

On capacity and torsional rigidity

M. van den Berg

School of Mathematics, University of Bristol
Fry Building, Woodland Road
Bristol BS8 1UG
United Kingdom
mamvdb@bristol.ac.uk

G. Buttazzo

Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Pisa
Largo B. Pontecorvo 5, 56127 Pisa
Italy
giuseppe.buttazzo@dm.unipi.it

4 September 2020

Abstract

We investigate extremal properties of shape functionals which are products of Newtonian capacity $\text{cap}(\overline{\Omega})$, and powers of the torsional rigidity $T(\Omega)$, for an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with compact closure $\overline{\Omega}$, and prescribed Lebesgue measure. It is shown that if Ω is convex then $\text{cap}(\overline{\Omega})T^q(\Omega)$ is (i) bounded from above if and only if $q \geq 1$, and (ii) bounded from below and away from 0 if and only if $q \leq \frac{d-2}{2(d-1)}$. Moreover a convex maximiser for the product exists if either $q > 1$, or $d = 3$ and $q = 1$. A convex minimiser exists for $q < \frac{d-2}{2(d-1)}$. If $q \leq 0$, then the product is minimised among all bounded sets by a ball of measure 1.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 49Q10, 49J45, 49J40, 35J99.

Keywords: Newtonian capacity, torsional rigidity, Dirichlet boundary condition.

1 Introduction and main results

Several classical inequalities of mathematical physics are of the following form. Let F and H be strictly positive set functions defined on a suitable collection \mathfrak{C} of open sets in \mathbb{R}^d , and which satisfy scaling relations

$$F(t\Omega) = t^{\beta_1} F(\Omega), \quad H(t\Omega) = t^{\beta_2} H(\Omega), \quad t > 0,$$

where $t\Omega$ is homothety of Ω , and β_1, β_2 are constants. Then the shape functional

$$G(\Omega) = H(\Omega)F(\Omega)^{-\beta_2/\beta_1},$$

is invariant under homotheties, and in some cases this quantity is minimal (respectively maximal) for some open set $\Omega^* \in \mathfrak{C}$,

$$G(\Omega) \geq G(\Omega^*) \quad (\text{respectively } G(\Omega) \leq G(\Omega^*)), \quad \Omega \in \mathfrak{C}.$$

The Faber-Krahn, Krahn-Szegő, and Kohler-Jobin inequalities are of this form. See for example the seminal text [11]. In a recent paper [3] a more general set of inequalities was investigated. These are of the following form: let $q \in \mathbb{R}$, and consider the shape functional

$$G(\Omega) = H(\Omega)F(\Omega)^q.$$

Then, unless $q = -\beta_2/\beta_1$, this product is not scaling invariant. However, denoting by $|\Omega|$ the Lebesgue measure of Ω , the quantity

$$\frac{H(\Omega)F(\Omega)^q}{|\Omega|^{(\beta_2+q\beta_1)/d}}$$

is scaling invariant. The case where H is the principal Dirichlet eigenvalue, and F is the torsional rigidity was analysed in [3]. In the present paper we investigate, in the spirit of [11], the case where H is the Newtonian capacity, and F is the torsional rigidity. Since the Newtonian capacity is most easily defined for compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 3$, we restrict ourselves to open sets $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 3$ which are precompact. In that case the Newtonian capacity scales as a power $\beta_2 = d - 2$ of the homothety.

Throughout this paper we let Ω be a non-empty, open, bounded set in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 3$. For a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we denote by \overline{A} its closure, $\text{diam}(A) = \sup \{|x - y| : x \in A, y \in A\}$ its diameter, and $r(A) = \sup \{r \geq 0 : (\exists x \in A), (B_r(x) \subset A)\}$ its inradius, where $B_r(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x - y| < r\}$ is the ball of radius r centred at x . Before we state the main results we recall some basic facts about the torsion function, torsional rigidity, and Newtonian capacity.

The torsion function for an open set Ω with finite measure is the solution of

$$-\Delta u = 1, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

and is denoted by u_Ω . It is convenient to extend u_Ω to all of \mathbb{R}^d by defining $u_\Omega = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega$. It is well known that u_Ω is non-negative, bounded ([2, 4, 6, 12]), and monotone increasing with respect to Ω , that is

$$\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \Rightarrow u_{\Omega_1} \leq u_{\Omega_2}.$$

The torsional rigidity of Ω , or torsion for short, is denoted by

$$T(\Omega) = \|u_\Omega\|_1,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ denotes the usual L^p norm. It follows that

$$\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \Rightarrow T(\Omega_1) \leq T(\Omega_2), \tag{1}$$

and that the torsion satisfies the scaling property

$$T(t\Omega) = t^{d+2}T(\Omega), \quad t > 0. \tag{2}$$

Moreover T is additive on unions of disjoint families of open sets:

$$T(\cup_{i \in I} \Omega_i) = \sum_{i \in I} T(\Omega_i).$$

It is straightforward to verify that if $E(a)$, with $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, is the ellipsoid

$$E(a) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{x_i^2}{a_i^2} < 1 \right\},$$

then

$$u_{E(a)}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{a_i^2} \right)^{-1} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{x_i^2}{a_i^2} \right),$$

and

$$T(E(a)) = \frac{\omega_d}{d+2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d a_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{a_i^2} \right)^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\omega_d = \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma((d+2)/2)}$$

is the Lebesgue measure of a ball B_1 with radius 1 in \mathbb{R}^d . We put

$$\tau_d = T(B_1) = \frac{\omega_d}{d(d+2)}.$$

The de Saint-Venant inequality (see for instance Chapter V in [11]) asserts that

$$T(\Omega) \leq T(\Omega^*), \quad (4)$$

where Ω^* is any ball with $|\Omega| = |\Omega^*|$. It follows by scaling that

$$\frac{T(\Omega)}{|\Omega|^{(d+2)/d}} \leq \frac{\tau_d}{\omega_d^{(d+2)/d}} = \frac{1}{d(d+2)\omega_d^{2/d}}. \quad (5)$$

Below we recall some basic facts about the Newtonian capacity $\text{cap}(K)$ of a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 3$. There are several equivalent definitions of $\text{cap}(K)$ of which we choose

$$\text{cap}(K) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |D\varphi|^2 dx : \varphi_{K_\varepsilon} \geq 1, \varphi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \varepsilon > 0 \right\},$$

where φ_{K_ε} is the restriction of φ to $K_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \text{dist}(x, K) < \varepsilon\}$. It follows that

$$K_1 \subset K_2 \Rightarrow \text{cap}(K_1) \leq \text{cap}(K_2), \quad (6)$$

and that the capacity satisfies the scaling property

$$\text{cap}(tK) = t^{d-2} \text{cap}(K), \quad t > 0. \quad (7)$$

Moreover if $\{K_i, i \in I\}$ is a countable family of compact sets such that $\cup_{i \in I} K_i$ is compact, then

$$\text{cap}(\cup_{i \in I} K_i) \leq \sum_{i \in I} \text{cap}(K_i).$$

It was reported in [8] pp. 260 that the Newtonian capacity of an ellipsoid was computed in volume 8, pp. 103-104 in [5]. The formula is given in terms of an elliptic integral, and reads

$$\text{cap}(\overline{E(a)}) = \frac{4\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)} \mathfrak{e}(a)^{-1}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{e}(a) = \int_0^\infty \left(\prod_{i=1}^d (a_i^2 + t) \right)^{-1/2} dt. \quad (9)$$

We put

$$\kappa_d = \text{cap}(\overline{B_1}) = \frac{4\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma((d-2)/2)},$$

so that

$$\text{cap}(\overline{E(a)}) = \frac{2\kappa_d}{d-2} \mathfrak{e}(a)^{-1}. \quad (10)$$

The isoperimetric inequality for Newtonian capacity (see [11]) asserts that for all compact sets $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 3$,

$$\text{cap}(K) \geq \text{cap}(K^*),$$

where K^* is a closed ball with $|K| = |K^*|$. It follows by scaling that

$$\frac{\text{cap}(K)}{|K|^{(d-2)/d}} \geq \frac{\kappa_d}{\omega_d^{(d-2)/d}}. \quad (11)$$

The shape functional we consider in the present paper is

$$G_q(\Omega) = \frac{\text{cap}(\overline{\Omega}) T(\Omega)^q}{|\Omega|^{1+q+2(q-1)/d}}, \quad (12)$$

defined for a bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 3$. By (2) and (7) we obtain that G_q is scaling invariant. With the definitions above we have

$$G_q(B_1) = \frac{\kappa_d \tau_d^q}{\omega_d^{1+q+2(q-1)/d}}.$$

Since the ball Ω^* with measure $|\Omega^*| = |\Omega|$ maximises the torsional rigidity $T(\Omega)$ (de Saint-Venant), and its closure minimises the Newtonian capacity $\text{cap}(\overline{\Omega})$, competition enters in the minimisation or maximisation problems for the functional in (12).

All of our main results are for $d \geq 3$, and are as follows.

Theorem 1. (i) *If $q \in \mathbb{R}$, then*

$$\sup\{G_q(\Omega) : \Omega \text{ open and bounded}\} = +\infty.$$

(ii) *If $q \leq 0$, then*

$$\min\{G_q(\Omega) : \Omega \text{ open and bounded}\} = G_q(B_1), \quad (13)$$

with equality if and only if Ω is (up to sets of capacity 0) a ball in \mathbb{R}^d .

(iii) *If $q > 0$, then*

$$\inf\{G_q(\Omega) : \Omega \text{ open and bounded}\} = 0.$$

Theorem 2. (i) If $q < 1$, then

$$\sup\{G_q(\Omega) : \Omega \text{ open, bounded and convex}\} = +\infty.$$

(ii) If $q \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{G_q(\Omega) : \Omega \text{ open, bounded and convex}\} \\ \leq \frac{2^{(d+2)/2} d^{3q-2+d(q+1)}}{d-2} G_q(B_1). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

If $q > 1$, then the variational problem in the left-hand side of (14) has a maximiser, say Ω^+ . For any such maximiser,

$$\frac{\text{diam}(\Omega^+)}{r(\Omega^+)} \leq 2d \left(\frac{2^{(d+2)/2} d^{(1+q)d+3q-2}}{d-2} \right)^{d/(2(q-1))}. \quad (15)$$

(iii) If $q = 1$ and $d = 3$, then the variational problem in the left-hand side of (14) has a maximiser, say Ω^+ . For any such maximiser,

$$\frac{\text{diam}(\Omega^+)}{r(\Omega^+)} \leq 2 \cdot 3^8 e^{3^7}. \quad (16)$$

Theorem 3. (i) If $q > (d-2)/(2(d-1))$, then

$$\inf\{G_q(\Omega) : \Omega \text{ open, bounded and convex}\} = 0. \quad (17)$$

(ii) If $0 < q \leq (d-2)/(2(d-1))$, then

$$\inf\{G_q(\Omega) : \Omega \text{ open, bounded and convex}\} \geq \frac{1}{2d^{d+(d+2)q}} G_q(B_1). \quad (18)$$

If $0 < q < (d-2)/(2(d-1))$, then the variational problem in the left-hand side of (18) has a convex minimiser, say Ω^- . For any such minimiser,

$$\frac{\text{diam}(\Omega^-)}{r(\Omega^-)} \leq 2d \left(2d^{d+(d+2)q} \right)^{\frac{d(d-1)}{d-2-2q(d-1)}}. \quad (19)$$

We were unable to prove the existence or non-existence of a maximiser for the left-hand side of (14) for $q = 1$ and $d > 3$. In these higher-dimensional cases there is a lack of compactness. For example if

$$a_\varepsilon = (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{d-k}, \underbrace{\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon}_k),$$

and if $k \geq 3$, then $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} G_1(E(a_\varepsilon))$ exists and is strictly positive. Similarly we were unable to prove the existence of a minimiser of the left-hand side of (18) at the critical point $q = (d-2)/(2d-2)$.

The proofs of Theorems 1, 2, and 3 are deferred to Sections 2, 3, and 4 respectively. A key ingredient in these proofs is John's ellipsoid theorem [9]. This theorem asserts that for any open, bounded convex set Ω in \mathbb{R}^d there exists a translation and rotation of Ω , again denoted by Ω , and an open ellipsoid $E(a)$ such that

$$E(a/d) \subset \Omega \subset E(a). \quad (20)$$

Moreover, among all ellipsoids in Ω , $E(a/d)$ has maximal measure.

Finally in Section 5 we discuss the optimisation of a functional over all open bounded planar convex sets with fixed measure, and which involves the logarithmic capacity and torsional rigidity.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. To prove the assertion under (i) we let Ω be the disjoint union of an open ball B' of measure $1/2$ and an open ellipsoid $E(b_\varepsilon)$, with $b_\varepsilon = (L_\varepsilon, \dots, L_\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, of measure $1/2$, where

$$L_\varepsilon = (2\omega_d \varepsilon)^{1/(1-d)}.$$

We have, by (8) and (9),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cap}(\overline{E(b_\varepsilon)}) &= \frac{4\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)} \left(\int_0^\infty dt (L_\varepsilon^2 + t)^{(1-d)/2} (\varepsilon^2 + t)^{-1/2} \right)^{-1} \\ &\geq \frac{4\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)} \left(\int_0^\infty dt (L_\varepsilon^2 + t)^{(1-d)/2} t^{-1/2} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{4\pi^{(d-1)/2} \Gamma((d-1)/2)}{\Gamma(d/2) \Gamma((d-2)/2)} L_\varepsilon^{d-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used formulae 8.380.3 and 8.384.1 in [7]. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} G_q(\Omega) &= \text{cap}(\overline{B' \cup E(b_\varepsilon)}) T(B' \cup E(b_\varepsilon))^q \\ &\geq \text{cap}(\overline{E(b_\varepsilon)}) T(B')^q \\ &\geq \frac{4\pi^{(d-1)/2} \Gamma((d-1)/2)}{\Gamma(d/2) \Gamma((d-2)/2)} T(B')^q (2\omega_d \varepsilon)^{(d-2)/(1-d)}, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to $+\infty$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

To prove the assertion under (ii), we recall (4), and infer that $T^q(\Omega) \geq T^q(\Omega^*)$ for $q \leq 0$. This implies (13) by (5) and (11).

To prove (iii), we let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a cube with $|Q| = 1$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. The cube Q contains N^d open disjoint cubes each of measure N^{-d} . Each open cube contains an open ball with radius $1/(2N)$. Let Q_N be the union of these N^d open balls. Since $Q_N \subset Q$ we have $\text{cap}(\overline{Q_N}) \leq \text{cap}(\overline{Q})$. On the other hand, additivity and scaling properties of the torsion give

$$T(Q_N) = N^d (2N)^{-(d+2)} T(B_1) = 2^{-d-2} N^{-2} T(B_1).$$

Furthermore,

$$|Q_N| = \frac{\omega_d}{2^d}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \{G_q(\Omega) : \Omega \text{ open and bounded}\} &\leq \frac{\text{cap}(\overline{Q}) T^q(Q_N)}{|Q_N|^{1+q+2(q-1)/d}} \\ &= \frac{2^{d-2}}{\omega_d^{1+q+2(q-1)/d}} \text{cap}(\overline{Q}) T^q(B_1) N^{-2q}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies (17) since $q > 0$, and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ was arbitrary. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. To prove (i) we consider the open ellipsoid $E(a_\varepsilon)$ with $a_\varepsilon = (1, \varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon)$. We have

$$|E(a_\varepsilon)| = \omega_d \varepsilon^{d-1},$$

$$T(E(a_\varepsilon)) = \frac{\omega_d}{d+2} \frac{\varepsilon^{d+1}}{d-1+\varepsilon^2},$$

$$\text{cap}(\overline{E(a_\varepsilon)}) = \begin{cases} 4\pi\varepsilon(\log(\varepsilon^{-1}))^{-1}(1+o(1)), & d=3, \varepsilon \downarrow 0, \\ \frac{2\pi^{d/2}(d-3)}{\Gamma(d/2)}\varepsilon^{d-3}(1+o(1)), & d>3, \varepsilon \downarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

where we have used the formulae on p.260 in [8]. Hence

$$G_q(E(a_\varepsilon)) = \begin{cases} C_3\varepsilon^{2(q-1)/3}(\log \varepsilon^{-1})^{-1}(1+o(1)), & d=3, \varepsilon \downarrow 0, \\ C_d\varepsilon^{2(q-1)/d}(1+o(1)), & d>3, \varepsilon \downarrow 0. \end{cases}$$

where C_d is a positive constant depending only on d . Since $q < 1$ we obtain the desired result by letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

To prove (ii) we first observe that the formulae for $|E(a)|$, $\text{cap}(\overline{E(a)})$, and $T(E(a))$ are symmetric in the a_i 's. Without loss of generality we may therefore assume here, and throughout this paper, that $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_d$. By inclusion, and (20) we have

$$d^{-d}\omega_d \prod_{i=1}^d a_i = |E(a/d)| \leq |\Omega| \leq |E(a)| = \omega_d \prod_{i=1}^d a_i, \quad (21)$$

and

$$T(\Omega) \geq T(E(a/d)) = \frac{\omega_d}{d^{d+2}(d+2)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d a_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{a_i^2} \right)^{-1} \geq \frac{\tau_d}{d^{d+2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d a_i \right) a_d^2. \quad (22)$$

We have by (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{e}(a) &\geq \int_0^{a_d^2} dt \left(\prod_{i=1}^d (a_i^2 + t) \right)^{-1/2} \\ &\geq a_d^2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^d (a_i^2 + a_d^2) \right)^{-1/2} \\ &\geq 2^{-d/2} a_d^2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^d a_i^2 \right)^{-1/2} \\ &= 2^{-d/2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d a_i \right)^{-1} a_d^2. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

By (9), (10) and (23), taking into account that $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$, $z > 0$,

$$\text{cap}(\overline{\Omega}) \leq \text{cap}(\overline{E(a)}) \leq \frac{2^{(d+2)/2}\kappa_d}{d-2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d a_i \right) a_d^{-2}. \quad (24)$$

By (1) and (3),

$$T(\Omega) \leq T(E(a)) \leq d\tau_d \left(\prod_{i=1}^d a_i \right) a_d^2. \quad (25)$$

By (21), (24), (25), $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_d$, and $q > 1$ we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
G_q(\Omega) &\leq \frac{\text{cap}(\overline{E(a)})T(E(a))^q}{|E(a/d)|^{1+q+2(q-1)/d}} \\
&\leq \frac{2^{(d+2)/2}\kappa_d}{d-2}(d\tau_d)^q(d^{-d}\omega_d)^{-(1+q+2(q-1)/d)}\left(\prod_{i=1}^d a_i\right)^{2(1-q)/d}a_d^{2q-2} \\
&= \frac{2^{(d+2)/2}d^{3q-2+d(q+1)}}{d-2}G_q(B_1)\left(\prod_{i=1}^d a_i\right)^{2(1-q)/d}a_d^{2q-2} \\
&\leq \frac{2^{(d+2)/2}d^{3q-2+d(q+1)}}{d-2}G_q(B_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

This proves (14).

To prove the existence of a maximiser, we observe that if the left-hand side of (14) equals $G_q(B_1)$ then B_1 is a maximiser which satisfies (15). If the left-hand side of (14) is strictly greater than $G_q(B_1)$, we let Ω be bounded, open, and convex, and such that

$$G_q(\Omega) > G_q(B_1). \tag{27}$$

By the third inequality in (26), (27), $q > 1$, and $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_d$, we find that

$$a_1 \leq \beta_d^{d/(2(q-1))}a_d, \tag{28}$$

where β_d is the coefficient of $G_q(B_1)$ in the right-hand side of (26). Since

$$\text{diam}(\Omega) \leq \text{diam}(E(a)) \leq 2a_1, \tag{29}$$

and

$$r(\Omega) \geq r(E(a/d)) = \frac{a_d}{d}, \tag{30}$$

we obtain by (28)–(30),

$$\frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{r(\Omega)} \leq 2d \left(\frac{2^{(d+2)/2}d^{3q-2+d(q+1)}}{d-2} \right)^{d/(2(q-1))}. \tag{31}$$

Let (Ω_n) be a maximising sequence for the left-hand side of (14). Since this supremum is scaling invariant we fix $r(\Omega_n) = 1$. By (31), $\text{diam}(\Omega_n) \leq L$ for some $L < \infty$, and for all n . By taking translations of (Ω_n) these translates are contained in a closed ball B_L of radius L . Since the Hausdorff metric is compact on the space of convex, compact sets in B_L , there exists a subsequence of $(\overline{\Omega_n})$, again denoted by $(\overline{\Omega_n})$ which converges in the Hausdorff (and in the complementary Hausdorff) metric to an element say $\tilde{\Omega}^+$. Set $\Omega^+ = \text{int}(\tilde{\Omega}^+)$. Note that Ω^+ is an open, bounded, convex set which is non-empty since Ω^+ has inradius 1. Furthermore measure, torsion, capacity, and diameter are all continuous with respect to this metric. Hence

$$G_q(\Omega^+) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_q(\Omega_n),$$

and Ω^+ is a maximiser which satisfies (15).

To prove (iii) we let $q = 1$ and $d = 3$. Let Ω be an element of a maximising sequence. We may assume that

$$G_1(B_1) \leq G_1(\Omega) \leq \frac{\text{cap}(\overline{E(a)})T(E(a))}{|E(a/3)|^2}. \tag{32}$$

We obtain an upper bound on $\text{cap}(\overline{E(a)})$ by obtaining a lower bound on $\mathfrak{e}(a)$. By (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{e}(a_1, a_2, a_3) &\geq \int_0^\infty (a_1^2 + t)^{-1/2} (a_2^2 + t)^{-1} dt \\ &= \frac{2}{(a_1^2 - a_2^2)^{1/2}} \log \left(\frac{a_1}{a_2} + \left(\frac{a_1^2}{a_2^2} - 1 \right)^{1/2} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{a_1} \log(a_1 a_2^{-1}).\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

By (8) for $d = 3$, and (33),

$$\text{cap}(\overline{E(a)}) \leq \kappa_3 a_1 (\log(a_1 a_2^{-1}))^{-1}.$$

Since $\Omega \subset B_{a_1}$, we also have

$$\text{cap}(\overline{\Omega}) \leq \text{cap}(\overline{B_{a_1}}) = \kappa_3 a_1.$$

Hence

$$\text{cap}(\overline{\Omega}) \leq \kappa_3 a_1 \min \{1, (\log(a_1 a_2^{-1}))^{-1}\}.$$

In addition,

$$T(E(a)) \leq 3\tau_3 a_1 a_2 a_3^3, \quad |E(a/3)| = 3^{-3} \omega_3 a_1 a_2 a_3.$$

Summarising, from (32) we obtain

$$G_1(\Omega) \leq 3^7 G_1(B_1) \cdot \frac{a_3}{a_2} \cdot \min \{1, (\log(a_1 a_2^{-1}))^{-1}\}.\tag{34}$$

If the supremum in the left-hand side of (14) equals $G_1(B_1)$, then B_1 is a maximiser which satisfies (16). If not then we may assume that $G_1(\Omega) > G_1(B_1)$. This, together with (34), yields $a_3 \geq 3^{-7} a_2$, $a_1 \leq a_2 e^{3^7}$. These inequalities imply that $a_1/a_3 \leq 3^7 e^{3^7}$. Hence (29) and (30) yield

$$\frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{r(\Omega)} \leq 2 \cdot 3^8 e^{3^7}.$$

The remaining part of the proof follows similar lines as those in the proof of (ii). \square

4 Proof of Theorem 3

Proof. To prove (i), we consider as Ω the ellipsoid $E(a)$ with

$$a = (a_1, \dots, a_1, a_d), \quad a_1 \geq a_d, \quad a_1^{d-1} a_d = 1,$$

where $a_d \in (0, 1)$ is arbitrary. Since $E(a) \subset B_{a_1}$ we have by (6), (7) and (10),

$$\text{cap}(\overline{E(a)}) \leq \kappa_d a_1^{d-2}.\tag{35}$$

By (3) and (35),

$$G_q(E(a)) \leq \frac{\kappa_d a_1^{d-2}}{\omega_d^{1+q+2(q-1)/d}} \left(\frac{\omega_d}{d+2} \frac{a_1^2 a_d^2}{(d-1)a_d^2 + a_1^2} \right)^q \leq \frac{\kappa_d a_1^{d-2} a_d^{2q}}{\omega_d^{1+2(q-1)/d} (d+2)^q}.$$

Since $a_1 = a_d^{-1/(d-1)}$ we have

$$G_q(E(a)) \leq \frac{\kappa_d}{\omega_d^{1+2(q-1)/d}(d+2)^q} a_d^{-(d-2)/(d-1)+2q},$$

and since $a_d \in (0, 1)$ was arbitrary we obtain (17).

To prove (ii) we let $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_d$. We have

$$\text{cap}(\bar{\Omega}) \geq \text{cap}(\overline{E(a/d)}) = d^{2-d} \text{cap}(\overline{E(a)}) = \frac{2\kappa_d}{d^{d-2}(d-2)} (\mathfrak{e}(a))^{-1}. \quad (36)$$

In order to obtain an upper bound on $\mathfrak{e}(a)$ we have by using the inequality $(x^2 + t)^{1/2} \geq 2^{-1/2}(x + t^{1/2})$, and the change of variables $t = \theta^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{e}(a) &\leq \left(\prod_{i \leq d-3} a_i^{-1} \right) \int_0^\infty dt ((a_{d-2}^2 + t)(a_{d-1}^2 + t)(a_d^2 + t))^{-1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\prod_{i \leq d-3} a_i^{-1} \right) \int_0^\infty dt ((a_{d-2}^2 + t)(a_{d-1}^2 + t)t)^{-1/2} \\ &\leq 2 \left(\prod_{i \leq d-3} a_i^{-1} \right) \int_0^\infty dt ((a_{d-2} + t^{1/2})(a_{d-1} + t^{1/2})t^{1/2})^{-1} \\ &= 4 \left(\prod_{i \leq d-3} a_i^{-1} \right) \int_0^\infty d\theta ((a_{d-2} + \theta)(a_{d-1} + \theta))^{-1} \\ &= 4 \left(\prod_{i \leq d-2} a_i^{-1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{a_{d-1}}{a_{d-2}} \right)^{-1} \log(a_{d-2}/a_{d-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where the product over the empty set in the right-hand side of (37) is defined to be equal to 1, and where the case $a_{d-2} = a_{d-1}$ follows by taking the appropriate limit in the right-hand side of (37). It is elementary to verify that

$$(1-x)^{-1} \log(x^{-1}) \leq \log(e/x), \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

and

$$\lim_{x \uparrow 1} (1-x)^{-1} \log(x^{-1}) = 1.$$

This gives by (37),

$$\mathfrak{e}(a) \leq 4 \left(\prod_{i \leq d-2} a_i^{-1} \right) \log(ea_{d-2}/a_{d-1}). \quad (38)$$

Hence by (36) and (38),

$$\text{cap}(\bar{\Omega}) \geq \frac{\kappa_d}{2d^{d-2}(d-2)} \left(\prod_{i \leq d-2} a_i \right) (\log(ea_{d-2}/a_{d-1}))^{-1}. \quad (39)$$

By (21), (22), and (39),

$$G_q(\Omega) \geq \frac{1}{2d^{d-2+(d+2)q}(d-2)} G_q(B_1) \frac{a_d^{2q-1}}{a_{d-1}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d a_i \right)^{2(1-q)/d} (\log(ea_{d-2}/a_{d-1}))^{-1}. \quad (40)$$

The a -dependence in the right-hand side of (40) is scaling invariant. It is convenient to choose $\prod_{i=1}^d a_i = 1$. We then have

$$\frac{a_{d-2}}{a_{d-1}} = \left(\prod_{i \leq d-3} a_i^{-1} \right) a_{d-1}^{-2} a_d^{-1} \leq a_{d-1}^{-(d-1)} a_d^{-1}.$$

This gives with (40),

$$G_q(\Omega) \geq \frac{1}{2d^{d-2+(d+2)q}(d-2)} G_q(B_1) \frac{a_d^{2q-1}}{a_{d-1}} (\log(e/(a_{d-1}^{d-1} a_d)))^{-1}. \quad (41)$$

Since

$$x^{1/(d-1)} \log(e/x) \leq d-1, \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

we have, with $x = a_{d-1}^{d-1} a_d$,

$$(\log(e/(a_{d-1}^{d-1} a_d)))^{-1} \geq a_{d-1} a_d^{1/(d-1)} (d-1)^{-1}.$$

This, together with (41), gives

$$\begin{aligned} G_q(\Omega) &\geq \frac{1}{2d^{d-2+(d+2)q}(d-2)(d-1)} G_q(B_1) a_d^{2q-\frac{d-2}{d-1}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2d^{d+(d+2)q}} G_q(B_1) a_d^{2q-\frac{d-2}{d-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

This proves (18) since $a_d \in (0, 1]$, and $q \leq (d-2)/(2(d-1))$.

To prove the existence of a minimiser, we observe that if the left-hand side of (18) equals $G_q(B_1)$ then B_1 is a minimiser which satisfies (19). If the left-hand side of (18) is strictly less than $G_q(B_1)$, we let Ω be bounded and convex such that

$$G_q(\Omega) < G_q(B_1). \quad (43)$$

By (42) and (43) we infer

$$a_d \geq \left(\frac{1}{2d^{d+(d+2)q}} \right)^{(d-1)/(d-2-2q(d-1))}. \quad (44)$$

Since $\prod_{i=1}^d a_i = 1$, and $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_d$ we have $a_1 \leq a_d^{1-d}$. By (29), (30) and (44) we obtain,

$$\frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{r(\Omega)} \leq 2da_d^{-d} \leq 2d(2d^{d+(d+2)q})^{\frac{d(d-1)}{d-2-2q(d-1)}}. \quad (45)$$

The proof of the existence of a minimiser is similar to the proof of the existence of a maximiser in Theorem 1(ii), and has been omitted. If Ω^- is a minimiser then, by continuity of diameter and inradius, Ω^- satisfies (45). This proves (19). \square

5 The logarithmic capacity

We briefly recall some basic properties of the logarithmic capacity of a compact set K in \mathbb{R}^2 . Let μ be a probability measure supported on K , and let

$$I(\mu) = \iint_{K \times K} \log \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|} \right) \mu(dx) \mu(dy).$$

Furthermore let

$$V(K) = \inf \{I(\mu) : \mu \text{ a probability measure on } K\}.$$

The logarithmic capacity of K is denoted by $\text{cap}(K)$, and is the non-negative real number

$$\text{cap}(K) = e^{-V(K)}.$$

It shares some of the properties of the Newtonian capacity. In particular if K_1 and K_2 are compact sets in \mathbb{R}^2 with $K_1 \subset K_2$ then $\text{cap}(K_1) \leq \text{cap}(K_2)$. Moreover, $\text{cap}(K)$ is invariant under translations and rotations of K , and

$$\text{cap}(K) \geq \text{cap}(K^*), \quad (46)$$

where K^* is the disc with $|K| = |K^*|$. See [1] for some refinements. Finally for a homothety,

$$\text{cap}(tK) = t \text{cap}(K), \quad t > 0. \quad (47)$$

The classic treatise [10] gives various planar domains for which the logarithmic capacity can be computed analytically. In particular for the ellipse with semi axes a_1 and a_2 ,

$$\text{cap}(\overline{E(a_1, a_2)}) = \frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2). \quad (48)$$

For an open, bounded, convex planar set Ω we define the functional

$$H_q(\Omega) = \frac{\text{cap}(\overline{\Omega})T^q(\Omega)}{|\Omega|^{(1+4q)/2}}.$$

In particular we have

$$H_q(B_1) = \frac{\tau_2^q}{\omega_2^{(1+4q)/2}} = \frac{1}{8^q \pi^{q+1/2}}.$$

We immediately see that by (2), and (47) that $H_q(t\Omega) = H_q(\Omega)$, $t > 0$. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 4. (i) *If $q \geq 1/2$, then*

$$\sup\{H_q(\Omega) : \Omega \text{ open, bounded, planar, and convex}\} \leq 2^{1+5q} H_q(B_1). \quad (49)$$

(ii) *If $q > 1/2$ then the left-hand side of (49) has an open, bounded, planar, and convex maximiser. For any such maximiser, say Ω^+ ,*

$$\frac{\text{diam}(\Omega^+)}{r(\Omega^+)} \leq \frac{2^{14q}}{2q-1}. \quad (50)$$

(iii) *If $q < 1/2$, then*

$$\sup\{H_q(\Omega) : \Omega \text{ open, bounded, planar, and convex}\} = +\infty. \quad (51)$$

(iv) *If $q \leq 1/2$, then*

$$\inf\{H_q(\Omega) : \Omega \text{ open, bounded, planar, and convex}\} \geq 2^{-2(1+2q)} H_q(B_1). \quad (52)$$

- (v) If $q < 1/2$ then the left-hand side of (52) has an open, bounded, planar, and convex minimiser. For any such minimiser, say Ω^- ,

$$\frac{\text{diam}(\Omega^-)}{r(\Omega^-)} \leq 2^{2(3+2q)/(1-2q)}. \quad (53)$$

- (vi) If $q > 1/2$, then

$$\inf\{H_q(\Omega) : \Omega \text{ open, bounded, planar, and convex}\} = 0.$$

Proof. (i) If $E(a)$ is the John's ellipsoid for Ω then, $E(a/2) \subset \Omega \subset E(a)$ with $a_1 \geq a_2$. Furthermore,

$$\text{cap}(\overline{E(a)}) \leq a_1, \quad T(E(a)) \leq 2\tau_2 a_1 a_2^3, \quad |\Omega| \geq |E(a/2)| = \omega_2 a_1 a_2 / 4,$$

so that

$$H_q(\Omega) \leq 2^{1+5q} \frac{\tau_2^q}{\omega_2^{(1+4q)/2}} \left(\frac{a_2}{a_1}\right)^{q-1/2}. \quad (54)$$

This implies (49) since $q \geq 1/2$.

(ii) To prove (50) we have that either the supremum in the left-hand side of (49) is attained for a ball, in which case the maximiser exists and satisfies (50), or we may assume that $H_q(\Omega) > H_q(B_1)$. This implies, by (29) and (30), that

$$\frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{r(\Omega)} \leq \frac{2^{14q}}{2q-1}.$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to the corresponding parts in the proof of Theorem 2.

- (iii) By (21), (22), and (48),

$$H_q(\Omega) \geq 2^{-2(1+2q)} \frac{\tau_2^q}{\omega_2^{(1+4q)/2}} \left(\frac{a_2}{a_1}\right)^{q-1/2}. \quad (55)$$

This implies (51) by letting $a_2/a_1 \rightarrow 0$ in (55).

- (iv) This follows from (55) and $a_1 \geq a_2$.

(v) Either the infimum in the left-hand side of (52) is attained for a ball, in which case the minimiser exists and satisfies (53), or we may assume that $H_q(\Omega) < H_q(B_1)$. By (55), (29), and (30)

$$\frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{r(\Omega)} \leq 2^{\frac{2(3+2q)}{1-2q}}.$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to the corresponding parts in the proof of Theorem 2.

- (vi) This follows by letting $a_2/a_1 \rightarrow 0$ in (54). \square

Acknowledgements

MvdB acknowledges support by the Leverhulme Trust through Emeritus Fellowship EM-2018-011-9. He is also grateful for hospitality at the Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa. The work of GB is part of the project 2017TEXA3H “*Gradient flows, Optimal Transport and Metric Measure Structures*” funded by the Italian Ministry of Research and University.

References

- [1] V. Andrievskii, W. Hansen, N. Nadirashvili, Isoperimetric inequalities for capacities in the plane, *Math. Ann.* 292 (1992) 191–195.
- [2] M. van den Berg, Estimates for the torsion function and Sobolev constants, *Potential Analysis* 36 (2012) 607–616.
- [3] M. van den Berg, G. Buttazzo, A. Pratelli, *On relations between principal eigenvalue and torsional rigidity*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, to appear. arXiv:1910.14593.
- [4] M. van den Berg, T. Carroll, Hardy inequality and L^p estimates for the torsion function, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 41 (2009) 980–986.
- [5] G. Chrystal, *Electricity. Encyclopedia Britannica*, 9'th ed. (1879).
- [6] T. Giorgi, R. G. Smits, Principal eigenvalue estimates via the supremum of torsion, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 59 (2010) 987–1011.
- [7] I. S. Gradshteyn, I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, series, and products* (Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2015).
- [8] K. Itô, H. P. McKean, *Diffusion processes and their sample paths*, Second printing, corrected. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 125 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974).
- [9] F. John, Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions. Studies and Essays Presented to R. Courant on his 60'th Birthday, January 8, 1948. (Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1948) 187–204.
- [10] N. S. Landkof, *Foundations of Modern Potential Theory*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 180 (Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972).
- [11] G. Pólya, G. Szegő, *Isoperimetric Inequalities in Mathematical Physics*, Ann. of Math. Stud. 27 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1951).
- [12] H. Vogt, L_∞ - estimates for the torsion function and L_∞ - growth of semigroups satisfying Gaussian bounds, *Potential Analysis* 51 (2019) 37–47.