

Bound fermion states in pinned vortices in the surface states of a superconducting topological insulator: The Majorana bound state

Haoyun Deng, N. Bonesteel and P. Schlottmann

*Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306, USA and
National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32310, USA*

(Dated: January 14, 2020)

By analytically solving the Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations we study the fermion bound states at the center of the core of a vortex in a two-dimensional superconductor. We consider three kinds of 2D superconducting models: (a) a standard type II superconductor in the mixed state with low density of vortex lines, (b) a superconductor with strong spin-orbit coupling locking the spin parallel to the momentum and (c) a superconductor with strong spin-orbit coupling locking the spin perpendicular to the momentum. The 2D superconducting states are induced via proximity effect between an s -wave superconductor and the surface states of a strong topological insulator. In case (a) the energy gap for the excitations is of order $\Delta_\infty^2/(2E_F)$, while for cases (b) and (c) a zero-energy Majorana state arises. The spin-momentum locking is key to the formation of the Majorana state.

PACS numbers: 71.10.Pm, 03.67.Lx, 74.45.+c, 74.90.+n

I. INTRODUCTION

Majorana fermions are unconventional quantum states with non-Abelian statistics and potential for quantum computing.¹ The idea of storing quantum information in Majorana states originates from Kitaev.² The generation of Majorana bound states at surfaces of strong topological insulators due to the proximity of an s -wave superconductor has been explored by Fu and Kane.^{3,4} Majorana edge states occur at a junction between a superconductor and a ferromagnet deposited on the surface of a topological insulator.^{1,4} A Majorana state also arises as a zero-energy bound state at the core of a vortex as a consequence of the strong spin-orbit coupling in the topological insulator.^{1,3} For a review see Ref. [5].

In this paper we consider the metallic surface states of a 3D topological insulator (TI). Superconductivity is induced via proximity by an s -wave superconductor (S). We simplify the model by directly introducing the superconducting order parameter into the 2D electron gas without solving the more tedious problem consisting of the TI interacting with S. For an isolated vortex we obtain the fermion bound state excitations close to the core of the vortex. Three situations are considered: (a) a type II s -wave superconductor without spin-orbit coupling, (b) a superconductor with strong spin-orbit coupling locking the spin parallel to the momentum and (c) a S with strong spin-orbit interaction coupling the spin perpendicular to the momentum. For each case we place a vortex at the origin and study the bound fermion states at its core. In case (a) the lowest excitation is gapped by a very small gap of order $\Delta_\infty^2/(2E_F)$, while for cases (b) and (c) a zero-energy Majorana state is generated, as a consequence of the strong spin-orbit coupling.

For the calculation we follow the method employed by Caroli, de Gennes and Matricon (CdeGM)⁷ for a vortex line in a three-dimensional superconductor. The Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations are solved (i) for small distances (compared to the correlation length ξ) from

the core of the vortex, where the superconductor order parameter can be neglected, and (ii) for larger distances, still smaller than ξ , but where the order parameter needs to be taken into account. These two solutions are matched at an intermediate radius ρ_c . If the matching condition is such that it is independent of the value of ρ_c , then we have a solution for the entire region of the vortex. This condition as well determines the value of the energy of the bound state inside the vortex core.

There are previous studies of bound states in type II superconductor vortices besides Refs. [7] and [8]. Based on a generalized Ginzburg-Landau theory, Neumann and Tewordt⁹ considered a free-energy functional including terms to the fourth order in Δ to obtain the electronic structure of a vortex line. Using the WKB approximation the structure of vortex lines in pure superconductors was investigated by Bardeen *et al.*¹⁰ Within the framework of the Bogoliubov-de Gennes theory Gygi and Schlüter¹¹ calculated the spectrum of a type II superconductor vortex and several related properties and successfully compared their results with scanning-tunneling-microscopy experiments on NbSe₂. Finally, Rainer *et al.*,¹² in the context of high- T_c studied the spectrum of an isolated “stack” of pancake vortices in clean layered superconductors and concluded that both, the circular current around the vortex center as well as transport currents through the vortex core are carried by localized states bound to the core. The Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations for a vortex in a topological superconductor have been studied by Suzuki *et al.*¹⁴ and Rakhmanov *et al.*¹⁵

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Sect. II we consider the bound fermion states in the vortex core of a 2D superconductor. This model contains no spin-orbit coupling and corresponds to the reduction of the 3D CdeGM calculation to 2D. Consequently the bound states are gapped from the ground state by a small gap of order $\Delta_\infty^2/(2E_F)$. In Sections III and IV we focus on a vortex in the 2D surface states of a topological in-

sulator with proximity induced superconductivity. The strong spin-orbit interaction leads to a spin-momentum locking and a zero-energy Majorana bound state. In Sect. III we consider a parallel spin-momentum locking, while in Sect. IV the vectors are perpendicular. Although the two models can be transformed into each other via a unitary transformation, we believe it is pedagogically useful to solve them independently. Conclusions follow in Sect. V.

II. BOUND FERMION STATES IN A VORTEX OF A 2D SUPERCONDUCTOR

We consider a 2D type II superconductor in the mixed state with the magnetic field slightly above H_{c1} , but $H \ll H_{c2}$, so that we can assume there is an isolated vortex at the origin. The superconducting pair potential is given by $\Delta(\mathbf{r}) = \Delta(\rho)e^{-i\theta}$, where (ρ, θ) are polar coordinates. Here $\Delta(\rho)$ is real, vanishes for $\rho = 0$, increases linearly with ρ and saturates at the value Δ_∞ for ρ larger than the coherence length ξ .⁶

The Bogoliubov equations are linear coupled differential equations determining two functions, $u(\mathbf{r})$ and $v(\mathbf{r})$, constituting a spinor $\hat{\varphi}^T = (u(\mathbf{r}), v(\mathbf{r}))$. For a three-dimensional superconductor these equations have been studied by Caroli *et al.*^{7,8} The present calculation is simplified with respect to the 3D one in that the third dimension is suppressed. The phase of the order parameter is eliminated by the gauge transformation $u = e^{-i\theta/2}u'$ and $v = e^{i\theta/2}v'$, or in spinor notation $\hat{\varphi} = \exp(-i\hat{\sigma}_z\theta/2)\hat{\varphi}'$, where $\hat{\sigma}_i$ are Pauli matrices acting on the spinor. Using the same arguments as Caroli *et al.*⁷ the vector potential and the magnetic field can be neglected for $\rho < \xi$. The

solution of the Bogoliubov equations is then of the form $\hat{\varphi}' = \exp(i\mu\theta)\hat{f}(\rho)$, where μ is a half-integer since $\hat{\varphi}'$ is a spinor and only invariant under rotations of multiples of 4π .⁸ The differential equation satisfied by \hat{f} is of second order and given by

$$\hat{\sigma}_z \frac{1}{2m} \left[-\frac{d^2\hat{f}}{d\rho^2} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\hat{f}}{d\rho} + \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\sigma}_z \right)^2 \frac{\hat{f}}{\rho^2} - k_F^2 \hat{f} \right] + \Delta \hat{\sigma}_x \hat{f} = E \hat{f} \quad , \quad (1)$$

where $1/2 \leq \mu \ll k_F \xi$, k_F is the Fermi momentum and \hbar is set equal to 1.

Since $\Delta(\rho)$ increases linearly with ρ from $\Delta(0) = 0$, we may neglect $\Delta(\rho)$ for sufficiently small ρ . Eq. (1) is then diagonal in the spinor components, $\hat{f}^T = (f_+, f_-)$, and the solution can be expressed in terms of Bessel functions

$$f_\pm(\rho) = A_\pm J_{|\mu \mp 1/2|}[(k_F \pm q)\rho] \quad , \quad (2)$$

where $q = E/v_F$ and A_\pm are constants. Here we assumed that $q \ll k_F$ and $(k_F^2 + 2mE\sigma_z)^{1/2} = k_F(1 \pm 2E/v_F k_F)^{1/2} \sim k_F \pm q$.

On the other hand, for larger ρ , but $\rho < \xi$, $\Delta(\rho)$ is still linear in ρ but cannot be neglected. Due to the order parameter the two components of the spinor are now mixed. Following CdeGM⁷ the Ansatz for a solution is $\hat{f}(\rho) = H_m^{(1)}(k_F \rho)\hat{g}(\rho) + c.c.$, where $H_m^{(1)}$ is the Hankel function of the first kind of order m , $m = \sqrt{\mu^2 + \frac{1}{4}}$ and $\hat{g}(\rho)$ is a slowly varying (compared to $H_m^{(1)}$) spinor, i.e. an envelop function. Inserting the Ansatz for a solution into the differential equation (1) and using the differential equation satisfied by the Hankel function, we obtain

$$\hat{\sigma}_z \frac{1}{2m} \left[-H_m^{(1)} \frac{d^2\hat{g}}{d\rho^2} - \left(2\frac{dH_m^{(1)}}{d\rho} + \frac{H_m^{(1)}}{\rho} \right) \frac{d\hat{g}}{d\rho} - \mu \hat{\sigma}_z H_m^{(1)} \frac{\hat{g}}{\rho^2} \right] + \Delta \hat{\sigma}_x H_m^{(1)} \hat{g} = E H_m^{(1)} \hat{g} \quad . \quad (3)$$

Dividing the equation by $H_m^{(1)}$, neglecting the term with $\frac{d^2\hat{g}}{d\rho^2}$ (since it is a slowly varying envelop) and using the asymptotic expansion of $H_m^{(1)}(k_F \rho)$ for large argument

$$\frac{1}{H_m^{(1)}(k_F \rho)} \frac{dH_m^{(1)}(k_F \rho)}{d\rho} \sim -\frac{1}{2\rho} + ik_F \quad , \quad (4)$$

the differential equation for \hat{g} reduces to

$$-i\hat{\sigma}_z v_F \frac{d\hat{g}}{d\rho} + \hat{\sigma}_x \Delta \hat{g} = \left(E + \frac{\mu}{2m\rho^2} \right) \hat{g} \quad . \quad (5)$$

The terms on the rhs of Eq. (5) are small compared to those on the lhs and can be considered a perturbation.

We write then $\hat{g} = \hat{g}_0 + \hat{g}_1$, where \hat{g}_0 satisfies

$$-i\hat{\sigma}_z v_F \frac{d\hat{g}_0}{d\rho} + \hat{\sigma}_x \Delta \hat{g}_0 = 0 \quad . \quad (6)$$

The solution of this differential equation is

$$\hat{g}_0(\rho) = C \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix} \exp[-K(\rho)] \quad , \quad (7)$$

$$K(\rho) = \frac{1}{v_F} \int_0^\rho d\rho' \Delta(\rho') \quad .$$

Note that since $\hat{\sigma}_x$ has two eigenvalues, there is a second solution increasing with ρ as $e^{+K(\rho)}$. This solution, however, can be disregarded, since we expect \hat{g}_0 to decrease as ρ increases (the bound states are localized in the vortex core).

The first order perturbation correction due to the rhs of Eq. (5) is obtained through

$$-i\hat{\sigma}_z v_F \frac{d\hat{g}_1}{d\rho} + \hat{\sigma}_x \Delta \hat{g}_1 = \left(E + \frac{\mu}{2m\rho^2}\right) \hat{g}_0, \quad (8)$$

where Eq. (7) is inserted on the rhs for \hat{g}_0 . For \hat{g}_1 we choose the Ansatz $g_1^+ = a_+ e^{-K(\rho)}$ and $g_1^- = -ia_- e^{-K(\rho)}$ and obtain coupled differential equations for a_+ and a_-

$$\begin{aligned} v_F \left[\frac{da_+}{d\rho} - \frac{dK}{d\rho} a_+ \right] + \Delta a_- &= iC \left(E + \frac{\mu}{2m\rho^2} \right), \\ v_F \left[\frac{da_-}{d\rho} - \frac{dK}{d\rho} a_- \right] + \Delta a_+ &= -iC \left(E + \frac{\mu}{2m\rho^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where we cancelled $e^{-K(\rho)}$ from all the terms. Note that $a_+ + a_-$ is constant and as in Caroli *et al.*⁷ we assume this sum to be $2C$. The difference $i\psi C = a_+ - a_-$ satisfies

$$\frac{d\psi}{d\rho} - 2\frac{\Delta}{v_F} \psi = \left(\frac{2E}{v_F} + \frac{\mu}{k_F \rho^2} \right). \quad (10)$$

The solution of this equation is

$$\psi(\rho) = - \int_{\rho}^{\infty} d\rho' \exp[2K(\rho) - 2K(\rho')] \left(\frac{2E}{v_F} + \frac{\mu}{k_F \rho'^2} \right). \quad (11)$$

To first order perturbation we have $C(1 \pm i\psi/2) \sim C \exp(\pm i\psi/2)$ and we may write

$$\hat{g}(\rho) = C \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\psi/2} \\ -ie^{-i\psi/2} \end{bmatrix} \exp[-K(\rho)]. \quad (12)$$

It is convenient to integrate Eq. (11) by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\rho) &= \left(\frac{2E}{v_F} \rho - \frac{\mu}{k_F \rho} \right) - 2e^{2K(\rho)} \\ &\times \int_{\rho}^{\infty} d\rho' e^{-2K(\rho')} \frac{\Delta(\rho')}{v_F} \left(\frac{2E}{v_F} \rho' - \frac{\mu}{k_F \rho'} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Below we show that the condition that the integral in expression (13) vanishes yields the energies of the bound states.

The final step consists in matching the solution for small ρ and large ρ at a distance ρ_c from the core of the vortex. The condition that this matching is independent of ρ_c determines the energies of the bound states. For this purpose we consider an asymptotic expansion for the Bessel and Hankel functions

$$J_{\nu}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \sin \left[z - \frac{\pi\nu}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{2z} \right], \quad (14)$$

$$H_{\nu}^{(1)}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \exp \left[i \left(z - \frac{\pi\nu}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{2z} \right) \right] \quad (15)$$

which differs slightly from the ones used by Caroli *et al.*,⁷ but is consistent with the table published by the National Institute of Standards and Technology.¹³ We must consider three dependencies on ρ : (i) The factor $1/\sqrt{\rho}$ in the

Bessel/Hankel functions, (ii) the phase factors $\exp(ik_F \rho)$ and $\exp(\pm iE\rho/v_F)$ of the Bessel/Hankel functions and \hat{g} , and (iii) the dependence on $\exp[i(\nu^2 - 1/4)/(2k_F \rho)]$ from Eqs. (2), (14), (15) and \hat{g} .

The square root dependence in (i) is present in both special functions and is hence straightforwardly satisfied. $\psi(\rho_c)$ in Eq. (13) has a term $2(E/v_F)\rho_c$ which gives rise to a phase shift in \hat{g} of $e^{\pm i(E/v_F)\rho_c}$. Combined with the $e^{ik_F \rho_c}$ factor in the asymptotic form of the Hankel function the phase is the same as the one of the Bessel function, Eq. (2), $e^{i(k_F \pm E/v_F)\rho_c}$, so that the ρ_c -dependence (ii) cancels out. Finally, we must compare the factors (iii) that are inversely proportional to ρ_c in the exponents, i.e. $\exp\{i[(\mu \mp \frac{1}{2})^2 - \frac{1}{4}]/[2(k_F \pm E/v_F)\rho_c]\}$ arising from the Bessel function and $\exp[i(m^2 - \frac{1}{4})/(2k_F \rho_c)] \times \exp[\mp i\mu/(2k_F \rho_c)]$ arising from the Hankel and the \hat{g} -functions, respectively. Recalling that $m^2 = \mu^2 + \frac{1}{4}$ and that $|E|/v_F \ll k_F$, the two expressions are identical, if we neglect the factor $e^{-K(\rho_c)}$ for the $\rho > \rho_c$ solution. The latter is allowed since for $\rho < \rho_c$ we had neglected $\Delta(\rho)$. Hence there is a large range for ρ_c where the matching of the solutions is satisfied. Note that constants can be absorbed into A_{\pm} and C .

The above hinges on the assumption that the integral in Eq. (13) vanishes, i.e.

$$\int_{\rho_c}^{\infty} d\rho' e^{-2K(\rho')} \frac{2E\Delta(\rho')}{v_F} \rho' = \int_{\rho_c}^{\infty} d\rho' e^{-2K(\rho')} \frac{\mu\Delta(\rho')}{k_F \rho'}. \quad (16)$$

In this expression we may let $\rho_c \rightarrow 0$ and integrate the lhs by parts so that

$$E_{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{k_F} \int_0^{\infty} d\rho' e^{-2K(\rho')} \frac{\Delta(\rho')}{\rho'} / \int_0^{\infty} d\rho' e^{-2K(\rho')}. \quad (17)$$

If the main contribution to the integrals is for $\rho < \xi$ ($\Delta \approx \rho \Delta_{\infty}^2/v_F$) we obtain $E_{\mu} \approx \mu(\Delta_{\infty}^2/E_F)$, with $\mu = \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{3}{2}, \pm\frac{5}{2}, \dots$. As expected, the excitations are gapped from the Fermi level by a small energy gap of order $\Delta_{\infty}^2/(2E_F)$ (see Ref. [7]).

III. BOUND STATES IN A VORTEX OF A 2D DIRAC HAMILTONIAN

In this Section we consider the 2D Dirac model with s -wave superconductivity induced via proximity.^{3,14,15} The electron gas corresponds to the surface states of a topological insulator. The strong spin-orbit interaction couples the spin parallel to the momentum. As before we consider an isolated vortex, assuming a field perpendicular to the plane with $H \ll H_{c2}$ and slightly larger than H_{c1} . We apply the same method as used in Section II.

The wave function is a 4-component spinor, $\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = [\psi_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r})]^T$, and the Hamiltonian is $\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2r \Psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_B(\mathbf{r}) \Psi(\mathbf{r})$, where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_B(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{h}(\mathbf{r}) & \hat{\Delta}(\mathbf{r}) \\ -\hat{\Delta}^*(\mathbf{r}) & -\hat{h}^*(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\hat{h}(\mathbf{r}) = v_F \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) - E_F \quad , \quad (19)$$

$$\hat{\Delta}(\mathbf{r}) = \Delta(\mathbf{r}) i \hat{\sigma}_y \quad . \quad (20)$$

We adopt polar coordinates, (ρ, θ) , and write $\Delta(\mathbf{r}) = \Delta(\rho) e^{-i l \theta}$, where l is the vorticity (number of flux quanta contained in the vortex).¹⁶ Using the same arguments as in Refs. [7] and [8] we disregard the vector potential in Eq. (19). In polar coordinates $\hat{h}(\mathbf{r})$ can be written as

$$\hat{h}(\rho, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} -E_F & -i v_F e^{-i \theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{i}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ -i v_F e^{i \theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{i}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) & -E_F \end{bmatrix} , \quad (21)$$

and the field operators expanded as $\Psi(\rho, \theta) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \sum_{\mu} \Psi_{\mu}(\rho) e^{i \mu \theta}$, where μ is a half-integer. As in Sect. II the θ -phase of $\Delta(\mathbf{r})$ can be eliminated via a gauge transformation, yielding a θ dependence of the components of the spinor Ψ_{μ} of

$$f_j^{\mu} \exp[-i \theta \hat{\tau}_z (l + \hat{\sigma}_z) / 2 + i \mu \theta] \quad , \quad j = 1, \dots, 4 \quad , \quad (22)$$

where f_j^{μ} is the amplitude of the component j . Applying the spinor to $\hat{h}(\rho, \theta)$ we obtain

$$\hat{h}(\rho, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} -E_F & -i v_F e^{-i \theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{2\mu - l + 1}{2\rho} \right) \\ -i v_F e^{i \theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{2\mu - l - 1}{2\rho} \right) & -E_F \end{bmatrix} . \quad (23)$$

The first order differential equations satisfied by f_j^{μ} are

$$-i v_F \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{2\mu - l + 1}{2\rho} \right) f_2^{\mu}(\rho) + \Delta(\rho) f_4^{\mu}(\rho) - (E + E_F) f_1^{\mu}(\rho) = 0 \quad , \quad (24)$$

$$-i v_F \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{2\mu - l - 1}{2\rho} \right) f_1^{\mu}(\rho) - \Delta(\rho) f_3^{\mu}(\rho) - (E + E_F) f_2^{\mu}(\rho) = 0 \quad , \quad (25)$$

$$-i v_F \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{2\mu + l - 1}{2\rho} \right) f_4^{\mu}(\rho) - \Delta(\rho) f_2^{\mu}(\rho) - (E - E_F) f_3^{\mu}(\rho) = 0 \quad , \quad (26)$$

$$-i v_F \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{2\mu + l + 1}{2\rho} \right) f_3^{\mu}(\rho) + \Delta(\rho) f_1^{\mu}(\rho) - (E - E_F) f_4^{\mu}(\rho) = 0 \quad . \quad (27)$$

From Eq. (25) we can express $f_2^{\mu}(\rho)$ and insert it into Eq. (24) to obtain a second order differential equation. Similar substitutions can be done for the remaining equations. Defining $q_p = (E_F + E)/v_F$ and $q_h = (E_F - E)/v_F$ (for particles and holes, respectively) we obtain

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{(\mu - \frac{l+1}{2})^2}{\rho^2} + q_p^2 \right] f_1^{\mu} = \frac{q_p}{v_F} \Delta(\rho) f_4^{\mu} + \frac{i}{v_F} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{2\mu - l + 1}{2\rho} \right) \Delta(\rho) f_3^{\mu} \quad , \quad (28)$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{(\mu - \frac{l-1}{2})^2}{\rho^2} + q_p^2 \right] f_2^{\mu} = -\frac{q_p}{v_F} \Delta(\rho) f_3^{\mu} - \frac{i}{v_F} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{2\mu - l - 1}{2\rho} \right) \Delta(\rho) f_4^{\mu} \quad , \quad (29)$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{(\mu + \frac{l+1}{2})^2}{\rho^2} + q_h^2 \right] f_3^{\mu} = \frac{q_h}{v_F} \Delta(\rho) f_2^{\mu} - \frac{i}{v_F} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{2\mu + l - 1}{2\rho} \right) \Delta(\rho) f_1^{\mu} \quad , \quad (30)$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{(\mu + \frac{l-1}{2})^2}{\rho^2} + q_h^2 \right] f_4^{\mu} = -\frac{q_h}{v_F} \Delta(\rho) f_1^{\mu} + \frac{i}{v_F} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{2\mu + l + 1}{2\rho} \right) \Delta(\rho) f_2^{\mu} \quad , \quad (31)$$

where we have converted first order differential equations into second order ones.

Since $\Delta(\rho)$ increases linearly from zero, we may neglect $\Delta(\rho)$ for $\rho < \rho_c$ (as in the previous section). The

solutions for $\rho < \rho_c$ are then

$$f_1^{\mu}(q_p \rho) = A_1^{\mu} J_{|\mu - \frac{l+1}{2}|}(q_p \rho) \quad ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_2^\mu(q_p\rho) &= A_2^\mu J_{\left|\mu - \frac{l-1}{2}\right|}(q_p\rho) , \\
f_3^\mu(q_h\rho) &= A_3^\mu J_{\left|\mu + \frac{l+1}{2}\right|}(q_h\rho) , \\
f_4^\mu(q_h\rho) &= A_4^\mu J_{\left|\mu + \frac{l-1}{2}\right|}(q_h\rho) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where $J_\nu(z)$ are again Bessel functions.

For $\rho > \rho_c$, on the other hand, we write the solution as a product of a Hankel function times an envelop function, $f_j(\tilde{\rho}) = H_{\nu_j}^{(1)}(\tilde{\rho})g_j(\tilde{\rho}) + c.c.$, as in Section II. We denote $\tilde{\rho} = k_F\rho$ and $\nu_1 = \nu_3 = \sqrt{\mu^2 + (l+1)^2/4}$ and $\nu_2 =$

$\nu_4 = \sqrt{\mu^2 + (l-1)^2/4}$. We further assume that for $\rho \ll \xi$, $d\Delta(\tilde{\rho})/d\tilde{\rho} = \Delta'$, where Δ' is a constant. The next step consists of inserting the Ansatz for $f_j(\tilde{\rho})$ into Eqs. (28-31) and use the differential equation satisfied by the Hankel function. This way we obtain four coupled second order differential equations for the functions $g_j(\tilde{\rho})$. As in Section II we neglect the second order derivatives of g_j , since these are slowly varying functions. Dividing the equations by $H_{\nu_j}^{(1)}(\tilde{\rho})$ and using Eq. (4) we arrive at the following equations for the g_j :

$$2i \frac{dg_1}{d\tilde{\rho}} - i \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{dg_3}{d\tilde{\rho}} - i \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left[\frac{2\mu - l + 2}{2\tilde{\rho}} + i \right] g_3(\tilde{\rho}) = - \left[\frac{(l+1)\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + E \frac{2E_F + E}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right] g_1(\tilde{\rho}) + \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})(E_F + E)}{v_F^2 k_F^2} e^{-i\phi} g_4(\tilde{\rho}), \tag{33}$$

$$2i \frac{dg_2}{d\tilde{\rho}} + i \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{dg_4}{d\tilde{\rho}} - i \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left[\frac{2\mu - l - 2}{2\tilde{\rho}} + i \right] g_4(\tilde{\rho}) = - \left[\frac{(l-1)\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + E \frac{2E_F + E}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right] g_2(\tilde{\rho}) - \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})(E_F + E)}{v_F^2 k_F^2} e^{+i\phi} g_3(\tilde{\rho}), \tag{34}$$

$$2i \frac{dg_3}{d\tilde{\rho}} + i \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{dg_1}{d\tilde{\rho}} - i \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left[\frac{2\mu + l - 2}{2\tilde{\rho}} + i \right] g_1(\tilde{\rho}) = \left[\frac{(l+1)\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + E \frac{2E_F - E}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right] g_3(\tilde{\rho}) + \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})(E_F - E)}{v_F^2 k_F^2} e^{-i\phi} g_2(\tilde{\rho}), \tag{35}$$

$$2i \frac{dg_4}{d\tilde{\rho}} - i \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{dg_2}{d\tilde{\rho}} - i \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left[\frac{2\mu + l + 2}{2\tilde{\rho}} + i \right] g_2(\tilde{\rho}) = \left[\frac{(l-1)\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + E \frac{2E_F - E}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right] g_4(\tilde{\rho}) - \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})(E_F - E)}{v_F^2 k_F^2} e^{+i\phi} g_1(\tilde{\rho}). \tag{36}$$

Here we used that asymptotically for large argument $H_{\nu_2}^{(1)}(\tilde{\rho})/H_{\nu_1}^{(1)}(\tilde{\rho}) \approx \exp[i\frac{\pi}{2}(\nu_1 - \nu_2)] = e^{-i\phi}$. Rescaling $e^{-i\phi}g_2 \rightarrow g_2$ and $e^{-i\phi}g_4 \rightarrow g_4$ all the $e^{-i\phi}$ factors are eliminated.

The Cooper channel in the BCS theory couples parallel up-spin and down-spin propagators. This corresponds to coupling the f_1 and f_4 functions through the pairing potential Δ , as well as the f_2 and f_3 functions through Δ . This determines the leading terms for s -wave superconductivity to be considered in Eqs. (33-36). In analogy to Section II we have then

$$\begin{aligned}
2i \frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}} \begin{bmatrix} g_1^{(0)} \\ g_4^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \begin{bmatrix} g_4^{(0)} \\ -g_1^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} &= 0 , \\
2i \frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}} \begin{bmatrix} g_2^{(0)} \\ g_3^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \begin{bmatrix} -g_3^{(0)} \\ g_2^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} &= 0 ,
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

and the remaining terms in Eqs. (33-36) will be treated in first order perturbation, $g_j^{(1)}$. The solution of Eq. (37) is

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1^{(0)}(\tilde{\rho}) &= C e^{-K(\tilde{\rho})} , & g_4^{(0)}(\tilde{\rho}) &= -i C e^{-K(\tilde{\rho})} , \\
g_2^{(0)}(\tilde{\rho}) &= -i C' e^{-K(\tilde{\rho})} , & g_3^{(0)}(\tilde{\rho}) &= C' e^{-K(\tilde{\rho})} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where $K(\tilde{\rho}) = \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}} dx \Delta(x)/(2v_F k_F)$ is the same function as in section II except for a factor 1/2.

The equations for $g_j^{(1)}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
2i \frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}} \begin{bmatrix} g_1^{(1)} \\ g_4^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \begin{bmatrix} g_4^{(1)} \\ -g_1^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} &= -i \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{l}{2\tilde{\rho}} \begin{bmatrix} g_3^{(0)} \\ -g_2^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} + i \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left(\frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}} + \frac{\mu+1}{\tilde{\rho}} + i \right) \begin{bmatrix} g_3^{(0)} \\ g_2^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \frac{E\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \begin{bmatrix} g_4^{(0)} \\ g_1^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} - \left[\frac{l\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{2E}{v_F k_F} \right] \begin{bmatrix} g_1^{(0)} \\ -g_4^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} - \left[\frac{\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{E^2}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right] \begin{bmatrix} g_1^{(0)} \\ g_4^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

and

$$2i \frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}} \begin{bmatrix} g_2^{(1)} \\ g_3^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \begin{bmatrix} g_3^{(1)} \\ -g_2^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} = -i \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{l}{2\tilde{\rho}} \begin{bmatrix} g_4^{(0)} \\ -g_1^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} + i \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left(-\frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}} + \frac{\mu-1}{\tilde{\rho}} + i \right) \begin{bmatrix} g_4^{(0)} \\ g_1^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} \\ - \frac{E\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \begin{bmatrix} g_3^{(0)} \\ g_2^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} - \left[\frac{l\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{2E}{v_F k_F} \right] \begin{bmatrix} g_2^{(0)} \\ -g_3^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} + \left[\frac{\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} - \frac{E^2}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right] \begin{bmatrix} g_2^{(0)} \\ g_3^{(0)} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (40)$$

We now insert our solutions for $g_j^{(0)}$ into Eqs. (39) and (40), and with the Ansatz $g_1^{(1)} = a_1 e^{-K}$, $g_2^{(1)} = -ia_2 e^{-K}$, $g_3^{(1)} = a_3 e^{-K}$ and $g_4^{(1)} = -ia_4 e^{-K}$, we obtain

$$2 \frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_4 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 - a_4 \\ a_4 - a_1 \end{bmatrix} = -C' \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{l}{2\tilde{\rho}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + C' \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left(-\frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{2v_F k_F} + \frac{\mu+1}{\tilde{\rho}} + i \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ - C' \frac{E\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + iC' \left[\frac{l\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{2E}{v_F k_F} \right] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + iC' \left[\frac{\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{E^2}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (41)$$

and

$$2 \frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}} \begin{bmatrix} a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \begin{bmatrix} a_2 - a_3 \\ a_3 - a_2 \end{bmatrix} = -C' \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{l}{2\tilde{\rho}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + C' \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left(-\frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{2v_F k_F} + \frac{\mu-1}{\tilde{\rho}} + i \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ - C' \frac{E\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + iC' \left[\frac{l\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{2E}{v_F k_F} \right] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} - iC' \left[\frac{\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} - \frac{E^2}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (42)$$

Since all the terms are proportional to $e^{-K(\tilde{\rho})}$, this factor has been cancelled out. These equations decouple if one takes their sum and difference:

$$2 \frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}} (a_1 - a_4) - 2 \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} (a_1 - a_4) = -2C' \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{l}{2\tilde{\rho}} - 2C' \frac{E\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F^2 k_F^2} + 2iC' \left[\frac{l\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{2E}{v_F k_F} \right], \\ 2 \frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}} (a_1 + a_4) = 2C' \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left(-\frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{2v_F k_F} + \frac{\mu+1}{\tilde{\rho}} + i \right) + 2iC' \left[\frac{\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{E^2}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right], \quad (43)$$

and

$$2 \frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}} (a_2 - a_3) - 2 \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} (a_2 - a_3) = -2C' \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{l}{2\tilde{\rho}} - 2C' \frac{E\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F^2 k_F^2} + 2iC' \left[\frac{l\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{2E}{v_F k_F} \right], \\ 2 \frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}} (a_2 + a_3) = 2C' \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left(-\frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{2v_F k_F} + \frac{\mu-1}{\tilde{\rho}} + i \right) - 2iC' \left[\frac{\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} - \frac{E^2}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right]. \quad (44)$$

The integration of the decoupled differential equations yields

$$a_1 - a_4 = \int_{\tilde{\rho}}^{\infty} dx \exp[2K(\tilde{\rho}) - 2K(x)] \left[C' \frac{\Delta(x)}{v_F k_F} \frac{l}{2x} - iC' \frac{l\mu}{x^2} - iC' \frac{2E}{v_F k_F} \right] - C' \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}} dx \exp[2K(\tilde{\rho}) - 2K(x)] \frac{E\Delta(x)}{v_F^2 k_F^2}, \\ a_1 + a_4 = C' \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}} dx \frac{\Delta(x)}{v_F k_F} \left(-\frac{\Delta(x)}{2v_F k_F} + \frac{\mu+1}{x} + i \right) + iC' \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}} dx \frac{E^2}{v_F^2 k_F^2} - iC' \int_{\tilde{\rho}}^{\infty} dx \frac{\mu}{x^2}, \quad (45)$$

and

$$a_2 - a_3 = \int_{\tilde{\rho}}^{\infty} dx \exp[2K(\tilde{\rho}) - 2K(x)] \left[C' \frac{\Delta(x)}{v_F k_F} \frac{l}{2x} - iC' \frac{l\mu}{x^2} - iC' \frac{2E}{v_F k_F} \right] - C' \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}} dx \exp[2K(\tilde{\rho}) - 2K(x)] \frac{E\Delta(x)}{v_F^2 k_F^2}, \\ a_2 + a_3 = C' \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}} dx \frac{\Delta(x)}{v_F k_F} \left(-\frac{\Delta(x)}{2v_F k_F} + \frac{\mu-1}{x} + i \right) + iC' \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}} dx \frac{E^2}{v_F^2 k_F^2} - iC' \int_{\tilde{\rho}}^{\infty} dx \frac{\mu}{x^2}. \quad (46)$$

The functions $f_j^\mu(\tilde{\rho})$ for $\tilde{\rho} > \tilde{\rho}_c$ are now $H_{\nu_j}^{(1)}(\tilde{\rho})g_j(\tilde{\rho})$. They have to be matched at $\tilde{\rho}_c$ to $f_j^\mu(\tilde{\rho})$ given by Eq. (32)

for $\tilde{\rho} < \tilde{\rho}_c$ using a similar procedure to that of Sect. II and Ref. 7. The functions g_j were calculated consistently to first order of perturbation and are going to be written as an exponential, e.g. $g_j(\tilde{\rho}) \propto \exp[-K(\tilde{\rho}) + a_j(\tilde{\rho})]$, which remains correct to first order.

As shown in Section II there are three factors in f_j depending on $\tilde{\rho}$. (i) The asymptotic expansions for the Bessel and the Hankel functions both have a $1/\sqrt{\tilde{\rho}_c}$ -dependence. (ii) The Bessel function has a $\exp[i(k_F \pm E/v_F)\rho_c]$ dependence, while the asymptote of the Hankel function yields a $\exp[i\tilde{\rho}_c]$ factor. The functions $g_j(\tilde{\rho}_c)$ give rise to a factor $\exp(\pm i(E/v_F)\rho_c)$ from the functions $a_j(\tilde{\rho}_c)$. To extract this factor it is necessary to integrate by parts the term $\pm \int_{\tilde{\rho}_c}^{\infty} dx \exp[2K(\tilde{\rho}_c) - 2K(x)](iE/v_F k_F)$. Hence, this dependence is the same for $\tilde{\rho} < \tilde{\rho}_c$ and $\tilde{\rho} > \tilde{\rho}_c$. (iii) The third $\tilde{\rho}_c$ dependence arises from the factor $\exp\{i[(\mu - (l \pm 1)/2)^2 - 1/4]/(k_F \pm E/v_F)2\rho_c\}$ from the Bessel functions, the factor $\exp\{i[(\mu^2 + (l \pm 1)^2/4) - 1/4]/(2k_F\rho_c)\}$ from the Hankel function and the function g_j . Since $E_F \gg |E|$

the Bessel function contribution simplifies to $\exp\{i[(\mu - (l \pm 1)/2)^2 - 1/4]/[2k_F\rho_c]\}$. The factor arising from g_j is $\exp[\mp i(l \pm 1)\mu/(2k_F\rho_c)]$ and it is generated by two contributions, namely $\exp\{\pm i \int_{\tilde{\rho}_c}^{\infty} dx [\mu/(2x^2)]\}$ and $\exp\{\pm i \int_{\tilde{\rho}_c}^{\infty} dx \exp[2K(\tilde{\rho}_c) - 2K(x)](l\mu/2x^2)\}$. The latter requires integration by parts. This way we have the same $\tilde{\rho}_c$ dependence for $\tilde{\rho} > \tilde{\rho}_c$ and $\tilde{\rho} < \tilde{\rho}_c$ if the remainder of the argument in the exponential of g_j vanishes. We discuss this remainder below.

The four-dimensional spinor for the topological superconductor splits naturally into two two-dimensional ones, i.e. s -wave superconductivity pairs the functions f_1 and f_4 , and f_2 and f_3 . Any other combination would admix a triplet component to the singlet pairing. Spin reversal symmetry then requires that the magnitude of the amplitudes C and C' be equal, i.e. $|C'| = |C|$. Choosing $C' = \mp iC$ the energy of the bound states is real and the remainders in the exponents of g_j , $j = 1, \dots, 4$, are linear combinations of

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tilde{\rho}_c}^{\infty} dx \exp[2K(\tilde{\rho}_c) - 2K(x)] \frac{\Delta(x)}{v_F k_F} \left[\pm i \frac{l}{2x} + i \frac{2E}{v_F k_F} x - i \frac{l\mu}{x} \right] ; \\ & \frac{i}{2} \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}_c} dx \frac{E^2}{v_F^2 k_F^2} ; \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}_c} dx \exp[2K(\tilde{\rho}_c) - 2K(x)] \frac{E\Delta(x)}{v_F^2 k_F^2} ; \quad \frac{i}{2} \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}_c} dx \frac{\Delta(x)}{v_F k_F} \left[\frac{\mu \pm 1}{x} + i - \frac{\Delta(x)}{2v_F k_F} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Note that the present calculation is correct only to first order perturbation. The dominant term is the one involving the integral from $\tilde{\rho}_c$ to ∞ , i.e. the first term, which is common to all four functions. We recall that $\rho_c \ll \xi$, so that the rest of the terms are necessarily much smaller and can be neglected, the same way as the factor $e^{-K(\rho_c)}$ in section II and in Ref. [8]. The largest of these terms is the last term of Eq. (47), $(i/2) \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}_c} dx [\Delta(x)/E_F][(\mu \pm 1)/x + i] \approx (i/2)[\Delta(\tilde{\rho}_c)/E_F](\mu \pm 1) - K(\tilde{\rho}_c)$ and can be neglected as in Ref. [7].

The bound state energies are then determined by (first term in Eq. (47))

$$\int_{\tilde{\rho}_c}^{\infty} dx e^{-2K(x)} \frac{\Delta(x)}{v_F k_F} \left[\frac{2Ex}{v_F k_F} - \frac{l(\mu \mp \frac{1}{2})}{x} \right] = 0. \quad (48)$$

At this point we can take $\tilde{\rho}_c \rightarrow 0$ in the lower integration limit and integrate the first term by parts. We then obtain for the energies

$$E_{\mu}^{\mp} = \frac{l}{2} (\mu \mp \frac{1}{2}) \int_0^{\infty} dx e^{-2K(x)} \frac{\Delta(x)}{x} / \int_0^{\infty} dx e^{-2K(x)}. \quad (49)$$

Since the main contribution to the integrals is for $\rho \ll \xi$, where $\Delta(x)$ is linear in x , we arrive at $E_{\mu}^{\mp} \approx \frac{l}{2} (\mu \mp \frac{1}{2}) \Delta'/k_F$, where $\Delta' = d\Delta/d\rho \approx k_F \Delta_{\infty}/\xi \approx k_F \Delta_{\infty}^2/E_F$

and hence

$$E_{\mu}^{\mp} \approx l(\mu \mp \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\Delta_{\infty}^2}{2E_F}. \quad (50)$$

There are some differences between the ordinary superconductor (Section II) and the topological case. The two models have different dispersions, i.e., in one case the dispersion is parabolic while in the Dirac case it is linear in the momentum. This difference gives rise to a factor 1/2 in the energy spacing between the bound states. Furthermore, the dimension of the spinors is different. The four-dimensional spinor for the topological superconductor splits naturally into two pairs: (f_1, f_4) and (f_2, f_3) . For given μ the two signs in Eq. (50) refer to these two pairs and bound states are then labeled by two quantum numbers, μ and \pm . The respective correspondence of the sign is fixed by the choice of the sign of C'/iC . Changing the sign of C'/iC reverses the order of the correspondence. Assuming $C' = -iC$, the energy of (f_1, f_4) is a solution with energy E_{μ}^{-} and the one for the pair (f_2, f_3) is E_{μ}^{+} . Since \check{f} is a spinor its spin μ should be a half integer and hence $\mu \pm \frac{1}{2}$ is an integer, which can take the values $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. The energy is then given by $E_n \approx nl(\Delta_{\infty}^2/2E_F)$.

Energy eigenstates are then a linear combination of amplitudes of two consecutive μ -values. Approximate ex-

pressions for the amplitudes are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1^\mu(\mathbf{r}) &= J_{\left|\mu - \frac{l+1}{2}\right|}(\tilde{\rho}) e^{-K(\tilde{\rho})} e^{-i(l+1)\theta/2} , \\
f_2^\mu(\mathbf{r}) &= J_{\left|\mu - \frac{l-1}{2}\right|}(\tilde{\rho}) e^{-K(\tilde{\rho})} e^{-i(l-1)\theta/2} , \\
f_3^\mu(\mathbf{r}) &= J_{\left|\mu + \frac{l+1}{2}\right|}(\tilde{\rho}) e^{-K(\tilde{\rho})} e^{i(l+1)\theta/2} , \\
f_4^\mu(\mathbf{r}) &= J_{\left|\mu + \frac{l-1}{2}\right|}(\tilde{\rho}) e^{-K(\tilde{\rho})} e^{i(l-1)\theta/2} . \quad (51)
\end{aligned}$$

The constant prefactors have been determined such that the amplitudes are continuous in the limit $\Delta(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 0$ for $E_F \gg E$. An energy wavefunction with energy $E = E_\mu^-$ is then obtained by shifting μ to $\mu - 1$ for the components 2 and 3, i.e. $f_2^{\mu-1}$ and $f_3^{\mu-1}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\psi}_E(\mathbf{r}) &= \int d^2r e^{-K(\tilde{\rho})} \left[J_{\left|\mu - \frac{l+1}{2}\right|}(\tilde{\rho}) e^{-i(l+1)\theta/2} c_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}) + J_{\left|\mu - \frac{l-1}{2}\right|}(\tilde{\rho}) e^{-i(l-1)\theta/2} c_\downarrow(\mathbf{r}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + J_{\left|\mu + \frac{l+1}{2}\right|}(\tilde{\rho}) e^{i(l+1)\theta/2} c_\uparrow^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) + J_{\left|\mu + \frac{l-1}{2}\right|}(\tilde{\rho}) e^{i(l-1)\theta/2} c_\downarrow^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \right] . \quad (52)
\end{aligned}$$

For $\mu \neq \frac{1}{2}$ the wavefunction corresponds to a fermion operator with $E_n \neq 0$, while for $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ we have $\hat{\psi}_0(\mathbf{r}) = \hat{\psi}_0^\dagger(\mathbf{r})$ and the state is a Majorana fermion. The counterpart to the Majorana fermion is placed far away along the axis of the vortex and hence not a solution of this problem.

The existence of the $\frac{1}{2}$ -term in Eq. (50) is due to the spin-momentum locking in the Dirac Hamiltonian. Choosing a closed path containing the core of the vortex, the path-integral arising from the momentum of the charges is proportional to μ . Since the spin and the momentum are strongly coupled, this also yields a contribution due to the spin, i.e. we get $\mu \pm \frac{1}{2}$ due to the Berry's phase. For ordinary superconductors the momentum and the spin are decoupled, so that the spin is not forced to rotate with the path. Hence, the total contribution is just proportional to μ .

IV. CASE OF PERPENDICULAR SPIN AND MOMENTUM LOCKING

In this section we consider a Hamiltonian of the form^{18,19}

$$\hat{h}(\mathbf{r}) = v_F \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \left[\left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \times \mathbf{e}_z \right] - E_F , \quad (53)$$

where \mathbf{e}_z is the normal vector to the plane. The 4-component spinor, \mathcal{H} and the order parameter, Eqs. (18) and (20), remain unchanged. In polar coordinates $\Delta(\mathbf{r}) = \Delta(\rho) e^{-il\theta}$ (l is again the vorticity¹⁶) and

$$\hat{h}(\rho, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} -E_F & -iv_F e^{-i\theta} \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ iv_F e^{i\theta} \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) & -E_F \end{bmatrix} . \quad (54)$$

Here we neglected the vector potential following the same arguments as in Refs. [7] and [8] and previous sections. As in Sect. III we expand the field operators as $\Psi(\rho, \theta) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \sum_\mu \Psi_\mu(\rho) e^{i\mu\theta}$, where μ is a half-integer, and we eliminate θ -phase of $\Delta(\mathbf{r})$ via a gauge transformation. The θ dependence of the components of the spinor Ψ_μ is again given by Eq. (22). Applying the spinor $\Psi_\mu(\rho) e^{i\mu\theta}$ to Eq. (54) we obtain

$$\hat{h}_\mu(\rho) = \begin{bmatrix} -E_F & v_F \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{2\mu-l+1}{2\rho} \right) \\ -v_F \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{2\mu-l-1}{2\rho} \right) & -E_F \end{bmatrix} . \quad (55)$$

As in Sect. III we denote with f_j^μ the amplitude of the component j of the spinor. The equations of motion for the amplitudes are similar to Eqs. (24-27), except for factors i and signs,

$$v_F \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{2\mu-l+1}{2\rho} \right) f_2^\mu(\rho) + \Delta(\rho) f_4^\mu(\rho) - (E + E_F) f_1^\mu(\rho) = 0 , \quad (56)$$

$$v_F \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{2\mu-l-1}{2\rho} \right) f_1^\mu(\rho) + \Delta(\rho) f_3^\mu(\rho) + (E + E_F) f_2^\mu(\rho) = 0 , \quad (57)$$

$$v_F \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{2\mu+l-1}{2\rho} \right) f_4^\mu(\rho) + \Delta(\rho) f_2^\mu(\rho) + (E - E_F) f_3^\mu(\rho) = 0 , \quad (58)$$

$$v_F \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{2\mu+l+1}{2\rho} \right) f_3^\mu(\rho) + \Delta(\rho) f_1^\mu(\rho) - (E - E_F) f_4^\mu(\rho) = 0 . \quad (59)$$

With similar substitutions as in Sect. III we convert these first order differential equations into second order ones

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{(\mu - \frac{l+1}{2})^2}{\rho^2} + q_p^2 \right] f_1^\mu = \frac{q_p}{v_F} \Delta(\rho) f_4^\mu - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{2\mu - l + 1}{2\rho} \right) \frac{\Delta(\rho)}{v_F} f_3^\mu, \quad (60)$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{(\mu - \frac{l-1}{2})^2}{\rho^2} + q_p^2 \right] f_2^\mu = -\frac{q_p}{v_F} \Delta(\rho) f_3^\mu - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{2\mu - l - 1}{2\rho} \right) \frac{\Delta(\rho)}{v_F} f_4^\mu, \quad (61)$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{(\mu + \frac{l+1}{2})^2}{\rho^2} + q_h^2 \right] f_3^\mu = \frac{q_h}{v_F} \Delta(\rho) f_2^\mu - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{2\mu + l - 1}{2\rho} \right) \frac{\Delta(\rho)}{v_F} f_1^\mu, \quad (62)$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{(\mu + \frac{l-1}{2})^2}{\rho^2} + q_h^2 \right] f_4^\mu = -\frac{q_h}{v_F} \Delta(\rho) f_1^\mu - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{2\mu + l + 1}{2\rho} \right) \frac{\Delta(\rho)}{v_F} f_2^\mu. \quad (63)$$

As previously, since $\Delta(\rho)$ increases linearly from zero, we may neglect $\Delta(\rho)$ for $\rho < \rho_c$. In terms of Bessel functions the solutions for $\rho < \rho_c$ are then identical to Eq. (32).

On the other hand, for $\rho > \rho_c$, we again write the solution as a product of a Hankel function times an envelop function, $f_j(\tilde{\rho}) = H_{\nu_j}^{(1)}(\tilde{\rho})g_j(\tilde{\rho}) + c.c.$, and as in Section III we denote $\tilde{\rho} = k_F \rho$ and $\nu_1 = \nu_3 = \sqrt{\mu^2 + (l+1)^2/4}$ and

$\nu_2 = \nu_4 = \sqrt{\mu^2 + (l-1)^2/4}$. Inserting the Ansatz into Eqs. (60-63) and using the differential equation satisfied by the Hankel function we obtain second order differential equations for the functions $g_j(\tilde{\rho})$. Neglecting the second order derivatives of g_j (slow varying functions), dividing the equations by $H_{\nu_j}^{(1)}(\tilde{\rho})$ and using Eq. (4) we obtain

$$2i \frac{dg_1}{d\tilde{\rho}} + \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{dg_3}{d\tilde{\rho}} + \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left[\frac{2\mu - l + 2}{2\tilde{\rho}} + i \right] g_3 = - \left[\frac{(l+1)\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + E \frac{2E_F + E}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right] g_1 + \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F^2 k_F^2} (E_F + E) e^{-i\phi} g_4, \quad (64)$$

$$2i \frac{dg_2}{d\tilde{\rho}} + \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{dg_4}{d\tilde{\rho}} - \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left[\frac{2\mu - l - 2}{2\tilde{\rho}} - i \right] g_4 = - \left[\frac{(l-1)\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + E \frac{2E_F + E}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right] g_2 - \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F^2 k_F^2} (E_F + E) e^{+i\phi} g_3, \quad (65)$$

$$2i \frac{dg_3}{d\tilde{\rho}} + \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{dg_1}{d\tilde{\rho}} - \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left[\frac{2\mu + l - 2}{2\tilde{\rho}} - i \right] g_1 = \left[\frac{(l+1)\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + E \frac{2E_F - E}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right] g_3 + \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F^2 k_F^2} (E_F - E) e^{-i\phi} g_2, \quad (66)$$

$$2i \frac{dg_4}{d\tilde{\rho}} + \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{dg_2}{d\tilde{\rho}} + \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left[\frac{2\mu + l + 2}{2\tilde{\rho}} + i \right] g_2 = \left[\frac{(l-1)\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + E \frac{2E_F - E}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right] g_4 - \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F^2 k_F^2} (E_F - E) e^{+i\phi} g_1, \quad (67)$$

where $e^{-i\phi}$ has been defined in Section III. As before, rescaling $e^{-i\phi} g_2 \rightarrow g_2$ and $e^{-i\phi} g_4 \rightarrow g_4$ all the $e^{-i\phi}$ factors are eliminated.

To leading order we again pair the f_1 and f_4 functions and the f_2 and f_3 functions through the BCS pairing potential Δ as in Eqs. (37). To lowest order

the solutions $g_j^{(0)}(\tilde{\rho})$ are then given by Eqs. (38) with $K(\tilde{\rho}) = \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}} d\rho' \Delta(\rho') / (2v_F k_F)$. The remaining terms in Eqs. (64-67) are again treated in first order perturbation, $g_j^{(1)}$. The equations for $g_j^{(1)}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} 2i \frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}} \begin{bmatrix} g_1^{(1)} \\ g_4^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \begin{bmatrix} g_4^{(1)} \\ -g_1^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} &= \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{l}{2\tilde{\rho}} \begin{bmatrix} g_3^{(0)} \\ -g_2^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left(\frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}} + \frac{\mu+1}{\tilde{\rho}} + i \right) \begin{bmatrix} g_3^{(0)} \\ g_2^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{E\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \begin{bmatrix} g_4^{(0)} \\ g_1^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &- \left[\frac{l\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{2E}{v_F k_F} \right] \begin{bmatrix} g_1^{(0)} \\ -g_4^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} - \left[\frac{\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{E^2}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right] \begin{bmatrix} g_1^{(0)} \\ g_4^{(0)} \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

and

$$2i \frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}} \begin{bmatrix} g_2^{(1)} \\ g_3^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \begin{bmatrix} g_3^{(1)} \\ -g_2^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{l}{2\tilde{\rho}} \begin{bmatrix} g_4^{(0)} \\ -g_1^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left(\frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}} - \frac{\mu-1}{\tilde{\rho}} + i \right) \begin{bmatrix} g_4^{(0)} \\ g_1^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} - \frac{E\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \begin{bmatrix} g_3^{(0)} \\ g_2^{(0)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$- \left[\frac{l\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{2E}{v_F k_F} \right] \begin{bmatrix} g_2^{(0)} \\ -g_3^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} + \left[\frac{\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} - \frac{E^2}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right] \begin{bmatrix} g_2^{(0)} \\ g_3^{(0)} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (69)$$

Next we insert the solutions for $g_j^{(0)}$ into Eqs. (68) and (69), use the Ansatz $g_1^{(1)} = a_1 e^{-K}$, $g_2^{(1)} = -ia_2 e^{-K}$, $g_3^{(1)} = a_3 e^{-K}$ and $g_4^{(1)} = -ia_4 e^{-K}$, cancel the factor $e^{-K(\tilde{\rho})}$ (which is a common factor to all terms), and finally we decouple the equations by taking the sum and difference of the above equations:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}}(a_1 - a_4) - 2 \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F}(a_1 - a_4) &= -2iC' \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{l}{2\tilde{\rho}} - 2C' \frac{E\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F^2 k_F^2} + 2iC' \left[\frac{l\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{2E}{v_F k_F} \right], \\ 2 \frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}}(a_1 + a_4) &= 2iC' \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left(-\frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{2v_F k_F} + \frac{\mu+1}{\tilde{\rho}} + i \right) + 2iC' \left[\frac{\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{E^2}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}}(a_2 - a_3) - 2 \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F}(a_2 - a_3) &= 2iC' \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \frac{l}{2\tilde{\rho}} - 2C' \frac{E\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F^2 k_F^2} + 2iC' \left[\frac{l\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{2E}{v_F k_F} \right], \\ 2 \frac{d}{d\tilde{\rho}}(a_2 + a_3) &= -2iC' \frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{v_F k_F} \left(-\frac{\Delta(\tilde{\rho})}{2v_F k_F} + \frac{\mu-1}{\tilde{\rho}} - i \right) + 2iC' \left[-\frac{\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^2} + \frac{E^2}{v_F^2 k_F^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

These equations are very similar to Eqs. (43) and (44).

The integration of the decoupled differential equations yields

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 - a_4 &= - \int_{\tilde{\rho}}^{\infty} dx \exp[2K(\tilde{\rho}) - 2K(x)] \left[-iC' \frac{\Delta(x)}{v_F k_F} \frac{l}{2x} + iC' \frac{l\mu}{x^2} + iC' \frac{2E}{v_F k_F} \right] - C' \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}} dx \exp[2K(\tilde{\rho}) - 2K(x)] \frac{E\Delta(x)}{v_F^2 k_F^2}, \\ a_1 + a_4 &= iC' \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}} dx \frac{\Delta(x)}{v_F k_F} \left(-\frac{\Delta(x)}{2v_F k_F} + \frac{\mu+1}{x} + i \right) + iC' \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}} dx \frac{E^2}{v_F^2 k_F^2} - iC' \int_{\tilde{\rho}}^{\infty} dx \frac{\mu}{x^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 - a_3 &= - \int_{\tilde{\rho}}^{\infty} dx \exp[2K(\tilde{\rho}) - 2K(x)] \left[iC' \frac{\Delta(x)}{v_F k_F} \frac{l}{2x} + iC' \frac{l\mu}{x^2} + iC' \frac{2E}{v_F k_F} \right] - C' \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}} dx \exp[2K(\tilde{\rho}) - 2K(x)] \frac{E\Delta(x)}{v_F^2 k_F^2}, \\ a_2 + a_3 &= iC' \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}} dx \frac{\Delta(x)}{v_F k_F} \left(\frac{\Delta(x)}{2v_F k_F} - \frac{\mu-1}{x} + i \right) + iC' \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}} dx \frac{E^2}{v_F^2 k_F^2} + iC' \int_{\tilde{\rho}}^{\infty} dx \frac{\mu}{x^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

As in Section III the functions $f_j^\mu(\tilde{\rho})$ for $\tilde{\rho} > \tilde{\rho}_c$, given by $H_{\nu_j}^{(1)}(\tilde{\rho})g_j(\tilde{\rho})$, have to be matched at $\tilde{\rho}_c$ to $f_j^\mu(\tilde{\rho})$ given by Eq. (32) for $\tilde{\rho} < \tilde{\rho}_c$. The functions g_j were calculated in first order of perturbation and are going to be written as an exponential, e.g. $g_j(\tilde{\rho}) \propto \exp[-K(\tilde{\rho}) + a_j(\tilde{\rho})]$. As before, there are three factors in f_j with distinct $\tilde{\rho}$ dependence for both, $\tilde{\rho} < \tilde{\rho}_c$ and $\tilde{\rho} > \tilde{\rho}_c$: (i) The $1/\sqrt{\tilde{\rho}_c}$ -dependence of the asymptote of the Bessel/Hankel functions, (ii) an $\exp[i(k_F \pm E/v_F)\rho_c]$ dependence, and (iii) the $\exp\{i[(\mu - (l \pm 1)/2)^2 - 1/4]/[(k_F \pm E/v_F)2\rho_c]\}$ dependence from the Bessel and Hankel functions. Proceeding the same way as in Sects. II and III and assuming $E_F \gg |E|$ the variation with $\tilde{\rho}$ is the same for $\tilde{\rho} < \tilde{\rho}_c$ and $\tilde{\rho} > \tilde{\rho}_c$, provided the remainder of the argument in the exponential of g_j vanishes or is negligible.

From the symmetry of the equations we have again $|C'| = |C|$, but in this case we choose $C' = \pm C$ to obtain a real energy. The leading contribution of the remainder

in the exponential of g_j is

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\tilde{\rho}_c}^{\infty} dx \exp[2K(\tilde{\rho}_c) - 2K(x)] \frac{\Delta(x)}{v_F k_F} \\ \times \left[\pm i \frac{l}{2x} + i \frac{2E}{v_F k_F} x - i \frac{l\mu}{x} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

which we equate to zero. Recalling that $\rho_c \ll \xi$ we can take the limit $\tilde{\rho}_c \rightarrow 0$ in the lower integration limit. Integrating the second term (containing E) by parts we obtain for the bound state energies the same expression as in Eqs. (49) and (50)

$$\begin{aligned} E_\mu^\mp &= \frac{l}{2} (\mu \mp \frac{1}{2}) \int_0^\infty dx e^{-2K(x)} \frac{\Delta(x)}{x} / \int_0^\infty dx e^{-2K(x)} \\ &\approx l (\mu \mp \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\Delta_\infty^2}{2E_F}. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

The results are distinct from that of the ordinary superconductor (Section II), but the same ones as for the

model of sect. III. The factor of $1/2$ with respect to Eq. (17) is again consequence of the different dispersion (linear vs. parabolic), and the factor $l(\mu \mp \frac{1}{2})$ arises from the strong spin-orbit coupling in the topological nontrivial case. Since \tilde{f} is a spinor its spin μ is a half integer and the term $\frac{1}{2}$ represents the Berry phase. Furthermore, as in Sect. III the four-dimensional spinor for the topological superconductor splits naturally into two pairs: (f_1, f_4) and (f_2, f_3) . For given μ the two signs in Eq. (75) refer to these two pairs. The states are then labeled by two quantum numbers, μ and \pm , and the correspondence of the state and sign is fixed by the choice of the sign of C'/C . Changing the sign of C'/C reverses the order of

the correspondence. Energy eigenstates are then a linear combination of amplitudes of two consecutive μ -values. Approximate expressions for the amplitudes are the same ones as in Eq. (51). Assuming $C' = -C$, the energy of (f_1, f_4) is a solution with energy E_μ^- and the one for the pair (f_2, f_3) is E_μ^+ , and viceversa for $C' = C$. Since \tilde{f} is a spinor its spin μ should be a half integer and hence $\mu \pm \frac{1}{2}$ is an integer taking the values $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$.

For $C' = -C$ an energy wavefunction with energy $E = E_\mu^-$ is then obtained by shifting μ to $\mu - 1$ for the components 2 and 3, i.e. $f_2^{\mu-1}$ and $f_3^{\mu-1}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\psi}_E(\mathbf{r}) = & e^{-K(\tilde{\rho})} \left[J_{|\mu - \frac{l+1}{2}|}(\tilde{\rho}) e^{-i(l+1)\theta/2} c_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}) + J_{|\mu - \frac{l-1}{2}|}(\tilde{\rho}) e^{-i(l-1)\theta/2} c_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{r}) \right. \\ & \left. + J_{|\mu + \frac{l-1}{2}|}(\tilde{\rho}) e^{i(l+1)\theta/2} c_{\uparrow}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) + J_{|\mu + \frac{l+1}{2}|}(\tilde{\rho}) e^{i(l-1)\theta/2} c_{\downarrow}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

For $\mu \neq \frac{1}{2}$ the wavefunction corresponds to a fermion operator of energy E_n , $n \neq 0$, while for $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ we have $\hat{\psi}_0(\mathbf{r}) = \hat{\psi}_0^\dagger(\mathbf{r})$ and the state is a Majorana fermion.

So far we considered only the leading contribution of the remainder in the exponential of g_j . The nonleading part are linear combinations of terms of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{i}{2} \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}_c} dx \frac{\Delta(x)}{v_F k_F} \left(\frac{\mu \pm 1}{x} + i \right) ; \\ & \frac{i}{2} \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}_c} dx \frac{\Delta(x)^2}{2v_F^2 k_F^2} ; \quad -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}_c} dx \frac{E^2}{v_F^2 k_F^2} ; \\ & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}_c} dx \exp[2K(\tilde{\rho}_c) - 2K(x)] \frac{E\Delta(x)}{v_F^2 k_F^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

The last three terms are clearly of higher order and can be neglected. The first term is also small compared to Eq. (74), since the integral is only from 0 to $\tilde{\rho}_c$ and vanishes in the limit $\tilde{\rho}_c \rightarrow 0$. This term is of the same order as the factor $e^{-K(\rho_c)}$ in section II and in Ref. [8].

V. CONCLUSIONS

We studied the bound states in the core of a vortex of a two-dimensional superconductor by solving the Bogoliubov equations following the procedure outlined by Caroli, de Gennes and Matricon.⁷ For the ordinary s -wave superconductor we arrive at a similar result as CdeGM obtained for the 3D superconductor. The bound states are fermionic and gapped from the ground state by an energy scale of about $\Delta_\infty^2/2E_F$.

In Sects. III and IV the electron gas corresponds to the surface states of a topological insulator. Consequently the momentum and the spin are locked due to a strong

spin-orbit interaction. Two cases have been considered, namely, a locking of the spin parallel and perpendicular to the momentum. The superconductivity is induced into the 2D Dirac sea via proximity of an s -wave superconductor. The results for the bound states in the core of the vortex are independent of the kind of spin-orbit coupling (as long as it is strong). The characteristic energy scale for the spacing of the energy levels is $\Delta_\infty^2/2E_F$.

The calculation yields a string of fermion bound states with energy E_n , $n \neq 0$ and a bound state with Majorana statistics with $E = 0$.

The main difference between the ordinary superconductor and the topological superconducting gas is the spin-locking. In the latter in a closed path the spin is forced to follow the momentum giving rise to a non-trivial Berry phase of $1/2$. This converts the half-integer spinor quantum numbers into integer ones and opens the possibility to the existence of a Majorana fermion.

Within the range of validity of the present calculation ($|E| \ll \Delta_\infty \ll E_F$), the gap between the Majorana state and the first excited fermion state is very small. Hence, extremely low temperatures are required, unless E_F is reduced to close to the vertex of the Dirac Hamiltonian. Although this is beyond the validity of our results, we do not expect qualitative changes in the results. This would be a necessary condition for the use of this Majorana state in quantum computing.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Prof. P. Xiong for helpful comments. The support by the U.S. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) under agreement number D18AC00010 is acknowledged. The National

High Magnetic Field Laboratory is supported by National Science Foundation Cooperative Agreement No. DMR1644779, the State of Florida.

-
- ¹ C.W.J. Beenakker, *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **4**, 113 (2013).
- ² A.Yu. Kitaev, *Phys. Usp.* **44** (Suppl.), 131 (2001).
- ³ L. Fu and C.L. Kane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 096407 (2008).
- ⁴ L. Fu and C.L. Kane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 216403 (2009).
- ⁵ C.W.J. Beenakker, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **87**, 1037 (2015).
- ⁶ A.A. Abrikosov, *Soviet Phys. JETP* **5**, 1174 (1957).
- ⁷ C. Caroli, P.G. de Gennes and J. Matricon, *Phys. Lett.* **9**, 307 (1964).
- ⁸ C. Caroli and J. Matricon, *Phys. kondens. Materie* **3**, 380 (1965).
- ⁹ L. Neumann and L. Tewordt, *Z. Phys.* **189**, 55 (1966).
- ¹⁰ J. Bardeen, R. Kümmel, A.E. Jacobs, and L. Tewordt, *Phys. Rev.* **187**, 556 (1969).
- ¹¹ F. Gygi and M. Schlüter, **43**, 7609 (1991).
- ¹² D. Rainer, J.A. Sauls, and D. Waxman, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 10094 (1996).
- ¹³ *Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*, Section 10.17(i), National Institute of Standards and Technology, <https://dlmf.nist.gov/10.17>.
- ¹⁴ S.-I. Suzuki, Y. Kawaguchi, and Y. Tanaka, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 144516 (2018).
- ¹⁵ A.L. Rakhmanov, A.V. Rozhkov, and F. Nori, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 075141 (2011).
- ¹⁶ Usually a vortex with more than one flux quantum is unstable and splits into vortices with one flux quantum. However, we chose to carry out the calculation for vorticity l .
- ¹⁷ H.G. Hugdal, J. Linder, and S.H. Jacobsen, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 235403 (2017).
- ¹⁸ A. Zyuzin, M. Alidoust, and D. Loss, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 214502 (2016).
- ¹⁹ I.V. Bobkova and A.M. Bobkov, *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 224505 (2017).