

ON FRACTIONAL POINCARÉ INEQUALITY FOR UNBOUNDED DOMAINS WITH FINITE BALL CONDITIONS: COUNTER EXAMPLE

INDRANIL CHOWDHURY¹, PROSENJIT ROY²

1. Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway. indranil.chowdhury@ntnu.no¹
2. Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India. prosenjit@iitk.ac.in

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate the fractional Poincaré inequality on unbounded domains. In the local case, Sandeep-Mancini [*Moser-Trudinger inequality on conformal discs, Commun. Contemp. Math, 2010*] showed that in the class of simply connected domains, Poincaré inequality holds if and only if the domain satisfies finite ball condition. We prove that such a result *can not be true* in the ‘nonlocal/fractional’ setting even if finite ball condition is replaced by a related stronger condition. We further provide some *sufficient criterions* on domains for fractional Poincaré inequality to hold. In the end, asymptotic behaviour of *all eigenvalues* of fractional Dirichlet problems on long cylindrical domains is addressed.

Keywords: Fractional Poincaré inequality, eigenvalue problem for PDEs, infinite strips like domains, unbounded domains, fractional-Sobolev spaces, fractional Laplacian, asymptotic behaviour.

Subject Classification: 35A23; 35P15; 26D10; 35R09; 46E35; 35R11; 35P20.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years there has been a renewed interest in the theory of fractional Sobolev spaces. A special interest is due to the fact that these spaces play a fundamental role in the study of partial differential equations with nonlocal effects which have a wide range of physical applications, see [5] and references therein. Given an open set $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let us first define the quantity

$$BC(\Omega) = \sup\{r : B_r(x) \subset \Omega, x \in \Omega\}.$$

We say the domain Ω satisfies the ‘*finite ball condition*’ if $BC(\Omega) < \infty$.

Let us define the space $H_\Omega^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ functions (extended by zero to whole \mathbb{R}^n) with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where, $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ denotes the space of smooth functions with compact support in Ω . These spaces can be viewed naturally as the fractional counterpart of $H_0^1(\Omega)$, defined to be the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the Sobolev norm $\left(\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Particularly,

¹*Present Address:* PMF, University of Zagreb, Croatia. indranil.chowdhury@math.hr

$H_\Omega^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ plays a pivotal role to study the Dirichlet problems involving fractional Laplace operator $(-\Delta)^s$. For domains with continuous boundary, $H_\Omega^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can also be written in particular form (c.f. [20, Theorem 6]):

$$H_\Omega^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) : u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega^c\},$$

where $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty\}$. We refer to [4, 19, 26, 27, 28] and references therein for more details in this context.

By Poincaré inequality in local case, we mean that the quantity

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) := \inf_{\substack{u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2}{\int_\Omega u^2} > 0.$$

Similarly, We say that *fractional Poincaré* (**FP**(s)) inequality holds for $H_\Omega^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if,

$$P_{n,s}^2(\Omega) := \inf_{\substack{u \in H_\Omega^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy}{\int_\Omega u^2} > 0.$$

It is worth noting that fractional Sobolev spaces have many properties which are quite similar to the properties observed in classical Sobolev spaces as well. Very interestingly, many results depend on the range of the fractional power s . We refer to [15, 16, 18, 22, 24, 26] and references therein for general discussions on fractional Sobolev and Hardy's inequalities.

It is well-known that for domains with finite Lebesgue measure (in particular for bounded domains), FP(s) inequality holds for all $s \in (0, 1)$ (for reference, see [27], it also follows from our Theorem 1.3). Also $P_{n,s}^2(\Omega)$ corresponds to the first eigenvalue of fractional Dirichlet problem on bounded domains Ω , (see, [29, Proposition 9]). Note that, similar to local case, finite ball condition for domains ($BC(\Omega) < \infty$) is *necessary* for FP(s) inequality to hold true. When Ω is contained in two parallel hyperplanes (strips), then $P_{n,s}^2(\Omega) > 0$ for all $s \in (0, 1)$ (see, [30] and [12]). Apart from the above mentioned class of domains, to the best of our knowledge, there are no non-trivial unbounded domain for which existence of FP(s) inequality is discussed in literature. Our Theorem 1.3 and discussions in section 4 provides several examples of non-trivial domains for which FP(s) inequality holds (or does not hold). On the other hand in [13], the authors discussed about the ‘*regional fractional Poincaré*’ inequalities for unbounded domain where for any domain Ω the best constant $P_{n,s}^1(\Omega)$ defined as

$$P_{n,s}^1(\Omega) := \inf_{\substack{u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{(u(x)-u(y))^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy}{\int_\Omega u^2}.$$

It is immediate to note that $P_{n,s}^2(\Omega) \geq P_{n,s}^1(\Omega)$. Hence, if $P_{n,s}^1(\Omega) > 0$ one find that FP(s) inequality holds as well. Although one can not guarantee the reverse. In fact, for any bounded Lipschitz domain Ω we have $P_{n,s}^1(\Omega) = 0$ for $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ (see [22], also [13, Proposition 2.3]). But as mentioned above, for any bounded domain we get $P_{n,s}^2(\Omega) > 0$.

Interestingly, in local case there is a direct correspondence between Poincaré inequality and finite ball condition. We have the following result due to Mancini-Sandeep [25] in dimension $n = 2$. Any higher dimension version of this result is still unknown.

Proposition 1.1 ([25]). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be simply connected. Then*

$$BC(\Omega) < \infty \Leftrightarrow \lambda_1(\Omega) > 0.$$

On the other hand, for nonlocal case, one can verify that the simply connected domain

$$\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (\mathbb{Z} \times \{(-\infty, 0] \cup [1, \infty)\})$$

satisfies finite ball condition (i.e. $BC(\Omega) < \infty$), and $P_{2,s}^2(\Omega) = P_{2,s}^2(\mathbb{R}^2) = 0$ for $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ (see, e.g. Lemma 2.2). The example reflects that Gagliardo seminorm in fractional case $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ does not 'count' the low dimensional parts of the complement of Ω . Hence, we modify the definition of $BC(\Omega)$ accordingly and define the following:

$$\overline{BC}(\Omega) = \sup\{r : |B_r(x) \cap \Omega^c| = 0, x \in \Omega\}.$$

Definition 1 (*Extended finite ball condition*). We say the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies *extended finite ball condition* if $\overline{BC}(\Omega) < \infty$.

In Lemma 2.2, we show that $\overline{BC}(\Omega) < \infty$ is again a necessary condition on any domain for FP(s) inequality to hold for $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Our main aim is to show that the nonlocal analog of Proposition 1.1 can non hold even with the extended finite ball condition. Note that, pathological examples like above (full space like domains) are already ruled out with this new condition.

Theorem 1.2 (*Counter Example*). *Let $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. There exists a simply connected domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfying extended finite ball condition ($\overline{BC}(\Omega) < \infty$) for which FP(s) inequality does not hold, i.e. $P_{2,s}^2(\mathcal{D}) = 0$.*

Infinite strips are important class of unbounded domains that has finite ball condition. One can verify that (cf. Theorem 1.3), if the domain is a finite union of strips then FP(s) is true for all s . Our construction of the domain \mathcal{D} , in the above theorem, uses union of infinite number of parallel strips, separated by a distance that goes to 0 at a special rate, and another perpendicular strip joining them to keep the simply connected assumption. Theorem 1.2 assures that in the class of simply connected domains, extended finite ball condition is not sufficient to ensure the FP(s) inequality in the full range of $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. In the range $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ such a result is not available yet.

Remark. *Interestingly, in Section 4 (as an application of Theorem 1.3) we will show that for \mathcal{D} , $P_{2,s}^2(\mathcal{D}) > 0$ in the regime $s \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. What happens for $s = \frac{1}{2}$ is unclear to us.*

Our next result provides two sufficient criterion for FP(s) inequality to hold true. We start with some definitions that are required to formulate our next theorem.

Definition 2 (*Uniform FP(s) Inequality*). Let $\{\Omega_\alpha\}_\alpha$ be a family of sets in \mathbb{R}^n , where $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}$ (some indexing set). We say FP(s) inequality to hold uniformly for $\{\Omega_\alpha\}_\alpha$, if $\inf_\alpha P_{n,s}^2(\Omega_\alpha) > 0$.

For any $\omega \in S^{n-1}$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we define $L_\Omega(x_0, \omega) := \{t \mid x_0 + t\omega \in \Omega\} \subset \mathbb{R}$. Here S^{n-1} denotes the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 3 (*LS(s) type Domain*). We say Ω is of type LS(s), if there exists a set $\sigma \subset S^{n-1}$, of positive $n-1$ dimensional Hausdorff measure, such that one dimensional FP(s) inequality holds uniformly for the family of sets $\{L_\Omega(x_0, \omega)\}_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \omega \in \sigma}$.

Note that, it is sufficient to consider the family of sets for $x_0 \in P(\omega)$ where $P(\omega)$ denotes the plane perpendicular to $\omega \in S^{n-1}$, passing through the origin. The definition of LS(s) type domain is technical. To provide some geometric intuitions, we present several examples of LS(s) type domain in Section 4.

Theorem 1.3 (*Sufficient Criterion*). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain and $s \in (0, 1)$. Then $P_{n,s}^2(\Omega) > 0$ if Ω satisfies one of the following criterion:*

- (i) *There exist $R > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that $|\Omega^c \cap B(x, R)| > c$ for each $x \in \Omega$.*
- (ii) *Ω is a LS(s) type domain.*

We believe that condition (i) of Theorem 1.3 is known to the experts, although we provide the proof for completeness. The main tool to prove part (ii) of Theorem 1.3 is the clever use of change of variable type formula due to Loss-Sloane [24] which effectively reduces the problem in to one dimension setting. In Section 4 we present several non-trivial examples of domains to discuss the sufficient conditions (Theorem 1.3) in details.

Our next aim is to analyze the asymptotic behaviour of eigenvalues for fractional Laplacian on the class of domain of type $\Omega_\ell := (-\ell, \ell)^m \times \omega$, where $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ be bounded open set and $n > m \in \mathbb{N}$. In this context, let us consider the following eigenvalue problem:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u_\ell = \lambda(\Omega_\ell) u_\ell & \text{in } \Omega_\ell, \\ u_\ell = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_\ell^c = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega_\ell. \end{cases}$$

For detail discussion on the spectrum of fractional eigenvalue problem, we refer to [21, 29]. We establish the following theorem regarding the asymptotic behaviour of the k -th eigenvalue of the above problem as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$,

Theorem 1.4 (*Asymptotics of the k -th Eigenvalue*). *It holds that for $0 < s < 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$P_{n-m,s}^2(\omega) \leq \lambda_k(\Omega_\ell) \leq P_{n-m,s}^2(\omega) + A\ell^{-s}$$

where A is a constant independent of ℓ and $\lambda_k(\Omega_\ell)$ denotes the k -th eigenvalue of (1.1).

For the case when $k = 1$, the above theorem characterises the best Poincaré constant for the strip like domain $\mathbb{R}^m \times \omega$ and this is established in [13, 2]. For the local analogue of Theorem 1.4 (that is for second order elliptic operator in divergence form with Dirichlet boundary condition), we refer to [10]. Independently, study of problems on Ω_ℓ for large ℓ is carried out by several authors in the last two decades. For more literature on this subject we refer to [1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 14, 23] for the result considering local operator and [2, 12, 30] for nonlocal operators. we refer to [17] for related result, regarding the study of spectral gap of fractional Laplace like operator on rectangular domain.

The article is organized as follows. In section 2 we provide some preparatory lemmas and well known results. In Section 3, we construct the domain \mathcal{D} as in Theorem 1.2 and present the prove of Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.3 and as an application of it, we present some examples of domains for which FP(s) inequality is true. Finally, in Section 5, we present the proof of Theorem 1.4.

2. PRELIMINARY AND TECHNICAL LEMMAS

We introduce some notations that will be followed uniformly through out this article. For any Lebesgue measurable subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the measure will be denoted by $|E|$. A ball of radius r and centre at x will be denoted by $B_r(x)$. For real number x , $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to x . In this section we introduce some known results and some technical lemma, that will be useful for the proof of our result. For $u \in H_\Omega^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we will denote its Gagliardo semi norm by

$$[u]_{s,\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy.$$

Lemma 2.1. *If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ does not satisfy finite ball condition, then $P_{n,s}^2(\Omega) = 0$.*

Proof. Fix $0 \neq U \in C_c^\infty(B_1(0))$ and define $\lambda := \frac{[U]_{s,B_1(0),\mathbb{R}^n}}{\int_{B_1(0)} |U(x)|^2 dx}$. Clearly, $\lambda < \infty$. Domain not satisfying finite ball condition implies that for any $R > 0$ (large) there exist $x_R \in \Omega$ such that $B_R(x_R) \subset \Omega$. Shifting the coordinate system to x_R and defining $v(x) = U(\frac{x}{R})$ it is easy to see that

$$P_{n,s}^2(\Omega) \leq \frac{[v]_{s,\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n}}{\int_\Omega v^2} = R^{-2s} \lambda \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad \square$$

Next, we establish that for $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ even extended finite ball condition is necessary for FP(s) inequality to hold.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ does not satisfy extended finite ball condition (i.e. $\overline{BC}(\Omega) = \infty$), then $P_{n,s}^2(\Omega) = 0$.*

Proof. The assumption $\overline{BC}(\Omega) = \infty$ implies that there exists sequences $\{x_k\}_k \subset \Omega$ and $\{R_k\}_k \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $R_k \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$|B_{R_k}(x_k) \cap \Omega^c| = 0.$$

Hence by De morgan's law we have $|B_{R_k}(x_k) \cap \Omega| = |B_{R_k}(x_k)|$. Consider the function

$$\psi_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in } B_{R_k}(x_k) \cap \Omega, \\ 0 & \text{in } (B_{R_k}(x_k) \cap \Omega)^c, \end{cases} \quad \tilde{\psi}_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in } B_{R_k}(x_k), \\ 0 & \text{in } (B_{R_k}(x_k))^c, \end{cases}$$

and note that $\psi_k \in H_\Omega^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see the calculation below). As $|B_{R_k}(x_k) \cap \Omega| = |B_{R_k}(x_k)|$, we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(\psi_k(x) - \psi_k(y))^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(\tilde{\psi}_k(x) - \tilde{\psi}_k(y))^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy$$

$$= 2 \int_{B_{R_k}(x_k)} \int_{(B_{R_k}(x_k))^c} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy = 2R_k^{n-2s} P_s(B_1(0))$$

where $P_s(B_1(0)) := \int_{B_1(0)} \int_{(B_1(0))^c} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy$, and the last equality follows by change of variable. By [3, Corollary 4.4] we find $P_s(B_1(0)) < \infty$ for $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Therefore we have

$$P_{n,s}^2(\Omega) \leq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(\psi_k(x) - \psi_k(y))^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi_n|^2 dx} = \frac{2R_k^{n-2s} P_s(B_1(0))}{|B_{R_k}(x_k)|} \leq K R_k^{-2s} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

The following lemma provides a sufficient condition on family of domains on real line for FP(s) inequality to hold.

Lemma 2.3. *Consider $\Omega = \cup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_j, b_j) \subset \mathbb{R}$, where (a_j, b_j) are mutually disjoint. Also let $M = \max_j |a_j - b_j| < \infty$ (that is $BC(\Omega) < M$). Then, for $s \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, one has for some constant $C > 0$,*

$$P_{1,s}^2(\Omega) \geq C M^{-2s}.$$

Proof. For $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{1+2s}} dx dy \geq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{a_j}^{b_j} \int_{a_j}^{b_j} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{1+2s}} dx dy.$$

For $s \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, noting that $P_{1,s}^1((0, 1)) < \infty$ and by taking suitable scaling, translation and change of variable we find

$$\int_{a_j}^{b_j} \int_{a_j}^{b_j} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{1+2s}} dx dy \geq P_{1,s}^1((0, 1)) |a_j - b_j|^{-2s} \int_{a_j}^{b_j} u^2 dx,$$

for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $|a_j - b_j| \leq M$ we thus have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{1+2s}} dx dy \geq P_{1,s}^1((0, 1)) M^{-2s} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{a_j}^{b_j} u^2(x) dx = P_{1,s}^1((0, 1)) M^{-2s} \int_{\Omega} u^2.$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.4. [An inequality] *For $m \in (0, 1)$, and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $||a|^m - |b|^m| \leq |a - b|^m$.*

Proof. It suffices to show the result for $a, b \geq 0$. To prove the inequality, consider the function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = (x+c)^m - c^m - x^m$, where $c \geq 0$ is a fixed constant. Then for any $x > 0$,

$$f'(x) = p \left(\frac{1}{(x+c)^{1-p}} - \frac{1}{x^{1-p}} \right) \leq 0.$$

Therefore, $f(x)$ is monotonically decreasing and as $f(0) = 0$, for any fixed $c \geq 0$ and $x \geq 0$ we get

$$(x+c)^m - c^m - x^m \leq 0.$$

Whenever, $a \geq b$ the result follows by taking $c = b$ and $x = a - b$. Whereas, for $a < b$ the result follows by taking $c = a$ and $x = b - a$. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|a| < |b|$, then there exist a constant depending on n and $s \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\int_{|a|}^{|b|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|y|^{2+2s}} dy_2 dy_1 = C(s) \left(\frac{1}{|a|^{2s}} - \frac{1}{|b|^{2s}} \right).$$

Proof. Let $y = (y_1, y_2)$, then by change of variable formula we see by choosing $y_2 = y_1 \tan \theta$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|a|}^{|b|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{|y|^{2+2s}} &= \int_{|a|}^{|b|} \left(\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{y_1 \sec^2 \theta d\theta}{y_1^{2+2s} (1 + \tan^2 \theta)^{2+2s}} \right) dy_1 \\ &= \left(\int_{|a|}^{|b|} y_1^{-2s-1} dy_1 \right) \left(\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d\theta}{(\sec \theta)^{2s}} \right) = C(s) \left(\frac{1}{|a|^{2s}} - \frac{1}{|b|^{2s}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where, $2sC(s) = \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos \theta)^{2s} d\theta$. It completes the proof. \square

The following lemma will be used several times in the proof of our main result.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $0 < q_1 < q_2$, $M, N > 0$ and $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Define $\mathcal{B}_{M,N} := (0, M) \times (0, N)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{q_1, q_2} = (-q_2, -q_1) \times (-\infty, \infty)$, then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_{M,N}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{q_1, q_2}} \frac{dy dx}{|x - y|^{2+2s}} = C(s) N [(q_1 + M)^{1-2s} - q_1^{1-2s} - (q_2 + M)^{1-2s} + q_2^{1-2s}]$$

where $C(s) > 0$ is some constant depending on s .

Proof. For fixed $x \in \mathcal{B}_{M,N}$, introduce the change of variable by $z = y - x$. Then $z \in (-q_2 - x_1, -q_1 - x_1) \times (-\infty, \infty)$. Then the required integral becomes,

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_{M,N}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{q_1, q_2}} \frac{dy dx}{|x - y|^{2+2s}} = \int_{\mathcal{B}_{M,N}} \left(\int_{(-q_2 - x_1, -q_1 - x_1) \times (-\infty, \infty)} \frac{dz}{|z|^{2+2s}} \right) dx.$$

Now from the previous lemma we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{M,N}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{q_1, q_2}} \frac{dy dx}{|x - y|^{2+2s}} &= C(s) \int_{\mathcal{B}_{M,N}} \left\{ \frac{1}{(q_1 + x_1)^{2s}} - \frac{1}{(q_2 + x_1)^{2s}} \right\} dx \\ &= C(s) N \int_0^M \left\{ \frac{1}{(q_1 + x_1)^{2s}} - \frac{1}{(q_2 + x_1)^{2s}} \right\} dx_1 \\ &= C(s) N [(q_1 + M)^{1-2s} - q_1^{1-2s} - (q_2 + M)^{1-2s} + q_2^{1-2s}]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

3. DOMAIN NOT HAVING FRACTIONAL POINCARÉ

Let $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and define a decreasing sequence $\{s_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the following property:

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} s_m^{1-2s} < \infty.$$

Precise form of the sequence s_j will be given later. We will construct the domain with the countable union of infinite strips. The definition of the domain is the following:

$$\mathcal{C}_k := (a_k, 1 + a_k) \times (-\infty, \infty); \quad k \geq 0 \quad \text{where,} \quad a_k := k + \sum_{j=0}^k s_j, \quad s_0 = 0$$

$$\mathcal{S}_k := (a_k - s_k, a_k) \times (-\infty, \infty); \quad k \geq 1.$$

\mathcal{S}_k denotes the strip between \mathcal{C}_{k-1} and \mathcal{C}_k . We denote the strips similarly on the left hand side of Y -axis as well,

$$\mathcal{C}_k := (a_k, a_k + 1) \times (-\infty, \infty); \quad k \leq -1 \quad \text{where,} \quad a_k := k - \sum_{j=k+1}^0 s_{-j},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_k := (a_k - s_{|k|}, a_k) \times (-\infty, \infty); \quad k \leq -1.$$

For convention we denote $\mathcal{S}_0 = \emptyset$. Let us now define a domain Ω_0 by

$$(3.2) \quad \Omega_0 = \bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_k.$$

We note that Ω_0 is symmetric (reflection) about the line $x_1 = 0$.

Finally, we denote $D = (-\infty, \infty) \times (-2, -1)$ and take the following simply connected domain to proof Theorem 1.2:

$$\mathcal{D} := \Omega_0 \cup D.$$

Now we present the proof of Theorem 1.2. We remark that the value of arbitrary constant will be denoted by $C, C(s)$ or K in the proof and it may change from line to line.

Proof of the Theorem 1.2. Clearly \mathcal{D} is a simply connected domain and $\overline{BC}(\mathcal{D}) < \infty$. Proof of the theorem consists of different steps. We will prove the theorem by constructing a sequence of function $\{\psi_k\}_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and then claiming $P_{s,\mathcal{D}}(\psi_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$P_{s,\mathcal{D}}(\psi) = \frac{[\psi]_{s,\mathcal{D},\mathbb{R}^2}}{\int_{\mathcal{D}} \psi^2(x) dx}.$$

For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ define $C_j^{k_0} := \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{C}_j \mid x_2 \in (0, k_0)\}$ and the function

$$(3.3) \quad \psi_{k,k_0}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in \bigcup_{j=0}^k C_j^{k_0}, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^k C_j^{k_0}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\text{support}\{\psi_{k,k_0}\} \subset \Omega_0 \subset \mathcal{D}$. Without any loss of generality we will simply denote ψ_{k,k_0} by ψ for rest of the argument.

Step 1: We write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(\psi(x+y) - \psi(x))^2}{|y|^{2+2s}} dy dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{x \in \Omega_0} \int_{x+y \in \Omega_0} \frac{(\psi(x+y) - \psi(x))^2}{|y|^{2+2s}} dy dx + 2 \int_{x \in \Omega_0} \int_{x+y \in \Omega_0^c} \frac{(\psi(x+y) - \psi(x))^2}{|y|^{2+2s}} dy dx \\
&\quad + \int_{x \in \Omega_0^c} \int_{x+y \in \Omega_0^c} \frac{(\psi(x+y) - \psi(x))^2}{|y|^{2+2s}} dy dx \\
&= \mathbb{I}_1 + 2 \mathbb{I}_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where \mathbb{I}_1 and \mathbb{I}_2 denotes the first and second integral in the previous expression. The third integral becomes zero as $\psi = 0$ on Ω_0^c . We will estimate \mathbb{I}_1 and \mathbb{I}_2 separately.

Step 2 (Estimate of \mathbb{I}_2): From (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{I}_2 &= \int_{x \in \Omega_0} \int_{x+y \in \Omega_0^c} \frac{\psi^2(x)}{|y|^{2+2s}} dy dx = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\int_{\mathcal{C}_m} \psi^2(x) \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{x+y \in \mathcal{S}_j} \frac{dy}{|y|^{2+2s}} \right) dx \right] \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{x \in \mathcal{C}_m} \psi^2(x) \left(\int_{x+y \in \mathcal{S}_j} \frac{dy}{|y|^{2+2s}} \right) dx \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \mathbb{I}_{2,m,j} + \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{\substack{j=\infty \\ j \neq m, m+1}} \mathbb{I}_{2,m,j} + \sum_{m=0}^k (\mathbb{I}_{2,m,m} + \mathbb{I}_{2,m,m+1}) \\
&:= \mathbb{J}_1 + \mathbb{J}_2 + \mathbb{J}_3.
\end{aligned}$$

In the above expression

$$\mathbb{I}_{2,m,j} = \int_{\mathcal{C}_m} \psi^2(x) \left(\int_{x+y \in \mathcal{S}_j} \frac{dy}{|y|^{2+2s}} \right) dx.$$

For any fixed $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, $x+y \in \mathcal{S}_j$ if and only if $y \in \mathcal{S}_j - \{x\}$. Then by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\int_{x+y \in \mathcal{S}_j} \frac{dy}{|y|^{2+2s}} = C \left| \frac{1}{|a_j - x_1|^{2s}} - \frac{1}{|a_j - s_{|j|} - x_1|^{2s}} \right| := \mathcal{G}_j(x).$$

Therefore, by (3.3) the term $\mathbb{I}_{2,m,j}$ can be written as

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbb{I}_{2,m,j} = \int_{x \in \mathcal{C}_m} \psi^2(x) \mathcal{G}_j(x) dx = \int_{x \in \mathcal{C}_m^{k_0}} \mathcal{G}_j(x) dx.$$

Estimate for \mathbb{J}_3 : It is enough to estimate the first term $\sum_{m=0}^k \mathbb{I}_{2,m,m}$ of \mathbb{J}_3 , as the estimate for the other term follows similarly. Now, by making a simple modification of Lemma 2.6 in accordance to apply for $\mathbb{I}_{2,m,m}$, we have that

$$|\mathbb{I}_{2,m,m}| = C(s)k_0 |1 - (1 + s_m)^{1-2s} + s_m^{1-2s}| \leq C(s)k_0 s_m^{1-2s}.$$

One can use Taylor's expansion or Lemma 2.4 to obtain the second last inequality in the previous line. Using (3.4) with $j = m$, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbb{J}_3 = \sum_{m=0}^k (\mathbb{I}_{2,m,m} + \mathbb{I}_{2,m,m+1}) \leq C(s)k_0 \sum_{m=0}^k s_m^{1-2s}.$$

Estimate for \mathbb{J}_1 : We note, given $2s < 1$, there exist $P_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{P_0+1} < 2s \leq \frac{1}{P_0}$. First, denote $A_j := |a_j - s_{|j|} - x_1|^{2s}$ and $B_j := |a_j - x_1|^{2s}$. Multiply the numerator and denominator of $\mathcal{G}_j(x)$ by $\sum_{\ell=0}^{P_0-1} A_j^{P_0-1-\ell} B_j^\ell$ to get

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{G}_j(x) = \frac{|A_j^{P_0} - B_j^{P_0}|}{A_j B_j \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{P_0-1} A_j^{P_0-1-\ell} B_j^\ell \right)}.$$

From the definition of \mathcal{C}_m and \mathcal{S}_j , we see that whenever $x \in \mathcal{C}_m$,

$$(3.7) \quad |a_j - x_1|; |a_j - s_{|j|} - x_1| \geq \begin{cases} |m-j| - 1 & \text{for } j \geq m+2, \\ |m-j| & \text{for } j \leq m-1. \end{cases}$$

As $0 < 2sP_0 < 1$, from Lemma 2.4 we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad |A_j^{P_0} - B_j^{P_0}| \leq s_{|j|}^{2sP_0}.$$

Therefore from (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we get

$$(3.9) \quad |\mathcal{G}_j| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{s_{|j|}^{2sP_0}}{(|m-j|-1)^{2s(P_0+1)}} & \text{for } j \geq m+2, \\ \frac{s_{|j|}^{2sP_0}}{|m-j|^{2s(P_0+1)}} & \text{for } j \leq m-1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore from (3.4), we have

$$\mathbb{I}_{2,j,m} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{k_0 s_{|j|}^{2sP_0}}{(|m-j|-1)^{2s(P_0+1)}} & \text{for } j \geq m+2, \\ \frac{k_0 s_{|j|}^{2sP_0}}{|m-j|^{2s(P_0+1)}} & \text{for } j \leq m-1. \end{cases}$$

Since $s_j \rightarrow 0$, we can find a constant C such that $s_{|j|} \leq C, \forall j$. Using this, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{J}_1 &= \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \mathbb{I}_{2,j,m} \leq Ck_0 \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{|m-j|^{2s(P_0+1)}} \leq Ck_0 \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{j=\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{2s(P_0+1)}} \\ &\leq Ck_0 \sum_{m=0}^k \int_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{z^{2s(P_0+1)}} \leq C(s)k_0 \sum_{m=0}^k m^{1-2s(P_0+1)} \\ &= Ck_0 k^{2-2s(P_0+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate for \mathbb{J}_2 : Using (3.9) and the decreasing property of $\{s_j\}_j$, we obtain,

$$\sum_{\substack{j=\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor+1, \\ j \neq m, m+1}}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_j \leq s_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}^{2sP_0} \sum_{j=\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor+1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{|j-m|^{2s(P_0+1)}} + s_{m+2}^{2sP_0} \sum_{j=m+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|j-m|^{2s(P_0+1)}}.$$

Using (3.1) and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{2s(P_0+1)}} < K$ for some constant $K > 0$, we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_{\substack{j=\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1, \\ j \neq m, m+1}}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_j \leq 2K s_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}^{2sP_0}.$$

From (3.4) and (3.11), we get

$$(3.12) \quad \mathbb{J}_2 = \sum_{m=0}^k \left(\int_{C_m^{k_0}} \sum_{\substack{j=\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor, \\ j \neq m, m+1}}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_j \right) \leq K k_0 \sum_{m=0}^k s_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}^{2sP_0}.$$

Combining (3.5), (3.10) and (3.12),

$$(3.13) \quad \mathbb{I}_2 \leq C k_0 \left(k^{2-2s(P_0+1)} + \sum_{m=0}^k s_m^{1-2s} + \sum_{m=0}^k s_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}^{2sP_0} \right).$$

Step 3 (Estimate of \mathbb{I}_1): We will now estimate the term \mathbb{I}_1 . After changing the variable, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_1 &= \sum_{j,m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{C_m \times C_j} \frac{(\psi(x) - \psi(y))^2}{|x - y|^{2+2s}} dy dx \\ &= \left[2 \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^k + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^k \right) + \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{m=0}^k \right] \int_{x \in C_m} \int_{y \in C_j} \frac{(\psi(x) - \psi(y))^2}{|x - y|^{2+2s}} dy dx \\ &:= 2(A_1 + A_2) + A_3. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate of A_1 and A_2 will be similar and follow the similar line of argument as in Step 2, whereas for the term A_3 the arguments will be quite different and delicate.

Estimate of A_1 and A_2 : We start with the term A_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^k \int_{x \in C_m} \int_{y \in C_j} \frac{\psi^2(x)}{|x - y|^{2+2s}} dy dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^k \int_{x \in C_m^{k_0}} \left| \frac{1}{|a_j - x_1|^{2s}} - \frac{1}{|a_j + 1 - x_1|^{2s}} \right| dx. \end{aligned}$$

When $(j, m) = (-1, 0)$, we can estimate

$$\int_{x \in C_0} \int_{y \in C_{-1}} \frac{\psi^2(x)}{|x - y|^{2+2s}} dx dy \leq C k_0,$$

after using Lemma 2.6, with $q_1 = 0, q_2 = 1, M = 1$ and $N = k_0$. Next we use the similar argument as done for the term in Estimate of \mathbb{J}_1 and the fact that

$$|a_j + 1 - x_1|, |a_j - x_1| \geq m - j - 1 \quad \text{for } (j, m) \in \{\dots, -1\} \times \{0, \dots, k\} \setminus \{(-1, 0)\}$$

to obtain

$$(3.14) \quad A_1 \leq Ck_0 + C \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ (j,m) \neq (-1,0)}}^k \frac{|\mathcal{C}_m^{k_0}|}{(m-j-1)^{2s(P_0+1)}} \leq Ck_0 \left(k^{2-2s(P_0+1)} + 1 \right).$$

The estimate of A_2 is exactly similar, in fact one can also see this by observing that $A_2 \leq A_1$ (as the integrand in A_1 is point wise less than the integrand in A_2 , after a change of variable.) Therefore,

$$(3.15) \quad A_1 + A_2 \leq Ck_0 \left(k^{2-2s(P_0+1)} + 1 \right).$$

Estimate of A_3 : Finally, we estimate the term A_3 . Note that for each j, m , one has

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_j} \int_{\mathcal{C}_m} \frac{(\psi(x) - \psi(y))^2}{|x - y|^{2+2s}} dx dy \leq \int_{\mathcal{C}_j} \int_{\mathcal{C}_j} \frac{(\psi(x) - \psi(y))^2}{|x - y|^{2+2s}} dx dy.$$

To see this, we first make a change of variable by performing a reflection T with respect to $x_2 = \frac{a_m - (a_j + 1)}{2}$, which sends \mathcal{C}_m to \mathcal{C}_j (without loss of generality assuming $m > j$). One can easily verify that $|x - T(y)| \geq |x - y|$ for any $x, y \in \mathcal{C}_j$. Therefore, above estimate holds by noting $\psi(T(y)) = \psi(y)$, by the definition of ψ . Hence,

$$A_3 = \sum_{j,m=0}^k \int_{\mathcal{C}_j} \int_{\mathcal{C}_m} \frac{(\psi(x) - \psi(y))^2}{|x - y|^{2+2s}} dx dy \leq (k+1) \sum_{j=0}^k \int_{\mathcal{C}_j} \int_{\mathcal{C}_j} \frac{(\psi(x) - \psi(y))^2}{|x - y|^{2+2s}} dx dy.$$

Further, we note that we can replace the domain of integration $\mathcal{C}_j \times \mathcal{C}_j$ by $\mathcal{C}_0 \times \mathcal{C}_0$, which follows by simple change of variables. Therefore from (3.3),

$$P := \int_{\mathcal{C}_0 \times \mathcal{C}_0} \frac{(\psi(x) - \psi(y))^2}{|x - y|^{2+2s}} dx dy = 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}_0^{k_0}} \int_{(\mathcal{C}_0 \setminus \mathcal{C}_0^{k_0})} \frac{dy dx}{|x - y|^{2+2s}}.$$

Using $\mathcal{C}_0 \setminus \mathcal{C}_0^{k_0} \subset (-\infty, \infty) \times (k_0, \infty)$, we get

$$P \leq 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}_0^{k_0}} \int_{(-\infty, \infty) \times (k_0, \infty)} \frac{dy dx}{|x - y|^{2+2s}} = 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}_0^{k_0}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{k_0 - x_2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z|^{2+2s}} dz \right) dx.$$

Now using Lemma 2.5, we get we have for some positive constant C ,

$$P \leq C \int_{\mathcal{C}_0^{k_0}} \frac{dx}{|k_0 - x_2|^{2s}} = Ck_0^{1-2s}.$$

Therefore, $A_3 \leq Ck^2 k_0^{1-2s}$. We finally have from (3.14), (3.15) and from the previous inequality, that

$$(3.16) \quad \mathbb{I}_1 \leq Ck_0 k^{2-2s(P_0+1)} + Ck^2 k_0^{1-2s}.$$

Step 4 (Final steps): Note that

$$(3.17) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} \psi^2(x) dx = \sum_{j=0}^k \int_{\mathcal{C}_j} \psi^2(x) dx = k k_0.$$

Therefore from (3.13), (3.16), (3.17) and using $1 - 2s \leq 2sP_0$ we have

$$P_{s,D}(\psi) = \frac{\mathbb{I}_1 + \mathbb{I}_2}{kk_0} \leq C \left(k^{1-2s(P_0+1)} + kk_0^{-2s} + k^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^k s_m^{1-2s} + k^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^k s_{[\frac{m}{2}]}^{1-2s} \right).$$

Now choose $k_0 = k^A$, where $2sA > 1$ and the choice of s_m such that $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} s_m^{1-2s} < \infty$, then clearly the right hand side of the above expression tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

4. ON SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3 and discuss on the sufficient conditions in details. Before we present the proof, let us first recall the key identity by Loss- Sloane [24] which applies to prove the second part of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 4.1. [Loss-Sloane] *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then for $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$,*

$$I_{n,s,\Omega}[u] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega \in S^{n-1}} \int_{\{x: x \cdot \omega = 0\}} \int_{x+s\omega \in \Omega, x+t\omega \in \Omega} \frac{(u(x+s\omega) - u(x+t\omega))^2}{|s-t|^{1+2s}} dt ds d\mu(x) d\omega$$

where μ denotes the $n-1$ dimensional Lebesgue measure on the plane $x \cdot \omega = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Part one: We start with the right hand side of the inequality, for any $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy \geq \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{y \in \Omega^c \cap B(x,R)} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy.$$

As $u = 0$ on Ω^c and $\frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} \geq \frac{1}{R^{n+2s}}$ for each $y \in \Omega^c \cap B(x,R)$, we finally have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{y \in \Omega^c \cap B(x,R)} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy &\geq \frac{1}{R^{n+2s}} |\Omega^c \cap B(x,R)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^2(x) dx \\ &\geq \frac{c}{R^{n+2s}} \int_{\Omega} u^2(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows.

Second Part: Take $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ in Lemma 4.1. Then

$$2I_{n,s,\mathbb{R}^n}[u] \geq \int_{\omega \in \sigma} \int_{\{x: x \cdot \omega = 0\}} \left(\int_{s,t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{(u(x+s\omega) - u(x+t\omega))^2}{|s-t|^{1+2s}} dt ds \right) d\mu(x) d\omega.$$

Notice that since we have assumed LS(s) property on the domain, this implies that for each fixed $\omega \in \omega$, $x \in P(\omega)$ there exist a constant $C > 0$, independent of σ and $P(\omega)$ such that

$$\int_{s,t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{(u(x+s\omega) - u(x+t\omega))^2}{|s-t|^{1+2s}} dt ds \geq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2(x+s\omega) ds.$$

Plugging the above two inequalities together we obtain,

$$I_{n,s,\mathbb{R}^n}[u] \geq \frac{C}{2} \int_{\omega \in \sigma} \int_{\{x: x \cdot \omega = 0\}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2(x+s\omega) ds \right) d\mu(x) d\omega$$

$$= \frac{C}{2} \int_{\omega \in \sigma} \left(\int_{\{x: x \cdot \omega = 0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2(x + s\omega) ds d\mu(x) \right) d\omega = \frac{C}{2} \int_{\omega \in \sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^2 dx d\omega = \frac{C|\sigma|}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx.$$

This finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

As an application of Theorem 1.3, we now present some examples of unbounded domains for which fractional Poincaré inequality is true:

Example 1: Finite union of infinite strips. By infinite strip we mean the region contained in between two parallel hyperplanes. It is very easy to verify that the criteria in Theorem 1.3 (i) holds here. Therefore, fractional Poincaré inequality hold for all $s \in (0, 1)$.

Example 2: \mathcal{D} as in Theorem 1.2. For $s \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ there is an *easy geometric characterisation for any domain Ω to satisfy LS(s) condition*. A domain Ω satisfies LS(s) condition if and only if

$$\sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \omega \in \sigma} BC(L_{\Omega}(x_0, \omega)) < \infty,$$

where the sets $\{L_{\Omega}(x_0, \omega)\}_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \omega \in \sigma}$ is as in Definition 3. This follows as an immediate application of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3. From this it clear that \mathcal{D} for $s > \frac{1}{2}$ satisfies LS(s) condition and hence the FP(s) inequality holds.

Example 3: Infinite number of parallel infinite strips. If Ω is union of infinite number of parallel infinite strips, each one is of width 1, with the property that distance between any two consecutive strips is bounded below by a strictly positive number. Then FP(s) is true for all $s \in (0, 1)$ both as an application of condition (i) and (ii) in Theorem 1.3.

Example 4: Infinite Strips with decreasing width. First let us consider the following one dimensional set.

$$\Omega_1 = (0, 1) \cup (2, 2 + \frac{1}{2}) \cup (3, 3 + \frac{1}{3}) \cup (3 + \frac{2}{3}, 3 + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{4}) \cup \dots$$

Basically length of n -th interval is $\frac{1}{n}$ and the distance between n and $n+1$ -th interval is also $\frac{1}{n}$. Then define

$$\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup (-\Omega_1).$$

One can check that $P_{1,s}^2(\Omega) > 0$ as an application of condition (i) of Theorem 1.3. Also if we consider $D = \Omega \times (-\infty, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Then, it is easy to check that $P_{1,s}^2(D) > 0$ as an application of condition (i) of Theorem 1.3. This example serves as an example where the width of the strips (of both the domain and its complement) goes to 0.

Example 5: Concentric balls. The following domain satisfies the first criterion for all $s \in (0, 1)$, but not a domain of LS(s) type.

$$\Omega := \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2k}(0) \setminus B_{2k-1}(0).$$

Example 6: Domain with holes at $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ coordinates. For $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $B_r((n, m))$ denotes the ball centered at (n, m) and radius r for any $r > 0$ small enough. It is easy to check that the following domain satisfies the condition (i) of Theorem 1.3:

$$\Omega := \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \left(\bigcup_{n, m \in \mathbb{Z}} B_r((n, m)) \right).$$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

As mentioned in the introduction, the proof of the theorem for $k = 1$ is done in [13], but we will present some details of the proof of the sake of completeness. First, let us start with some preliminary results that will be useful to prove Theorem 1.4. For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = \lambda(\Omega)u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega^c = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$

It is well known (see, [29]) that the set of eigenvalues for the above problem are discrete and tends to infinity. The first eigenvalue is simple and strictly positive. If $\lambda_k(\Omega)$ denotes the k -th eigenvalue and u^k denotes the corresponding eigenfunction, then

$$(5.1) \quad \lambda_k(\Omega) = \inf_{\substack{v \in H_{\Omega_\ell}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\} \\ v \perp u^1, \dots, u^{k-1}}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy}{\int_{\Omega} v^2(x) dx}.$$

In the above expression $v \perp u^i$ means that $\int_{\Omega} v u^i = 0$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$. Also, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we will use the following:

$$(5.2) \quad P_{n,s}^2(\Omega) = P_{n,s}^2(x + \Omega).$$

Now we present the proof of the theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. First we consider the case when $k = 1$. In [13, Theorem 1.4], it is established that $P_{n-m,s}^2(\omega) = P_{n,s}^2(\mathbb{R}^m \times \omega)$. Now, the first part of the required inequality, that is, $P_{n-m,s}^2(\omega) \leq P_{n,s}^2(\Omega_\ell)$ follows from the domain monotonicity property of $P_{n,s}^2$ (If $\mathcal{D} \subset \Omega_2$, then $P_{n,s}^2(\Omega_2) \leq P_{n,s}^2(\mathcal{D})$). The second part of the required inequality follows following the similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [13].

Now we consider the case when $k = 2$. We divide the domain Ω_ℓ in the x_1 direction with equal Lebesgue measure as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{1,\ell} &= \left(-\ell, -\frac{\ell}{3} \right) \times (-\ell, \ell)^{m-1} \times \omega, \quad Q_{12,\ell} = \left(-\frac{\ell}{3}, \frac{\ell}{3} \right) \times (-\ell, \ell)^{m-1} \times \omega, \\ Q_{2,\ell} &= \left(\frac{\ell}{3}, \ell \right) \times (-\ell, \ell)^{m-1} \times \omega. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\lambda_1(Q_{i,\ell})$ the first eigenvalue of the problem (5.1), where Ω is replaced by $Q_{i,\ell}$, $i = 1, 2$ and $v_{i,\ell}$ is the corresponding normalized first eigenfunctions respectively. Since

$\Omega_{\frac{\ell}{3}} \subset Q_{i,\ell} \subset \Omega_\ell$ (we have identified $\Omega_{\frac{\ell}{3}}$ with its appropriate translate), then it holds by using (5.2) that

$$(5.3) \quad P_{n-m,s}^2(\omega) \leq \lambda_1(\Omega_\ell) \leq \lambda_1(Q_{i,\ell}) \leq \lambda_1(\Omega_{\frac{\ell}{3}}) \leq P_{n-m,s}^2(\omega) + \frac{3^s C}{\ell^s}.$$

In the last step we have used Theorem 1.2 of [13] where the case $k = 1$ is considered.

Define the function

$$\psi_\ell := c_1 v_{1,\ell} + c_2 v_{2,\ell}$$

where $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. We can choose both c_1, c_2 to be non zero, such that

$$(5.4) \quad \int_{\Omega_\ell} \psi_\ell u_\ell = c_1 \int_{\Omega_\ell} v_{1,\ell} u_\ell + c_2 \int_{\Omega_\ell} v_{2,\ell} u_\ell = 0,$$

where u_ℓ denotes the first eigenfunction of the problem (1.1). Now we calculate the fractional semi norm of the function ψ_ℓ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\psi_\ell(x) - \psi_\ell(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|c_1 (v_{1,\ell}(x) - v_{1,\ell}(y)) + c_2 (v_{2,\ell}(x) - v_{2,\ell}(y))|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

With out any loss of generality we can assume the u_ℓ is the normalized eigenfunction, that is

$$(5.5) \quad \int_{\Omega_\ell} u_\ell^2 = 1.$$

Notice that $v_{1,\ell}$ and $v_{2,\ell}$ has disjoint supports, therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\psi_\ell(x) - \psi_\ell(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy &= c_1^2 \lambda_1(Q_{1,\ell}) + c_2^2 \lambda_1(Q_{2,\ell}) \\ &\quad + 2c_1 c_2 \int_{\Omega_\ell} \int_{\Omega_\ell} \frac{(v_{1,\ell}(x) - v_{1,\ell}(y))(v_{2,\ell}(x) - v_{2,\ell}(y))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.2), we obtain $\lambda_1(Q_{1,\ell}) = \lambda_1(Q_{2,\ell})$. We can further simplify the second integral above to get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\psi_\ell(x) - \psi_\ell(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy = (c_1^2 + c_2^2) \lambda_1(Q_{1,\ell}) - 2c_1 c_2 \int_{Q_{2,\ell}} \int_{Q_{1,\ell}} \frac{v_{1,\ell}(x) v_{2,\ell}(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy.$$

Using Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\psi_\ell(x) - \psi_\ell(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy \leq (c_1^2 + c_2^2) \lambda_1(Q_{1,\ell}) \\ (5.6) \quad & + c_1^2 \int_{Q_{2,\ell}} \int_{Q_{1,\ell}} \frac{|v_{1,\ell}(x)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy + c_2^2 \int_{Q_{2,\ell}} \int_{Q_{1,\ell}} \frac{|v_{2,\ell}(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

We will only present the estimate for the term $\int_{Q_{2,\ell}} \int_{Q_{1,\ell}} \frac{|v_{1,\ell}(x)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy$. The estimate for the other integral follows similarly. Using $|x-y| \geq \frac{2\ell}{3}$ for $x \in Q_{1,\ell}$ and $y \in Q_{2,\ell}$ and (5.5) we derive that

$$(5.7) \quad \left| \int_{Q_{2,\ell}} \int_{Q_{1,\ell}} \frac{|v_{1,\ell}(x)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy \right| \leq \frac{C}{\ell^{n+2s}} |Q_{2,\ell}| = \frac{C}{\ell^{n-m+2s}}.$$

Therefore from (5.6) and (5.7), we get

$$(5.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\psi_\ell(x) - \psi_\ell(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy \leq (c_1^2 + c_2^2) \lambda_1(Q_{1,\ell}) + \frac{C}{\ell^{n-m+2s}}.$$

Now we use (5.5) to get

$$(5.9) \quad \int_{\Omega_\ell} \psi_\ell^2(x) dx = c_1^2 \int_{Q_{1,\ell}} v_{1,\ell}^2(x) dx + c_2^2 \int_{Q_{2,\ell}} v_{2,\ell}^2(x) dx = c_1^2 + c_2^2.$$

By the identity (5.1) and noting the fact (5.4), we find

$$\lambda_2(\Omega_\ell) \leq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\psi_\ell(x) - \psi_\ell(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dx dy}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_\ell^2(x) dx}.$$

Therefore from (5.3), (5.8) and (5.9), we have

$$\lambda_2(\Omega_\ell) \leq \lambda_1(Q_{1,\ell}) + \frac{C}{\ell^{n-m+2s}} \leq P_{n-m,s}^2(\omega) + \frac{C}{\ell^s} + \frac{C}{\ell^{n-m+2s}}.$$

The result then follows after using $\lambda_1(\Omega_\ell) < \lambda_2(\Omega_\ell)$ and (5.3).

For the case of general k , we have to split the domain Ω_ℓ into $2k-1$ subdomains in x_1 direction with equal Lebesgue measure and proceed similarly as done above. \square

Acknowledgement: The first author was supported by the ERCIM “Alain Bensoussan” Fellowship programme at NTNU, Norway. Parts of this work is carried when the first author was visiting IIT, Kanpur. Research work of second author is funded by Matrix grant (MTR/2019/000585) and Inspire grant (IFA14-MA43) of Department of Science and Technology (DST). We would like to thank Prof. B. Dyda for useful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Allaire and A. Piatnitski, On the asymptotic behaviour of the kernel of an adjoint convection-diffusion operator in a long cylinder. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 33 (2017), no. 4, 1123–1148.
- [2] V. Ambrosio, L. Freddi and R. Musina, Asymptotic analysis of the Dirichlet fractional Laplacian in domains becoming unbounded. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 485 (2020), no. 2, 123845, 17 pp.
- [3] L. Brasco, E. Lindgren and E. Parini, The fractional Cheeger problem. *Interfaces Free Bound.* 16 (2014), no. 3, 419–458.
- [4] L. Brasco and A. Salort, A note on homogeneous Sobolev space of fractional order. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) 198 (2019), no. 4, 1295–1330.
- [5] C. Bucur and E. Valdinoci, Nonlocal Diffusion and Applications. *Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana*, 20. Springer, [Cham]; Unione Matematica Italiana, Bologna, 2016.
- [6] M. Chipot, Asymptotic issues for some partial differential equations. *Imperial College Press*, London, (2016).

- [7] M. Chipot, J. Davila and M. Del pino, On the behavior of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in asymptotically cylindrical domains. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 19 (2017), no. 1, 205–213.
- [8] M. Chipot, A. Mojsic and P. Roy, On some variational problems set on domains tending to infinity. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 36 (2016), no. 7, 3603–3621.
- [9] M. Chipot, P. Roy and I. Shafrir, Asymptotics of eigenstates of elliptic problems with mixed boundary data on domains tending to infinity. *Asymptot. Anal.* 85 (2013), no. 3–4, 199–227.
- [10] M. Chipot and A. Rougirel, On the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenmodes for elliptic problems in domains becoming unbounded. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 360 (2008), no. 7, 3579–3602.
- [11] M. Chipot and S. Zube, On the asymptotic behaviour of the pure Neumann problem in cylinder like domains and its applications. *Asymptot. Anal.* 108 (2018), no. 3, 163–185.
- [12] I. Chowdhury and P. Roy, On the asymptotic analysis of problems involving fractional Laplacian in cylindrical domains tending to infinity. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 19 (2017), no. 5, 21 pp.
- [13] G. Csato, I. Chowdhury, P. Roy and S. K. Firoz, Study of fractional Poincaré inequalities on unbounded domains. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 41 (2021), no. 6, 2993–3020.
- [14] P. Donato, S. Mardare and B. Vernescu, Bingham flows in periodic domains of infinite length. *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B* 39 (2018), no. 2, 183–200.
- [15] B. Dyda, A fractional order Hardy inequality. *Illinois J. Math.*, 48(2004), no. 2, 575–588.
- [16] B. Dyda and R. L. Frank, Fractional Hardy–Sobolev–Maz’ya inequality for domains. *Studia Math.*, 208(2012), no. 2, 151–166 .
- [17] B. Dyda and T. Kulczycki, Spectral gap for stable process on convex planar double symmetric domains. *Potential Anal.*, 27(2007), no.101–132.
- [18] B. Dyda, J. Lehrbäck, and A. V. Vähäkangas, Fractional Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities for half spaces and John domains. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 146 (2018), no. 8, 3393–3402.
- [19] M. Felsinger, M. Kassmann and P. Voigt, The Dirichlet problem for nonlocal operators. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 279(2015), no. 3–4, 779–809.
- [20] A. Fiscella, R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, Density properties for fractional Sobolev spaces. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 40 (2015), no. 1, 235–253.
- [21] R. L. Frank, Eigenvalue Bounds for the Fractional Laplacian: A Review. *Recent developments in nonlocal theory, De Gruyter, Berlin*, (2018), 210–235.
- [22] R. L. Frank, T. Jin and J. Xiong, Minimizers for the fractional Sobolev inequality on domains. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 57 (2018), no. 2, Art. 43, 31.
- [23] S. Guesmia, Some results on the asymptotic behavior for hyperbolic problems in cylindrical domains becoming unbounded. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 341 (2008), no. 2, 1190–1212.
- [24] M. Loss and C. Sloane, Hardy inequalities for fractional integrals on general domains. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 259 (2010), no. 6, 1369–1379.
- [25] G. Mancini and K. Sandeep, Moser-Trudinger inequality on conformal discs. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 12 (2010), no. 6, 1055–1068.
- [26] V. G. Maz’ja, Sobolev Spaces. *Springer Ser. Soviet Math.*, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [27] E. D. Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.* 136 (2012), no. 5, 521–573.
- [28] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, The Brezis–Nirenberg result for the fractional Laplacian. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 367(2015), no. 1, 67–102.
- [29] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 33 (2013), no. 5, 2105–2137.
- [30] K. Yeressian, Asymptotic behavior of elliptic nonlocal equations set in cylinders, *Asymptot. Anal.*, 89(2014), no. 1–2, 21–35.