

Spectral analysis on pseudo-Riemannian locally symmetric spaces

By Fanny KASSEL^{*)} and Toshiyuki KOBAYASHI^{**)}

Abstract: We summarize recent results initiating spectral analysis on pseudo-Riemannian locally symmetric spaces $\Gamma \backslash G/H$, beyond the classical setting where H is compact (e.g. theory of automorphic forms for arithmetic Γ) or Γ is trivial (e.g. Plancherel-type formula for semisimple symmetric spaces).

Key words: Locally symmetric space; pseudo-Riemannian manifold; discontinuous group; Laplacian; invariant differential operator; branching law; spherical variety.

1. Introduction A *pseudo-Riemannian manifold* is a smooth manifold M equipped with a smooth, nondegenerate symmetric bilinear tensor g of signature (p, q) . It is called Riemannian if $q = 0$, and Lorentzian if $q = 1$. As in the Riemannian case, the metric g induces a Radon measure on M and a second-order differential operator

$$\square_M = \text{div grad}$$

called the *Laplacian*. It is a symmetric operator on the Hilbert space $L^2(X_\Gamma)$. The Laplacian \square_M is not an elliptic differential operator if $p, q > 0$.

A *semisimple symmetric space* X is a homogeneous space G/H where G is a semisimple Lie group and H an open subgroup of the group of fixed points of G under some involutive automorphism. The manifold X carries a G -invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric induced by the Killing form of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G . The group G acts on X by isometries, and the \mathbb{C} -algebra $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$ of G -invariant differential

operators on X is commutative.

In this note we consider quotients $X_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash X$ of a semisimple symmetric space $X = G/H$ by discrete subgroups Γ of G acting properly discontinuously and freely on X (“discontinuous groups for X ”). Such quotients are called *pseudo-Riemannian locally symmetric spaces*. They are complete (G, X) -manifolds in the sense of Ehresmann and Thurston, and they inherit a pseudo-Riemannian structure from X . Any G -invariant differential operator D on X induces a differential operator D_Γ on X_Γ via the covering map $p_\Gamma: X \rightarrow X_\Gamma$. For instance, the Laplacian \square_X on X is G -invariant, and $(\square_X)_\Gamma = \square_{X_\Gamma}$. We think of

$$\mathcal{P} := \{D_\Gamma : D \in \mathbb{D}_G(X)\}$$

as the set of “intrinsic differential operators” on the locally symmetric space X_Γ . It is a subalgebra of the \mathbb{C} -algebra $\mathbb{D}(X_\Gamma)$ of differential operators on X_Γ :

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbb{D}_G(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{D}(X_\Gamma), \quad D \mapsto D_\Gamma.$$

For a \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism $\lambda: \mathbb{D}_G(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we denote by $C^\infty(X_\Gamma; \mathcal{M}_\lambda)$ the space of smooth functions f on X_Γ (*joint eigenfunctions*) satisfying the following system of partial differential equations:

$$(\mathcal{M}_\lambda) \quad D_\Gamma f = \lambda(D)f \quad \text{for all } D \in \mathbb{D}_G(X).$$

Let $L^2(X_\Gamma; \mathcal{M}_\lambda)$ be the space of square-integrable functions on X_Γ satisfying (\mathcal{M}_λ) in the weak sense. It is a closed subspace of the Hilbert space $L^2(X_\Gamma)$.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 22E40; Secondary 22E46, 58J50, 11F72, 53C35.

^{*)} CNRS and IHES, Laboratoire Alexander Grothendieck, 35 route de Chartres, 91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France. Supported by the European Research Council under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (ERC starting grant DiGGeS, grant agreement No 715982).

^{**)} Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Tokyo, 153-8914, Japan, and Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI). Partially supported by Kakenhi JP18H03669.

We are interested in the following problems.

Problems 1. *For intrinsic differential operators on $X_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash G/H$,*

- (1) *construct joint eigenfunctions on X_Γ ;*
- (2) *find a spectral theory on $L^2(X_\Gamma)$.*

In the classical setting where H is a maximal compact subgroup K of G , i.e. X_Γ is a *Riemannian* locally symmetric space, a rich and deep theory has been developed over several decades, in particular, in connection with automorphic forms when Γ is arithmetic. For compact H , the spectral decomposition of $L^2(X_\Gamma)$ is closely related to a disintegration of the regular representation of G on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$:

$$(1.2) \quad L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \simeq \int_{\widehat{G}}^{\oplus} m_\Gamma(\pi) \pi \, d\sigma(\pi),$$

where $d\sigma$ is a Borel measure on the unitary dual \widehat{G} and $m_\Gamma: \widehat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ a measurable function called *multiplicity*. There is a natural isomorphism

$$(1.3) \quad L^2(X_\Gamma) \simeq L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)^H$$

and the Hilbert space $L^2(X_\Gamma)$ is decomposed as

$$(1.4) \quad L^2(X_\Gamma) \simeq \int_{(\widehat{G})_H} m_\Gamma(\pi) \pi^H \, d\sigma(\pi),$$

where π^H denotes the space of H -invariant vectors in the representation space of π and

$$(\widehat{G})_H := \{\pi \in \widehat{G} : \pi^H \neq \{0\}\}.$$

Since the center $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$ of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$ acts on the space of smooth vectors of π as scalars for every $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, the decomposition (1.4) respects the actions of $\mathbb{D}_G(X)$ and $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$ via the natural \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism $d\ell: \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_G(X)$. This homomorphism is surjective e.g. if G is a classical group.

The situation changes drastically beyond the aforementioned classical setting, namely, when H is not compact anymore. New difficulties include:

- (1) (Representation theory) By the ergodicity theorem of Howe–Moore [7], if H is noncompact, then $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)^H = \{0\}$, and so (1.3) fails:

$$(1.5) \quad L^2(X_\Gamma) \not\simeq L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)^H$$

and the irreducible decomposition (1.2) of the regular representation $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ of G does not yield a spectral decomposition of $L^2(X_\Gamma)$.

- (2) (Analysis) In contrast to the usual Riemannian case (see [23]), the Laplacian \square_{X_Γ} is not elliptic anymore, and thus even the following subproblems of Problem 1.(2) are open in general for $X_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash G/H$ with H noncompact.

Questions 2.

- (1) *Does the Laplacian \square_{X_Γ} , defined on $C_c^\infty(X_\Gamma)$, extend to a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(X_\Gamma)$?*
- (2) *Does $L^2(X_\Gamma; \mathcal{M}_\lambda)$ contain real analytic functions as a dense subspace?*
- (3) *Does $L^2(X_\Gamma)$ decompose discretely into a sum of subspaces $L^2(X_\Gamma; \mathcal{M}_\lambda)$ when X_Γ is compact?*

2. Standard quotients

We observe that a discrete group of isometries on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold X does not always act properly discontinuously on X , and the quotient space $X_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash X$ is not necessarily Hausdorff. In fact, some semisimple symmetric spaces X do not admit infinite discontinuous groups of isometries (Calabi–Markus phenomenon [2, 12]), and thus it is not obvious a priori whether there are interesting examples of pseudo-Riemannian locally symmetric spaces X_Γ beyond the classical Riemannian case.

Fortunately, there exist semisimple symmetric spaces $X = G/H$ admitting “large” discontinuous groups Γ such that X_Γ is compact or of finite volume. Let us recall a useful idea for finding such X and Γ . Suppose a Lie subgroup L of G acts properly on X . Then the action of any discrete subgroup Γ of L on X is automatically properly discontinuous, and this action is free whenever Γ is torsion-free. Moreover, if L acts cocompactly (e.g. transitively) on X , then $\text{vol}(X_\Gamma) < +\infty$ if and only if $\text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash L) < +\infty$.

Definition 3 (Standard quotient X_Γ). A quotient $X_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash X$ of $X = G/H$ by a discrete subgroup of G is called *standard* if Γ is contained in a reductive subgroup L of G acting properly on X .

A criterion on triples (G, L, H) of reductive Lie

groups for L to act properly on $X = G/H$ was established in [12], and a list of irreducible symmetric spaces G/H admitting proper and cocompact actions of reductive subgroups L was given in [19]. Recently, Tojo [24] announced that the list in [19] exhausts all such triples (L, G, H) with L maximal.

3. Construction of discrete spectrum

Let $X = G/H$ be a semisimple symmetric space. Let \mathfrak{j} be a maximal semisimple abelian subspace in the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{h} in \mathfrak{g} with respect to the Killing form, and W the Weyl group for the root system $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{j}_{\mathbb{C}})$. The Harish-Chandra isomorphism $\Psi: S(\mathfrak{j}_{\mathbb{C}})^W \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D}_G(X)$ (see [6]) induces a bijection

$$(3.1) \quad \Psi^*: \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{D}_G(X), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{j}_{\mathbb{C}}^*/W.$$

The dimension of \mathfrak{j} is called the *rank* of the symmetric space $X = G/H$. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G such that $H \cap K$ is a maximal compact subgroup of H . Assume that G is connected without compact factor and that the following rank condition is satisfied:

$$(3.2) \quad \text{rank } G/H = \text{rank } K/(H \cap K).$$

Then we can take \mathfrak{j} as a subspace of \mathfrak{k} . We fix compatible positive systems $\Sigma^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{j}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\Sigma^+(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{j}_{\mathbb{C}})$, denote by ρ and ρ_c the corresponding half sums of positive roots counted with multiplicities, and set

$$\Lambda := 2\rho_c - \rho + \mathbb{Z}\text{-span}\{\text{highest weights of } (\widehat{K})_{H \cap K}\}.$$

For $C \geq 0$, we consider the countable set

$$\Lambda_C := \{\lambda \in \Lambda : \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle > C \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Sigma^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{j}_{\mathbb{C}})\}.$$

Fact 4 (Flensted-Jensen [5]). *If the rank condition (3.2) holds, then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$L^2(X; \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}) \neq \{0\} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \Lambda_C.$$

In fact one can take $C = 0$ [20]. We now turn to locally symmetric spaces X_{Γ} :

Theorem 5 ([8], [9, Th. 1.5]). *Under the rank condition (3.2), for any standard quotient X_{Γ} with Γ torsion-free, there exists $C_{\Gamma} > 0$ such that*

$$L^2(X; \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}) \neq \{0\} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \Lambda_{C_{\Gamma}}.$$

Thus the *discrete spectrum* $\text{Spec}_d(X_{\Gamma})$, which is by definition the set of $\lambda \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{D}_G(X), \mathbb{C})$ such that $L^2(X; \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}) \neq \{0\}$, is infinite.

Theorem 5 applied to $(G \times \{1\}, G \times G, \text{Diag } G)$ instead of (L, G, H) (group manifold case) implies:

Example 6. Suppose $\text{rank } G = \text{rank } K$. For any torsion-free discrete subgroup Γ and any discrete series representation π_{λ} of G with sufficiently regular Harish-Chandra parameter λ ,

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Hom}_G(\pi_{\lambda}, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)) \neq \{0\}.$$

This sharpens and generalizes the known results asserting that if Γ is an *arithmetic* subgroup of G , then (3.3) holds after replacing Γ by a finite-index subgroup Γ' (possibly depending on π_{λ}), see Borel–Wallach [1], Clozel [3], DeGeorge–Wallach [4], Kazhdan [11], Rohlfs–Speh [21], and Savin [22].

Remark 7. (1) Theorem 5 extends to a more general setting where X_{Γ} is not necessarily standard: namely, the conclusion still holds as soon as the action of Γ on X satisfies a strong properness condition called *sharpness* [9, Th. 3.8].

(2) The rank condition (3.2) is necessary for $\text{Spec}_d(X)$ to be nonempty (see Matsuki–Oshima [20]), in which case Fact 4 applies. On the other hand, $\text{Spec}_d(X_{\Gamma})$ may be nonempty even if (3.2) fails. This leads us to the notion of discrete spectrum of type **I** and **II**, see Definition 12 below.

4. Spectral decomposition of $L^2(X_{\Gamma})$ In this section, we discuss spectral decomposition on standard quotients X_{Γ} . We do not impose the rank condition (3.2), but require that $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ act spherically on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$, i.e. a Borel subgroup of $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ has an open orbit in $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. To be precise, our setting is as follows:

Setting 8. *We consider a symmetric space $X = G/H$ with G noncompact and simple, a reductive subgroup L of G acting properly on X such that $X_{\mathbb{C}} = G_{\mathbb{C}}/H_{\mathbb{C}}$ is $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ -spherical, and a torsion-free discrete subgroup Γ of L .*

For compact H , we can take $L = G$. However, our main interest is for *noncompact* H , in which case $L \neq G$ in the setting 8.

In Theorems 9 and 10 below, we allow the case where $\text{vol}(X_\Gamma) = +\infty$.

Theorem 9 (Spectral decomposition). *In the setting 8, there exist a measure $d\mu$ on $\text{Hom} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{D}_G(X), \mathbb{C})$ and a measurable family $(\mathcal{F}_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \text{Hom}}$ of linear maps, with*

$$\mathcal{F}_\lambda : C_c^\infty(X_\Gamma) \longrightarrow C^\infty(X_\Gamma; \mathcal{M}_\lambda),$$

such that any $f \in C_c^\infty(X_\Gamma)$ can be expanded into joint eigenfunctions on X_Γ as

$$(4.1) \quad f = \int_{\text{Hom}} \mathcal{F}_\lambda f \, d\mu(\lambda),$$

with a Parseval–Plancherel type formula

$$\|f\|_{L^2(X_\Gamma)}^2 = \int_{\text{Hom}} \|\mathcal{F}_\lambda f\|_{L^2(X_\Gamma)}^2 \, d\mu(\lambda).$$

The measure $d\mu$ can be described via a “transfer map” discussed in Section 5, see (5.4). In particular, we see that (4.1) is a discrete sum if X_Γ is compact, answering Question 2.(3) in our setting. The proof of Theorem 9 gives an answer to Questions 2.(1)–(2):

Theorem 10. *In the setting 8,*

- (1) *the pseudo-Riemannian Laplacian \square_{X_Γ} defined on $C_c^\infty(X_\Gamma)$ is essentially self-adjoint on $L^2(X_\Gamma)$;*
- (2) *any L^2 -eigenfunction of the Laplacian \square_{X_Γ} can be approximated by real analytic L^2 -eigenfunctions.*

Theorem 11. *In the setting 8, the discrete spectrum $\text{Spec}_d(X_\Gamma)$ is infinite whenever Γ is cocompact or arithmetic in the subgroup L .*

Let $\mathcal{D}'(X)$ be the space of distributions on X , endowed with its standard topology. Let $p_\Gamma^* : L^2(X_\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(X)$ be the pull-back by the projection $p_\Gamma : X \rightarrow X_\Gamma$. For $\lambda \in \text{Spec}_d(X_\Gamma)$, we denote by $L^2(X_\Gamma; \mathcal{M}_\lambda)_\text{I}$ the preimage under p_Γ^* of the closure in $\mathcal{D}'(X)$ of $L^2(X_\Gamma; \mathcal{M}_\lambda)$, and by $L^2(X_\Gamma; \mathcal{M}_\lambda)_\text{II}$ its orthogonal complement in $L^2(X_\Gamma; \mathcal{M}_\lambda)$.

Definition 12. For $i = \text{I}$ or II , the *discrete spectrum of type i* of X_Γ is the subset $\text{Spec}_d(X_\Gamma)_i$ of $\text{Spec}_d(X_\Gamma)$ consisting of those elements λ such that $L^2(X_\Gamma; \mathcal{M}_\lambda)_i \neq \{0\}$.

By construction, $\text{Spec}_d(X_\Gamma)_\text{I}$ is contained in $\text{Spec}_d(X)$, hence it is nonempty only if (3.2) holds (Remark 7.(2)); in this case $\text{Spec}_d(X_\Gamma)_\text{I}$ is actually

infinite for standard X_Γ by Theorem 5. On the other hand, Theorem 11 has the following refinement.

Theorem 13. *In the setting 8, $\text{Spec}_d(X_\Gamma)_\text{II}$ is infinite whenever Γ is cocompact or arithmetic in L .*

Example 14. Let M be a 3-dimensional compact standard anti-de Sitter manifold. Then both $\text{Spec}_d(X_\Gamma)_\text{I}$ and $\text{Spec}_d(X_\Gamma)_\text{II}$ are infinite, and

$$\text{Spec}_d(X_\Gamma)_\text{I} \subset [0, +\infty), \quad \text{Spec}_d(X_\Gamma)_\text{II} \subset (-\infty, 0].$$

5. Transfer maps In Section 1 we considered spectral analysis on locally symmetric spaces X_Γ through the algebra $\mathcal{P} (\simeq \mathbb{D}_G(X))$ of intrinsic differential operators on X_Γ . For standard quotients X_Γ with $\Gamma \subset L$, another \mathbb{C} -algebra \mathcal{Q} of differential operators on X_Γ is obtained from the center $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C})$ of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C})$: indeed, $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C})$ acts on smooth functions on X by differentiation, yielding a \mathbb{C} -algebra of L -invariant differential operators on X , hence a \mathbb{C} -algebra of differential operators on $X_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash X$ since $\Gamma \subset L$. In general, there is no inclusion relation between \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} . In order to compare the roles of \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} , we highlight a natural homomorphism $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ and a surjective one $d\ell : \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$. Loosely speaking, the algebras $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C})$ separate irreducible representations of the groups G and L , respectively, hence it is important to understand how irreducible representations of G behave when restricted to the subgroup L (*branching problem*) in order to utilize the algebra \mathcal{Q} for the spectral analysis on X_Γ via the algebra \mathcal{P} (see [16, 17]). We shall return to this point in Theorem 15 below.

Suppose a reductive subgroup L acts properly and transitively on $X = G/H$. Then $L_H := L \cap H$ is compact. We may assume that $L_K := L \cap K$ is a maximal compact subgroup of L containing L_H , after possibly replacing L by some conjugate. Then the locally *pseudo-Riemannian* symmetric space $X = \Gamma \backslash G/H$ fibers over the *Riemannian* locally symmetric space $Y_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash L/L_K$ with fiber $F := L_K/L_H$:

$$(5.1) \quad F \longrightarrow X_\Gamma \longrightarrow Y_\Gamma.$$

To expand functions on X_Γ along the fiber F ,

we define an endomorphism p_τ of $C^\infty(X_\Gamma)$ by

$$(p_\tau f)(\cdot) := \frac{1}{\dim \tau} \int_K f(\cdot k) \operatorname{Trace} \tau(k) \, dk$$

for every $\tau \in \widehat{L_K}$. Then p_τ is an idempotent, namely, $p_\tau^2 = p_\tau$. The τ -component of $C^\infty(X_\Gamma)$ is defined by

$$C^\infty(X_\Gamma)_\tau := \operatorname{Image}(p_\tau) = \operatorname{Ker}(p_\tau - \operatorname{id}).$$

We note that $C^\infty(X_\Gamma)_\tau \neq \{0\}$ if and only if τ has a nonzero L_H -invariant vector, i.e. $\tau \in (\widehat{L_K})_{L_H}$. It is easy to see that the projection p_τ commutes with any element in \mathcal{Q} ($\simeq \operatorname{d}\ell(\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C}))$), but not always with “intrinsic differential operators” $D_\Gamma \in \mathcal{P}$ ($\simeq \mathbb{D}_G(X)$), and consequently it may well happen that

$$p_\tau(C^\infty(X_\Gamma; \mathcal{M}_\lambda)) \not\subset C^\infty(X_\Gamma; \mathcal{M}_\lambda).$$

To make a connection between the two subalgebras \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} , we introduce a third subalgebra \mathcal{R} of $\mathbb{D}(X_\Gamma)$, coming from the fiber F in (5.1). Namely, \mathcal{R} is isomorphic to the \mathbb{C} -algebra $\mathbb{D}_{L_K}(F)$ of L_K -invariant differential operators D on F , and obtained by extending elements of $\mathbb{D}_{L_K}(F)$ to L -invariant differential operators on X , yielding differential operators on the quotient X_Γ .

Suppose now that we are in the setting 8. The subgroup L acts transitively on X by [18, Lem. 4.2] and [13, Lem. 5.1]. Moreover, we can prove that

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{Q} \subset \langle \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{R} \rangle$$

where $\langle \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{R} \rangle$ denotes the subalgebra of $\mathbb{D}(X_\Gamma)$ generated by \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{R} . This implies the following strong constraints on the restriction of representations:

Theorem 15. *In the setting 8, any irreducible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module occurring in $C^\infty(X)$ is discretely decomposable as an $(\mathfrak{l}, L \cap K)$ -module.*

See [13, 14, 15] for a general theory of discretely decomposable restrictions of representations. See also [17] for a discussion on Theorem 15 when dropping the assumption that L acts properly on X .

In addition to (5.2), the quotient fields of \mathcal{P} and $\langle \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R} \rangle$ coincide [10], and we obtain the following:

Theorem 16 (Transfer map). *In the setting 8, for any $\tau \in (\widehat{L_K})_{L_H}$ there is an injective map*

$$\nu(\cdot, \tau): \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{D}_G(X), \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}}(\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})$$

such that for any $\lambda \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{D}_G(X), \mathbb{C})$, any $f \in C^\infty(X_\Gamma; \mathcal{M}_\lambda)$, and any $z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C})$,

$$\operatorname{d}\ell(z)(p_\tau f) = \nu(\lambda, \tau)(z) p_\tau f.$$

We write $\lambda(\cdot, \tau)$ for the inverse map of $\nu(\cdot, \tau)$ on its image. We call $\nu(\cdot, \tau)$ and $\lambda(\cdot, \tau)$ *transfer maps*, as they “transfer” eigenfunctions for \mathcal{P} to those for \mathcal{Q} , and vice versa, on the τ -component $C^\infty(X_\Gamma)_\tau$.

For an explicit description of transfer maps, let

$$\Phi^*: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}}(\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}^*/W(\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C})$$

be the Harish-Chandra isomorphism as in (3.1), where $W(\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C})$ denotes the Weyl group of the root system $\Delta(\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C})$ with respect to a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}$ in $\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C}$. We note that there is no natural inclusion relation between $\mathfrak{j}_\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}$.

For each $\tau \in (\widehat{L_K})_{L_H}$, we find an affine map $S_\tau: \mathfrak{j}_\mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}^*$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{j}_\mathbb{C}^* & \xrightarrow{S_\tau} & \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{j}_\mathbb{C}^*/W & & \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}^*/W(\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C}) \\ \uparrow \wr & & \uparrow \wr \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{D}_G(X), \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\nu(\cdot, \tau)} & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}}(\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

Then a closed formula for the transfer map $\nu(\cdot, \tau)$ is derived from that of the affine map S_τ which was determined explicitly in [10] for the complexifications of the triples (L, G, H) in the setting 8.

Via the transfer maps, we can utilize representations of the subgroup L efficiently for the spectral analysis on X_Γ , as follows. As in (1.2), let

$$(5.3) \quad L^2(\Gamma \backslash L) \simeq \int_{\widehat{L}}^{\oplus} m_\Gamma(\vartheta) \vartheta \, d\sigma(\vartheta)$$

be a disintegration of the regular representation $L^2(\Gamma \backslash L)$ of the subgroup L . Then the transform \mathcal{F}_λ in Theorem 9 can be built naturally by using (5.3) and the expansion of $C_c^\infty(X_\Gamma)$ along the fiber F in (5.1). Consider the map

$\Lambda: (\widehat{L})_{L_H} \times (\widehat{L_K})_{L_H} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{D}_G(X), \mathbb{C})$,
 $(\vartheta, \tau) \mapsto \lambda(\chi_\vartheta, \tau)$, where $\chi_\vartheta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}}(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}}), \mathbb{C})$
 is the infinitesimal character of $\vartheta \in \widehat{L}$. Then the
 Plancherel measure $d\mu$ on $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{D}_G(X), \mathbb{C})$ in
 Theorem 9 can be defined by

$$(5.4) \quad d\mu = \Lambda_*(d\sigma|_{(\widehat{L})_{L_H}} \times (\widehat{L_K})_{L_H})$$

Detailed proofs of Theorems 9, 10, 11, 15, and
 16 will appear elsewhere.

References

- [1] A. BOREL, N. WALLACH, *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups*, Second edition, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **67**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [2] E. CALABI, L. MARKUS, Relativistic space forms, *Ann. of Math.* **75** (1962), pp. 63–76.
- [3] L. CLOZEL, *On limit multiplicities of discrete series representations in spaces of automorphic forms*, *Invent. Math.* **83** (1986), pp. 265–284.
- [4] D. DEGEORGE, N. WALLACH, *Limit formulas for multiplicities in $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$* , *Ann. of Math.* **107** (1978), pp. 133–150.
- [5] M. FLENSTED-JENSEN, *Discrete series for semisimple symmetric spaces*, *Ann. of Math.* **111** (1980), pp. 253–311.
- [6] S. HELGASON, *Groups and Geometric Analysis*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **83**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [7] R. HOWE, C. MOORE, Asymptotic properties of unitary representations. *J. Funct. Anal.* **32** (1979), pp. 72–96.
- [8] F. KASSEL, T. KOBAYASHI, *Stable spectrum for pseudo-Riemannian locally symmetric spaces*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **349** (2011), pp. 29–33.
- [9] F. KASSEL, T. KOBAYASHI, *Poincaré series for non-Riemannian locally symmetric spaces*, *Adv. Math.* **287** (2016), pp. 123–236.
- [10] F. KASSEL, T. KOBAYASHI, *Invariant differential operators on spherical homogeneous spaces with overgroups*, *J. Lie Theory* **29** (2019), pp. 663–754.
- [11] D. KAZHDAN, *Some applications of the Weil representation*, *J. Analyse Math.* **32** (1977), pp. 235–248.
- [12] T. KOBAYASHI, *Proper action on a homogeneous space of reductive type*, *Math. Ann.* **285** (1989), pp. 249–263.
- [13] T. KOBAYASHI, *Discrete decomposability of the restriction of $A_q(\lambda)$ with respect to reductive subgroups and its applications*, *Invent. Math.* **117** (1994), pp. 181–205.
- [14] T. KOBAYASHI, *Discrete decomposability of the restriction of $A_q(\lambda)$ with respect to reductive subgroups. II. Micro-local analysis and asymptotic K -support*, *Ann. of Math.* **147** (1998), pp. 709–729.
- [15] T. KOBAYASHI, *Discrete decomposability of the restriction of $A_q(\lambda)$ with respect to reductive subgroups. III. Restriction of Harish-Chandra modules and associated varieties*, *Invent. Math.* **131** (1998), pp. 229–256.
- [16] T. KOBAYASHI, *Hidden symmetries and spectrum of the Laplacian on an indefinite Riemannian manifold*, pp. 73–87, *Contemp. Math.* **484**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [17] T. KOBAYASHI, *Global analysis by hidden symmetry*, pp. 361–399, *Progr. in Math.* **323**, 2017.
- [18] T. KOBAYASHI, T. OSHIMA, *Finite multiplicity theorems for induction and restriction*, *Adv. Math.* **248** (2013), pp. 921–944.
- [19] T. KOBAYASHI, T. YOSHINO, *Compact Clifford–Klein forms of symmetric spaces — revisited*, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **1** (2005), pp. 591–653.
- [20] T. MATSUKI, T. OSHIMA, *A description of discrete series for semisimple symmetric spaces*, pp. 331–390, *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics* **4**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [21] J. ROHLFS, B. SPEH, *On limit multiplicities of representations with cohomology in the cuspidal spectrum*, *Duke Math. J.* **55** (1987), pp. 199–211.
- [22] G. SAVIN, *Limit multiplicities of cusp forms*, *Invent. Math.* **95** (1989), pp. 149–159.
- [23] R. S. STRICHARTZ, *Analysis of the Laplacian on the complete Riemannian manifold*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **52** (1983), pp. 48–79.
- [24] K. TOJO, *Classification of irreducible symmetric spaces which admit standard compact Clifford–Klein forms*, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **95** (2019), pp. 11–15.