On a class of Lebesgue-Ljunggren-Nagell type equations

Andrzej Dąbrowski, Nursena Günhan and Gökhan Soydan

Abstract. Given odd, coprime integers $a, b \ (a > 0)$, we consider the Diophantine equation $ax^2 + b^{2l} = 4y^n$, $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, n odd prime, gcd(x, y) = 1. We completely solve the above Diophantine equation for $a \in \{7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163\}$, and b a power of an odd prime, under the conditions $2^{n-1}b^l \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{a}$ and gcd(n, b) = 1. For other square-free integers a > 3 and b a power of an odd prime, we prove that the above Diophantine equation has no solutions for all integers x, y with $(gcd(x, y) = 1), l \in \mathbb{N}$ and all odd primes n > 3, satisfying $2^{n-1}b^l \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{a}$, gcd(n, b) = 1, and gcd(n, h(-a)) = 1, where h(-a) denotes the class number of the imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-a})$.

Key words: Diophantine equation, Lehmer number, Fibonacci number, class number, modular form, elliptic curve

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D61, 11B39

1 Introduction

The Diophantine equation $x^2 + C = y^n$ $(x \ge 1, y \ge 1, n \ge 3)$ has a rich history. Lebesgue proved that this equation has no solution when C = 1, and Cohn solved the equation for several values of $1 \le C \le 100$. The remaining values of C in the above range were covered by Mignotte and de Weger, and finally by Bugeaud, Mignotte and Siksek. Barros in his PhD thesis considered the range $-100 \le C \le -1$. Also, several authors (Abu Muriefah, Arif, Dąbrowski, Le, Luca, Pink, Soydan, Togbé, Ulas,...) became interested in the case where only the prime factors of C are specified. Surveys of these and many others topics can be found in [2] and [5]. Some people studied the more general equation $ax^2 + C = 2^i y^n$, a > 0 and $i \le 2$.

Given odd, coprime integers $a, b \ (a > 0)$, we consider the Diophantine equation

$$ax^2 + b^{2l} = 4y^n, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, \ l, n \in \mathbb{N}, \ n \ odd \ prime, \gcd(x, y) = 1.$$
 (1)

If $a \equiv 1 \mod 4$, then reducing modulo 4 we trivially obtain that the equation (1) has no solution.

It is known (due to Ljunggren [18]) that the Diophantine equation $ax^2 + 1 = 4y^n$, $n \ge 3$, has no positive solution with y > 1 such that $a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and the class number of the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-a})$ is not divisible by n. When a = 3, then $3x^2 + 1 = 4y^n$ has the only positive solution (x, y) = (1, 1).

As our first result, we completely solve the equation (1) for $a \in \{7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163\}$, under the conditions $2^{n-1}b^l \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{a}$ and $\gcd(n, b) = 1$.

Theorem 1 Fix $p \in \{7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163\}$ and $b = \pm q^r$, with q an odd prime different from p and $r \ge 1$.

(i) The Diophantine equation

$$px^{2} + b^{2l} = 4y^{n}, \ l \in \mathbb{N}, \ \gcd(x, y) = 1$$
 (2)

has no solutions (p, x, y, b, l, n) with integers x, y and primes n > 3, satisfying the conditions $2^{n-1}b^l \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ and gcd(n, b) = 1.

(ii) If n = 3 and $p \neq 7$, then the equation (2) has no solutions (p, x, y, b, l, 3) satisfying the conditions $4b^l \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ and gcd(3, b) = 1.

(iii) If n = 3 and p = 7, then the equation (2) leads to 6 infinite families of solutions, corresponding to solutions of Pell-type equations (4), (5), (6), (7), and satisfying the conditions $4b^l \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{7}$ and gcd(3, b) = 1.

Remarks. (i) The Diophantine equation (2) has many solutions (infinitely many ?) satisfying the conditions $2^{n-1}b^l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ and $\gcd(n, b) = 1$. Examples include

 $(p, x, y, b, l, n) \in \{(7, \pm 1, 2, \pm 11, 1, 5), (11, \pm 1, 3, \pm 31, 1, 5), (7, \pm 7, 2, \pm 13, 1, 7), (19, \pm 1, 5, \pm 559, 1, 7), (11, \pm 253, 3, \pm 67, 1, 11), (19, \pm 2531, 5, \pm 8579, 1, 11), (7, \pm 1, 2, \pm 181, 1, 13), (11, \pm 1801, 3, \pm 21929, 1, 17), (7, \pm 457, 2, \pm 797, 1, 19), (7, \pm 967, 2, \pm 5197, 1, 23)\}.$

(ii) If b is divisible by at least two different odd primes, then the Diophantine equation (2) may have solutions satisfying the conditions $2^{n-1}b^l \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$. Examples include

 $(p, x, y, b, l, n) \in \{(7, 103820535541, 4, 10341108537, 1, 37), \}$

(7, 4865, 46, 1320267, 1, 7), (19, 315003, 49, 909715, 1, 7),

(19, 581072253, 49, 3037108805, 1, 11).

(iii) Write the equation (2) as $px^2 + b^{2l} = 4y(y^{(n-1)/2})^2$ (compare [18, p.116]). Now using $4y = u^2 + pv^2$, taking $u = \pm 1$, and multiplying the equation by p, we arrive at the equation

$$X^{2} - p(1 + pv^{2})Y^{2} = -pb^{2l}.$$
(3)

If $b = \pm 1$, we obtain the equation (7') in [18]. Ljunggren used an old result by Mahler to deduce that, if p > 3, then (3) has no solution with Y > 1 such that any prime divisor of Y divides $p(1 + pv^2)$ as well.

(iv) Question: may we extend Ljunggren's idea to prove non-existence of solutions of our equation for some b^l ?

For a family of positive square-free integers a with h(-a) > 1 we can prove the following result (a variant of the results by Bugeaud [9] and Arif and Al-Ali [3] in a case of the equation $ax^2 + b^{2l+1} = 4y^n$). Let h(-a) denote the class number of the imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-a})$.

Theorem 2 Fix a positive square-free integer a, different from 3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163, and $b = \pm q^r$, with q an odd prime not dividing a and $r \ge 1$. Then the Diophantine equation (1) has no solutions (a, x, y, b, l, n), with integers x, y and primes n > 3 satisfying the conditions gcd(n, h(-a)) = 1, $2^{n-1}b^l \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{a}$, and gcd(n, b) = 1.

Remarks. (i) There are a lot of positive square-free integers a with rad(h(-a))|6 (hypothetically, infinitely many): 18 values of a with h(-a) = 2, 54 values of a with h(-a) = 4, 31 values of a with h(-a) = 6, etc. Here rad(m) denotes the radical of a positive integer m, i.e. the product of all prime divisors of m.

(ii) For fixed a and b we can (in some cases) use MAGMA [8] to solve the Diophantine equation $ax^2 + b^{2l} = 4y^3$ (applying SIntegralPoints subroutine of MAGMA to associated families of elliptic curves). In a general case, one can try to prove a variant of Dahmen's result [14] saying that the above equation has no solution for a positive proportion of l's, not divisible by 3.

(iii) The following variant of a result by Laradji, Mignotte and Tzanakis (see [16, Theorem 2.3]) follows immediately from out Theorem 2 (note that always h(-p) < p). Let p, q be odd primes with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ and p > 3. Then the Diophantine equation $px^2 + q^{2l} = 4y^p$ has no solution (x, y, l) with positive integers x, y, l satisfying gcd(x, y) = 1.

(iv) Dieulefait and Urroz [15] used the method of Galois representations attached to \mathbb{Q} -curves to solve the Diophantine equation $3x^2 + y^4 = z^n$. The authors suggest that their method can be applied to solve this type of equations with 3 replaced by other values of a. We expect that their method can be extended to the case $ax^2 + y^4 = 4z^n$ with small a as well.

(v) We can solve the Diophantine $ax^2 + b^{2l} = 4y^n$ for relatively small values of a > 0 (at least) in positive integers $x, y, l, n, \gcd(x, y) = 1, n \ge 7$ a prime dividing l, by using the Bennett-Skinner strategy [4]. We treat some examples in Section 3. Let us also mention that the smallest positive integer a with h(-a) = 7 is 71, and one needs to consider newforms of weight 2 and level 10082.

(vi) Pink [21] used estimates for linear forms in two logarithms in the complex and the *p*-adic case, to give an explicit bound for the number of solutions of the Diophantine equation $x^2 + (p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s})^2 = 2y^n$ in terms of *s* and max $\{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$. We can prove analogous result concerning the equations $px^2 + (p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s})^2 = 4y^n$, with $p \in \{7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163\}$.

2 Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

Proof of Theorem 1.

Below in the proof, b is a power of an odd prime $q \neq p$.

As the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ with $p \in \{7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163\}$ is 1, we have the following factorization

$$\frac{b^l + x\sqrt{-p}}{2} \cdot \frac{b^l - x\sqrt{-p}}{2} = y^n.$$

Now we have

$$\frac{b^l + x\sqrt{-p}}{2} = \left(\frac{u + v\sqrt{-p}}{2}\right)^n$$

where u, v are odd rational integers. Note that necessarily gcd(u, v) = 1. Equating real parts we get

$$2^{n-1}b^{l} = u \sum_{r=0}^{(n-1)/2} \binom{n}{2r} u^{n-2r-1} (-p)^{r} v^{2r}.$$

As u is odd, its possible values are among divisors of b^l . Here, we assume that $2^{n-1}b^l \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$.

(i) If $u = \pm 1$, then $2^{n-1}b^l = \sum_{r=0}^{(n-1)/2} {n \choose 2r} (-p)^r v^{2r}$, and in particular $2^{n-1}b^l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$, a contradiction.

(ii) If $u \neq \pm 1, \pm b^l$, then q divides pvn. Since gcd(u, v) = gcd(p, b) = 1, then q divides n, a contradiction.

(iii) Assume $u = \pm b^l$. Put $\alpha = \frac{v\sqrt{p}+b^li}{2}$. Then $(\alpha + \overline{\alpha})^2 = v^2 p$, $\alpha \overline{\alpha} = \frac{1}{4}(v^2p + b^{2l})$, and $\alpha/\overline{\alpha}$ is not a root of unity. Hence $(\alpha, \overline{\alpha})$ is a Lehmer pair. Note that $\frac{\alpha^n - \overline{\alpha}^n}{\alpha - \overline{\alpha}} = \pm 1$. On the other hand, using [7] we obtain that $\frac{\alpha^n - \overline{\alpha}^n}{\alpha - \overline{\alpha}}$ has primitive divisors for n = 11 and all primes n > 13, and hence our equation has no solution for n = 11 and for primes n > 13. Let us consider the cases $n \in \{3, 5, 7, 13\}$ separately. Let us stress that the data in [7] are given for equivalence classes of *n*-detective Lehmer pairs: two Lehmer pairs $(\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2)$ are equivalent (we write $(\alpha_1, \beta_1) \sim (\alpha_2, \beta_2)$) if $\alpha_1/\alpha_2 = \beta_1/\beta_2 \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$.

<u>n = 3</u>. According to [7], we have two possibilities: (a) $\frac{v\sqrt{p+ui}}{2} \sim \frac{\sqrt{1+\lambda}+\sqrt{1-3\lambda}}{2}$, $\lambda \neq 1$, or (b) $\frac{v\sqrt{p+ui}}{2} \sim \frac{\sqrt{3^k+\lambda}+\sqrt{3^k-3\lambda}}{2}$, k > 0, $3 \nmid \lambda$. In the case (a) we have four subcases: (i) $1 + \lambda = v^2 p$ and $1 - 3\lambda = -u^2$

In the case (a) we have four subcases: (i) $1 + \lambda = v^2 p$ and $1 - 3\lambda = -u^2$ or (ii) $1 + \lambda = -v^2 p$ and $1 - 3\lambda = u^2$ or (iii) $1 + \lambda = -u^2$ and $1 - 3\lambda = v^2 p$ or (iv) $1 + \lambda = u^2$ and $1 - 3\lambda = -v^2 p$.

In the subcase (i) we obtain a contradiction reducing the second equation modulo 3.

In the subcase (ii) we obtain relation $u^2 = 3pv^2 + 4$. If $p \neq 7$, then reducing this equation modulo 8, we obtain $1 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, a contradiction. Now the case p = 7 leads to Pell-type equation

$$u^2 - 21v^2 = 4. (4)$$

Using the assumption u and v are odd for our equation, any solution to (4) is given by

$$\frac{u_t + v_t \sqrt{21}}{2} = \left(\frac{u_0 + v_0 \sqrt{21}}{2}\right)^t,$$

where $(u_0, v_0) = (5, 1)$ is minimal solution and $3 \nmid t$. Thus an infinite family of solutions of equation (1) is given by

$$(x_t, y_t, b_t^l, n) = \left(\frac{3u_t^2 v_t - 7v_t^3}{4}, \frac{7v_t^2 + u_t^2}{4}, \frac{u_t^3 - 21u_t v_t^2}{4}, 3\right).$$

(see [12, Proposition 6.3.16] for the details about the equation (4)).

In the subcase (iii), note that $4 + 3u^2 = v^2p$, and hence $7 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ if $p \neq 7$, a contradiction. If p = 7, then we need to consider the Diophantine equation

$$7v^2 - 3u^2 = 4. (5)$$

Such an equation has 3 infinite families of solutions $(v, u) \in \{(s + 3r, s + 7r), (-s + 3r, s - 7r), (4s + 18r, 6s + 28r)\}$, where $s^2 - 21r^2 = 1$. But since u and v are odd, one gets 2 infinite families of solutions $(v, u) \in \{(s + 3r, s + 7r), (-s + 3r, s - 7r)\}$ for the equation (5). Any solution to the equation $s^2 - 21r^2 = 1$ is given by

$$s_t + r_t \sqrt{21} = (s_0 + r_0 \sqrt{21})^t$$

where $(s_0, r_0) = (55, 12)$ is minimal solution. Thus 2 infinite families of solutions of equation (1) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= -3r_t s_t^2 + 63r_t^3 + 21s_t r_t^2 - s_t^3 \\ y_t &= 2s_t^2 + 14s_t r_t + 28r_t^2 \\ b_t^l &= -5s_t^3 - 63s_t^3 r_t - 231s_t r_t^2 - 245r_t^3 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= -3s_t^2 r_t + s_t^3 - 21s_t r_t^2 + 63r_t^3 \\ y_t &= 2s_t^2 - 14s_t r_t + 28r_t^2 \\ b_t^l &= -5s_t^3 + 63s_t^2 r_t - 231s_t r_t^2 + 245r_t^3 \end{aligned}$$

with n = 3. (see [1, Theorems 4.5.1, 4.5.2] for details about the equation (5)).

In the subcase (iv) note that $4 + v^2 p = 3u^2$, and hence $7 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ if $p \neq 7$, a contradiction. If p = 7, then reducing $4 + 7v^2 = 3u^2 \mod{7}$ we obtain $\Box = -\Box$, a contradiction.

In the case (b) we have four subcases: (i) $3^k + \lambda = v^2 p$ and $3^k - 3\lambda = -u^2$ or (ii) $3^k + \lambda = -v^2 p$ and $3^k - 3\lambda = u^2$ or (iii) $3^k + \lambda = -u^2$ and $3^k - 3\lambda = v^2 p$ or (iv) $3^k + \lambda = u^2$ and $3^k - 3\lambda = -v^2 p$.

In the subcase (i) note that $3v^2p = u^2 + 4 \cdot 3^k$, hence necessarily k = 1 (otherwise $3| \operatorname{gcd}(u, v)$). Therefore $v^2p = 3t^2 + 4$, where u = 3t. If $p \neq 7$, then reducing this equation modulo 8, we obtain $3 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, a contradiction. If p = 7, then we need to consider the Diophantine equation

$$7v^2 - 3t^2 = 4. (6)$$

As in subcase (iii) of part (a) Such an equation has 3 infinite families of solutions $(v, u) \in \{(s + 3r, 3(s + 7r)), (-s + 3r, 3(s - 7r)), (4s + 18r, 3(6s + 28r))\}$, where $s^2 - 21r^2 = 1$. But since u and v are odd, one obtains 2 infinite families of solutions $(v, u) \in \{(s + 3r, 3(s + 7r)), (-s + 3r, 3(s - 7r)) \text{ for the equation } (6)$. Any solution to the equation $s^2 - 21r^2 = 1$ is given by

$$s_t + r_t \sqrt{21} = (s_0 + r_0 \sqrt{21})^t$$

where $(s_0, r_0) = (55, 12)$ is minimal solution. Thus 2 infinite families of solutions of equation (1) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= 567s_t r_t^2 + 99r_t s_t^2 + 5s_t^3 + 945r_t^3 \\ y_t &= 4s_t^2 + 42s_t r_t + 126r_t^2 \\ b_t^l &= -9s_t^3 - 63s_t^2 r_t + 189s_t r_t^2 + 1323r_t^3 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= -567s_t r_t^2 + 99r_t s_t^2 - 5s_t^3 + 945r_t^3 \\ y_t &= 4s_t^2 - 42s_t r_t + 126r_t^2 \\ b_t^l &= -9s_t^3 + 63s_t^2 r_t + 189s_t r_t^2 - 1323r_t^3 \end{aligned}$$

with n = 3.

In the subcase (ii) note that $4 \cdot 3^k + 3pv^2 = u^2$, hence necessarily k = 1 (otherwise $3| \gcd(u, v)$). Therefore $4 = 3t^2 - pv^2$, where u = 3t. If $p \neq 7$, then reducing this equation modulo 8, we obtain $4 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, a contradiction. Now reducing $4 = 3t^2 - 7v^2$ modulo 7, we obtain $\Box = -\Box$, a contradiction again.

In the subcase (iii) note that $3t^2p = u^2 + 4$, where v = 3t. Now reducing modulo 3, we obtain a contradiction.

In the subcase (iv) note that $u^2 = 3pt^2 + 4$, where v = 3t. If $p \neq 7$, then reducing this equation modulo 8, we obtain $1 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, a contradiction. Now the case p = 7 leads to Pell-type equation

$$u^2 - 21t^2 = 4. (7)$$

Since u and v are odd, one gets that t is odd for the equation (7). So, any solution to (7) is given by

$$\frac{u_m + t_m \sqrt{21}}{2} = \left(\frac{u_0 + t_0 \sqrt{21}}{2}\right)^m,$$

where $(u_0, t_0) = (5, 1)$ is minimal solution and $3 \nmid m$. Thus an infinite family of solutions of equation (1) is given by

$$(x_m, y_m, b_m^l, n) = \left(\frac{3u_m^2 v_m - 7v_m^3}{4}, \frac{7v_m^2 + u_m^2}{4}, \frac{u_m^3 - 21u_m v_m^2}{4}, 3\right),$$

with $v_m = 3t_m$.

<u>n = 5</u>. According to [7], we have two possibilities to consider: (a) $\frac{v\sqrt{p}+ui}{2} \sim \frac{\sqrt{F_{k-2\epsilon}}+\sqrt{F_{k-2\epsilon}-4F_k}}{2}$, $k \geq 3$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$, or (b) $\frac{v\sqrt{p}+ui}{2} \sim \frac{\sqrt{L_{k-2\epsilon}}+\sqrt{L_{k-2\epsilon}-4F_k}}{2}$, $k \neq 1$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Here F_m and L_m denote *m*-th Fibonacci and Lucas number respectively.

In the case (a) we have four subcases: (i) $v^2 p = F_{k-2\epsilon} - 4F_k$ and $-u^2 = F_{k-2\epsilon}$ or (ii) $-v^2 p = F_{k-2\epsilon} - 4F_k$ and $u^2 = F_{k-2\epsilon}$ or (iii) $v^2 p = F_{k-2\epsilon}$ and $-u^2 = F_{k-2\epsilon} - 4F_k$ or (iv) $-v^2 p = F_{k-2\epsilon}$ and $u^2 = F_{k-2\epsilon} - 4F_k$.

In the subcase (i) we obtain $F_{k-2\epsilon} = -u^2 < 0$, a contradiction.

In the subcase (ii), due to the fundamental work by Ljunggren [17] [19] (see also [11, Section 2]) we can find all solutions to the equation $u^2 = F_{k-2\epsilon}$ $(k \ge 3, \epsilon = \pm 1)$. Ljunggren has proved that the only squares in the Fibonacci sequence are $F_0 = 0$, $F_1 = F_2 = 1$, and $F_{12} = 144$.

The case $k - 2\epsilon = 1$ gives k = 3, $\epsilon = 1$, $u^2 = 1$, hence using the first equation from (ii) we obtain $-v^2p = -7$, i.e. p = 7, $v^2 = 1$. This case gives the solution $(p, x, y, b^l, n) = (7, \pm 1, 2, \pm 11, 5)$ which contradicts with $2^{n-1}b^l \not\equiv \pm \pmod{p}$.

The case $k - 2\epsilon = 2$, gives k = 4, $\epsilon = 1$, $u^2 = 1$, hence using the first equation from (ii) we obtain $-v^2p = -11$, i.e. p = 11, $v^2 = 1$. This case

gives the solution $(p, x, y, b^l, n) = (11, \pm 1, 3, \pm 31, 5)$, which is impossible since $2^{n-1}b^l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$.

The case $k - 2\epsilon = 12$, gives k = 14, $\epsilon = 1$, $u^2 = 144$ or k = 10, $\epsilon = -1$, $u^2 = 144$. The first possibility gives $-v^2p = -2^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 31$, i.e. $p = 11 \cdot 31$, $v^2 = 4$. The second possibility gives $-v^2p = -2^2 \cdot 19$, i.e. p = 19, $v^2 = 4$. This case gives no solution satisfying gcd(x, b) = 1 (note that both u and v are even).

In the subcase (iii), let us note that $u^2 = -(F_{k-2\epsilon} - 4F_k) = F_k + F_{k+2\epsilon}$. Now a short look at the paper by Luca and Patel (see [20, Theorem 1], and their calculations in Section 5) shows that k = 4, $\epsilon = -1$ is the only possibility. But then $F_6 = 8 = v^2 p$, a contradiction.

In the subcase (iv) we obtain $F_{k-2\epsilon} = -v^2 p < 0$, a contradiction.

In the case (b) we have four subcases: (i) $v^2 p = L_{k-2\epsilon} - 4L_k$ and $-u^2 = L_{k-2\epsilon}$ or (ii) $-v^2 p = L_{k-2\epsilon} - 4L_k$ and $u^2 = L_{k-2\epsilon}$ or (iii) $v^2 p = L_{k-2\epsilon}$ and $-u^2 = L_{k-2\epsilon} - 4L_k$ or (iv) $-v^2 p = L_{k-2\epsilon}$ and $u^2 = L_{k-2\epsilon} - 4L_k$.

In the subcase (i) we obtain $L_{k-2\epsilon} = -u^2 < 0$, a contradiction.

In the subcase (ii) we can find all solutions to the equation $u^2 = L_{k-2\epsilon}$, $(k \neq 1, \epsilon = \pm 1)$. By the work by Cohn [13] we know all solutions: $L_1 = 1^2$ and $L_3 = 2^2$.

The case $k - 2\epsilon = 1$, gives k = 3, $\epsilon = 1$, $u^2 = 1$, hence using the first equation from (ii) we obtain $-v^2p = -13$, i.e. p = 13, $v^2 = 1$. The case $k - 2\epsilon = 3$, gives k = 5, $\epsilon = 1$, $u^2 = 4$, hence using the first equation from (ii) we obtain $-v^2p = -40$, i.e. p = 10, $v^2 = 4$. None of these two cases lead to solution of our Diophantine equation (13 is congruent to 1 modulo 4, while 10 is even).

In the subcase (iii), let us note that $L_k + L_{k-2\epsilon} = 5F_{k-\epsilon}$. Therefore we need to determine all k such that $5F_{k-\epsilon}$ is a square. Again, the paper by Bugeaud, Mignotte and Siksek [10] shows that the only possibility is $5F_5 = 5^2$. But then $v^2p = L_4 = 7$ in case $\epsilon = 1$ or $v^2p = L_6 = 18$ in case $\epsilon = -1$. In the first case we obtain p = 7, but then $u^2 = 65$, a contradiction. The second case gives a contradiction by trivial observation.

In the subcase (iv) we obtain $L_{k-2\epsilon} = -v^2 p < 0$, a contradiction.

<u>n = 7</u>. According to [7] we have six equivalence classes of 7-defective Lehmer pairs. Two of them, $(\frac{1-\sqrt{-7}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{-7}}{2})$ and $(\frac{1-\sqrt{-19}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2})$, come from our Lehmer pairs, giving $(p, x, y, b^l, n) \in \{(7, \pm 7, 2, \pm 13, 7), (19, \pm 1, 5, \pm 559, 7)\}$, which are impossible since $2^{n-1}b^l \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$.

<u>n = 13</u>. The unique 13-detective equivalence class $(\frac{1-\sqrt{-7}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{-7}}{2})$ leads to the solution $(p, x, y, b^l, n) = (7, \pm 1, 2, \pm 181, 13)$, which contradicts with $2^{n-1}b^l \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.

In this case, thanks to [6, Lemma 1], we can follow the same lines as in the proof of Theorem 1 for n > 3.

3 Method via Galois representations and modular forms

We will consider the Diophantine equation $ax^2+b^{2k}=4y^n$, for $a \in \{3, 7, 11, 15\}$ in positive integers $x, y, k, n, \gcd(x, y) = 1, n \ge 7$ a prime dividing k. We will apply the Bennett-Skinner strategy [4], in particular we will use the results we need from [4]. We can compute systems of Hecke eigenvalues for conjugacy classes of newforms using MAGMA (or use Stein's Modular Forms Database provided the level is ≤ 7248).

Remarks. (a) If $a \equiv 3 \mod 8$, then y is necessarily odd: if y is even, then reducing modulo 8 we obtain that the left hand side is congruent to 4 modulo 8, while the right hand side is congruent to 0 modulo 8, a contradiction.

(b) If $a \equiv 7 \mod 8$, then y is necessarily even.

(i) The Diophantine equation $3x^2 + b^{2k} = 4y^n$ has no solution (x, y, k, n), $xy \neq 1, n \geq 7$ prime dividing k.

We will consider a more general Diophantine equation $X^n + 4Y^n = 3Z^2$ $(n \ge 7 \text{ a prime})$ and use [4]. We are in case (iii) of [4, p.26], hence $\alpha \in \{1, 2\}$. From Lemma 3.2 it follows, that we need to consider the newforms of weight 2 and levels $N \in \{36, 72\}$.

a) There is only one newform of weight 2 and level 36, corresponding to an elliptic curve E of conductor 36 with complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. Here we will apply [4, Subsection 4.4], to prove that $ab = \pm 1$. Assume (a.a) that $ab \neq \pm 1$. Then (using Prop. 4.6 (b)) holds, hence if n = 7 or 13, nsplits in $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ and E(K) is infinite for all elliptic curves of conductor 2n. One checks that both primes 7 and 13 split in K. Now using Cremona's online tables we check, that all elliptic curves of conductor $126 = 2 \times 7 \times 3^2$ have rank zero, and all elliptic curves of conductor $234 = 2 \times 13 \times 3^2$, which are quadratic twists by 3 of quadratic curves of conductor 26, have rank zero too.

b) There is only one newform of weight 2 and level 72, corresponding to isogeny class of elliptic curves of conductor 72, with *j*-invariant $u/3^v$, with v > 0 and u some non-zero integer prime to 3. To eliminate such an elliptic curve we use [4, Prop. 4.4].

(ii) The Diophantine equation $7x^2 + b^{2k} = 4y^n$ has no solution (x, y, k, n), $n \ge 7$ prime dividing k.

We need to consider the newforms of weight 2 and level N = 98.

There are two Galois conjugacy classes of forms of weight 2 and level 98. We will use numbering as in Stein's tables: we have $a_3(f_2) = \pm \sqrt{2}$ and we can use [4, Prop. 4.3] to eliminate f_2 . On the other hand, the form f_1 corresponds to an elliptic curve of conductor 98, with *j*-invariant $u/7^v$, with v > 0 and u some non-zero integer prime to 7. To eliminate such an elliptic curves we use [4, Prop. 4.4].

(iii) The Diophantine equation $11x^2 + b^{2k} = 4y^n$ has no solution (x, y, k, n), $n \ge 7, n \ne 11, 13$ prime dividing k.

We need to consider the newforms of weight 2 and levels $N \in \{484, 968\}$.

a) There are five Galois conjugacy classes of forms of weight 2 and level 484. We have $a_3(f_1) = 1$, $a_3(f_4) = \frac{1\pm\sqrt{33}}{2}$, and we can use [4, Prop. 4.3] to eliminate f_1 and f_4 . To eliminate f_2 and f_3 we need to consider coefficients a_3 and a_5 : we have $a_3(f_2) = a_3(f_3) = \frac{-3\pm\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $a_5(f_2) = a_5(f_3) = \frac{-1\pm\sqrt{5}}{2}$ (we cannot avoid n = 29 when considering only a_3). Finally, $a_7(f_5) = \pm 2\sqrt{3}$, and we can use [4, Prop. 4.3] to eliminate f_5 when $n \ge 7$ and $n \ne 13$.

b) There are fourteen Galois conjugacy classes of forms of weight 2 and level 968. We have $a_3(f_1) = -3$, $a_5(f_2) = a_5(f_3) = 3$, $a_3(f_4) = a_3(f_5) = 1$, $a_3(f_6) = a_3(f_7) = \pm 2\sqrt{5}$, $a_3(f_{10}) = \frac{1\pm\sqrt{17}}{2}$, and we can easily use [4, Prop. 4.3] to eliminate f_1, \ldots, f_7 , and f_{10} . Now considering a_3 and a_{13} for both newforms f_8 , f_9 , and a_3 and a_5 for both newforms f_{13} , f_{14} , and using [4, Prop. 4.3], we can eliminate these four forms when $n \ge 7$ and $n \ne 11$.

(iv) The Diophantine equation $15x^2+b^{2k}=4y^n$ has no solution (x, y, k, n), $n \ge 7$ prime dividing k.

We need to consider the newforms of weight 2 and level N = 450.

There are seven Galois conjugacy classes of forms of weight 2 and level 450. We have $a_{11}(f_3) = a_{11}(f_7) = 3$, and we can use [4, Prop. 4.3] to

eliminate f_3 and f_7 . The forms f_2 and f_6 correspond to elliptic curves of conductor 450 (named *C* and *A* respectively in Cremona's tables), with *j*invariants $u/3^v$, with v > 0 and *u* some non-zero integer prime to 3; the forms f_1 and f_5 correspond to elliptic curves of conductor 450 (named *F* and *E* respectively in Cremona's tables), with *j*-invariants $u/5^v$, with v > 0 and *u* some non-zero integer prime to 5; the form f_4 corresponds to elliptic curve *G* of conductor 450, with *j*-invariant $u/(3^v5^w)$, with v, w > 0 and *u* some non-zero integer prime to 15. To eliminate all these elliptic curves we use [4, Prop. 4.4]

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Professor Mike Bennett for providing us with some references. Andrzej Dąbrowski would like to thank Professor Gökhan Soydan for inviting him to the *Friendly Workshop on Diophantine Equations and Related Problems* (Bursa Uludağ University, Bursa, July 6 - 8, 2019), where this work started. This workshop was partially supported by TÜBİTAK (the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey) under Project No: 117F287.

References

- T. Andreescu, D. Andrica, Quadratic Diophantine Equations, Springer, 2015
- [2] F.S. Abu Muriefah, Y. Bugeaud, The Diophantine equation $x^2 + C = y^n$: a brief overview, Revis. Col. Math. **40** (2006), no. 1, 31-37
- [3] S.A. Arif, A.S. Al-Ali, On the diophantine equation $ax^2 + b^m = 4y^n$, Acta Arith. **103** (2002), 343-346
- [4] M.A. Bennett and Ch.M. Skinner, Ternary diophantine equations via Galois representations and modular forms, Canad. J. Math. 56(1) (2004), 23-54
- [5] A. Berczés, I. Pink, On generalized Lebesgue-Ramanujan-Nagell equations, An. Şt. Univ. Ovid. Cons. 22 (2014), no. 1, 51-71

- [6] Y. Bilu, On Le's and Bugeaud's papers about the equation $ax^2+b^{2m+1} = 4c^p$, Monatsh. Math. **137** (2002), 1-3
- [7] Y. Bilu, G. Hanrot, P.M. Voutier (with an appendix by M. Mignotte), Existence of primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer numbers, J. reine angew. Math. 539 (2001), 75-122
- [8] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, C. Playoust, The Magma Algebra System I. The user language, J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1997), no. 3-4, 235-265
- Y. Bugeaud, On some exponential diophantine equations, Monath. Math. 132 (2001), 93-97
- [10] Y. Bugeaud, M. Mignotte, S. Siksek, Sur les nombres de Fibonacci de la forme q^ky^p, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **339** (2004), 327-330
- [11] Y. Bugeaud, M. Mignotte, S. Siksek, Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations I. Fibonacci and Lucas perfect powers, Ann. Math. 163 (2006), 969-1018
- [12] H. Cohen, Number Theory Volume I: Tools and Diophantine Equations, Springer, 2007
- [13] J.H.E. Cohn, Lucas and Fibonacci numbers and some Diophantine equations, Proc. Glasgow Math. Ass. 7 (1965), 24-28
- [14] S.R. Dahmen, A refined modular approach to the Diophantine equation $x^2 + y^{2n} = z^3$, Int. J. Number Theory 7 (2011), 1303-1316
- [15] L. Dieulefait, J. Urroz, Solving Fermat-type equations via Q-curves over polyquadratic fields, J. reine angew Math. 633 (2009), 183-195
- [16] A. Laradji, M. Mignotte, N. Tzanakis, $On \ px^2 + q^{2n} = y^p$ and related diophantine equations, J. Number Theory **131** (2011), 1575-1596
- [17] W. Ljunggren, Über die unbestimmic Gleichung $Ax^2 By^4 = C$, Arch. f. Mat. og Naturvid. **41** (1938), No. 10, 18 pp
- [18] W. Ljunggren, Über die Gleichungen $1+Dx^2 = 2y^n$ und $1+Dx^2 = 4y^n$, Norsk. Vid. Selsk. Forh. **15** (30) (1943), 115-118

- [19] W. Ljunggren, On the diophantine equation $x^2 + 4 = Ay^2$, Det. Kgl. Norske Vid.-Selsk. Forh. **24** (1951), No. 18, 82-84
- [20] F. Luca, V. Patel, On perfect powers that are sums of two Fibonacci numbers, J. Number Theory 189 (2018), 90-96
- [21] I. Pink, On the diophantine equation $x^2 + (p_1^{z_1}...p_s^{z_s})^2 = 2y^n$, Publ. Math. Debrecen **65** (2004), 205-213

Andrzej Dąbrowski, Institute of Mathematics, University of Szczecin, 70-451 Szczecin, Poland, E-mail: dabrowskiandrzej7@gmail.com and andrzej.dabrowski@usz.edu.pl

Nursena Günhan, Department of Mathematics, Bursa Uludağ University, 16059 Bursa, Turkey, E-mail: nursenagunhan@uludag.edu.tr

Gökhan Soydan, Department of Mathematics, Bursa Uludağ University, 16059 Bursa, Turkey, E-mail: gsoydan@uludag.edu.tr