

# ON THE INTEGRALITY OF HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES WHOSE COEFFICIENTS ARE FACTORIAL RATIOS

ALAN ADOLPHSON AND STEVEN SPERBER

**ABSTRACT.** We use the Dwork-Frobenius operator to prove an integrality result for  $A$ -hypergeometric series whose coefficients are factorial ratios. As a special case, we generalize one direction of a classical result of Landau on the integrality of factorial ratios.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Integrality properties for the coefficients of hypergeometric series appear in the literature as early as 1900. Specifically, Landau[16] considered certain ratios of factorials (which can be viewed as coefficients of a suitable hypergeometric series: see Example 2 below) and gave a criterion for these ratios to be integral.

In subsequent work Dwork[10, 12] (and then a number of other researchers focussing on the  $p$ -adic theory of differential equations, including Christol[6] and the authors[1, 5]) was concerned with classifying hypergeometric series whose coefficients are  $p$ -integral. Such issues are in a sense more general than Landau's work and also have a different motivation. For Dwork, the key analytic property of the Frobenius map, say, on relative cohomology of a family of varieties defined over a finite field, is its analytic continuation to a  $p$ -adic lift of the Hasse domain (and even into the supersingular disks:[10, 13]). Once established, Frobenius acts on the solutions of the associated  $p$ -adic differential equation and important arithmetic information can be extracted. In an early attempt to systematize an approach to proving analytic continuation to this large region, Dwork undertook a very detailed examination, finding precise  $p$ -adic estimates for ratios of “binomial-type numbers”, i. e., ratios of rising Pochhammer factorials of the sort appearing in hypergeometric series. These “formal congruences” were used in an essential manner by Delaygue [7, 8], Delaygue-Rivoal-Roques[9], and Krattenthaler-Rivoal[15] in their proofs of the integrality of the mirror map in cases of hypergeometric differential equations having a series solution with integral coefficients and having as well a log solution.

In [11, 13] Dwork developed other methods for proving the analytic continuation and integrality. He applied his construction of a Frobenius action on the solution matrix of the deformation equation associated to a family of varieties to conclude that certain distinguished solutions were stable under Frobenius. Using the strong estimates of Frobenius and the classical fixed point theorem for contraction mappings on a ( $p$ -adic) Banach space he could then prove that important ratios of hypergeometric functions had analytic continuation and the series themselves had  $p$ -integral coefficients.

In general, in this setting, the Frobenius action on solutions in a singular disk can be used to obtain arithmetic information at smooth fibers. The information in question concerns the  $p$ -adic size of roots of the zeta or  $L$ -function at non-singular fibers and  $p$ -adic formulas for the roots themselves. In particular, the eigenvalues of minimal  $p$ -divisibility often can be expressed in terms of the analytic continuation of a certain ratio of the special function solution in the singular disk (for some recent results of this type see [2], Yu[17], Zhang[18]). Even the Hasse invariant itself can be expressed in terms of a “ratio” of matrices of suitable series solutions at a singular point. An essential ingredient in so doing is knowing the coefficients of the series solutions under consideration are themselves  $p$ -integral. We expect the  $p$ -integrality results derived here to enable us in future work to establish  $p$ -adic formulas for Hasse invariants and to extend some known integrality results for mirror maps.

In previous articles ([1, 5]) we dealt with confluent and non-confluent cases and used a recursive truncation method to obtain  $p$ -integrality results. Here we proceed somewhat differently. We directly identify certain series whose coefficients are themselves hypergeometric series as eigenvectors of the Dwork-Frobenius operator. If the corresponding eigenvalues are not too highly divisible by  $p$  then the coefficients of these hypergeometric series are all  $p$ -integral. Our hypothesis guarantees that this holds for all primes  $p$ , so in fact these hypergeometric series have integral coefficients (see Theorem 2.10). In particular, we avoid computing the full solution matrix of the deformation equation and avoid finding  $p$ -adic estimates for the full Dwork-Frobenius matrix.

Our results here should play an essential role in giving a  $p$ -adic interpolation of the generalized Hasse invariant (see [3]) in terms of a matrix of special functions. We also indicate in the examples how our results give an extension of Landau’s classical theorem on the integrality of factorial ratios.

## 2. RESULTS

We describe the hypergeometric series we are considering. Let  $A = \{\mathbf{a}_j\}_{j=1}^N$  with  $\mathbf{a}_j = (a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \dots, a_{n-1,j}, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  for all  $j$ . Let  $A' = \{\mathbf{a}_j\}_{j=1}^M \subseteq A$  and put  $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^M \mathbf{a}_j$ . Let  $C(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be the real cone generated by  $A$  and let  $\sigma_\beta$  be the smallest closed face of  $C(A)$  containing  $\beta$ . Let  $\sigma_\beta^\circ$  be the relative interior of  $\sigma_\beta$ , i. e.,  $\sigma_\beta^\circ$  equals  $\sigma_\beta$  minus all its proper closed subfaces. Then  $\beta \in \sigma_\beta^\circ$ . We make the assumption throughout this paper that  $A'$  is *minimal* for  $\sigma_\beta^\circ$ , i. e., that if  $J$  is a proper subset of  $\{1, \dots, M\}$ , then  $\sum_{j \in J} \mathbf{a}_j \notin \sigma_\beta^\circ$ .

Let  $\mathbb{Z}A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$  be the abelian group generated by  $A$ , let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the nonnegative integers, and let  $\mathbb{N}A$  be the semigroup generated by  $A$ . Put  $\mathcal{M}_\beta = (-\sigma_\beta^\circ) \cap \mathbb{Z}A$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that  $A'$  is minimal for  $\sigma_\beta^\circ$ , let  $u \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$ , and suppose that  $u = \sum_{j=1}^N l_j \mathbf{a}_j$  with  $l_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, M$  and  $l_j \in \mathbb{N}$  for  $j = M+1, \dots, N$ . Then  $l_j < 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, M$  and  $l_j = 0$  for all  $j \in \{M+1, \dots, N\}$  for which  $\mathbf{a}_j \notin \sigma_\beta$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a hyperplane of support for the face  $\sigma_\beta$ . Then  $H$  is defined by a homogeneous linear equation  $h = 0$  with  $h(\sigma_\beta) = 0$  and  $h(x) > 0$  for  $x \in C(A) \setminus \sigma_\beta$ . If  $l_j > 0$  for some  $j \in \{M+1, \dots, N\}$  with  $\mathbf{a}_j \notin \sigma_\beta$ , then  $h(u) > 0$ , contradicting

the hypothesis that  $u \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$ . We then have

$$\sum_{\substack{j \in \{1, \dots, M\} \\ l_j < 0}} l_j \mathbf{a}_j = u - \sum_{\substack{j \in \{1, \dots, M\} \\ l_j > 0}} l_j \mathbf{a}_j - \sum_{j=M+1}^N l_j \mathbf{a}_j \in \mathcal{M}_\beta,$$

hence  $\sum_{\substack{j \in \{1, \dots, M\} \\ l_j < 0}} \mathbf{a}_j \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$ . The assumption that  $A'$  is minimal for  $\sigma_\beta^\circ$  now implies that  $l_j < 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, M$ .  $\square$

Put

$$E_\beta = \{l = (l_1, \dots, l_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N \mid l_j = 0 \text{ if } \mathbf{a}_j \notin \sigma_\beta\}.$$

For  $u \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$  define

$$(2.2) \quad F_u(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_N) = \sum_{\substack{l \in E_\beta \\ \sum_{j=1}^M (-l_j - 1) \mathbf{a}_j + \sum_{j=M+1}^N l_j \mathbf{a}_j = u}} (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^M l_j} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^M l_j!}{\prod_{j=M+1}^N l_j!} \Lambda_1^{-l_1-1} \dots \Lambda_M^{-l_M-1} \Lambda_{M+1}^{l_{M+1}} \dots \Lambda_N^{l_N}.$$

We show that the assumption that  $A'$  be minimal for  $\sigma_\beta^\circ$  implies that the  $F_u(\Lambda)$  satisfy  $A$ -hypergeometric systems.

Let  $L$  be the lattice of relations on the set  $A$ :

$$L = \left\{ l = (l_1, \dots, l_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N \mid \sum_{j=1}^N l_j \mathbf{a}_j = \mathbf{0} \right\}.$$

For  $l \in L$  define the box operator  $\square_l$  by

$$(2.3) \quad \square_l = \prod_{l_j > 0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda_j} \right)^{l_j} - \prod_{l_j < 0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda_j} \right)^{-l_j}.$$

The Euler operators for a parameter  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  are defined by

$$(2.4) \quad Z_i = \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} \Lambda_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda_j} - u_i$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The  $A$ -hypergeometric system with parameter  $u$  is the system of partial differential equations consisting of the box operators  $\square_l$  for  $l \in L$  and the  $Z_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *Suppose that  $A'$  is minimal for  $\sigma_\beta^\circ$  and let  $u \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$ . Then*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda_k} F_u(\Lambda) = \begin{cases} F_{u-\mathbf{a}_k}(\Lambda) & \text{if } \mathbf{a}_k \in \sigma_\beta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{a}_k \notin \sigma_\beta. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* If  $\mathbf{a}_k \notin \sigma_\beta$ , then  $\Lambda_k$  does not occur to a nonzero power in (2.2), so  $\partial F_u / \partial \Lambda_k = 0$ . A straightforward calculation from (2.2) shows that applying  $\partial / \partial \Lambda_k$  to a term in  $F_u(\Lambda)$  gives either 0 or a term of  $F_{u-\mathbf{a}_k}(\Lambda)$ . The main point of the proof is to show that every monomial in  $F_{u-\mathbf{a}_k}(\Lambda)$  is obtained by applying  $\partial / \partial \Lambda_k$  to some monomial in  $F_u(\Lambda)$ .

Suppose that  $\mathbf{a}_k \in \sigma_\beta$  and consider a monomial in  $F_{u-\mathbf{a}_k}(\Lambda)$ :

$$(2.6) \quad (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^M l_j} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^M l_j!}{\prod_{j=M+1}^N l_j!} \Lambda_1^{-l_1-1} \cdots \Lambda_M^{-l_M-1} \Lambda_{M+1}^{l_{M+1}} \cdots \Lambda_N^{l_N}$$

with  $l \in E_\beta$  and

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{j=1}^M (-l_j - 1) \mathbf{a}_j + \sum_{j=M+1}^N l_j \mathbf{a}_j = u - \mathbf{a}_k.$$

Suppose first that  $k \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ . Then (2.7) gives

$$u = -l_k \mathbf{a}_k + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^M (-l_j - 1) \mathbf{a}_j + \sum_{j=M+1}^N l_j \mathbf{a}_j.$$

By Lemma 2.1 we have  $-l_k < 0$ , hence

$$(l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}, l_k - 1, l_{k+1}, \dots, l_N) \in E_\beta,$$

the monomial

$$(-1)^{-1 - \sum_{j=1}^M l_j} \frac{(l_k - 1)! \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^M l_j!}{\prod_{j=M+1}^N l_j!} \Lambda_k \cdot (\Lambda_1^{-l_1-1} \cdots \Lambda_M^{-l_M-1} \Lambda_{M+1}^{l_{M+1}} \cdots \Lambda_N^{l_N})$$

appears in the series (2.2), and applying  $\partial/\partial\Lambda_k$  gives (2.6). Next suppose that  $k \in \{M+1, \dots, N\}$ . Then the monomial

$$(-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^M l_j} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^M l_j!}{(l_k + 1)! \prod_{\substack{j=M+1 \\ j \neq k}}^N l_j!} \Lambda_k \cdot (\Lambda_1^{-l_1-1} \cdots \Lambda_M^{-l_M-1} \Lambda_{M+1}^{l_{M+1}} \cdots \Lambda_N^{l_N})$$

appears in the series (2.2) and applying  $\partial/\partial\Lambda_k$  gives (2.6).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8.** *Suppose that  $A'$  is minimal for  $\sigma_\beta^\circ$  and that  $u \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$ . Then  $F_u(\Lambda)$  satisfies the  $A$ -hypergeometric system with parameter  $u$ .*

*Proof.* A straightforward calculation from (2.2) shows that for  $u \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$  the series  $F_u(\Lambda)$  satisfies the operators  $Z_i$  for the parameter  $u$ . Let  $l \in L$ . Then

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{l_j > 0} l_j \mathbf{a}_j = - \sum_{l_j < 0} l_j \mathbf{a}_j.$$

If  $l_j > 0$  for some  $\mathbf{a}_j \notin \sigma_\beta$ , this equality implies that  $l_{j'} < 0$  for some  $\mathbf{a}_{j'} \notin \sigma_\beta$ . Then by Lemma 2.5

$$\prod_{l_j > 0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda_j} \right)^{l_j} F_u(\Lambda) = \prod_{l_j < 0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda_j} \right)^{-l_j} F_u(\Lambda) = 0,$$

so  $\square_l F_u(\Lambda) = 0$ . Otherwise, Lemma 2.5 implies that

$$\prod_{l_j > 0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda_j} \right)^{l_j} F_u(\Lambda) = F_{u - \sum_{l_j > 0} l_j \mathbf{a}_j}(\Lambda)$$

and

$$\prod_{l_j < 0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda_j} \right)^{-l_j} F_u(\Lambda) = F_{u + \sum_{l_j < 0} l_j \mathbf{a}_j}(\Lambda).$$

By Equation (2.9) these two expressions are equal, so again  $\square_l F_u(\Lambda) = 0$ .  $\square$

In earlier work ([1, 5]) we considered the special case  $F_{-\beta}(\Lambda)$  and gave a criterion for that series to have integral coefficients. However, for certain arithmetic applications it is necessary to know that all the series (2.2) have integral coefficients. For example, in [2] we proved this in a special case by ad hoc methods and used the result to give a  $p$ -adic formula for the unit root of the zeta function of generalized Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in characteristic  $p$ . The point of this article is to give a general criterion that guarantees all the series (2.2) have integral coefficients.

**Theorem 2.10.** *Assume that  $A'$  is minimal for  $\sigma_\beta^\circ$ . If*

$$(2.11) \quad M = \min\{-u_n \mid u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathcal{M}_\beta \text{ and } F_u(\Lambda) \neq 0\},$$

*then for all  $u \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$  the series  $F_u(\Lambda)$  has integral coefficients.*

Note that the hypothesis of the theorem is redundant: Eq. (2.11) implies that  $A'$  is minimal for  $\sigma_\beta^\circ$ . Note also that by Equation (2.2), the condition that  $F_u(\Lambda) = 0$  is equivalent to the condition that the equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^M (-l_j - 1) \mathbf{a}_j + \sum_{j=M+1}^N l_j \mathbf{a}_j = u$$

have no solution  $l \in E_\beta$ .

To fix ideas and simplify the notation, we shall assume from now on that  $\sigma_\beta = C(A)$ , i. e., that  $\beta$  is an interior point of  $C(A)$ . The case of general  $\beta$  is proved along the same lines. In this case we write  $\mathcal{M}$  in place of  $\mathcal{M}_\beta$  and we have  $\mathcal{M} = (-C(A)^\circ) \cap \mathbb{Z}A$ . We also have  $E_\beta = \mathbb{N}^N$ . With this assumption, Lemma 2.1 becomes the following statement.

**Lemma 2.12.** *Assume that  $A'$  is minimal for  $C(A)^\circ$ . Let  $u \in \mathcal{M}$  and suppose that  $u = \sum_{j=1}^N l_j \mathbf{a}_j$  with  $l_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, M$  and  $l_j \in \mathbb{N}$  for  $j = M+1, \dots, N$ . Then  $l_j < 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, M$ .*

Theorem 2.10 becomes the following statement.

**Theorem 2.13.** *Assume that  $\sum_{j=1}^M \mathbf{a}_j$  is an interior point of  $C(A)$ . If*

$$M = \min\{-u_n \mid u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } F_u(\Lambda) \neq 0\},$$

*then for all  $u \in \mathcal{M}$  the series  $F_u(\Lambda)$  has integral coefficients.*

**Remark.** The restriction that the last coordinate of each  $\mathbf{a}_j$  equal 1 is not essential. Theorem 2.10 remains true if we assume only that all  $\mathbf{a}_j$  lie on a hyperplane  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i u_i = 1$  with  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . When this condition is satisfied, there exists a linear transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  which restricts to an isomorphism of abelian groups  $T : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$  and which transforms the hyperplane  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i u_i = 1$  to the hyperplane  $u_n = 1$ . The set  $T(A) = \{T(\mathbf{a}_j)\}_{j=1}^N$  then satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.10,  $l \in E_\beta$  if and only if  $l \in E_{T(\beta)}$ , and

$$\sum_{j=1}^M (-l_j - 1) \mathbf{a}_j + \sum_{j=M+1}^N l_j \mathbf{a}_j = u$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{j=1}^M (-l_j - 1) T(\mathbf{a}_j) + \sum_{j=M+1}^N l_j T(\mathbf{a}_j) = T(u).$$

The series  $F_u(\Lambda)$  and  $F_{T(u)}(\Lambda)$  are thus identical.

### 3. GENERATING SERIES

It will be convenient to have a generating series construction of the  $F_u(\Lambda)$ . Consider the series

$$\zeta(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i i! t^{-i-1}.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that for  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $F_u(\Lambda)$  is the coefficient of  $x^u$  in

$$\left( \prod_{j=1}^M \zeta(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \left( \prod_{j=M+1}^N \exp(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \right).$$

We define

$$\delta_{\mathcal{M}}(x^u) = \begin{cases} x^u & \text{if } u \in \mathcal{M}, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \notin \mathcal{M}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(3.1) \quad \delta_{\mathcal{M}} \left( \left( \prod_{j=1}^M \zeta(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \left( \prod_{j=M+1}^N \exp(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \right) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{M}} F_u(\Lambda) x^u.$$

To prove Theorem 2.13, we fix a prime  $p$  and prove that all  $F_u(\Lambda)$  have  $p$ -integral coefficients. We begin by normalizing the series (3.1) for the prime  $p$ .

We recall some estimates from [2, Section 3] for this purpose. Let  $\text{AH}(t) = \exp\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^{p^i}/p^i\right)$  be the Artin-Hasse series, a power series in  $t$  that has  $p$ -integral coefficients, let  $\gamma_0$  be a zero of the series  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^{p^i}/p^i$  having  $\text{ord } \gamma_0 = 1/(p-1)$ , and set

$$\theta(t) = \text{AH}(\gamma_0 t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta_i t^i.$$

We then have

$$(3.2) \quad \text{ord } \theta_i \geq \frac{i}{p-1}.$$

We define  $\hat{\theta}(t) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta(t^{p^j})$ , which gives  $\theta(t) = \hat{\theta}(t)/\hat{\theta}(t^p)$ . If we set

$$(3.3) \quad \gamma_j = \sum_{i=0}^j \frac{\gamma_0^{p^i}}{p^i},$$

then

$$(3.4) \quad \hat{\theta}(t) = \exp\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_j t^{p^j}\right) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \exp(\gamma_j t^{p^j}).$$

If we write  $\hat{\theta}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \hat{\theta}_i (\gamma_0 t)^i / i!$ , then by [2, Equation (3.8)] we have

$$(3.5) \quad \text{ord } \hat{\theta}_i \geq 0.$$

We also need the series

$$(3.6) \quad \hat{\theta}_1(t) := \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \exp(\gamma_j t^{p^j}) =: \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{\theta}_{1,i}}{i!} (\gamma_0 t)^i.$$

Note that  $\hat{\theta}(t) = \exp(\gamma_0 t) \hat{\theta}_1(t)$ . By [2, Equation (3.10)]

$$(3.7) \quad \text{ord } \hat{\theta}_{1,i} \geq \frac{i(p-1)}{p}.$$

Define the generating series  $F(\Lambda, x)$  by the formula

$$(3.8) \quad F(\Lambda, x) = \delta_{\mathcal{M}} \left( \left( \prod_{j=1}^M \zeta(\gamma_0 \Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \left( \prod_{j=M+1}^N \exp(\gamma_0 \Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \right).$$

It follows from (3.1) that

$$(3.9) \quad F(\Lambda, x) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{M}} F_u(\Lambda) \gamma_0^{u_n} x^u.$$

Our  $p$ -adically normalized series  $G(\Lambda, x)$  is defined by

$$(3.10) \quad G(\Lambda, x) = \delta_{\mathcal{M}} \left( \left( \prod_{j=1}^M \zeta(\gamma_0 \Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \hat{\theta}_1(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \left( \prod_{j=M+1}^N \exp(\gamma_0 \Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \hat{\theta}_1(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \right),$$

which we write as

$$(3.11) \quad G(\Lambda, x) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{M}} G_u(\Lambda) \gamma_0^{u_n} x^u.$$

The first step is to evaluate (3.10) to obtain an explicit formula for the  $G_u(\Lambda)$ . We introduce some notation to simplify formulas. Let  $Q(t)$  be the sum of the negative powers of  $t$  in the product  $\zeta(\gamma_0 t) \hat{\theta}_1(t)$ . A straightforward calculation shows that

$$(3.12) \quad Q(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i i! \sigma_i \gamma_0^{-i-1} t^{-i-1},$$

where

$$(3.13) \quad \sigma_i = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \hat{\theta}_{1,k} \binom{i+k}{k}.$$

Since  $\hat{\theta}_{1,0} = 1$ , Equation (3.7) shows that  $\sigma_i$  is  $p$ -integral and that

$$(3.14) \quad \sigma_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\gamma_0}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.12 that to obtain an  $x^u$  with  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ , one must take negative powers of each  $x^{\mathbf{a}_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, M$ , in the first product on the right-hand side of (3.10). Thus

$$(3.15) \quad G(\Lambda, x) = \delta_{\mathcal{M}} \left( \left( \prod_{j=1}^M Q(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \left( \prod_{j=M+1}^N \exp(\gamma_0 \Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \hat{\theta}_1(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \right),$$

A similar calculation shows that

$$(3.16) \quad \exp(\gamma_0 \Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \hat{\theta}_1(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_i \gamma_0^i \Lambda_j^i x^{i \mathbf{a}_j}}{i!},$$

where

$$(3.17) \quad \tau_i = \sum_{k=0}^i \binom{i}{k} \hat{\theta}_{1,k}.$$

Equation (3.7) shows that  $\tau_i$  is  $p$ -integral and that

$$(3.18) \quad \tau_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\gamma_0}.$$

Set for  $l \in \mathbb{N}^N$

$$\sigma(l) = \prod_{j=1}^M \sigma_{l_j} \prod_{j=M+1}^N \tau_{l_j}.$$

By (3.14) and (3.18),  $\sigma(l)$  is  $p$ -integral and

$$(3.19) \quad \sigma(l) \equiv 1 \pmod{\gamma_0}.$$

It now follows from (3.12), (3.15), and (3.16) that for  $u \in \mathcal{M}$

$$(3.20) \quad G_u(\Lambda) = \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{N}^N \\ \sum_{j=1}^M (-l_j - 1) \mathbf{a}_j + \\ \sum_{j=M+1}^N l_j \mathbf{a}_j = u}} (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^M l_j} \frac{\sigma(l) \prod_{j=1}^M l_j!}{\prod_{j=M+1}^N l_j!} \Lambda_1^{-l_1-1} \cdots \Lambda_M^{-l_M-1} \Lambda_{M+1}^{l_{M+1}} \cdots \Lambda_N^{l_N}.$$

Equations (2.2) and (3.20), together with (3.19), now imply the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.21.** *If  $A'$  is minimal for  $C(A)^\circ$ , then for  $u \in \mathcal{M}$  the series  $F_u(\Lambda)$  has  $p$ -integral coefficients if and only if the series  $G_u(\Lambda)$  has  $p$ -integral coefficients.*

Note that since  $\exp(\gamma_0 t) \hat{\theta}_1(t) = \hat{\theta}(t)$ , Equation (3.15) implies that  $G(\Lambda, x)$  can be written in the more compact form

$$(3.22) \quad G(\Lambda, x) = \delta_{\mathcal{M}} \left( \left( \prod_{j=1}^M Q(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \left( \prod_{j=M+1}^N \hat{\theta}(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \right),$$

and Equation (3.16) implies that

$$(3.23) \quad \hat{\theta}(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_i \gamma_0^i \Lambda_j^i x^{i \mathbf{a}_j}}{i!}.$$

#### 4. THE DWORK-FROBENIUS OPERATOR

By Proposition 3.21, it suffices to prove that the series  $G_u(\Lambda)$  have  $p$ -integral coefficients. The main step in the proof will be to show that  $G(\Lambda, x)$  is an eigenvector of the Dwork-Frobenius operator.

Define

$$(4.1) \quad \theta(\Lambda, x) = \prod_{j=1}^N \theta(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}).$$

Let  $\mathbb{N}A$  be the semigroup generated by  $A$ . By [2, Equations (3.20)–(3.22)] we have

$$(4.2) \quad \theta(\Lambda, x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}A} \theta_\nu(\Lambda) x^\nu,$$

where  $\theta_\nu(\Lambda)$  is a polynomial in  $\Lambda$ :

$$(4.3) \quad \theta_\nu(\Lambda) = \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{N}^N \\ \sum_{j=1}^N m_j \mathbf{a}_j = \nu}} \theta_m^{(\nu)} \Lambda^m$$



with

$$(4.4) \quad \theta_m^{(\nu)} = \prod_{j=1}^N \theta_{m_j}.$$

We have by (3.2)

$$(4.5) \quad \text{ord } \theta_m^{(\nu)} \geq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^N m_j}{p-1} = \frac{\nu_n}{p-1}.$$

The Dwork-Frobenius operator  $\alpha^*$  on  $G(\Lambda, x)$  is defined by

$$(4.6) \quad \alpha^*(G(\Lambda, x)) = \delta_{\mathcal{M}} \left( \theta(\Lambda, x) G(\Lambda^p, x^p) \right).$$

We check that this operation is well-defined. Formally,

$$\alpha^*(G(\Lambda, x)) = \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{M}} \zeta_{\rho}(\Lambda) x^{\rho},$$

where

$$(4.7) \quad \zeta_{\rho}(\Lambda) = \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbb{N}A, u \in \mathcal{M} \\ \nu + pu = \rho}} \gamma_0^{u_n} \theta_{\nu}(\Lambda) G_u(\Lambda^p).$$

The product  $\theta_{\nu}(\Lambda) G_u(\Lambda^p)$  is well-defined because  $\theta_{\nu}(\Lambda)$  is a polynomial. We show that the series on the right-hand side of (4.7) converges by computing the coefficient of  $\Lambda^{\mu}$  in this expression.

From (3.20), (4.3), and (4.4) the coefficient of  $\Lambda^{\mu}$  in  $\gamma_0^{u_n} \theta_{\nu}(\Lambda) G_u(\Lambda^p)$  is

$$(4.8) \quad \sum (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^M l_j} \sigma(l) \left( \prod_{j=1}^M \gamma_0^{-l_j-1} \theta_{m_j} l_j! \right) \left( \prod_{j=M+1}^N \frac{\gamma_0^{l_j} \theta_{m_j}}{l_j!} \right),$$

where the sum is over the (finite) set of all  $m \in \mathbb{N}^N$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}^N$  satisfying

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N m_j \mathbf{a}_j = \nu,$$

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{j=1}^M (-l_j - 1) \mathbf{a}_j + \sum_{j=M+1}^N l_j \mathbf{a}_j = u,$$

and

$$(4.11) \quad \mu_j = \begin{cases} m_j + p(-l_j - 1) & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, M, \\ m_j + pl_j & \text{for } j = M+1, \dots, N, \end{cases}$$

and where we have written  $\gamma_0^{u_n} = \prod_{j=1}^M \gamma_0^{-l_j-1} \cdot \prod_{j=M+1}^N \gamma_0^{l_j}$ , which follows from (4.10) since the last coordinate of each  $\mathbf{a}_j$  equals 1.

For the last factor on the right-hand side of (4.8) we have

$$\text{ord } \prod_{j=M+1}^N \frac{\gamma_0^{l_j} \theta_{m_j}}{l_j!} \geq \sum_{j=M+1}^N \frac{m_j + sl_j}{p-1},$$

where for a nonnegative integer  $a$ ,  $s_a$  denotes the sum of the digits in the  $p$ -adic expansion of  $a$ . In particular, this factor is  $p$ -integral. For the next-to-last factor on the right-hand side of (4.8) we have

$$\text{ord} \prod_{j=1}^M \gamma_0^{-l_j-1} \theta_{m_j} l_j! \geq \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{m_j - 1 - s_{l_j}}{p-1}.$$

We have the elementary estimate  $s_{l_j}/(p-1) \leq \log_p(pl_j)$  and from (4.11) we get  $m_j = p(l_j + 1) + \mu_j$ , which gives the estimate

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{m_j - 1 - s_{l_j}}{p-1} \geq \frac{p(l_j + 1) + \mu_j - 1}{p-1} - \log_p(pl_j).$$

As  $u$  grows without bound on the right-hand side of (4.7), the sum  $\sum_{j=1}^M l_j$  grows without bound also, so estimate (4.12) implies that the series (4.7) converges.

We normalize the series (4.7) to be consistent with the normalization of  $G(\Lambda, x)$ . Write

$$(4.13) \quad \alpha^*(G(\Lambda, x)) = \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{M}} \eta_\rho(\Lambda) \gamma_0^{\rho_n} x^\rho,$$

where

$$(4.14) \quad \eta_\rho(\Lambda) = \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbb{N}A, u \in \mathcal{M} \\ \nu + pu = \rho}} \gamma_0^{-\rho_n + u_n} \theta_\nu(\Lambda) G_u(\Lambda^p).$$

For later use, we record the formula for  $\zeta_\rho(\Lambda)$ . This follows from Equations (4.7)–(4.11).

**Proposition 4.15.** *For  $\rho \in \mathcal{M}$ , the coefficient of  $x^\rho$  in  $\alpha^*(G(\Lambda, x))$  equals*

$$(4.16) \quad \sum_{\substack{m, l \in \mathbb{N}^N \\ \sum_{j=1}^M (m_j + p(-l_j - 1)) \mathbf{a}_j + \\ \sum_{j=M+1}^N (m_j + pl_j) \mathbf{a}_j = \rho}} (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^M l_j} \sigma(l) \left( \prod_{j=1}^M \gamma_0^{-l_j-1} \theta_{m_j} l_j! \right) \left( \prod_{j=M+1}^N \frac{\gamma_0^{l_j} \theta_{m_j}}{l_j!} \right) \\ \cdot \prod_{j=1}^M \Lambda_j^{m_j + p(-l_j - 1)} \prod_{j=M+1}^N \Lambda_j^{m_j + pl_j}.$$

The coefficient of  $\Lambda^\mu$  in this expression is obtained by restricting  $m, l$  to satisfy (4.11).

## 5. EIGENVECTOR OF DWORK-FROBENIUS

The following result is key to the proof of Theorem 2.13.

**Theorem 5.1.** *If  $A'$  is minimal for  $C(A)^\circ$ , then the series  $G(\Lambda, x)$  is an eigenvector of  $\alpha^*$  with eigenvalue  $p^M$ :*

$$\alpha^*(G(\Lambda, x)) = p^M G(\Lambda, x).$$

We begin by recalling a result from [2]. Define an operator  $\delta_-$  on formal Laurent series in one variable  $t$  by the formula

$$\delta_- \left( \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} c_i t^i \right) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} c_i t^i.$$

The following equality is [2, Proposition 6.10]:

$$(5.2) \quad \delta_- (\theta(t)Q(t^p)) = pQ(t).$$

This equation is equivalent to the assertion that

$$(5.3) \quad \theta(t)Q(t^p) = A(t) + pQ(t)$$

for some series  $A(t)$  in nonnegative powers of  $t$ . Replacing  $t$  in this equation by  $\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, M$  and multiplying gives

$$(5.4) \quad \prod_{j=1}^M \left( \theta(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) Q(\Lambda_j^p x^{p\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) = \prod_{j=1}^M \left( A(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) + pQ(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \right),$$

where  $A(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j})$  is a series in nonnegative powers of  $x^{\mathbf{a}_j}$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *If  $A'$  is minimal for  $C(A)^\circ$ , then*

$$(5.6) \quad \delta_{\mathcal{M}} \prod_{j=1}^M \left( \theta(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) Q(\Lambda_j^p x^{p\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) = p^M \prod_{j=1}^M Q(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}).$$

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 2.12 that when the product on the right-hand side of (5.4) is expanded, all terms except for  $\prod_{j=1}^M pQ(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j})$  are annihilated by  $\delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ .  $\square$

Since  $\theta(t)\hat{\theta}(t^p) = \hat{\theta}(t)$ , we have trivially

$$(5.7) \quad \prod_{j=M+1}^N \theta(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \hat{\theta}(\Lambda_j^p x^{p\mathbf{a}_j}) = \prod_{j=M+1}^N \hat{\theta}(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}).$$

**Corollary 5.8.** *If  $A'$  is minimal for  $C(A)^\circ$ , then*

$$(5.9) \quad \delta_{\mathcal{M}} \left( \left( \prod_{j=1}^M \theta(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) Q(\Lambda_j^p x^{p\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \left( \prod_{j=M+1}^N \theta(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \hat{\theta}(\Lambda_j^p x^{p\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \right) = p^M G(\Lambda, x).$$

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 2.12 that the left-hand side of (5.9) equals

$$\delta_{\mathcal{M}} \left( \left( \delta_{\mathcal{M}} \prod_{j=1}^M \theta(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) Q(\Lambda_j^p x^{p\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \left( \prod_{j=M+1}^N \theta(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \hat{\theta}(\Lambda_j^p x^{p\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \right)$$

By (5.6) and (5.7) this equals

$$\delta_{\mathcal{M}} \left( p^M \prod_{j=1}^M Q(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \prod_{j=M+1}^N \hat{\theta}(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \right).$$

The assertion of the corollary now follows from (3.22)  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* From (3.22) and (4.6) we have

$$\alpha^*(G(\Lambda, x)) = \delta_{\mathcal{M}} \left( \left( \prod_{j=1}^N \theta(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \left( \delta_{\mathcal{M}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^M Q(\Lambda_j^p x^{p\mathbf{a}_j}) \prod_{j=M+1}^N \hat{\theta}(\Lambda_j^p x^{p\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \right) \right).$$

By Lemma 2.12 we may omit the second “ $\delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ ” to get

$$(5.10) \quad \alpha^*(G(\Lambda, x)) = \delta_{\mathcal{M}} \left( \left( \prod_{j=1}^N \theta(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \left( \left( \prod_{j=1}^M Q(\Lambda_j^p x^{p\mathbf{a}_j}) \prod_{j=M+1}^N \hat{\theta}(\Lambda_j^p x^{p\mathbf{a}_j}) \right) \right) \right).$$

To prove Theorem 5.1, it thus suffices to show that the left-hand side of (5.9) equals the right-hand side of (5.10). Formally, the products involved are just rearrangements of each other. However, we do not know a commutative ring that contains all these factors, so we prove equality by computing each separately and verifying that the results are the same. For the right-hand side of (5.10), the result of this calculation was given in Proposition 4.15, so it remains only to perform this calculation for the left-hand side of (5.9).

Consider first a product  $\theta(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) Q(\Lambda_j^p x^{p\mathbf{a}_j})$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, M$ . By Lemma 2.12 we can ignore all terms in this product with  $x^{\mathbf{a}_j}$  raised to a nonnegative power, as all monomials to which they contribute will be annihilated by  $\delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ . From the definitions, for  $\rho' \in \mathcal{M}$ , the coefficient of  $x^{\rho'}$  in  $\prod_{j=1}^M \theta(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) Q(\Lambda_j^p x^{p\mathbf{a}_j})$  is

$$(5.11) \quad \sum_{\substack{m, l \in \mathbb{N}^M \\ \sum_{j=1}^M (m_j + p(-l_j - 1)) \mathbf{a}_j = \rho'}} \prod_{j=1}^M \theta_{m_j} (-1)^{l_j} l_j! \sigma_{l_j} \gamma_0^{-l_j - 1} \prod_{j=1}^M \Lambda_j^{m_j + p(-l_j - 1)}.$$

For  $\rho'' \in \mathbb{N}A$ , the coefficient of  $x^{\rho''}$  in  $\theta(\Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{a}_j}) \hat{\theta}(\Lambda_j^p x^{p\mathbf{a}_j})$  is (using (3.23))

$$(5.12) \quad \sum_{\substack{m, l \in \mathbb{N}^{N-M} \\ \sum_{j=M+1}^N (m_j + pl_j) \mathbf{a}_j = \rho''}} \prod_{j=M+1}^N \frac{\theta_{m_j} \tau_{l_j} \gamma_0^{l_j}}{l_j!} \prod_{j=M+1}^N \Lambda_j^{m_j + pl_j}.$$

Therefore, for  $\rho \in \mathcal{M}$ , the coefficient of  $x^{\rho}$  on the left-hand side of (5.9) is

$$(5.13) \quad \sum_{\substack{m, l \in \mathbb{N}^N \\ \sum_{j=1}^M (m_j + p(-l_j - 1)) \mathbf{a}_j + \\ \sum_{j=M+1}^N (m_j + pl_j) \mathbf{a}_j = \rho}} (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^M l_j} \sigma(l) \prod_{j=1}^M \theta_{m_j} l_j! \gamma_0^{-l_j - 1} \prod_{j=M+1}^N \frac{\theta_{m_j} \gamma_0^{l_j}}{l_j!} \\ \cdot \prod_{j=1}^M \Lambda_j^{m_j + p(-l_j - 1)} \prod_{j=M+1}^N \Lambda_j^{m_j + pl_j}.$$

This expression equals (4.16), which proves Theorem 5.1.  $\square$

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.13

From Theorem 5.1 and Equation (4.14) we get the equality

$$(6.1) \quad p^M G_{\rho}(\Lambda) = \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbb{N}A, u \in \mathcal{M} \\ G_u(\Lambda) \neq 0, \nu + pu = \rho}} \gamma_0^{-\rho_n + u_n} \theta_{\nu}(\Lambda) G_u(\Lambda^p).$$

From (4.3) and (4.5) every coefficient of  $\theta_\nu(\Lambda)$  is divisible by  $\gamma_0^{\nu_n}$ . If we put  $\tilde{\theta}_\nu(\Lambda) = \gamma_0^{-\nu_n} \theta_\nu(\Lambda)$ , a polynomial with  $p$ -integral coefficients, then we can rewrite this as

$$(6.2) \quad p^M G_\rho(\Lambda) = \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbb{N}A, u \in \mathcal{M} \\ G_u(\Lambda) \neq 0, \nu + pu = \rho}} \gamma_0^{-\rho_n + u_n + \nu_n} \tilde{\theta}_\nu(\Lambda) G_u(\Lambda^p).$$

By (2.2) and (3.20) we have  $F_u(\Lambda) = 0$  if and only if  $G_u(\Lambda) = 0$ , so the hypothesis of Theorem 2.13 is equivalent to the condition that

$$M = \min\{-u_n \mid u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } G_u(\Lambda) \neq 0\}.$$

This implies that  $u_n \leq -M$  for  $u \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $G_u(\Lambda) \neq 0$ . The condition  $\nu + pu = \rho$  implies that for such  $u$

$$(6.3) \quad -\rho_n + u_n + \nu_n = -(p-1)u_n = (p-1)M + (p-1)u'_n,$$

where  $u'_n := -u_n - M \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma_0^{(p-1)M}/p^M$ , a  $p$ -adic unit. From (6.2) and (6.3) we get

$$(6.4) \quad G_\rho(\Lambda) = \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbb{N}A, u \in \mathcal{M} \\ G_u(\Lambda) \neq 0, \nu + pu = \rho}} \tilde{\gamma} \gamma_0^{(p-1)u'_n} \tilde{\theta}_\nu(\Lambda) G_u(\Lambda^p),$$

and all coefficients of the polynomial  $\tilde{\gamma} \gamma_0^{(p-1)u'_n} \tilde{\theta}_\nu(\Lambda)$  are  $p$ -integral.

Consider a monomial  $\Lambda^l$  in  $G_u(\Lambda)$ . If  $\sum_{j=M+1}^N l_j = 0$ , then  $l_j = 0$  for  $j = M+1, \dots, N$ , so by (3.20) the coefficient of  $\Lambda^l$  in  $G_u(\Lambda)$  is  $p$ -integral for all  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ . We proceed by induction on  $d := \sum_{j=M+1}^N l_j$ . Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{M}$  and let  $\Lambda^l$  be a monomial in  $G_\rho(\Lambda)$  with  $\sum_{j=M+1}^N l_j = d > 0$  and suppose that all monomials  $\Lambda^{l'}$  in all  $G_u(\Lambda)$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ , with  $\sum_{j=M+1}^N l'_j < d$  have  $p$ -integral coefficients. The right-hand side of Equation (6.4) gives a formula for the coefficient of  $\Lambda^l$  in  $G_\rho(\Lambda)$ . But only monomials  $\Lambda^{l'}$  of  $G_u(\Lambda)$  with  $\sum_{j=M+1}^N l'_j \leq d/p$  can contribute to this formula. All these monomials have  $p$ -integral coefficients by the induction hypothesis, so (6.4) implies that the coefficient of  $\Lambda^l$  in  $G_\rho(\Lambda)$  is  $p$ -integral.

## 7. EXAMPLES

**Example 1.** Let  $\{x^{\mathbf{b}_j}\}_{j=1}^N$  be the set of all monomials of degree  $d$  in variables  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  (so  $N = \binom{d+n}{n}$ ) and let

$$(7.1) \quad f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N \Lambda_j x^{\mathbf{b}_j} \in \mathbb{C}[\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_N][x_0, \dots, x_n],$$

the generic homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$  in  $x_0, \dots, x_n$ . Put

$$\mathbf{a}_j = (\mathbf{b}_j, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+2}$$

and let  $A = \{\mathbf{a}_j\}_{j=1}^N$ . We use  $u_0, \dots, u_{n+1}$  as the coordinate functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ . The cone  $C(A)$  lies in the hyperplane  $\sum_{i=0}^n u_i = du_{n+1}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}A$  consists of all lattice points on this hyperplane. Thus

$$(7.2) \quad C(A)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}A = \left\{ (u_0, \dots, u_{n+1}) \mid \sum_{i=0}^n u_i = du_{n+1} \text{ and } u_i > 0 \text{ for all } i \right\}.$$

In particular,

$$(7.3) \quad \left\lceil \frac{n+1}{d} \right\rceil = \min\{u_{n+1} \mid u = (u_0, \dots, u_{n+1}) \in C(A)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}A\},$$

where  $\lceil r \rceil$  denotes the least integer greater than or equal to the real number  $r$ .

Put  $M = \lceil \frac{n+1}{d} \rceil$ . There are many ways to choose a set  $A = \{\mathbf{a}_j\}_{j=1}^M$  that satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.13. We give one example to illustrate the possibilities. For  $j = 1, \dots, M-1$  take

$$\mathbf{a}_j = (0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0, 1),$$

where the middle group of  $d$  ones occurs in positions  $d(j-1), \dots, jd-1$ , and take  $\mathbf{a}_M$  to be any element of  $A$  having nonzero entries in positions  $(M-1)d, \dots, n$ . Then  $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^M \mathbf{a}_j \in C(A)^\circ$  by (7.2), and by (7.3) the hypothesis of Theorem 2.13 is satisfied. All the series (2.2) then have integral coefficients. One can show that each  $F_u(\Lambda)$ ,  $u \in M$ , is a solution of the Picard-Fuchs equation of the hypersurface  $f = 0$  relative to an appropriate basis for  $H_{\text{DR}}^{n-1}(X/\mathbb{C}(\Lambda))$  (the basis depends on the choice of  $u$ ).

We give an explicit example of this type where we set some of the  $\Lambda_j$  equal to 0 to simplify formulas. Consider the family of cubic surfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  defined by the polynomial

$$f(x_0, \dots, x_3) = \Lambda_1 x_0 x_1 x_2 + \Lambda_2 x_1 x_2 x_3 + \sum_{i=3}^6 \Lambda_i x_{i-3}^3.$$

Then  $A = \{\mathbf{a}_j\}_{j=1}^6 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^5$ , where  $\mathbf{a}_1 = (1, 1, 1, 0, 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_2 = (0, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_3 = (3, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_4 = (0, 3, 0, 0, 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_5 = (0, 0, 3, 0, 1)$ , and  $\mathbf{a}_6 = (0, 0, 0, 3, 1)$ . Take  $A' = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2\}$ , so  $\beta = (1, 2, 2, 1, 2) \in C(A)^\circ$ . Let  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_5) \in C(A)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^5$ , i. e., all  $u_i$  are  $> 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^4 u_i = 3u_5$ . From Equation (2.2), the series  $F_{-u}(\Lambda)$  is zero unless both  $u_1 + u_4 - u_2$  and  $u_1 + u_4 - u_3$  are divisible by 3, in which case the coefficients of  $F_{-u}(\Lambda)$  can be expressed in terms of  $l_3$  and  $l_6$ :

$$(7.4) \quad \frac{(3l_3 + u_1 - 1)!(3l_6 + u_4 - 1)!}{l_3!l_6!(l_3 + l_6 + \frac{u_1 + u_4 - u_2}{3})!(l_3 + l_6 + \frac{u_1 + u_4 - u_3}{3})!}$$

These coefficients are integral for all  $l_3, l_6 \in \mathbb{N}$  by the above discussion. For example, when  $u = \beta$ , this gives the integrality of the ratios

$$\frac{(3l_3)!(3l_6)!}{l_3!l_6!(l_3 + l_6)!^2}.$$

Taking  $u = (2, 1, 1, 2, 2)$ , for example, gives the integrality of the ratios

$$\frac{(3l_3 + 1)!(3l_6 + 1)!}{l_3!l_6!(l_3 + l_6 + 1)!^2}.$$

The first set of ratios was known to be integral by a theorem of Landau (see Example 2) but the integrality of the second set seems to be new.

**Example 2.** We generalize one direction of a classical result of Landau[16] on the integrality of factorial ratios. Let  $c_{js}, d_{ks} \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq J$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq K$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq r$ ,

and let

$$(7.5) \quad C_j(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \sum_{s=1}^r c_{js} x_s,$$

$$(7.6) \quad D_k(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \sum_{s=1}^r d_{ks} x_s.$$

To avoid trivial cases, we assume that no  $C_j$  or  $D_k$  is identically zero and that  $C_j \neq D_k$  for all  $j$  and  $k$ . We also assume that for each  $s$ , some  $c_{js} \neq 0$  or some  $d_{ks} \neq 0$ , i. e., each variable  $x_s$  appears in some  $C_j$  or  $D_k$  with nonzero coefficient. We always make the hypothesis that

$$(7.7) \quad \sum_{j=1}^J C_j(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \sum_{k=1}^K D_k(x_1, \dots, x_r),$$

i. e.,

$$(7.8) \quad \sum_{j=1}^J c_{js} = \sum_{k=1}^K d_{ks} \quad \text{for } s = 1, \dots, r.$$

Consider the ratios

$$(7.9) \quad E(m_1, \dots, m_r) := \frac{\prod_{j=1}^J C_j(m_1, \dots, m_r)!}{\prod_{k=1}^K D_k(m_1, \dots, m_r)!}$$

for  $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$ . We identify these ratios as the coefficients of a hypergeometric series.

Put  $n = r + J + K$ . Let  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$  be the standard unit basis vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and for  $s = 1, \dots, r$  let

$$\mathbf{a}_{n+s} = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, c_{1s}, \dots, c_{Js}, -d_{1s}, \dots, -d_{Ks}),$$

where the first  $r$  coordinates have a 1 in the  $s$ -th position and zeros elsewhere. Our hypothesis that some  $c_{js}$  or some  $d_{ks}$  is nonzero implies that  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{n+r}$  are all distinct. Put  $N = n + r$  and let  $A = \{\mathbf{a}_i\}_{i=1}^N \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Put  $M = r + J$  and  $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^M \mathbf{a}_j = (1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , where the ones occur in the first  $M$  entries. From (2.2) we have

$$(7.10) \quad F_{-\beta}(\Lambda) = (\Lambda_1 \cdots \Lambda_M)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{N}^N \\ -\sum_{j=1}^M l_j \mathbf{a}_j + \sum_{j=M+1}^n l_j \mathbf{a}_j = \mathbf{0}}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^M l_j!}{\prod_{j=M+1}^N l_j!} \frac{\prod_{j=M+1}^N \Lambda_j^{l_j}}{\prod_{j=1}^M (-\Lambda_j)^{l_j}}.$$

From the formulas for the  $\mathbf{a}_j$  it is easy to find all solutions  $l \in \mathbb{N}^N$  of the equation

$$(7.11) \quad -\sum_{j=1}^M l_j \mathbf{a}_j + \sum_{j=M+1}^N l_j \mathbf{a}_j = \mathbf{0}$$

(see [4, Section 3]). An  $N$ -tuple  $l \in \mathbb{N}^N$  satisfies (7.11) if and only if

$$(7.12) \quad l = (m_1, \dots, m_r, C_1(m), \dots, C_J(m), D_1(m), \dots, D_K(m), m_1, \dots, m_r)$$

for some  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ . Equation (7.10) can thus be rewritten as

$$(7.13) \quad F_{-\beta}(\Lambda) = (\Lambda_1 \cdots \Lambda_M)^{-1} \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^J C_j(m)!}{\prod_{k=1}^K D_k(m)!} \prod_{s=1}^r \frac{\Lambda_{n+s}^{m_s}}{(-\Lambda_s)^{m_s}} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^K \Lambda_{r+J+k}^{D_k(m)}}{\prod_{j=1}^J (-\Lambda_{r+j})^{C_j(m)}}.$$

Thus the factorial ratios (7.9) are all integral if and only if the series  $F_{-\beta}(\Lambda)$  has integral coefficients.

Landau[16] has characterized the integrality of the ratios  $E(m)$  (see [4] for some additional comments on Landau's result). Let  $\lfloor r \rfloor$  denote the greatest integer less than or equal to the real number  $r$ .

**Theorem 7.14.** *Assume that (7.8) holds. One has  $E(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$  if and only if the step function*

$$(7.15) \quad \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_r) := \sum_{j=1}^J \lfloor C_j(x_1, \dots, x_r) \rfloor - \sum_{k=1}^K \lfloor D_k(x_1, \dots, x_r) \rfloor$$

is  $\geq 0$  for all  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in [0, 1)$ .

To compare this result with Theorem 2.13, recall [4, Theorem 2.1(a)]:

**Proposition 7.16.** *Assume that (7.8) holds. One has*

$$(7.17) \quad M = \min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \mid u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in C(A)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \right\}$$

if and only if  $\Phi(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1)^r$ .

Thus Landau's criterion may be restated as follows.

**Theorem 7.18.** *Assume that (7.8) holds. One has  $E(m) \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}^r$  if and only if (7.17) holds.*

Under assumption (7.8) the elements of the set  $A$  all lie on the hyperplane  $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i = 1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , so the remark at the end of Section 2 implies that Theorem 2.13 may be applied to this situation. It follows from [4, Lemma 2.5] that  $\beta \in C(A)^\circ$ . By Theorem 2.13 we then have the following result.

**Proposition 7.19.** *Assume that (7.8) holds. If*

$$M = \min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \mid u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in C(A)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \right\}$$

(or equivalently if  $\Phi(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1)^r$ ), then the series  $F_u(\Lambda)$  for  $u \in (-C(A)^\circ) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  all have integral coefficients.

We give an explicit example. Consider the ratios

$$(7.20) \quad \frac{(30m)!m!}{(15m)!(10m)!(6m)!} \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By the above discussion they correspond to the set  $A = \{\mathbf{a}_j\}_{j=1}^7 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^6$ , where  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_6$  are the standard unit basis vectors and

$$\mathbf{a}_7 = (1, 30, 1, -15, -1, -6),$$



and where  $\beta = (1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0) \in C(A)^\circ$ . One checks that Landau's criterion holds, hence the ratios (7.20) are integral for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Proposition 7.16 we have

$$(7.21) \quad 3 = \min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^6 u_i \mid u = (u_1, \dots, u_6) \in C(A)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^6 \right\}$$

(this can also be verified by a direct calculation from the set  $A$ ). It follows from Proposition 7.19 that all the series  $F_u(\lambda)$  for  $u \in (-C(A)^\circ) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  have integral coefficients.

The choice  $u = -\beta$  gives the ratios (7.20). One can check that

$$u = (1, 7, 1, -3, -2, -1) \in (-C(A)^\circ) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

One computes the coefficients of  $F_{-u}(\lambda)$  to be

$$\frac{(30m+6)!m!}{(15m+3)!(10m+2)!(6m+1)!},$$

which are integral by Proposition 7.19. As another example, one can check that

$$u = (1, 29, 1, -14, -9, -5) \in (-C(A)^\circ) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

for which one computes the coefficients of  $F_{-u}(\lambda)$  to be

$$\frac{(30m+28)!m!}{(15m+14)!(10m+9)!(6m+5)!}.$$

Again, these are integral by Proposition 7.19.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber. On the  $p$ -integrality of  $A$ -hypergeometric series. Available at [arXiv:1311.5262](#).
- [2] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber. Distinguished-root formulas for generalized Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces. *Algebra Number Theory* **11** (2017), no. 6, 1317–1356.
- [3] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber. A generalization of the Hasse-Witt matrix of a hypersurface. *Finite Fields Appl.* **47** (2017), 203–221.
- [4] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber. On the integrality of factorial ratios and mirror maps. Available at [arXiv:1802.08348](#).
- [5] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber. On integrality properties of hypergeometric series. Available at [arXiv:1905.03235](#).
- [6] G. Christol. Fonctions hyperg om triques born es. *Groupe de travail d'analyse ultram tique*, tome 14 (1986–1987), exp. no. 8, 1–16.
- [7]  . Delaygue. Crit re pour l'int gralit  des coefficients de Taylor des applications miroir. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **662** (2012), 205–252.
- [8]  . Delaygue. A criterion for the integrality of the Taylor coefficients of mirror maps in several variables. *Adv. Math.* **234** (2013), 414–452.
- [9]  . Delaygue, T. Rivoal, and J. Roques. On Dwork's  $p$ -adic formal congruences theorem and hypergeometric mirror maps. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **246** (2017), no. 1163, v+94 pp.
- [10] B. Dwork.  $p$ -adic cycles. *Inst. Hautes  tudes Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 37 (1969), 27–115.
- [11] B. Dwork. Normalized period matrices. I. Plane curves. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **94** (1971), 337–388.
- [12] B. Dwork. On  $p$ -adic differential equations. IV. Generalized hypergeometric functions as  $p$ -adic analytic functions in one variable. *Ann. Sci.  cole Norm. Sup. (4)* **6** (1973), 295–315.
- [13] B. Dwork. Normalized period matrices. II. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **98** (1973), 1–57.
- [14] B. Dwork. Bessel functions as  $p$ -adic functions of the argument. *Duke Math. J.* **41** (1974), 711–738.
- [15] C. Krattenthaler and T. Rivoal. On the integrality of the Taylor coefficients of mirror maps. *Duke Math. J.* **151** (2010), no. 2, 175–218.

- [16] E. Landau. Sur les conditions de divisibilité d'un produit de factorielles par un autre. Nouvelles annales de mathématiques 3<sup>e</sup> série, **19** (1900), 344–362. In: Collected works. Vol. 1. (German) With a contribution in English by G. H. Hardy and H. Heilbronn. Edited and with a preface in English by L. Mirsky, I. J. Schoenberg, W. Schwarz and H. Wefelscheid. Thales-Verlag, Essen, 1985.
- [17] J.-D. Yu. Variation of the unit root along the Dwork family of Calabi-Yau varieties. Math. Ann. **343** (2009), no. 1, 53–78.
- [18] H. Zhang. Formulas for roots of  $L$ -functions of the Airy exponential sums. Finite Fields Appl. **49** (2018), 29–48.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA 74078  
*E-mail address:* `adolphs@math.okstate.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455  
*E-mail address:* `sperber@math.umn.edu`