In and out of equilibrium quantum metrology with mean-field quantum criticality

Sascha Wald,1 Saulo V. Moreira,2 and Fernando L. Semião2

1 Max-Planck-Institut für Physik Komplexer Systeme, Nöthnitzer Straße 38, D-01187, Dresden, Germany
2 Centro de Ciências Naturais e Humanas, Universidade Federal do ABC - UFABC, Santo André, Brazil

We study the influence that collective transition phenomena have on quantum metrological protocols. The single spherical quantum spin (SQS) serves as stereotypical toy model that allows analytical insights on a mean-field level. First, we focus on equilibrium quantum criticality in the SQS and obtain the quantum Fisher information analytically, which is associated with the minimum lower bound for the precision of estimation of the parameter driving the phase transition. We compare it with the Fisher information for a specific experimental scenario where photoncounting-like measurements are employed. We find how quantum criticality and squeezing are useful resources in the metrological scenario. Second, we obtain the quantum Fisher information for the out of equilibrium transition in the dissipative non-equilibrium steady state (NESS), and investigate how the presence of dissipation affects the parameter estimation. In this scenario, we find that the critical point is shifted by an amount which depends on the dissipation rate. This is used here to design high precision protocols for a whole range of the transition-driving parameter in the ordered phase. In fact, for certain values of the parameter being estimated, dissipation may be used to obtain higher precision when compared to the equilibrium scenario.

I. INTRODUCTION

Bridging the gap between fields such as quantum optics, quantum information and statistical mechanics is a challenging but fruitful research direction. Interdisciplinary knowledge transfer allows these fields to mutually benefit from one another and, it is often the case that certain techniques or concepts initially tailored for a certain problem, say in statistical mechanics, yield a distinct perspective on and a deeper understanding of challenges in quantum information or optics. Perhaps the most prominent example is the study of phase transitions from the perspective of quantum information. Phase transitions and bipartite entanglement, for instance, are known to be closely related at arbitrary temperatures [1]. Scaling of entanglement near to a quantum critical point has been studied in different systems [2, 3]. Other quantum information tools such as distance measures and state fidelities [4–11], state coherence [12], and general quantum correlations [13, 14] have also been extensively used in the study of quantum phase transitions.

In general, physical parameters such as coupling constants cannot be directly measured, and therefore need to be estimated in an indirect way through observables or generalized measurements. Quantum metrology is concerned with the estimation of such quantities by exploiting quantum resources in order to improve the precision of the estimation protocol. For example, quantum features such as entanglement [15], squeezing [16], quantum temporal correlations [17, 18], and quantum invasiveness [19] can be associated with a significant improvement of sensitivity in metrological protocols. If the global state of a system under inspection is highly sensitive to small variations of a certain parameter, this may be used to increase the precision with which this parameter can be estimated [20–22]. This provides a route to investigate critical systems from the point of view of quantum metrology, as we are going to pursue in this work [21, 23, 24]. Here, we focus on a quantum mean-field version of the celebrated spherical model [25–28] which is a useful tool in statistical mechanics for the study of strongly interacting degrees of freedoms. Based on the idea of introducing transition phenomena through adequate constraints or appropriate limits of physical parameters in few body problems [29, 30], we study here the case of a single SQS [31]. From the point of view of statistical mechanics, the SQS may be interpreted as a mean-field version of the spherical model, while from the point of view of quantum optics and quantum thermodynamics, it may be seen as a highly controlled quantum system subjected to work protocols, as explained in [32]. Originally introduced to overcome the lack of an analytical solution of the three dimensional Ising model [25], the spherical model has quickly proven itself as an excellent starting ground to study various transition phenomena in and out of equilibrium and routinely obtain results that go beyond mean-field statements [28, 33–35].

The SQS may be viewed as one of the simplest quantum systems that still allows for transition phenomena to take place. In thermal equilibrium, the SQS has a quantum critical point separating a paramagnetic (disordered) from a ferromagnetic (ordered) phase. Therefore, states close to this critical value do show macroscopically different properties due to large fluctuation effects at quantum criticality. Furthermore, the transition phenomenon is stable against thermal perturbations, meaning that the SQS shows a continuous critical line for \( T > 0 \). We shall therefore propose here a metrological protocol for the SQS. Typically, a metrological protocol is divided into four different stages [36, 37]: (i) preparation: a certain system state is initialized, (ii) sensing: a parameter is imprinted in the system’s state via a certain dynamics, (iii) readout: the system is measured, (iv) estimation: the parameter is estimated from the measurement outcomes.

In our case, however, the sensing stage is to be seen as
part of the preparation of a steady state which depends on the parameter driving the phase transition. We intend to estimate this parameter in two distinct steady state scenarios: First, in a $T = 0$ thermal equilibrium state and second in a specific NESS. The use of NESS in metrology is not yet as explored in the literature as it is the case of thermal equilibrium states [21, 24, 38]. We provide here an extensions to the non-equilibrium scenario by using NESS in the context of the SQS.

This paper is organized as follows. We describe the SQS in section II, first in equilibrium and then in a particular non-equilibrium scenario that allows for a dissipative NESS transition. In section III A, we first present the metrological protocol for temperature $T = 0$. Given that a finite temperature usually adds noise, we do not aim at considering cases other than $T = 0$. The reason is that we want to present a clear picture of the enhancement of estimation precision due to criticality of the SQS. In section III B, we study metrology with a dissipative NESS transition. Finally, in section IV, we summarize our results.

II. THE MODEL

The SQS is described by a single and coherently driven quantum harmonic oscillator with the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{g}{2} p^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{2g} x^2 - h_0 x, \tag{1a}$$

that is subject to the following two constraints [31, 32]

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = 1, \quad h_0 = \langle x \rangle. \tag{1b}$$

Here, $x$ and $p$ are canonically conjugate variables describing the position and momentum of the oscillator, satisfying the standard commutation relation $[x, p] = i$, while $\omega$ is the frequency of the oscillator, $h_0$ parametrizes the coherent driving and $g$ is the (inverse) mass of the quantum oscillator that is routinely termed quantum parameter. This is so because, in the full $N$-body spherical model, $g = 0$ corresponds to the classical version [27, 28]. Thus, $g$ controls the quantum fluctuations in the many-body system. We shall adopt this terminology and refer to the mass as quantum parameter. The first constraint in Eq. (1b) is immediately motivated by the spherical constraint in full $N$-body spherical model [25]. The second constraint on the other hand can be seen as a molecular field approximation that aims at encoding collective effects in a mean-field scheme [31]. These constraints render the system effectively non-linear and therefore allow for different phases to exist. The constrained oscillator possesses a regime where oscillations are centered around $\langle x \rangle = 0$ and one where oscillations are displaced due to the external forcing [31, 32]. An explanation of how such constraints are linked to work protocols can be found in [32].

We now introduce, in the standard manner, bosonic ladder operators $(a, a^\dagger)$ obeying the bosonic commutation relation $[a, a^\dagger] = 1$, viz.

$$x = \sqrt{\frac{g}{2\omega}}(a + a^\dagger), \quad p = i\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2g}}(a^\dagger - a). \tag{2}$$

In terms of these creation and annihilation operators, the Hamiltonian reads

$$H = \omega \left(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2}\right) - h(a + a^\dagger), \tag{3}$$

with the rescaled driving given by $h = h_0\sqrt{g/2\omega}$. In order to diagonalize the Hamiltonian, we need to introduce displaced operators

$$b = a - \alpha = D(\alpha)aD(\alpha)^\dagger, \tag{4}$$

where $D(\alpha) = \exp (\alpha a^\dagger - \alpha^* a)$ is the displacement operator. The displaced vacuum is $|0_b\rangle = D(\alpha)|\alpha\rangle$, which corresponds to the ground state of the transformed Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H} = \omega \left(b^\dagger b + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{h^2}{\omega}, \tag{5}$$

obtained by choosing $\alpha = h/\omega$, which is a real constant. Here the system looks like a single harmonic oscillator, but the striking difference are the aforementioned constraints, which can be expressed in terms of the ladder operators $(a, a^\dagger)$ as

$$\omega = \frac{g}{2} \left((a + a^\dagger)^2\right), \quad h = \frac{g}{2\omega} (a + a^\dagger). \tag{6}$$

A. Thermal Equilibrium

Bringing the SQS in contact with a thermal bath at temperature $T$ eventually results in a relaxation to thermal equilibrium. Such a dynamics may be described by a dissipative Lindblad master equation and amounts to a steady state description which corresponds to the canonical ensemble. The standard relaxational Lindblad master equation for the reduced density matrix $\rho$ reads

$$\partial_t \rho = -i[i \tilde{H}, \rho] + \gamma(n + 1) \left(b b^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{b, b^\dagger\} \right) + \gamma n \left(b^\dagger b, \rho \right), \tag{7}$$

with the Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}$ (c.f. Eq. (5)). The parameter $\gamma$ describes the effective coupling strength to the thermal bath and $n$ is the Bose-Einstein distribution,

$$n = \frac{1}{2} \coth (\omega/2T) - \frac{1}{2}. \tag{8}$$

In the steady state $\partial_t \rho = 0$, i.e., thermal equilibrium, the ladder operator one and two-point functions obey the well-known equilibrium conditions

$$\langle b \rangle = 0, \quad \langle bb \rangle = 0, \quad \langle b b^\dagger \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \coth(\omega/2T) - \frac{1}{2}. \tag{9}$$
These translate to the non-displaced picture as
\[ \langle a \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{\omega}, \quad \langle aa \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{\omega^2}, \quad \langle a^\dagger a \rangle = \frac{\coth\left(\frac{\omega}{2T}\right) - 1}{\omega^2} + \frac{\hbar^2}{\omega^2}, \] (10)
and allow us to formulate the aforementioned constraints in thermal equilibrium, cf. Eq. (1b). These constraints amount to a system of coupled non-linear algebraic equations
\[ \frac{\omega}{g} = \frac{2\hbar^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{1}{2} \coth\left(\frac{\omega}{2T}\right), \] (11)
\[ \frac{\hbar}{g} = \frac{h}{\omega^2}. \] (12)

We can find two distinct solutions to this system as follows. First, assume \( h = 0 \) and therefore the latter equation is automatically satisfied. The remaining transcendental equation sets the oscillator frequency \( \omega \). We call this solution the \textit{disordered phase} with the parameters
\[ \omega_d = \frac{g}{2} \coth\left(\frac{\omega_d}{2T}\right), \quad h_d = 0. \] (13)

If \( h \neq 0 \) the constraint Eq. (12) sets the oscillator frequency to \( \omega = \sqrt{g} \) and Eq. (11) sets the self-consistent field. We call the emerging solution \textit{ordered phase} with the parameters
\[ \omega_o = \sqrt{g}, \quad h_o = \left[ \frac{\sqrt{g}}{2} - \frac{g}{4} \coth\left(\frac{\sqrt{g}}{2T}\right) \right]^{1/2}. \] (14)

The nomenclature for the solutions comes from the fact that the self-consistent magnetic field is proportional to the parameter \( \alpha = \langle a \rangle \). This parameter quantifies the displacement of the oscillator in its equilibrium position, and therefore is an \textit{order parameter} for the system. It is interesting to observe that the shift in Eq. (4) is only needed to diagonalise the Hamiltonian in the ordered phase.

The critical \( g-T \) line that separates the ordered from the disordered phase is found from comparing both solutions and, therefore, is described by the functional relation
\[ \frac{2}{\sqrt{g_c}} = \coth\left(\frac{\sqrt{g_c}}{2T_c}\right). \] (15)

The equilibrium phase diagram is depicted in Fig. 1.

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Equilibrium phase diagram of the SQS. Two distinct phases are present, namely a disordered phase with an average magnetization equal to zero and an ordered phase with a non-zero average magnetisation. The phases are separated by a thermal critical line that ends in a zero temperature quantum phase transition.}
\end{figure}

\textbf{B. NESS}

We now want to find a regime where the steady state of the SQS is not that of thermal equilibrium. This can be achieved by subjecting the SQS to an open dynamics governed by
\[ \partial_t \varrho = -i \{ H, \varrho \} + \gamma (n + 1) \left( a a^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{ a^\dagger a, \varrho \} \right) \]
\[ + \gamma n \left( a^\dagger a \varrho - \frac{1}{2} \{ a a^\dagger, \varrho \} \right). \] (16)

It is known that this system shows a dissipative NESS transition [31]. The time evolution governed by Eq. (16) can be engineered by adding controlled degrees of freedom [39] and by using external lasers with appropriate intensity and phase fluctuations [40]. It is well-established that this dynamics relaxes towards a coherent state with an amplitude dependent on the driving strength and dissipation rate.

In the steady state \( \partial_t \varrho = 0 \), i.e. the NESS, one finds,
\[ \langle a \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\omega}{\gamma}} \left( i - \frac{\gamma}{2\omega} \right), \] (17)
\[ \langle aa \rangle = g + \frac{2\omega}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{\gamma^2 - 2g}{2\omega} - i\gamma \right]. \] (18)

This, in turn, allows us to find the self-consistent solution\footnote{Of course the ordered phase is two-fold degenerate and we focus here on only one of the solutions.}
\[ \omega = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4g - \gamma^2}, \] (19)
\[ h = \frac{1}{\omega} \sqrt{1 - \frac{g}{2\omega}}. \] (20)

For the SQS, however, we must also impose the constraints in Eq. (1b). An explicit procedure to obtain the disordered and the ordered NESS solution in this case is given in [31]. The protocols to satisfy the external constraints in the ordered phase are given by [31]
\[ \omega = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4g - \gamma^2}, \quad h = -\frac{\gamma}{4g - \gamma^2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4g - \gamma^2}{g^2}}. \] (21)
These self-consistent protocols determine the displacement parameter $\alpha = \hbar/\omega$. In the disordered phase, the displacement is $\alpha = 0$ or equivalently $h = 0$. This means that the external force term in Hamiltonian Eq. (1a) is null. Therefore, the master equation in Eq. (16) is effectively that of an harmonic oscillator in contact with a thermal bath. Thus, it is clear that the disordered phase is indeed an equilibrium phase while the ordered phase is a non-equilibrium phase. The critical $g$-$\gamma$ line separating these phases is again found from the condition $h = 0$ and it is depicted in Fig. 2.

Note that for certain values of $\gamma$ two distinct phase transitions are observed. The first, on the right-hand side of Fig. 2 is “similar” to the equilibrium case, as increasing quantum fluctuations parametrized by $g$ destroy macroscopic order. Nevertheless, this transition is a true non-equilibrium transition. In turn, the second transition on the left-hand side of Fig. 2 is a striking non-equilibrium effect, as increasing quantum fluctuations induce macroscopic order [31]. For the following metrological study, it is important to note that the values of $g$ corresponding to transition points are dependent on the choice of the dissipation rate $\gamma$.

C. Parameter estimation

Given a parameter $g$ encoded in a physical state of the system, the Fisher information associated with a measurement performed on the system is a figure of merit of the metrological protocol. Specifically, the Fisher information gives the sensitivity of the estimation of the parameter $g$ as the standard deviation $\Delta g$ associated with it have a lower bound given by $\Delta g \geq 1/\sqrt{\nu F(g)}$, where $\nu$ is the number of realizations of the experiment, for unbiased measurements [41, 42]. The Fisher information can be written as

$$F(g) = \sum_{i} p_i(g) \left[ \frac{\partial \ln p_i(g)}{\partial g} \right]^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

where $p_i(g)$ are the probabilities associated with each possible result of the measurement, satisfying $\sum_i p_i(g) = 1$. By expressing the probabilities through the density matrix $\rho$ of the system as $p_i(g) = \text{Tr}[\rho(g) E_i]$, where $\rho(g)$ depends on $g$ and $\{E_i\}$ is a positive operator valued measure, the Fisher information is generalized to quantum mechanics. The upper bound for $F(g)$ is called the quantum Fisher information $F$ [43–46], and corresponds to the maximization of $F(g)$ over all quantum measurements, $\mathcal{F} = \max_{\{E_i\}} F(\rho, \{E_i\})$. Therefore, the quantum Fisher information is the Fisher information associated with the optimal measurement, i.e. the one associated with the maximal precision for the estimation of $g$.

III. RESULTS

A. Parameter estimation in the SQS - the $T = 0$ equilibrium case

In this work, we shall be concerned with the estimation of the quantum parameter $g$, which is the parameter driving the quantum phase transition. For this purpose, we first calculate the quantum Fisher information. At $T > 0$, the system’s equilibrium state, in which the quantum parameter $g$ is imprinted, correspond to the ground state of the SQS, $\rho = |0_{\text{sqs}}(g)\rangle \langle 0_{\text{sqs}}(g)|$. As this is a pure state, the quantum Fisher information can therefore be expressed as

$$\mathcal{F} = 4 \left[ \frac{d}{dg} \langle 0_{\text{sqs}} | d|0_{\text{sqs}}\rangle - \left| \frac{d}{dg} \langle 0_{\text{sqs}} | 0_{\text{sqs}} \rangle \right|^2 \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

It is important to remark once again that, for the purpose of metrology, the case $T > 0$ is less interesting given the presence of added thermal noise. According to Eq. (23), we need to evaluate the derivative of the SQS ground-state with respect to the imprinted quantum parameter $g$. Several ways of how this is achieved can be found in appendix A. The quantum Fisher information then reads

$$\mathcal{F} = \left[ 2 \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial g} + \frac{\alpha \omega - g d\omega/dg}{\omega} \right]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{g} - \frac{d \log(\omega)}{dg} \right]^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

In order to investigate whether the upper bound set by the quantum Fisher information can be saturated, we evaluate the Fisher information considering scenarios with photoncounting-like measurements, which corresponds to simple projective measurements $\{|m_\Omega\rangle \langle m_\Omega| \}_{m=0,1,...}$, where $\{|m_\Omega\rangle\}_{m=0,1,...}$ is a Fock basis described by a characteristic frequency $\Omega$.

It is clear from the previous section that the SQS can always be described by a $g$-dependent displacement of an underlying harmonic oscillator as

$$|0_{\text{sqs}}(g)\rangle = \mathcal{D}(\alpha_g) |0_g\rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)
In order to calculate the Fisher information for this projective measurement we need to evaluate the probabilities associated with the outcome $m$,

$$p_m(g, \Omega) = |\langle m\Omega | 0_{\text{SQS}} \rangle|^2 = |\langle m\Omega | D(\alpha) | 0_g \rangle|^2.$$  

(26)

To proceed, it is useful to express the underlying harmonic oscillator ground-state $|0_g\rangle$ in the $\{m\Omega\}_{m=0,1,\ldots}$ basis. Since both of these Fock basis describe harmonic oscillators, they may be connected by a Bogoliubov transformation. Such Bogoliubov transformations can be expressed through a squeezing operation which is associated with a unitary transformation $[47, 48]$. In this way, we write

$$a_\Omega = S^\dagger(\zeta)a_g S(\zeta),$$  

(27)

with the squeezing operator $S(\zeta) = \exp(\zeta/2 a_g - \zeta/2 a_g^\dagger)$ and the complex squeezing parameter $\zeta(\Omega, g) = r \exp(2i\phi)$. The single mode squeezed state is then the vacuum of the transformed operators via $\langle 0_g | = S(\zeta) | 0_{\Omega} \rangle$. Introducing the squeezed states $|\alpha, \zeta = D(\alpha) S(\zeta) | 0_g \rangle$ we may write the probabilities as overlap probabilities between the Fock state and the squeezed state. By means of $[47, \text{Eq. (3.7.5)}]$ and $[49, \text{Eq. (B.5)}]$ this overlap can be expressed as

$$p_m(g, \Omega) = \frac{\alpha^{2m}e^{-|\alpha|^2}}{\Gamma(m+1)\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}}| \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sqrt{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})}\left(-\frac{e^{2\phi}}{\alpha}\tan h(r)\right)^n L_n^{(m-n)}(\alpha^2) |^2.$$  

(28)

In order to evaluate these probabilities we need to determine solely the phase and the magnitude of the squeezing parameter $\zeta$. To do this, we explicitly derive the transformation that maps $a_g$ to $a_\Omega$,

$$\frac{a_g + a_g^\dagger}{\sqrt{2g/\omega}} = x = \frac{a_\Omega + a_\Omega^\dagger}{\sqrt{2\Omega}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_\Omega + a_\Omega^\dagger = \frac{a_g + a_g^\dagger}{\sqrt{\omega/g\Omega}}$$

(29)

$$\frac{a_g - a_g^\dagger}{i\sqrt{2g/\omega}} = p = \frac{a_\Omega - a_\Omega^\dagger}{i\sqrt{2\Omega/\omega}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_\Omega^\dagger - a_\Omega = \frac{a_g^\dagger - a_g}{\sqrt{g\Omega/\omega}}$$

(30)

Consequently, we may write the transformation as

$$a_\Omega = \cosh(r)a_g - e^{-2i\phi}\sinh(r)a_g^\dagger,$$  

(31)

with the relevant squeezing parameter characterized by

$$\tanh(r) = \left|\frac{\omega - g\Omega}{\omega + g\Omega}\right|, \quad \phi = -\frac{1}{2} \text{arg}(\omega - g\Omega).$$  

(32)

In the plots of Fig. 3, the system’s state is in the ordered phase ($g < 4$). In line with previous results for equilibrium states $[24, 38]$, we see that the quantum Fisher information diverges at the critical point ($g = 4$), which means that arbitrarily large precision associated with the estimation of the quantum parameter $g$ could in principle be attained. Furthermore, the quantum Fisher information diverges as $g \to 0$ as well. As a result, we see that at the quantum to classical limit $g \to 0$, arbitrarily large
precision may be available for quantum mean field systems.

In the center panel of Fig. 3, we plotted the normalized Fisher information \( F/\mathcal{F} \) associated with the projections \( \{|m_\Omega\rangle\}_{m=0,1,...} \) on the Fock basis, as a function of \( g \) and for different values of \( \Omega \). First, we see that in the interval \( 0 \leq g \leq 0.3 \), different projective measurements associated with different Fock basis (i.e. for different values of \( \Omega \)) give the same \( F/\mathcal{F} \). In particular, as \( g \rightarrow 0 \), \( F/\mathcal{F} \rightarrow 1 \). It is also noticeable that projections associated with decreasing values of \( \Omega \) can provide a lower standard deviation \( \Delta g \) in the range \( 3 \leq g \leq 3.8 \), as \( F/\mathcal{F} \) increases in this interval as \( \Omega \) decreases. However, in the range \( 3.8 \leq g < 4 \), different \( \Omega \) tend to be associated with the same normalized Fisher information, which goes to 1 as \( g \) tends to 4.

In general, we see that criticality is a resource for the estimation of \( g \), as \( F/\mathcal{F} \) is larger in the region close to \( g = 4 \). This is only valid when the system is in the ordered phase, since the quantum Fisher information is zero in the disordered phase (\( g > 4 \)). In other words, for any choice of POVM in (22), the Fisher information will be zero in the disordered phase, meaning that it is not possible to establish a lower bound for precision in the estimation of \( g \), i.e. there is no lower bound for the standard deviation \( \Delta g \).

In the right panel of Fig. (3), we plotted the Fisher information as a function of the magnitude of the squeezing parameter \( \zeta \) for fixed values of \( g \). We see that the Fisher information increases monotonically as the magnitude of \( \zeta \) increases, which shows that the quality of the estimation of \( g \) in the metrological protocol can be improved by increasing the magnitude of the squeezing parameter in quantum mean field systems. This is in line with previous results which shows that precision benefit from squeezing in metrological protocols [16].

### B. Parameter estimation in the SQS - the dissipative case

We are now interested in how a non-equilibrium dissipative phase transition may affect the metrological protocol. In section II.B, we outlined one framework that allows one to observe a NESS phase transition in the SQS.

In Fig. 4, the quantum Fisher information \( \mathcal{F} \) is plotted for two different values of the dissipation rate \( \gamma \). As discussed before, there are two phase transitions now, and therefore two critical points, as shown in Fig. 2. The first, on the right-hand side in Fig. 4, is a transition from the ordered to disordered phases as \( g \) increases. In turn, the second, on the left-hand side in Fig. 4, corresponds to an order by disorder transition that arises for out of equilibrium states. At these two critical points, the quantum Fisher information diverges, and therefore arbitrarily large precision may be obtained. For the critical value of \( g \) for the transition on the right-hand side in Fig. 4, as \( \gamma \) increases, its value decreases as predicted in Fig. 2. In this way, the critical point can be shifted to the left by increasing \( \gamma \). By doing this, one is able to obtain larger precisions to estimate \( g \) in this region, when compared to the equilibrium case \( \gamma = 0 \). To be more specific, one fixes a value of \( g \) in that region, and evaluate the quantum Fisher information \( \mathcal{F} \) with \( \gamma = 0 \). One finds a finite value for \( \mathcal{F} \), as depicted in the left panel of Fig. 3. Then, by introducing dissipation, one can make the chosen value of \( g \) arbitrary close to a critical point, what makes the value of \( \mathcal{F} \) increases as much as one wants. This shows that dissipation may help in the estimation of the quantum parameter \( g \) of the SQS.

### IV. SUMMARY

We investigate how collective quantum mean field systems may be useful for metrological protocols by using equilibrium and out of equilibrium states. The SQS is used as a toy-model, a simple system which possesses a quantum phase transition between two phases, the ordered and disordered ones. We focus on the estimation of the parameter driving the phase transition, the quantum parameter \( g \). As a figure of merit for the quantum metrological protocol, we use the Fisher information and quantum Fisher information, the latter being an upper bound for the first. As a result, the quantum Fisher information is associated with the largest possible precision in the metrological protocol with quantum systems.

For equilibrium states, we find that the quantum
Fisher information diverges at the critical point \((g = 4)\), meaning that one can obtain arbitrarily large precision as \(g \to \gamma_c = 4\). This also happens in the classical limit \(g \to 0\), and therefore the estimation of small values of \(g\) can be done with increasingly large precision as \(g\) decreases. We also evaluate the Fisher information for different photon count measurements, corresponding to different values of \(\Omega\). We found some dependence on \(\Omega\) for a certain interval of \(g\). Interestingly enough, different sets of projectors (different values of \(\Omega\)) lead to the same precision in the estimation of \(g\) as it gets arbitrary close to the critical point \((g_c = 4)\).

We evaluate the quantum Fisher information for the dissipative case as well, i.e. for an example of out of equilibrium state. Arbitrarily large precisions are now observed at the two phase transition points. We studied it for different dissipative rates. By increasing \(\gamma\), the shape of the quantum Fisher information for equilibrium states is narrowed. As a result, we see that dissipation may help the estimation of values of the quantum parameter \(g\) in the ordered phase, when compared to the case of thermal equilibrium.
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Appendix A: Quantum Fisher information

1. Infinitesimal Squeezing

We shall evaluate this derivative using an infinitesimal squeezing transformation since this approach highlights the different contributions arising from displacement and squeezing operations. Therefore, we proceed by formally writing the derivative of the SQS ground-state with respect to the parameter \( g \) as difference quotient as

\[
\frac{d}{dg} |0_{\text{SQS}}(g)\rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( |0_{\text{SQS}}(g + \epsilon)\rangle - |0_{\text{SQS}}(g)\rangle \right) \quad (A1)
\]

Fig. 5 illustrates how the distinct ground-states are connected via a sequenz of squeezing and displacement operations. In this manner the expression

\[
\frac{d}{dg} |0_{\text{SQS}}(g)\rangle = \left[ \frac{dD(\alpha)}{dg} S(\zeta) + D(\alpha) \frac{dS(\zeta)}{dg} \right] |0_g\rangle \quad (A2)
\]

is readily deduced. The derivative of the displacement operator for a real-valued displacement \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) may be generally written as

\[
\frac{dD(\alpha)}{dg} = f(g) \left( a^{\dagger} - a \right) D(\alpha), \quad \text{with} \quad (A3)
\]

\[
f(g) = \frac{d\alpha}{dg} + \frac{\alpha(g) \omega(g) - g\omega'(g)}{2g \omega(g)} \quad (A4)
\]

The derivative of the squeezing operator for real-valued squeezing parameters \( \zeta \in \mathbb{R} \) is given by

\[
\frac{dS(-\zeta)}{dg} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\zeta}{dg} \left( a^{2} - \left( a^{\dagger} \right)^{2} \right) S(\zeta) \quad (A5)
\]

In this way, the derivative state is cast in the compact form

\[
\partial_{g} |0_{\text{SQS}}(g)\rangle = f(g) |1_{\text{SQS}}(g)\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g - \omega'/\omega}{2^{3/2}} |2_{\text{SQS}}(g)\rangle \quad (A6)
\]

As a double check of this formula, we derive it next using the position representation of the harmonic oscillator.

\[
\frac{d}{dg} |0_{\text{SQS}}(g)\rangle = \frac{dD(\alpha)}{dg} |0_g\rangle + D(\alpha) \frac{d}{dg} |0_g\rangle \quad (A7)
\]

The first term is identical to the previous calculation, compare Eq. (A3). For the second term we may introduce a position representation viz

\[
\frac{dD(\alpha)}{dg} |0_g\rangle = \frac{dD(\alpha)}{dg} |0_g\rangle + D(\alpha) \frac{d}{dg} |0_g\rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{dD(\alpha)}{dg} |0_g\rangle + D(\alpha) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \frac{\left( \omega \right)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2g}} \left( \frac{\omega'}{g} - \frac{\omega}{g^2} \right) x^{2} \omega' g - \omega}{2g^{2}} \right] |x\rangle \quad (A8)
\]

\[
= \frac{dD(\alpha)}{dg} |0_g\rangle + D(\alpha) \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \frac{\left( \omega \right)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2g}} x^{2} \omega' g - \omega}{2g^{2}} \right] |x\rangle \right] \quad (A9)
\]

\[
= \frac{dD(\alpha)}{dg} |0_g\rangle + D(\alpha) \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \frac{\left( \omega \right)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2g}} x^{2} \omega' g - \omega}{2g^{2}} \right] |x\rangle \right] \quad (A10)
\]

\[
= f(g) |1_{\text{SQS}}(g)\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g - \omega'/\omega}{2^{3/2}} |2_{\text{SQS}}(g)\rangle \quad (A11)
\]