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Quantum coherence is commonly viewed as a quantum resource permitting to obtain processes classically inhibited. Here we study the role that the initial quantum coherence plays in the thermodynamic work performed in coherent processes generated through the external control of some parameters. In order to do this, we take in exam a general active quantum state and we isolate in its ergotropy the contribution coming from the initial quantum coherence among the energy eigenstates. Such ergotropy coherence is shown to be related to the quantum relative entropy of coherence through an inequality which involves the completely passive state connected to the initial state. Finally, we extend the analysis to a general out-of-equilibrium process and we show how to take in account in the statistics of work the effects of the initial quantum coherence.

PACS numbers:

Introduction – Thermodynamics of physical processes and how they are affected by the quantumness of the nature has received a big attention in the last decades [1]–[3]. In this context quantum coherence will undoubtedly play a fundamental role, it is strictly related to irreversible work [1]–[5], and makes it possible to create quantum correlations which can be employed in work extraction [6].

Recently quantum coherence has been studied and fully characterized as a genuine quantum resource in performing useful tasks, and it is commonly quantified by the so-called quantum relative entropy of coherence [7]. Certainly its role in thermodynamics has not be fully understood, and it has been also examined and exploited with the aim to acquire a gain otherwise classically inhibited [8, 9].

We recall that in a typical out-of-equilibrium process performed by the control of an external parameter, the work done follows a statistics which is constrained by fluctuations theorems when certain initial conditions are satisfied [8, 10]. For taking in account quantum fluctuations different schemes have been proposed, among which a two measurements scheme is commonly adopted [11]. It is well known [12, 13] that in this invasive scheme the first measurement of the energy destroys the initial coherence in the energy eigenstates and quantum coherence does not disturb the statistics of the work.

On the other hand, a state with quantum coherence is active which is characterized by a non zero quantum ergotropy equal to the maximum work extractable by performing unitary cycles [14].

In this letter we aim to clarify the role played by the quantum coherence in the work performed in these processes. We take in exam an initial active state and we isolate a contribution to its ergotropy which is strictly related to the initial quantum coherence of the state among the energy eigenstates. In order to do that we consider the extraction of work through incoherent operations which do not change the initial quantum coherence. By maximizing the work extracted we isolate a residual amount of work which is not extracted through incoherent operations. This work is as well related to the quantum relative of coherence via an inequality which involves the correspondent completely passive state [15]. Typically the equality is obtained only for a certain class of initial states which we have identified for the case of a three levels system. In conclusion, we observe how the problem incurring with the first measurement of the energy can be avoided and we show how the cycle that we have introduced allows to identify the contribution to the statistics of work coming from the initial quantum coherence in a general out of equilibrium process.

Work extraction – We consider a quantum system with the Hamiltonian \( H \). If the system is prepared in the state \( \rho \) the work that can be extracted by performing a unitary cycle, i.e. a cyclic control of the parameters of the system, cannot exceed the ergotropy \( W(\rho) \), such that the work \( W(\rho, U) = \text{Tr}\{\rho H\} - \text{Tr}\{U\rho U^\dagger H\} \leq W(\rho) \) for any unitary \( U \).

The Hamiltonian \( H \) and the state \( \rho \) can be always expressed as

\[
H = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \epsilon_k \ket{k}\bra{k}, \quad \rho = \sum_{k=1}^{N} r_k \ket{r_k}\bra{r_k} \quad (1)
\]

with \( r_k \geq r_{k+1} \) and \( \epsilon_k \leq \epsilon_{k+1} \). If the energy is degenerate, we define the basis \( \ket{k} \) so that \( \rho \) is diagonal in every degenerate subspace.

The ergotropy can be written as

\[
W(\rho) = \sum_{kj} r_k (|\bra{j}|r_k|^2 - \delta_{kj}) \epsilon_j
\]

A unitary \( U_E \) which allows to extract the ergotropy depends on the state \( \rho \) and it remains defined at least of unitary transformations in the degenerate subspaces, defining an equivalence class of unitary transformations.

We start by study the relation between the ergotropy and the quantum coherence of the state \( \rho \).

We recall that the quantum coherence of a state \( \rho \) in the reference basis \( \{\ket{j}\}_{j=1}^{N} \), can be quantified by consid-
tering the quantum relative entropy of coherence defined as [17]
\[
C(\rho) = \min_{\eta \in I} D(\rho || \eta) \tag{2}
\]
where the quantum relative entropy \( D(\rho || \eta) \) is defined by \( D(\rho || \eta) = \text{Tr} \{ \rho (\ln \rho - \ln \eta) \} \), and \( I \) is the set of incoherent states, so that a generic \( \eta \in I \) reads \( \eta = \sum_k \eta_k |k\rangle \langle k| \). The state \( \eta \) minimizing the right side of Eq. (2) is the incoherent state \( \eta = \Delta(\rho) \), where \( \Delta \) is the dephasing operator defined by \( \Delta(\rho) = \sum_i (|i\rangle \langle i|) i \). In detail, the quantum relative entropy of coherence can be expressed in terms of the Von Neumann entropy \( S(\rho) = -\text{Tr} \{ \rho \ln \rho \} \), as \( C(\rho) = S(\Delta(\rho)) - S(\rho) \).

Then we consider the constrain that in the work extraction cycles the coherence quantified by the relative entropy \( C(\rho) \) remains unchanged. Due to this constrain the ergotropy cannot be always obtained, and the cycle realizes an incoherent unitary transformation which can be written as [16] \( V_\pi = \sum_i e^{i\alpha_i} |\pi(k)\rangle \langle k| \), where the function \( \pi(k) \) defines a permutation of the first \( N \) integers.

As expected the work extracted \( W(\rho, V_\pi) \) does not depend on the coherence in \( \rho \), i.e. \( W(\rho, V_\pi) = W(\Delta(\rho), V_\pi) \).

We note that by ordering the populations \( p_{s(k)} = \langle k| \rho |k\rangle \) such that \( p_s \geq p_{s+1} \), the average energy \( \text{Tr} \{ H V_\pi V_\pi^\dagger \} = \sum_k p_{s(k)} |\pi(k)\rangle \langle k| \) takes its minimum value if \( p_k = s(k) \).

Then the work extracted with an incoherent unitary transformation cannot exceed the value \( W_I(\rho) = W(\Delta(\rho)) = \sum_k (p_{s(k)} - p_k) \epsilon_k \) which can be achieved by performing a unitary \( U_I = \sum_k e^{i\epsilon_k} |s(k)\rangle \langle k| \).

As noted, \( W_I(\rho) \leq W(\rho) \) since the final state \( \rho_c = U_I \rho U_I^\dagger \) is not necessarily passive, and the equality holds when \( U_E \sim U_I \).

We note that less information is needed for reaching the bound \( W_I \) than the ergotropy \( W \). Indeed when the state \( \rho \) does not commutate with \( H \), evolves in the time, such that \( U_E \) will depend on the initial time \( t_{in} \) at which the extraction cycle occurs, differently from \( U_I \). Specifically, there is no unitary which does not depend on the initial time \( t_{in} \) which lowers the energy of the final state \( \rho_c \) at any time \( t_{in} \). Then we identify the residual work \( W_c(\rho) = W(\rho) - W_I(\rho) \) as the contribution coming from the coherence in the state \( \rho \). It can be extracted by performing a second cycle with unitary \( U_c \) which drives the state \( \rho_c \) into the passive state \( \sigma_0 = \sum_k r_k |k\rangle \langle k| \) and it is equal to the ergotropy of \( \rho_c \) i.e. \( W_c(\rho) = W(\rho_c) \) which explicitly reads
\[
W_c(\rho) = \sum_k (p_k - r_k) \epsilon_k \tag{3}
\]

We observe that the ergotropy coherence \( W_c \) can be in general related to the relative entropy of coherence \( C(\rho) \) trough the inequality [17]
\[
W_C(\rho) \leq \beta^{-1} \left( C(\rho) + \sum_k p_k \ln \left( \frac{p_k}{\sigma_k} \right) \right) \tag{4}
\]
where \( \beta \) is the inverse temperature of the Gibbs state \( \sigma_G(\beta, H) \propto e^{-\beta H} \) that has the same entropy of the initial state \( \rho \), i.e. the completely passive state correspondent to \( \rho \) [15], and \( \sigma_k \) are the populations \( \sigma_k = |k\rangle \langle k| \) of the state \( \sigma_G(\beta, H) \). If the state \( \sigma_G(\beta, H) \) is unitarily connected to the initial state \( \rho \), then \( \sigma_0 = \sigma_G(\beta, H) \), and in Eq. (4) we achieve the equality
\[
W_c(\rho) = \beta^{-1} \left( C(\rho) + \sum_k p_k \ln \left( \frac{p_k}{r_k} \right) \right) \tag{5}
\]

We proceed with the analysis by considering two simple models, which are a two and a three levels system.

**Examples**– We consider a qubit having energies \( \epsilon_1 = 0 \) and \( \epsilon_2 = 1 \). In this case we note that the equality in Eq. (5) holds, furthermore the ergotropy coherence \( W_c \) can be also related to the \( l_1 \) norm of coherence [7] which results to be \( C_{l_1}(\rho) = 2 \| |1\rangle \langle 2| \| \) trough the equation
\[
W_c(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{2P(\rho) - 1} - \sqrt{2P(\rho) - 1 - C_{l_1}^2(\rho)} \right) \tag{6}
\]
where \( P(\rho) = \text{Tr} \{ \rho^2 \} \) is the purity of the state \( \rho \) [18].

The work \( W_c(\rho) \) is maximum if and only if \( \rho \) is a maximally coherent state with \( C_{l_1} = 1 \) and \( P = 1 \). From Eq. (6) we note that the inequality \( W_c(\Lambda(\rho)) \leq W_c(\rho) \) is not satisfied for every incoherent operations \( \Lambda \), such that \( W_c(\rho) \) is not a monotone of coherence [7]. For a given value of the purity \( P \), the coherence takes its maximum value for mixed states \( \rho \) such that \( p_1 = p_2 = 1/2 \), for which we have \( P = (1 + C_{l_1}^2)/2 \) and \( W_c = C_{l_1}/2 \).

We proceed by investigating the relation with the relative entropy \( C(\rho) \) expressed by the inequality in Eq. (4). We consider a three levels system with energy levels \( \epsilon_1 = 0, \epsilon_2 = \epsilon \) and \( \epsilon_3 = 1 \) and the difference of the two sides of the inequality \( \Delta W_c = \beta^{-1} \left( C(\rho) + \sum_k p_k \ln \left( \frac{p_k}{\sigma_k} \right) \right) - W_C(\rho) \geq 0 \). This difference can be written as \( \Delta W_c = r_2 \epsilon + r_3 - \frac{\epsilon e^{-\beta} + e^{-\beta \epsilon}}{1 + e^{-\beta} + e^{-\beta \epsilon}} \) where the inverse temperature \( \beta \) is a solution of the non-linear equation \( \beta (e^{-\beta} + e^{-\beta \epsilon})/Z = S - \ln Z \), where \( S = -\sum_k \ln r_k \) is the von Neumann entropy and \( Z = 1 + e^{-\beta} + e^{-\beta \epsilon} \) the partition function. By numerically solving the non linear equation it results that \( \Delta W_c \) is equal to zero only for a certain set of initial states (see Fig. 1).

**Work statistics** – Having analysed the role of quantum coherence in an active state \( \rho \), we now take in exam a general out-of-equilibrium process where the time evolution \( U(t) \) is generated by the time-dependent Hamiltonian \( H(t) = \sum_k \epsilon_k(t) |k(t)\rangle \langle k(t)| \) which occurs trough the external control of some system parameters. When the initial state \( \rho \) is a stationary state, i.e. such that
\[ [\rho, H] = 0, \] the average work done can be expressed in terms of a distribution probability \( p(w) \) as

\[
\langle w \rangle = \text{Tr} \{ H(t)\rho(t) \} - \text{Tr} \{ H\rho \} = \int p(w)wdw \tag{7}
\]

where \( \rho(t) = U(t)\rho U(t) \) and the distribution probability of the work is \( p(w) = \sum_{k,j} P_{kj}(t) |\langle k(t) | U(t) | j \rangle|^2 \delta(w - \epsilon_k(t) + \epsilon_j) \).

Obviously if the initial state \( \rho \) is not stationary the relation in Eq. (7) will not hold.

However, the initial state can be always connected to a stationary state \( \rho_d \) such that \( [\rho_d, H] = 0 \) through a unitary \( V \), such that \( \rho = V^\dagger \rho_d V \), and for instance we will consider the unitary cycle \( V = U_g = U_g^1 U_g U_g^1 \). In particular the transformation \( U_g \) has the following geometrical meaning: by considering the subset \( I_g(\rho) \subset I \) of the incoherent states that are unitarily connected to \( \rho \), the transformation \( U_g \) is the unitary \( U \) that minimizes the quantum relative entropy \( D(\rho|U\rho U^\dagger) \) with the constrain \( U\rho U^\dagger \in I_g(\rho) \). \[ \square \]

The works \( w_c \) and \( w_{tot} \) which are respectively performed in the processes \( \rho_d \rightarrow \rho \) with unitary \( V \) and \( \rho_d \rightarrow \rho(t) \) with unitary \( U(t)V^\dagger \) are characterized by the probability distributions \( p_c(w_c) \) and \( p_{tot}(w_{tot}) \) with obvious definitions. Then the work done in the real process \( \rho \rightarrow \rho(t) \) with unitary \( U(t) \) is given by considering the composition of the other two processes as the random variable \( w = w_{tot} - w_c \) having a probability distribution \( p(w) = F[p_c, p_{tot}] \). In particular we note that the characteristic function \( \chi(u) \) defined by \( \chi(u) = \int e^{-iuw}p(w) \), at the first order in \( u \) reads \( \chi(u) = \chi^*_c(u)\chi_{tot}(u) + O(u^2) \), from which we see that the expectation value \( \langle w \rangle \) is in agreement with Eq. (7).

Conclusions – In summary, we have taken in exam the role of the initial quantum coherence in out-of-equilibrium coherent processes generated through a changing of some parameters of the system. By considering the ergotropy of the initial state we have isolated the contribution which comes from the quantum coherence with respect to the energy eigenstates. This leads to the definition of a quantum coherence quantifier based on the ergotropy which we have shown how it is related to the quantum relative entropy of coherence. Furthermore, we have shown how to take in account in the statistics of work the effects of the initial quantum coherence.

Appendix – In order to define the probability distribution \( p(w) = F[p_c, p_{tot}] \) we consider the processes \( \rho_A \rightarrow \rho_B \) with unitary transformation \( U_{AB} \), \( \rho_B \rightarrow \rho_C \) with unitary \( U_{BC} \), and the composite \( \rho_A \rightarrow \rho_C \) with unitary \( U_{AC} = U_{BC}U_{AB} \), with \( \rho_A \) incoherent among the energy eigenstates \( |k^A\rangle \). If \( \rho_B \) is incoherent among the energy eigenstates \( |k^B\rangle \), the work distribution \( p_{BC} \) reads

\[
p_{BC}(w) = \sum p_a P_{AB} |\langle A |k^a\rangle|_{\rho_A} |B\rangle \langle k^b|_{\rho_B}|C\rangle \delta(w - \epsilon_k^A + \epsilon_k^B) = F[p_{AB}, p_{AC}]
\]

since \( p_a^B = \sum p_{ab} P_{AB} \) and \( P_{BC} = P_{AC}P_{AB}^{-1} \), where we have defined \( p_a^B = |\langle a |B\rangle|_{\rho_B} |\langle a |\rangle_{\rho_a}|^2 \) and the matrix \( P_{ab} \) with elements \( p_{ab}^B = |\langle a |U_{AB} |b\rangle|^2 \) with \( a, b = A, B, C \). The definition \( p_{BC}(w) = F[p_{AB}, p_{AC}] \) is extended to an arbitrary state \( \rho_B \). In particular we note that the average work performed in the process \( \rho_B \rightarrow \rho_C \) is \( \langle w_{BC} \rangle = \int wp_{BC}(w)dw = \langle w_{AC} \rangle - \langle w_{AB} \rangle \), where \( \langle w_{AB} \rangle \) and \( \langle w_{AC} \rangle \) are performed in the processes \( \rho_A \rightarrow \rho_C \) and \( \rho_A \rightarrow \rho_B \) respectively.

---

In order to show the inequality of Eq. (4), we consider

\[ W_c(\rho) = \text{Tr} \left\{ H(\rho_c - \rho_G(\beta, H)) \right\} = \sum_k (p_k - \sigma_k) \epsilon_k. \]

The eigenvalue \( \epsilon_k \) can be expressed as

\[ \epsilon_k = -\beta^{-1} \ln \sigma_k + \text{const}, \]

from which it results that

\[ W_c \leq \beta^{-1} \sum_k (\sigma_k - p_k) \ln \sigma_k \]

which by considering that \( S(\sigma_G(\beta, H)) = S(\rho) \), can be expressed as

\[ W_c \leq \beta^{-1} \sum_k (r_k \ln r_k - p_k \ln \sigma_k) = \beta^{-1} \left( C(\rho) + \sum_k p_k \ln \left( \frac{p_k}{\sigma_k} \right) \right). \]

For the qubit system under consideration, we have that

\[ W_C(\rho) = (p_2 - r_2) \epsilon. \]

The smaller eigenvalue of \( \rho \) is

\[ r_2 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4 \det \rho}}{2}, \]

and since the purity reads \( P = 1 - 2 \det \rho \), it follows that

\[ r_2 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{2P - 1}}{2}. \]

Furthermore \( \det \rho = p_1 p_2 - C_1^2 / 4 \), from which the smaller population of \( \rho \) is

\[ p_2 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{2P - 1 - C_1^2}}{2}, \]

and so it follows the Eq. (6).

Indeed the eigenvalues of \( \rho \) are invariant under unitary transformations, then a state \( \eta \in I_u(\rho) \) can be expressed as

\[ \eta = \sum_k r_{\pi(k)} |k\rangle \langle k|, \]

The quantum relative entropy reads

\[ D(\rho||\eta) = -S(\rho) - \sum_k p_{\pi(k)} \ln(r_{\pi(k)}) \]

such that by choosing \( \pi(k) = s(k) \) it takes its minimum value. We have indicated this state with \( \rho_d = \sum_k r_{s(k)} |k\rangle \langle k| \), and it is straightforward to show that \( \rho_d = U_\eta \rho U_\eta^\dagger \) with \( U_\eta = U_\eta^\dagger U_E. \)