

On measures of classicality/quantumness in quasiprobability representations of finite-dimensional quantum systems

N.Abbasli¹, V.Abgaryan^{1,2}, M.Bures^{1,3}, A.Khvedelidze^{1,4,5},
I.Rogojin¹ and A.Torosyan¹

¹Laboratory of Information Technologies, JINR, Dubna, Russia

²A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (YerPHI), Yerevan, Armenia

³Institute of Experimental and Applied Physics, CTU, Prague, Czech Republic

⁴A Razmadze Mathematical Institute, TSU, Tbilisi, Georgia

⁵Institute of Quantum Physics and Engineering Technologies, GTU, Tbilisi, Georgia

Abstract

In the present report we discuss measures of classicality/quantumness of states of finite-dimensional quantum systems, which are based on a deviation of quasiprobability distributions from true statistical distributions. Particularly, the dependence of the global indicator of classicality on the assigned geometry of a quantum state space is analysed for a whole family of Wigner quasiprobability representations. General considerations are exemplified by constructing the global indicator of classicality/quantumness for the Hilbert-Schmidt, Bures and Bogoliubov-Kubo-Mori ensembles of qubits and qutrits.

1 Background and motivation

A centenary history of development of quantum theory shows a persistent request for a genuine unification of basic quantum-mechanical principles with concepts of classical statistical physics. The primary difficulties on this way are due to a fundamental ban originating from the Heisenberg canonical commutation relations, $[q, p] = i\hbar$, between the phase-space variables (q, p) . The non-vanishing Plank constant \hbar impedes the existence of a function $W_\varrho(p, q)$, playing the role of a proper joint probability distribution of coordinates q and momenta p associated with a given quantum state ϱ . In the early years of development of quantum theory, rejection of a complete statistical

description allowed Weyl and Wigner to formulate a phase-space representation of quantum mechanics with a quasiprobability function $W_\varrho(p, q)$, such that the corresponding marginals are true probability distributions of the canonically conjugate coordinates q and p [1, 2]. However, in contrast to proper distributions the function $W_\varrho(p, q)$ is not everywhere non-negative for all quantum states and thus it can only be interpreted as a quasiprobability distribution function.¹ Today, despite this drawback, a description of quantum systems using the technique of quasiprobability distribution became an important source of our understanding of quantum phenomena (see e.g. [3, 4, 5] and references therein). Furthermore, perceiving the inevitability of the existence of negative values in quasiprobability representation of states as a reflection of the real “quantumness” of physical systems, studies move to a practical context, where the negativity of states is taken as a basis for building corresponding measures of nonclassicality (e.g., [6] and references therein). However, elaborating this idea we are faced with a serious complexity. Indeed, introducing an admissible indicator of classicality/quantumness, the following general requirements should be taken into account:

- (I) independence of an indicator from a representation of quantum states;
- (II) independence of an indicator from a quasiprobability representation of states.

While satisfying the first requirement is a relatively simple issue, it is enough to assume that an indicator is a function of a state unitary invariants, the second task is a highly nontrivial one. There exist infinitely many quasiprobability distributions and a quantum state can be negative in one representation and positive in another. In [7] it was argued that the positivity in one representation is neither a necessary nor a sufficient condition for classical description, nor the negativity of a specific representation is sufficient for nonclassicality. Considering any one of these quasiprobability representations we are not able to determine absolute criteria for the classicality/quantumness. Ideally, in order to quantify a state classicality/quantumness we need to determine characteristics which are unique for a complete family of such representations.

In the present report we will discuss both issues, (I) and (II), constructing a classicality/quantumness measure for a family of the Wigner quasiprobability representation of finite-dimensional quantum systems. We will follow approach [9, 10, 11] to the construction of the Wigner quasiprobability distributions $W_\varrho^{(\nu)}(\Omega_N)$ of an N -level quantum system via the dual pairing,

$$W_\varrho^{(\nu)}(\Omega_N) = \text{tr} [\varrho \Delta(\Omega_N | \nu)] , \quad (1)$$

¹Certainly, for some states there exists such a true statistical distribution. For example, according to the Hudson’s theorem [8], a Gaussian wave function is the only pure state corresponding to a positive Wigner function.

of the density matrix $\varrho \in \mathfrak{P}_N$ from the quantum state space \mathfrak{P}_N :

$$\mathfrak{P}_N = \{X \in M_N(\mathbb{C}) \mid X = X^\dagger, \quad X \geq 0, \quad \text{tr}(X) = 1\}, \quad (2)$$

and the Stratonovich-Weyl (SW) kernel $\Delta(\Omega_N \mid \boldsymbol{\nu}) \in \mathfrak{P}_N^*$ from the dual space \mathfrak{P}_N^* :

$$\mathfrak{P}_N^* = \{X \in M_N(\mathbb{C}) \mid X = X^\dagger, \quad \text{tr}(X) = 1, \quad \text{tr}(X^2) = N\}. \quad (3)$$

Analysing algebraic equations (3), one can conclude that,

- a) The phase-space Ω_N can be identified as a complex flag manifold, $\Omega_N \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_s}^N = U(N)/H$, where (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_s) is a sequence of positive integers with sum N , such that $k_1 = d_1$ and $k_{i+1} = d_{i+1} - d_i$ with $d_{s+1} = N$. The corresponding SW kernel has the isotropy group $H = U(k_1) \times U(k_2) \times \dots \times U(k_{s+1})$;
- b) The isotropy group H of SW kernel provides the existence of a family of Wigner distributions. The corresponding moduli space \mathcal{P}_N represents a spherical polyhedron on $(N-2)$ -dimensional sphere $\mathbb{S}_{N-2}(1)$ of radius one. Further in the text, the s -dimensional moduli parameter $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_s)$, $s \leq N-2$ will be used to enumerate the Wigner distributions (see details in [10],[11]).

The representation independent characteristic of the classicality can be constructed by averaging over the moduli space $\mathcal{P}_N(\boldsymbol{\nu})$:

$$\langle Q \rangle = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{P}_N)} \int_{\mathcal{P}_N} d\mathcal{P}_N(\boldsymbol{\nu}) \mathcal{Q}_N[g \mid \boldsymbol{\nu}] \quad (4)$$

of the *global indicator of classicality/quantumness* \mathcal{Q}_N defined as the relative volume ratio of the subspace $\mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{P}_N^{(+)}]$ of the orbit space $\mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{P}_N] = \mathfrak{P}_N/SU(N)$ of the state space \mathfrak{P}_N , where the Wigner function is non-negative [12]:

$$\mathcal{Q}_N[g \mid \boldsymbol{\nu}] = \frac{\int \dots \int_{\mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{P}_N^{(+)}]} dP_N(g \mid \mathbf{r})}{\int \dots \int_{\mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{P}_N]} dP_N(g \mid \mathbf{r})}. \quad (5)$$

The total orbit space $\mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{P}_N]$ can be realised as the ordered $(N-1)$ -simplex in the space of eigenvalues $\mathbf{r}^\dagger = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N\}$ of a density matrix ϱ :

$$\mathcal{C}^{(N-1)} = \left\{ \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^N \left| \sum_{i=1}^N r_i = 1, \quad 1 \geq r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \dots \geq r_{N-1} \geq r_N \geq 0 \right. \right\}, \quad (6)$$

while according to [12] the subspace $\mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{P}_N^{(+)}]$ represents a dual cone of $\mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{P}_N]$:

$$\mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{P}_N^{(+)}] = \{ \boldsymbol{\pi} \in \text{spec}(\Delta(\Omega_N)) \mid (\mathbf{r}^\downarrow, \boldsymbol{\pi}^\uparrow) \geq 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{P}_N] \}, \quad (7)$$

with the cone defined via the dual pairing $(\mathbf{r}^\downarrow, \boldsymbol{\pi}^\uparrow) = r_1\pi_N + r_2\pi_{N-1} + \dots + r_N\pi_1$, of \mathbf{r} and the N -tuple $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ of increasing eigenvalues of SW kernel $\Delta(\Omega_N \mid \boldsymbol{\nu})$.

The suggested measure of classicality (4) fulfils both conditions, (I) and (II). By the averaging procedure in (4) we fulfil requirement (II) and since the global indicator of classicality/nonclassicality is defined on the orbit space of a quantum system and therefore provides an unitary invariant measure, the requirement (I) is satisfied as well. However, the indicator (4) depends on metrical characteristics of the moduli space \mathcal{P}_N and the orbit space $\mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{P}_N]$. Since the moduli space is represented by an $(N-2)$ -dimensional spherical polyhedron, we suppose that the corresponding measure corresponds to uniform distribution on $\mathbb{S}_{N-1}(1)$, while the measure on the orbit space, $d\mathcal{P}_N(\mathbf{g} \mid \mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{\det ||\mathbf{g}||} d\mathbf{r}_1 \wedge d\mathbf{r}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge d\mathbf{r}_N$, is induced from the Riemannian metric \mathbf{g} on the state space \mathfrak{P}_N . In the remaining part of the report we will discuss the dependence of the suggested indicator of classicality on the metric of a quantum state space. From a wide variety of special Riemannian metrics commonly used in the Quantum Statistics and Information Theory, we will analyse the Hilbert-Schmidt metric and two monotone metrics [13, 14]), the Bures and the Bogoliubov-Kubo-Mori metrics. Detailed discussion of the indicator of classicality for qubit ($N = 2$) and qutrit ($N = 3$) will be given.

2 Riemannian geometry of state space \mathfrak{P}_N and classicality/quantumness indicator \mathcal{Q}_N

In this section the results of our studies of the dependence of the indicator (5) on the metric of a quantum state space will be presented. We will consider a basic, Hilbert-Schmidt (HS) metric and two representatives of the family of the so-called monotone metrics, the Bures (B) and Bogoliubov-Kubo-Mori (BKM) metrics.

• **The Hilbert-Schmidt metric** • For an N -dimensional quantum system the infinitesimal version of the Hilbert-Schmidt distance is given by the expression:

$$g_{\text{HS}} = 4 \text{tr} (d\varrho \otimes d\varrho) . \quad (8)$$

For further computational aims it is convenient to rewrite (8) in terms of SVD of a density matrix, $\varrho = UDU^\dagger$, where $U \in SU(N)$, and $D = \text{diag} ||r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N||$ with descending order of eigenvalues from the $(N-1)$ -simplex (6). Here we assume that the spectrum of ϱ is generic and thus the arbitrariness of U is given by the isotropy group represented by the torus T of $SU(N)$. In terms of SVD coordinates, the volume form factorizes into the measure $\omega_{\text{SU}(N)/T}$ on the coset $SU(N)/T$ induced from the Haar

measure on the $U(N)$ group manifold and the “radial part” factor which depends on the spectrum of a state only. The latter represents the Hilbert-Schmidt measure $dP(g_{\text{HS}})$ on the orbit space (6),

$$dP_N(g_{\text{HS}}|\mathbf{r}) = c_{\text{HS}} \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^N r_i - 1\right) \prod_{i<j}^N (r_i - r_j)^2 dr_1 \wedge dr_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dr_N, \quad (9)$$

where c_{HS} is a normalization constant.

• **The Bures and Bogoliubov-Kubo-Mori metrics** • Using the SVD decomposition of elements of \mathfrak{P}_N , the stochastically monotone metrics can be written in the following form:

$$g_f = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{dr_i \otimes dr_i}{r_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i<j}^N c_f(r_i, r_j) (r_i - r_j)^2 (U^\dagger dU)_{ij} \otimes (U^\dagger dU)_{ij}, \quad (10)$$

where $c(x, y)$ is the so-called Morozova-Chentsov function; $c_f(x, y) = \frac{1}{yf(x/y)}$ is given by the operator monotone function $f(t)$. For the Bures and BKM metrics these functions are $f_{\text{BW}}(t) = (1+t)/2$ and $f_{\text{BKM}}(t) = (t-1)/\ln t$, respectively. Having these representations, we are in a position to compare the indicators \mathcal{Q} for the simplest, two- and three-level systems endowed with the above described metrics.

2.1 Qubit

From (3) it follows that the spectrum of SW kernel of a 2-level system is unique, $\text{spec}(\Delta_2) = \{(1 + \sqrt{3})/2, (1 - \sqrt{3})/2\}$. Its dual pairing with a 2-level density matrix $\rho = \frac{1}{2}[\mathbb{I}_2 + (\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\sigma})]$, characterized by the Bloch vector $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, gives the Wigner quasiprobability distribution of the qubit defined on a 2-sphere:

$$W_\varrho(\mathbf{n}) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{n}), \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{S}^2.$$

All mixed states belong to the Bloch ball, $(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \leq 1$, while the positivity cone (7) represents qubit states inside the following ball: $(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) < 1/3$.

• **The Hilbert-Schmidt metric** • Taking into account the positivity domain (7) and using the expression (9) for $N = 2$, the indicator \mathcal{Q}_2 of the Hilbert-Schmidt qubit reduces to the ratio of two simple integrals,

$$\mathcal{Q}_2[g_{\text{HS}}] = \frac{\int_0^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}} r^2 dr}{\int_0^1 r^2 dr} = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}} \approx 0.19245. \quad (11)$$




Figure 1: A qubit probability $Q(r)$, calculated for the Hilbert-Schmidt, Bures and the Bogoliubov-Kubo-Mori metrics.

• **Bures and BKM metric** • Similar calculation for the Bures and BKM ensemble of qubits give,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_2[g_B] &= \frac{\text{Vol}_B(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})}{\text{Vol}_B(1)} = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\arcsin \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \right] \approx 0.09172, \\ \mathcal{Q}_2[g_{\text{BKM}}] &= \frac{\text{Vol}_{\text{BKM}}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})}{\text{Vol}_{\text{BKM}}(1)} = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\arcsin \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \text{arccoth } \sqrt{3} \right] \approx 0.0495506, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $\text{Vol}_X(r)$ denotes the volume of the Bloch ball of radius r in metric “ X ”. The corresponding probability $Q(r)$ to find a qubit state ϱ with positive WF within the Bloch ball of radius r is depicted in Fig.1.

2.2 Qutrit

• **The Hilbert-Schmidt metric** • According to (3), the Wigner quasiprobability representation of a 3-level system is one-parametric. The spectrum of SW kernel can be parametrized by the apex angle $\zeta \in [0, \pi/3]$ of a unit circle segment [10]:

$$\text{spec}(\Delta_3) = \left\{ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \zeta + \frac{2}{3} \cos \zeta, \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \zeta + \frac{2}{3} \cos \zeta, \frac{1}{3} - \frac{4}{3} \cos \zeta \right\}. \quad (13)$$

Decomposing a qutrit density matrix spectrum via the polar coordinates (r, φ) ,

$$\text{spec}(\varrho) = \left\{ \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2r}{\sqrt{3}} \cos \frac{\varphi + 2\pi}{3}, \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2r}{\sqrt{3}} \cos \frac{\varphi + 4\pi}{3}, \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2r}{\sqrt{3}} \cos \frac{\varphi}{3} \right\}, \quad (14)$$

a qutrit orbit space and its subspace of WF positivity reads ($r \geq 0, \varphi \in [0, \pi]$):

$$\mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{P}_3] : \cos\left(\frac{\varphi}{3}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}r}, \quad \mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{P}_3^{(+)}] : \cos\left(\frac{\varphi}{3} + \zeta - \frac{\pi}{3}\right) \leq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}r}. \quad (15)$$

Taking into account the expression for the Hilbert-Schmidt measure on the orbit space $\mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{P}_3]$, $\omega_{\mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{P}_3]} = r^7 \sin^2 \varphi \, dr \wedge d\varphi$, we derive the global indicator of classicality of the Hilbert-Schmidt qutrit as function of the moduli parameter ζ [12]:

$$\mathcal{Q}_3(\zeta) = \frac{\int_0^\pi d\varphi \int_0^{\frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}\cos(\frac{\varphi}{3} + \zeta - \frac{\pi}{3})}} r^7 \sin^2(\varphi) dr}{\int_0^\pi d\varphi \int_0^{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}\cos\frac{\varphi}{3}}} r^7 \sin^2(\varphi) dr} = \frac{1}{128} \frac{1 + 20 \cos^2(\zeta - \pi/6)}{(-1 + 4 \cos^2(\zeta - \pi/6))^5}. \quad (16)$$

Note, that the indicator $\mathcal{Q}_3(\zeta)$ attains at a qutrit moduli parameter $\zeta = \pi/6$ the absolute minimum, $\min_{\zeta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{3}]} \mathcal{Q}_3(\zeta) \approx 0.000675$, corresponding to SW kernel with the spectrum: $\text{spec}(\Delta_3)|_{\zeta=\frac{\pi}{6}} = \|\frac{1+2\sqrt{3}}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1-2\sqrt{3}}{3}\|$.

3 Final remarks

As it was outlined in the first part of our report, a true classicality/quantumness measure, being universal for different quasiprobability representations, may be sensitive to the geometry of a state space. Our calculations of the average of the global indicator $Q(\zeta)$ over a qutrit moduli space support this supposition,

$$\langle Q_{\text{HS}} \rangle_\zeta = 0.00136368, \quad \langle Q_{\text{B}} \rangle_\zeta = 0.00019165, \quad \langle Q_{\text{BKM}} \rangle_\zeta = 0.00002762. \quad (17)$$

Acknowledgment The work of MB was supported in part by the EU Regional Development Fund-Project No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000766.

References

- [1] Weyl H., *Gruppentheorie und Quantenmechanik*, Hirzel-Verlag, Leipzig, 1928.
- [2] Wigner E.P., *On the Quantum Correction For Thermodynamic Equilibrium*, Phys. Rev., V. 40, P. 749-759, 1932.
- [3] Sperling J., Walmsley I.A., *Quasiprobability representation of quantum coherence*, Phys. Rev. A, V. 97, P. 062327-14, 2018.
- [4] Veitch V., Ferrie C., Gross D., Emerson J., *Negative Quasi-Probability as a Resource for Quantum Computation*, New J. Phys. 2012. V. 14. P. 113011-21.

- [5] Ferrie C., *Quasi-probability representations of quantum theory with applications to quantum information science*, Reports on Progress in Physics, 2011. V. 74. P. 116001-24.
- [6] Kenfack A., Życzkowski K., *Negativity of the Wigner function as an indicator of non-classicality*, J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 2004. V. 6. P. 396-404.
- [7] Spekkens R. W., *Negativity and contextuality are equivalent notions of nonclassicality*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2008. V. 101. P. 020401-4.
- [8] Hudson R.L., *When is the Wigner quasi-probability density non-negative?*, Rep. Math. Phys. 1974. V. 6. P. 240-252.
- [9] Stratonovich R.L., *On Distributions in Representation Space*, Soviet Physics JETP. 1957. V. 4. P. 891-898. (Russian original - ZhETF. 1957. V. 31, No. 6, P. 1012.)
- [10] Abgaryan V., Khvedelidze A., *On families of Wigner functions for N -level quantum systems*, Preprint <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1708.05981.pdf>. 2018. 8 p.
- [11] Abgaryan A., Khvedelidze A., Torosyan A., *On the moduli space of the Wigner quasiprobability distributions for N -dimensional quantum systems*, J. Math. Sci. 2018. V. 240. P. 617-633.
- [12] Abgaryan V., Khvedelidze A., Torosyan A., *The global indicator of classicality of an arbitrary N -level quantum system*, Zap. Nauch. Sem. POMI. 2019. V. 485. P. 5-23.
- [13] Morozova E.A., Chentsov N.N., *Markov invariant geometry on state manifolds*, J. Math. Sci. 1991. V. 56. P. 2648-2669 (Translated from: Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki. 1990. V. 36. P. 69-102.)
- [14] Petz D., Sudar C., *Geometries of quantum states*, J. Math. Phys. 1996. V. 37. P. 2662-2673.