

# Stationary queue and server content distribution of a batch-size-dependent service queue with batch Markovian arrival process: $BMAP/G_n^{(a,b)}/1$

S. Pradhan · U.C. Gupta

Received: date / Accepted: date

**Abstract** Queueing systems with batch Markovian arrival process (BMAP) have paramount applications in the domain of wireless communication. The BMAP has been used to model the superposition of video sources and to approximate the super-position of data, voice and video traffic. This paper analyzes an infinite-buffer generally distributed batch-service queue with BMAP, general bulk service  $(a, b)$  rule and batch-size-dependent service time. In this proposed analysis, we mainly focus on deriving the bivariate vector generating function of queue and server content distribution together at departure epoch using supplementary variable technique. The mathematical procedure for the complete extraction of distribution at departure epoch has been discussed and using those extracted probabilities, we achieve the queue and server content distribution at arbitrary epoch. Finally, numerical illustrations have been carried out in order to make a deep insight to the readers which contains deterministic as well as phase-type service time distributions.

**Keywords** Batch-service · BMAP · Batch-size-dependent · Queueing · Server content

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000)** 60G05 · 60K25

---

S. Pradhan  
Department of Mathematics  
Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology, Nagpur-440010, India  
E-mail: spiitkgp11@gmail.com

U.C. Gupta  
Department of Mathematics  
Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur-721302, India  
E-mail: umesh@maths.iitkgp.ernet.in

## 1 Introduction

The traditional queueing systems with standard arrival process such as Poisson, renewal or phase-type cannot adequately capture the correlation among the inter-arrivals, usually occurring in high-speed teletraffic networks such as Web browsing, VoIP, and teleconferencing. Consequently, it is necessary to have a suitable arrival process which can deal with multimedia applications over teletraffic networks. One such stochastic point process is batch Markovian arrival process (BMAP) which generalizes the standard Poisson process (and other point processes) by allowing correlated inter-arrival time of batches.

Lucantoni et al. [1] and Lucantoni [2] introduced BMAP which is a convenient representation of the versatile Markovian point process, see Neuts [3]. Later on some researchers have analyzed finite-/infinite- buffer queueing systems with Markovian arrival process (MAP) or BMAP, e.g., see Lee et al. [4], Dudin et al. [5], Chaudhry et al. [6], Banik [7], Gupta et al. [8]. Some authors have also studied discrete Markovian/batch Markovian arrival process (D-MAP/D-BMAP), e.g., see Chaudhry et al. [9], Samanta et al. [10] and references therein.

In recent times, a few researchers have focused on batch-service queue with batch-size-dependent service due to their wide range of applicability in production and transportation, package delivery, group testing of blood samples, telecommunication networks etc. For more detail see Bar-Lev et al. [11], Claeys et al. [12, 13], Pradhan et al. [14, 15]. In order to maximize the serving capability of the system, server content distribution plays a noteworthy role. On account of this, in a series of papers, Pradhan and Gupta [16, 17, 18, 19] have derived bivariate probability/vector generating functions (pgf/VGF) of queue length and number with the departing batch. Furthermore, they calculated the queue and system length distributions at different epochs. On the other hand, Banerjee et al. [20] discussed a finite-buffer MAP/ $G_r^{(a,b)}/1/N$  queue with batch-size-dependent service and obtained joint distribution of queue length and size of the departing batch through embedded Markov chain technique (EMCT). The counter discrete version of the above model i.e., D-MAP/ $G^{(1,a,b)}/1/N$  queue have been considered by Yu and Alfa [21] wherein they obtained joint queue length and server content distribution at various epochs by employing both EMCT and quasi-birth-and-death (QBD) process. Claeys et al. [22] analyzed an infinite-buffer D-BMAP/ $G_r^{(l,c)}/1$  queue with batch-size-dependent service time and focused on deriving the VGF for both queue length and server content distribution at arbitrary slot. However, they did not provide any procedure for the complete extraction of queue and server content distribution together. They also investigated the influence of correlation of the arrival process on the behavior of the system.

To the best of authors' knowledge, an infinite-buffer batch-service queueing model with BMAP, general bulk-service  $(a, b)$  rule and batch-size-dependent service in continuous-time set-up has not yet been discussed in the literature. Moreover, the complete queue and server content distribution together as well as the *only* queue-length distribution are not available in the literature so far for the concerned queue.

In view of this, our main objective in this paper, is to achieve the complete queue and server content distribution together for an infinite-buffer batch-service queue with BMAP and batch-size-dependent service: BMAP/ $G_n^{(a,b)}/1$ . The model

is described in detail in the next section. Surprisingly the analysis of the concerned queue is difficult from both the modeling as well as computational point of view using EMCT mainly due to two reasons. Firstly, the construction the transition probability matrix (TPM) is quite challenging task (if not impossible). Secondly, the derivation of the analytic expression of bivariate VGF of queue and server content distribution using EMCT is tedious and difficult task, if not impossible. Fortunately, use of supplementary variable technique (SVT), where remaining service time of batch in service is taken as supplementary variable, eventually keeps us away from the use of the TPM directly. This leads the bivariate VGF of queue length and departing batch content in an effortless way, and also builds up the relationship between the probability vectors at arbitrary and departure epoch as a by-product. It may also be noted here that, the inclusion of BMAP makes the mathematical as well as computational analysis much more complex as compared to MAP because the BMAP deals with batch-arrival of customers and a selected customer from the arriving batch may be served in a different batch from its arriving batch. Moreover, for the determination of unknown probability vectors as well as in extraction of probabilities, we deal with very complicated analytic expressions in case of BMAP. The significant contributions in this paper are: (i) a bivariate VGF of queue length and number in served batch is derived using SVT, (ii) the complete procedure of extraction of joint distribution of queue content and size of departing batch in terms of roots of the characteristic equation is provided, (iii) a relationship between departure and arbitrary epoch probability vectors have been generated, (iv) in order to manifest the feasibility and applicability of the proposed methodology and results, various numerical examples are reported with phase (PH) type as well as deterministic service time distributions.

The rest part of this paper is presented as follows: after describing the model in detail in the next section, we provide the governing equations of the system in Section 3. Section 4 discusses the procedure of obtaining the joint distribution at departure epoch which includes derivation of bivariate VGF at departure epoch, determination of unknown vectors and finally the extraction of probability vectors. Two relations between departure and arbitrary, and arbitrary and pre-arrival epochs are obtained in Section 5 and Section 6, respectively. Queue length, system length and server content distribution along with some relevant performance measures are provided in Section 7. Finally, Section 8 presents numerical illustration followed by the final conclusion.

## 2 Model description and preliminaries

- **Arrival process:** Customers arrive at the queueing system according to an  $m$ -state batch Markovian arrival process (BMAP). Although the arrival process is well described by Lucantoni [2], we give a brief description again for the sake of completeness and to clarify the notations which are used in this analysis. The arrival process is characterized by  $m \times m$  matrices  $\mathbf{D}_k$ ;  $k \geq 0$ , where  $(i, j)$ -th ( $1 \leq i, j \leq m, i \neq j$ ) element of  $\mathbf{D}_0$ , is the state transition rate from state  $i$  to state  $j$  in the underlying Markov chain without an arrival, and  $(i, j)$ -th ( $1 \leq i, j \leq m, i \neq j$ ) element of  $\mathbf{D}_k$ ;  $k \geq 1$ , is the state transition rate from state  $i$  to state  $j$  in the underlying Markov chain with an

arrival of batch size  $k$ . The matrix  $\mathbf{D}_0$  has non-negative off-diagonal and negative diagonal elements, and the matrix  $\mathbf{D}_k$ ;  $k \geq 1$ , has non-negative elements. Let  $N(t)$  denote the number of arrivals in  $(0, t]$  and  $J(t)$  be the state of the underlying Markov chain at time  $t$  with state space  $\{i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ . Then  $[N(t), J(t)]$  is a two-dimensional Markov process of BMAP with state space  $\{(n, i) : n \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ .

Further,  $\mathbf{D} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{D}_k$  is the infinitesimal generator of the underlying Markov chain  $J(t)$ . Let  $\bar{\pi} = [\bar{\pi}_1, \bar{\pi}_2, \dots, \bar{\pi}_m]$  be the stationary probability vector such that  $\bar{\pi}\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\bar{\pi}\mathbf{e} = 1$ , where  $\mathbf{0}$  denotes a zero matrix of appropriate dimension and  $\mathbf{e}$  is a  $m \times 1$  column vector with all elements as 1. Throughout the analysis we use these notations, but when needed, the dimension of  $\mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{e}$  will be identified with a suffix. The fundamental arrival rate of the above Markov process is given by  $\lambda^* = \bar{\pi}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{e}$ . We assume that the notation  $\mathbf{I}$  stands for an identity matrix of appropriate dimension.

Then the average arrival rate  $\lambda^*$  and average batch arrival rate  $\lambda_g$  of the stationary BMAP are given by  $\lambda^* = \bar{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mathbf{D}_k \mathbf{e}$ ,  $\lambda_g = \bar{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{D}_k \mathbf{e} = \bar{\pi} \mathbf{D}'_1$ , respectively, where  $\mathbf{D}'_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mathbf{D}_k$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

- **Service discipline:** The customers are served in group/batches according to general bulk service  $(a, b)$  rule. The server only starts service if the queue contains at least as many customers as the service threshold ' $a$ '. If queue contains fewer than ' $a$ ' customers, then the server is said to be in idle period. For the queue size  $r$  ( $a \leq r \leq b$ ), entire group of customers are taken for service. When the queue size exceeds ' $b$ ', then the server can process maximum ' $b$ ' customers and others remain in the queue for the next round of service.
- **Service process:** A service time is the length of a service period, and the consecutive service times are independently and identically distributed. We assume that the service times of the batches follow general distribution and to be dependent on batch size of ongoing service. The length of random service time of a batch of size  $r$  is denoted by the random variable  $T_r$  ( $a \leq r \leq b$ ) with probability density function (pdf)  $s_r(t)$ , distribution function  $S_r(t)$ , the Laplace-Stieltjes transform (L.-S.T.)  $\tilde{S}_r(\theta)$  and the mean service time  $\frac{1}{\mu_r} = s_r = -\tilde{S}_r^{(1)}(0)$ , where  $\tilde{S}_r^{(1)}(0)$  is the derivative of  $\tilde{S}_r(\theta)$  evaluated at  $\theta = 0$ .
- The traffic intensity of the system is given by  $\rho = \frac{\lambda^*}{b\mu_b}$  and  $\rho < 1$  ensures the stability of the system.

### 3 Governing equations of the system

In this section, we develop the governing equations of the model where SVT is employed with remaining service time of a batch as supplementary variable. We define the state of the system at time  $t$  as

- $N_q(t) \equiv$  Number of customers in the queue waiting for service,
- $S(t) \equiv$  Number of customers with the server,
- $J(t) \equiv$  State of the underlying chain of the *MAP* and

–  $U(t) \equiv$  Remaining service time of a batch in service (if any).

Let us define for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} p_i(n, 0; t) &= \Pr\{N_q(t) = n, S(t) = 0, J(t) = i, \text{server idle}\}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq a-1, \\ \pi_i(n, r, u; t) du &= \Pr\{N_q(t) = n, S(t) = r, J(t) = i, u < U(t) \leq u + du, \text{server busy}\}, \\ &\quad n \geq 0, a \leq r \leq b, u \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also let us define the limiting probabilities as

$$\begin{aligned} p_i(n, 0) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p_i(n, 0; t), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad 0 \leq n \leq a-1, \\ \pi_i(n, r, u) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \pi_i(n, r, u; t), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad n \geq 0, \quad a \leq r \leq b. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define the probability vectors  $\mathbf{p}(n, 0) = (p_1(n, 0), \dots, p_m(n, 0))$  and  $\boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r, u) = (\pi_1(n, r, u), \dots, \pi_m(n, r, u))$ . We relate the states of the system at two consecutive times  $t$  and  $t + dt$ , and by considering each phase, in steady-state, we obtain the following equations in vector and matrix form:

$$0 = \mathbf{p}(0, 0)D_0 + \sum_{j=a}^b \boldsymbol{\pi}(0, j, 0) \quad (1)$$

$$0 = \mathbf{p}(n, 0)D_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{p}(n-i, 0)D_i + \sum_{j=a}^b \boldsymbol{\pi}(n, j, 0), \quad 1 \leq n \leq a-1 \quad (2)$$

$$-\frac{d}{du} \boldsymbol{\pi}(0, r, u) = \boldsymbol{\pi}(0, r, u)D_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{p}(i, 0)D_{r-i} s_r(u) + \sum_{j=a}^b \boldsymbol{\pi}(r, j, 0) s_r(u), \quad a \leq r \leq b \quad (3)$$

$$-\frac{d}{du} \boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r, u) = \boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r, u)D_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{\pi}(n-i, r, u)D_i, \quad a \leq r \leq b-1, \quad n \geq 1 \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{du} \boldsymbol{\pi}(n, b, u) &= \boldsymbol{\pi}(n, b, u)D_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{\pi}(n-i, b, u)D_i + \sum_{j=a}^b \mathbf{p}(b-j, 0)D_{n+j} s_b(u) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=a}^b \boldsymbol{\pi}(n+b, j, 0) s_b(u), \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Our main objective is to achieve the joint distribution of queue content and number with the departing batch and phase of the arrival process from the entire set of governing difference-differential equations (1) to (5) of the model under consideration. In view of this, let us define the Laplace transform of  $\boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r, u)$  as

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(n, r, \theta) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta u} \boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r, u) du, \quad a \leq r \leq b, \quad n \geq 0, \quad \Re(\theta) \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\text{so that, } \boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r) = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(n, r, 0) = \int_0^\infty \boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r, u) du, \quad a \leq r \leq b, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (7)$$

Now the equations (3) to (5) are transformed through the multiplication by  $e^{-\theta u}$  and integrating with respect to  $u$  over 0 to  $\infty$  and are given by:

$$-\theta \tilde{\pi}(0, r, \theta) + \pi(0, r, 0) = \tilde{\pi}(0, r, \theta) \mathbf{D}_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{p}(i, 0) \mathbf{D}_{r-i} \tilde{S}_r(\theta) + \sum_{j=a}^b \pi(r, j, 0) \tilde{S}_r(\theta), \quad a \leq r \leq b \quad (8)$$

$$-\theta \tilde{\pi}(n, r, \theta) + \pi(n, r, 0) = \tilde{\pi}(n, r, \theta) \mathbf{D}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\pi}(n-i, r, \theta) \mathbf{D}_i, \quad a \leq r \leq b-1, \quad n \geq 1 \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\theta \tilde{\pi}(n, b, \theta) + \pi(n, b, 0) &= \tilde{\pi}(n, b, \theta) \mathbf{D}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\pi}(n-i, b, \theta) \mathbf{D}_i + \sum_{j=a}^b \mathbf{p}(b-j, 0) \mathbf{D}_{n+j} \tilde{S}_b(\theta) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=a}^b \pi(n+b, j, 0) \tilde{S}_b(\theta), \quad n \geq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Our center of focus is to acquire the joint distribution of queue content as well as server content at departure and arbitrary epoch. For this purpose, first we define the following joint probabilities at departure epoch when arrival process is in phase  $i$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i^+(n, r) &\equiv \text{joint probability that there are } n \ (n \geq 0) \text{ customers in the queue} \\ &\quad \text{and the arrival process is in phase } i \ (1 \leq i \leq m) \text{ immediately after} \\ &\quad \text{the departure of a batch of size } r \ (a \leq r \leq b), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i^+(n) &\equiv \Pr\{\text{queue contains } n \text{ customers and the arrival process is in phase } i \\ &\quad \text{at departure epoch of a batch}\} \\ &= \sum_{r=a}^b \pi_i^+(n, r), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i^+(r) &\equiv \Pr\{\text{there are } r \text{ customers with the departing batch and the arrival} \\ &\quad \text{process is in phase } i \} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi_i^+(n, r). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

As a consequence, we have the joint probability vectors as

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}^+(n, r) = [\pi_1^+(n, r), \dots, \pi_m^+(n, r)] \quad (14)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}^+(n) = [\psi_1^+(n), \dots, \psi_m^+(n)] \quad (15)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}^+(r) = [\phi_1^+(r), \dots, \phi_m^+(r)] \quad (16)$$

Now we propose some results which will be used in the analysis.

**Lemma 1** *The probability vectors  $\boldsymbol{\pi}^+(n, r)$  and  $\boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r, 0)$  are connected by the relation*

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}^+(n, r) = \frac{\boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r, 0)}{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=a}^b \boldsymbol{\pi}(j, l, 0) \mathbf{e}} \quad (17)$$

*Proof:* As  $\pi^+(n, r)$  and  $\pi(n, r, 0)$  differ by a constant, using  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi^+(n, r) \mathbf{e} = 1$ , we are led to the desired result.

**Lemma 2** *The probability vectors  $\mathbf{p}(n, 0)$  and  $\pi(n, r, 0)$  are related by*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) \mathbf{e} = \frac{1 - \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{p}(n, 0) \mathbf{e}}{\omega} \quad (18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega = \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \psi^+(n) \left( \sum_{\ell=a}^b C_{\ell n} s_{\ell} + \sum_{j=n}^{a-1} M_{jn} \sum_{i=b+1-j}^{\infty} \bar{D}_i s_b \right) \mathbf{e} + \sum_{n=a}^b s_n \psi^+(n) \mathbf{e} \\ + s_b \sum_{n=b+1}^{\infty} \psi^+(n) \mathbf{e} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\bar{D}_i = (-D_0)^{-1} D_i$$

and

$$C_{\ell, n} = \begin{cases} \bar{D}_{\ell-n}, & n = a-1, \\ \sum_{j=n+1}^{a-1} \bar{D}_{j-n} C_{\ell, j} + \bar{D}_{\ell-n}, & n = 0, 1, \dots, a-2, \ell = a, \dots, b. \end{cases}$$

and

$$M_{n, i} = \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} M_{n, j} \bar{D}_{j-i} + \bar{D}_{n-i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \text{ with } M_{n, n-1} = \bar{D}_1 \text{ and } M_{n, n} = I$$

*Proof:* Post multiplying (8) - (10) by the vector  $\mathbf{e}$ , adding them and using  $D\mathbf{e}=\mathbf{0}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \tilde{\pi}(n, r, \theta) \mathbf{e} = \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) \left[ \frac{\mathbf{I} - \left( \sum_{\ell=a}^b C_{\ell n} \tilde{S}_{\ell}(\theta) + \sum_{j=n}^{a-1} M_{jn} \sum_{i=b+1-j}^{\infty} \bar{D}_i \tilde{S}_b(\theta) \right)}{\theta} \right] \mathbf{e} \\ + \sum_{n=a}^b \sum_{r=a}^b \frac{1 - \tilde{S}_n(\theta)}{\theta} \pi(n, r, 0) \mathbf{e} + \frac{1 - \tilde{S}_b(\theta)}{\theta} \sum_{n=b+1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) \mathbf{e} \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit  $\theta \rightarrow 0$  in the above expression, using l'Hôpital's rule, equation (17) and the normalizing condition

$$\sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{p}(n, 0) \mathbf{e} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r) \mathbf{e} = 1 \quad (20)$$

we obtain the desired result as (18).

**Lemma 3** The value of  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) \mathbf{e}$  is given as

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) \mathbf{e} = \frac{1 - \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{p}(n, 0) \mathbf{e}}{\omega} = \frac{1}{\omega + \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{j=0}^n \psi^+(j) \mathbf{M}_{n,j} (-\mathbf{D}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{e}} \quad (21)$$

where  $\omega$  is presented in equation (19).

*Proof:* Dividing (1) by  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) \mathbf{e}$  and using (17), (15) and (18) we obtain

$$\mathbf{p}(0, 0) = \frac{1 - \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{p}(n, 0) \mathbf{e}}{\omega} \psi^+(0) (-\mathbf{D}_0)^{-1} \quad (22)$$

Similarly, from (2), and using (18) we obtain

$$\mathbf{p}(n, 0) = \frac{1 - \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{p}(n, 0) \mathbf{e}}{\omega} \sum_{j=0}^n \psi^+(j) \mathbf{M}_{n,j} (-\mathbf{D}_0)^{-1}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq a-1 \quad (23)$$

Post multiplying (23) by  $\mathbf{e}$  we obtain

$$\mathbf{p}(n, 0) \mathbf{e} = \frac{1 - \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{p}(n, 0) \mathbf{e}}{\omega} \sum_{j=0}^n \mathbf{M}_{n,j} (-\mathbf{D}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{e}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq a-1$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1 - \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{p}(n, 0) \mathbf{e}}{\omega} = \frac{1}{\omega + \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{j=0}^n \psi^+(j) \mathbf{M}_{n,j} (-\mathbf{D}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{e}}. \quad (24)$$

Combining (18) and (24) we obtain the desired result of lemma 3.

#### 4 Distribution of queue length and number with the departing batch

The purpose of this section is three fold: (i) the derivation of bivariate VGF of queue-length and number with departing batch, (ii) the determination of unknown probability vectors appearing in the numerator of VGF, (iii) the procedure of extraction of the complete joint distribution of queue and server content at departure epoch. On account of this, we first proceed with the derivation of bivariate VGF of queue length and departing batch content in the following subsection.



#### 4.1 Bivariate VGF at departure epoch

First we define the pgfs of sequences  $\{\tilde{\pi}_i(n, r, \theta)\}$ ,  $\{\pi_i^+(n, r)\}$  and  $\{\psi_i^+(n)\}$  when arrival process is in phase  $i$ :

$$\Pi_i(z, y, \theta) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \tilde{\pi}_i(n, r, \theta) z^n y^r, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad |z| \leq 1, \quad |y| \leq 1, \quad (25)$$

$$\Pi_i^+(z, y) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi_i^+(n, r) z^n y^r, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad |z| \leq 1, \quad |y| \leq 1, \quad (26)$$

$$\Psi_i^+(z) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_i^+(n) z^n \equiv \Pi_i^+(z, 1), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad |z| \leq 1, \quad |y| \leq 1. \quad (27)$$

Consequently we have the following VGF as:

$$\mathbf{\Pi}(z, y, \theta) = [\Pi_1(z, y, \theta), \dots, \Pi_m(z, y, \theta)] \quad (28)$$

$$\mathbf{\Pi}^+(z, y) = [\Pi_1^+(z, y), \dots, \Pi_m^+(z, y)] \quad (29)$$

$$\mathbf{\Psi}^+(z) = [\Psi_1^+(z), \dots, \Psi_m^+(z)]. \quad (30)$$

Now, the transformed equations (8) - (10) are multiplied by appropriate power of  $z$  and  $y$  in order to derive the VGF. Then summing over  $n$  from 0 to  $\infty$ , and  $r$  from  $a$  to  $b$ , and using (25) and (28) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Pi}(z, y, \theta) [-\theta \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D}(z)] &= \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{j=a}^b \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^n \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(\ell, r, 0) M_{n, \ell} \right\} \bar{\mathbf{D}}_{j-n} y^j \tilde{S}_j(\theta) \\ &+ \sum_{n=a}^b \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) y^n \tilde{S}_n(\theta) \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^n \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(\ell, r, 0) M_{n, \ell} \sum_{i=b+1-n}^{\infty} \bar{\mathbf{D}}_i z^{i-b+n} y^b \tilde{S}_b(\theta) \\ &+ \sum_{n=b+1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) z^{n-b} y^b \tilde{S}_b(\theta) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) z^n y^r \quad (31) \end{aligned}$$

From the above equation, our principal aim is to achieve the bivariate VGF of queue length and size of the departing batch which can be accomplished by making left hand side of (31) to zero. In order to accomplish this, the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $-\mathbf{D}(z)$  have to be used, see Lee et al. [23]. Let us denote its eigenvalues as  $\alpha_1(z), \dots, \alpha_m(z)$ , and  $\xi_1(z), \dots, \xi_m(z)$  as the right eigenvectors. Therefore we have

$$-\mathbf{D}(z) \xi_i(z) = \alpha_i(z) \xi_i(z)$$

which implies that

$$[\alpha_i(z) \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{D}(z)] \xi_i(z) = 0 \quad (32)$$

Now substituting  $\theta = \alpha_i(z)$  in (31) and post multiplying both sides by  $\xi_i(z)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(z, y, \alpha_i(z)) [-\alpha_i(z)\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D}(z)] \xi_i(z) = & \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{j=a}^b \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^n \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(\ell, r, 0) M_{n,\ell} \right\} \overline{\mathbf{D}}_{j-n} y^j \tilde{S}_j(\alpha_i(z)) \right. \\ & + \sum_{n=a}^b \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) y^n \tilde{S}_n(\alpha_i(z)) \\ & + \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^n \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(\ell, r, 0) M_{n,\ell} \sum_{j=b+1-n}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbf{D}}_j z^{j-b+n} y^b \tilde{S}_b(\alpha_i(z)) \\ & + \sum_{n=b+1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) z^{n-b} y^b \tilde{S}_b(\alpha_i(z)) \\ & \left. - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) z^n y^r \right] \xi_i(z) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Using (32) in (33) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) z^n y^r \xi_i(z) = & \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{j=a}^b \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^n \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(\ell, r, 0) M_{n,\ell} \right\} \overline{\mathbf{D}}_{j-n} y^j \tilde{S}_j(\alpha_i(z)) \xi_i(z) \\ & + \sum_{n=a}^b \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) y^n \tilde{S}_n(\alpha_i(z)) \xi_i(z) \\ & + \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^n \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(\ell, r, 0) M_{n,\ell} \sum_{j=b+1-n}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbf{D}}_j z^{j-b+n} y^b \tilde{S}_b(\alpha_i(z)) \xi_i(z) \\ & + \sum_{n=b+1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) z^{n-b} y^b \tilde{S}_b(\alpha_i(z)) \xi_i(z) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Since the equation (34) is true for all eigenvalues  $\alpha_1(z), \dots, \alpha_m(z)$ . Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) z^n y^r [\xi_1(z), \dots, \xi_m(z)] = & \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{j=a}^b \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^n \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(\ell, r, 0) M_{n,\ell} \right\} \overline{\mathbf{D}}_{j-n} y^j \\ & \times [\tilde{S}_j(\alpha_1(z)) \xi_1(z), \dots, \tilde{S}_j(\alpha_m(z)) \xi_m(z)] \\ & + \sum_{n=a}^b \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) y^n \\ & \times [\tilde{S}_n(\alpha_1(z)) \xi_1(z), \dots, \tilde{S}_n(\alpha_m(z)) \xi_m(z)] \\ & + \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^n \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(\ell, r, 0) M_{n,\ell} \sum_{j=b+1-n}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbf{D}}_j z^{j-b+n} y^b \\ & \times [\tilde{S}_b(\alpha_1(z)) \xi_1(z), \dots, \tilde{S}_b(\alpha_m(z)) \xi_m(z)] \\ & + \sum_{n=b+1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) z^{n-b} y^b \\ & \times [\tilde{S}_b(\alpha_1(z)) \xi_1(z), \dots, \tilde{S}_b(\alpha_m(z)) \xi_m(z)] \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Let us define an  $(m \times m)$  matrix  $\Delta(z)$  as follows:

$$\Delta(z) = (\xi_1(z), \dots, \xi_m(z)) \quad (36)$$

The inverse of  $\Delta(z)$  exists whenever each eigenvalue is of multiplicity 1, see Nishimura [24]. Then from (35) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) z^n y^r &= \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{j=a}^b \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^n \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(\ell, r, 0) M_{n, \ell} \right\} \overline{\mathbf{D}}_{j-n} y^j \\
&\quad \times \Delta(z) \left[ \text{diag} \left\{ \tilde{S}_j(\alpha_i(z)) \right\}_{i=1}^m \right] \Delta^{-1}(z) \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=a}^b \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) y^n \times \Delta(z) \left[ \text{diag} \left\{ \tilde{S}_n(\alpha_i(z)) \right\}_{i=1}^m \right] \Delta^{-1}(z) \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^n \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(\ell, r, 0) M_{n, \ell} \sum_{j=b+1-n}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbf{D}}_j z^{j-b+n} y^b \\
&\quad \times \Delta(z) \left[ \text{diag} \left\{ \tilde{S}_b(\alpha_i(z)) \right\}_{i=1}^m \right] \Delta^{-1}(z) \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=b+1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \pi(n, r, 0) z^{n-b} y^b \times \Delta(z) \left[ \text{diag} \left\{ \tilde{S}_b(\alpha_i(z)) \right\}_{i=1}^m \right] \Delta^{-1}(z) \quad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{diag} \left\{ \tilde{S}_r(\alpha_i(z)) \right\}_{i=1}^m$ , ( $a \leq r \leq b$ ), is a diagonal matrix of order ‘ $m$ ’ with diagonal entries  $\tilde{S}_r(\alpha_1(z)), \dots, \tilde{S}_r(\alpha_m(z))$ , i.e.,

$$\text{diag} \left\{ \tilde{S}_r(\alpha_i(z)) \right\}_{i=1}^m = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{S}_r(\alpha_1(z)) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{S}_r(\alpha_2(z)) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \tilde{S}_r(\alpha_m(z)) \end{pmatrix}$$

Now dividing (37) by  $\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=a}^b \pi(\ell, j, 0) \mathbf{e}$  and using (17), (15), (26) and (29), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{\Pi}^+(z, y) &= \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{j=a}^b \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^n \psi^+(\ell) M_{n, \ell} \right\} \overline{\mathbf{D}}_{j-n} y^j \times \Delta(z) \left[ \text{diag} \left\{ \tilde{S}_j(\alpha_i(z)) \right\}_{i=1}^m \right] \Delta^{-1}(z) \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=a}^b \psi^+(n) y^n \times \Delta(z) \left[ \text{diag} \left\{ \tilde{S}_n(\alpha_i(z)) \right\}_{i=1}^m \right] \Delta^{-1}(z) \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^n \psi^+(\ell) M_{n, \ell} \sum_{i=b+1-n}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbf{D}}_i z^{i-b+n} y^b \times \Delta(z) \left[ \text{diag} \left\{ \tilde{S}_b(\alpha_i(z)) \right\}_{i=1}^m \right] \Delta^{-1}(z) \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=b+1}^{\infty} \psi^+(n) z^{n-b} y^b \times \Delta(z) \left[ \text{diag} \left\{ \tilde{S}_b(\alpha_i(z)) \right\}_{i=1}^m \right] \Delta^{-1}(z) \quad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

Now using the known results of matrix algebra, the matrix  $-\mathbf{D}(z)$  can be written in terms of it's eigenvalues and eigenvectors as

$$\begin{aligned}
-\mathbf{D}(z) &= \Delta(z) \left[ \text{diag} \left\{ \alpha_i(z) \right\}_{i=1}^m \right] \Delta^{-1}(z) \\
[-\mathbf{D}(z)]^{-1} &= \Delta(z) \left[ \text{diag} \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_i(z)} \right\}_{i=1}^m \right] \Delta^{-1}(z)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote the matrix  $A_k^{(r)} = [A_k^{(r)}(x)]_{ij}$ ,  $k \geq 0$ ,  $a \leq r \leq b$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ ,  $x \geq 0$ , to be the conditional probability that, a departure which left at least  $a$  customers in the queue with the arrival process in state  $i$ , the next departure occurs no later than time  $x$ , and during the service period of  $r$  customers exactly  $k$  new customers arrive; the phase of the arrival process is in phase  $j$  at the departure epoch. Let us denote  $\mathbf{A}^{(r)}(z)$  to be the corresponding matrix generating function of the matrix  $A_k^{(r)}$ . Therefore we have

$$\mathbf{A}^{(r)}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k^{(r)} z^k = \int_0^{\infty} e^{\mathbf{D}(z)t} dS_r(t)$$

Further, from matrix exponential theory we have

$$e^{\mathbf{D}(z)t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\mathbf{D}(z)t]^n}{n!} = \Delta(z) \left[ \text{diag} \left\{ e^{-\alpha_i(z)t} \right\}_{i=1}^m \right] \Delta^{-1}(z)$$

which implies that

$$\mathbf{A}^{(r)}(z) = \Delta(z) \left[ \text{diag} \left\{ \tilde{S}_r(\alpha_i(z)) \right\}_{i=1}^m \right] \Delta^{-1}(z), \quad a \leq r \leq b$$

Therefore, from (38) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^+(z, y) &= \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{j=a}^b \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^n \psi^+(\ell) M_{n,\ell} \right\} \overline{\mathbf{D}}_{j-n} y^j \mathbf{A}^{(j)}(z) + \sum_{n=a}^b \psi^+(n) y^n \mathbf{A}^{(n)}(z) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^n \psi^+(\ell) M_{n,\ell} \sum_{i=b+1-n}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbf{D}}_i z^{i-b+n} y^b \mathbf{A}^{(b)}(z) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=b+1}^{\infty} \psi^+(n) z^{n-b} y^b \mathbf{A}^{(b)}(z) \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Now substituting  $y = 1$  in (39), using (30) and after little bit simplification, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^+(z) &= \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \psi^+(n) \left\{ z^b \sum_{j=a}^b C_{jn} \mathbf{A}^{(j)}(z) - \left( z^n - \sum_{i=b-a+2}^{b+1-n} \tau_i(z) \mathbf{Q}_{b+1-n-i} z^{b+1-i} \right) \mathbf{A}^{(b)}(z) \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=a}^{b-1} \psi^+(n) \left( z^b \mathbf{A}^{(n)}(z) - z^n \mathbf{A}^{(b)}(z) \right) \right] \left[ z^b \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}^{(b)}(z) \right]^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where  $\mathbf{Q}_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{Q}_j \overline{\mathbf{D}}_{n-j} + \overline{\mathbf{D}}_n$ ,  $n = 2, 3, \dots, (a-1)$ , with  $\mathbf{Q}_0 = \mathbf{I}$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}_1 = \overline{\mathbf{D}}_1$  and  $\tau_j(z) = \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbf{D}}_k z^k$ . Making use of (40) in (39) and use of (29) leads to the bivariate VGF of queue

content and number with the departing batch as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi^+(z, y) = & \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \psi^+(n) \left\{ \sum_{j=a}^b C_{jn} \mathbf{A}^{(j)}(z) \mathbf{A}^{(b)}(z) (y^b - y^j) + \sum_{j=a}^b C_{jn} y^j z^b \mathbf{A}^{(j)}(z) \right. \\
& \left. - \left( y^b z^n \mathbf{I} - y^b \sum_{i=b-a+2}^{b+1-n} \tau_i(z) \mathbf{Q}_{b+1-n-i} z^{b+1-i} \right) \mathbf{A}^{(b)}(z) \right\} \\
& + \sum_{n=a}^{b-1} \psi^+(n) \left\{ (y^b - y^n) \mathbf{A}^{(n)}(z) \mathbf{A}^{(b)}(z) \right. \\
& \left. + (y^n z^b \mathbf{A}^{(n)}(z) - y^b z^n \mathbf{A}^{(b)}(z)) \right\} \left[ z^b \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}^{(b)}(z) \right]^{-1} \quad (41)
\end{aligned}$$

*Remark 1* The above expression represents the bivariate VGF of queue length and size of the departing batch which is the spectrum of the whole analysis. To the best of authors' knowledge no such result is available so far in the literature. Moreover, the similar remark holds for the expression presented in (40) which is the VGF of *only* queue length distribution at departure epoch.

#### 4.2 Determination of unknown vectors

This subsection presents the determination of unknown probability vectors appearing in the bivariate VGF given in (41). In order to determine those unknown vectors, the procedure given in Singh et al. [25, 26] has been followed. The numerator of bivariate VGF contains  $b$  unknown vectors  $\{\psi^+(n)\}_{n=0}^{b-1}$ , i.e., in total  $mb$  unknowns  $\{\psi_i^+(n)\}_{n=0}^{b-1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , which has to be perceived first. Without loss of any generality, we evaluate the unknown vectors using (40) instead of using (41) as the unknowns appearing in (41) and (40) are exactly the same.

The existing literatures clearly identify that the distributions with L.-S.T. as rational function has a considerable impact in applications, for example see Botta et al. [27]. In view of this, the distributions with rational L.-S.T. of the form  $\tilde{S}_r(\theta) = \frac{P_r(\theta)}{Q_r(\theta)}$  with degree of  $P_r(\theta)$  less or equal to that of  $Q_r(\theta)$ , has to be considered here. Even if, we can deal with transcendental L.-S.T. (for deterministic distribution) which is rationalized using Padé approximation. Each element of  $\mathbf{A}^{(r)}(z)$ , ( $a \leq r \leq b$ ), is also a rational function possessing same denominator, say  $d^{(r)}(z)$ , because of  $\tilde{S}_r(\theta)$  being a rational function. Consequently, each element of the matrix  $[z^b \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}^{(b)}(z)]$  must be a rational function with the same denominator  $d^{(b)}(z)$ .

Now, we assume that the  $(i, j)$ -th element of  $\mathbf{A}^{(r)}(z)$  is  $\frac{f_{i,j}^{(r)}(z)}{d^{(r)}(z)}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ . Hence, the  $(i, j)$ -th element of the matrix  $[z^b \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}^{(b)}(z)]$  is given as

$$[z^b \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}^{(b)}(z)]_{i,j} = \frac{h_{i,j}(z)}{d^{(b)}(z)}, \quad \text{where } h_{i,j}(z) = \begin{cases} z^b d^{(b)}(z) - f_{i,i}^{(b)}(z), & i = j \\ -f_{i,j}^{(b)}(z), & i \neq j \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

As both sides of (40) presents row vectors of dimension  $(1 \times m)$ , then comparing element-wise we obtain  $m$  simultaneous equations in  $m$  unknowns  $\Psi_j^+(z)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . We get a simplified form of the equations as

$$H(z) [\Psi^+(z)]^T = [\bar{\chi}(z)]^T$$

where  $H(z)$  is the square matrices with  $(k, l)$ -th elements as  $[H(z)]_{k,l} = h_{l,k}(z)$  and  $\bar{\chi}(z) = [\chi_1(z), \chi_2(z), \dots, \chi_m(z)]$  and for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_j(z) = & \left[ \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{k=1}^m \psi_k^+(n) \left\{ \sum_{\xi=a}^b (C_{\xi n})_{k,l} \prod_{t=a, t \neq \xi}^b d^{(t)}(z) f_{l,j}^{(\xi)}(z) \right\} z^b \right. \\ & + \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{k=1}^m \psi_k^+(n) \sum_{i=b-a+2}^{b+1-n} \left( \sum_{\gamma=1}^m \{ \tau_i(z)_{k,\gamma} (\mathbf{Q}_{b+1-n-i})_{\gamma,l} \} z^{b+1-i} \right) f_{l,j}^{(b)}(z) \prod_{t=a}^{b-1} d^{(t)}(z) \\ & - \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \psi_l^+(n) z^n f_{l,j}^{(b)}(z) \prod_{t=a}^{b-1} d^{(t)}(z) \\ & + \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{n=a}^{b-1} \psi_l^+(n) \left( z^b d^{(b)}(z) f_{l,j}^{(n)}(z) - z^n d^{(n)}(z) f_{l,j}^{(b)}(z) \right) \prod_{t=a, t \neq n}^{b-1} d^{(t)}(z) \Big] \\ & / \left[ \prod_{t=a}^{b-1} d^{(t)}(z) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Using the classical Cramer's rule, the above system of equations can be solved easily. Hence, we are led to  $\Psi_j^+(z)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ ,

$$\Psi_j^+(z) = \frac{|H_j(z)|}{|H(z)|}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \quad (44)$$

where both  $H_j(z)$  and  $H(z)$  are square matrices with  $(k, l)$ -th elements given by

$$[H_j(z)]_{k,l} = \begin{cases} h_{l,k}(z), & l \neq j \\ \chi_k(z), & l = j \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad [H(z)]_{k,l} = h_{l,k}(z) \quad (45)$$

The  $j$ -th column of the square matrix  $H_j(z)$  is replaced by  $[\chi_1(z), \chi_2(z), \dots, \chi_m(z)]^T$  and all other elements are same as those of  $H(z)$ .

Let us assume that  $|H(z)|$ , which is a polynomial in  $z$  must possess a non-zero coefficient of power of  $z$ . Finally, we have

$$\Psi_j^+(z) = \frac{\mathcal{Y}_j(z)}{\mathcal{Y}(z)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \quad (46)$$

where  $\mathcal{Y}_j(z) = |H_j(z)|$  and  $\mathcal{Y}(z) = |H(z)|$ . More precisely it may be noted that we are having the pgf of *only* queue length distribution for each phase at departure epoch. Now we concentrate on the determination of unknown probability vectors. Consequently, we consider (46), and let us call  $\mathcal{Y}(z) = 0$  as characteristic equation associated with the pgf of each phase. It can be proved that  $|z^b \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}^{(b)}(z)| \equiv \frac{\mathcal{Y}(z)}{\{d^{(b)}(z)\}^m} = 0$  has exactly ' $mb$ ' roots inside and on the closed complex unit disk  $|z| \leq 1$ , see Gail et al. [28]. In this context, we assume that these roots are distinct

and denote them as  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{mb}$  with  $z_{mb} = 1$ . However, in case of multiple roots the procedure needs a slight modification.

The analytical nature of  $\Psi_j^+(z)$  in  $|z| \leq 1$  implies that the roots  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{mb-1}$  of  $\Upsilon(z) = 0$  (the denominator of (46)) must coincide with that of numerator. Now, considering any one component of  $\Psi^+(z)$ , say  $\Psi_j^+(z)$ , ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ), we have  $mb - 1$  equations as

$$\Upsilon_j(z_i) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq mb - 1. \quad (47)$$

By employing the normalizing condition  $\Psi^+(1)e = 1$ , we are led to one more equation as

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \Upsilon_j'(1) = \Upsilon'(1) \quad (48)$$

Solving (47) and (48) together we obtain ' $mb$ ' unknowns  $\psi_j^+(n)$ , ( $0 \leq n \leq b - 1$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ ).

#### 4.3 Extraction of probability vectors from the VGF

After determining the unknown vectors  $\{\psi^+(n)\}_{n=0}^{b-1}$ , we change our focus to extract probability vectors  $\pi^+(n, r)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ,  $a \leq r \leq b$ , from completely known bivariate VGF. This can be perceived by inverting  $\Pi^+(z, y)$ , which is not easily tractable. For this purpose, the coefficient of  $y^j$ ,  $a \leq j \leq b$ , have been accumulated from both the sides of (41) and are precisely given by

coefficient of  $y^a$  :

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi^+(n, a) z^n = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} \psi^+(i) C_{ai} + \psi^+(a) \right) \mathbf{A}^{(a)}(z) \quad (49)$$

coefficient of  $y^j$  :

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi^+(n, j) z^n = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} \psi^+(i) C_{ji} + \psi^+(j) \right) \mathbf{A}^{(j)}(z), \quad a+1 \leq j \leq b-1 \quad (50)$$

coefficient of  $y^b$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi^+(n, b) z^n = & \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} \psi^+(j) \left\{ \sum_{\ell=a}^b C_{\ell j} \mathbf{A}^{(\ell)}(z) - C_{bj} \mathbf{A}^{(b)}(z) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \left( C_{bj} z^b + \sum_{i=b-a+2}^{b+1-j} \tau_i(z) \mathbf{Q}_{b+1-j-i} z^{b+1-i} - z^j \mathbf{I} \right) \right\} \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{j=a}^{b-1} \psi^+(j) \left\{ \mathbf{A}^{(j)}(z) - z^j \mathbf{I} \right\} \right] \mathbf{A}^{(b)}(z) \left[ z^b \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}^{(b)}(z) \right]^{-1} \quad (51) \end{aligned}$$

Now collecting the coefficient of  $z^n$  from both the sides of (49) and (50) we get

$$\pi^+(n, a) = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} \psi^+(i) C_{ai} + \psi^+(a) \right) \mathbf{A}_n^{(a)}, \quad n \geq 0 \quad (52)$$

$$\pi^+(n, j) = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} \psi^+(i) C_{ji} + \psi^+(j) \right) \mathbf{A}_n^{(j)}, \quad a+1 \leq j \leq b-1, \quad n \geq 0 \quad (53)$$

It may be noted here that collection of the coefficient of  $z^n$  from both the sides of (51) is not an easy task. In order to extract  $\pi^+(n, b)$ , we invert (51), where each component of the vector is simply a polynomial in  $z$ . Let us denote  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi^+(n, b) z^n$  as  $\mathbf{F}^+(z) = [F_1^+(z), \dots, F_m^+(z)]$  for simplicity which will be used in rest of the analysis of this section. For the extraction of the probability vectors from  $\mathbf{F}^+(z)$  the same procedure carried out in the previous section for  $\Psi^+(z)$ , has to be followed here. In view of this,  $\Psi^+(z)$  and  $\chi_j(z)$  (used in earlier case in eqn. (43)) has to be replaced by  $\mathbf{F}^+(z)$  and  $\Phi_j(z)$ , respectively, where  $\Phi_j(z)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_j(z) = & \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \psi_l^+(n) \left( \sum_{\xi=a}^{b-1} C_{\xi n} f^{(\xi)}(z) \prod_{t=a, t \neq \xi}^{b-1} d^{(t)}(z) \right) \right. \right. \\ & + \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \psi_l^+(n) \left( C_{bn} z^b \prod_{t=a}^{b-1} d^{(t)}(z) \right) \\ & + \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \psi_l^+(n) \left( \sum_{\zeta=b-a+2}^{b+1-n} \tau_{\zeta}(z) Q_{b+1-n-\zeta} z^{b+1-\zeta} \right) \prod_{t=a}^{b-1} d^{(t)}(z) \\ & + \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{n=a}^{b-1} \psi_l^+(n) f_{l,i}^{(n)}(z) \prod_{t=a, t \neq n}^{b-1} d^{(t)}(z) \\ & \left. \left. - \left( \sum_{n=0}^{b-1} \psi_i^+(n) z^n \right) \prod_{t=a}^{b-1} d^{(t)}(z) \right\} f_{i,j}^{(b)}(z) \right] \bigg/ \left[ \prod_{t=a}^{b-1} d^{(t)}(z) \right], \quad 1 \leq j \leq m \quad (54) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the simplified form of  $F_j^+(z)$  is given by

$$F_j^+(z) = \frac{|G_j(z)|}{|G(z)|}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \quad (55)$$

where both  $G_j(z)$  and  $G(z)$  represent square matrix with  $(k, l)$ -th elements given by

$$[G_j(z)]_{k,l} = \begin{cases} h_{l,k}(z), & l \neq j \\ \Phi_k(z), & l = j \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad [G(z)]_{k,l} = h_{l,k}(z) \quad (56)$$

The  $j$ -th column of the square matrix  $G_j(z)$  is replaced by  $[\Phi_1(z), \Phi_2(z), \dots, \Phi_m(z)]^T$  and all other elements are same as those of  $G(z)$ .

We assume that  $|G(z)|$ , which is a polynomial in  $z$ , possess a non-zero coefficient of power of  $z$ . Finally, we have

$$F_j^+(z) = \frac{\Omega_j(z)}{\Omega(z)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \quad (57)$$



where  $\Omega_j(z) = |G_j(z)|$  and  $\Omega(z) = |G(z)|$ . Now  $F_j^+(z)$  being a rational function with completely known polynomials, we can proceed to find it's partial fraction. Let  $\Omega_j(z)$  and  $\Omega(z)$  are the polynomials of degree  $L_1$  and  $M_1$ , respectively. Depending upon the distinct and multiple roots of  $\Omega(z)$ , we discuss possible cases below.

#### 4.3.1 When all the zeros of $\Omega(z)$ in $|z| > 1$ are distinct

As  $\Omega(z)$  possess ' $mb$ ' simple zeros inside and on the unit circle, it is evident that  $\Omega(z)$  has  $M_1 - mb$  distinct zeros in  $|z| > 1$ . Let us denote these zeros as  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{M_1 - mb}$ .

##### Case 1: $L_1 \geq M_1$

Applying the partial-fraction expansion, we can uniquely write the rational function  $F_j^+(z)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ) as

$$F_j^+(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{L_1 - M_1} \epsilon_{i,j} z^i + \sum_{k=1}^{M_1 - mb} \frac{\eta_{k,j}}{\gamma_k - z}, \quad (58)$$

for some constants  $\epsilon_{i,j}$  and  $\eta_{k,j}$ 's. The constants  $\epsilon_{i,j}$  in the first summation term are obtained by the division of the polynomial  $\Omega_j(z)$  by  $\Omega(z)$ . Use of the classical residue theorem also leads to

$$\eta_{k,j} = -\frac{\Omega_j(\gamma_k)}{\Omega'(\gamma_k)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, M_1 - mb.$$

Now, collecting the coefficient of  $z^n$  from both the sides of (58), we have

$$\pi_j^+(n, b) = \epsilon_{n,j} + \sum_{k=1}^{M_1 - mb} \frac{\eta_{k,j}}{\gamma_k^{n+1}}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (59)$$

##### Case 2: $L_1 < M_1$

Use of partial-fraction technique on  $F_j^+(z)$  leads to

$$F_j^+(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{M_1 - mb} \frac{\eta_{k,j}}{\gamma_k - z}, \quad (60)$$

where

$$\eta_{k,j} = -\frac{\Omega_j(\gamma_k)}{\Omega'(\gamma_k)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, M_1 - mb.$$

Now, collecting the coefficient of  $z^n$  from both the sides of (60), we get

$$\pi_j^+(n, b) = \sum_{k=1}^{M_1 - mb} \frac{\eta_{k,j}}{\gamma_k^{n+1}}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (61)$$

#### 4.3.2 When some zeros of $\Omega(z)$ in $|z| > 1$ are repeated

There is also a possibility that the denominator  $\Omega(z)$  of  $F_j^+(z)$  may possess some multiple/repeated zeros whose modulus value are greater than one. Assume that,  $\Omega(z)$  has total  $\ell$  multiple zeros, say  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_\ell$  with multiplicity  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_\ell$ , respectively. Further it is clear that  $\Omega(z)$  has total  $(M_1 - mb - \varsigma)$  distinct zeros, where  $\varsigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \delta_i$ , say  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{M_1 - mb - \varsigma}$ .

**Case 1:**  $L_1 \geq M_1$

Applying the partial-fraction method,  $F_j^+(z)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ) can be uniquely written as

$$F_j^+(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{L_1 - M_1} \epsilon_{i,j} z^i + \sum_{k=1}^{M_1 - mb - \varsigma} \frac{\sigma_{k,j}}{\gamma_k - z} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\delta_\nu} \frac{\eta_{\nu,i,j}}{(\beta_\nu - z)^{\delta_\nu - i + 1}} \quad (62)$$

where

$$\sigma_{k,j} = -\frac{\Omega_j(\gamma_k)}{\Omega'(\gamma_k)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, M_1 - mb - \varsigma,$$

$$\eta_{\nu,i,j} = \frac{1}{(\delta_\nu - i)!} \lim_{z \rightarrow \beta_\nu} \frac{d^{(\delta_\nu - i)}}{dz^{(\delta_\nu - i)}} \left[ \frac{(\beta_\nu - z)^{\delta_\nu} \Omega_j(z)}{\Omega(z)} \right] \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, \ell, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \delta_\nu.$$

Now collecting the coefficient of  $z^n$  from both the sides of (62), we have

$$\pi_j^+(n, b) = \epsilon_{n,j} + \sum_{k=1}^{M_1 - mb - \varsigma} \frac{\sigma_{k,j}}{\gamma_k^{n+1}} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\delta_\nu} \binom{\delta_\nu + n - i}{\delta_\nu - i} \frac{\eta_{\nu,i,j}}{\beta_\nu^{\delta_\nu + n + 1 - i}}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (63)$$

**Case 2:**  $L_1 < M_1$

In this case, in partial-fraction, only the first summation term of the right hand side of (62) has been omitted. Now, collecting the coefficients of  $z^n$  one can obtain  $\pi_j^+(n, b)$  which are given by

$$\pi_j^+(n, b) = \sum_{k=1}^{M_1 - mb - \varsigma} \frac{\sigma_{k,j}}{\gamma_k^{n+1}} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\delta_\nu} \binom{\delta_\nu + n - i}{\delta_\nu - i} \frac{\eta_{\nu,i,j}}{\beta_\nu^{\delta_\nu + n + 1 - i}}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (64)$$

This completes the analysis of obtaining the departure epoch probability vectors. Now, a relation between departure and arbitrary epoch probability vectors is to be established in the next section.

## 5 Queue length and server content distribution at arbitrary epoch

In order to obtain the system length distribution and several key performance measures of the concerned queueing model, the joint distribution of queue content and server content at arbitrary epoch plays a pivotal role. We establish a correspondence between departure and arbitrary epoch probability vectors in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** *The state probability vectors  $\{ \mathbf{p}(n, 0), \boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r) \}$  and  $\{ \boldsymbol{\pi}^+(n, r), \boldsymbol{\psi}^+(n) \}$  are connected by*

$$\mathbf{p}(n, 0) = \left[ \frac{1}{E^*} \sum_{j=0}^n \boldsymbol{\psi}^+(j) \mathbf{M}_{n,j} \right] (-\mathbf{D}_0)^{-1}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq a-1 \quad (65)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}(0, r) = \left[ \frac{1}{E^*} \{ \boldsymbol{\pi}^+(0, r) - \boldsymbol{\psi}^+(r) \} - \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{p}(i, 0) \mathbf{D}_{r-i} \right] (\mathbf{D}_0)^{-1}, \quad a \leq r \leq b \quad (66)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r) = \left[ \frac{1}{E^*} \boldsymbol{\pi}^+(n, r) - \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{\pi}(n-i, r) \mathbf{D}_i \right] (\mathbf{D}_0)^{-1}, \quad a \leq r \leq b-1, \quad n \geq 1 \quad (67)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\pi}(n, b) = \left[ \frac{1}{E^*} \{ \boldsymbol{\pi}^+(n, b) - \boldsymbol{\psi}^+(n+b) \} - \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{\pi}(n-i, b) \mathbf{D}_i \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{j=a}^b \mathbf{p}(b-j, 0) \mathbf{D}_{n+j} \right] (\mathbf{D}_0)^{-1}, \quad n \geq 1 \quad (68) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E^* = \omega + \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \sum_{j=0}^n \boldsymbol{\psi}^+(j) \mathbf{M}_{n,j} (-\mathbf{D}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{e} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\omega = \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \boldsymbol{\psi}^+(n) \left( \sum_{\ell=a}^b C_{\ell n} s_{\ell} + \sum_{j=n}^{a-1} \mathbf{M}_{jn} \sum_{i=b+1-j}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbf{D}}_i s_b \right) \mathbf{e} + \sum_{n=a}^b s_n \boldsymbol{\psi}^+(n) \mathbf{e} + s_b \sum_{n=b+1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\psi}^+(n) \mathbf{e}$$

*Proof:* From the equation (23) of lemma 4, we get the desired result (65). Now setting  $\theta = 0$  in (8) - (10) we get,

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}(0, r, 0) = \boldsymbol{\pi}(0, r) \mathbf{D}_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{p}(i, 0) \mathbf{D}_{r-i} + \sum_{j=a}^b \boldsymbol{\pi}(r, j, 0), \quad a \leq r \leq b \quad (69)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r, 0) = \boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r) \mathbf{D}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{\pi}(n-i, r) \mathbf{D}_i, \quad a \leq r \leq b-1, \quad n \geq 1 \quad (70)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}(n, b, 0) = \boldsymbol{\pi}(n, b) \mathbf{D}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{\pi}(n-i, b) \mathbf{D}_i + \sum_{j=a}^b \mathbf{p}(b-j, 0) \mathbf{D}_{n+j} + \sum_{j=a}^b \boldsymbol{\pi}(n+b, j, 0), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (71)$$

Dividing (69) - (71) by  $\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=a}^b \boldsymbol{\pi}(\ell, r, 0) \mathbf{e}$  and using (15), (17) and lemma 3, after some algebraic simplification we obtain the desired results of (66) - (68).

## 6 Queue length and server content distribution at pre-arrival epoch

Having found arbitrary epoch probability vectors, we find an association between arbitrary and pre-arrival epoch probability vectors. Let  $\mathbf{p}^-(n, 0)$ , ( $0 \leq n \leq a-1$ ) and  $\boldsymbol{\pi}^-(n, r)$ , ( $a \leq r \leq b$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ) be the  $1 \times m$  vectors with  $i$ -th component as  $p_i^-(n, 0)$  and  $\pi_i^-(n, r)$ , respectively. Let us define  $p_i^-(n, 0)$  as the steady-state probability that an arrival finds  $n$  ( $0 \leq n \leq a-1$ ) customers in the queue, server

idle, and phase of the arrival process is  $i$ . Similarly, we define  $\pi^-(n, r)$  to be the steady-state probability that an arrival finds  $n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) customers in the queue, server busy with  $r$  ( $a \leq r \leq b$ ) customers and phase of the arrival process is  $i$ . Then the vectors  $\mathbf{p}^-(n, 0)$  and  $\boldsymbol{\pi}^-(n, r)$  are given by

$$\mathbf{p}^-(n, 0) = \frac{\mathbf{p}(n, 0) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{D}_i}{\lambda_g}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq a-1 \quad (72)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}^-(n, r) = \frac{\boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{D}_i}{\lambda_g}, \quad a \leq r \leq b, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (73)$$

## 7 System length distribution and performance measures

Having found the probability vectors  $\mathbf{p}(n, 0)$ , ( $0 \leq n \leq a-1$ ),  $\boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r)$ , ( $a \leq r \leq b$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ), the other significant distribution of interest can be easily obtained and are given below.

- Distribution of the number of customers in the system at an arbitrary epoch (including number of customers with the server) is given by

$$p_n^{system} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{p}(n, 0)\mathbf{e} & 0 \leq n \leq a-1, \\ \sum_{r=a}^{min(b, n)} \boldsymbol{\pi}(n-r, r)\mathbf{e} & a \leq n \leq b, \\ \sum_{r=a}^b \boldsymbol{\pi}(n-r, r)\mathbf{e} & n \geq b+1. \end{cases}$$

- Distribution of the number of customers in the queue at arbitrary epoch is given by

$$p_n^{queue} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{p}(n, 0)\mathbf{e} + \sum_{r=a}^b \boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r)\mathbf{e} & 0 \leq n \leq a-1, \\ \sum_{r=a}^b \boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r)\mathbf{e} & n \geq a. \end{cases}$$

- Distribution of the number of customers in service given that server is busy

$$p_r^{server} = c \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\pi}(n, r)\mathbf{e}, \quad a \leq r \leq b$$

where  $c^{-1} = \left[ 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{p}(n, 0)\mathbf{e} \right] = \text{probability that the server is busy } (P_{busy})$ .

It is very much essential to study the performance measures of the queueing system as they play a notable role in designing and improving the efficiency of the system. Some performance measures are listed below:

- average number of customers waiting in the queue  $(L_q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} np_n^{queue}$ ,
- mean number of customers in the system  $(L) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} np_n^{system}$ ,
- average number of customers with the server  $(L_s) = \sum_{r=a}^b rp_r^{server}$ ,
- mean waiting time of a customer in the queue  $(W_q) = \frac{L_q}{\lambda^*}$ , as well as in the system  $(W) = \frac{L}{\lambda^*}$ .
- the probability that the server is idle  $(P_{idle}) = \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{p}(n, 0)\mathbf{e}$ .

## 8 Numerical examples

The feasibility and applicability of the methodology discussed above has been illustrated through some numerical examples as it brings out the inner feelings about the concerned queue to the readers. In this section, we present numerical examples by evoking the service time distributions as phase type (PH) and deterministic ( $D$ ).

In the first example, the service time has been considered to follow PH-type distribution which has the representation as  $(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{T})$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is a row vector of order  $\nu$ , and  $\mathbf{T}$  is a square matrix of order  $\nu$ . The joint queue and server content distribution for  $BMAP/G_n^{(7,13)}/1$  queue, where  $G$  follows PH distribution, at different epochs (departure and arbitrary) has been displayed in Tables 1 - 2 with the following input parameters. The  $BMAP$  is represented by the matrices

$$\mathbf{D}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -0.542410 & 0.003728 & 0.000000 \\ 0.004349 & -0.022989 & 0.000621 \\ 0.000000 & 0.001243 & -0.269670 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{D}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.010252 & 0.000000 & 0.259089 \\ 0.000000 & 0.008698 & 0.000311 \\ 0.129554 & 0.002485 & 0.002175 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{D}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.010252 & 0.000000 & 0.259089 \\ 0.000002 & 0.008698 & 0.000310 \\ 0.129553 & 0.002485 & 0.002175 \end{pmatrix} \text{ so that } \bar{\boldsymbol{\pi}} = [0.171902, 0.490074, 0.338023]. \text{ The}$$

PH-distribution is taken as  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (0.20 \ 0.80)$  and  $\mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_r & \mu_r \\ 0 & -\mu_r \end{pmatrix}$  for  $a \leq r \leq b$ , where  $a = 7$ ,  $b = 13$ ,  $m = 3$ ,  $\mu_r = r\mu$ , ( $7 \leq r \leq 13$ ),  $\mu = 0.035$ ,  $\lambda^* = 0.384331$ ,  $\lambda_g = 0.192166$  and  $\rho = 0.077971$ .

Although, the similar results at pre-arrival epoch can generated using the relations developed in section 6, we have not appended the table due to the lack of space.

The illustration of numerical example includes the deterministic ( $D$ ) distribution possessing the transcendental L.-S.T. which is rationalized using Padé approximation, say  $\text{Padé}(k, \ell)$  where  $k$  and  $\ell$  are the parameters with  $k < \ell$  but not

$k \ll \ell$ . The parameters corresponding to this example are given by  $a = 4$ ,  $b = 7$

$$\text{and } m = 2, \mathbf{D}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -6.937500 & 0.937500 \\ 0.062500 & -0.195800 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{D}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 5.400000 & 0.000000 \\ 0.000000 & 0.119970 \end{pmatrix},$$

$\mathbf{D}_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.600000 & 0.000000 \\ 0.000000 & 0.01333 \end{pmatrix}$  so that  $\bar{\pi} = [0.062500, 0.937500]$ ,  $\lambda^* = 0.699956$ ,  $\mu_r = \frac{\mu}{r}$ , ( $4 \leq r \leq 7$ ) with  $\mu = 7.0$  and  $\rho = 0.1$ . The queue and server content distribution at different epochs are presented in Tables 3 - 4.

Table 1: Joint distribution of queue and server content and phase of the arrival process at departure epoch for  $BMAP/G_n^{(7,13)}/1$  queue, with  $G \sim PH$

$n$	$r = 7$			$r = 8$			$r = 9$		
	$\pi_1^+(n, 7)$	$\pi_2^+(n, 7)$	$\pi_3^+(n, 7)$	$\pi_1^+(n, 8)$	$\pi_2^+(n, 8)$	$\pi_3^+(n, 8)$	$\pi_1^+(n, 9)$	$\pi_2^+(n, 9)$	$\pi_3^+(n, 9)$
0	0.060869	0.028174	0.094486	0.026801	0.011099	0.044618	0.036431	0.013914	0.055249
1	0.017491	0.002619	0.034488	0.007925	0.001005	0.014319	0.009502	0.001120	0.018374
2	0.006289	0.000636	0.009845	0.002521	0.000230	0.004192	0.003107	0.000255	0.004751
3	0.019295	0.002798	0.037995	0.008661	0.001070	0.015643	0.010308	0.001185	0.019911
4	0.013212	0.001332	0.020692	0.005273	0.000480	0.008768	0.006472	0.000530	0.009898
5	0.005593	0.000555	0.010872	0.002275	0.000200	0.004089	0.002486	0.000201	0.004737
10	0.003530	0.000333	0.005590	0.001248	0.000107	0.002077	0.001355	0.000104	0.002095
20	0.000352	0.000032	0.000569	0.000099	0.000008	0.000165	0.000085	0.000006	0.000134
30	0.000036	0.000003	0.000059	0.000008	0.000000	0.000013	0.000005	0.000000	0.000008
40	0.000004	0.000000	0.000006	0.000000	0.000000	0.000001	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
45	0.000001	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
$\geq 50$	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Total	0.161800	0.039891	0.275838	0.067675	0.015321	0.116074	0.083543	0.018415	0.138606

$n$	$r = 10$			$r = 11$			$r = 12$		
	$\pi_1^+(n, 10)$	$\pi_2^+(n, 10)$	$\pi_3^+(n, 10)$	$\pi_1^+(n, 11)$	$\pi_2^+(n, 11)$	$\pi_3^+(n, 11)$	$\pi_1^+(n, 12)$	$\pi_2^+(n, 12)$	$\pi_3^+(n, 12)$
0	0.002336	0.000612	0.005679	0.001593	0.000402	0.004320	0.001509	0.000353	0.003564
1	0.000925	0.000078	0.001125	0.000677	0.000052	0.000731	0.000543	0.000039	0.000658
2	0.000188	0.000015	0.000435	0.000119	0.000009	0.000302	0.000102	0.000007	0.000231
3	0.000995	0.000083	0.001214	0.000724	0.000054	0.000783	0.000577	0.000042	0.000701
4	0.000390	0.000031	0.000903	0.000245	0.000018	0.000625	0.000211	0.000014	0.000476
5	0.000215	0.000015	0.000272	0.000144	0.000009	0.000163	0.000106	0.000006	0.000133
10	0.000075	0.000006	0.000166	0.000043	0.000003	0.000103	0.000033	0.000002	0.000071
20	0.000004	0.000000	0.000008	0.000002	0.000000	0.000004	0.000001	0.000000	0.000002
30	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
40	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
45	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
$\geq 50$	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Total	0.006062	0.000909	0.011416	0.004122	0.000588	0.008028	0.003517	0.000493	0.006578

$n$	$r = 13$			$\psi_1^+ \mathbf{e}$
	$\pi_1^+(n, 13)$	$\pi_2^+(n, 13)$	$\pi_3^+(n, 13)$	
0	0.001250	0.000284	0.003290	0.396836
1	0.001463	0.000250	0.002805	0.116193
2	0.001155	0.000196	0.002540	0.037128
3	0.001502	0.000200	0.002501	0.126247
4	0.001256	0.000159	0.002441	0.073432
5	0.001123	0.000134	0.001901	0.035233
10	0.000486	0.000049	0.000906	0.018389
20	0.000059	0.000005	0.000107	0.001645
30	0.000006	0.000000	0.000010	0.000148
40	0.000000	0.000000	0.000001	0.000012
45	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000001
$\geq 50$	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Total	0.013515	0.001825	0.025776	1.000000

Table 2: Joint distribution of queue and server content and phase of the arrival process at arbitrary epoch for  $MAP/G_n^{(7,13)}/1$  queue, with  $G \sim \text{PH}$

	r = 0			r = 7			r = 8		
n	p <sub>1</sub> (n, 0)	p <sub>2</sub> (n, 0)	p <sub>3</sub> (n, 0)	π <sub>1</sub> (n, 7)	π <sub>2</sub> (n, 7)	π <sub>3</sub> (n, 7)	π <sub>1</sub> (n, 8)	π <sub>2</sub> (n, 8)	π <sub>3</sub> (n, 8)
0	0.012402	0.117421	0.037496	0.014546	0.006573	0.022425	0.005597	0.002265	0.009253
1	0.013069	0.062645	0.025726	0.004103	0.000598	0.008087	0.001623	0.000201	0.002931
2	0.007717	0.031385	0.016834	0.001459	0.000144	0.002273	0.000510	0.000045	0.000844
3	0.017669	0.078114	0.033999	0.004516	0.000639	0.008889	0.001770	0.000213	0.003195
4	0.017670	0.069894	0.038054	0.003062	0.000302	0.004773	0.001066	0.000095	0.001765
5	0.015042	0.050578	0.028975	0.001279	0.000124	0.002485	0.000454	0.000039	0.000815
6	0.017748	0.063873	0.037374	0.002049	0.000199	0.003201	0.000697	0.000061	0.001154
10				0.000797	0.000073	0.001258	0.000245	0.000020	0.000408
20				0.000077	0.000007	0.000124	0.000019	0.000001	0.000031
30				0.000007	0.000000	0.000012	0.000001	0.000000	0.000002
40				0.000000	0.000000	0.000001	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
≥ 45				0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Total	0.101320	0.473912	0.218010	0.037797	0.009221	0.064151	0.013829	0.003099	0.023622

	r = 9			r = 10			r = 11		
n	π <sub>1</sub> (n, 9)	π <sub>1</sub> (n, 9)	π <sub>1</sub> (n, 9)	π <sub>1</sub> (n, 10)	π <sub>2</sub> (n, 10)	π <sub>3</sub> (n, 10)	π <sub>1</sub> (n, 11)	π <sub>2</sub> (n, 11)	π <sub>3</sub> (n, 11)
0	0.006755	0.002523	0.010173	0.000389	0.000099	0.000940	0.000241	0.000059	0.000649
1	0.001726	0.000199	0.003337	0.000151	0.000012	0.000184	0.000100	0.000007	0.000108
2	0.000557	0.000045	0.000849	0.000030	0.000002	0.000069	0.000017	0.000001	0.000044
3	0.001869	0.000210	0.003609	0.000162	0.000013	0.000197	0.000107	0.000008	0.000116
4	0.001161	0.000093	0.001766	0.000063	0.000005	0.000145	0.000036	0.000003	0.000091
5	0.000439	0.000035	0.000837	0.000034	0.000002	0.000043	0.000020	0.000001	0.000023
10	0.000236	0.000018	0.000364	0.000012	0.000000	0.000026	0.000006	0.000000	0.000014
20	0.000014	0.000001	0.000022	0.000000	0.000000	0.000001	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
30	0.000000	0.000000	0.000001	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
40	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
≥ 45	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Total	0.015180	0.003314	0.025064	0.000989	0.000146	0.001860	0.000611	0.000086	0.001189

	r = 12			r = 13			
n	π <sub>1</sub> (n, 12)	π <sub>2</sub> (n, 12)	π <sub>3</sub> (n, 12)	π <sub>1</sub> (n, 13)	π <sub>2</sub> (n, 13)	π <sub>3</sub> (n, 13)	q <sub>ave</sub> p <sub>h</sub>
0	0.000209	0.000048	0.000491	0.000160	0.000036	0.000418	0.251175
1	0.000073	0.000005	0.000089	0.000185	0.000031	0.000355	0.125101
2	0.000013	0.000000	0.000030	0.000146	0.000024	0.000321	0.063367
3	0.000078	0.000005	0.000095	0.000189	0.000024	0.000315	0.156007
4	0.000028	0.000001	0.000063	0.000157	0.000019	0.000306	0.140623
5	0.000014	0.000000	0.000017	0.000141	0.000017	0.000238	0.101659
10	0.000004	0.000000	0.000009	0.000060	0.000006	0.000112	0.003675
20	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000007	0.000000	0.000013	0.000322
30	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000001	0.000030
40	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000002
≥ 45	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Total	0.000478	0.000066	0.000893	0.001695	0.000227	0.003231	1.000000
L=4.549595, L <sub>q</sub> =2.919973, L <sub>s</sub> =7.881826							
P <sub>idle</sub> =0.793243, W=11.837688, W <sub>q</sub> =7.597540							

Table 3: Joint distribution of queue and server content and phase of the arrival process at departure epoch for  $BMAP/G_n^{(4,7)}/1$  queue with  $G \sim D$ 

	$r = 4$		$r = 5$		$r = 6$		$r = 7$		
$n$	$\pi_1^+(n, 4)$	$\pi_2^+(n, 4)$	$\pi_1^+(n, 5)$	$\pi_2^+(n, 5)$	$\pi_1^+(n, 6)$	$\pi_2^+(n, 6)$	$\pi_1^+(n, 7)$	$\pi_2^+(n, 7)$	$\psi_n^+ \mathbf{e}$
0	0.007936	0.210652	0.000688	0.047289	0.000508	0.042904	0.000392	0.037206	0.347577
1	0.020340	0.042719	0.001376	0.007471	0.000899	0.008462	0.000959	0.041759	0.123985
2	0.029995	0.020640	0.002197	0.002861	0.001513	0.003629	0.001700	0.023738	0.086275
3	0.030352	0.011661	0.002647	0.001759	0.002090	0.002412	0.002794	0.018858	0.072574
4	0.023236	0.005903	0.002481	0.001031	0.002309	0.001567	0.003984	0.015934	0.056445
5	0.016539	0.007387	0.002039	0.001376	0.002189	0.001879	0.004929	0.014332	0.050671
10	0.005384	0.000964	0.000881	0.000215	0.001221	0.000408	0.005602	0.006950	0.021625
20	0.000106	0.000011	0.000029	0.000004	0.000066	0.000011	0.001500	0.001057	0.002785
30	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000001	0.000000	0.000209	0.000134	0.000345
40	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000026	0.000017	0.000043
50	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000003	0.000002	0.000005
$\geq 55$	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Total	0.193843	0.316233	0.020501	0.064927	0.021392	0.066081	0.082364	0.234659	1.000000

Table 4: Joint distribution of queue and server content and phase of the arrival process at arbitrary epoch for  $BMAP/G_n^{(4,7)}/1$  queue with  $G \sim D$ 

	$r = 0$		$r = 4$		$r = 5$		$r = 6$		$r = 7$		
$n$	$p_1(n, 0)$	$p_2(n, 0)$	$\pi_1(n, 4)$	$\pi_2(n, 4)$	$\pi_1(n, 5)$	$\pi_2(n, 5)$	$\pi_1(n, 6)$	$\pi_2(n, 6)$	$\pi_1(n, 7)$	$\pi_2(n, 7)$	$p_n^{queue}$
0	0.002376	0.243267	0.006163	0.016297	0.000732	0.004762	0.000870	0.005245	0.000996	0.005365	0.286074
1	0.004462	0.239295	0.004419	0.001844	0.000547	0.000413	0.000665	0.000593	0.001816	0.005474	0.259530
2	0.006055	0.210505	0.002866	0.000694	0.000385	0.000132	0.000490	0.000221	0.001729	0.002635	0.225712
3	0.007130	0.186916	0.001646	0.000307	0.000249	0.000065	0.000342	0.000120	0.001563	0.002018	0.200357
4			0.000832	0.000124	0.000146	0.000031	0.000222	0.000063	0.001358	0.001629	0.004406
5			0.000862	0.000251	0.000138	0.000059	0.000207	0.000097	0.001232	0.001407	0.004254
10			0.000135	0.000019	0.000030	0.000005	0.000057	0.000012	0.000715	0.000572	0.001546
20			0.000001	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000116	0.000076	0.000196
30			0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000015	0.000009	0.000024
40			0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000002	0.000001	0.000003
50			0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
$\geq 55$			0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Total	0.203023	0.150172	0.300113	0.227053	0.022495	0.016902	0.009456	0.007111	0.021795	0.016398	1.000000
$L=2.108631, \quad L_q=1.552581, \quad L_s=5.560853$											
$P_{idle}=0.900006, \quad W=3.012518, \quad W_q=2.218111$											

## 9 Conclusion

In this paper, the supplementary variable technique and bivariate probability generating function approach is adopted to analyze a generally distributed batch-size-dependent service queue with batch Markovian arrival process. Three significant features have been included, firstly, the closed-form expression of bivariate vector generating function of queue and server content distribution at departure epoch, secondly, the extraction of probability vectors, and finally, the relation between probability vectors at departure and arbitrary epochs. We have dealt with several complicated analytic expressions during the analysis. Through assorted numerical examples, it is clear that the methodology is tractable and easily implementable. As a final conclusion, we feel that this queuing model can be used to quantify the effects of multimedia services over a wireless local communication networks.

## References

1. David M Lucantoni, Kathleen S Meier-Hellstern, and Marcel F Neuts. A single-server queue with server vacations and a class of non-renewal arrival processes. *Advances in Applied Probability*, pages 676–705, 1990.



2. David M Lucantoni. New results on the single server queue with a batch Markovian arrival process. *Communications in Statistics. Stochastic Models*, 7(1):1–46, 1991.
3. Marcel F Neuts. A versatile markovian point process. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 16(4):764–779, 1979.
4. Ho Woo Lee, No Ik Park, and Jongwoo Jeon. A new approach to the queue length and waiting time of  $BMAP/G/1$  queues. *Computers & Operations Research*, 30(13):2021–2045, 2003.
5. ALEXANDER N Dudin, ALEXEY A Shaban, and VALENTINA I Klimenok. Analysis of a queue in the  $BMAP/G/1/N$  system. *International Journal of Simulation*, 6(1-2):13–23, 2005.
6. ML Chaudhry, Gagandeep Singh, and UC Gupta. A simple and complete computational analysis of  $MAP/R/1$  queue using roots. *Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability*, 15(3):563–582, 2013.
7. AD Banik. Single server queues with a batch Markovian arrival process and bulk renewal or non-renewal service. *Journal of Systems Science and Systems Engineering*, 24(3):337–363, 2015.
8. UC Gupta, Gagandeep Singh, and ML Chaudhry. An alternative method for computing system-length distributions of  $BMAP/R/1$  and  $BMAP/D/1$  queues using roots. *Performance Evaluation*, 95:60–79, 2016.
9. Mohan L Chaudhry, Bong K Yoon, and Kyung C Chae. Waiting-time distribution of a discrete-time multiserver queue with correlated arrivals and deterministic service times:  $D-MAP/D/k$  system. *Operations Research Letters*, 30(3):174–180, 2002.
10. SK Samanta. Waiting-time analysis of  $D-BMAP/G/1$  queueing system. *Annals of Operations Research*, pages 1–13, 2015.
11. SK Bar-Lev, M Parlar, D Perry, W Stadje, and Frank A Van der DS. Applications of bulk queues to group testing models with incomplete identification. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 183(1):226–237, 2007.
12. D Claeys, J Walraevens, K Laevens, and H Bruneel. A queueing model for general group screening policies and dynamic item arrivals. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 207(2):827–835, 2010.
13. Dieter Claeys, Joris Walraevens, Bart Steyaert, and Herwig Bruneel. Applicability of a static model in a dynamic context in group-screening decision making. *Computers & Operations Research*, 51:313–322, 2014.
14. S Pradhan, UC Gupta, and SK Samanta. Analyzing an infinite buffer batch arrival and batch service queue under batch-size-dependent service policy. *Journal of the Korean Statistical Society*, 45(1):137–148, 2016.
15. S Pradhan, UC Gupta, and SK Samanta. Queue-length distribution of a batch service queue with random capacity and batch size dependent service:  $M/G_r^Y/1$ . *Opsearch*, 53(2):329–343, 2016.

16. UC Gupta and S Pradhan. Queue length and server content distribution in an infinite-buffer batch-service queue with batch-size-dependent service. *Advances in Operations Research*, pages 1–12, 2015.
17. S Pradhan and UC Gupta. Modeling and analysis of an infinite-buffer batch-arrival queue with batch-size-dependent service:  $M^X/G_n^{(a,b)}/1$ . *Performance Evaluation*, 108:16–31, 2017.
18. S Pradhan and UC Gupta. Analysis of an infinite-buffer batch-size-dependent service queue with Markovian arrival process. *Annals of Operations Research*, 251:1–39, 2017.
19. S Pradhan and UC Gupta. Stationary distribution of an infinite-buffer batch-arrival and batch-service queue with random serving capacity and batch-size-dependent service. *International Journal of Operational Research*, (To appear), pages –, 2018.
20. Anuradha Banerjee, UC Gupta, and SR Chakravarthy. Analysis of a finite-buffer bulk-service queue under Markovian arrival process with batch-size-dependent service. *Computers & Operations Research*, 60:138–149, 2015.
21. Miaomiao Yu and Attahiru Sule Alfa. Algorithm for computing the queue length distribution at various time epochs in  $D-MAP/G^{(1,a,b)}/1/N$  queue with batch-size-dependent service time. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 244(1):227–239, 2015.
22. D Claeys, B Steyaert, J Walraevens, K Laevens, and H Bruneel. Analysis of a versatile batch-service queueing model with correlation in the arrival process. *Performance Evaluation*, 70(4):300–316, 2013.
23. Ho Woo Lee, Boo Yong Ahn, and No Ik Park. Decompositions of the queue length distributions in the  $MAP/G/1$  queue under multiple and single vacations with  $N$ -policy. *Stochastic Models*, 17(2):157–190, 2001.
24. Shoichi Nishimura. Eigenvalue expression for mean queue length of  $BMAP/G/1$  queue. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Operational Research*, 15(2):193–202, 1998.
25. Gagandeep Singh, UC Gupta, and ML Chaudhry. Computational analysis of bulk service queue with Markovian arrival process:  $MAP/R^{(a,b)}/1$  queue. *Opsearch*, 50(4):582–603, 2013.
26. Gagandeep Singh, UC Gupta, and ML Chaudhry. Detailed computational analysis of queueing-time distributions of  $BMAP/G/1$  queue using roots. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 53:1078–1097, 2016.
27. Robert F Botta, Carl M Harris, and William G Marchal. Characterizations of generalized hyperexponential distribution functions. *Stochastic Models*, 3(1):115–148, 1987.
28. H Richard Gail, Sidney L Hantler, Moshe Sidi, and B Alan Taylor. Linear independence of root equations for  $M/G/1$  type Markov chains. *Queueing Systems*, 20(3-4):321–339, 1995.

