*

$$\left\{ h_r^{(n+k)}, h_s^{(n+k)} \right\} = (r-s) h_{r+s+m-n-2}^{(n+k)} \quad (4.10)$$

*for  $k = 0, \dots, n-m+2$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ h_r^{(-k)}, h_s^{(-k)} \right\} &= (r-s) h_{r+s+m-n-2}^{(-k)} \\ &\quad + (2s-k+m-2n-2) V_r^{(s-k+m-n-2)} - (2r-k+m-2n-2) V_s^{(r-k+m-n-2)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

*for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ ,*

(iii) when  $r \in \{1\} \cup I_1^m$ ,  $s \in I_2^m$

$$\left\{ h_r^{(n+k)}, h_s^{(n+k)} \right\} = (2r + k + m - n - 2) V_s^{(k+r+m-2)} \quad (4.12)$$

for  $k = 0, \dots, n - m + 2$  and

$$\left\{ h_r^{(-k)}, h_s^{(-k)} \right\} = (2s - k + m - 2n - 2) V_r^{(-k+s+m-n-2)} \quad (4.13)$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ .

For other combinations of indices  $r, s, m$  and  $k$ , the Poisson bracket of two Hamiltonians  $h_r^{(\alpha)}$  is not a linear combination of a Hamiltonian  $h_j^{(\alpha)}$  and basic separable potentials. The proof of this theorem can be found in Appendix A.

As we see, for a given  $\alpha = n + k \in \{n, \dots, 2n - m + 2\}$ , the additional potentials  $V_r^{(\delta)}$  on the right hand sides of (4.8) and (4.12) are such that  $\delta \in \{n, \dots, 2n - m + 2\}$  as well. The same is true for  $\alpha = -k \in \{-m, \dots, -1\}$  in (4.11) and (4.13). This leads to the conclusion, that for a given  $m \in \{0, \dots, n + 1\}$  the set  $A$  must be of the form

$$A = A_{\tau_1 \tau_2} = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_2\}, \quad \tau_1 \geq -m, \quad \tau_2 \leq 2n - m + 2, \quad \tau_1 \leq \tau_2.$$

Having chosen  $\tau_1 \geq -m$ ,  $\tau_2 \leq 2n - m + 2$  we obtain  $h_r^A$  in (4.2) of the form

$$h_r^A = \mathcal{E}_r + V_r^A = E_r + W_r + \sum_{\alpha=\tau_1}^{\tau_2} c_\alpha(t_1, \dots, t_n) V_r^{(\alpha)}, \quad r = 1, \dots, n. \quad (4.14)$$

We can now establish the sought functions  $c_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = \tau_1, \dots, \tau_2$ , using the following procedure.

1. We deform the Hamiltonians  $h_r^A$  given by (4.14) through the formulas (3.13) and (3.14) to Hamiltonians  $H_r^A$ .
2. We impose the Frobenius condition (3.11) on the Hamiltonians  $H_r^A$  which leads to a complicated system of first order PDE's for the unknown functions  $\zeta_{r,j}(t_1, \dots, t_{r-1})$ ,  $\zeta_{r,r+j}(t_{r+1}, \dots, t_n)$  and all  $c_\alpha(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ . This system contains a subsystem not involving  $c_\alpha(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ , that is identical to the system that originates during deforming the geodesic quasi-Stäckel system and the remaining part, that also involves  $c_\alpha(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ .
3. The subsystem not involving  $c_\alpha(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  yields a unique solution on the functions  $\zeta_{r,j}(t_1, \dots, t_{r-1})$ ,  $\zeta_{r,r+j}(t_{r+1}, \dots, t_n)$  (provided that we choose all the integration constants equal to zero). These solutions are exactly the same as calculated for geodesic quasi-Stäckel systems in Section 3.
4. Finally, we find the explicit form of functions  $c_\alpha(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ , recursively solving the remaining part of the system.

Note that the potential in (4.14) contains in general also trivial separable potentials (for  $\alpha$  between 0 and  $n - 1$ ) that do not influence the dynamics of the systems. The system of PDE's obtained in the last step is underdetermined in the functions  $c_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$ . Note also that the maximal possible set  $A_{\tau_1 \tau_2}$  is  $A = \{-m, \dots, 2n - m + 2\}$ . Let us illustrate these statements through the following example.

**Example 2** Consider the case  $n = 3$ ,  $m = 1$  and  $\tau_1 = 0, \tau_2 = 5$  so that  $A = A_{\tau_1 \tau_2} = \{0, \dots, 5\}$ . Then, the quasi-Stäckel Hamiltonians  $h_r^A$  in (4.14) are

$$h_r^A = \mathcal{E}_r + \sum_{\alpha=0}^5 c_\alpha(t_1, \dots, t_n) V_r^{(\alpha)}, \quad r = 1, 2, 3,$$

where in Viète coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_1 &= p_1 p_2 + \frac{1}{2} q_1 p_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} p_3^2 q_3, \\ \mathcal{E}_2 &= p_2 q_1 p_1 + \frac{1}{2} p_1^2 - q_3 p_2 p_3 + \frac{1}{2} (q_1^2 - q_2) p_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} q_1 q_3 p_3^2 + p_2, \\ \mathcal{E}_3 &= -q_3 p_1 p_3 - \frac{1}{2} q_3 p_2^2 - q_1 q_3 p_2 p_3 - \frac{1}{2} q_2 q_3 p_3^2 + q_1 p_2 + 2p_1\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

while  $V_r^{(\alpha)}$  are given by formulas (4.3) or by (4.4). For example

$$V_1^{(5)} = q_1^3 - 2q_1 q_2 + q_3, \quad V_2^{(5)} = q_1^2 q_2 - q_1 q_3 - q_2^2, \quad V_3^{(5)} = q_1^2 q_3 - q_2 q_3.$$

As  $\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 < n$  we have to perform the first three steps of our procedure, resulting in the deformation of the Hamiltonians  $h_r^A$  to the Hamiltonians  $H_r^A$ , exactly as given by (3.16):

$$H_1^A = h_1^A, \quad H_2^A = h_2^A, \quad H_3^A = h_3^A + t_2 h_1^A.\tag{4.16}$$

In the last step we find the functions  $c_\alpha$  from the Frobenius condition (3.11). Inserting (4.16) into the left hand side of (3.11) we obtain a compatible underdetermined (in the functions  $c_0, c_1, c_2$ ) set of first order PDE's on  $c_\alpha$  that can be solved recursively, starting from  $c_5$ . The set of PDE's for  $c_5$  is  $\frac{\partial c_5}{\partial t_i} = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  so that  $c_5(t) = a_5 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Further we obtain

$$\frac{\partial c_4}{\partial t_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial c_4}{\partial t_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial c_4}{\partial t_3} = 4a_5$$

and integrating it we obtain  $c_4 = 4a_5 t_3 + a_4$ . Plugging this solution (with  $a_4$  chosen to be 0 for simplicity) again to our set of PDE's we obtain the set of PDE's for  $c_3$

$$\frac{\partial c_3}{\partial t_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial c_3}{\partial t_2} = 2a_5, \quad \frac{\partial c_3}{\partial t_3} = 12a_5 t_3$$

with the solution  $c_3 = 2a_5(3t_3^2 + t_2) + a_3$  where we again choose  $a_3 = 0$ . With these solutions found, the remaining PDE's for  $c_0, c_1$  and  $c_2$  attain the under-determined form

$$\begin{aligned}4a_5 t_3 - \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial t_2} + \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial t_1} &= 0, \\ 12a_5 t_3^2 + 4a_5 t_2 - \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial t_3} + t_2 \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial t_1} + \frac{\partial c_0}{\partial t_1} &= 0, \\ -4a_5 t_2 t_3 + c_2 + \frac{\partial c_0}{\partial t_2} - \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial t_3} + \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial t_2} t_2 &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.17}$$

If for example we choose  $c_0 = 0$ , then the remaining PDE's yield  $c_1 = a_5(t_3^3 - 2t_2 t_3 - 4t_1)t_3$ ,  $c_2 = 4a_5(t_3^3 - t_1)$ .

In general our procedure leads to a  $(n+3)$ -parameter family of Frobenius integrable non-autonomous systems with potentials. Although the obtained systems are parametrized by  $2n+3$  integration constants  $a_{-m}, \dots, a_{2n-m+2}$ , the  $n$  constants  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}$  are integration constants that originate in the trivial potentials  $V_r^{(0)}, \dots, V_r^{(n-1)}$  and as such enter the Hamiltonians only in a trivial way, through some undetermined functions of times only, not affecting the dynamics of the systems. We can thus say that our systems are parametrized by  $n+3$  dynamical parameters  $(a_{-m}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_n, \dots, a_{2n-m+2})$  and by  $n$  non-dynamical parameters  $(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ .

## 5 Frobenius integrable deformations of two- and three-dimensional quasi-Stäckel systems with potentials

In this section we present a complete list of Frobenius integrable (satisfying (3.11)) deformations (3.13), (3.14) of two- and three-dimensional quasi-Stäckel systems with potentials that originate in our deformation procedure. We present here only the results and we always make use of the maximal set

$A = \{-m, \dots, 2n - m + 2\}$ . Each obtained Hamiltonian  $H_r^A$  is determined up to a function (given by a compatible but under-determined set of PDE's) of  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  and of the non-dynamic integration constants  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}$ . The basic separable potentials in the formulas below are given by (4.3) or equivalently by (4.4), (4.5). In each case  $(n, m)$  we obtain a  $(2n + 3)$ -parameter family of systems, parametrized by  $n + 3$  dynamical constants  $a_{-m}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_n, \dots, a_{2n-m+2}$  and by  $n$  non-dynamic integration constants  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}$ .

## 5.1 Two-dimensional systems

Let us first consider the case  $n = 2$  so that  $A = \{-m, \dots, 6 - m\}$ . As it was explained in Section 3 in this case  $H_r^A = h_r^A$  in (3.13), (3.14) and for each  $m = 0, \dots, 3$  we obtain a  $2n + 3 = 7$ -parameter family of Frobenius integrable non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems satisfying (3.11), with two non-dynamical parameters  $(a_0, a_1)$  and five dynamical parameters  $a = (a_{-m}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_{6-m})$ .

For  $m = 0$  we have  $A = \{0, \dots, 6\}$  and explicitly, in Viète coordinates we get

$$h_r^A = \mathcal{E}_r + a_6 V_r^{(6)} + a_5 V_r^{(5)} + (a_4 + 4a_6 t_2) V_r^{(4)} + (a_3 + 3a_5 t_2 + 2a_6 t_1) V_r^{(3)} + (a_2 + 2a_4 t_2 + a_5 t_1 + 4a_6 t_2^2) V_r^{(2)} - c_{n-r}(t_1, t_2, a_0, a_1, a) \quad (5.1)$$

(the form of the non-dynamic part follows from (4.1)) where

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = p_1 p_2 + \frac{1}{2} q_1 p_2^2, \quad \mathcal{E}_2 = q_1 p_1 p_2 + \frac{1}{2} p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} (q_1^2 - q_2) p_2^2 + p_2. \quad (5.2)$$

For  $m = 1$  we have  $A = \{-1, \dots, 5\}$  and we get

$$h_r^A = \mathcal{E}_r + a_5 V_r^{(5)} + (a_4 + 4a_5 t_2) V_r^{(4)} + [a_3 + 3a_4 t_2 + 2a_5(t_1 + 3t_2^2)] V_r^{(3)} + [a_2 + 2a_3 t_2 + a_4(t_1 + 3t_2^2) + 4a_5(t_1 t_2 + t_2^3)] V_r^{(2)} + a_{-1} V_r^{(-1)} - c_{n-r}(t_1, t_2, a_0, a_1, a) \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \frac{1}{2} p_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} q_2 p_2^2, \quad \mathcal{E}_2 = -q_2 p_2 p_1 - \frac{1}{2} q_1 q_2 p_2^2 + p_1. \quad (5.4)$$

For  $m = 2$  we have  $A = \{-2, \dots, 4\}$  and

$$h_r^A = \mathcal{E}_r + a_4 V_r^{(4)} + (a_3 + 2a_4 t_1) V_r^{(3)} + (a_2 + a_3 t_1 + a_4 t_1^2) V_r^{(2)} + a_{-1} e^{t_2} V_r^{(-1)} + a_{-2} e^{2t_2} V_r^{(-2)} - c_{n-r}(t_1, t_2, a_0, a_1, a) \quad (5.5)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = -\frac{1}{2} q_1 p_1^2 - q_2 p_2 p_1, \quad \mathcal{E}_2 = -\frac{1}{2} q_2 p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} q_2^2 p_2^2 + q_2 p_2. \quad (5.6)$$

For  $m = 3$  we have  $A = \{-3, \dots, 3\}$  and

$$h_r^A = \mathcal{E}_r + a_3 e^{2t_1} V_r^{(3)} + a_2 e^{t_1} V_r^{(2)} + (a_{-1} + a_{-2} t_2 + a_{-3} t_2^2) V_r^{(-1)} + (a_{-2} + 2a_{-3} t_2) V_r^{(-2)} + a_{-3} V_r^{(-3)} - c_{n-r}(t_1, t_2, a_0, a_1, a) \quad (5.7)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \frac{1}{2} (q_1^2 - q_2) p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} q_2^2 p_2^2 + q_1 q_2 p_1 p_2, \quad \mathcal{E}_2 = q_2^2 p_1 p_2 + \frac{1}{2} q_1 q_2 p_1^2 + q_2 p_1. \quad (5.8)$$

As the metric  $G$  is flat for  $m = 0, 1, 2$ , for these cases we can express all the formulas in flat coordinates  $(x, y)$  (see for example [6]).

## 5.2 Three-dimensional systems

Consider now the case  $n = 3$  so that  $A = \{-m, \dots, 8 - m\}$ . For each  $m = 0, \dots, 4$  we obtain a 9-parameter family with 3 non-dynamical parameters  $(a_0, a_1, a_2)$  and 6 dynamical parameters  $a = (a_{-m}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_{8-m})$ , of Frobenius integrable non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems satisfying (3.11).

For  $m = 0$  we have  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}$  so  $H_r^A = h_r^A$  for all  $r$  and our procedure yields

$$\begin{aligned} h_r^A = & \mathcal{E}_r + a_8 V_r^{(8)} + a_7 V_r^{(7)} + (6 a_8 t_3 + a_6) V_r^{(6)} + (5 a_7 t_3 + 4 a_8 t_2 + a_5) V_r^{(5)} \\ & + [a_4 + 2 a_8 (6 t_3^2 + t_1) + 3 a_7 t_2 + 4 a_6 t_3] V_r^{(4)} \\ & + [a_3 + 12 a_8 t_2 t_3 + a_7 (t_1 + \frac{15}{2} t_3^2) + 2 a_6 t_2 + 3 a_5 t_3] V_r^{(3)} - c_{n-r}(t_1, t_2, t_3, a_0, a_1, a_2, a) \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where in Viète coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1 = & p_1 p_3 + \frac{1}{2} p_2^2 + p_3 q_1 p_2 + \frac{1}{2} q_2 p_3^2, \\ \mathcal{E}_2 = & q_1 p_1 p_3 + q_1 p_2^2 + p_1 p_2 + \frac{1}{2} (q_1 q_2 - q_3) p_3^2 + q_1^2 p_2 p_3 + p_3, \\ \mathcal{E}_3 = & q_2 p_1 p_3 + \frac{1}{2} q_1^2 p_2^2 + q_1 p_1 p_2 + \frac{1}{2} p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} (q_2^2 - q_3 q_1) p_3^2 \\ & + (q_1 q_2 - q_3) p_2 p_3 + 2 p_2 + q_1 p_3. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

For  $m = 1$  we have, by (3.16),  $H_1^A = h_1^A$ ,  $H_2^A = h_2^A$  and  $H_3^A = h_3^A + t_2 h_1^A$  where

$$\begin{aligned} h_r^A = & \mathcal{E}_r + a_7 V_r^{(7)} + (a_6 + 6 a_7 t_3) V_r^{(6)} + [a_5 + 5 a_6 t_3 + a_7 (4 t_2 + 15 t_3^2)] V_r^{(5)} \\ & + [a_4 + 4 a_5 t_3 + a_6 (3 t_2 + 10 t_3^2) + 2 a_7 (t_1 + 9 t_2 t_3 + 10 t_3^3)] V_r^{(4)} \\ & + [a_3 + 3 a_4 t_3 + 2 a_5 (t_2 + 3 t_3^2) + a_6 (t_1 + 10 t_2 t_3 + 10 t_3^3) \\ & + a_7 (4 t_2^2 + 6 t_1 t_3 + 30 t_2 t_3^2 + 15 t_3^4)] V_r^{(3)} + a_{-1} V_r^{(-1)} - c_{n-r}(t_1, t_2, t_3, a_0, a_1, a_2, a) \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1 = & p_1 p_2 + \frac{1}{2} q_1 p_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} p_3^2 q_3, \\ \mathcal{E}_2 = & p_2 q_1 p_1 + \frac{1}{2} p_1^2 - q_3 p_2 p_3 + \frac{1}{2} (q_1^2 - q_2) p_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} q_1 q_3 p_3^2 + p_2, \\ \mathcal{E}_3 = & -q_3 p_1 p_3 - \frac{1}{2} q_3 p_2^2 - q_1 q_3 p_2 p_3 - \frac{1}{2} q_2 q_3 p_3^2 + q_1 p_2 + 2 p_1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Note that the system from Example 2 is a particular case of the system (5.11) obtained by putting  $a_{-1} = a_3 = a_4 = a_6 = a_7 = 0$ .

For  $m = 2$  we have  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}$  so  $H_r^A = h_r^A$  for all  $r$  with

$$\begin{aligned} h_r^A = & \mathcal{E}_r + a_6 V_r^{(6)} + (a_5 + 4 a_6 t_2) V_r^{(5)} + [a_4 + 3 a_5 t_2 + 2 a_6 (t_1 + 3 t_2^2)] V_r^{(4)} \\ & + [a_3 + 2 a_4 t_2 + a_5 (t_1 + 3 t_2^2) + 4 a_6 (t_1 t_2 + t_2^3)] V_r^{(3)} + a_{-1} e^{t_3} V_r^{(-1)} + a_{-2} e^{2 t_3} V_r^{(-2)} \\ & - c_{n-r}(t_1, t_2, t_3, a_0, a_1, a_2, a), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1 = & \frac{1}{2} p_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} q_2 p_2^2 - q_3 p_2 p_3, \\ \mathcal{E}_2 = & -q_2 p_1 p_2 - q_3 p_1 p_3 - q_1 q_3 p_2 p_3 - \frac{1}{2} (q_1 q_2 + q_3) p_2^2 + p_1, \\ \mathcal{E}_3 = & -q_3 p_1 p_2 - \frac{1}{2} q_1 q_3 p_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} q_3^2 p_3^2 + q_3 p_3. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

For  $m = 3$  we have, due to (3.17),  $H_1^A = h_1^A$ ,  $H_3^A = h_3^A$  and  $H_2^A = h_2^A + t_3 h_3^A$  with

$$\begin{aligned} h_r^A = & \mathcal{E}_r + a_5 V_r^{(5)} + (a_4 + 2 a_5 t_1) V_r^{(4)} + (a_3 + a_4 t_1 + a_5 t_1^2) V_r^{(3)} \\ & + [a_{-1} e^{2 t_2} + a_{-2} (e^{2 t_2} + t_3 e^{3 t_2}) + a_{-3} t_3^2 e^{4 t_2}] V_r^{(-1)} + (a_{-2} e^{3 t_2} + 2 a_{-3} e^{4 t_2}) V_r^{(-2)} \\ & + a_{-3} e^{4 t_2} V_r^{(-3)} - c_{n-r}(t_1, t_2, t_3, a_0, a_1, a_2, a), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} q_1 p_1^2 - q_2 p_1 p_2 - q_3 p_1 p_3 - q_3 \frac{1}{2} p_2^2, \\ \mathcal{E}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} q_3^2 p_3^2 + q_2 q_3 p_2 p_3 - \frac{1}{2} q_2 p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} (q_2^2 - q_1 q_3) p_2^2 - q_3 p_1 p_2, \\ \mathcal{E}_3 &= -\frac{1}{2} q_3 p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} q_2 q_3 p_2^2 + q_3^2 p_2 p_3.\end{aligned}\tag{5.14}$$

Finally, for  $m = 4$ , we have  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}$ , so  $H_r^A = h_r^A$  for all  $r$  and

$$\begin{aligned}h_r^A &= \mathcal{E}_r + a_4 e^{2t_1} V_r^{(4)} + (a_3 e^{t_1} + a_4 e^{t_1}) V_r^{(3)} + [a_{-1} + 2a_{-2} t_2 + a_{-3} (t_3 + 3t_2^2) \\ &\quad + 4a_{-4} (t_2 t_3 + t_2^3)] V_r^{(-1)} + [a_{-2} + 3a_{-3} t_2 + 2a_{-4} (t_3 + 3t_2^2)] V_r^{(-2)} \\ &\quad + (a_{-3} + 4a_{-4} t_2) V_r^{(-3)} + a_{-4} V_r^{(-4)} - c_{n-r}(t_1, t_2, t_3, a_0, a_1, a_2, a),\end{aligned}\tag{5.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_1 &= \frac{1}{2} (q_1^2 - q_2) p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} q_2^2 p_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} q_3^2 p_3^2 + (q_2 q_1 - q_3) p_1 p_2 + q_1 q_3 p_1 p_3 + q_2 q_3 p_2 p_3, \\ \mathcal{E}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} (q_1 q_2 - q_3) p_1^2 + p_2^2 q_3 q_2 + q_2^2 p_1 p_2 + q_2 q_3 p_1 p_3 + q_3^2 p_2 p_3 + q_2 p_1 + 2q_3 p_2, \\ \mathcal{E}_3 &= q_2 q_3 p_1 p_2 + q_3^2 p_1 p_3 + \frac{1}{2} q_3 q_1 p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} q_3^2 p_2^2 + q_3 p_1.\end{aligned}\tag{5.16}$$

The metric  $G$  is flat for  $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , so for these cases we can also express all the formulas in flat coordinates  $(x, y)$ .

**Example 3** *Non-autonomous Hénon-Heiles system. Consider the system generated by Hamiltonians (5.3) with  $a_5 = a_3 = a_2 = 0$ ,  $a_{-1} = -\frac{1}{4}\alpha$  and  $a_4 = -1$*

$$\begin{aligned}h_1 &= \frac{1}{2} p_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} q_2 p_2^2 - q_1^3 + 2q_1 q_2 + 3t_2 (q_1^2 - q_2) - (t_1 + 3t_2^2) q_1 - \frac{1}{4} \alpha q_2^{-1} - c_1(t_1, t_2, a_0, a_1), \\ h_2 &= -q_2 p_2 p_1 - \frac{1}{2} q_1 q_2 p_2^2 + p_1 + q_2^2 - q_1^2 q_2 + 3t_2 q_1 q_2 - (t_1 + 3t_2^2) q_2 - \frac{1}{4} \alpha q_1 q_2^{-1} - c_0(t_1, t_2, a_0, a_1),\end{aligned}$$

where the functions  $c_r$  are such that  $h_r$  satisfy the Frobenius condition (3.11). For example, a possible choice is

$$a_0 = 0, \quad a_1 = 0, \quad c_0 = \frac{1}{2} t_1^2 + 3t_1 t_2^2, \quad c_1 = 0.\tag{5.17}$$

Another choice is

$$a_0 = a_1 = 1, \quad c_1 = -t_2^3, \quad c_0 = \frac{1}{2} t_1^2.$$

In flat orthogonal coordinates  $(x, y)$

$$q_1 = -x_1, \quad q_2 = -\frac{1}{4} x_2^2, \quad p_1 = -y_1, \quad p_2 = -\frac{2y_2}{x_2},$$

the Hamiltonians  $h_i$  take the form

$$\begin{aligned}h_1 &= \frac{1}{2} y_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} y_2^2 + x_1^3 + \frac{1}{2} x_1 x_2^2 + \alpha x_2^{-2} + 3t_2 (x_1^2 + \frac{1}{4} x_2^2) + (t_1 + 3t_2^2) x_1 - c_1 \\ &= h_1^{HH} + 3t_2 (x_1^2 + \frac{1}{4} x_2^2) + (t_1 + 3t_2^2) x_1 - c_1, \\ h_2 &= \frac{1}{2} x_2 y_1 y_2 - \frac{1}{2} x_1 y_2^2 - y_1 + \frac{1}{16} x_2^4 + \frac{1}{4} x_1^2 x_2^2 - \alpha x_1 x_2^{-2} + \frac{1}{4} 3t_2 x_1 x_2^2 + \frac{1}{4} (t_1 + 3t_2^2) x_2^2 - c_0 \\ &= h_2^{HH} + \frac{1}{4} 3t_2 x_1 x_2^2 + \frac{1}{4} (t_1 + 3t_2^2) x_2^2 - c_0\end{aligned}$$

and constitute a non-autonomous deformation of the integrable case of the extended Hénon-Heiles system  $h_r^{HH}$ . Moreover, the flow generated by  $h_1^{HH}$  is exactly the stationary flow of the 5th-order KdV [18].

## 6 Frobenius integrable deformations of quasi-Stäckel systems with magnetic potentials

In this section we are going to construct, in a systematic way, multi-parameter families of Frobenius integrable (satisfying (3.11)) non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems with the so called magnetic potentials (vector potentials). Consider the following quasi-separation relations

$$\sum_{\gamma \in B} d_\gamma(t_1, \dots, t_n) \lambda_i^\gamma \mu_i + \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_i^{n-r} h_r^B = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^m \mu_i^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n v_{ik}(\lambda) \mu_k, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad B \subset \mathbb{Z}, \quad (6.1)$$

(compare with (4.1)) where as before  $m \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$  while  $v_{ik}$  are given by (3.3). The system (6.1) again naturally generalizes (3.1). Solving (6.1) with respect to  $h_r^B$  we obtain  $n$  Hamiltonians

$$h_r^B = E_r + W_r + M_r^B = \mathcal{E}_r + M_r^B, \quad r = 1, \dots, n \quad (6.2)$$

where  $E_r, W_r$  are given respectively by (2.3) and (3.10). The functions

$$M_r^B = \sum_{\gamma \in B} d_\gamma(t_1, \dots, t_n) M_r^{(\gamma)}$$

are time-dependent linear combinations of what we will call *basic magnetic separable potentials*  $M_r^{(\gamma)}$  (see below). By linearity of (6.1), the potentials  $M_r^{(\gamma)}$  satisfy the relations

$$\lambda_i^\gamma \mu_i + \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_i^{n-r} M_r^{(\gamma)} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

and by Lemma 1 they have the linear in momenta  $\mu_i$  form

$$M_r^{(\gamma)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho_r}{\partial \lambda_i} \frac{\lambda_i^\gamma \mu_i}{\Delta_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n (P_r^{(\gamma)})^i \mu_i = \mu^T P_r^{(\gamma)}, \quad (6.3)$$

where  $P_r^{(\gamma)}$  are vector fields (vector potentials) on the base manifold  $Q$  given by

$$P_r^{(\gamma)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho_r}{\partial \lambda_i} \frac{\lambda_i^\gamma}{\Delta_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i}. \quad (6.4)$$

and so  $\mu^T P_r^{(\gamma)}$  are linear in momenta functions on  $\mathcal{M} = T^*Q$  induced by the corresponding vector fields  $P_r^{(\gamma)}$ .

**Remark 2** We call the vector fields  $P_r^{(\gamma)}$  (vector) magnetic potentials as they can be obtained by shifting momenta in non-magnetic Hamiltonians (4.2), as it is customary in literature. By a slight abuse of terminology we then also call the functions  $M_r^{(\gamma)}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  scalar magnetic potentials. The functions  $M_r^{(\gamma)}$  are linear in momenta and separable terms in Hamiltonians. Note also that the quasi-Stäckel terms  $W_r = \mu^T J_r$  in (6.1) are also linear in momenta, but they are not separable and moreover they play a distinguished role in our construction, so we do not call them magnetic.

Using the relations

$$\frac{\partial \rho_r}{\partial \lambda_i} = - \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \rho_s \lambda_i^{r-s-1}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i^k \mu_i}{\Delta_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n V_j^{(k)} p_j, \quad (6.5)$$

we can immediately rewrite (6.3) in Viète coordinates (3.9) as

$$M_r^{(\gamma)} = p^T P_r^{(\gamma)} = - \sum_{j=1}^n \left[ \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} q_s V_j^{(r+\gamma-s-1)} \right] p_j$$

(note that  $p^T P_r^{(\gamma)}$  is the same function on  $\mathcal{M}$  as  $\mu^T P_r^{(\gamma)}$ , only written in Viète coordinates). We will now formulate the following problem: (cf. Problem 1).

**Problem 2** For an arbitrary  $m \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$ , determine the set  $B$ , as well as the explicit form of the coefficients  $d_\gamma(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  such that the system (6.2) can be deformed by formulas (4.6)–(3.13) to a Frobenius integrable non-autonomous system satisfying (3.11).

In order to solve this problem let us first consider Hamiltonians (6.2) with a single basic magnetic potential  $M_r^{(\gamma)}$  with an arbitrary  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$h_r^{(\gamma)} = \mathcal{E}_r + M_r^{(\gamma)}, \quad r = 1, \dots, n \quad (6.6)$$

(which corresponds to choosing the monomial  $\lambda_i^\gamma \mu_i$  in the left hand side of (6.1)). It can be proved that  $h_r^{(\gamma)}$  satisfy a magnetic analogue of Theorem 1:

**Theorem 2** Denote

$$a_{\gamma,k} = \begin{cases} \max\{0, \gamma + k - n - 1\} & \text{if } k \in \{1\} \cup I_1^m \\ \min\{0, \gamma + k - n - 1\} & \text{if } k \in I_2^m. \end{cases}$$

For any  $\gamma \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$  the following commutation relations (Poisson brackets) between the Hamiltonians (6.6) hold:

(i) for  $r, s \in \{1\} \cup I_1^m$

$$\{h_r^{(\gamma)}, h_s^{(\gamma)}\} = (s-r)h_{r+s+m-n-2}^{(\gamma)} - a_{\gamma,s}M_r^{(\gamma+s+m-n-2)} + a_{\gamma,r}M_s^{(\gamma+r+m-n-2)} \quad (6.7)$$

(ii) for  $r, s \in I_2^m$

$$\{h_r^{(\gamma)}, h_s^{(\gamma)}\} = -(s-r)h_{r+s+m-n-2}^{(\gamma)} + a_{\gamma,s}M_r^{(\gamma+s+m-n-2)} - a_{\gamma,r}M_s^{(\gamma+r+m-n-2)} \quad (6.8)$$

(iii) for  $r \in \{1\} \cup I_1^m, s \in I_2^m$

$$\{h_r^{(\gamma)}, h_s^{(\gamma)}\} = \begin{cases} a_{\gamma,s}M_r^{(\gamma+s+m-n-2)} & \text{if } a_{\gamma,s}, a_{\gamma,r} < 0 \\ a_{\gamma,r}M_s^{(\gamma+r+m-n-2)} & \text{if } a_{\gamma,s}, a_{\gamma,r} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (6.9)$$

It can be verified that for other combinations of indices  $r, s, m$  and  $\gamma$  there are no formulas allowing to express the commutator of two Hamiltonians  $h_{m,r}^{(\gamma)}$  as a linear combination of a Hamiltonian and basic separable magnetic potentials.

One can prove this theorem analogously to the proof of Theorem 1, i.e. by direct calculation, but the validity of this theorem follows also from the relations, described in Section 8, between systems with magnetic potentials considered in the present section and the systems with ordinary potentials, considered in Section 4.

As we see from the above theorem, for an arbitrary  $\gamma \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$  the additional magnetic potentials  $M_r^{(\delta)}$  on the right hand sides of (6.7)–(6.9) are such that  $\delta$  always belongs to  $\{0, \dots, n+1\}$ . This leads to the conclusion, that no matter what  $m \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$  is, the set  $B$  must be of the form

$$B = B_\gamma = \{0, \dots, \gamma\}, \quad \gamma \leq n+1$$

so that the maximal possible set  $B$  is  $B = \{0, \dots, n+1\}$ . Having chosen  $\gamma \leq n+1$  we obtain  $h_r^B$  in (6.2) of the form

$$h_r^B = \mathcal{E}_r + M_r^B = E_r + W_r + \sum_{k=0}^{\gamma} d_k(t_1, \dots, t_n)M_r^k, \quad r = 1, \dots, n$$

where  $B = \{0, \dots, \gamma\}$ . The functions  $d_k(t)$  can now be determined from our four-step procedure, presented in Section 4. This procedure will in the magnetic case yield a compatible and determined (contrary to the non-magnetic case) system of PDE's for  $d_k$ .



**Example 4** Let us illustrate this procedure in the case  $n = 3$ ,  $m = 1$  and  $\gamma = 3$ . Then the magnetic quasi-Stäckel Hamiltonians  $h_r^B$  in (6.2) attain the form

$$h_r^B = \mathcal{E}_r + \sum_{k=0}^3 d_k(t_1, \dots, t_n) M_r^{(k)}.$$

where in Viète coordinates  $\mathcal{E}_r$  are exactly as in the non-magnetic case, i.e. given by (4.15) and where  $M_r^{(k)}$  are given by (6.3) and (7.4). In the first three steps of our procedure we deform the Hamiltonians  $h_r^B$  to the Hamiltonians  $H_r^B$  given by (4.16). In the last step we find the functions  $d_k$  from the Frobenius condition (3.11). Inserting  $H_r^B$  given by (4.16) into the left hand side of (3.11) and demanding that the results do not depend on the phase space coordinates we obtain a compatible set of first order PDE's on  $d_0, \dots, d_3$  that can be again solved recursively. The solution is as follows

$$d_0(t) = 0, \quad d_1(t) = b_3(t_2 + t_3^2), \quad d_2(t) = 2b_3t_3, \quad d_3(t) = b_3 \in \mathbb{R},$$

where we choose the integration constants  $b_0 = b_1 = b_2 = 0$ .

In general, applying our procedure we obtain a  $(n + 2)$ -parameter family of Frobenius integrable systems, parametrized by the integration constants  $(b_0, \dots, b_{n+1})$  (in Example 4 above we have  $b_0 = b_1 = b_2 = b_4 = 0$  and  $b_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ ). In the magnetic case the Hamiltonians have no “tails” depending on some non-dynamical variables, contrary to the non-magnetic case.

## 7 Frobenius integrable deformations of two- and three-dimensional quasi-Stäckel systems with magnetic potentials

In this section we present a complete list of Frobenius integrable deformations (3.13),(3.14) of two- and three-dimensional quasi-Stäckel systems with magnetic potentials that originate in our deformation procedure. We always use the maximal set  $B = \{0, \dots, n + 1\}$ . In each case  $(n, m)$  we obtain a  $(n + 3)$ -parameter family of systems, parametrized by real constants  $b_0, \dots, b_{n+1}, \bar{b}$ .

### 7.1 Two-dimensional systems

Let us first consider the case  $n = 2$  so that  $B = \{0, \dots, 3\}$ . As it was explained in Section 3 in this case  $H_r^B = h_r^B$  for each  $m = 0, \dots, 3$  and for each  $m$  we obtain a 4-parameter family of Frobenius integrable non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems satisfying (3.11).

Explicitly, for  $m = 0$  in Viète coordinates we get

$$h_r^B = \mathcal{E}_r + b_3 M_r^{(3)} + b_2 M_r^{(2)} + (b_1 + 2b_3 t_2) M_r^{(1)} + (b_0 + b_2 t_2 + b_3 t_1) M_r^{(0)},$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_r$  are given by (5.2).

For  $m = 1$

$$h_r^B = \mathcal{E}_r + b_3 M_r^{(3)} + (b_2 + 2b_3 t_2) M_r^{(2)} + [b_1 + b_2 t_2 + b_3(t_1 + t_2^2)] M_r^{(1)} + b_0 M_r^{(0)},$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_r$  are given by (5.4).

For  $m = 2$

$$h_r^B = \mathcal{E}_r + b_3 M_r^{(3)} + (b_2 + b_3 t_1) M_r^{(2)} + b_1 M_r^{(1)} + b_0 \exp(t_2) M_r^{(0)},$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_r$  are given by (5.6).

For  $m = 3$

$$h_r^B = \mathcal{E}_r + M_r^{(4)} + b_3 \exp(t_1) M_r^{(3)} + b_2 M_r^{(2)} + (b_1 + b_0 t_2) M_r^{(1)} + b_0 M_r^{(0)},$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_r$  are given by (5.8). Moreover, in Viète coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} M_1^{(0)} &= p_2, & M_2^{(0)} &= p_1 + q_1 p_2, \\ M_1^{(1)} &= p_1, & M_2^{(1)} &= -q_2 p_2, \\ M_1^{(2)} &= -q_1 p_1 - q_2 p_2, & M_2^{(2)} &= -q_2 p_1, \\ M_1^{(3)} &= (q_1^2 - q_2) p_1 + q_1 q_2 p_2, & M_2^{(3)} &= q_1 q_2 p_1 + q_2^2 p_2. \end{aligned}$$

The metric  $G$  is flat for  $m = 0, 1, 2$ , so for these cases we can express all the formulas in the flat coordinates  $(x, y)$ .

## 7.2 Three-dimensional systems

Let us now consider the case  $n = 3$  so that  $B = \{0, \dots, 4\}$ . For each  $m = 0, \dots, 4$  we obtain a 5-parameter family of Frobenius integrable non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems satisfying (3.11). For  $m = 0$  we have  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}$  so  $H_r^B = h_r^B$  for all  $r$  and our procedure yields

$$\begin{aligned} h_r^B &= \mathcal{E}_r + b_4 M_r^{(4)} + b_3 M_r^{(3)} + (b_2 + 3b_4 t_3) M_r^{(2)} + (b_1 + 2b_3 t_3 + 2b_4 t_2) M_r^{(1)} \\ &\quad + [b_0 + b_2 t_3 + b_3 t_2 + b_4 (\frac{3}{2} t_3^2 + t_1)] M_r^{(0)}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_r$  are given by (5.10).

For  $m = 1$ , we have, by (3.16),  $H_1^B = h_1^B$ ,  $H_2^B = h_2^B$  and  $H_3^B = h_3^B + t_2 h_1^B$  where

$$\begin{aligned} h_r^B &= \mathcal{E}_r + b_4 M_r^{(4)} + (b_3 + 3b_4 t_3) M_r^{(3)} + [b_2 + 2b_3 t_3 + b_4 (3t_3^2 + 2t_2)] M_r^{(2)} \\ &\quad + [b_1 + b_2 t_3 + b_3 (t_3^2 + t_2) + b_4 (t_3^3 + 3t_2 t_3 + t_1)] M_r^{(1)} + b_0 M_r^{(0)}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_r$  are given by (5.12).

For  $m = 2$  we have  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}$  so  $H_r^B = h_r^B$  for all  $r$  with

$$\begin{aligned} h_r^B &= \mathcal{E}_r + b_4 M_r^{(4)} + (b_3 + 2b_4 t_2) M_r^{(3)} + [b_2 + b_3 t_2 + b_4 (t_2^2 + t_1)] M_r^{(2)} \\ &\quad + b_1 M_r^{(1)} + b_0 \exp(t_3) M_r^{(0)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_r$  are given by (5.13).

For  $m = 3$  we have, due to (3.17),  $H_1^B = h_1^B$ ,  $H_3^B = h_3^B$  and  $H_2^B = h_2^B + t_3 h_3^B$  with

$$\begin{aligned} h_r^B &= \mathcal{E}_r + b_4 M_r^{(4)} + (b_3 + b_4 t_1) M_r^{(3)} + b_2 M_r^{(2)} \\ &\quad + [b_0 t_3 \exp(2t_2) + b_1 \exp(t_2)] M_r^{(1)} + b_0 \exp(2t_2) M_r^{(0)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_r$  are given by (5.14).

Finally, for  $m = 4$  we have  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}$  so  $H_r^B = h_r^B$  for all  $r$  with

$$\begin{aligned} h_r^B &= \mathcal{E}_r + b_4 \exp(t_1) M_r^{(4)} + b_3 M_r^{(3)} + [b_2 + b_1 t_2 + b_0 (t_2^2 + t_3)] M_r^{(2)} \\ &\quad + (b_1 + 2b_0 t_2) M_r^{(1)} + b_0 M_r^{(0)}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_r$  are given by (5.16).

Moreover, in Viète coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} M_1^{(0)} &= p_3, & M_2^{(0)} &= p_2 + q_1 p_3, & M_3^{(0)} &= p_1 + q_1 p_2 + q_2 p_3, \\ M_1^{(1)} &= p_2, & M_2^{(1)} &= p_1 + q_1 p_2, & M_3^{(1)} &= -q_3 p_3, \\ M_1^{(2)} &= p_1, & M_2^{(2)} &= -q_2 p_2 - q_3 p_3, & M_3^{(2)} &= -q_3 p_2, \\ M_1^{(3)} &= -q_1 p_1 - q_2 p_2 - q_3 p_3, & M_2^{(3)} &= -q_2 p_1 - q_3 p_2, & M_3^{(3)} &= -q_3 p_1, \\ M_1^{(4)} &= (q_1^2 - q_2) p_1 + (q_1 q_2 - q_3) p_2 & M_2^{(4)} &= (q_1 q_2 - q_3) p_1 + q_2^2 p_2 & M_3^{(4)} &= q_1 q_3 p_1 + q_2 q_3 p_2 \\ &\quad + q_1 q_3 p_3, & &\quad + q_2 q_3 p_3, & &\quad + q_3^2 p_3. \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

As previously, the metric  $G$  is flat for  $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , so for these cases we can express all the formulas in the flat coordinates  $(x, y)$ .

## 8 Canonical transformations between both classes of non-autonomous Frobenius integrable systems

In this section we construct *multitime-dependent* canonical transformations (rational symplectic transformations [19]) between Frobenius integrable systems constructed in Section 4 and Frobenius integrable systems constructed in Section 6. This will allow us to find the set of first order PDE's, described in our four-step procedure, for the functions  $d_\gamma(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ ,  $\zeta_{r,j}(t_1, \dots, t_{r-1})$  and  $\zeta_{r,r+j}(t_{r+1}, \dots, t_n)$  in an explicit form.

In the case of the ordinary potentials, considered in Section 4, we have constructed a  $(2n+3)$ -parameter family of non-autonomous Frobenius integrable systems with  $n+3$  dynamical parameters (and  $n$  non-dynamical parameters) and in the case of systems with magnetic potentials, considered in Section 6, we obtained a  $(n+2)$ -parameter family (all parameters are dynamical). In order to relate both classes through a canonical transformation we have thus to extend the systems with magnetic potentials by an additional parameter, to make equal the number of dynamical parameters. Instead of (6.1), we consider thus the following quasi-separation relations, obtained by extending (6.1) by the simplest nontrivial ordinary separable potential generated by  $e(t_1, \dots, t_n)\lambda_i^n$ :

$$e(t_1, \dots, t_n)\lambda_i^n + \sum_{\gamma=0}^{n+1} d_\gamma(t_1, \dots, t_n)\lambda_i^\gamma \mu_i + \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_i^{n-r} h_r^B = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^m \mu_i^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n v_{ik}(\lambda) \mu_k, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (8.1)$$

so that, as before,  $B = \{0, \dots, n+1\}$  but now

$$h_r^B = E_r + W_r + \sum_{\gamma=0}^{n+1} d_\gamma(t_1, \dots, t_n) M_r^{(\gamma)} + e(t_1, \dots, t_n) V_r^{(n)}, \quad r = 1, \dots, n. \quad (8.2)$$

Let us first discuss the dependence of  $e(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  on times  $t_r$ . From Theorem 1 and the Frobenius condition (3.11) it follows that for the time-dependent coefficient  $e$  at  $\lambda_i^n$  in the non-magnetic system

$$\frac{\partial e(\lambda)}{\partial t_r} = 0 \quad \text{for } m = 0, \dots, n, \quad \frac{\partial e(\lambda)}{\partial t_r} = e(\lambda) \delta_{1,r} \quad \text{for } m = n+1. \quad (8.3)$$

Thus,  $e(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \bar{b} = \text{const}$  for  $m = 0, \dots, n$  and  $e(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \bar{b} \exp(t_1)$  for  $m = n+1$ .

Rearranging terms in (8.1), we obtain

$$\sum_{\alpha=-m}^{2n-m+2} c'_\alpha(t) \lambda_i^\alpha + \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_i^{n-r} h_r^B = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i^m \left( \mu_i - \sum_{\gamma=0}^{n+1} d_\gamma(t) \lambda_i^{\gamma-m} \right)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n v_{ik}(\lambda) \mu_k, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (8.4)$$

where  $2n+3$  functions  $c'_\alpha(t)$  are uniquely defined through the polynomial relation

$$\sum_{\alpha=-m}^{2n-m+2} c'_\alpha(t) \lambda^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^m \left( \sum_{\gamma=0}^{n+1} d_\gamma(t) \lambda^{\gamma-m} \right)^2 + e(t) \lambda^n. \quad (8.5)$$

The form (8.4) of quasi-separation relations (8.1) indicates the existence of a very natural, time dependent change of coordinates, that will allow us to rewrite (8.4) as a *non-magnetic* system from the class (4.1). Indeed, let us rewrite quasi-separation relations (8.4) in new variables  $\lambda'_i, \mu'_i$  related to  $\lambda_i, \mu_i$  through the canonical transformation depending on all times

$$\lambda'_i = \frac{\partial F(\lambda, \mu', t)}{\partial \mu'_i} = \lambda_i, \quad \mu_i = \frac{\partial F(\lambda, \mu', t)}{\partial \lambda_i} = \mu'_i + \sum_{\gamma=0}^{n+1} d_\gamma(t_1, \dots, t_n) \lambda_i^{\gamma-m}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (8.6)$$

generated by

$$F(\lambda, \mu', t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \lambda_i \mu'_i + \sum_{\gamma=0, \gamma \neq m-1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\gamma - m + 1} d_\gamma(t) \lambda_i^{\gamma-m+1} + d_{m-1}(t) \ln \lambda_i \right), \quad (8.7)$$

(we stress that this transformation depends on  $m$ ). We find that Hamiltonians  $h_r^B$ , calculated from new separation relations, are of the form

$$h_r^B(\lambda, \mu', t) = E'_r + W'_r + \sum_{\alpha=-m}^{2n-m+2} c'_\alpha(t) V_r^{(\alpha)} + S_r(t, \lambda), \quad (8.8)$$

where  $E'_r$  and  $W'_r$  are obtained from  $E_r$ ,  $W_r$  by replacing each  $\mu_i$  by  $\mu'_i$ , and where  $S_r = W_r - W'_r$ . Note that (8.8) do indeed have the non-magnetic form (4.2).

Let us now calculate the functions  $S_r(t, \lambda)$  explicitly. In order to do it we introduce the following notation:

$$Z_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and further

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_1 &= d_{n+1}(t) Z_1 \\ \mathcal{Z}_r &= \sum_{k=1}^r d_{n-r+1+k}(t) Z_k, \quad r \in I_1^m, \\ \mathcal{Z}_r &= - \sum_{k=1}^{n-r+1} d_{n-r+1-k}(t) Z_{-k}, \quad r \in I_2^m. \end{aligned} \quad (8.9)$$

Note that contrary to  $Z_k$  the functions  $\mathcal{Z}_r$  on  $Q$  do depend both on  $t$  and on  $m$ . We are now in position to formulate the following theorem.

**Theorem 3** *The functions  $S_r = W_r - W'_r$  are given by*

$$S_1 = -\mathcal{Z}_1 - d_{n+1}(t) V_1^{(n)} = 0, \quad (8.10a)$$

$$S_r = -(r-1) d_{n-r+1}(t) - \mathcal{Z}_r - d_{n+1}(t) V_r^{(n)}, \quad r \in I_1^m, \quad (8.10b)$$

$$S_r = (n-r+1) d_{n-r+1}(t) - \mathcal{Z}_r - d_{n+1}(t) V_r^{(n)}, \quad r \in I_2^m, \quad (8.10c)$$

The proof of this theorem can be found in Appendix B.

We demand that the Frobenius integrable systems, defined by the deformations (3.13) and (3.14) of Hamiltonians (4.2) and (8.2), are related by the multitime-dependent canonical transformation (8.6). Thus, according to the Hamilton-Jacobi theory of time-dependent canonical transformations (rational symplectic transformations [19]), we have for the same  $m$

$$H_r^A(\lambda, \mu', t) = H_r^B(\lambda, \mu', t) + \frac{\partial F(\lambda, \mu', t)}{\partial t_r}, \quad (8.11)$$

where, due to (8.7),

$$\frac{\partial F(\lambda, \mu', t)}{\partial t_r} = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\gamma - m + 1} \frac{\partial d_\gamma(t)}{\partial t_r} Z_{\gamma-m+1}. \quad (8.12)$$

(there is no singularity in (8.12) as  $d_{m-1}$  is always constant). Consider first the Hamiltonians  $h_r^B$  for which  $H_r^B = h_r^B$  (i.e. when  $r \in \{1, \dots, \kappa_1\} \cup \{n - \kappa_2 + 1, \dots, n\}$ , see again (3.13) and (3.14)). In such cases, according to (8.8), (8.11) and (4.14), relations (8.11) boil down to

$$\sum_{\alpha=-m}^{2n-m+2} c_\alpha(t) V_r^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{\alpha=-m}^{2n-m+2} c'_\alpha(t) V_r^{(\alpha)} + S_r(t, \lambda) + \frac{\partial F(\lambda, \mu', t)}{\partial t_r}, \quad r = 1, \dots, n, \quad (8.13)$$

where  $c_\alpha(t)$  are coefficients of Hamiltonians  $h_r^A$  (4.14). In order to satisfy this demand, it is necessary and sufficient to demand (since the terms in (8.13) that contain basic separable potentials must cancel on their own) both

$$c_\alpha(t) = c'_\alpha(t), \quad \alpha = -m, \dots, 2n - m + 2 \quad (8.14)$$

and

$$S_r(t, \lambda) + \frac{\partial F(\lambda, \mu', t)}{\partial t_r} = 0 \quad (8.15)$$

separately. Now, from (8.14) we get a unique relation between the set of coefficients  $\{c_{-m}(t), \dots, c_{2n-m+2}(t)\}$  of Hamiltonians (4.14) and the set of coefficients  $\{d_0(t), \dots, d_{n+1}(t), e(t)\}$  of Hamiltonians (8.2) by simple comparing the Laurent polynomials in  $\lambda$  from both sides of (8.5). Notice that in the case of canonical transformation the set of non-dynamical coefficients  $\{c_0(t), \dots, c_{n-1}(t)\}$  is uniquely determined. The remaining conditions (8.15) lead to a set of linear first-order PDE's for functions  $d_\gamma(t)$ ,  $\zeta_{r,j}(t)$  and  $\zeta_{r,r+j}(t)$ , respectively. Actually, according to (8.10a)–(8.10c) and (8.9)

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t_r} = \mathcal{Z}_r, \quad r \in \{1, \dots, \kappa_1\} \cup \{n+1-\kappa_2, \dots, n\}. \quad (8.16)$$

For the remaining values of  $r$  we have to take in (8.16) an appropriate time dependent linear combinations of  $\mathcal{Z}_r$  derived for geodesic parts in Section 3 (given by (3.13) and (3.14)). Thus, we have to require that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t_r} &= \sum_{j=1}^r \zeta_{r,j}(t_1, \dots, t_{r-1}) \mathcal{Z}_j, \quad r \in \{\kappa_1 + 1, \dots, n-m+1\} \subset I_1^m, \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial t_r} &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-r} \zeta_{r,r+j}(t_{r+1}, \dots, t_n) \mathcal{Z}_{n+j}, \quad r \in \{n-m+2, \dots, n-\kappa_2\} \subset I_2^m. \end{aligned} \quad (8.17)$$

Comparing coefficients at  $Z_k$  in (8.16) and in (8.17) on one side and in (8.12) on the other side we obtain, after some calculations, the following theorem.

**Theorem 4** *The Frobenius integrable systems defined by the deformations (3.13) and (3.14) of Hamiltonians defined by (4.2) and by (8.2) are related by the canonical transformation (8.6) provided that functions  $d_\gamma$  satisfy the following set of linear first-order PDE's:*

1. For  $r \in \{1, \dots, \kappa_1\} \subset \{1\} \cup I_1^m$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial d_\gamma}{\partial t_r} &= 0, \quad \gamma \neq m, \dots, m+r-1 \\ \frac{\partial d_\gamma}{\partial t_r} &= (\gamma - m + 1) d_{n-m+2+\gamma-r}, \quad \gamma = m, \dots, m+r-1. \end{aligned} \quad (8.18)$$

2. For  $r \in \{\kappa_1 + 1, \dots, n-m+1\} \subset I_1^m$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial d_\gamma}{\partial t_r} &= 0, \quad \gamma \neq m, \dots, m+r-1 \\ \frac{\partial d_\gamma}{\partial t_r} &= (\gamma - m + 1) \sum_{j=\gamma-m+1}^r \zeta_{r,j}(t_1, \dots, t_{r-1}) d_{n-m+2+\gamma-j}, \quad \gamma = m, \dots, m+r-1. \end{aligned} \quad (8.19)$$

3. For  $r \in \{n-m+2, \dots, n-\kappa_2\} \subset I_2^m$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial d_\gamma}{\partial t_r} &= 0, \quad \gamma \neq r - (n-m+2), \dots, m-2 \\ \frac{\partial d_\gamma}{\partial t_r} &= -(\gamma - m + 1) \sum_{j=0}^{n-m+2+\gamma-r} \zeta_{r,r+j}(t_{r+1}, \dots, t_n) d_{n-m+2+\gamma-r-j}, \quad \gamma = r - (n-m+2), \dots, m-2. \end{aligned} \quad (8.20)$$

4. For  $r \in \{n+1-\kappa_2, \dots, n\} \subset I_2^m$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial d_\gamma}{\partial t_r} &= 0, \quad \gamma \neq r - (n-m+2), \dots, m-2 \\ \frac{\partial d_\gamma}{\partial t_r} &= -(\gamma - m + 1) d_{n-m+2+\gamma-r}, \quad \gamma = r - (n-m+2), \dots, m-2. \end{aligned} \quad (8.21)$$

Besides, the functions  $\zeta_{r,j}(t_1, \dots, t_{r-1})$  and  $\zeta_{r,r+j}(t_{r+1}, \dots, t_n)$  in (3.13)–(3.14) can be calculated from the system of first-order PDE's resulting from the compatibility conditions

$$\frac{\partial^2 d_\gamma}{\partial t_r \partial t_s} = \frac{\partial^2 d_\gamma}{\partial t_s \partial t_r}, \quad r, s = 1, \dots, n \quad (8.22)$$

if we choose all integration constants in (8.22) to be zero.

The above system of first order PDE's for coefficients  $\zeta_{r,j}$ ,  $\zeta_{r,r+j}$  and  $d_\gamma$  can be solved recursively, giving explicit time dependence for each  $\zeta_{r,j}(t_1, \dots, t_{r-1})$  and  $\zeta_{r,r+j}(t_{r+1}, \dots, t_n)$  from (3.13) and (3.14) and for each  $d_\gamma(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  from (8.1). The same coefficients were derived in Sections 3, 6 and 7, separately for each case, directly from Frobenius conditions (3.11).

Comparing coefficients at equal powers of  $x$  in (8.5) we obtain the map

$$(b_0, \dots, b_{n+1}, \bar{b}) \rightarrow (a_{-m}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_n, \dots, a_{2n-m+2}) \quad (8.23)$$

between the parameters  $(b_0, \dots, b_{n+1}, \bar{b})$  of the magnetic representation and the dynamical parameters  $(a_{-m}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_n, \dots, a_{2n-m+2})$  of the non-magnetic representation. It turns that this map is not surjective so that not all non-magnetic systems have their magnetic counterparts.

Finally, the coefficients  $c_\alpha(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ ,  $\alpha = -m, \dots, 2n - m + 2$  from (4.14) are reconstructed by the relations (8.23), (8.14).

Let us illustrate this theorem by three examples.

**Example 5** For  $n = 3$  and  $m = 0$  as  $H_r^B = h_r^B$  for all  $r$  so, according to (8.18), we get the following set of first order PDE's for  $d_0, \dots, d_4$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial d_4}{\partial t_k} &= 0, & \frac{\partial d_3}{\partial t_k} &= 0, & k &= 1, 2, 3, \\ \frac{\partial d_2}{\partial t_1} &= 0, & \frac{\partial d_2}{\partial t_2} &= 0, & \frac{\partial d_2}{\partial t_3} &= 3d_4, \\ \frac{\partial d_1}{\partial t_1} &= 0, & \frac{\partial d_1}{\partial t_2} &= 2d_4, & \frac{\partial d_1}{\partial t_3} &= 2d_3, \\ \frac{\partial d_0}{\partial t_1} &= d_4, & \frac{\partial d_0}{\partial t_2} &= d_3, & \frac{\partial d_0}{\partial t_3} &= d_2, \end{aligned}$$

which are solved recursively to

$$\begin{aligned} d_4(t) &= b_4, & d_3(t) &= b_3, & d_2(t) &= b_2 + 3b_4 t_3, & d_1(t) &= b_1 + 2b_3 t_3 + 2b_4 t_2, \\ d_0(t) &= b_0 + b_2 t_3 + b_3 t_2 + b_4(t_1 + \frac{3}{2}t_3^2) \end{aligned}$$

obtained previously in (7.1). Moreover, using (8.5) and (8.14) we easily reconstruct the coefficients  $c_\alpha(t_1, t_2, t_3)$  in Hamiltonians (5.9), which yields the map (8.23) that for dynamical parameters  $a_k$  reads:

$$\begin{aligned} a_8 &= \frac{1}{2}b_4^2, & a_7 &= b_3 b_4, & a_6 &= \frac{1}{2}b_3^2 + b_2 b_4, & a_5 &= b_1 b_4 + b_2 b_3, \\ a_4 &= \frac{1}{2}b_2^2 + b_0 b_4 + b_1 b_3, & a_3 &= b_0 b_3 + b_1 b_2 + \bar{b} - b_4. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 6** For  $n = 3$  and  $m = 1$ , as  $H_1^B = h_1^B$ ,  $H_2^B = h_2^B$  and  $H_3^B = h_3^B + \zeta_1(t_1, t_2)h_1^B + \zeta_2(t_1, t_2)h_2^B$ ,

so, according to (8.22), (8.18) and (8.19), we get the following set of first order PDE's

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \zeta_2}{\partial t_1} &= 0, & \frac{\partial \zeta_2}{\partial t_2} &= 0, & \frac{\partial \zeta_1}{\partial t_1} &= 0, & \frac{\partial \zeta_1}{\partial t_2} &= 1, \\
\frac{\partial d_4}{\partial t_k} &= 0, & \frac{\partial d_0}{\partial t_k} &= 0, & k &= 1, 2, 3, \\
\frac{\partial d_3}{\partial t_1} &= 0, & \frac{\partial d_3}{\partial t_2} &= 0, & \frac{\partial d_3}{\partial t_3} &= 3d_4, \\
\frac{\partial d_2}{\partial t_1} &= 0, & \frac{\partial d_2}{\partial t_2} &= 2d_4, & \frac{\partial d_2}{\partial t_3} &= 2d_3 + 2\zeta_2 d_4, \\
\frac{\partial d_1}{\partial t_1} &= d_4, & \frac{\partial d_1}{\partial t_2} &= d_3, & \frac{\partial d_1}{\partial t_3} &= d_2 + \zeta_2 d_3 + \zeta_1 d_4,
\end{aligned}$$

which are solved recursively to

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_2(t) &= 0, & \zeta_1(t) &= t_2, & d_4(t) &= b_4, & d_0(t) &= b_0, \\
d_3(t) &= b_3 + 3b_4 t_3, & d_2(t) &= b_2 + 2b_3 t_3 + b_4(3t_3^2 + 2t_2), \\
d_1(t) &= b_1 + b_2 t_3 + b_3(t_3^2 + t_2) + b_4(t_3^3 + 3t_2 t_3 + t_1),
\end{aligned} \tag{8.24}$$

obtained previously in (7.2). Again, the formulas (8.5) and (8.14) reconstruct coefficients  $c_\alpha(t_1, t_2, t_3)$  of the case (5.11), and the map (8.23) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_7 &= \frac{1}{2}b_4^2, & a_6 &= b_3 b_4, & a_5 &= \frac{1}{2}b_3^2 + b_2 b_4, & a_4 &= b_1 b_4 + b_2 b_3, \\
a_3 &= \frac{1}{2}b_2^2 + b_0 b_4 + b_1 b_3 + \bar{b} - b_4, & a_{-1} &= \frac{1}{2}b_0^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.25}$$

**Example 7** Finally, for  $n = 3$  and  $m = 4$ , as again  $H_r^B = h_r^B$  for all  $r$  so, according to (8.18) and (8.21) we get the following set of first order PDE's

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial d_3}{\partial t_k} &= 0, & \frac{\partial d_0}{\partial t_k} &= 0, & k &= 1, 2, 3, \\
\frac{\partial d_4}{\partial t_1} &= d_4, & \frac{\partial d_4}{\partial t_2} &= 0, & \frac{\partial d_4}{\partial t_3} &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial d_1}{\partial t_1} &= 0, & \frac{\partial d_1}{\partial t_2} &= 2d_0, & \frac{\partial d_1}{\partial t_3} &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial d_2}{\partial t_1} &= 0, & \frac{\partial d_2}{\partial t_2} &= d_1, & \frac{\partial d_2}{\partial t_3} &= d_0,
\end{aligned}$$

which are solved to

$$d_0(t) = b_0, \quad d_3(t) = b_3, \quad d_4(t) = b_4 \exp(t_1), \quad d_1(t) = 2b_0 t_2 + b_1, \quad d_2(t) = b_0(t_3 + t_2^2) + b_1 t_2 + b_2,$$

obtained previously in (7.3). The formulas (8.5) and (8.14) reconstruct the coefficients  $c_\alpha(t_1, t_2, t_3)$  of the case (5.15), yielding the map (8.23) given by

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{2}b_4^2, \quad a_3 = -\frac{1}{2}b_4^2 + b_3 b_4 + \bar{b} - b_4, \quad a_{-1} = b_0 b_3 + b_1 b_2, \quad a_{-2} = \frac{1}{2}b_1^2 + b_2 b_0, \quad a_{-3} = b_1 b_0, \quad a_{-4} = \frac{1}{2}b_0^2.$$

An elementary calculation using Lemma 1 shows that in the Viète coordinates (3.9) the transformation (8.6) takes the form

$$q_i = q'_i, \quad p_i = p'_i + \sum_{\gamma=0}^{n+1} d_\gamma(t_1, \dots, t_n) V_1^{(n-m-i+\gamma)}. \tag{8.26}$$

Also the functions  $Z_k$  are expressible by basic separable potentials (see B.3).

**Example 8** Let us relate the Hamiltonian system from Example 4 with the Hamiltonian system from Example 2. For  $n = 3$ ,  $m = 1$  and  $b_0 = b_1 = b_2 = b_4 = \bar{b} = 0$ ,  $b_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ , the relation (8.24) becomes

$$d_0(t) = 0, \quad d_1 = b_3(t_2 + t_3^2), \quad d_2(t) = 2b_3t_3, \quad d_3(t) = b_3, \quad d_4(t) = 0.$$

Moreover, in accordance with (B.3)

$$Z_1 = -q_1, \quad Z_2 = q_1^2 - 2q_2, \quad Z_3 = -q_1^3 + 3q_1q_2 - 3q_3,$$

so that (8.16) and (8.17) become

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t_1} &= \mathcal{Z}_1 = d_4Z_1 = 0, & \frac{\partial F}{\partial t_2} &= \mathcal{Z}_2 = d_3Z_1 + d_4Z_2 = -b_3q_1, \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial t_3} &= \mathcal{Z}_3 + t_2\mathcal{Z}_1 = d_3Z_2 + d_2Z_1 = b_3(q_1^2 - 2q_2) - 2b_3t_3q_1, \end{aligned}$$

while the transformation (8.26) specifies to

$$\begin{aligned} q_i &= q'_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ p_1 &= p'_1 + b_3V_1^{(4)} + 2b_3t_3V_1^{(3)} + b_3(t_2 + t_3^2)V_1^{(2)} = p'_1 + b_3(q_2 - q_1^2) + 2b_3t_3q_1 - b_3(t_2 + t_3^2), \\ p_2 &= p'_2 + b_3V_1^{(3)} + 2b_3t_3V_1^{(2)} + b_3(t_2 + t_3^2)V_1^{(1)} = p'_2 + b_3q_1 - 2b_3t_3, \\ p_3 &= p'_3 - b_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then, according to our theory (cf. (8.11)) and provided that (see (8.25))  $a_5 = \frac{1}{2}b_3^2$ :

$$H_r^A(q, p', t) = H_r^B(q, p', t) + \frac{\partial F(q, p', t)}{\partial t_r}, \quad r = 1, \dots, n$$

while the non-dynamical parameters in  $H_r^A$  are given by

$$c_0 = 2b_3(t_2 + t_3^2)(b_3t_2t_3 + 1), \quad c_1 = \frac{1}{2}b_3^2(t_2^2 + t_3^4 + 2t_2t_3^2) + 2b_3t_3, \quad c_2 = 2b_3^2(t_2 + t_3^2)t_3,$$

which is another particular solution of (4.17) in Example 2.

As we mentioned above, the map  $(b_0, \dots, b_{n+1}, \bar{b}) \rightarrow (a_{-m}, \dots, a_{-1}, a_n, \dots, a_{2n-m+2})$  is not bijective, not every system with ordinary potential has a representation with magnetic potential. To illustrate such a case, let us consider the non-autonomous Hénon-Heiles system from Example 3. For the case  $n = 2$  and  $m = 1$  we get

$$d_3(t) = b_3, \quad d_2(t) = b_2 + 2b_3t_2, \quad d_1(t) = b_1 + b_2t_2 + b_3(t_1 + t_2^2), \quad d_0 = b_0$$

and the map (8.23) is

$$a_5 = \frac{1}{2}b_3^2, \quad a_4 = b_2b_3, \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{2}b_2^2 + b_1b_3, \quad a_2 = b_0b_3 + b_1b_2 + \bar{b} - b_3, \quad a_{-1} = \frac{1}{2}b_0. \quad (8.27)$$

For the non-autonomous Hénon-Heiles system in Example 3 we have  $a_5 = a_3 = a_2 = 0$ ,  $a_4 = -1$ ,  $a_{-1} = -\frac{1}{4}\alpha$  and for such a choice the system (8.27) has no solutions for  $(b_0, \dots, b_3, \bar{b})$ . In consequence, the non-autonomous Hénon-Heiles system has no equivalent representation with magnetic potentials.

**Remark 3** Let us observe that for non-autonomous Hamiltonians  $H_k$ ,  $k \in I_2^m$ , the evolution parameter  $t_{n+2-m}$  enters through the exponential function. Thus we can introduce new evolution parameter

$$t'_{n+2-m} = \exp(t_{n+2-m}) \rightarrow \frac{d}{dt_{n+2-m}} = t'_{n+2-m} \frac{d}{dt'_{n+2-m}}$$

so that

$$\xi_{t'_{n+2-m}} = Y_{n+2-m}(\xi, t_1, \dots, t'_{n+2-m}, \dots, t_n) = \pi d \left( \frac{1}{t'_{n+2-m}} H_r(\xi, t_1, \dots, t'_{n+2-m}, \dots, t_n) \right).$$



## 9 One-dimensional magnetic systems and Painlevé equations

We will now demonstrate how the trivial case  $n = 1$  with  $m = 0, 1, 2$  leads to the well known Painlevé equations  $P_I - P_{IV}$ . The magnetic separation relations (8.1) take in this case the simple form

$$e(t)\lambda_1 + [d_0(t) + d_1(t)\lambda_1 + d_2(t)\lambda_1^2] \mu_1 + h^B = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^m \mu_1^2$$

with  $m$  being either 1, 2 or 3 (note that the quasi-Stäckel term is absent now) and thus, the Hamiltonian with magnetic potential written in the Viète coordinates  $\lambda_1 = -q$  and  $\mu_1 = p$  is

$$h^B = \frac{1}{2}(-q)^m p^2 - [d_0(t) - d_1(t)q + d_2(t)q^2] p + e(t)q. \quad (9.1)$$

The formulas (8.16) and (8.17) reduce to

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = d_2 Z_1 = -d_2 q$$

while the set of PDE's (8.18)–(8.21) in Theorem 4 has the solution

for $m = 0$ :	$d_2(t) = b_2,$	$d_1(t) = b_1,$	$d_0(t) = b_2 t + b_0,$	with $e(t) = \bar{b},$
for $m = 1$ :	$d_2(t) = b_2,$	$d_0(t) = b_0,$	$d_1(t) = b_2 t + b_1,$	with $e(t) = \bar{b},$
for $m = 2$ :	$d_0(t) = b_0,$	$d_1(t) = b_1,$	$d_2(t) = b_2 \exp(t),$	with $e(t) = \bar{b} \exp(t).$

Let us now compute, with the help of Theorem 4 and the transformation formula (8.11), the non-magnetic representations of the Hamiltonian (9.1). Consider first the case  $m = 0$ . Applying in this case the transformation formula (8.11) to (9.1) we get (up to terms independent on  $q$  and  $p$ ), the non-magnetic Hamiltonian

$$h^A = \frac{1}{2}p^2 - a_4 q^4 + a_3 q^3 - (2a_4 t + a_2)q^2 + (a_3 t + a_1)q$$

where, according with our theory

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{2}b_2^2, \quad a_3 = b_1 b_2, \quad a_2 = \frac{1}{2}b_1^2 + b_0 b_2, \quad a_1 = b_0 b_1 + \bar{b} - b_2.$$

Eliminating  $p$  from the corresponding Hamiltonian equations of motion we get

$$q_{tt} = 4a_4 q^3 - 3a_3 q^2 + 2(2a_4 t + a_2)q - (a_3 t + a_1). \quad (9.2)$$

For  $a_4 = a_2 = a_1 = 0$  and  $a_3 = -1$  this equation reduces to

$$q_{tt} = 3q^2 + t, \quad (9.3)$$

which after rescaling  $q \rightarrow 2^{\frac{2}{3}}q$ ,  $t \rightarrow 2^{\frac{1}{3}}t$  becomes the Painlevé I equation

$$q_{tt} = 6q^2 + t,$$

while for  $a_4 = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $a_3 = a_2 = 0$  and  $a_1 = -\alpha$  the equation (9.2) reduces to

$$q_{tt} = q^3 + tq + \alpha, \quad (9.4)$$

which up to the rescaling  $q \rightarrow 2^{\frac{1}{2}}q$ ,  $\alpha \rightarrow 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha$  is the Painlevé II equation

$$q_{tt} = 2q^3 + tq + \alpha.$$

Observe that from (8.5) it follows that Painlevé I equation (9.3) does not have any representation with magnetic potential, while Painlevé II equation (9.4) has the magnetic representation (9.1) with  $b_0 = b_1 = 0$ ,  $b_2 = 2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\bar{b} = \alpha$ .

For  $m = 1$ , the corresponding non-magnetic Hamiltonian attains the form

$$h^A = -\frac{1}{2}qp^2 + a_3q^3 - (2a_3t + a_2)q^2 + (a_3t^2 + a_2t + a_1)q + a_{-1}q^{-1}, \quad (9.5)$$

(again up to terms independent on  $q$  and  $p$ ) where

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{2}b_2^2, \quad a_2 = b_1b_2, \quad a_1 = \frac{1}{2}b_1^2 + b_0b_2 + \bar{b} - b_2, \quad a_{-1} = \frac{1}{2}b_0^2. \quad (9.6)$$

Eliminating  $p$  from Hamiltonian equations of motion we obtain

$$qq_{tt} = \frac{1}{2}q_t^2 + 3a_3q^4 - 2(2a_3t + a_2)q^3 + (a_3t^2 + a_2t + a_1)q^2 - a_{-1}. \quad (9.7)$$

For  $a_3 = 1$  and  $a_2 = 0$  the equation (9.7) reduces to

$$qq_{tt} = \frac{1}{2}q_t^2 + 3q^4 - 4tq^3 + (t^2 + a_1)q^2 - a_{-1} \quad (9.8)$$

which after rescaling  $t \rightarrow 2^{\frac{1}{4}}t$ ,  $q \rightarrow -2^{-\frac{3}{4}}q$ ,  $2^{-\frac{1}{2}}a_1 = \alpha$  and  $4a_{-1} = \beta$  is the Painlevé IV equation

$$qq_{tt} = \frac{1}{2}q_t^2 + \frac{3}{2}q^4 + 4tq^3 + 2(t^2 - \alpha)q^2 + \beta.$$

Observe that from (9.6) it follows that the equation (9.8), and thus so Painlevé IV, has the magnetic representation (9.1) for  $b_1 = 0$  and  $\frac{1}{2}b_2^2 = 1$ .

Finally, for  $m = 2$ , the corresponding non-magnetic Hamiltonian becomes

$$h^A = \frac{1}{t'} \left( \frac{1}{2}q^2p^2 - a_2t'^2q^2 + a_1t'q + a_{-1}q^{-1} - a_{-2}q^{-2} \right),$$

where

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{2}b_2^2, \quad a_1 = b_1b_2 + \bar{b} - b_2, \quad a_{-1} = b_0b_1, \quad a_{-2} = \frac{1}{2}b_0^2$$

and

$$t'qq_{t't'} = t'q_{t'}^2 - qq_{t'} + 2a_2t'q^4 - a_1q^3 + a_{-1}\frac{1}{t'}q - 2a_{-2}\frac{1}{t'}, \quad (9.9)$$

where, according to Remark 3,  $t' = \exp(t)$ . The transformation

$$t' = \frac{1}{2}\tau^2, \quad q = \frac{1}{\tau}w,$$

turns (9.9) to the form

$$\tau ww_{\tau\tau} = \tau w_{\tau}^2 - ww_{\tau} + 2a_2\tau w^4 - 2a_1w^3 + 4a_{-1}w - 8a_{-2}\tau$$

which is exactly the Painlevé III equation

$$\tau ww_{\tau\tau} = \tau w_{\tau}^2 - ww_{\tau} + \gamma\tau w^4 + \alpha w^3 + \beta w + \delta\tau$$

with

$$-8a_{-2} = \delta, \quad 4a_{-1} = \beta, \quad -2a_1 = \alpha, \quad 2a_2 = \gamma.$$

Thus, within our formalism, we have rediscovered the first four one-field Painlevé equations  $P_I - P_{IV}$ .

## Appendix A

In this Appendix we prove Theorem 1. We prove only the case (i) of the theorem as other cases can be treated similarly. We start by proving (4.9), that is, we will prove that for any  $r, s \in \{1\} \cup I_1^m = \{1, 2, \dots, n - m + 1\}$  and for any  $k = 1, \dots, m$

$$\left\{h_r^{(-k)}, h_s^{(-k)}\right\} = (s - r)h_{r+s+m-n-2}^{(-k)}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where

$$h_r^{(-k)} = h_r + V_r^{(-k)} = E_r + W_r + V_r^{(-k)}.$$

Note first that  $0 < m \leq n - 1$  in this case as for  $m = 0$  there is no  $k$ . We have, due to (3.7) and since the Stäckel Hamiltonians  $E_r + V_r^{(-k)}$  and  $E_s + V_s^{(-k)}$  Poisson commute,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{h_r^{(-k)}, h_s^{(-k)}\right\} &= \left\{h_r + V_r^{(-k)}, h_s + V_s^{(-k)}\right\} = \left\{E_r + W_r + V_r^{(-k)}, E_s + W_s + V_s^{(-k)}\right\} \\ &= (s - r)(E_{r+s+m-n-2} + W_{r+s+m-n-2}) + \left\{W_r, V_s^{(-k)}\right\} + \left\{V_r^{(-k)}, W_s\right\} \end{aligned}$$

so in order to prove (A.1) it suffices to prove that for any  $r, s \in \{1\} \cup I_1^m = \{1, 2, \dots, n - m + 1\}$  and for any  $k = 1, \dots, m$

$$\left\{W_r, V_s^{(-k)}\right\} + \left\{V_r^{(-k)}, W_s\right\} = (s - r)V_{r+s+m-n-2}^{(-k)}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Note first that the following relations hold:

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\frac{\partial V_r^{(-k)}}{\partial q_i} = \frac{\partial V_r^{(-k+1)}}{\partial q_{i+1}} + \delta_{r,i+1}V_1^{(-k)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

for  $r = 1, \dots, n$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$V_r^{(-k)} = V_{r-1}^{(-k+1)} - \frac{q_{r-1}}{q_n}V_n^{(-k+1)} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

and

$$V_1^{(-k)} = -\frac{1}{q_n}V_n^{(-k+1)}, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where of course (A.5) follows from (A.4). The recursion (A.4) can be reversed yielding for  $r = 1, \dots, n$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$V_r^{(k+1)} = V_{r+1}^{(k)} - q_r V_1^{(k)}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Further

$$\frac{\partial W_r}{\partial p_i} = (n + 1 - m - i)q_{r+i+m-n-2}, \quad \text{where } q_j = 0 \text{ if } j > n \text{ or } j < 0. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

For  $r, s \in I_1^m = \{2, \dots, n - m + 1\}$  and  $0 < m < n - 1$  we will prove (A.2) by induction. Since in the process of induction we will pass between systems with different  $m$ , we will in the rest of the proof denote  $W_r$  with a given  $m$  by  $W_{m,r}$  and likewise  $h_r^{(-k)}$  with a given  $m$  by  $h_{m,r}^{(-k)}$  and  $h_r^{(n+k)}$  with a given  $m$  by  $h_{m,r}^{(n+k)}$ . We start from the easy proved relations for  $k = 1$  and  $0 < m < n - 1$

$$\left\{V_r^{(-1)}, W_{m,s}\right\} + \left\{W_{m,r}, V_s^{(-1)}\right\} = (s - r)V_{r+s+m-n-2}^{(-1)}.$$

Assuming now that (A.2) is true for a pair  $(k, m)$  such that  $k \leq m \leq n - 2$ , we will prove that the same formula is valid for the pair  $(k + 1, m + 1)$  for all  $r, s \in I_1^{m+1}$ , which will prove that (A.2) is valid for any

$r, s \in I_1^m = \{2, \dots, n - m + 1\}$  and for any  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . The induction terminates when  $m = n - 2$ . Observe also that the functions  $W_{m,s}$  do not depend on  $p_{n-m+1}, \dots, p_n$ . Then, for  $r, s = 2, \dots, n - m$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ V_r^{(-k-1)}, W_{m+1,s} \right\} + \left\{ W_{m+1,r}, V_s^{(-k-1)} \right\} \\
& \stackrel{(A.7)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n-(m+1)} (n-m-i) \left[ q_{s+i+m-n-1} \frac{\partial V_r^{(-k-1)}}{\partial q_i} - q_{r+i+m-n-1} \frac{\partial V_s^{(-k-1)}}{\partial q_i} \right] \\
& \stackrel{(A.3)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n-(m+1)} (n-m-i) \left[ q_{s+i+m-n-1} \left( \frac{\partial V_r^{(-k)}}{\partial q_{i+1}} + \delta_{r,i+1} V_1^{(-k-1)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - q_{r+i+m-n-1} \left( \frac{\partial V_s^{(-k)}}{\partial q_{i+1}} + \delta_{s,i+1} V_1^{(-k-1)} \right) \right] \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^{n-(m+1)} (n-m-i) \left[ q_{s+i+m-n-1} \frac{\partial V_r^{(-k)}}{\partial q_{i+1}} - q_{r+i+m-n-1} \frac{\partial V_s^{(-k)}}{\partial q_{i+1}} \right] + (s-r) q_{r+s+m-n-2} V_1^{(-k-1)} \\
& = \sum_{i=2}^{n-m} (n+1-m-i) \left[ q_{s+i+m-n-2} \frac{\partial V_r^{(-k)}}{\partial q_i} - q_{r+i+m-n-2} \frac{\partial V_s^{(-k)}}{\partial q_i} \right] + (s-r) q_{r+s+m-n-2} V_1^{(-k-1)} \\
& = \left\{ V_r^{(-k)}, W_{m,s} \right\} + \left\{ W_{m,r}, V_s^{(-k)} \right\} \\
& \quad - (n-m) \left[ q_{s+m-n-1} \frac{\partial V_r^{(-k)}}{\partial q_1} - q_{r+m-n-1} \frac{\partial V_s^{(-k)}}{\partial q_1} \right] + (s-r) q_{r+s+m-n-2} V_1^{(-k-1)} \\
& \stackrel{(A.2)}{=} (s-r) \left[ V_{r+s+m-n-2}^{(-k)} + q_{r+s+m-n-2} V_1^{(-k-1)} \right] \stackrel{(A.5)}{=} (s-r) \left[ V_{r+s+m-n-2}^{(-k)} - \frac{q_{r+s+m-n-2}}{q_n} V_n^{(-k)} \right] \\
& \stackrel{(A.4)}{=} (s-r) V_{r+s+m-n-1}^{(-k-1)},
\end{aligned}$$

thus (A.2) is valid for  $(k+1, m+1)$  which concludes the inductive proof. The fact that  $q_{s+m-n-1} = 0$  in the third line from below is since  $s+m-n-1 \leq -1$ . For the same reasons we have  $q_{r+m-n-1} = 0$ . Finally we have

$$\left\{ h_{m,1}^{(-k)}, h_{m,s}^{(-k)} \right\} = \left\{ V_1^{(-k)}, W_{m,s} \right\} = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m, \quad s \in I_1^m$$

as  $V_1^{(-k)} = V_1^{(-k)}(q_n, \dots, q_{n-k+1})$  and  $W_{m,s}$  functions do not depend on  $p_{n-m+1}, \dots, p_n$ .

Let us now prove (4.8), i.e. for any  $r, s \in I_1^m$  and for any  $k = 0, \dots, n - m + 2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\{ h_{m,r}^{(n+k)}, h_{m,s}^{(n+k)} \right\} &= (s-r) h_{m,r+s+m-n-2}^{(n+k)} \\
&+ (2r+k+m-n-2) V_s^{(r+k+m-2)} - (2s+k+m-n-2) V_r^{(s+k+m-2)}. \quad (A.8)
\end{aligned}$$

By a reasoning analogous to the one above, the proof of (A.8) boils down to proving that the following relation is valid for any  $r, s \in \{1\} \cup I_1^m$  and for any  $k = 0, \dots, n - m + 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\{ V_r^{(n+k)}, W_{m,s} \right\} + \left\{ W_{m,r}, V_s^{(n+k)} \right\} &= (s-r) V_{r+s+m-n-2}^{(n+k)} + (2r+m+k-n-2) V_s^{(r+m+k-2)} \\
&- (2s+m+k-n-2) V_r^{(s+m+k-2)}. \quad (A.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Again, we will proceed by induction. We start by noting that the formula (A.9) is easily proved for  $k = 0, \dots, 4$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq n - 1$  and  $r, s \in \{1\} \cup I_1^m = \{1, 2, \dots, n - m + 1\}$ . Assuming now that (A.9) is true for a pair  $(k, m)$  such that  $0 \leq k \leq n - m + 2$  we prove below that the same formula is valid for the pair  $(k-1, m+1)$  and for  $r, s \in \{1\} \cup I_1^{m+1}$ . The induction terminates if either  $k = 0$  or  $m = n - 1$ . We also remind that  $W_{m,s}$  do not depend on  $p_{n-m+1}, \dots, p_n$ . So, for  $r, s \in \{1\} \cup I_1^{m+1}$ ,  $m \leq n - 2$  and

$$k \leq n - m + 2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ V_r^{(n+k-1)}, W_{m+1,s} \right\} + \left\{ W_{m+1,r}, V_s^{(n+k-1)} \right\} \\
& \stackrel{(A.7)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n-(m+1)} (n-m-i) \left[ q_{s+i+m-n-1} \frac{\partial V_r^{(n+k-1)}}{\partial q_i} - q_{r+i+m-n-1} \frac{\partial V_s^{(n+k-1)}}{\partial q_i} \right] \\
& \stackrel{(A.3)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n-(m+1)} (n-m-i) \left[ q_{s+i+m-n-1} \left( \frac{\partial V_r^{(n+k)}}{\partial q_{i+1}} + \delta_{i+1,r} V_1^{(n+k-1)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - q_{r+i+m-n-1} \left( \frac{\partial V_s^{(n+k)}}{\partial q_{i+1}} + \delta_{i+1,s} V_1^{(n+k-1)} \right) \right] \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^{n-(m+1)} (n-m-i) \left[ q_{s+i+m-n-1} \frac{\partial V_r^{(n+k)}}{\partial q_{i+1}} - q_{r+i+m-n-1} \frac{\partial V_s^{(n+k)}}{\partial q_{i+1}} \right] + (s-r) q_{r+s+m-n-2} V_1^{(n+k-1)} \\
& = \sum_{i=2}^{n-m} (n+1-m-i) \left[ q_{s+i+m-n-2} \frac{\partial V_r^{(n+k)}}{\partial q_i} - q_{r+i+m-n-2} \frac{\partial V_s^{(n+k)}}{\partial q_i} \right] + (s-r) q_{r+s+m-n-2} V_1^{(n+k-1)} \\
& = \left\{ V_r^{(n+k)}, W_{m,s} \right\} + \left\{ W_{m,r}, V_s^{(n+k)} \right\} \\
& \quad - (n-m) \left[ q_{s+m-n-1} \frac{\partial V_r^{(n+k)}}{\partial q_1} - q_{r+m-n-1} \frac{\partial V_s^{(n+k)}}{\partial q_1} \right] + (s-r) q_{r+s+m-n-2} V_1^{(n+k-1)} \\
& \stackrel{(A.9)}{=} (s-r) V_{r+s+m-n-2}^{(n+k)} + (2r+m+k-n-2) V_s^{(r+m+k-2)} - (2s+m+k-n-2) V_r^{(s+m+k-2)} \\
& \quad + (s-r) q_{r+s+m-n-2} V_1^{(n+k-1)} \\
& = (s-r) \left[ V_{r+s+m-n-2}^{(n+k)} + q_{r+s+m-n-2} V_1^{(n+k-1)} \right] + (2r+m+k-n-2) V_s^{(r+m+k-2)} \\
& \quad - (2s+m+k-n-2) V_r^{(s+m+k-2)} \\
& \stackrel{(A.6)}{=} (s-r) V_{r+s+m-n-1}^{(n+k-1)} + (2r+m+k-n-2) V_s^{(r+m+k-2)} - (2s+m+k-n-2) V_r^{(s+m+k-2)}
\end{aligned}$$

which is exactly (A.9) for the pair  $(k-1, m+1)$ . Again,  $q_{s+m-n-1} = 0$  since  $s+m-n-1 \leq -1$ . This concludes the proof.

## Appendix B

The proof of (8.10) is immediate. In what follows we will present the proof of (8.10b). Formula (8.10c) can be proved analogically. With the help of (8.5) we have that

$$S_r = W_r - W'_r = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mu_i - \mu'_i) J_r^i = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\gamma=0}^{n+1} J_r^i d_\gamma \lambda_i^{\gamma-m},$$

where according to (3.4)

$$J_r^i = - \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} k \rho_{r-k-1} \frac{\lambda_i^{m+k-1}}{\Delta_i}, \quad r \in I_1^m.$$

Thus

$$S_r = - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\gamma=0}^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} k \rho_{r-k-1} d_\gamma \frac{\lambda_i^{\gamma+k-1}}{\Delta_i}. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Next, observe that by virtue of (4.3) the basic potentials  $V_k^{(\gamma)}$  can be written in the following way

$$V_k^{(\gamma)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho_k}{\partial \lambda_i} \frac{\lambda_i^\gamma}{\Delta_i} = - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \rho_s \frac{\lambda_i^{\gamma+k-s-1}}{\Delta_i},$$

where we have used the first identity in (6.5):

$$\frac{\partial \rho_k}{\partial \lambda_i} = - \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \rho_s \lambda_i^{k-s-1}.$$

Thus, the formula (B.1) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} S_r &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\gamma=0}^{n+1} \sum_{s=0}^{r-2} (r-s-1) d_\gamma \rho_s \frac{\lambda_i^{\gamma+r-s-2}}{\Delta_i} = - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\gamma=0}^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} d_\gamma \rho_s \frac{\lambda_i^{\gamma+r-s-2}}{\Delta_i} = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} d_\gamma V_k^{(\gamma+r-k-1)} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma=-n+r-1}^r d_{\gamma+n-r+1} \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} V_k^{(n+\gamma-k)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where we have used the identity

$$\sum_{s=0}^{r-2} (r-s-1) a_s = \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} a_s.$$

From (4.6) we get that  $V_k^{(n-k)} = -1$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  and  $V_k^{(n+\gamma-k)} = 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, r-1$  and  $\gamma = -n+r-1, \dots, -1$  or  $k = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\gamma = 1, \dots, k-1$ . With the help of these formulas the equation (B.2) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} S_r &= -(r-1) d_{n-r+1} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^r d_{\gamma+n-r+1} \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} V_k^{(n+\gamma-k)} \\ &= -(r-1) d_{n-r+1} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^r d_{\gamma+n-r+1} \sum_{k=1}^r V_k^{(n+\gamma-k)} - \sum_{\gamma=1}^r d_{\gamma+n-r+1} V_r^{(n+\gamma-r)} \\ &= -(r-1) d_{n-r+1} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^r d_{\gamma+n-r+1} \sum_{k=1}^\gamma V_k^{(n+\gamma-k)} - d_{n+1} V_r^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that

$$\sum_{k=1}^\gamma V_k^{(n+\gamma-k)} = -Z_\gamma, \quad \gamma = 1, \dots, r \quad (\text{B.3})$$

we get (8.10b). In what follows we will show that formula (B.3) indeed holds.

We will prove (B.3) by induction. For  $\gamma = 1$  this formula clearly holds since

$$V_1^{(n)} = \rho_1 = -\lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_n = -Z_1.$$

Now, let us fix  $s \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$  and assume that formula (B.3) holds for every  $\gamma < s$ . We will show that it holds for  $\gamma = s$ . Using the recursive formula (A.6)

$$V_k^{(\alpha)} = V_{k+1}^{(\alpha-1)} - \rho_k V_1^{(\alpha-1)} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^s V_k^{(n+s-k)} &= V_1^{(n+s-1)} + V_2^{(n+s-2)} + V_3^{(n+s-3)} + \dots + V_{s-1}^{(n+1)} + V_s^{(n)} \\ &= -\rho_1 V_1^{(n+s-2)} + 2V_2^{(n+s-2)} + V_3^{(n+s-3)} + \dots + V_{s-1}^{(n+1)} + V_s^{(n)} \\ &= -\rho_1 V_1^{(n+s-2)} - 2\rho_2 V_1^{(n+s-3)} + 3V_3^{(n+s-3)} + \dots + V_{s-1}^{(n+1)} + V_s^{(n)} \\ &= \dots = -\rho_1 V_1^{(n+s-2)} - 2\rho_2 V_1^{(n+s-3)} - 3\rho_3 V_1^{(n+s-4)} - \dots - (s-1)\rho_{s-1} V_1^{(n)} + sV_s^{(n)} \\ &= s\rho_s - \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} k\rho_k V_1^{(n+s-k-1)} = - \sum_{k=1}^s k\rho_k V_1^{(n+s-k-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Again using (B.4) and the inductive hypothesis we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^s V_k^{(n+s-k)} &= s\rho_s - \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} k\rho_k V_1^{(n+s-k-1)} = s\rho_s + \rho_1 \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} k\rho_k V_1^{(n+s-k-2)} - \sum_{k=1}^{s-2} k\rho_k V_2^{(n+s-k-2)} \\
&= s\rho_s + \rho_1 Z_{s-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{s-2} k\rho_k V_2^{(n+s-k-2)} \\
&= s\rho_s + \rho_1 Z_{s-1} + \rho_2 \sum_{k=1}^{s-2} k\rho_k V_1^{(n+s-k-3)} - \sum_{k=1}^{s-3} k\rho_k V_3^{(n+s-k-3)} \\
&= s\rho_s + \rho_1 Z_{s-1} + \rho_2 Z_{s-2} - \sum_{k=1}^{s-3} k\rho_k V_3^{(n+s-k-3)} \\
&= \cdots = s\rho_s + \rho_1 Z_{s-1} + \rho_2 Z_{s-2} + \cdots + \rho_{s-2} Z_2 - \sum_{k=1}^1 k\rho_k V_{s-1}^{(n-k+1)} \\
&= s\rho_s + \rho_1 Z_{s-1} + \rho_2 Z_{s-2} + \cdots + \rho_{s-2} Z_2 + \rho_{s-1} Z_1 = -Z_s,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the Newton's identity relating power sums and elementary symmetric polynomials (see for example [26]).

## Acknowledgements

Z. Domański has been partially supported by the grant 04/43/DSPB/0106 from the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education. M Błaszak wishes to express his gratitude for Department of Science, Linköping, University, Sweden, for their kind hospitality.

## References

- [1] M. Adams, J. Harnad, and J. Hurtubise, *Darboux coordinates and liouville-arnold integration in loop algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **155** (1993) 385-413.
- [2] S. Benenti, *Inertia tensors and Stäckel systems in the Euclidean spaces*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **50** (1992), no. 4, 315-341.
- [3] S. Benenti, *Intrinsic characterization of the variable separation in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation*, J. Math. Phys. **38** (1997), no. 12, 6578-6602.
- [4] M. Bertola, M. Cafasso, V. Rubtsov, *Noncommutative Painlevé Equations and Systems of Calogero Type*, Commun. Math. Phys. **363** (2018), 503-530.
- [5] M. Błaszak, *Separable systems with quadratic in momenta first integrals*, J. Phys. A **38** (2005), no. 8, 1667-1685.
- [6] M. Błaszak, A. Sergyeyev, *Natural coordinates for a class of Benenti systems*, Phys. Lett. A **365** (2007), no. 1-2, 28-33.
- [7] M. Błaszak, A. Sergyeyev, *Generalized Stäckel systems*, Phys. Lett. A **375** (2011), no. 27, 2617-2623.
- [8] M. Błaszak, K. Marciniak, A. Sergyeyev, *Deforming Lie algebras to Frobenius integrable non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems*, Rep. Math. Phys. **87** (2021) 249-263
- [9] M. Błaszak, *Non-autonomous Hénon-Heiles system from Painlevé class*, Phys. Lett. A **383** (2019), 2149-2152.
- [10] M. Błaszak, Z. Domański, *Lax representations for separable systems from Benenti class*, SIGMA **15** (2019), art. 045, 18 pages.

- [11] M. Błaszak, *Quantum versus Classical Mechanics and Integrability Problems*, Springer Nature, Switzerland AG, 2019.
- [12] M. Błaszak, K. Marciniak, *Stäckel transform of Lax equations*, *Stud Appl Math.* **145** (2020) no. 2, 179-196.
- [13] P. A. Clarkson, N. Joshi, M. Mazzocco, *The Lax pair for the mKdV hierarchy*, in *Théories asymptotiques et équations de Painlevé*, Sémin. Congr., Vol. 14, Soc. Math. France, Paris (2006), 53–64.
- [14] B. Dubrovin, A. Kapaev, *On an isomonodromy deformation equation without the Painlevé property*, *Russian J. Math. Phys.* **21** (2014), 9–35.
- [15] J.C. Eilbeck, V.Z. Enolskii, V.B. Kuznetsov, A.V. Tsiganov, *Linear r-matrix algebra for classical separable systems*, *J. Phys. A Gen. Math.* **27** (1994) 567
- [16] M. Fecko, *Differential geometry and Lie groups for physicists*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2006.
- [17] P.R. Gordoa, N. Joshi, A. Pickering, *On a generalized 2 + 1 dispersive water wave hierarchy*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **37** (2001), 327–347.
- [18] A. Fordy, *The Hénon-Heiles system revisited*, *Physica D* **52** (1991), 204–210.
- [19] K. Iwasaki, H. Kimura, S. Shimomura, M. Yoshida, *From Gauss to Painlevé. A Modern Theory of Special Functions*, Vieweg & Sohn Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, Braunschweig, 1991.
- [20] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and K. Ueno, *Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients. I. General theory and  $\tau$ -function*, *Physica D* **2** (1981) no. 2, 306-352.
- [21] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and K. Ueno, *Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients. II*, *Physica D* **2** (1981) no. 3, 407-448.
- [22] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and K. Ueno, *Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients. III*, *Physica D* **4** (1981) no. 1, 26-46.
- [23] T. Koike, *On new expressions of the Painlevé hierarchies*, in *Algebraic Analysis and the Exact WKB Analysis for Systems of Differential Equations*, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, Vol. B5, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto (2008), 153–198.
- [24] N.A. Kudryashov, *The first and second Painlevé equations of higher order and some relations between them*, *Phys. Lett. A* **224** (1997), 353–360.
- [25] A.T. Lundell, *A short proof of the Frobenius theorem*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **116** (1992), no. 4, 1131–1133.
- [26] Macdonald, I. G., *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Second edition. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [27] K. Marciniak, M. Błaszak, *Non-Homogeneous Hydrodynamic Systems and Quasi-Stäckel Hamiltonians*, *SIGMA* **13** (2017), art. 077, 15 pages.
- [28] V.G. Marikhin, V.V. Sokolov, *On quasi-Stäckel Hamiltonians*, *Russian Math. Surveys* **60** (2005), no. 5, 981–983.
- [29] V.G. Marikhin, *On three-dimensional quasi-Stäckel Hamiltonians*, *J. Phys. A* **47** (2014), no. 17, 175201.
- [30] M. Mazzocco, M.Y. Mo, *The Hamiltonian structure of the second Painlevé hierarchy*, *Nonlinearity* **23** (2007), 2846–2882.
- [31] E. Sklyanin, *Separation of variables. New trends*, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **118** (1995) 35
- [32] K. Takasaki, *Hamiltonian Structure of PI Hierarchy*, *SIGMA* **3** (2007), art. 042, 32 pages.