

Locally Hamiltonian graphs and minimal size of maximal graphs on a surface

James Davies* and Carsten Thomassen†

Abstract

We prove that every locally Hamiltonian graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices and possibly with multiple edges has at least $3n - 6$ edges with equality if and only if it triangulates the sphere. As a consequence, every edge-maximal embedding of a graph G on some 2-dimensional surface Σ (not necessarily compact) has at least $3n - 6$ edges with equality if and only if G also triangulates the sphere. If, in addition, G is simple, then for each vertex v , the cyclic ordering of the edges around v on Σ is the same as the clockwise or anti-clockwise orientation around v on the sphere. If G contains no complete graph on 4 vertices and has at least 4 vertices, then the face-boundaries are the same in the two embeddings.

1 Introduction

In 1974 Kainen [7] posed as the first open problem in his survey the following question: By how many edges can an edge-maximal graph embeddable in a surface of Euler genus g be short of a triangulation? Unlike planar graphs, a non-complete edge-maximal graph on a given surface does not necessarily triangulate the surface [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 12]. The first author and Pfender [1] proved that for surfaces of Euler genus at most 2, there are exactly two such examples, being $K_7 - e$ on the Klein bottle and $K_8 - E(C_5)$ on the torus. In contrast to this they further showed that for orientable surfaces of genus $g \geq 2$, there exist infinitely many such graphs that are $\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$ edges short of a triangulation.

McDiarmid and Wood [10] pointed out that there is a related, equally natural question: By how many edges can an edge-maximal graph embedded in a surface of Euler genus g be short of a triangulation? Indeed if a graph is edge-maximal embeddable in a surface, then every embedding is edge-maximal. They gave a first answer to both problems with the upper bound of $84g$.

In this paper we provide the best possible bound to McDiarmid and Wood's question and characterize the extremal graphs. It is perhaps worth noting that

*Department of Combinatorics and Optimization, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada. E-mail: jgdavies@uwaterloo.ca.

†Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark. Email: ctho@dtu.dk. This work was done while the second author held the Dean's Distinguished Visiting Professorship at the University of Waterloo Fall 2019.

the answer does not depend on the genus. It does not even depend on the genus being finite. Our result also holds for graphs with multiple edges where edge-maximal means that we cannot add an edge between non-neighbours. We prove that an edge-maximal embedding of a $n \geq 3$ vertex graph G on a surface Σ has at least $3n - 6$ edges, with equality if and only if G has an embedding triangulating the sphere. Furthermore, when equality holds and G is simple, then for each vertex v , the cyclic ordering of the edges around v on Σ is the same as the clockwise or anti-clockwise orientation around v on the sphere. If G contains no complete graph on 4 vertices and has at least 4 vertices, then the face-boundaries are the same in the two embeddings. This can be seen as a generalisation of the classical folklore result that edge-maximal planar embeddings triangulate the plane. As a consequence this also provides an upper bound of $3g - 1$ to Kainen's question for each $g > 0$.

For simple graphs, the result follows from an earlier result by Skupień [14]: Every connected, locally Hamiltonian simple graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices has at least $3n - 6$ edges, with equality if and only if the graph triangulates the sphere¹. By adapting Skupień's proof, we prove a generalisation for graphs with multiple edges.

It is an easy consequence of Kuratowski's theorem that a 3-connected graph distinct from K_5 is planar if and only if it contains no subdivision of $K_{3,3}$. The second author [15] conjectured that a 4-connected simple graph with at least $3n - 6$ edges is planar if and only if it contains no subdivision of K_5 . This was motivated by, and would imply, the conjecture of Dirac [2] that every simple graph with n vertices and more than $3n - 6$ edges contains a subdivision of K_5 . Both of these conjectures were proved by Mader [8, 9]. Combining Mader's theorem with the theorem in the present paper we get the following: If a 4-connected simple graph G has an edge-maximal embedding on some surface Σ , then it contains a subdivision of K_5 , unless each face of G on Σ is bounded by a triangle, and the replacement of each face by a disc results in the sphere triangulated by G .

2 Preliminaries

The notation is essentially that of [11]. A graph has no loops except in the last section, but may have multiple edges. A vertex is *simple* if it is not incident with any multiple edges. A graph is *simple* if all its vertices are simple. A *surface* is an arcwise connected Hausdorff space which is locally homeomorphic to an open disc in the plane. We shall not assume that Σ is compact. If G is an abstract graph, then an *embedding* of G on Σ is a graph G^* isomorphic to G such that each vertex of G^* is a point in S and each edge in G^* is a simple arc joining its two ends such that two edges may only intersect in a common end. The edges leaving a vertex v have two cyclic orderings (one being the reverse of the other). We choose one of these and call it the *clockwise orientation* around

¹Skupień points out in [13] that the proof in [14] is incomplete and states the theorem as an open problem. We point out that marginal additions to [14] completes the proof of the stated theorem.

v in G^* , while the other we call the *anti-clockwise orientation*.

An embedding G^* on a surface Σ is *edge-maximal* if, for any two non-adjacent vertices x, y , it is not possible to add an edge xy . More precisely, Σ does not contain a simple arc from x to y that does not contain any other point of G^* .

If G^* is edge-maximal, it clearly satisfies the following.

- The graph G^* is connected. If G^* has at least 3 vertices and v is a vertex of G^* , then v has at least two neighbours.

For otherwise, we can add an edge close to an edge from v to a neighbour u and then close to an edge from u to a neighbour w , thereby adding a new edge vw , contradicting the maximality.

- If vv_1, vv_2, \dots, vv_d are the edges incident with v in clockwise order (where the indices are expressed modulo d), then G^* contains the edge $v_i v_{i+1}$ whenever the vertices v_i, v_{i+1} are distinct.

For otherwise, we could add that edge close to the path $v_i v v_{i+1}$.

Motivated by this we define a vertex v of a graph to be *locally Hamiltonian* if the edges incident with v have a cyclic ordering (called a *Hamiltonian ordering*) vv_1, vv_2, \dots, vv_d so that G contains the edge $v_i v_{i+1}$ whenever the vertices v_i, v_{i+1} are distinct. A graph is *locally Hamiltonian* if every vertex is locally Hamiltonian. Observe that if an embedding G^* is edge-maximal, then the graph G is locally Hamiltonian. Note that, if we replace an edge in a locally Hamiltonian graph by a multiple edge, the resulting graph remains locally Hamiltonian. However, the converse is not true as shown by the planar triangulations with multiple edges defined below.

A *planar triangulation* or a *triangulation of the sphere* is a graph that can be embedded in the plane or the sphere such that every face is bounded by a 3-cycle. Thus K_3 is the only planar triangulation on 3 vertices, and K_4 and the graph consisting of a 2-cycle and two simple vertices of degree 2 joined to both vertices of the 2-cycle are the only planar triangulations on 4 vertices.

We shall use a lemma on planar triangulations that may have multiple edges.

Lemma 1. *Let T be a planar triangulation that contains a cycle C that either has length 2 or is a non-facial (that is, separating) 3-cycle. If all vertices in the interior (respectively exterior) have degree at least 4, then there exist at least 2 vertices a, b in the interior (respectively exterior), such that each is simple, has degree at most 5, has at most two non-simple neighbours, and such that none is contained in a non-facial 3-cycle.*

Proof. Assume without loss of generality that all vertices in the interior have degree at least 4. As T is a triangulation, if C is a 2-cycle then it must be non-facial and separating. We may further assume that the interior of C does not contain another such cycle C^* . It is enough to show that there exist vertices a and b in the interior of C satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 1.

Now the vertices in the interior are all simple as there are no 2-cycles in the interior of C . Each vertex of C is adjacent to at least one additional vertex

in the interior of C and every vertex in the interior has degree at least 4. In particular there must be at least 2 vertices in the interior. As there is no separating 3-cycle, every vertex in the interior is adjacent to at most 2 vertices of C and so no vertex has more than 2 non-simple neighbours. Now by Euler's formula there exist at least 2 vertices in the interior of C with degree at most 5. So we may choose 2 of these to be a and b as required. \square

3 Locally Hamiltonian graphs

In this section we generalise Skupień's theorem to graphs with multiple edges.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a connected, locally Hamiltonian graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices and at most $3n - 6$ edges. Then G has precisely $3n - 6$ edges and is isomorphic to a triangulation of the sphere.*

Proof. We adapt Skupień's proof. The proof is by induction. If $n = 3$, G contains a 3-cycle, so assume $n > 3$. Note that G contains a vertex of degree at most 5 as the number of edges is less than $3n$.

Assume now (reduction ad absurdum) that Theorem 2 is false. Let G be a counterexample and let v be a vertex of degree $d \leq 5$ such that;

- (i) n is smallest possible and, subject to (i),
- (ii) if possible $d \leq 3$ and subject to (i), (ii),
- (iii) the number of edges which are incident with v and part of multiple edges is minimum, and, subject to (i), (ii), (iii),
- (iv) the number of edges joining non-consecutive vertices in $N(v)$ is smallest possible and, subject to (i), (ii), (iii), (iv),
- (v) the number of non-simple vertices in $N(v)$ is minimum, and subject to (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v),
- (vi) the degree d of v is smallest possible.

Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d be the edges incident with v in cyclic order (where the indices are expressed modulo d) such that G contains the edge $v_i v_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ whenever v_i, v_{i+1} are distinct.

Consider first the case $d = 2$. As $n > 3$ and G is connected and contains the 3-cycle vv_1v_2v , one of v_1, v_2 , say v_1 , has degree at least 3. Let e_1 , respectively e_2 , be the edge preceding, respectively succeeding, v_1v in the cyclic ordering around v_1 given by a Hamiltonian ordering in $G[N(v_1)]$. As v_1 has degree at least 3, e_1 and e_2 are distinct. Then e_1, e_2 both join v_1 to some neighbour of v , and that neighbour must be v_2 . It is easy to see that $G - e_1 - v$ is locally Hamiltonian. By the induction hypothesis, $G - e_1 - v$ triangulates the sphere. It is easy to extend that triangulation by adding the edges e_1, vv_1, vv_2 to give an embedding of G triangulating the sphere.

Consider next the case $d = 3$. It is easy to see that $G - v$ is locally Hamiltonian. If $v_2 = v_3$, say, then the proof of the case $d = 2$ shows that G has at least

two edges e_1, e_2 between v_1 and v_2 . Repeating the proof of the case $d = 2$, we conclude that $G - e_1 - v$ is locally Hamiltonian. By the induction hypothesis, G has $3(n - 1) - 6 + 4 = 3n - 5 > 3n - 6$ edges, a contradiction. So we may assume that v_1, v_2, v_3 are distinct.

Now $G - v$ is locally Hamiltonian, so by the minimality of G , the graph $G - v$ has an embedding $(G - v)^{**}$ triangulating the sphere. We claim that the 3-cycle $v_1v_2v_3v_1$ must be facial since otherwise $G - v_1 - v_2 - v_3$ would have three components with v_1 being adjacent to a vertex of each component, but then v_1 wouldn't be locally Hamiltonian. So $v_1v_2v_3v_1$ is facial, and hence G is a triangulation.

We may now assume by (ii), that G has minimum degree at least 4.

Consider next the case $d = 4$. If any two of $N(v)$ are neighbours, then $G - v$ is locally Hamiltonian. But then $G - v$ would have only $3(n - 1) - 7$ edges, contradicting assumption (i). So we may assume that v_1, v_3 are distinct and non-adjacent. Hence v_1, v_2, v_3 are distinct, and v_1v_4, v_3 are distinct (but possibly $v_2 = v_4$), and $H = G - v + v_1v_3$ is locally Hamiltonian with an embedding H^{**} triangulating the sphere.

Now if $v_1v_3v_2v_1$ and $v_1v_3v_4v_1$ are both facial in H^{**} , then it is straightforward to obtain the triangular embedding of G . So we may assume that one is not facial and apply Lemma 1 to deduce by (iii), (iv) and (v) that v_2 and v_4 are distinct but not adjacent and without loss of generality that v_1 is simple. Every Hamiltonian cycle of $N_G(v_1)$ must contain the path v_2vv_4 , so there is a Hamiltonian cycle of $N_H(v_1)$ containing the path $v_2v_3v_4$. But then both $v_1v_3v_2v_1$ and $v_1v_3v_4v_1$ must be facial in H^{**} , a contradiction.

Finally consider the case $d = 5$. We add to $N(v)$ say m edges so that any two vertices in $N(v)$ are adjacent and remove the vertex v to obtain a locally Hamiltonian graph H . If $m \leq 1$, then H has at most $3(n - 1) - 7$ edges, a contradiction.

Suppose that $m = 2$, then H has at most $3(n - 1) - 6$ edges and so there is an embedding H^{**} triangulating the sphere. This triangulation H^{**} must be simple since otherwise Lemma 1 gives a contradiction. If v is non-simple then as $m = 2$, we must have that $N(v) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ and we may assume that u_1, u_3 are non-adjacent in G , and hence in H there is just a single edge between u_1 and u_3 . Suppose that $u_1u_3u_2u_1$ and $u_1u_3u_4u_1$ are both facial, then it is easy to obtain an embedding of G with one just two non-triangular faces, a 2-face $vu_i v$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, and a 4-face $a_1a_2a_3a_4a_1$. We may assume without loss of generality that a_1 and a_2 are simple (because H^{**} is simple and hence v and u_i are the only non-simple vertices in G). As the neighbourhood of a simple vertex of a planar graph has at most one Hamiltonian cycle, it follows that a_1 is adjacent to a_3 and a_2 is adjacent to a_4 . But then we may obtain a planar embedding of K_5 , a contradiction. Hence one of these two 3-cycles is non-facial and we may apply Lemma 1, to contradict (iii). So v must be simple in G . But then H contains a K_5 , contradicting the fact that H is planar.

So $m \geq 3$ and as a consequence v must be simple. In particular $G[N(v)]$ contains at most two edges besides the 5-cycle $v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_1$. So we may choose the notation such that either $G[N(v)]$ contains no edge or the edge v_2v_4 or the two edges v_2v_4, v_3v_5 or the edges v_2v_4, v_2v_5 . In the latter case we may assume

by symmetry that some Hamiltonian cycle in $G[N(v_2)]$ does not contain the path v_3vv_5 .

Let Q be obtained by deleting v and adding the edges v_1v_3, v_1v_4 . The resulting graph is locally Hamiltonian and hence isomorphic to a triangulation Q^{**} of the sphere. If the 3-cycles $v_1v_2v_3v_1$, $v_1v_3v_4v_1$ and $v_1v_4v_5v_1$ are all facial then Q^{**} can easily be modified to show that G is also a spherical triangulation. So we may assume that at least one is not facial. Now v_2 is not adjacent to v_4 , else we may apply Lemma 1 to contradict (iv). Hence we may assume that the only edges of $G[N(v)]$ are those of the 5-cycle $v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_1$. Some vertex of $N(v)$ must be simple, as otherwise there would be a 2-cycle in Q^{**} whose interior contains no vertex of $N(v)$, allowing for a contradiction by Lemma 1 and (v). So without loss of generality we may assume that v_1 is simple. Now as v_2vv_5 is a path in every Hamiltonian cycle of $N_G(v_1)$, there is a Hamiltonian cycle of $N_Q(v_1)$ containing the path $v_2v_3v_4v_5$. As v is simple, $N_Q(v_1)$ has only one Hamiltonian cycle and therefore $v_1v_2v_3v_1$, $v_1v_3v_4v_1$ and $v_1v_4v_5v_1$ are all facial, a contradiction. \square

4 Maximal embedded graphs with minimum number of edges

We are now ready to prove our main result on edge-maximal embeddings.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices and at most $3n - 6$ edges, and let G^* be an embedding of G on a surface Σ . If G^* is edge-maximal on Σ , then G is planar and has precisely $3n - 6$ edges. Moreover, there is an embedding G^{**} on the sphere such that G^{**} is a triangulation.*

If G is simple then, for every vertex v in G , the clockwise orientation around v in G^ is either the clockwise or anti-clockwise orientation around v in G^{**} . If G is simple, $n \geq 4$, and contains no K_4 , then G^* is obtained from G^{**} by replacing each face by a surface.*

Proof. Since G^* is edge-maximal on Σ , it follows that G is locally Hamiltonian. By Theorem 1, G has at least $3n - 6$ edges, and if equality holds, G has an embedding G^{**} on the sphere such that G^{**} is a triangulation.

If G is simple, the embedding of G^{**} is unique except that we can interchange clockwise with anti-clockwise for every vertex. The clockwise orientation in G^* is given by some Hamiltonian cycle in $G[N(v)]$. But $G^{**}[N(v)]$ has precisely one Hamiltonian cycle. So, the clockwise orientation at v in G^* equals the clockwise or anticlockwise orientation at v in G^{**} .

Suppose now that G is simple, $n \geq 4$, and contains no K_4 . Note that G has minimum degree at least 3. Each edge of G appears on facial walks of G^* exactly twice. Since G^* is edge-maximal, each face boundary induces a complete graph. In particular, each facial walk induces a complete graph. Since G^* is simple and contains no K_4 , it follows that each facial walk in G^* has exactly 3 vertices and then also exactly 3 edges. Consider now a vertex v of degree $d \geq 3$, say. In G^{**} there is a unique Hamiltonian cycle $v_1v_2v_dv_1$ in $G[N(v)]$, defined by the clockwise ordering in G^{**} of the edges incident with v . As this is also

the clockwise or anticlockwise ordering in G^* of the edges incident with v , all d facial walks in G^* containing v are precisely the facial walks in G^{**} containing v . So all facial walks in G^{**} are facial walks in G^* . Hence the facial walks in G^* are precisely the facial triangles in G^{**} . In G^{**} a face has only one facial walk. So, there only remains the question if a face in G^* may have more than one facial walk. But, this is impossible because every face boundary is complete and has therefore only 3 vertices. Hence G^* is obtained from G^{**} by replacing each face by a surface. \square

Note that the orientation around vertices in graphs with multiple edges is not as simple. Indeed, the planar triangulation with vertices a, b, c, u, v and edges $uv, ua, ub, uv, uc, va, vb, vc, ab$ has an edge-maximal embedding in the projective plane such that the clockwise orientation around u is uv, ub, ua, uv, uc , that is the same as on the sphere except that ua, ub have been replaced by ub, ua . Similarly K_4 has an embedding in the projective plane with three 4-faces, and so this embedding can not be obtained by replacing faces of a spherical embedding by other surfaces.

5 Maximal embedded graphs with loops

In this section we allow loops. Remarkably, edge-maximal embeddings of simple graphs and of graphs with multiple edges behave in much the same way. It is natural to ask if graphs with loops could also behave similarly. However, the answer for edge-maximal embeddings of graph with loops is rather different.

Let K_n^o denote the complete graph with a single additional loop on some vertex, we call this vertex the *loop vertex*. We call the two graphs K_2^o and K_3^o *petals*. A graph G is a *flower* if there exists a sequence of graphs G_0, G_1, \dots, G_n such that, $G_0 \in \{K_2, K_3\}$, and for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, G_i is obtained by identifying a vertex of G_{i-1} with a loop vertex of a petal and $G_n = G$.

Notice that flowers are planar, however they may have faces with arbitrarily many edges. We leave as a simple inductive exercise to the reader to prove that an edge-maximal embedding of a graph G (possibly with loops) on $n \geq 2$ vertices has at least $2n - 3$ edges, with equality if and only if G is a flower.

References

- [1] J. Davies and F. Pfender. Edge-maximal graphs on orientable and some non-orientable surfaces. *arXiv:1911.02666*, 2019.
- [2] G. A. Dirac. Homomorphism theorems for graphs. *Math. Ann.*, 153(1):69–80, 1964.
- [3] P. Franklin. A six color problem. *J. Math. Phys.*, 13(1-4):363–369, 1934.
- [4] F. Harary, P. C. Kainen, A. J. Schwenk, and A. T. White. A maximal toroidal graph which is not a triangulation. *Math. Scand.*, pages 108–112, 1974.

- [5] J. P. Huneke. A minimum-vertex triangulation. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 24(3):258–266, 1978.
- [6] M. Jungerman and G. Ringel. Minimal triangulations on orientable surfaces. *Acta. Math.*, 145(1):121–154, 1980.
- [7] P. C. Kainen. Some recent results in topological graph theory. In *Graphs and Combinatorics (Proc. of Capital Conf. on Graph Theory and Combinatorics)*, vol. 406 of Lecture Notes in Math., pp. 76108. Springer, 1974.
- [8] W. Mader. $3n - 5$ edges do force a subdivision of K_5 . *Combinatorica*, 18(4):569–595, 1998.
- [9] W. Mader. Graphs with $3n - 6$ edges not containing a subdivision of K_5 . *Combinatorica*, 4(25):425–438, 2005.
- [10] C. McDiarmid and D. R. Wood. Edge-maximal graphs on surfaces. *Can. J. Math.*, 70(4):925–942, 2018.
- [11] B. Mohar and C. Thomassen. *Graphs on surfaces*, volume 2. Johns Hopkins University Press Baltimore, 2001.
- [12] G. Ringel. Wie man die geschlossenen nichtorientierbaren flächen in möglichst wenig dreiecke zerlegen kann. *Math. Ann.*, 130(4):317–326, 1955.
- [13] Z. Skupień. On locally Hamiltonian and planar graphs. <http://www.wms.agh.edu.pl/~skupien/OnLHP1.pdf>. Accessed: 2020-01-07.
- [14] Z. Skupień. Locally Hamiltonian and planar graphs. *Fund. Math*, 58(2):193–200, 1966.
- [15] C. Thomassen. Kuratowski’s theorem. *J. Graph Theory*, 5(3):225–241, 1981.