

AN EIGENVALUE ESTIMATE FOR A ROBIN p -LAPLACIAN IN C^1 DOMAINS

KONSTANTIN PANKRASHKIN

ABSTRACT. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded C^1 domain and $p > 1$. For $\alpha > 0$, define the quantity

$$\Lambda(\alpha) = \inf_{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega), u \neq 0} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx - \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p ds \right) / \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx$$

with ds being the hypersurface measure, which is the lowest eigenvalue of the p -laplacian in Ω with a non-linear α -dependent Robin boundary condition. We show the asymptotics $\Lambda(\alpha) = (1-p)\alpha^{p/(p-1)} + o(\alpha^{p/(p-1)})$ as α tends to $+\infty$. The result was only known for the linear case $p = 2$ or under stronger smoothness assumptions. Our proof is much shorter and is based on completely different and elementary arguments, and it allows for an improved remainder estimate for $C^{1,\lambda}$ domains.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded C^1 domain and $p > 1$. For $\alpha > 0$ define

$$\Lambda(\alpha) := \inf_{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega), u \neq 0} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx - \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p ds \right) / \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx,$$

where ds is the hypersurface measure. Denote $q := \frac{p}{p-1}$ the Hölder conjugate of p . In this note we prove the following result:

Theorem 1. *As α tends to $+\infty$ there holds*

$$(1) \quad \Lambda(\alpha) = (1-p)\alpha^q + o(\alpha^q).$$

In addition, if Ω is of class $C^{1,\lambda}$ with some $\lambda \in (0,1)$, then the remainder estimate can be improved to $O(\alpha^k)$ with $k := \frac{q+\lambda}{1+\lambda} \in (\frac{q+1}{2}, q)$.

A standard argument shows that $\Lambda(\alpha)$ can be viewed as the lowest eigenvalue of a non-linear problem involving the p -laplacian $\Delta_p : u \mapsto \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$,

$$-\Delta_p u = \Lambda |u|^{p-2} u \text{ in } \Omega, \quad |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \alpha |u|^{p-2} u \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

with ν being the outer unit normal, see e.g. [7]. It seems that the asymptotic behavior for large α was first addressed by Lacey, Ockendon and Sabina [8] for the linear situation ($p = 2$), and C^1 domains represent a borderline case. On one hand, the asymptotic behavior (1) is not valid for non-smooth domains [1, 6, 9]. On the other hand, for $C^{1,1}$ domains one has $\Lambda(\alpha) = (1-p)\alpha^q - H\alpha + o(\alpha)$ with H being the maximum mean curvature of the boundary [7], which is much more detailed, but the proof depends heavily on the existence of a tubular neighborhood of the boundary and on the regularity of boundary curvatures. We refer to the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35J92, 35P15, 49R05, 49J40, 35J05.

Key words and phrases. Eigenvalue, p -Laplacian, Robin boundary condition.

review [2, Sec. 4.4.2] for a detailed discussion of the linear case. Lou and Zhu in [10] established the asymptotics (1) for C^1 domains and $p = 2$ using an involved combination of a blow up argument with the non-existence of positive solutions for some linear boundary value problems. We provide a very short elementary proof based on an integration by parts combined with a simple regularization, which is by introducing additional ingredients in the proof of the Sobolev trace theorem in Grisvard's book [5, Sec. 1.5]. This allows one to include all values of p and to obtain an improved remainder estimate for $C^{1,\lambda}$ domains with minimal additional effort. Remark that our proof works for $\lambda = 1$ as well, but the final result is weaker than the one of [7] mentioned above. By analogy, we do not expect our remainder estimate for $C^{1,\lambda}$ case to be optimal: we collect some observations in Section 3. In particular, Corollary 4 states that the remainder estimate for C^1 domains cannot be improved to $O(\alpha^r)$ with $r < q$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

2.1. Lower bound. We will need a very simple extension result given by the following Lemma 2. While it is just a version of Tietze extension theorem, see e.g. [11, §8.4], we prefer to give a complete direct proof at the end of this subsection.

Lemma 2. *The outer unit normal ν on $\partial\Omega$ can be extended to a continuous map $\mu : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $|\mu(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. If Ω is of class $C^{1,\lambda}$, then the above map μ may be chosen of class $C^{0,\lambda}$.*

Let μ as in Lemma 2 and pick $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, then there exists a C^1 map $\mu_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\mu_\varepsilon(x) - \mu(x)| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. For any $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ the divergence theorem gives

$$(2) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p \mu_\varepsilon \cdot \nu \, ds = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (|u|^p \mu_\varepsilon) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (p|u|^{p-2} u \nabla u \cdot \mu_\varepsilon + |u|^p (\nabla \cdot \mu_\varepsilon)) \, dx.$$

In Ω we estimate pointwise $|\mu_\varepsilon| \leq |\mu| + \varepsilon \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $|\nabla \cdot \mu_\varepsilon| \leq c_\varepsilon$ with a suitable constant $c_\varepsilon > 0$. On $\partial\Omega$ we have $\mu = \nu$ and $\mu_\varepsilon \cdot \nu = \nu \cdot \nu + (\mu_\varepsilon - \mu) \cdot \nu \geq 1 - \varepsilon$. Therefore, Eq. (2) yields

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p \, ds \leq (1 + \varepsilon)p \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-1} |\nabla u| \, dx + c_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx.$$

Using Young's inequality, for any $\delta > 0$ we estimate

$$|u|^{p-1} |\nabla u| = (\delta^{-1} |u|^{p-1}) (\delta |\nabla u|) \leq \frac{1}{q} \delta^{-q} |u|^p + \frac{1}{p} \delta^p |\nabla u|^p,$$

then

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p \, ds \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \delta^p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx + \left(c_\varepsilon + (1 + \varepsilon)(p - 1) \delta^{-q} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx,$$

which holds by density for all $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and can be transformed into

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx - \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} \delta^{-p} \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p \, ds \geq -\delta^{-p} \left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} c_\varepsilon + (p - 1) \delta^{-q} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx.$$

For $\delta = \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \alpha \right)^{-1/p}$ it takes the form

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx - \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p \, ds \geq \left((1 - p) \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \right)^q \alpha^q - \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} c_\varepsilon \alpha \right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx.$$

Therefore,

$$(3) \quad \Lambda(\alpha) \geq (1-p) \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \right)^q \alpha^q - \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} c_\varepsilon \alpha,$$

and then $\liminf_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha^{-q} \Lambda(\alpha) \geq (1-p) \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \right)^q$. As $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ can be taken arbitrarily small, we arrive at $\liminf_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha^{-q} \Lambda(\alpha) \geq 1-p$ giving the lower bound.

Now assume that Ω is of class $C^{1,\lambda}$. By Lemma 2, the map μ in the preceding computations can be assumed $C^{0,\lambda}$. The idea of an improved remainder estimate is to apply the standard mollifying procedure to construct μ_ε and then to control the constant c_ε in the above computations using the modulus of continuity of μ . Namely, let $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be non-negative, supported in the unit ball centered at the origin, with $\int \rho = 1$. For $t > 0$ consider the function $\rho_t : x \mapsto t^{-n} \rho(t^{-1}x)$ and then the C^∞ vector field $m_t := \mu \star \rho_t \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, with \star being the convolution product. One has

$$\nabla \cdot m_t(x) = \frac{1}{t^{n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu(y) \cdot (\nabla \rho) \left(\frac{x-y}{t} \right) dy = \frac{1}{t} \int_{|z|<1} \mu(x-tz) \cdot \nabla \rho(z) dz,$$

so that $\|\nabla \cdot m_t\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq at^{-1}$ with $a := \|\mu\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1, \mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)}$, where we denote $\Omega_1 := \{x+z : x \in \Omega, |z| < 1\}$. Furthermore,

$$m_t(x) - \mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mu(x-y) - \mu(x)) \rho_t(y) dy = \int_{|z|<1} (\mu(x-tz) - \mu(x)) \rho(z) dz.$$

As μ is $C^{0,\lambda}$, with a suitable $b > 0$ one has $|\mu(x-tz) - \mu(x)| \leq b|tz|^\lambda$ and then $|m_t(x) - \mu(x)| \leq bt^\lambda$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $t > 0$. Hence, if for $t \in (0, b^{-1/\lambda})$ one sets $\varepsilon := bt^\lambda \in (0,1)$ and $\mu_\varepsilon := m_t$, then in $\overline{\Omega}$ one has $|\mu_\varepsilon - \mu| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|\nabla \cdot \mu_\varepsilon| \leq c_\varepsilon := c\varepsilon^{-1/\lambda}$ with $c := ab^{1/\lambda}$, and the inequality (3) takes the form

$$\Lambda(\alpha) \geq (1-p) \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \right)^q \alpha^q - \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} c\varepsilon^{-1/\lambda} \alpha \text{ for all } \alpha > 0 \text{ and } \varepsilon \in (0,1).$$

Now we take $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\alpha)$ with $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \varepsilon(\alpha) = 0$, then by applying Taylor expansions one arrives at $\Lambda(\alpha) \geq (1-p) \alpha^q + O(\varepsilon \alpha^q + \varepsilon^{-1/\lambda} \alpha)$. To optimize the last summand we now set $\varepsilon := \alpha^{\lambda(1-q)/(\lambda+1)}$, which gives $\Lambda(\alpha) \geq (1-p) \alpha^q + O(\alpha^k)$ with $k := \frac{q+\lambda}{1+\lambda}$ for large α .

Proof of Lemma 2. The idea is very standard: one first construct an explicit extension near each point of the boundary, then these constructions are glued together using a partition of unity. The local construction is also very simple: informally, in a compact coordinate patch over which the boundary of the domain is given by a graph $y = \phi(x)$, the local extension can be defined by $\mu(x, y) = \nu(x, \phi(x))$.

Let us describe the above procedure in a detailed rigorous way. By the usual definition of a C^1 domain, see e.g. [5, Def. 1.2.1.1], each point of $\partial\Omega$ admits an open neighborhood V with the following properties:

- the set V is a hyperparallelepiped, i.e. there exist orthogonal coordinates $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and strictly positive numbers a_1, \dots, a_n such that $V = \{y : y' \in V', y_n \in (-a_n, a_n)\}$ with $V' := (-a_1, a_1) \times \dots \times (-a_{n-1}, a_{n-1})$ and $y' := (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$,
- there exists a C^1 function $\varphi : V' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $|\varphi(y')| \leq \frac{1}{2} a_n$ for all $y' \in V'$ and such that $\Omega \cap V = \{y \in V : y_n < \varphi(y')\}$ and $\partial\Omega \cap V = \{y \in V : y_n = \varphi(y')\}$.

The map $\nu_0 : V \ni y \mapsto (1 + |\nabla \varphi(y')|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (-\partial_1 \varphi(y'), \dots, -\partial_{n-1} \varphi(y'), 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is clearly continuous, and for $y \in \partial\Omega \cap V$, i.e. for $y_n = \varphi(y')$, it coincides with the outer

unit normal ν . Hence, the map ν_0 is a continuous extension of $\nu : \partial\Omega \cap V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ to the whole of V , and it satisfies pointwise $|\nu_0| = 1$ by construction. If Ω is of class $C^{1,\lambda}$, then one can additionally assume that $\varphi \in C^{1,\lambda}(V', \mathbb{R})$, and then $\partial_j \varphi \in C^{0,\lambda}(V', \mathbb{R})$. Simple manipulations with Hölder continuous functions, see e.g. [3, Sec. 1.2], show that $\nu_0 \in C^{0,\lambda}(V, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

The boundary $\partial\Omega$ is compact and can be covered by finitely many open hyperparallelepipeds V_1, \dots, V_m with the above properties, and we denote by ν_j continuous maps $V_j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ extending $\nu : \partial\Omega \cap V_j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and satisfying $|\nu_j| \leq 1$, which exist due to the preceding construction. As Ω, V_1, \dots, V_m form a finite open covering of $\overline{\Omega}$, one can find a subordinated partition of unity, i.e. C^∞ functions $\psi_0, \dots, \psi_m : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\text{supp } \psi_0 \subset \Omega$, $\text{supp } \psi_j \subset V_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, and $\psi_0 + \dots + \psi_m = 1$ in $\overline{\Omega}$. We define $\mu : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by $\mu := \psi_1 \nu_1 + \dots + \psi_m \nu_m$, which is continuous as each summand is a continuous function. For $x \in \partial\Omega$ we have $\psi_0(x) = 0$ and $(\psi_j \nu_j)(x) = \psi_j(x) \nu(x)$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, which yields

$$\mu(x) = (\psi_1 \nu_1)(x) + \dots + (\psi_m \nu_m)(x) = (\psi_0(x) + \psi_1(x) + \dots + \psi_m(x)) \nu(x) = \nu(x)$$

and shows that μ is an extension of ν . Finally, for any $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(x)| &\leq |(\psi_1 \nu_1)(x)| + \dots + |(\psi_m \nu_m)(x)| \\ &= \psi_1(x) |\nu_1(x)| + \dots + \psi_m(x) |\nu_m(x)| \\ &\leq \psi_1(x) + \dots + \psi_m(x) = 1 - \psi_0(x) \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

If Ω is $C^{1,\lambda}$, then one can assume $\nu_j \in C^{0,\lambda}(V_j, \mathbb{R}^n)$, and then $\mu \in C^{0,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

2.2. Upper bound. The upper bound was already obtained in [7, Prop. 6.2] using a minor variation of a construction by Giorgi and Smits in [4, Thm. 2.3]. As the argument is very simple, we repeat it here in order to have a self-contained presentation. Set $\beta := \frac{q}{p}$ and consider the function $u : x \mapsto e^{\beta x_1}$ and the vector field $F : x \mapsto e^{p\beta x_1} e_1$ with $e_1 := (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, then

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p ds = \int_{\partial\Omega} e^{p\beta x_1} ds = \int_{\partial\Omega} F \cdot e_1 ds = \int_{\partial\Omega} F \cdot \nu ds + \int_{\partial\Omega} e^{p\beta x_1} (1 - e_1 \cdot \nu) ds.$$

Using the quantity

$$I(\alpha) := \int_{\partial\Omega} e^{p\beta x_1} (1 - e_1 \cdot \nu) ds > 0$$

and the divergence theorem we arrive at

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p ds = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot F ds + I(\alpha) = p\beta \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx + I(\alpha),$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\alpha) &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx - \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p ds \right) / \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \\ (4) \quad &\leq \left(\beta^p \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx - p\beta\alpha \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx - \alpha I(\alpha) \right) / \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \\ &= \beta^p - p\beta\alpha - K(\alpha) \equiv (1-p)\alpha^q - K(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(5) \quad K(\alpha) := \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} e^{p\beta x_1} (1 - e_1 \cdot \nu) ds / \int_{\Omega} e^{p\beta x_1} dx > 0.$$

which implies $\Lambda(\alpha) < (1-p)\alpha^q$ and concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

3. MORE ON REMAINDER ESTIMATES

We continue using the notation of subsection 2.2 and remark that the term $K(\alpha)$ was kept intentionally, as this allows one to discuss the optimality of the remainders. We restrict our attention to two-dimensional domains.

Proposition 3. *For any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ one can find a bounded $C^{1,\lambda}$ domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and a constant $c_\lambda > 0$ such that for large α there holds*

$$|\Lambda(\alpha) - (1-p)\alpha^q| \geq c_\lambda \alpha^m, \quad m := \frac{p - \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda+1}}{p-1} \equiv \frac{q + (2-q)\lambda}{1+\lambda} \in (1, q).$$

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$. As the function $t \mapsto |t|^{1+\lambda}$ is $C^{1,\lambda}$ on any finite interval, one can find a bounded $C^{1,\lambda}$ domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\Omega \cap \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 > -\delta\} = \{(x_1, x_2) : -\delta < x_1 < -|x_2|^{1+\lambda}\}.$$

First, there holds

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} e^{p\beta x_1} (1 - e_1 \cdot \nu) \, ds \geq \int_{\partial\Omega \cap \{x_1 > -\delta\}} e^{p\beta x_1} (1 - e_1 \cdot \nu) \, ds.$$

Using the parametrization $(0, \delta) \ni t \mapsto (-t, \pm t^{1/(1+\lambda)})$ of $\partial\Omega \cap \{x_1 > 0, \pm x_2 > 0\}$ and assuming that δ is chosen small enough and that α is large enough, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial\Omega \cap \{x_1 > -\delta\}} e^{p\beta x_1} (1 - e_1 \cdot \nu) \, ds \\ &= 2 \int_0^\delta e^{-p\beta t} \left(1 - \frac{t^{-\lambda/(1+\lambda)}}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{1}{1+\lambda}\right)^2 t^{-2\lambda/(1+\lambda)}}} \right) \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{1}{1+\lambda}\right)^2 t^{-2\lambda/(1+\lambda)}} \, dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^\delta e^{-p\beta t} \left(\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{1}{1+\lambda}\right)^2 t^{-2\lambda/(1+\lambda)}} - t^{-\lambda/(1+\lambda)} \right) \, dt \\ &= \frac{2}{1+\lambda} \int_0^\delta e^{-p\beta t} t^{-\lambda/(1+\lambda)} \left(\sqrt{1 + (1+\lambda)^2 t^{2\lambda/(1+\lambda)}} - 1 \right) \, dt \\ &\geq \frac{2}{1+\lambda} \int_0^\delta e^{-p\beta t} t^{-\lambda/(1+\lambda)} \left(\frac{1}{4} (1+\lambda)^2 t^{2\lambda/(1+\lambda)} \right) \, dt \\ &= \frac{1+\lambda}{2} \int_0^\delta e^{-p\beta t} t^{\lambda/(1+\lambda)} \, dt \geq \frac{1+\lambda}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-p\beta t} t^{\lambda/(1+\lambda)} \, dt - e^{-\delta\beta} \\ &= \frac{1+\lambda}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+2\lambda}{1+\lambda}\right) (p\beta)^{-(1+2\lambda)/(1+\lambda)} - e^{-\delta\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} e^{p\beta x_1} (1 - e_1 \cdot \nu) \, ds \geq \frac{1+\lambda}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+2\lambda}{1+\lambda}\right) (p\beta)^{-(1+2\lambda)/(1+\lambda)} - e^{-\delta\beta}.$$

In addition,

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{p\beta x_1} \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega \cap \{x_1 \in (-\delta, 0)\}} e^{p\beta x_1} \, dx + |\Omega| e^{-p\delta\beta},$$

while the first summand on the right-hand side is estimated as

$$\int_{\Omega \cap \{x_1 > -\delta\}} e^{p\beta x_1} \, dx = \int_{-\delta}^0 \int_{-|x_1|^{1/(1+\lambda)}}^{|x_1|^{1/(1+\lambda)}} e^{p\beta x_1} \, dx_2 \, dx_1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2 \int_0^\delta t^{1/(1+\lambda)} e^{-p\beta t} dt \leq 2 \int_0^\infty t^{1/(1+\lambda)} e^{-p\beta t} dt \\
&= 2(p\beta)^{-(2+\lambda)/(1+\lambda)} \int_0^\infty t^{1/(1+\lambda)} e^{-t} dt = 2\Gamma\left(\frac{2+\lambda}{1+\lambda}\right)(p\beta)^{-(2+\lambda)/(1+\lambda)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting these estimates into the expression (5) for $K(\alpha)$ one sees that for a suitable $c_\lambda > 0$ we have, as α is large,

$$K(\alpha) \geq c_\lambda \alpha \beta^{(1-\lambda)/(1+\lambda)} \equiv c_\lambda \alpha^m, \quad m := \left(p - \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda+1}\right)/(p-1),$$

and the claim follows from the above inequality (4). \square

Corollary 4. *For any $r < q$ one can find a bounded C^1 domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and a constant $c_r > 0$ satisfying $|\Lambda(\alpha) - (1-p)\alpha^q| \geq c_r \alpha^r$ for large α .*

Proof. Given a value of r , one can find a sufficiently small $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ to have $(p - \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda+1})/(p-1) \geq r$. For this value of λ one applies Proposition 3. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Bruneau, N. Popoff: *On the negative spectrum of the Robin Laplacian in corner domains*. Anal. PDE **9** (2016) 1259–1283.
- [2] D. Bucur, P. Freitas, J. B. Kennedy: *The Robin problem*. A. Henrot (Ed.): *Shape optimization and spectral theory*. De Gruyter Open, 2017, pp. 78–119.
- [3] R. Fiorenza: *Hölder and locally Hölder continuous functions, and open sets of class C^k , $C^{k,\lambda}$* . Frontiers in Mathematics. Birkhäuser, Cham, 2016.
- [4] T. Giorgi, R. Smits: *Eigenvalue estimates and critical temperature in zero fields for enhanced surface superconductivity*. Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **58** (2007) 224–245.
- [5] P. Grisvard: *Elliptic problems in non-smooth domains*. Classics in Applied Mathematics, vol. 69, Boston, MA, Pitman, 1985.
- [6] M. Khalile: *Spectral asymptotics for Robin Laplacians on polygonal domains*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **461** (2018) 1498–1543.
- [7] H. Kovařík, K. Pankrashkin: *On the p -Laplacian with Robin boundary conditions and boundary trace theorems*. Calc. Var. PDE **56** (2017) 49.
- [8] A. A. Lacey, J. R. Ockendon, J. Sabina: *Multidimensional reaction diffusion equations with nonlinear boundary conditions*. SIAM J. Appl. Math **58** (1998) 1622–1647.
- [9] M. Levitin, L. Parnovski: *On the principal eigenvalue of a Robin problem with a large parameter*. Math. Nachr. **281** (2008) 272–281.
- [10] Y. Lou, M. Zhu: *A singularly perturbed linear eigenvalue problem in C^1 domains*. Pacific J. Math. **214** (2004) 323–334.
- [11] H. Schubert: *Topology*. Allyn and Bacon, Boston, MA, 1968.

CARL VON OSSIETZKY UNIVERSITÄT, FAKULTÄT V – MATHEMATIK UND NATURWISSENSCHAFTEN,
 INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, 26111 OLDENBURG, GERMANY
E-mail address: konstantin.pankrashkin@uol.de