

COMPOSITION OPERATOR INTO THE SPACE OF FUNCTION OF BOUNDED VARIATION

LUDEK KLEPRLIK

ABSTRACT. Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. We study the optimal conditions on a homeomorphism $f : \Omega_1$ onto Ω_2 which guarantee that the composition $u \circ f$ belongs to the space $BV(\Omega_1)$ for every $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_2)$. We show that the sufficient and necessary condition is an existence of a function $K(y) \in L^{p'}(\Omega_2)$ such that $|Df|(f^{-1}(A)) \leq \int_A K(y) dy$ for all Borel sets A .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we address the following issue. Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open set, $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a homeomorphism and a function of bounded variation and u is a function of $WL^p(f(\Omega))$. Under which conditions can we then conclude that $u \circ f \in BV(\Omega)$? Our main theorem gives a complete answer to this question.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω_1, Ω_2 be open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n and let $f \in BV_{loc}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$ have no jump part. Suppose that f is not constant on any component of Ω and there is a function $K \in L^{q'}(\Omega_2)$ such that*

$$(1.1) \quad |Df|(\tilde{f}^{-1}(A)) \leq \int_A K(y) d\mathcal{L}^n \text{ for all Borel sets } A \subset \Omega_2.$$

Then the operator $T_f(u)(x) = u(f(x))$ maps from function $W^{1,q}(\Omega_2) \cap C(\Omega_2)$ if $q > n$, or $W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ if $q \leq n$ into $BV(\Omega_1)$ and

$$(1.2) \quad |D(u \circ f)|(\Omega_1) \leq \|K\|_{L^{q'}} \|Du\|_{L^q(\Omega_2)}.$$

On the other hand, if f is a homeomorphism of Ω_1 onto Ω_2 such that the operator T_f maps $C_0(\Omega_2) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega_2)$ into $BV(\Omega_1)$, then $f \in BV_{loc}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$ and there exists a function $K \in L^{q'}(\Omega_2)$ such that (1.1) holds.

The class of homeomorphisms that satisfy (1.1) forms a natural extension of a special class of mappings of finite distortion. More precisely: in the fourth chapter we show that the set of homeomorphisms in $W_{loc}^{1,1}$ with the property

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E35, 46E30, 30C65.

Key words and phrases. Sobolev space, Bounded variation, Quasiconformal mappings, Composition operator.

The author was supported by the grant GAČR 18-00960Y..

(1.1) coincides with the known class of homeomorphisms with finite distortion satisfying that there exists a function $L \in L^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$ such that

$$|Df(x)|^q \leq L(x)|J_f(x)| \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

It is known that for this class of Sobolev homeomorphisms we have $T_f(u) := u \circ f \in W^{1,1}$ for all $u \in W^{1,q}$. See [6] or [15] for details. Hence naturally T_f maps function from $W^{1,1}$ to BV .

Let us note that the morphism property of T_f on BV was also known under the assumption that the homeomorphism f belongs to class of mappings with a Lipschitz inverse. This can be found in [2, Theorem 3.16], or [9]. We show that the above two classes of homeomorphisms differ (and our class contains both of them).

Actually we prove more general statements of the theorems. We allow f to fail to be a homeomorphism. Our mapping will be a general mapping of bounded variation (its multiplicity can be unbounded) with no jump part and satisfying (1.1) for some good representative of f .

2. PRELIMINARIES

We use the usual convention that C denotes a generic positive constant whose exact value may change from line to line. We denote by \mathcal{L}^n the Lebesgue measure. The symbol $\nabla u(x)$ denotes the classical gradient of u in x . By Du we denote the distributional derivative.

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . A function $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ whose partial derivatives in the sense of distributions are measures with finite total variation in Ω is called a function of bounded variation. The vector space of functions of bounded variation is denoted by $BV(\Omega)$. We write $u \in BV(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ if $u_i \in BV(\Omega)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.

If $u \in BV(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$, the total variation of the measure Du is defined by

$$|Du|(E) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \int_E u_i \operatorname{div} \phi_i d\mathcal{L}^n : \phi \in C_c^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}), |\phi(x)| \leq 1 \text{ for } x \in \Omega \right\} < \infty.$$

We write $u \in BV_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ if for all $x \in \Omega$ there is a ball $B \ni x$ such that $u \in BV(B, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Proposition 3.13 in [2] gives us

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open, $u_k \in BV(\Omega)$ and there is $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ and $\sup |Du_k|(\Omega) < \infty$ then u belongs to $BV(\Omega)$ and u_k weakly* converges to u in $BV(\Omega)$.*

The main tool is the analogy of the chain rule for the composition of a smooth function and a function of bounded variation see [1] or Theorem 3.96 in [2].

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open, $f \in BV(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^k)$. Then the composition $u \circ f$ belongs to $BV(\Omega)$ and*

$$D(u \circ f) = \nabla u \circ f \cdot D^a f \mathcal{L}^n + \nabla u \circ \tilde{f} \cdot D^c f + [u(f^+) - u(f^-)] \otimes \nu_f \mathcal{H}^{n-1}|_J,$$

where

$$Df = D^a f \mathcal{L}^n + D^c f + \nu_f \mathcal{H}^{n-1}|_J$$

is the usual decomposition of Df in its absolutely continuous part $D^a f$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure \mathcal{L}^n , its Cantor part $D^c f$ and its jumping part, which is represented by the restriction of the $(n - 1)$ dimensional Hausdorff measure to the jump set J . Moreover, ν_f denotes the measure theoretical unit normal to J , \tilde{f} is the approximate limit and f^+ , f^- are the approximate limits from both sides of J .

We will work only with functions, which have no jump part, i.e. $J = \emptyset$. In that case we have

$$D(u \circ f) = \nabla u \circ \tilde{f} \cdot Df.$$

2.1. Basic properties of measures. If u is a μ -measurable function and E is a μ -measurable set then we denote by $\int_E u d\mu$ (or $\int_E u(x) d\mu(x)$ if we want to emphasize the variable) the integral of u over E with respect to the measure μ . Instead of $d\mathcal{L}^n(x)$ we write shortly dx .

Given measure spaces (X, \mathcal{A}) and (Y, \mathcal{B}) , a measurable mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a measure $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, the image of μ is defined to be the measure $f(\mu) : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ given by

$$(f(\mu))(A) = \mu(f^{-1}(A)) \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Sometimes $f(\mu)$ is called the pushforward of μ .

Theorem 2.3. *Under these assumptions we have that*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_Y g d(f(\mu)) = \int_X g \circ f d\mu,$$

whenever one of the integrals is well-defined.

Let μ, ν be measures defined on the same σ -algebra \mathcal{A} of the space X . We say that μ is

- absolute continuous with respect to ν if

$$|\nu|(A) = 0 \Rightarrow |\mu|(A) = 0.$$

- singular with respect to ν if there are $X_a, X_s \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $X = X_a \cup X_s$ and

$$|\nu|(X_s) = 0 = |\mu|(X_a).$$

We set $\text{supp } \nu = X_s$.

For each pair of non-negative measures μ and ν on the same σ -algebra \mathcal{A} we can find a decomposition $\mu = \mu^a + \mu^c$ such that μ^a is absolute continuous with respect to ν and μ^c, ν are singular.

Theorem 2.4 (Radon-Nikodym). *Let μ be a non-negative Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^n and set*

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}^n}(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(B(x, r))}{\mathcal{L}^n(B(x, r))}.$$

Then $\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}^n}$ exists \mathcal{L}^n -a.e., $\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}^n}(x)$ is \mathcal{L}^n -measurable and

$$\int_A \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}^n}(x) dx \leq \mu(A) \text{ for all Borel sets } A \subset G.$$

Moreover, if μ is absolute continuous with respect to \mathcal{L}^n then the above inequality holds as equality.

3. SUFFICIENT CONDITION

In this section we prove the stability of the composition under our assumptions. We crucially need to know that f satisfies the Lusin (N^{-1}) condition, i.e. preimages of sets of Lebesgue measure zero have measure zero. If the condition fails then there is a set $A \subset \Omega_1$ such that $\mathcal{L}^n(A) > 0$ and $\mathcal{L}^n(f(A)) = 0$. Now we can redefine u on a null set $f(A)$ arbitrarily and the composed function may be a nonmeasurable function. On the other hand if f satisfies the condition then the validity of our statement for one representative of u implies the validity for all representatives, because the composition only differs on a set of measure zero. The following theorem can be found in [12]

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be connected open set, $f \in BV(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ have no jump part. Suppose that*

$$(3.1) \quad |Df|(\tilde{f}^{-1}(A)) \leq \int_A K(y) dy \text{ for all Borel set } A \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $K(y) \in L^{p'}$ for some $p \in [1, n]$, $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$. If f is not constant then f satisfies Lusin (N^{-1}) condition, i.e. for any set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}^n(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}^n(f^{-1}(E)) = 0.$$

Proof of the first part of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $u \in W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$. Let be u_k an approximation of u by smooth function in $W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ such that $u_k(x)$ converge to $u(x)$ almost everywhere or everywhere if $q > n$. We prove that $u_k \circ f$ is a good weak* approximation of $u \circ f$ on G . First by Theorem 2.2 we know that $u_k \circ f$ belongs to $BV(G)$ and $D(u_k \circ f)(x) = \nabla u_k(f(x)) \cdot Df(x)$. Hence with the help Theorem 2.3 and the fact that $\tilde{f}(|Df|)(A) \leq \int_A K(y) d\mathcal{L}^n$ we can estimate

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |D(u_k \circ f)|(G) &\leq \int_G |\nabla u_k(\tilde{f}(x))| d|Df| = \int_{\Omega_2} |\nabla u_k| d(f(|Df|)) \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega_2} |\nabla u_k(y)| K(y) d\mathcal{L}^n \leq \|K\|_{L^{q'}} \|Du_k\|_{L^q(\Omega_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to Theorem 3.1 we know $u_k \circ f \rightarrow u \circ f$ almost everywhere on Ω_2 (even everywhere if $q > n$). Let $B \subset\subset \Omega_1$ be a ball. Due to convergence of $u_k \circ f$ pointwise almost everywhere using Egorov's theorem we can find a measurable set A such that $u_k \circ f$ converge to $u \circ f$ uniformly on A and $\mathcal{L}^n(A) = 1/2\mathcal{L}^n(B)$. Denote $v = u_k \circ f - u_l \circ f$ and $v_E = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^n(E)} \int_E v(x) d\mathcal{L}^n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(x)\|_{L^1(B)} &\leq \|v(x) - v_B\|_{L^1(B)} + \mathcal{L}^n(B)(|v_A - v_B| + |v_A|) \\ &\leq \|v(x) - v_B\|_{L^1(B)} + \mathcal{L}^n(B) \left(\left| \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^n(A)} \int_A v(x) d\mathcal{L}^n \right| + \left| \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^n(A)} \int_A v(x) d\mathcal{L}^n \right| \right) \\ &\leq \|v(x) - v_B\|_{L^1(B)} + 2 \int_B |v(x) - v_B| d\mathcal{L}^n + 2\|v\|_{L^\infty(A)} \\ &\leq 3r|Dv|(B) + 2\|v\|_{L^\infty(A)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Poincare inequality. Thus together with (3.2) we have

$$\|u_k \circ f - u_l \circ f\|_{L^1(B)} \leq 3\|K\|_{L^{q'}} \|Du_k - Du_l\|_{L^q(\Omega_2)} + 2\|u_k \circ f - u_l \circ f\|_{L^\infty(A)}.$$

It follows $u_k \circ f$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1(B)$ for all balls. Due to almost everywhere pointwise convergence of $u_k \circ f$ we have that $u_k \circ f$ converge to $u_k \circ f$ in $L^1_{loc}(\Omega_1)$.

Thus convergence of $u_k \circ f$ to $u \circ f$ in $L^1(G)$ together with the estimate (3.2) and Lemma 2.1 give us that $u \circ f$ is function of bounded variation on G .

Moreover, using semi-continuity of the variation we obtain

$$|D(u \circ f)|(G) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} |D(u_k \circ f)|(G) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|K\|_{L^{q'}} \|u_k\|_{L^q(\Omega_2)} = \|K\|_{L^{q'}} \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega_2)}.$$

To prove (1.2) find open sets $G_k \subset\subset \Omega$ such that $G_k \subset G_{k+1}$ and $\Omega_1 = \bigcup_1^\infty G_k$ then

$$|D(u \circ f)|(\Omega_1) = \lim_k |D(u \circ f)|(G_k) \leq \|K\|_{L^{q'}} \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega_2)}.$$

□

In the case when f is constant on some component G of Ω the composition $u \circ f$ may not be well-defined. If we take a representative of u such that $\tilde{u}(x) = 0$ for all x such there is a component G of Ω satisfying $f(G) = \{x\}$ then for this representative we have $\tilde{u} \circ f \in BV(\Omega_1)$ and (1.2) again holds.

Remark 3.2. The condition (1.1) can be rewritten

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\tilde{f}^{-1}(A)} |D^a f| d\mathcal{L}^n + \int_{\tilde{f}^{-1}(A)} d|D^c f| \leq \int_A K(y) d\mathcal{L}^n,$$

what is equivalent to a existence of function $K^a, K^c \in L^q$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\tilde{f}^{-1}(A)} |D^a f| d\mathcal{L}^n \leq \int_A K^a(y) d\mathcal{L}^n$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\tilde{f}^{-1}(A)} d|D^c f| \leq \int_A K^c(y) d\mathcal{L}^n.$$

The second condition (3.5) implies that $|D^c f|(\tilde{f}^{-1}(A)) = 0$ whenever $A \subset \Omega_2$ has measure zero.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that f is a homeomorphism then the inequality (3.4) is equivalent to existence of a function $L \in L^{q'}$ such that*

$$(3.6) \quad |D^a f(x)| \leq L(x)|J_f(x)|^{\frac{1}{q}} \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega_1.$$

Proof. Find Borel set Z of measure zero such that $f|_{\Omega_1 \setminus Z}$ satisfies the Lusin (N) condition and set $N = \{J_f = 0\}$.

If (3.6) holds then using the Area formula we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{f^{-1}(A)} |D^a f| d\mathcal{L}^n &= \int_{f^{-1}(A) \setminus (N \cup Z)} |D^a f| d\mathcal{L}^n \leq \int_{f^{-1}(A) \setminus (N \cup Z)} L(x)|J_f(x)|^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mathcal{L}^n \\ &= \int_{A \setminus f(N \cup Z)} L(f^{-1}(y))|J_f(f^{-1}(y))|^{\frac{1-q}{q}} d\mathcal{L}^n \end{aligned}$$

So we can set $K(y) = L(f^{-1}(y))|J_f(f^{-1}(y))|^{\frac{1-q}{q}} \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus f(N \cup Z)}$ where

$$\int_A \left(L(f^{-1}(y))^{q'} |J_f(f^{-1}(y))|^{\frac{1-q}{q}} \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus f(N \cup Z)} \right)^{q'} d\mathcal{L}^n = \int_{f^{-1}(A)} L(x)^{q'} d\mathcal{L}^n$$

Let us assume that (3.3) hold. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x,r)} |D^a f| d\mathcal{L}^n &= \int_{B(x,r) \setminus Z} |D^a f| d\mathcal{L}^n \leq \int_{f(B(x,r) \setminus Z)} K(y) d\mathcal{L}^n \\ &\leq \left(\int_{f(B(x,r) \setminus Z)} K(y)^{q'} d\mathcal{L}^n \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} (f(B(x,r) \setminus Z))^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\int_{(B(x,r) \setminus Z)} K(f(x))^{q'} |J_f(x)| d\mathcal{L}^n \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |J_f(x)| d\mathcal{L}^n \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Considering that $K(f(x))^{q'} |J_f(x)|$ is integrable then by letting $r \rightarrow 0_+$ we obtain for all Lebesgue points

$$|D^a f(x)| \leq \left(K(f(x)) |J_f(x)|^{\frac{1}{q'}} \right) |J_f(x)|^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

It can be easily verified that $L(x) = K(f(x)) |J_f(x)|^{\frac{1}{q'}} \in L^{q'}$. □

If we assume that f is a Sobolev homeomorphism then $D^c f = 0$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let f be a homeomorphism in $W_{loc}^{1,1}(\Omega_1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ then (1.1) is equivalent to existence of a function $L \in L^{q'}(\Omega_1)$ such that*

$$(3.7) \quad Df(x) \leq L(x)|J_f(x)|^{\frac{1}{q}} \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega_1.$$

The simplest way to obtain the condition (1.1) is to check the integrability of the inverse.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$ be a mapping such that f^{-1} is in L^p , where p is bigger or equal to $n - 1$. Then (1.1) holds for $q = \frac{p}{p-(n-1)}$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.3 in [3] and Theorem 3.8 [14] that $f \in BV_{loc}(\Omega_2)$ and

$$(3.8) \quad |Df|(f^{-1}(G)) \leq C \int_G |\text{adj } D(f^{-1})| d\mathcal{L}^n,$$

where C depends only on n . Hence (3.8) holds for all Borel sets and we have

$$|Df|(f^{-1}(A)) \leq C \int_A |\text{adj } D(f^{-1})| d\mathcal{L}^n.$$

Taking in mind $p = \frac{q(n-1)}{q-1}$ we can estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \text{adj } |D(f^{-1})|^{q'} d\mathcal{L}^n \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \text{adj } |D(f^{-1})|^p d\mathcal{L}^n.$$

□

Example 3.6. *There is a homeomorphism f such that (1.1) holds even for $q = 1$ but $f^{-1} \notin W_{loc}^{1,1}$.*

Proof. Consider the usual Cantor ternary function u on the interval $(0, 1)$. And set $g(x) = u(x) + x$. This function is continuous, increasing and fails to be absolutely continuous. Moreover, g does not belong to $W_{loc}^{1,1}$. On the other hand, the inverse function g^{-1} is Lipschitz and maps $(0, 2)$ homeomorphically onto $(0, 1)$. If we set

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (g(x_1), x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

then obviously f fails to belong to $W_{loc}^{1,1}((0, 1)^n)$, and f^{-1} is a Lipschitz function. Due to Lemma 3.5 the function f satisfy (1.1). □

In the special case when $n = 2$ we obtain the equivalence in Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $f : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$ be a homeomorphism. Then $f^{-1} \in W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ if and only if $f \in BV_{loc}(\Omega_1)$ and (1.1) holds.*

Proof. It remains to prove the second implication. Let $f \in BV_{loc}(\Omega_1)$. It follows from [4] that f^{-1} is in $BV_{loc}(\Omega_2)$ and

$$|D(f_1^{-1})|(\Omega_2) = |D_y f|(f^{-1}(\Omega_2)) \text{ and } |D(f_2^{-1})|(\Omega_2) = |D_x f|(f^{-1}(\Omega_2)).$$

It holds for all open set Ω_2 thus we have for all Borel sets $A \subset \Omega_2$

$$|D(f^{-1})|(A) = |Df|(f^{-1}(A)).$$

By combining with (1.1) we have $|D(f^{-1})|(A) \leq \int_A K(y) d\mathcal{L}^n$ and thus the measure $D(f^{-1})$ is absolutely continuous with respect to \mathcal{L}^n and which Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to \mathcal{L}^n is in L^q . □

4. A NECESSARY CONDITION

In this section we prove the second part of the main Theorem 1.1

Theorem 4.1. *Let Ω_1, Ω_2 be open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n and let $f \in BV_{loc}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$ has no jump part and suppose that the operator T_f maps functions from $C_c^\infty(\Omega_2)$ into $BV_{loc}(\Omega_1)$ and there is a $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_2)$ we have*

$$(4.1) \quad |D(u \circ f)|(\Omega_1) \leq M \|Du\|_{L^q(\Omega_2)}.$$

Then there is a function $L(y) \in L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}$ such that $\int L(y)^{\frac{q}{q-1}} d\mathcal{L}^n \leq 16nM$ for all Borel set $A \subset \Omega_2$ we have

$$(4.2) \quad |Df|(\tilde{f}^{-1}(A)) \leq \int_A L(y) d\mathcal{L}^n.$$

Proof. For an open set $G \subset \Omega_2$ we denote by $M(G)$ the smallest constant such that (4.1) holds for all $u \in C_c^\infty(G)$. Then obviously M is a set function on open sets. First note that $M(G)$ (and thus also $M(G_k)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}$) is monotone i.e. $M(G) \leq M(\tilde{G})$ if $G \subset \tilde{G}$. We claim that $M(G_k)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}$ is quasiadditive i.e. for any finite collection G_1, \dots, G_k of pairwise disjoint open subsets of Ω_2 we have

$$M\left(\bigcup_k G_k\right)^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \leq \sum_k M(G_k)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}.$$

To see it take $u \in C_c^\infty(\bigcup_k G_k)$. Then $u_k = u \chi_{G_k} \in C_c^\infty(G_k)$. From Theorem 2.2 we can see that $|Du_k \circ f|(\Omega_1 \setminus f^{-1}(G_k)) = 0$ thus $|D(u \circ f)| = \sum |D(u_k \circ f)|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |D(u \circ f)|\left(\bigcup_k G_k\right) &\leq \sum_k M(G_k) \|Du_k\|_{L^q(G_k)} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_k (M(G_k))^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \left(\sum_k \|Du_k\|_{L^q(G_k)}^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\sum_k (M(G_k))^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \|Du_k\|_{L^q(\bigcup_k G_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$M\left(\bigcup_k G_k\right) \leq \left(\sum_k M(G_k)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}}.$$

We may assume that $f = \tilde{f}$. (We change $u \circ f$ only on a set of measure zero.) Take $G \subset \Omega_2$ an open set. First suppose that $|Df|(f^{-1}(G)) \neq 0$. Let $t > 0$ and $0 < L < |Df|(f^{-1}(A))$ be arbitrary real numbers and fix $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|D(f_i)|(\Omega) \geq \frac{1}{n} |Df|(f^{-1}(A)) > \frac{1}{n} L$. Then

$$G = \bigcup_k A_k = \bigcup_k \{x \in G \cap B(0, k) : \text{dist}(x, \partial G) \geq 1/k\}.$$

Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough such that

$$|Df|(f^{-1}(A_k)) > \frac{1}{n}L.$$

Find a cut-off function $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega_2)$ satisfying

$$\text{supp } \eta \subset G, \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq 1 \text{ and } \eta = 1 \text{ on } A_k.$$

Take m such that $m \geq 8$ and $\|\nabla \eta\|_\infty \leq m$. Choose K among the sets

$$\begin{aligned} K^{\sin} &= \{x \in f^{-1}(A_k) : \cos^2(m^2 f_i(x)) \geq \frac{1}{2}\}, \\ K^{\cos} &= \{x \in f^{-1}(A_k) : \sin^2(m^2 f_i(x)) \geq \frac{1}{2}\} \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$|D(f_i)|(K) \geq \frac{1}{2}|D(f_i)|(f^{-1}(A_k))$$

and set

$$u(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m^2} \eta(y) \sin(m^2 y_i) & \text{if } K = K^{\sin} \\ \frac{1}{m^2} \eta(y) \cos(m^2 y_i) & \text{if } K = K^{\cos}. \end{cases}$$

Consider $K = K^{\sin}$. The case when $K = K^{\cos}$ is analogous. Obviously $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega_2)$ and

$$(4.3) \quad |\nabla u(y)| = |1/m^2 \nabla \eta(y) \sin(m^2 y_i) + \eta(y) \cos(m^2 y_i) e_i| \leq 2 \text{ for all } y \in \Omega_2.$$

By the product rule it easily follows

$$\begin{aligned} |D(u \circ f)|(K) &= \int_K d|D(u \circ f)| = \int_K |\nabla u|(\tilde{f}(x)) d|Df_i| \\ &\geq \int_K (|\eta(y) \cos(m^2 y_i) e_i| - |1/m^2 \nabla \eta(y) \sin(m^2 y_i)|) d|Df_i| \\ &\geq \int_K (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{m}) d|Df_i| \geq \frac{1}{4} |Df_i|(K) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8} |Df_i|(f^{-1}(A_k)) \geq \frac{1}{8n} L. \end{aligned}$$

Thus together with (4.1) we estimate

$$L \leq 8n |D(u \circ f)|(\Omega_1) \leq 8n M(G) \|Du\|_{L^q(\Omega_2)} \leq 8n M(G) 2 \cdot (\mathcal{L}^n(G))^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq 16n M(G) (\mathcal{L}^n(G))^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

By taking supremum over all $L \leq |Df|(f^{-1}(A))$ we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad |D(u \circ f)|(f^{-1}(G)) \leq 16n M(G) \mathcal{L}^n(G)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Obviously (4.4) is valid even if $|D(u \circ f)|(f^{-1}(G)) = 0$. Set $\mu(A) := f(|Df|)(A) = |D(u \circ f)|(f^{-1}(A))$ if A is Borel. Because $M(G) \leq M$ we can estimate

$$(4.5) \quad \mu(G) \leq 16n M(\mathcal{L}^n(G))^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Thus μ is absolute continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Now we apply (4.4) on $B = B(y, r)$ to get

$$\frac{\mu(B)}{\mathcal{L}^n(B)} \leq 16n \left(\frac{M(B)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}}{\mathcal{L}^n(B)} \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}}.$$

We have proved in that $\Psi(G) = (M(G))^{\frac{q}{q-1}}$ is a monotone and quasiadditive set function of open sets. Thus

$$L(y) := \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \mathcal{L}^n}(y) \leq 16n (\Psi'(y))^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \text{ for a.e. } y.$$

Integrating over G taking into account absolute continuity of μ we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad |D(u \circ f)|(f^{-1}(G)) = \mu(G) = \int_G L(y)$$

and

$$\int_G L(y)^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \leq \int_G 16n \Psi'(y) \leq 16n \Psi(G) = 16nM.$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $\mu : \mathcal{B}(G) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a non-atomic Borel measure. Then there are open pairwise disjoint sets $G_1, G_2 \subset G$ such that $\mu(G_1) = \mu(G_2)$.*

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and g be a \mathcal{L}^n -measurable and essentially unbounded function. Then there are open pairwise disjoint sets $G_k \subset G$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g|_{G_k}$ are essentially unbounded.*

Proof of the second part of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that (1.1) is not satisfied. Then there are two cases

1. The measure $\nu = f(|Df|)$ is not absolute continuous with respect to \mathcal{L}^n . Denote by μ the singular part. Then with the help of Lemma 4.2 we can find pairwise disjoint open sets G_k such that $\mu(G_k) > 0$.

2. The measure ν is absolute continuous with respect to \mathcal{L}^n . It means that $\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \mathcal{L}^n} \notin L^{p'}$. If $p > 1$ consider measure $\mu(A) = \int_A \left(\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \mathcal{L}^n} \right)^{p'} d\mathcal{L}^n$. Using Lemma 4.2 we find pairwise disjoint open sets G_k such that $\mu(G_k) = \infty$.

If $p = 1$ the Lemma 4.3 gives us pairwise disjoint open sets G_k such that $\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \mathcal{L}^n}$ is essentially unbounded on G_k .

In both cases we have that (1.1) is not satisfied on G_k hence the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 cannot be satisfied there. It follows that we can construct $u_k \in C_C^\infty(G_k)$ such that

$$\|Du_k\|_{L^q(G_k)} \leq 2^{-k}$$

and

$$|D(u_k \circ f)|(f^{-1}(G_k)) \geq 2^k.$$

□

Due to the fact that $D|v| = |Dv|$ for any function v of bounded variation or Sobolev function we may assume that $\|u_k\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$ (Otherwise we can iterate replacing u_k by function $\tilde{u}_k = ||u_k| - 1/2\|u_k\|_{L^\infty}|$, which has the same total variation of the distributional derivative and its maximum is half of the maximum of u_k .) We extend the domain of the functions u_k by putting $u_k = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus G_k$. Set

$$u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k.$$

Then the sums converges in the norm of $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ and C_0 . Now, assume that the function $u \circ f$ is a Sobolev function on Ω , otherwise there is nothing to prove. Then $u_k \circ f$ has a compact support in $f^{-1}(G_k)$ thus

$$|D(u \circ f)|(\Omega) \geq |D(u \circ f)|(f^{-1}(G_k)) = |D(u_k \circ f)|(f^{-1}(G_k)) \geq 2^k.$$

It easily follows that $u \circ f \notin BV(\Omega)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ambrosio L., Dal Maso G., A general chain rule for distributional derivatives, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1990, 108, 691–702.
- [2] Ambrosio L., Fusco L., Pallara N., Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [3] Csörnyei M., Hencl S., Malý J., Homeomorphisms in the Sobolev space $W^{1;n-1}$, J. reine angew. Math., 2010, 644, 221–235.
- [4] D’Onofrio L., Schiattarella R., On the total variation for the inverse of a BV-homeomorphism, Adv. Calc. Var., 2013, 6, 321–338.
- [5] Evans L. C., Gariepy R. F., Measure theory and fine properties of functions, Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.
- [6] Gold’stein V., Gurov L. and Romanov A., Homeomorphisms that induce monomorphisms of Sobolev spaces, Israel Journal of Math., 1995, 91, 31–60.
- [7] Hajlasz P., Change of variables formula under minimal assumptions, Colloq. Math., 1993, 64, 93–101.
- [8] Hencl S., Sobolev homeomorphism with zero jacobian almost everywhere, J. Math. Pures Appl., 2011, 95, 444–458.
- [9] Hencl S., On the weak differentiability of $u \circ f^{-1}$, Math. Scand., 2010, 107, 198–208.
- [10] Hencl S., Koskela P., Malý J., Regularity of the inverse of a Sobolev homeomorphism in space, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect., 2006, A 134, no. 6, 1267–1285.
- [11] Kleprlík L., The zero set of the Jacobian and composition of mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2012, 386, 870–881.
- [12] Kleprlík L., Composition operator for functions of bounded variation, preprint available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/2001.01657>.
- [13] Koskela P. and Malý J., Mappings of finite distortion: The zero set of Jacobian, J. Eur. Math. Soc., 2000, 5, 95–105.
- [14] Miranda M. Jr., Yang D., Zhou Y., Functions of bounded variation on good metric spaces, J. Math. Pures Appl., 2003, 82, 975–1004.
- [15] S.K. Vodop’yanov and A.D. Ukhlov , Superposition operators in Sobolev spaces, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., 2002, no.10, 11–33.

FACULTY OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE,
THÁKUROVA 9, 160 00 PRAGUE 6 CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail address: `kleprlud@fit.cvut.cz`