# A note on complex-hyperbolic Kleinian groups

Subhadip Dey\* Michael Kapovich<sup>†</sup>

May 2, 2020

#### Abstract

Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group of isometries acting on the complex hyperbolic n-space  $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ . In this note, we prove that if  $\Gamma$  is convex-cocompact, torsion-free, and the critical exponent  $\delta(\Gamma)$  is strictly lesser than 2, then the complex manifold  $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}/\Gamma$  is Stein. We also discuss several related conjectures.

The theory of complex hyperbolic manifolds and complex-hyperbolic Kleinian groups (i.e. discrete holomorphic isometry groups of complex hyperbolic spaces  $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ ) is a rich mixture of Riemannian and complex geometry, topology, dynamics, symplectic geometry and complex analysis. The purpose of this note is to discuss interactions of the theory of complex-hyperbolic Kleinian groups and the function theory of complex-hyperbolic manifolds. Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group of isometries acting on the complex-hyperbolic n-space,  $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ , the unit ball  $\mathbf{B}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  equipped with the Bergmann metric. A fundamental numerical invariant associated with  $\Gamma$  is the *critical exponent*  $\delta(\Gamma)$  of  $\Gamma$ , defined by

$$\delta(\Gamma) = \inf \left\{ s : \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s \cdot d(x, \gamma x)} < \infty \right\},$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$  is any point. The critical exponent measures the rate of exponential growth the  $\Gamma$ -orbit  $\Gamma x \subset \mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ ; it also equals the Haussdorff dimension of the conical limit set of  $\Gamma$ , see [7] and [8].

Our main result is:

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $\Gamma < \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  is a convex-cocompact, torsion-free discrete subgroup satisfying  $\delta(\Gamma) < 2$ . Then  $M_{\Gamma} = \mathbf{B}^n/\Gamma$  is Stein.

<sup>\*</sup>Dept. of Math., UC Davis, One Shields Ave, Davis, CA 95616, email: sdey@math.ucdavis.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Dept. of Math., UC Davis, One Shields Ave, Davis, CA 95616, email: kapovich@math.ucdavis.edu

 $<sup>{}^{1}\</sup>delta(\Gamma)$  does not depend on the choice of  $x \in \mathbb{H}^{n}_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

The condition on the critical exponent in the above theorem is sharp since, for a complex Fuchsian subgroup  $\Gamma < \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$ ,  $\delta(\Gamma) = 2$ , but the quotient  $M_{\Gamma} = \mathbf{B}^n/\Gamma$  is non-Stein because the convex core of  $M_{\Gamma}$  is a complex curve, see Example 4. On the other hand, if  $\Gamma$  is a torsion-free real Fuchsian subgroup or a small deformation of such (see Example 3), then  $\Gamma$  satisfies the condition of the above theorem.

The main ingredients in the proof of Theorem 1 are Proposition 11 and Theorem 15. The condition "convex-cocompact" is only used in Proposition 11, whereas Theorem 15 holds for any torsion-free discrete subgroup  $\Gamma < \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  satisfying  $\delta(\Gamma) < 2$ .

Conjecture 2. Theorem 1 holds if we omit the "convex-cocompact" assumption on  $\Gamma$ .

In section 4 we discuss other conjectural generalizations of Theorem 1 and supporting results.

**Acknowledgement.** The second author was partly supported by the NSF grant DMS-16-04241.

## 1 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some definitions and basic facts about the n-dimensional complex hyperbolic space, we refer to [9, 11] for details.

Consider the n-dimensional complex vector space  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  equipped with the pseudo-hermitian bilinear form

$$\langle z, w \rangle = -z_0 \bar{w}_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \bar{w}_k \tag{1}$$

and define the quadratic form q(z) of signature (n,1) by  $q(z) := \langle z, z \rangle$ . Then q defines the negative light cone  $V_- := \{z : q(z) < 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . The projection of  $V_-$  in the projectivization of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , is an open ball which we denote by  $\mathbf{B}^n$ .

The tangent space  $T_{[z]}\mathbb{P}^n$  is naturally identified with  $z^{\perp}$ , the orthogonal complement of  $\mathbb{C}z$  in V, taken with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . If  $z \in V_{-}$ , then the restriction of q to  $z^{\perp}$  is positive-definite, hence,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  project to a hermitian metric h (also denoted  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_h$ ) on  $\mathbf{B}^n$ . The complex hyperbolic n-space  $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$  is  $\mathbf{B}^n$  equipped with the hermitian metric h. The boundary  $\partial \mathbf{B}^n$  of  $\mathbf{B}^n$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  gives a natural compactification of  $\mathbf{B}^n$ .

In this note, we usually denote the complex hyperbolic n-space by  $\mathbf{B}^n$ . The real part of the hermitian metric h defines a Riemannian metric g on  $\mathbf{B}^n$ . The sectional curvature of g varies between -4 and -1. We denote the distance function on  $\mathbf{B}^n$  by d. The distance function satisfies

$$\cosh^{2}(d(0,z)) = (1-|z|^{2})^{-1}.$$
(2)

A real linear subspace  $W \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  is said to be *totally real* with respect to the form (1) if for any two vectors  $z, w \in W$ ,  $\langle z, w \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ . Such a subspace is automatically totally real in the usual sense:  $JW \cap W = \{0\}$ , where J is the almost complex structure on V. (Real)

geodesics in  $\mathbf{B}^n$  are projections of totally real indefinite (with respect to q) 2-planes in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  (intersected with  $V_-$ ). For instance, geodesics through the origin  $0 \in \mathbf{B}^n$  are Euclidean line segments in  $\mathbf{B}^n$ . More generally, totally-geodesic real subspaces in  $\mathbf{B}^n$  are projections of totally real indefinite subspaces in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  (intersected with  $V_-$ ). They are isometric to the real hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{R}}$  of constant sectional curvature -1.

Complex geodesics in  $\mathbf{B}^n$  are projections of indefinite complex 2-planes. Complex geodesics are isometric to the unit disk with the hermitian metric

$$\frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(1-|z|^2)^2},$$

which has constant sectional curvature -4. More generally, k-dimensional complex hyperbolic subspaces  $\mathbb{H}^k_{\mathbb{C}}$  in  $\mathbf{B}^n$  are projections of indefinite complex (k+1)-dimensional subspaces (intersected with  $V_-$ ).

All complete totally-geodesic submanifolds in  $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$  are either real or complex hyperbolic subspaces.

The group  $U(n,1) \cong U(q)$  of (complex) automorphisms of the form q projects to the group  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n) \cong \operatorname{PU}(n,1)$  of complex (biholomorphic, isometric) automorphisms of  $\mathbf{B}^n$ . The group  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  is linear, its matrix representation is given, for instance, by the adjoint representation, which is faithful since  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  has trivial center.

A discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  is called a *complex-hyperbolic Kleinian group*. The accumulation set of an(y) orbit  $\Gamma x$  in  $\partial \mathbf{B}^n$  is called the *limit set* of  $\Gamma$  and denoted by  $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ . The complement of  $\Lambda(\Gamma)$  in  $\partial \mathbf{B}^n$  is called the *domain of discontinuity* of  $\Gamma$  and denoted by  $\Omega(\Gamma)$ . The group  $\Gamma$  acts properly discontinuously on  $\mathbf{B}^n \cup \Omega(\Gamma)$ .

For a (torsion-free) complex-hyperbolic Kleinian group  $\Gamma$ , the quotient  $\mathbf{B}^n/\Gamma$  is a Riemannian orbifold (manifold) equipped with push-forward of the Riemannian metric of  $\mathbf{B}^n$ . We reserve the notation  $M_{\Gamma}$  to denote this quotient. The *convex core* of  $M_{\Gamma}$  is the the projection of the closed convex hull of  $\Lambda(\Gamma)$  in  $\mathbf{B}^n$ . The subgroup  $\Gamma$  is called *convex-cocompact* if the convex core of  $M_{\Gamma}$  is a nonempty compact subset. Equivalently (see [3]),  $\overline{M}_{\Gamma} = (\mathbf{B}^n \cup \Omega(\Gamma))/\Gamma$  is compact.

Below are two interesting examples of convex-cocompact complex-hyperbolic Kleinian groups which will also serve as illustrations our results.

**Example 3** (Real Fuchsian subgroups). Let  $\mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathbf{B}^n$  be a totally real hyperbolic plane. This inclusion is induced by an embedding  $\rho: \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  whose image preserves  $\mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let  $\Gamma' < \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{R}})$  be a uniform lattice. Then  $\Gamma = \rho(\Gamma')$  preserves  $\mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{R}}$  and acts on it cocompactly. Such subgroups  $\Gamma < \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  will be called *real Fuchsian subgroups*. The compact surface-orbifold  $\Sigma = \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{R}}/\Gamma$  is the convex core of  $M_{\Gamma}$ . The critical exponent  $\delta(\Gamma)$  is 1.

Let  $\Gamma_t$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , be a continuous family of deformations of  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$  in  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  such that  $\Gamma_t$ 's, for t > 0, are convex-cocompact but not real Fuchsian. Such deformation exist as long as  $\Gamma_t$  is, say, torsion-free, see e.g. [15]. The groups  $\Gamma_t$ , t > 0, are called *real quasi-Fuchsian subgroups*. The critical exponents of such subgroups are strictly greater than 1.

**Example 4** (Complex Fuchsian subgroups). Let Γ' be a cocompact subgroup of SU(1,1), the identity component isometry group of the real-hyperbolic plane (modulo  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ) and let  $SU(1,1) \to SU(n,1)$  be any embedding. Note that SU(n,1) modulo center (isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ ) is isomorphic to PU(n,1). By taking compositions, we get a representation  $\rho: \Gamma' \to PU(n,1)$ . Then  $\Gamma := \rho(\Gamma')$  leaves a complex geodesic invariant in  $\mathbf{B}^n$ . Such subgroups Γ will be called *complex Fuchsian subgroups*. In this case,  $\operatorname{core}(M_{\Gamma}) = \mathbb{H}^1_{\mathbb{C}}/\Gamma$  is a compact complex curve in  $M_{\Gamma}$  where  $\mathbb{H}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$  is the Γ-invariant complex geodesic. The critical exponent  $\delta(\Gamma)$  is 2.

# 2 Generalities on complex manifolds

By a complex manifold with boundary M, we mean a smooth manifold with (possibly empty) boundary  $\partial M$  such that  $\operatorname{int}(M)$  is equipped with a complex structure and that there exists a smooth embedding  $f: M \to X$  to an equidimensional complex manifold X, biholomorphic on  $\operatorname{int}(M)$ . A holomorphic function on M is a smooth function which admits a holomorphic extension to a neighborhood of M in X.

Let X be a complex manifold and  $Y \subset X$  is a codimension 0 smooth submanifold with boundary in X. The submanifold Y is said to be *strictly Levi-convex* if every boundary point of Y admits a neighborhood U in X such that the submanifold with boundary  $Y \cap U$  can be written as

$$\{\phi \le 0\},\$$

for some smooth submersion  $\phi:U\to\mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\operatorname{Hess}(\phi)>0$ , where  $\operatorname{Hess}(\phi)$  is the holomorphic Hessian:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_i \partial z_i}\right)$$
.

**Definition 5.** A strongly pseudoconvex manifold M is a complex manifold with boundary which admits a strictly Levi-convex holomorphic embedding in an equidimensional complex manifold.

**Definition 6.** An open complex manifold Z is called *holomorphically convex* if for every discrete closed subset  $A \subset Z$  there exists a holomorphic function  $Z \to \mathbb{C}$  which is proper on A.

Alternatively,<sup>2</sup> one can define holomorphically convex manifolds as follows: For a compact K in a complex manifold M, the holomorphic convex hull  $\hat{K}_M$  of K in M is

$$\hat{K}_M = \{ z \in M : |f(z)| \le \sup_{w \in K} |f(w)|, \forall f \in \mathcal{O}_M \}.$$

In the above,  $\mathcal{O}_M$  denotes the ring of holomorphic functions on M. Then M is holomorphically convex iff for every compact  $K \subset M$ , the hull  $\hat{K}_M$  is also compact.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>and this is the standard definition

**Theorem 7** (Grauert [10]). The interior of every compact strongly pseudoconvex manifold M is holomorphically convex.

**Definition 8.** A complex manifold M is called Stein if it admits a proper holomorphic embedding in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for some n.

Equivalently, M is Stein iff it is holomorphically convex and holomorphically separable: That is, for every distinct points  $x, y \in M$ , there exists a holomorphic function  $f: M \to \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . We will use:

**Theorem 9** (Rossi [13], Corollary on page 20). If a compact complex manifold M is strongly pseudoconvex and contains no compact complex subvarieties of positive dimension, then int(M) is Stein.

We now discuss strong quasiconvexity and Stein property in the context of complex-hyperbolic manifolds. A classical example of a complex submanifold with Levi-convex boundary is a closed round ball  $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma < \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  is a discrete torsion-free subgroup of the group of holomorphic automorphisms of  $\mathbf{B}^n$  with (nonempty) domain of discontinuity  $\Omega = \Omega(\Gamma) \subset \partial \mathbf{B}^n$ . The quotient

$$\overline{M}_{\Gamma} = (\mathbf{B}^n \cup \Omega)/\Gamma$$

is a smooth manifold with boundary.

**Lemma 10.**  $\overline{M}_{\Gamma}$  is strongly pseudoconvex.

Proof. We let  $T_{\Lambda}$  denote the union of all projective hyperplanes in  $P_{\mathbb{C}}^n$  tangent to  $\partial \mathbf{B}^n$  at points of  $\Lambda$ , the limit set of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\widehat{\Omega}$  denote the connected component of  $P_{\mathbb{C}}^n \setminus T_{\Lambda}$  containing  $\mathbf{B}^n$ . It is clear that  $\mathbf{B}^n \cup \Omega \subset \widehat{\Omega}$  is strictly Levi-convex. By the construction,  $\Gamma$  preserves  $\widehat{\Omega}$ . It is proven in [5, Thm. 7.5.3] that the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\widehat{\Omega}$  is properly discontinuous. Hence,  $X := \widehat{\Omega}/\Gamma$  is a complex manifold containing  $\overline{M}_{\Gamma}$  as a strictly Levi-convex submanifold with boundary.

Specializing to the case when  $\overline{M}_{\Gamma}$  is compact, i.e.  $\Gamma$  is convex-cocompact, we obtain:

**Proposition 11.** Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is torsion-free, convex-cocompact and n > 1. Then:

- 1.  $\partial \overline{M}_{\Gamma}$  is connected.
- 2. If  $\operatorname{int}(\overline{M}_{\Gamma}) = M_{\Gamma}$  contains no compact complex subvarieties of positive dimension, then  $M_{\Gamma}$  is Stein.

For example, as it was observed in [4], the quotient-manifold  $\mathbf{B}^2/\Gamma$  of a real-Fuchsian subgroup  $\Gamma < \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^2)$  is Stein while the quotient-manifold of a complex-Fuchsian subgroup  $\Gamma < \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^2)$  is non-Stein.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we construct certain plurisubharmonic functions on  $M_{\Gamma}$ , for each finitely generated, discrete subgroup  $\Gamma < \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  satisfying  $\delta(\Gamma) < 2$ . We use these functions to show that  $M_{\Gamma}$  has no compact subvarieties of positive dimension. At the end of this section, we prove the main result of this paper.

Let X be a complex manifold. Recall that a continuous function  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is called  $plurisubharmonic^3$  if for any homomorphic map  $\phi: V(\subset \mathbb{C}) \to X$ , the composition  $f \circ \phi$  is subharmonic. Plurisubharmonic functions f satisfy the maximum principle; in particular, if f restricts to a nonconstant function on a connected complex subvariety  $Y \subset X$ , then Y is noncompact.

Now we turn to our construction of plurisubharmonic functions. Let  $\Gamma < \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  be a discrete subgroup. Consider the Poincaré series

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (1 - |\gamma(z)|^2), \quad z \in \mathbf{B}^n.$$
 (3)

**Lemma 12.** Suppose that  $\delta(\Gamma) < 2$ . Then (3) uniformly converges on compact sets.

*Proof.* Since  $\delta(\Gamma) < 2$ , the Poincaré series

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-2d(0,\gamma(z))}$$

uniformly converges on compact subsets in  $\mathbf{B}^n$ . By (2), we get

$$e^{-2d(0,\gamma(z))} \le (1 - |\gamma(z)|^2) \le 4e^{-2d(0,\gamma(z))}.$$
 (4)

Then, the result follows from the upper inequality.

**Remark 13.** Note that when  $\delta(\Gamma) > 2$ , or when  $\Gamma$  is of divergent type (e.g., convex-cocompact) and  $\delta(\Gamma) = 2$ , then (3) does not converge. This follows from the lower inequality of (4).

Assume that  $\delta(\Gamma) < 2$ . Define  $F : \mathbf{B}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$F(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (|\gamma(z)|^2 - 1).$$

Since F is  $\Gamma$ -invariant, i.e.,  $F(\gamma z) = F(z)$ , for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and all  $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$ , F descends to a function

$$f: M_{\Gamma} \to \mathbb{R}$$
.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>There is a more general notion of *plurisubharmonic functions*; for our purpose, we only consider this restrictive definition.

**Lemma 14.** The function  $f: M_{\Gamma} \to \mathbb{R}$  is plurisubharmonic.

*Proof.* Enumerate  $\Gamma$  as  $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots\}$ . Consider the sequence of partial sums of the series F,

$$S_k(z) = \sum_{j \le k} (|\gamma_j(z)|^2 - 1).$$

Since each summand in the above is plurisubharmonic<sup>4</sup>,  $S_k$  is plurisubharmonic for each  $k \geq 1$ . Moreover, the sequence of functions  $S_k$  is monotonically decreasing. Thus, the limit  $F = \lim_{k \to \infty} S_k$  is also plurisubharmonic, and hence so is f.

Note, however, that at this point we do not yet know that the function f is nonconstant.

Now we prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 15.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a torsion-free discrete subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$ . If  $\delta(\Gamma) < 2$ , then  $M_{\Gamma}$  contains no compact complex subvarieties of positive dimension.

*Proof.* Suppose that Y is a compact connected subvariety of positive dimension in  $M_{\Gamma}$ . Since  $\pi_1(Y)$  is finitely generated, so is its image  $\Gamma'$  in  $\Gamma = \pi_1(M_{\Gamma})$ . Since  $\delta(\Gamma') \leq \delta(\Gamma)$ , by passing to the subgroup  $\Gamma'$  we can (and will) assume that the group  $\Gamma$  is finitely generated.

We construct a sequence of functions  $F_k : \mathbf{B}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  as follows. For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Sigma_k \subset \Gamma - \{1\}$  denote the subset consisting of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  satisfying  $d(0, \gamma(0)) \leq k$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated linear group, it is residually finite and, hence, there exists a finite index subgroup  $\Gamma_k < \Gamma$  disjoint from  $\Sigma_k$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $F_k : \mathbf{B}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  as the sum

$$F_k(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_k} (|\gamma(z)|^2 - 1).$$

Since

$$\bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\Gamma_k=\{1\},$$

the sequence of functions  $F_k$  converges to  $(|z|^2 - 1)$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbf{B}^n$ . As before, each  $F_k$  is plurisubharmonic (cf. Lemmata 12, 14).

Let  $\widetilde{Y}$  be a connected component of the preimage of Y under the projection map  $\mathbf{B}^n \to M_{\Gamma}$ . Since  $\widetilde{Y}$  is a closed, noncompact subset of  $\mathbf{B}^n$ , the function  $(|z|^2 - 1)$  is nonconstant on  $\widetilde{Y}$ . As the sequence  $(F_k)$  converges to  $(|z|^2 - 1)$  uniformly on compacts, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $F_k$  is nonconstant on  $\widetilde{Y}$ . Let  $f_k : M_k = M_{\Gamma_k} \to \mathbb{R}$  denote the function obtained by projecting  $F_k$  to  $M_k$ , and  $Y_k$  be the image of  $\widetilde{Y}$  under the projection map  $\mathbf{B}^n \to M_k$ . Since  $M_k$  is a finite covering of  $M_{\Gamma}$ , the subvariety  $Y_k \subset M_k$  is compact. Moreover,  $f_k$  is a nonconstant plurisubharmonic function on  $Y_k$  since  $F_k$  is such a function on  $\widetilde{Y}$ . This contradicts the maximum principle.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This follows from the fact that the function  $|z|^2$  is plurisubharmonic.

Remark 16. Regarding Remark 13: The failure of convergence of the series (3) as pointed out in Remark 13 is not so surprising. In fact, if  $\Gamma$  is a complex Fuchsian group, then  $\delta(\Gamma) = 2$  and the convex core of  $M_{\Gamma}$  is a compact Riemann surface, see Example 4. Thus, our construction of F must fail in this case.

We conclude this section with a proof of the main result of this paper.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* By Theorem 15,  $M_{\Gamma}$  does not have compact complex subvarieties of positive dimensions. Then, by the second part of Proposition 11,  $M_{\Gamma}$  is Stein.

# 4 Further remarks

In relation to Theorem 1, it is also interesting to understand the case when  $\delta(\Gamma) = 2$ , that is: For which convex-cocompact, torsion-free subgroups  $\Gamma$  of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  satisfying  $\delta(\Gamma) = 2$ , is the manifold  $M_{\Gamma}$  Stein? It has been pointed out before that a complex Fuchsian subgroup  $\Gamma < \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  satisfies  $\delta(\Gamma) = 2$ , but the manifold  $M_{\Gamma}$  is not Stein. In fact, the convex core of  $M_{\Gamma}$  is a complex curve, see Remark 16. We conjecture that complex Fuchsian subgroups are the only such non-Stein examples.

Conjecture 17. Let  $\Gamma < \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  be a convex-cocompact, torsion-free subgroup such that  $\delta(\Gamma) = 2$ . Then,  $M_{\Gamma}$  is non-Stein if and only if  $\Gamma$  is a complex Fuchsian subgroup.

We illustrate this conjecture in the following very special case: Let  $\phi : \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  be a faithful convex-cocompact representation where  $\Sigma$  is a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$ . Then  $\phi$  induces a (unique) equivariant harmonic map

$$F: \widetilde{\Sigma} \to \mathbf{B}^n$$
.

which descends to a harmonic map  $f: \Sigma \to M_{\Gamma}$ .

**Proposition 18.** Suppose that F is a holomorphic immersion. Then  $\Gamma = \phi(\pi_1(\Sigma))$  satisfies  $\delta(\Gamma) \geq 2$ . Moreover, if  $\delta(\Gamma) = 2$ , then  $\Gamma$  preserves a complex line. In particular,  $\Gamma$  is a complex Fuchsian subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Noting that  $M_{\Gamma}$  contains a compact complex curve, namely  $f(\Sigma)$ , the first part follows directly from Theorem 1.

For the second part, we let Y denote the surface  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  equipped with the Riemannian metric obtained via pull-back of the Riemannian metric g on  $\mathbf{B}^n$ . The entropy<sup>5</sup> h(Y) of Y is bounded above by  $\delta(\Gamma)$ , i.e.

$$h(Y) \le 2. \tag{5}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The volume entropy of a simply connected Riemannian manifold (X, g) is defined as  $\lim_{r\to\infty} \log \operatorname{Vol}(B(r,x))/r$ , where  $x\in X$  is a chosen base-point and B(r,x) denotes the ball of radius r centered at x. This limit exists and is independent of x, see [12].

This can be seen as follows: The distance function  $d_Y$  on Y satisfies

$$d_Y(y_1, y_2) \ge d(F(y_1), F(y_2)).$$

Therefore, the exponential growth-rate  $\delta_Y$  of  $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ -orbits in Y satisfies  $\delta_Y \leq \delta(\Gamma)$ . On the other hand, the quantity  $\delta_Y = h(Y)$  since  $\pi_1(\Sigma)$  acts cocompactly on Y.

Assume that  $\Sigma$  is endowed with a conformal Riemannian metric of constant -4 sectional curvature. Since  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  is a symmetric space, we have

$$h^2(Y)\operatorname{Area}(Y/\Gamma) \ge h^2(\widetilde{\Sigma})\operatorname{Area}(\Sigma),$$

see [1, p. 624]. The inequality (5) together with the above implies that  $Area(Y/\Gamma) \ge Area(\Sigma)$ .

On the other hand, since  $f: Y/\Gamma \to M_\Gamma$  is holomorphic,  $4 \cdot \text{Area}(Y/\Gamma)$  equals to the Toledo invariant  $c(\phi)$  (see [14]) of the representation  $\phi$ . Since  $c(\phi) \leq 4\pi(g-1)$ , the inequality  $\text{Area}(Y/\Gamma) \geq \text{Area}(\Sigma) = \pi(g-1)$  shows that  $\text{Area}(Y/\Gamma) = \pi(g-1)$  or, equivalently,  $c(\phi) = 4\pi(g-1)$ . By the main result of [14],  $\Gamma$  preserves a complex-hyperbolic line in  $\mathbf{B}^n$ .

**Remark 19.** The assumption that F is an immersion can be eliminated: Instead of working with a Riemannian metric, one can work with a Riemannian metric with finitely many singularities.

Motivated by Theorem 15, we also make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 20. If  $\Gamma < \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  is discrete, torsion-free, and  $\delta(\Gamma) < 2k$ , then  $M_{\Gamma}$  does not contain compact complex subvarieties of dimension  $\geq k$ .

We conclude this section with a verification of this conjecture under a stronger hypothesis.

**Proposition 21.** If  $\Gamma < \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  is discrete, torsion-free, and  $\delta(\Gamma) < 2k - 1$ , then  $M_{\Gamma}$  does not contain compact complex subvarieties of dimension  $\geq k$ .

*Proof.* Note that if  $\Gamma$  is elementary (i.e., virtually abelian), then  $\delta(\Gamma) = 0$ . In this case, the result follows from Theorem 15. For the rest, we assume that  $\Gamma$  is nonelementary.

By [2, Sec. 4], there is a natural map  $f: M_{\Gamma} \to M_{\Gamma}$  homotopic to the identity map  $\mathrm{id}_{M_{\Gamma}}: M_{\Gamma} \to M_{\Gamma}$  and satisfying

$$|\operatorname{Jac}_p(f)| \le \left(\frac{\delta(\Gamma) + 1}{p}\right)^p, \quad 2 \le p \le 2n,$$

where  $\operatorname{Jac}_p(f)$  denotes the p-Jacobian of f. When  $\delta(\Gamma) < 2k-1$ , we have  $|\operatorname{Jac}_p(f)| < 1$ , for  $p \in [2k, 2n]$ . This means that f strictly contracts the volume form on each p-dimensional tangent space at every point  $x \in M_{\Gamma}$ , for  $p \in [2k, 2n]$ .

Let  $Y \subset M_{\Gamma}$  be a compact complex subvariety of dimension  $\geq k$  (real dimension  $\geq 2k$ ). Then, Y is also a volume minimizer in its homology class. Since f strictly contracts volume on Y, f(Y) has volume strictly lesser than that of Y. However, f being homotopic to  $\mathrm{id}_{M_{\Gamma}}$ , f(Y) belongs to the homology class of Y. This is a contradiction to the fact that Y minimizes volume its homology class.

**Remark 22.** Note that Proposition 21 gives an alternative proof of Theorem 15 (hence Theorem 1) under a stronger hypothesis, namely  $\delta(\Gamma) \in (0,1)$ . However, this method fails to verify Theorem 15 in the case when  $\delta(\Gamma) \in [1,2)$ .

Finally, we note that the papers [6] and [16] contain other interesting results and conjectures on Stein properties of complex-hyperbolic manifolds.

# References

- [1] G. Besson, G. Courtois, and S. Gallot. Minimal entropy and Mostow's rigidity theorems. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 16(4):623–649, 1996.
- [2] G. Besson, G. Courtois, and S. Gallot. Rigidity of amalgamated products in negative curvature. *J. Differential Geom.*, 79(3):335–387, 2008.
- [3] B. H. Bowditch. Geometrical finiteness with variable negative curvature. *Duke Math. J.*, 77(1):229–274, 1995.
- [4] D. Burns, Jr. and S. Shnider. Spherical hypersurfaces in complex manifolds. *Invent.* Math., 33(3):223–246, 1976.
- [5] A. Cano, J. P. Navarrete, and J. Seade. *Complex Kleinian groups*, volume 303 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2013.
- [6] B.-Y. Chen. Discrete groups and holomorphic functions. Math. Ann., 355(3):1025–1047, 2013.
- [7] K. Corlette. Hausdorff dimensions of limit sets. I. *Invent. Math.*, 102(3):521–541, 1990.
- [8] K. Corlette and A. Iozzi. Limit sets of discrete groups of isometries of exotic hyperbolic spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 351(4):1507–1530, 1999.
- [9] W. M. Goldman. Complex hyperbolic geometry. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1999. Oxford Science Publications.
- [10] H. Grauert. On Levi's problem and the imbedding of real-analytic manifolds. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 68:460–472, 1958.

- [11] M. Kapovich. Lectures on complex hyperbolic Kleinian groups. arxiv:1911.12806, 2019.
- [12] A. Manning. Topological entropy for geodesic flows. Ann. of Math. (2), 110(3):567–573, 1979.
- [13] H. Rossi. Strongly pseudoconvex manifolds. In *Lectures in Modern Analysis and Applications*, *I*, pages 10–29. Springer, Berlin, 1969.
- [14] D. Toledo. Representations of surface groups in complex hyperbolic space. J. Differential Geom., 29(1):125–133, 1989.
- [15] A. Weil. Remarks on the cohomology of groups. Ann. of Math. (2), 80:149–157, 1964.
- [16] C. Yue. Webster curvature and Hausdorff dimension of complex hyperbolic Kleinian groups. In: Y. Jiang and L. Wen, editors, *Dynamical Systems-Proceedings Of The International Conference In Honor Of Professor Liao Shantao*, pages 319–328. World Sci. Publ., Singapore, 1999.