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#### Abstract

Let $(\mathcal{P}, \leqslant)$ be a finite poset. Define the numbers $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ (respectively, $\left.c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots\right)$ so that $a_{1}+\ldots+a_{k}$ (respectively, $c_{1}+\ldots+c_{k}$ ) is the maximal number of elements of $\mathcal{P}$ which may be covered by $k$ antichains (respectively, $k$ chains.) Then the number $e(\mathcal{P})$ of linear extensions of poset $\mathcal{P}$ is not less than $\prod a_{i}$ ! and not more than $n!/ \prod c_{i}!$. A corollary: if $\mathcal{P}$ is partitioned onto disjoint antichains of sizes $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots$, then $e(\mathcal{P}) \geqslant \prod b_{i}$ !.


## 1 Introduction

Let $(\mathcal{P}, \leqslant)$ be a finite poset.
In a recent paper [6] the following double inequality for the number $e(\mathcal{P})$ of linear extensions of $\mathcal{P}$ is applied. Partition $\mathcal{P}$ onto disjoint antichains $\mathcal{P}=A_{1} \sqcup A_{2} \sqcup A_{3} \ldots$, where $A_{i}$ is the antichain of elements with rank $i$. Also partition $\mathcal{P}$ in arbitrary way onto disjoint chains $\mathcal{P}=C_{1} \sqcup C_{2} \sqcup C_{3} \ldots$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n!}{\prod\left|C_{i}\right|!} \geqslant e(\mathcal{P}) \geqslant \prod\left|A_{i}\right|! \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To quote [6]: "These bounds are probably folklore; for the lower bound see e.g [5]."
We prove that the right inequality in (1) holds for arbitrary antichain partition. We also improve both inequalities in terms of Greene-Kleitman-Fomin parameters, which we define now.

The antichain Greene-Kleitman-Fomin parameters $a_{1} \geqslant a_{2} \geqslant \ldots$ of a finite poset $\mathcal{P}$ are defined as follows: $a_{1}+\ldots+a_{k}$ is the maximal number of elements of $\mathcal{P}$ which may be covered by $k$ antichains ( $k=1,2, \ldots$ ). The fact that the sequence $\left(a_{i}\right)$ is weakly decreasing is a part of Greene-Kleitman-Fomin theorem [1, 2]. Another claim of this theorem is that for the partition $n=c_{1}+c_{2}+\ldots$ conjugate to the partition $n=a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots$ the sum $c_{1}+\ldots+c_{k}$ is the maximal number of elements of $\mathcal{P}$ which may be covered by $k$ chains. The numbers $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ are called chain Greene-Kleitman-Fomin parameters of poset $\mathcal{P}$.

The main result of this paper is

## Theorem 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n!}{\prod c_{i}!} \geqslant e(P) \geqslant \prod_{i} a_{i}! \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## 2 Majorization lemmata

Let $X$ be a finite multiset consisting of non-negative numbers. For non-negative integer $k$ define $s_{k}(X)$ as the sum of $\min (k,|X|)$ maximal elements of multiset $X$; also denote $s(X)=s_{|X|}(X)$ the sum of all elements of $X$. We say that multiset $X$ majorizes another multiset $Y$ of non-negative numbers and write $X \succ Y$ if $s(X)=s(Y)$ and $s_{k}(X) \geqslant s_{k}(Y)$ for all $k$.

We need the following version of Karamata's majorization inequality.
Theorem (Karamata inequality). Let $f(x):\{0,1, \ldots\} \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ be a log-convex function, i.e., $f(0)=1$ and $f(x+1) / f(x)$ is an increasing function of $x$. Next, let $X, Y \subset\{0,1, \ldots\}$ be two finite multisets. Then $X$ majorizes $Y$ if and only if $\prod_{X} f \geqslant \prod_{Y} f$ for any log-convex function $f$.

This theorem immediately yields
Proposition 2. For multisets $X, Y, Z \subset\{0,1, \ldots\}$ the conditions $X \succ Y$ and $X \cup Z \succ Y \cup Z$ are equivalent.

Further we use Karamata inequality for the log-convex function $f(x)=x$ !
We also use the following simple fact
Proposition 3. Let $X, Y$ be two finite multisets consisting of non-negative integers, and the sum of elements in $Y$ is by 1 less than the sum of elements in $X: s(Y)=s(X)-1$. Denote by $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ there $i$-th largest elements, respectively. Also denote $x_{i}=0$ for $i>|X|$, $y_{i}=0$ for $i>|Y|$. (In these notations we have $s_{k}(X)=\sum_{i \leqslant k} x_{i}, s_{k}(Y)=\sum_{i \leqslant k} y_{i}$.)

Assume that $s_{k}(X) \geqslant s_{k}(Y) \geqslant s_{k}(X)-1$ for all $k$, and there exists $m$ such that $s_{k}(X)=$ $s_{k}(Y)$ for all $k=1,2, \ldots, m-1$. Then $x_{m}>0$ and $Y \succ\left(X \cup\left\{x_{m}-1\right\}\right) \backslash x_{m}$.

Proof. We get $y_{i}=x_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m-1$. Consider the minimal $j$ such that $y_{j} \neq x_{j}$. Then $j \geqslant m$ and denoting $\varepsilon=s_{j}(X)-s_{j}(Y) \in\{0,1\}$ we have

$$
y_{j}=s_{j}(Y)-s_{j-1}(Y)=s_{j}(Y)-s_{j-1}(X)=S_{j}(X)-\varepsilon-s_{j-1}(X)=x_{j}-\varepsilon,
$$

therefore $\varepsilon=1$ and $y_{j}=x_{j}-1$. So we get $x_{m} \geqslant x_{j}=y_{j}+1>0$.
Assume that $x_{j+1}=x_{j}$. Then $y_{j+1} \leqslant y_{j}=x_{j}-1=x_{j+1}-1$ and $s_{j+1}(Y) \leqslant s_{j+1}(X)-2$, a contradiction. Therefore $x_{j+1} \leqslant x_{j}-1$, and $Y$ majorizes multiset $X_{j}:=\left(X \cup\left\{x_{j}-1\right\}\right) \backslash x_{j}$ : indeed, $s_{k}(Y)=s_{k}\left(X_{j}\right)=s_{k}(X)$ for $k \leqslant j-1$, and $s_{k}(Y) \geqslant s_{k}(X)-1=s_{k}\left(X_{j}\right)$ for $k \geqslant j$.

Since $j \geqslant m$, we have $\left\{x_{j}-1, x_{m}\right\} \succ\left\{x_{m}-1, x_{j}\right\}$, and by Proposition 2 we get

$$
Y \succ X_{j}=\left(X \backslash\left\{x_{m}, x_{j}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{x_{j}-1, x_{m}\right\} \succ\left(X \backslash\left\{x_{m}, x_{j}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{x_{m}-1, x_{j}\right\}=X_{m},
$$

as needed.

## 3 Lower bound

Let $(\mathcal{P}, \leqslant)$ be a finite poset, $A \subset \mathcal{P}$ be an antichain. The proof of the main result of [3] implies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(\mathcal{P}) \geqslant \sum_{x \in A} e(\mathcal{P}-x) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the number of linear extensions $e(\cdot)$. Inequality (3) is also proved differently in [4]. In [3] it was proved that (3) turns into equality if antichain $A$ have non-empty intersection with any maximal chain.

For sake of completeness we prove (3). Define an injection from linear extensions of posets $\mathcal{P}-x$, where $x \in A$, to linear extensions of poset $\mathcal{P}$. A linear extension of $\mathcal{P}-x$, $x \in \mathcal{P}$, is understood as an order-preserving bijection $f: \mathcal{P}-x \mapsto\{2,3, \ldots, n\}$, where $n=|\mathcal{P}|$. For such $f$ we construct an order-preserving bijection $\phi f: \mathcal{P} \mapsto\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ as follows. Consider the greedy falling chain from $x$ : put $x_{0}=x$, define $x_{i+1}$ as an element of $\mathcal{P}$ which is less than $x_{i}$ for which $f\left(x_{i}\right)$ is maximal possible. We get a chain $x_{0}>x_{1}>\ldots>x_{t}$.

Let's shift the values along this chain. Namely, put $\phi f\left(x_{i}\right)=f\left(x_{i+1}\right)$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, t-$ $1, \phi f\left(x_{t}\right)=1$. For $y \notin\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{t}\right\}$ put $\phi f(y)=f(y)$. Note that $\phi f$ is order-preserving due to the greedy property. Indeed, if $y\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{t}\right\}$ and $x_{i}>y$, then by greediness we get $f(y) \leqslant f\left(x_{i+1}\right)=\phi f(y)$, other inequalities are obvious.

It is straightforward that for the map $\phi f$ the chain $x_{t}<x_{t-1}<\ldots<x_{0}$ is the greedy increasing chain: $x_{t}=(\phi f)^{-1}(1)$, and each next element realizes the minimal possible value of $\phi f$.

Therefore, if the maps $f: \mathcal{P}-x \mapsto\{2,3, \ldots, n\}$ and $g: \mathcal{P}-y \mapsto\{2,3, \ldots, n\}$ satisfy $\phi f=\phi g$, then $x, y$ belong to the greedy increasing chain of $\phi f$. If $x, y$ also belong to the antichain $A$, then we get $x=y$ and $f=g$.

Injectivity of $\varphi$ is proved, it implies inequality (3).
Proof of lower bound in (2). Induction on $n=|\mathcal{P}|$. Base $n=1$ is obvious.
Step from $n-1$ to $n$.
Let $A$ be a maximal antichain in $\mathcal{P}$, then $|A|=a_{1}$. Fix $x \in A$. Let $r_{1} \geqslant r_{2} \ldots$ denote antichain Greene-Kleitman-Fomin parameters for $\mathcal{P}-x$. Due to Proposition 3 the multiset $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ majorizes the multiset $\left\{a_{1}-1, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots\right\}$. By Karamata inequality for log-convex function $f(x)=x$ ! we get

$$
\prod r_{i}!\geqslant\left(a_{1}-1\right)!\prod_{i>1} a_{i}!=\frac{1}{a_{1}} \prod_{i} a_{i}!
$$

Therefore by induction proposition we have $e(\mathcal{P}-x) \geqslant \frac{1}{a_{1}} \prod_{i} a_{i}$ ! For all $x \in A$. Summing up over all $x \in A$ and using (3) we see that indeed $e(\mathcal{P}) \geqslant \prod_{i} a_{i}$ !, as needed.

Corollary. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be partitioned onto antichains of sizes $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$. Then $e(\mathcal{P}) \geqslant \prod_{i} c_{i}$ !.
Proof. It suffices to note that multiset $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is majorized by the multiset $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ and apply Karamata inequality for factorial. Alternatively, we may prove this claim directly by induction using (3).

## 4 Upper bound

For bounding the number of linear extensions from above we need
Lemma 4. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a finite poset, $A \subset \mathcal{P}$ be the antichain of maximal elements in $\mathcal{P}$, $c_{1} \geqslant c_{2} \geqslant \ldots$ be the chain Greene-Kleitman-Fomin parameters of $\mathcal{P}$. Then the elements of A may be enumerated as $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{|A|}$ so that for all $i=1,2, \ldots,|A|$ there exist $i$ chains whose maximal elements belong to the set $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}\right\} \cup(\mathcal{P} \backslash A)$ with total size $c_{1}+\ldots+c_{i}$.

Proof. Assume that the elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}$ are already chosen and satisfy the conditions of Lemma. Contract the set $A \backslash\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}\right\}$ to a new one element $t$, denote the new poset $\mathcal{Q}$. The first $i$ chain Greene-Kleitman-Fomin parameters of $\mathcal{Q}$ are the same as for $\mathcal{P}$. Denote the $(i+1)$-th parameter by $\alpha$. We should prove that $\alpha=c_{i+1}$ : it allows to choose appropriate $x_{i+1}$. Assume that on the contrary $\alpha<c_{i+1}$. Then by Greene-Kleitman-Fomin duality we may find $i$ chains in $\mathcal{Q}$ of total size $c_{1}+\ldots+c_{i}$ (not containing $t$ ) and $\alpha$ antichains so that they cover all elements of $\mathcal{Q}$ and each chain intersects each antichain. Note that the antichain containing $t$ remains an antichain if we replace $\mathcal{Q}$ back to $\mathcal{P}$ (by splitting the element $t$ ). But then $i+1$ chains in $\mathcal{P}$ may cover at most $c_{1}+\ldots+c_{i}+\alpha$ elements: at most $\alpha(i+1)$ elements may be covered by $\alpha$ antichains, and exactly $c_{1}+\ldots+c_{i}-i \alpha$ elements remain. A contradiction.

Proof of the upper bound in (22). By induction, we suppose the inequality proved for posets with $n-1$ elements (the base $n=1$ is obvious). Enumerate antichain $A$ of maximal elements as in Lemman Let $r_{1} \geqslant r_{2} \geqslant \ldots$ be chain Greene-Kleitman-Fomin parameters of the poset $\mathcal{P}-x_{i}$. It is clear that for all $j$ we have $c_{1}+\ldots+c_{j} \geqslant r_{1}+\ldots+r_{j} \geqslant c_{1}+\ldots+c_{j}-1$, and for $j \leqslant$ $i-1$ the equality $r_{1}+\ldots+r_{j}=c_{1}+\ldots+c_{j}$ holds. Therefore using Proposition 3 we conclude that the multiset $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ majorizes the multiset $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{j-1}, c_{j}-1, c_{j+1}, \ldots\right\}$. By Karamata inequality for factorial we have $\Pi r_{j}!\geqslant \frac{1}{c_{j}} \prod_{j} c_{j}!$, and using induction proposition we get

$$
e\left(\mathcal{P}-x_{i}\right) \leqslant \frac{(n-1)!}{\prod r_{j}!} \leqslant \frac{c_{j}(n-1)!}{\prod c_{j}!} .
$$

Sum up this by all $i$ and apply the obvious equality $e(\mathcal{P})=\sum_{i} e\left(\mathcal{P}-x_{i}\right)$ we complete the induction step.

## 5 Accuracy of the bounds

The upper and lower bounds in (21) are close enough: there ratio is always $e^{O(n \log \log n)}=$ $n!^{o(1)}$ (but alas worse than exponential in $n$ ). This follows from the following general inequality.

Theorem 5. For any two conjugate partitions $a_{1} \geqslant a_{2} \geqslant \ldots$ and $c_{1} \geqslant c_{2} \geqslant \ldots$ of the positive integer $n$ onto positive integer parts the inequality

$$
\prod_{i} a_{i}!\prod_{i} c_{i}!\geqslant\left(\frac{n}{e H_{n}}\right)^{n}=n!e^{-n \log \log n+O(\log n)}
$$

holds (here $H_{n}=1+1 / 2+\ldots+1 / n=\log n+O(1)$ is a Harmonic sum).
Proof. We have

$$
\prod_{i} c_{i}!=\prod_{k} k^{\left|i: c_{i} \geqslant k\right|}=\prod_{k} k^{a_{k}}
$$

thus the inequality to prove is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{k} k^{a_{k}} a_{k}!\geqslant\left(\frac{n}{e H_{n}}\right)^{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we now prove for arbitrary non-negative integers $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ which sum up to $n$. Without loss of generality $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are chosen so that the left hand side of (4) is
minimal possible. Choose two indices $k, \ell$ such that $a_{\ell}>0$ and try to replace $a_{k}$ to $a_{k}+1$, $a_{\ell}$ to $a_{\ell}-1$. Left hand side of (4) is multiplied by $k\left(a_{k}+1\right) /\left(\ell a_{\ell}\right)$, thus $k\left(a_{k}+1\right) \geqslant \ell a_{\ell}$. This last inequality holds for $a_{\ell}=0$ too. Sum up the inequalities $k\left(a_{k}+1\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\ell} \geqslant a_{\ell}$ over $\ell=1,2, \ldots, n$ we get $k\left(a_{k}+1\right) H_{n} \geqslant a_{1}+\ldots+a_{n}=n$. Next, using the inequality $a!\geqslant\left(\frac{a+1}{e}\right)^{a}$ which holds for all non-negative integer $a$ (it may be proved by induction, for example) we get

$$
\prod_{k} k^{a_{k}} a_{k}!\geqslant \prod_{k}\left(\frac{k\left(a_{k}+1\right)}{e}\right)^{a_{k}} \geqslant \prod_{k}\left(\frac{n}{e H_{n}}\right)^{a_{k}}=\left(\frac{n}{e H_{n}}\right)^{n}
$$

as needed.
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