

The generation of matter-antimatter asymmetries and hypermagnetic fields by the chiral vortical effect of transient fluctuations

S. Abbaslu^{*1}, S. Rostam Zadeh^{†2} and S. S. Gousheh^{‡1}

¹*Department of Physics, Shahid Beheshti University, G.C., Evin, Tehran 19839, Iran*

²*School of Particles and Accelerators, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O.Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran*

February 25, 2020

Abstract: We study the contribution of temperature-dependent chiral vortical effect to the generation and evolution of the hypermagnetic fields and the matter-antimatter asymmetries, in the symmetric phase of the early Universe, in the temperature range $100\text{GeV} \leq T \leq 10\text{TeV}$. Our most important result is that, due to the chiral vortical effect, small overlapping transient fluctuations in the vorticity field in the plasma and temperature of matter degrees of freedom can lead to the generation of strong hypermagnetic fields and matter-antimatter asymmetries, all starting from zero initial values. We show that, either an increase in the amplitudes of the fluctuations of vorticity or temperature, or a decrease in their widths, leads to the production of stronger hypermagnetic fields, and therefore, larger matter-antimatter asymmetries. We have the interesting result that fluctuating vorticity fields are more productive, by many orders of magnitude, as compared to vorticities that are constant in time.

1 Introduction

Anomalous transport effects play important roles in particle physics and cosmology, particularly in the early Universe [1]. One important effect of this kind is the so-called Chiral Vortical Effect (CVE), which refers to the generation of an electric current parallel to the vorticity field in the chiral plasma [2]. This effect was

*s_abbasluo@sbu.ac.ir

†sh_rostamzadeh@ipm.ir

‡ss-gousheh@sbu.ac.ir

discovered by Vilenkin who showed that the neutrino current density can result from the rotating black hole [2]. He obtained the neutrino current density in the direction of the rotation axis as

$$J(0) = -\Omega T^2/12 - \Omega^3/48\pi^2 - \Omega\mu^2/4\pi^2, \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is the angular velocity, μ is the chiral chemical potential of the neutrino, and T is its temperature. Thirty years after its discovery, the CVE appeared in the relativistic hydrodynamic equations as an interesting manifestation of anomalies in quantum field theory [3]. This effect has attracted much attention and has been investigated extensively in recent years, leading to a deeper understanding of the subject [4–10]. It is known that, in single species chiral plasma in the broken phase, the CVE shows up in the vector current as $\vec{J}_{\text{cv}} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}(\mu_R^2 - \mu_L^2)\vec{\Omega}$, and in the axial current as $\vec{J}_{\text{cv}}^5 = \left[\frac{T^2}{6} + \frac{1}{4\pi^2}(\mu_R^2 + \mu_L^2)\right]\vec{\Omega}$, where μ_R and μ_L are the right-handed and the left-handed chemical potentials of the species, respectively [2–10]. Interestingly, the term proportional to T^2 indicates that there can be an axial current, even if $\mu_R = \mu_L = 0$.

In this study, we present the correct form of the chiral vortical current in the symmetric phase. Then, we show the prominent effects of the temperature-dependent part of this current in the symmetric phase of the early Universe close to the electroweak phase transition (EWPT). In particular, we show that even very small, but overlapping, transient fluctuations in the vorticity field and temperature of matter degrees of freedom can have important consequences, including the generation of hypermagnetic field in the absence of initial matter asymmetries. The vorticity fluctuations that we consider are about the zero background value, while the temperature fluctuations are about the finite equilibrium temperature of the plasma. The most important role of the CVE in this context is to produce the magnetic fields, either through the chiralities, or through the temperature fluctuation, the latter of which is the main focus of this work. Henceforth, we shall refer to transient fluctuations, which we take to be in the form of short pulses, simply as fluctuations.

Another anomalous transport effect is the chiral magnetic effect (CME), which refers to the generation of an electric current parallel to the magnetic field in the imbalanced chiral plasma [11–14]. It is known that, in single species chiral plasma in the broken phase, the CME appears in the vector current as $\vec{J}_{\text{cm}} = \frac{Q}{4\pi^2}(\mu_R - \mu_L)\vec{B}$, and in the axial current as $\vec{J}_{\text{cm}}^5 = \frac{Q}{4\pi^2}(\mu_R + \mu_L)\vec{B}$, where Q is the electric charge [10, 11, 15, 16] of the species.¹ The chiral magnetic current originating from the electroweak Abelian anomaly, and the chiral vortical current are both non-dissipative currents which can strongly affect the generation and the

¹Later, we will present the correct form of the chiral magnetic current, in the symmetric phase.

evolution of the magnetic fields and the matter-antimatter asymmetries in the early Universe [17–20].

Observations clearly show that our Universe is magnetized on all scales [21, 22]. Various models have been proposed to explain the origin of these magnetic fields [20, 23–29], among which the one relying on the electroweak Abelian anomaly has attracted much attention and has been considerably investigated [17–19, 30]. There exists a relationship between the generation and the evolution of the hypermagnetic fields and the fermion number densities in this model, which is due to the chiral coupling of the hypercharge gauge fields to the fermions before the EWPT [26]. In case there is a preexisting asymmetry of the right-handed electrons, their number density is almost conserved far from the EWPT, i.e. $T > 10\text{TeV}$, due to their tiny Yukawa coupling. For smaller temperatures, this asymmetry can be converted to the hypermagnetic helicity according to the Abelian anomaly equation, $\partial_\mu j_{e_R}^\mu \sim \vec{E}_Y \cdot \vec{B}_Y$ [17–19, 26, 30, 31]. The anomaly equation shows that, in a reverse process, a strong helical hypermagnetic field can generate the matter-antimatter asymmetries in the Universe, as well [32–34].

Another challenge in particle physics and cosmology is the excess of matter over antimatter, with the measured baryon asymmetry of the Universe being of the order of $\eta_B \sim 10^{-10}$ [35–37]. The three Sakharov conditions² should be satisfied in any CPT invariant model used to explain this asymmetry from an initially symmetric Universe [38]. In previous studies based on the electroweak Abelian anomalous model, it has been assumed that there is either a significant amount of matter-antimatter asymmetries to produce the hypermagnetic field, or a strong hypermagnetic field to produce the matter-antimatter asymmetries. The most important result of this study is that the matter-antimatter asymmetries and the hypermagnetic field can all be generated simultaneously from zero initial values, by considering the temperature-dependent CVE before the EWPT. To obtain this interesting result, we take into account the effects of the temperature-dependent term of the chiral vortical current on the evolution of the hypermagnetic fields and the matter-antimatter asymmetries, by considering simultaneous small fluctuations, about the background values, in temperature of the right-handed electrons and the vorticity field, close to the EWPT. We also show that fluctuations in the vorticity field are much more productive than vorticity fields that are constant in time. To be more precise, sharp fluctuations yield results comparable to constant vorticities whose amplitudes are many orders of magnitude larger.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. 2, the anomalous magnetohydrodynamics equations and the evolution equations for the matter-antimatter asymmetries are derived in the expanding Universe. In Sec. 3, the set of coupled differential equations are solved numerically. In Sec. 4, the results are summarized and

² i- baryon number violation, ii- C and CP violation, iii- a departure from thermal equilibrium.

the conclusion is presented.

2 Evolution Equations

2.1 Anomalous Magnetohydrodynamics Equations

In this section, the anomalous magnetohydrodynamics (AMHD) equations are obtained in the symmetric phase of the expanding Universe. Taking the CVE and the CME into account, the Maxwell's equations for the hypercharge-neutral plasma in the expanding Universe are given as [20, 39–41]

$$\frac{1}{R}\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{E}_Y = 0, \quad \frac{1}{R}\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{B}_Y = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\frac{1}{R}\vec{\nabla}\times\vec{E}_Y + \left(\frac{\partial\vec{B}_Y}{\partial t} + 2H\vec{B}_Y\right) = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R}\vec{\nabla}\times\vec{B}_Y - \left(\frac{\partial\vec{E}_Y}{\partial t} + 2H\vec{E}_Y\right) &= \vec{J} \\ &= \vec{J}_{\text{Ohm}} + \vec{J}_{\text{cv}} + \vec{J}_{\text{cm}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\vec{J}_{\text{Ohm}} = \sigma\left(\vec{E}_Y + \vec{v}\times\vec{B}_Y\right), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\vec{J}_{\text{cv}} = c_v\vec{\omega}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\vec{J}_{\text{cm}} = c_B\vec{B}_Y, \quad (2.6)$$

where R is the scale factor, $H = \dot{R}/R$ is the Hubble parameter, σ is the electrical hyperconductivity of the plasma, and \vec{v} and $\vec{\omega} = \frac{1}{R}\vec{\nabla}\times\vec{v}$ are the bulk velocity and vorticity of the plasma, respectively. Furthermore, the chiral vorticity and helicity coefficients c_v and c_B are as follows³

$$\begin{aligned} c_v(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_G} \left[\frac{g'}{48} \left(-Y_R T_{R_i}^2 + Y_L T_{L_i}^2 N_w \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - Y_{d_R} T_{d_{R_i}}^2 N_c - Y_{u_R} T_{u_{R_i}}^2 N_c + Y_Q T_{Q_i}^2 N_c N_w \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{g'}{16\pi^2} \left(-Y_R \mu_{R_i}^2 + Y_L \mu_{L_i}^2 N_w \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - Y_{d_R} \mu_{d_{R_i}}^2 N_c - Y_{u_R} \mu_{u_{R_i}}^2 N_c + Y_Q \mu_{Q_i}^2 N_c N_w \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

³The temperature-independent parts of these coefficients were presented in [20].

$$\begin{aligned}
c_B(t) = & -\frac{g'^2}{8\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_G} \left[-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) Y_R^2 \mu_{R_i} \right. \\
& - \left(\frac{-1}{2}\right) Y_L^2 \mu_{L_i} N_w - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) Y_{d_R}^2 \mu_{d_{R_i}} N_c \\
& \left. - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) Y_{u_R}^2 \mu_{u_{R_i}} N_c - \left(\frac{-1}{2}\right) Y_Q^2 \mu_{Q_i} N_c N_w \right], \tag{2.8}
\end{aligned}$$

where n_G is the number of generations, and $N_c = 3$ and $N_w = 2$ are the ranks of the non-Abelian SU(3) and SU(2) gauge groups, respectively. Moreover, μ_{L_i} (μ_{R_i}), μ_{Q_i} , and $\mu_{u_{R_i}}$ ($\mu_{d_{R_i}}$) are the common chemical potentials of left-handed (right-handed) leptons, left-handed quarks with different colors, and up (down) right-handed quarks with different colors, respectively. Furthermore, ‘ i ’ is the generation index, and the relevant hypercharges are

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_L = -1, \quad Y_R = -2, \\
Y_Q = \frac{1}{3}, \quad Y_{u_R} = \frac{4}{3}, \quad Y_{d_R} = -\frac{2}{3}. \tag{2.9}
\end{aligned}$$

After substituting the hypercharges in Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
c_v(t) = & \sum_{i=1}^{n_G} \left[\frac{g'}{24} \left(T_{R_i}^2 - T_{L_i}^2 + T_{d_{R_i}}^2 - 2T_{u_{R_i}}^2 + T_{Q_i}^2 \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{g'}{8\pi^2} \left(\mu_{R_i}^2 - \mu_{L_i}^2 + \mu_{d_{R_i}}^2 - 2\mu_{u_{R_i}}^2 + \mu_{Q_i}^2 \right) \right], \tag{2.10}
\end{aligned}$$

$$c_B(t) = \frac{-g'^2}{8\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_G} \left[-2\mu_{R_i} + \mu_{L_i} - \frac{2}{3}\mu_{d_{R_i}} - \frac{8}{3}\mu_{u_{R_i}} + \frac{1}{3}\mu_{Q_i} \right]. \tag{2.11}$$

Let us make the same assumptions as in our previous studies, and simplify c_v and c_B accordingly [18, 20]. We assume that all quark Yukawa processes are in equilibrium and, because of the flavor mixing in the quark sector, all up or down quarks belonging to different generations with distinct handedness have the same chemical potential [18, 42]. For simplicity, we also assume that the Higgs asymmetry is zero and obtain [18, 43]

$$\mu_{u_R} = \mu_{d_R} = \mu_Q. \tag{2.12}$$

Furthermore, we assume that only the contributions of the baryonic and the first-generation leptonic chemical potentials to c_v and c_B are significant. As for the temperature fluctuations, it suffices to consider fluctuations in only one of the matter components, which we take to be e_R . Using Eq. (2.12) and the aforementioned assumptions, we simplify Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11) to obtain

$$c_v(t) = \frac{g'}{24} (\Delta T^2) + \frac{g'}{8\pi^2} (\mu_{e_R}^2 - \mu_{e_L}^2), \tag{2.13}$$

$$c_B(t) = -\frac{g'^2}{8\pi^2} \left(-2\mu_{e_R} + \mu_{e_L} - \frac{3}{4}\mu_B \right), \quad (2.14)$$

where $\mu_B = 12\mu_Q$, and $\Delta T^2 = T_{e_R}^2 - T^2$ is the temperature fluctuation, and T is the equilibrium temperature of the thermal bath, which includes all other components of the plasma. We set $\Delta T^2 = T^2\beta[x(T)]$, where $\beta[x(T)]$ is an arbitrary profile function to be specified later, and $x(T) = t(T)/t_{EW} = (T_{EW}/T)^2$ is given by the Friedmann law.

The conservation equation for the energy density and the continuity equation are as follows [20]

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{R} (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) + H \right] \vec{v} + \frac{\vec{v}}{\rho + p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{R} \frac{\vec{\nabla} p}{\rho + p} + \frac{\vec{J} \times \vec{B}_Y}{\rho + p} + \frac{\nu}{R^2} \left[\nabla^2 \vec{v} + \frac{1}{3} \vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}) \right], \quad (2.15)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{R} \vec{\nabla} \cdot [(\rho + p) \vec{v}] + 3H(\rho + p) = 0, \quad (2.16)$$

where ν is the kinematic viscosity, and ρ and p are the energy density and the pressure of the plasma, respectively. Combining the fluid incompressibility condition in the lab frame, $\partial_t \rho + 3H(\rho + p) = 0$ or equivalently $H\vec{v} + \vec{v} \partial_t p / (\rho + p) = 0$, with Eq. (2.16) leads to the condition $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$ [20, 44].

In the following, we choose a simple monochromatic Chern-Simons configuration for the hypermagnetic field $\vec{B}_Y = (1/R) \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}_Y$, and the velocity field $\vec{v} = (1/R) \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{S}$ [18, 20]. To do this, we choose $\vec{A}_Y = \gamma(t) (\cos kz, \sin kz, 0)$, and $\vec{S} = r(t) (\cos kz, \sin kz, 0)$, for their corresponding vector potentials [45–47]. Note that we have chosen a fully helical form with the negative helicity for both, the reason for which will be stated later. Let us now obtain the evolution equation for the velocity field. Neglecting the displacement current in the lab frame in Eq. (2.3), the total current becomes $\vec{J} = (1/R) \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}_Y$, and as a result, $\vec{J} \times \vec{B}_Y$ vanishes in Eq. (2.15). Then, using $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$ and $H\vec{v} + \vec{v} \partial_t p / (\rho + p) = 0$ as stated earlier, and neglecting the gradient terms in Eq. (2.15), the evolution equation for the velocity field becomes⁴

$$\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} = -\nu k'^2 \vec{v}, \quad (2.17)$$

⁴The term $(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{v}$ is neglected because of being next to leading order. Furthermore, the magnetic pressure $B^2/8\pi$ is negligible compared to the fluid (radiation) pressure p which is homogeneous and isotropic. Indeed, the maximum value of their ratio at the onset of the EWPT is $B^2/8\pi p \approx 10^{-7} \ll 1$. Therefore, to a good approximation, the homogeneity and isotropy conditions remain valid and the pressure variations in the fluid $\vec{\nabla} p$ can be neglected [48].

where the kinematic viscosity $\nu \simeq 1/(5\alpha_Y^2 T)$ [49, 50]. Neglecting the displacement current in the lab frame and using the aforementioned configurations, the hyperelectric field and the evolution equation for the hypermagnetic field are obtained, as follows:

$$\vec{E}_Y = -\frac{k'}{\sigma}\vec{B}_Y + \frac{c_v}{\sigma}k'\vec{v} - \frac{c_B}{\sigma}\vec{B}_Y, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\frac{dB_Y(t)}{dt} = \left[-\frac{1}{t} - \frac{k'^2}{\sigma} - \frac{c_B k'}{\sigma} \right] B_Y(t) + \frac{c_v}{\sigma} k'^2 \langle \vec{v}(t) \cdot \hat{B}_Y(t) \rangle, \quad (2.19)$$

where $\vec{\omega} = -k'\vec{v}$, $\sigma = 100T$, and $k' = k/R = kT$. The latter shows the increase of the hypermagnetic length scale, due to the expansion of the Universe. Note that with the choice of vector potentials for $\vec{v}(t)$ and $\vec{B}_Y(t)$, the advection term $\vec{v} \times \vec{B}_Y$ has been set to zero in the above, and we have the following simplification: $\langle \vec{v}(t) \cdot \hat{B}_Y(t) \rangle \rightarrow v(t)$. In the next subsection we obtain the evolution equations for the matter-antimatter asymmetries.

2.2 Evolution equations for the matter-antimatter asymmetries

Before the EWPT, the gauge fields of $U_Y(1)$ couple to the fermions chirally, in contrast to those of $U_{em}(1)$ in the broken phase, leading to the non-conservation of the matter currents. This shows up in the Abelian anomaly equations [51], which, for the first-generation leptons, are

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu j_{e_R}^\mu &= -\frac{1}{4}(Y_R^2) \frac{g'^2}{16\pi^2} Y_{\mu\nu} \tilde{Y}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{g'^2}{4\pi^2} \vec{E}_Y \cdot \vec{B}_Y, \\ \nabla_\mu j_{e_L}^\mu &= \frac{1}{4}(Y_L^2) \frac{g'^2}{16\pi^2} Y_{\mu\nu} \tilde{Y}^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{g'^2}{16\pi^2} \vec{E}_Y \cdot \vec{B}_Y, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where ∇_μ is the covariant derivative with respect to Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric $ds^2 = dt^2 - R^2(t)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j$, t is the physical time, and x^i s are the comoving coordinates. Integrating the above equations over all space and considering the perturbative chirality flip reactions for the leptons, we obtain [17, 18, 20, 52],

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\eta_{e_R}}{dt} &= \frac{g'^2}{4\pi^2 s} \langle \vec{E}_Y \cdot \vec{B}_Y \rangle \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\Gamma_0}{t_{EW}} \right) \left(\frac{1-x}{\sqrt{x}} \right) (\eta_{e_L} - \eta_{e_R}), \\ \frac{d\eta_{\nu_e^L}}{dt} = \frac{d\eta_{e_L}}{dt} &= -\frac{g'^2}{16\pi^2 s} \langle \vec{E}_Y \cdot \vec{B}_Y \rangle \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\Gamma_0}{2t_{EW}} \right) \left(\frac{1-x}{\sqrt{x}} \right) (\eta_{e_R} - \eta_{e_L}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

In the above equations, $\eta_f = (n_f - n_{\bar{f}})/s$ with $f = e_R, e_L, \nu_e^L$ is the fermion asymmetry and n_f ($n_{\bar{f}}$) is the number density of the f th species of the fermion (anti-fermion), $s = 2\pi^2 g^* T^3/45$ is the entropy density and $g^* = 106.75$ is the effective number of relativistic degrees of freedom, $x = (t/t_{\text{EW}}) = (T_{\text{EW}}/T)^2$ is given by the Friedmann law, $\Gamma_0 = 121$, $t_{\text{EW}} = (M_0/2T_{\text{EW}}^2)$, and $M_0 = (M_{\text{Pl}}/1.66\sqrt{g^*})$, where M_{Pl} is the Plank mass. Furthermore, the term $\frac{\Gamma_0}{t_{\text{EW}}} \left(\frac{1-x}{\sqrt{x}}\right)$ appearing in the equations is the chirality flip rate of the right-handed electrons. Using the conservation law $\eta_B/3 - \eta_{L_1} = \text{const.}$, the evolution equation for the baryon asymmetry is also obtained as

$$\frac{d\eta_B}{dt} = \frac{3g'^2}{8\pi^2 s} \langle \vec{E}_Y \cdot \vec{B}_Y \rangle. \quad (2.22)$$

Using $\mu_f = (6s/T^2)\eta_f$ with Eq. (2.18) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{E}_Y \cdot \vec{B}_Y \rangle = & \\ \frac{B_Y^2(t)}{100} & \left[-\frac{k'}{T} - \frac{6sg'^2}{4\pi^2 T^3} \left(\eta_{e_R} - \frac{\eta_{e_L}}{2} + \frac{3}{8}\eta_B \right) \right] \\ + & \left[\frac{g'}{24}\beta[x(T)] + \frac{36s^2 g'}{8\pi^2 T^6} (\eta_{e_R}^2 - \eta_{e_L}^2) \right] \frac{k'T}{100} \langle \vec{v}(t) \cdot \vec{B}_Y(t) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

With the helical configurations chosen, $\langle \vec{v}(t) \cdot \vec{B}_Y(t) \rangle \rightarrow v(t)B_Y(t)$. Using $1\text{Gauss} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-20} \text{GeV}^2$, and setting the kinematic viscosity ν to zero for simplicity, we obtain the complete set of evolution equations for the matter-antimatter asymmetries and the amplitudes of the hypermagnetic and velocity fields as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\eta_{e_R}}{dx} = & [-C_1 - C_2\eta_T(x)] \left(\frac{B_Y(x)}{10^{20}G} \right)^2 x^{3/2} \\ & + [C_3\beta(x) + C_4\Delta\eta^2(x)] v(x) \left(\frac{B_Y(x)}{10^{20}G} \right) \sqrt{x} \\ & - \Gamma_0 \frac{1-x}{\sqrt{x}} [\eta_{e_R}(x) - \eta_{e_L}(x)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\eta_{e_L}}{dx} = & -\frac{1}{4} [-C_1 - C_2\eta_T(x)] \left(\frac{B_Y(x)}{10^{20}G} \right)^2 x^{3/2} \\ & - \frac{1}{4} [C_3\beta(x) + C_4\Delta\eta^2(x)] v(x) \left(\frac{B_Y(x)}{10^{20}G} \right) \sqrt{x} \\ & + \Gamma_0 \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}} [\eta_{e_R}(x) - \eta_{e_L}(x)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\eta_B}{dx} = & \frac{3}{2} [-C_1 - C_2\eta_T(x)] \left(\frac{B_Y(x)}{10^{20}G} \right)^2 x^{3/2} \\ & + \frac{3\sqrt{x}}{2} [C_3\beta(x) + C_4\Delta\eta^2(x)] v(x) \left(\frac{B_Y(x)}{10^{20}G} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dB_Y}{dx} = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} [-C_5 - C_6\eta_T(x)] B_Y(x) \\ & - \frac{1}{x} B_Y(x) + [C_7\beta(x) + C_8\Delta\eta^2(x)] \frac{v(x)}{x^{3/2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where the coefficients $C_i, i = 1, \dots, 8$ are

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= 0.00096 \left(\frac{k}{10^{-7}} \right) \alpha_Y, \\ C_2 &= 865688 \alpha_Y^2, \\ C_3 &= 0.71488 \left(\frac{k}{10^{-7}} \right) \alpha_Y^{3/2}, \\ C_4 &= 17152.7 \left(\frac{k}{10^{-7}} \right) \alpha_Y^{3/2}, \\ C_5 &= 0.356 \left(\frac{k}{10^{-7}} \right)^2, \\ C_6 &= 3.18373 \times 10^8 \alpha_Y \left(\frac{k}{10^{-7}} \right), \\ C_7 &= 262.9 \times 10^{20} \sqrt{\alpha_Y} \left(\frac{k}{10^{-7}} \right)^2, \\ C_8 &= 63 \times 10^{25} \sqrt{\alpha_Y} \left(\frac{k}{10^{-7}} \right)^2, \\ \eta_T &= \eta_{eR} - \frac{\eta_{eL}}{2} + \frac{3}{8}\eta_B, \quad \Delta\eta^2 = \eta_{eR}^2 - \eta_{eL}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

and $\alpha_Y = g^2/4\pi \simeq 0.01$ is the fine-structure constant for the $U_Y(1)$. We now choose the profile of temperature fluctuation $\beta[x(T)] = \Delta T^2/T^2$, as defined in Eq. (2.13) and the paragraph below it, to be Gaussian:

$$\beta(x) = \frac{\beta_0}{b\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left[-\frac{(x - x_0)^2}{2b^2} \right], \quad (2.29)$$

where β_0 is the amplitude multiplying the normalized Gaussian distribution, and $x = (t/t_{EW}) = (T_{EW}/T)^2$, as defined before. The profile of the vorticity fluctuation must have an overlap with that of temperature fluctuation, in order to produce

any effect. For simplicity, we choose the two profiles to be identical. That is,

$$\omega(x) = k'v(x) = \frac{k'v_0}{b\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{2b^2}\right], \quad (2.30)$$

where v_0 is the amplitude of the velocity fluctuation.

3 Numerical Solution

In this section, we obtain the numerical solutions of the evolution equations. As mentioned earlier, we investigate the effects of the temperature fluctuations of right-handed electrons, in the presence of vorticity, on the generation and evolution of the hypermagnetic field and the matter-antimatter asymmetries, in the temperature range $100\text{GeV} \leq T \leq 10\text{TeV}$. We consider the temperature fluctuations as small Gaussian distributions, as shown in Eq. (2.29), that occur close to the EWPT. As for the vorticity field, we consider small fluctuations, whose profiles, as shown in Eq. (2.30), coincide with those of the temperature fluctuations. We also investigate the cases with constant vorticity fields for comparison. As we shall show, the former is much more interesting and will be the focus of our work, since it is not only physically more realistic, but also could yield orders of magnitude larger results for the asymmetries and the hypermagnetic field. In the following, we solve the evolution equations by considering the comoving wave number as $k = 10^{-7}$, and setting the initial values of the hypermagnetic field amplitude and the matter-antimatter asymmetries to zero, i.e. $B_Y^{(0)} = 0$, and $\eta_{e_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{e_L}^{(0)} = \eta_B^{(0)} = 0$.

For our first case, we solve the coupled differential equations with the initial conditions, $v_0 = 10^{-5}$, $b = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, and $x_0 = 0.00045$, for various values of β_0 , and present the results in Fig. 1. As can be seen in the figure, the simultaneous occurrence of small vorticity fluctuation and temperature fluctuation for the right handed electrons leads to the generation of strong hypermagnetic fields which then produce the matter-antimatter asymmetries, all starting from zero initial values. It can be seen that by increasing the amplitude of the temperature fluctuation, the maximum and the final values of the hypermagnetic field amplitude, as well as the matter-antimatter asymmetries, increase. We have found that in our model signs of the matter-antimatter asymmetries produced and the helicity of hypermagnetic and vorticity fields, are always opposite. The Chern-Simons configuration that we have chosen for the hypermagnetic and vorticity fields has negative helicity. Figure 1 also shows that the hypermagnetic field amplitude grows to its maximum value of about 10^{21}G , then decreases due to the expansion of the Universe. We have also investigated the effects of changing the amplitude

of the vorticity fluctuation, and have found similar results. We have checked that $\eta_{L_1}(x) - \eta_B(x)/3 = 0$, to within the accuracy of our computation which is 10^{-24} .




Figure 1: Time plots of: (a) the right-handed electron asymmetry η_{e_R} , (b) the left-handed electron asymmetry η_{e_L} , (c) the baryon asymmetry η_B , and (d) the hypermagnetic field amplitude B_Y , for various values of the amplitude of temperature fluctuation of e_R . The initial conditions are: $k = 10^{-7}$, $B_Y^{(0)} = 0$, $\eta_{e_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{e_L}^{(0)} = \eta_B^{(0)} = 0$, $v_0 = 10^{-5}$, $b = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, and $x_0 = 0.00045$. The dashed line is for $\beta_0 = 0.0003$, the solid line is for $\beta_0 = 0.0005$, and the dotted line is for $\beta_0 = 0.0007$.

For our second case we solve the set of evolution equations with the initial conditions, $v_0 = 10^{-5}$, $\beta_0 = 0.0005$, and $x_0 = 0.00045$, for various values of b , and show the results in Fig. 2. As can be seen, by decreasing the width of the Gaussian function, the maximum and the final values of the hypermagnetic field amplitude, and the baryon asymmetry increase.

For our third case, we solve the coupled equations with the initial conditions, $v_0 = 10^{-5}$, $b = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, and $\beta_0 = 0.0005$, for various values of x_0 , and present the results in Fig. 3. As can be seen, when the fluctuations occur at a higher temperature, the maxima and the final amplitudes of the hypermagnetic fields increase, and as a result, the matter-antimatter asymmetries increase also.

For our fourth and final case, we solve the set of evolution equations, with the initial conditions $\beta_0 = 0.0005$, $b = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ and $x_0 = 0.00045$, for two different vorticity configurations. First configuration is a vorticity fluctuation with




Figure 2: Time plots of: (a) the baryon asymmetry η_B , and (b) the hypermagnetic field amplitude B_Y , for various values of the width of fluctuations. The initial conditions are: $k = 10^{-7}$, $B_Y^{(0)} = 0$, $\eta_{e_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{e_L}^{(0)} = \eta_B^{(0)} = 0$, $v_0 = 10^{-5}$, $\beta_0 = 0.0005$, and $x_0 = 0.00045$. The dotted line is obtained for $b = 3 \times 10^{-4}$, the solid line for $b = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, the dashed line for $b = 10^{-4}$.




Figure 3: Time plots of: (a) the baryon asymmetry η_B , and (b) the hypermagnetic field amplitude B_Y , for various values of the time of fluctuations. The initial conditions are: $k = 10^{-7}$, $B_Y^{(0)} = 0$, $\eta_{e_R}^{(0)} = \eta_{e_L}^{(0)} = \eta_B^{(0)} = 0$, $v_0 = 10^{-5}$, $\beta_0 = 0.0005$, $b = 2 \times 10^{-4}$. The dotted line is obtained for $x_0 = 0.00055$, the solid line for $x_0 = 0.00045$, and the dashed line for $x_0 = 0.00035$.

amplitude $v_0 = 10^{-5}$ and $x_0 = 0.00045$. Second configuration is a constant vorticity with amplitude $v_0 = 10^{-2}$. The results are presented in Fig. 4. As can be seen from the figure, the general trends of the evolution curves are similar. The prominent feature of this comparison is the surprising result that a fluctuation with amplitude smaller by three orders of magnitude produces results comparable with the constant vorticity configuration.




Figure 4: Time plots of: (a) the baryon asymmetry η_B , and (b) the hypermagnetic field amplitude B_Y , for two different vorticity configurations. The initial conditions are: $k = 10^{-7}$, $B_Y^{(0)} = 0$, $\eta_{eR}^{(0)} = \eta_{eL}^{(0)} = \eta_B^{(0)} = 0$, $\beta_0 = 0.0005$, $b = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, and $x_0 = 0.00045$. The solid line is for vorticity fluctuation with $v_0 = 10^{-5}$, and the dashed line is for constant vorticity with $v_0 = 10^{-2}$.

4 Conclusion

In this study, we have investigated the contribution of the temperature-dependent CVE to the generation and evolution of the hypermagnetic fields and the matter-antimatter asymmetries, in the symmetric phase of the early Universe and in the temperature range $100\text{GeV} \leq T \leq 10\text{TeV}$. The CVE has two possible sources in the vortical plasma, one from the chiralities and the other from the temperature of the particles. The former has been investigated in the literature much more than the latter. Here, we have focused on the latter in the form of transient temperature fluctuations, and have shown its important role in the production and evolution of the hypermagnetic fields and the matter-antimatter asymmetries. The transient fluctuations that we have considered are in the form of sharp Gaussian shaped pulses. In particular, we have shown that small simultaneous and transient fluctuations of vorticity about zero background value and temperature of some matter degrees of freedom about the equilibrium temperature of the plasma, close to the EWPT, can generate strong hypermagnetic fields and large matter-antimatter asymmetries, even in the absence of any initial seed for the hypermagnetic field or any initial matter-antimatter asymmetries. Furthermore, we have shown that, an increase in the amplitude of temperature or vorticity fluctuations leads to the production of stronger hypermagnetic fields, and therefore, larger matter-antimatter asymmetries. This outcome has not been observed in any of the previous studies. In some studies which only take the CME into account, either an initially strong hypermagnetic field produces matter-antimatter asymmetries, or initial large matter-antimatter asymmetries strengthen a preexisting seed of hypermagnetic field [17–19]. In some other studies which also include the CVE and

assume large initial chiralities in the vortical plasma, a seed of the hypermagnetic field is produced which then grows due to the CME [20].

In this work, we have considered a simple monochromatic helical configuration for the vorticity and hypermagnetic fields with a negative helicity, which ensures the production of the desired positive matter-antimatter asymmetries. Furthermore, we have shown that, either an increase in the amplitude of the temperature or vorticity fluctuations, or a decrease in their widths leads to the production of stronger hypermagnetic fields, and therefore, larger matter-antimatter asymmetries. We have also shown that fluctuations in vorticity are several orders of magnitude more productive than constant vorticity. Within our model, the temperature-dependent CVE is the dominant effect as compared to the CME.

References

- [1] D. E. Kharzeev, Topology, magnetic field, and strongly interacting matter, [[arXiv:1501.01336 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [2] A. Vilenkin, Macroscopic Parity Violating Effects: Neutrino Fluxes From Rotating Black Holes And In Rotating Thermal Radiation, *Phys. Rev. D* **20**, 1807 (1979), [DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.20.1807];
- [3] D.T. Son, P. Surowka, Hydrodynamics with Triangle Anomalies, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **103** (2009) 191601, [[arXiv:0906.5044 \[hep-th\]](#)].
- [4] A. V. Sadofyev, V. I .Shevchenko, V. I. Zakharov, Notes on chiral hydrodynamics within effective theory approach, *Phys.Rev.D* **83**, 105025, (2011), [[arXiv:1012.1958 \[hep-th\]](#)].
- [5] Shi Pu, Jian-hua Gao and Qun Wang, A consistent description of kinetic equation with triangle anomaly, *Phys.Rev. D* **83**, 094017 (2011), [[arXiv:1008.2418 \[nucl-th\]](#)].
- [6] V.P. Kirilin, A.V. Sadofyev, V.I. Zakharov. Chiral Vortical Effect in Superfluid , *Phys.Rev. D***86**, 025021, (2012),[[arXiv:1203.6312 \[hep-th\]](#)].
- [7] A. Avkhadiev V.P.Kirilin, A. V. Sadofyev and V. I. Zakharov, On consistency of hydrodynamic approximation for chiral media, *Phys. Lett. B* **755** (2016),[[arXiv:1402.3587 \[hep-th\]](#)].
- [8] A. Avkhadiev and A. V. Sadofyev, Chiral Vortical Effect for Bosons, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, no.4, 045015 (2017),[[arXiv:1702.07340 \[hep-th\]](#)].
- [9] V. P. Kirilin, A. V. Sadofyev, Anomalous Transport and Generalized Axial Charge, *Phys.Rev. D***96** (2017) no.1, 016019, [[arXiv:1703.02483 \[hep-th\]](#)].
- [10] Omer F. Dayi, Eda Kilinarslan, Quantum Kinetic Equation in the Rotating Frame and Chiral Kinetic Theory, *Phys.Rev. D* **98** (2018), [[arXiv:1807.05912 \[hep-th\]](#)].

- [11] A. Vilenkin, Equilibrium Parity Violating Current In A Magnetic Field, *Phys. Rev. D* **22**, 3080 (1980), [DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.22.3080].
- [12] D. Kharzeev, Parity violation in hot QCD: Why it can happen, and how to look for it , *Phys. Lett. B* **633**, 260 (2006), [[hep-ph/0406125](#)].
- [13] D. Kharzeev and A. Zhitnitsky, Charge separation induced by P-odd bubbles in QCD matter, *Nucl. Phys. A* **797**, 67 (2007), [[arXiv:0706.1026](#) [[hep-ph](#)]].
- [14] D. E. Kharzeev, L. D. McLerran and H. J. Warringa, The Effects of topological charge change in heavy ion collisions: 'Event by event P and CP violation', *Nucl. Phys. A* **803**, 227 (2008), [[arXiv:0711.0950](#) [[hep-ph](#)]].
- [15] Jian-Hua Gao, Zuo-Tang Liang, Shi Pu, Qun Wang, Xin-Nian Wang, Chiral Anomaly and Local Polarization Effect from Quantum Kinetic Approach, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **109**, (2012) ,32301, [[arXiv:1203.0725](#) [[hep-ph](#)]].
- [16] D.E. Kharzeev, J. Liao, S.A. Voloshin, G. Wang, Chiral magnetic and vortical effects in high-energy nuclear collisions-A status report, *Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys.* **88**, (2016), 1-28, [[arXiv:1511.04050](#) [[hep-ph](#)]].
- [17] S. Rostam Zadeh and S. S. Gousheh, Contributions to the $U_Y(1)$ Chern-Simons term and the evolution of fermionic asymmetries and hypermagnetic fields, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 056013 (2016), [[arXiv:1512.01942](#) [[hep-ph](#)]].
- [18] S. Rostam Zadeh and S. S. Gousheh, Effects of the $U_Y(1)$ Chern-Simons term and its baryonic contribution on matter asymmetries and hypermagnetic fields, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 056001 (2017), [[arXiv:1607.00650](#) [[hep-ph](#)]].
- [19] S. Rostam Zadeh and S. S. Gousheh, A Minimal System Including Weak Sphalerons for Investigating the Evolution of Matter Asymmetries and Hypermagnetic Fields, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 096009, (2019), [[arXiv:1812.10092](#) [[hep-ph](#)]].
- [20] S. Abbaslu, S. Rostam Zadeh and S. S. Gousheh, Contribution of the chiral vortical effect to the evolution of the hypermagnetic field and the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the early Universe, [[arXiv:1908.10105](#) [[hep-ph](#)]].
- [21] L. M. Widrow, Origin of galactic and extragalactic magnetic fields, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 775 (2002), [[arXiv:astro-ph/0207240](#)].
- [22] P. P. Kronberg, Extragalactic magnetic fields, *Rep. Prog. Phys.***57**, 325 (1994), [DOI: 10.1088/0034-4885/57/4/001] .
- [23] R. M. Kulsrud, R. Cen, J. P. Ostriker, and D. Ryu, The Protogalactic Origin for Cosmic Magnetic Fields, *Astrophys.J.* **480**, 481 (91997), [[arXiv:astro-ph/9607141](#)].
- [24] T. Vachaspati, Magnetic fields from cosmological phase transitions, *Phys.Lett. B* **265**, 258261 (1991), [DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(91)90051-Q].

- [25] K. Enqvist and P. Olesen, On primordial magnetic fields of electroweak origin, *Phys. Lett. B* **319**, 178 (1993), [[arXiv:hep-ph/9308270](#)].
- [26] M. Joyce and M. Shaposhnikov, Primordial magnetic fields, right-handed electrons, and the Abelian anomaly, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 1193 (1997), [[arXiv:astro-ph/9703005](#)].
- [27] J. M. Cornwall, Speculations on primordial magnetic helicity, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 6146 (1997), [[hep-th/9704022](#)].
- [28] S. M. Carroll, G. B. Field, and R. Jackiw, Limits on a Lorentz and Parity Violating Modification of Electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **41**, 1231 (1990), [DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.41.1231].
- [29] M. M. Anber, E. Sabancilar, Hypermagnetic Fields and Baryon Asymmetry from Pseudoscalar Inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) no.10, 101501, [[arXiv:1507.00744](#)].
- [30] V. B. Semikoz, A. Yu. Smirnov, and D. D. Sokoloff, Generation of hypermagnetic helicity and leptogenesis in the early Universe, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 103003 (2016), [[arXiv:1604.02273](#) [[hep-ph](#)]].
- [31] A. J. Long, E. Sabancilar, and T. Vachaspati, Leptogenesis and primordial magnetic fields, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **02** (2014) 036, [[arXiv:1309.2315](#) [[astro-ph.CO](#)]].
- [32] M. Giovannini and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Primordial hypermagnetic fields and triangle anomaly, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 2186 (1998), [[arXiv:hep-ph/9710234](#)].
- [33] M. Giovannini, Primordial Hypermagnetic Knots, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 063004 (2000), [[arXiv:hep-ph/9905358](#)].
- [34] K. Kamada and A. J. Long, Baryogenesis from decaying magnetic helicity, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 123509 (2016), [[arXiv:1606.08891](#) [[astro-ph.CO](#)]].
- [35] K. Bamba, C. Q. Geng, S. H. Ho, Hypermagnetic baryogenesis, *Physics Letters B* **664** (2008), [[arXiv:0712.1523](#) [[hep-ph](#)]].
- [36] B. Fields and S. Sarkar, Big-Bang nucleosynthesis (2006 Particle Data Group mini-review), *J. Phys. G* **33** (2006) 1 [[arXiv:astro-ph/0601514](#)]; G. Steigman, Primordial Nucleosynthesis: The Predicted and Observed Abundances and Their Consequences, *PoS NICXI* (2010) 001, [[arXiv:1008.4765](#) [[astro-ph.CO](#)]].
- [37] V. Simha and G. Steigman, Constraining The Early-Universe Baryon Density And Expansion Rate, *JCAP* **0806** (2008) 016, [[arXiv:0803.3465](#) [[astro-ph](#)]].
- [38] A. D. Sakharov, Violation of CP Invariance, C Asymmetry, and Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe, *Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **5** (1967) 32 [*JETP Lett.* **5** (1967) 24] [*Sov. Phys. Usp.* **34** (1991) 392] [*Usp. Fiz. Nauk* **161** (1991) 61], [DOI: 10.1070/PU1991v034n05ABEH002497].

- [39] C. P. Dettmann, N. E. Frankel, and V. Kowalenko, Plasma electrodynamics in the expanding Universe, *Phys Rev. D* **48**, 12 (1993), [DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.48.5655].
- [40] K. Subramanian, J. D. Barrow, Magnetohydrodynamics in the Early Universe and the Damping of Non-linear Alfvén Waves, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 083502 (1998), [[arXiv:astro-ph/9712083](#)].
- [41] R. Durrer and A. Neronov, Cosmological magnetic fields: Their generation, evolution and observation, *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.* **21**, 62 (2013), [[arXiv:1303.7121 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#)].
- [42] J. M. Cline, K. Kainulainen, and K. A. Olive, Erasure and Regeneration of the Primordial Baryon Asymmetry by Sphalerons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 2372 (1993), [[arXiv:hep-ph/9304321](#)]; J.M. Cline, K. Kainulainen, and K. A. Olive, Protecting the primordial baryon asymmetry from erasure by sphalerons, *Phys. Rev. D* **49** (1994)
- [43] M. Dvornikov and V. B. Semikoz, Leptogenesis via hypermagnetic fields and baryon asymmetry, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **1202** (2012) 040; Erratum: *JCAP* **1208** (2012) E01, [[arXiv:1111.6876 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [44] P. Pavlovic, N. Leite, G. Sigl, Chiral Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence, *Phys Rev. D* **96**, 023504 (2017), [[arXiv:1612.07382 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#)].
- [45] V. Rubakov and A. Tavkhelidze, Stable anomalous states of superdense matter in gauge theories, *Phys. Lett. B* **165**, 109 (1985), [DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(85)90701-4].
- [46] V. Rubakov, On the electroweak theory at high fermion density, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **75**, 366 (1986), [DOI: 10.1143/PTP.75.366].
- [47] M. Giovannini, Spectrum of anomalous magnetohydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 103518 (2016), [[arXiv:1509.02126 \[hep-th\]](#)].
- [48] P. Pavlovic, N. Leite, G. Sigl, Chiral Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence, *Phys Rev. D* **96**, 023504 (2017), [[arXiv:1612.07382 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#)].
- [49] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1972).
- [50] R. Banerjee, K. Jedamzik, The Evolution of cosmic magnetic fields: From the very early universe, to recombination, to the present, *Phys Rev. D* **70**, 123003 (2004), [[arXiv:astro-ph/0410032](#)].
- [51] M. E. Shaposhnikov, Structure of the High Temperature Gauge Ground State and Electroweak Production of the Baryon Asymmetry, *Nucl. Phys. B* **299**, 797 (1988);
- [52] M. Dvornikov and V. B. Semikoz, Lepton asymmetry growth in the symmetric phase of an electroweak plasma with hypermagnetic fields versus its washing out by sphalerons, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 025023 (2013), [[arXiv:1212.1416 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#)].