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#### Abstract

We investigate regularization of riemannian metrics by mollification. Assuming both-sided bounds on the Ricci tensor and a lower injectivity radius bound we obtain a uniform estimate on the change of the sectional curvature. Actually, our result holds for any metric with a uniform bound on the $W^{2, p}$-harmonic radius.


## § 1. Introduction

The goal of this note is to show that regularization by a naive mollification of a riemannian metric satisfying certain geometric conditions can be set up to control the deviation of the sectional curvature.

A riemannian metric on a smooth manifold $M$ is a section in the symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor bundle over $M$. The regularity of this section is then referred to as the regularity of the metric. Under certain geometric limit processes, it is a common phenomenon to loose a controlled level of regularity. Gromov-Hausdorff limits of isometry classes of smooth riemannian metrics that satisfy certain curvature bounds, are a prominent example thereof. We will briefly recall fundamental results in this area in $\S 2.4$. In this work we are interested in procedures to regain regularity and at the same time control the deviation of curvature. Various such techniques have been studied with a view towards different goals (e.g. [CG85; Abr88]).

A fundamental tool in deriving and phrasing our results are chart norms, that control the regularity properties of the metric tensor and its derivatives in a given chart. Let $\psi:(\mathrm{B}(0, r), 0) \rightarrow\left(M, p_{0}\right)$ be a harmonic chart in a smooth, pointed, $n$-dimensional riemannian manifold ( $M^{n}, g, p_{0}$ ), where we denote by $\mathrm{B}(0, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the open ball of radius $r$ with respect to the euclidean norm. Recall that a chart is called harmonic, if the coordinate-functions of $\psi$ are harmonic with respect to the Laplace-Beltrami operator of $g$. The harmonic chart norm $\|\psi\|_{W^{m, p}, r}^{\text {harm }}$ is bounded by $Q \geq 0$, if $Q$ gives control of the derivatives of the metric tensor, its inverse and its first $m$ derivatives in the $L^{p}$-norm. We refer to $\S 2.3$ for a more detailed explanation.

[^0]Unless otherwise stated, we will always denote by $M$ a smooth manifold of dimension $n$. Given a collection of charts $\left\{\psi_{i}: \mathrm{B}(0, r) \rightarrow M\right\}_{i \in I}$, a partition of unity $\left\{\rho_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, and a fixed mollifier function $\varphi_{t}$ for $t \in(0, T]$, we define a mollified riemannian metric $g^{[t]}$ (cf. Definition 3.2). This metric is defined on $\bigcup_{i \in I} \psi_{i}(\mathrm{~B}(0, r / 2))$ and, provided bounds on the harmonic chart norms, has a curvature tensor that can be controlled as follows:

Theorem A. Given $p>2 n$ and $r, Q>0$. Choose $\beta \in(0,1-2 n / p)$. Then there is some $T \in(0, r / 2)$ such that for any pointed smooth riemannian manifold $\left(M, g, p_{0}\right)$ and any finite collection of charts with a corresponding partition of unity

$$
\left\{\psi_{i}:(\mathrm{B}(0, r), 0) \rightarrow\left(M, \psi_{i}(0)\right)\right\}_{i \in I}, \quad \vec{\varrho}:=\left\{\varrho_{i}: M \rightarrow[0,1]\right\}_{i \in I}
$$

we have that for any section $\vec{v} \in(\mathrm{~T} \psi(\mathrm{~B}(0, r)))^{\times 3} \times \mathrm{T}^{*} \psi(\mathrm{~B}(0, r))$

$$
\left(\forall i \in I:\left\|\psi_{i}\right\|_{W^{2, p}, r}^{\mathrm{harm}} \leq Q\right) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{R}_{g^{[t]}}(x)(\vec{v})-\sup _{\mathrm{B}\left(x, e^{Q} t\right)} \mathrm{R}_{g}(\vec{v}) \leq C\|\vec{v}\|_{g} t^{\beta}
$$

for any $t \in(0, T], x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \psi_{i}(\mathrm{~B}(0, r / 2))$ and with

$$
C=C\left(n, p, r, Q, \beta,\left\{\left\|\varrho_{i} \circ \psi_{i}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{2}}\right\}_{i \in I}, \# I\right)
$$

In the theorem, we denote by $\|\vec{v}\|_{g}$ the semi-norm obtained as the supremum of the point-wise product $\mathrm{s}\left\|v_{1}(x)\right\|_{g(x)} \cdots\left\|v_{4}(x)\right\|_{g(x)}$ (cf. $\S 3$ for further details).

The new metric $g^{[t]}$ is obtained by applying a mollification operator $P_{t}$ in the charts $\psi_{i}$. We can summarize the ansatz of our proof of this statement as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{R}_{g^{[t]}}(x)(\vec{v}) & \leq P_{t}\left(\mathrm{R}_{g}(\vec{v})\right)(x)+\left|\mathrm{R}_{g^{[t]}}(x)(\vec{v})-P_{t}\left(\mathrm{R}_{g}(\vec{v})\right)(x)\right|  \tag{1.1}\\
& \leq \sup _{y \in \psi(\mathrm{~B}(0, T))} \mathrm{R}_{g}(y)(\vec{v})+\left\|\mathrm{R}_{g^{[t]}}(\vec{v})-P_{t} \mathrm{R}_{g}(\vec{v})\right\|_{L^{\infty}}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last step we used that convolution does not increase the supremum of a function. Hence it suffices to find an $L^{\infty}$-estimate for the "commutator"

$$
\mathrm{R}_{g}[t]-P_{t} \mathrm{R}_{g} "=\left[\mathrm{R}, P_{t}\right] g "
$$

which will be obtained in Lemma 3.5.
Finally, we state our main result on the deviation of the sectional curvature. Define for a riemannian manifold $(M, g)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\mathrm{K}}(g):=\sup \mathrm{K}_{g}(x)(v, w) \\
& \underline{\mathrm{K}}(g):=\sup \mathrm{K}_{g}(x)(v, w)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the suprema are taken over all $x \in M$ and $v, w \in \mathrm{~T}_{x} M$ such that $\langle v, w\rangle_{g}>0$. Moreover, we denote by $\|(M, g)\|_{W^{2, p}, r}^{\text {harm }}$ the lowest bound $Q$ such that for each point $p_{0} \in M$ there is a harmonic chart $\psi: \mathrm{B}(0, r) \rightarrow M$ mapping 0 to $p_{0}$ such that the metric tensor $\psi^{*} g$ on $\mathrm{B}(0, r)$ is controlled by $Q$ with respect to the $W^{2, p}$-norm.

Theorem B. Let $p>2 n, r, Q>0$, and $\beta \in(0,1-2 n / p)$. Then there is some $T>0$ such that for any smooth riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ with $\|(M, g)\|_{W^{2, p}, r}^{h a r m} \leq Q$ there is a locally finite cover of charts

$$
\psi_{i}:(\mathrm{B}(0, r), 0) \rightarrow\left(M, \psi_{i}(0)\right),
$$

indexed by $i \in I$, such that the mollified metrics $g^{[t]}$ have at any $x \in M$ sectional curvature $\mathrm{K}_{g^{[t]}}(x)$ in the interval

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\underline{\mathrm{K}}\left(g .\left.\right|_{\mathrm{B}(x, t)}\right), \overline{\mathrm{K}}\left(\left.g \ldots\right|_{\mathrm{B}(x, t)}\right)\right] \cdot[1-C t, 1+C t]+\left[-C t^{\beta}, C t^{\beta}\right] \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in(0, T]$, where $C=C(n, Q, r, p)$. Moreover, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|M\|_{\mathrm{C}^{2, \beta}, r} \leq Q^{\prime} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $Q^{\prime}=Q^{\prime}(n, \beta, Q)$.
The advantage of the regularized metric is especially that is admits pointwise control on the curvature tensor that is stable under Gromov-Hausdorff limits, i.e. as apparent from $\left(2 . \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}}\right)$ below, a Gromov-Hausdorff limit of regularized metrics is again a riemannian manifold with $\|M\|_{\mathrm{C}^{2, \beta}, r} \leq Q^{\prime}$.

Corollary C. Let $\iota>0$ and $\kappa \geq 0$. Then there exist $r>0$ and $T>0$ such that for any smooth riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ with

$$
\operatorname{inj} \operatorname{rad}(M) \geq \iota, \quad\|\operatorname{Ric} M\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \kappa
$$

there exist charts $\psi_{i}: \mathrm{B}(0, r) \rightarrow M$ such that the mollified metrics $g^{[t]}$ have at any $x \in M$ sectional curvature $\mathrm{K}_{P_{t g}}(x)$ in the interval $(1.2)$ for all $t \in(0, T]$, where $C=C(n, \iota, \kappa)$. Moreover, the norm $\|M\|_{\mathrm{C}^{2, \beta}, r}$ is bounded in terms of $n, \beta$ and $Q$.

Corollary D. Let $\delta \in(0,1)$ and assume one of the conditions (1.4a) to (1.4d):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{K}_{g} \in[\delta, 1] \text { and }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\# \pi_{2}(M)<\infty, \text { or } \\
\operatorname{dim} M \text { is even, or } \\
\delta \geq 1 / 4-\varepsilon^{\prime}, \quad \text { where } \varepsilon^{\prime} \approx 10^{-6}
\end{array}\right.  \tag{1.4a}\\
& \mathrm{K}_{g} \leq 1, \operatorname{Ric}_{g} \geq \delta, \text { and } \operatorname{dim} M=3 .
\end{align*}
$$

Then there exist charts $\psi_{i}: \mathrm{B}(0, r) \rightarrow M$ such that the mollified metrics $g^{[t]}$ have at any $x \in M$ sectional curvature $\mathrm{K}_{P_{t g}}(x)$ in the interval (1.2) for all $t \in(0, T]$, where $C=C(n, \kappa)$. Moreover, the norm $\|M\|_{\mathrm{C}^{2}, \beta, r}$ is bounded in terms of $n, \beta$ and $Q$.

As mentioned before, there are other techniques towards a regularization of riemannian metrics. In Abr88], Abresch constructs a smoothing operator $S_{\varepsilon}$, which satisfies $\left\|\nabla^{m} \mathrm{R}_{S_{\varepsilon} g}\right\| \leq C \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon \Lambda)^{m+2}}$, where $C=C(n)$ is a constant and $\Lambda$ is a bound on the sectional curvature. In particular, $S_{\varepsilon}$ preserves isometries of the original metric. Similarly, it is known that given a bound on the sectional curvature, the application of Ricci flow amounts to
a regularization of the metric, which gives $\left\|\nabla^{m} \mathrm{R}_{g(t)}\right\| \leq C(n, m, t)$ BMR84; Shi89; Ron96; Kap05; He16]. In contrast to our results, this again preserves the isometries of the original metric, but just as Abresch's result requires stronger bounds on the curvature. The crucial point is that both methods do not provide a bound on the difference $\left\|\mathrm{R}_{g}-\mathrm{R}_{S_{\varepsilon} g}\right\|$ or $\left\|\mathrm{R}_{g}-\mathrm{R}_{g(t)}\right\|$.

We are motivated by the viewpoint of moduli spaces of riemannian metrics with pinched curvature and regard Theorem B as a result on a controlled perturbation within such a space.

The paper is structured as follows: In $\S 2$ we will recall mollification, chart norms, and known uniform bounds on the regularity of the metric tensor under geometric conditions. The subsequent $\S 3$ gives a proof of the main technical tool, Theorem A, beginning with a local version of its statement. This is then used in $\S 4$ to derive the main result, Theorem B, and its corollaries.

## § 2. Review of mollification and chart norms

We will give a short introduction to Hölder spaces and mollification. In the subsequent two subsections we will explain norm bounds for a riemannian metric that are independent of a distinguished coordinate system, and state the fundamental examples and properties of such systems.

## § 2.1 Hölder spaces

Besides $L^{p}$-classes we will use Hölder spaces of functions $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$. For $m=0,1, \ldots$ define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{m} f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N \cdot n^{m}} \tag{2.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be the function of all derivatives of order $m$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{\leq m} f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N \cdot n^{0}+\ldots+N \cdot n^{m}} \tag{2.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be the collection of all derivatives of order 0 to order $m$. Further let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right|:=\max \left\{\left|x_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|x_{n}\right|\right\} \tag{2.2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ (which is in contrast to the euclidean norm $|0 x|$ ). Recall that the Hölder norm-for $\alpha \in[0,1], m=0,1, \ldots$, and a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ —is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}}=\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}(\Omega)}:=\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m}}+\sum_{k=0}^{m}\left\|\nabla^{k} f\right\|_{\alpha} \tag{2.2~b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|f\|_{\alpha}=0$ in case $\alpha=0$ and otherwise

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\alpha}:=\sup _{\substack{x, y \in \Omega \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} . \tag{2.2c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}(\Omega)=\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ the corresponding spaces of real-valued functions on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. If $\Omega$ is a bounded open set with Lipschitz
boundary, Hölder spaces are connected to Sobolev spaces by Sobolev's inequality which states for $k-n / p=r+\alpha, p \in(n, \infty], r<k, \alpha \in(0,1]$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{C^{r, \alpha}} \leq C\|f\|_{W^{k, p}} . \tag{2.S}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C=C(n, p)$. From $\|-\|_{\alpha} \leq\|-\|_{1}$ and the mean value theorem we get the elementary estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}} \leq\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m+1}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## § 2.2 Mollification

The tool for regularization will be mollification, i.e. convolution with a smooth function. Convolution can be defined for any compactly supported function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any locally integrable function $g: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
f * g(x):=\int f(x-h) g(h) \mathrm{d} h . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one of the functions $f, g$ is multi-valued, i.e. $\mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$, the convolution is defined component-wise. It is elementary to see that if in addition $f \in \mathrm{C}^{m}$, then $f * g \in \mathrm{C}^{k}$ for $m=0,1, \ldots$ Hör83, Theorem 1.3.1]. Moreover, in this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{I}(f * g)=\left(\partial^{I} f\right) * g \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is a multi-index f order $m$. We will always assume that $p, q \in[1, \infty]$ satisfy the relation $1=1 / p+1 / q$. The classical key tools will be Hölder's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f g\|_{L^{1}} \leq\|f\|_{L^{p}}\|g\|_{L^{q}} \tag{2.H}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Young's convolution inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f * g\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq\|f\|_{L^{p}}\|g\|_{L^{q}} . \tag{2.Y}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the definition of mollification, fix as the mollification kernel a smooth function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow[0,1]$ supported on $[-1,1]^{n}$ with $\varphi(0)=\int \varphi(x) \mathrm{d} x=1$. Set for $t>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{t}(x):=t^{-n} \varphi(x / t) . \tag{2.6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substitution we have that $\int \varphi_{t}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int \varphi(x) \mathrm{d} x=1$. Define the mollification operator by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}: f \mapsto \varphi_{t} * f . \tag{2.6b}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a first application of Young's convolution inequality in conjunction with (2.5) note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla^{m} P_{t} f\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq\left\|\nabla^{m} \varphi_{t}\right\|_{L^{1}}\|f\|_{L^{\infty}} \tag{2.6c}
\end{equation*}
$$

## § 2.3 Definition of chart Norms

Hölder classes of riemannian metrics allow to formulate celebrated regularity results in a more concise and little bit stronger fashion. Let ( $M, g, p_{0}$ ) be a pointed $n$-dimensional riemannian manifold. We introduce norms on charts, given by pointed maps

$$
\psi:(\mathrm{B}(0, r), 0) \rightarrow\left(M, p_{0}\right),
$$

i.e. $\psi(0)=p_{0}$, where $\mathrm{B}(x, r)$ denotes the open ball of radius $r$ around $x$ in the metric space to which $x$ belongs-here 0 belongs to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with the euclidean metric. In contrast, we will denote a closed ball by $\mathrm{B}[x, r]$. We will mainly use and adapt definitions from [Pet16, §§ 11.3.1-11.3.5].

Definition 2.1. For a chart $\psi:(\mathrm{B}(0, r), 0) \rightarrow\left(M, g, p_{0}\right)$ compatible with the smooth atlas of $M$ we define $\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}}$, the chart norm of $\psi$ on the scale of $r$ as the minimal* quantity $Q \geq 0$ for which the following conditions are fulfilled
(1) for the differentials we have the bounds $|D \psi| \leq e^{Q}$ on $\mathrm{B}(0, r)$ and $\left|D \psi^{-1}\right| \leq e^{Q}$ on $\psi(\mathrm{B}(0, r))$. Equivalently, this condition can be expressed in coordinates on $\psi$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-2 Q} \delta_{k l} v^{k} v^{l} \leq g_{k l} \leq e^{2 Q} \delta_{k l} v^{k} v^{l} \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every vector $v \in \mathbb{R}$.
(2) for the semi-norm from (2.2c) and any $k=0,1, \ldots, m$

$$
\left(2 \cdot \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}}\right)
$$

$$
r^{k+\alpha}\left\|\nabla^{k} g . .\right\|_{\alpha} \leq Q
$$

where $g_{.} .:=\psi^{*} g$.
In this case we write

$$
\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}, r} \leq Q .
$$

We define $\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}}^{\text {harm }}$, the harmonic chart norm of $\psi$ on the scale of $r$, by additionally imposing the condition
(3) the chart $\psi$ is harmonic, meaning that each coordinate function $x_{k}$ $(k=1, \ldots, n)$ is harmonic with respect to $g$., i.e. the Laplace-Beltrami operator vanishes
(2. $\mathrm{N}_{\text {harm }}$ )

$$
\Delta_{g . .} x_{k}=0
$$

In this case we write

$$
\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}, r}^{\mathrm{harm}} \leq Q .
$$

We can directly extend this definition by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(M, g, p_{0}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}, r} & =\inf _{\psi:(\mathrm{B}(0, r), 0) \rightarrow\left(M, p_{0}\right)}\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}}, \\
\|(M, g)\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}, r} & =\sup _{p_{0} \in M}\left\|\left(M, g, p_{0}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}, r}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1](mutatis mutandis for $\left\|\left(M, g, p_{0}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{(m, \alpha}, r}^{\mathrm{harm}}$ and $\left.\|(M, g)\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m}, \alpha, r}^{\mathrm{harm}}\right)$. In the same manner we can introduce the norm bounds on Sobolev scales
\[

\left.$$
\begin{array}{c}
\|\psi\|_{W^{m, p}, r} \leq Q, \quad\left\|\left(M, g, p_{0}\right)\right\|_{W^{m, p}, r} \leq Q, \quad\|(M, g)\|_{W^{m, p}, r} \leq Q \\
\left(\|\psi\|_{W^{m, p}, r}^{\mathrm{harm}} \leq Q, \quad\left\|\left(M, g, p_{0}\right)\right\|_{W^{m, p}, r}^{\mathrm{harm}} \leq Q, \quad\|(M, g)\|_{W^{m, p}, r}^{\mathrm{harm}} \leq Q, \quad\right. \text { resp. }
\end{array}
$$\right) .
\]

by retaining condition $\left(2 . \mathrm{N}_{0}\right)$ (as well as ( $2 . \mathrm{N}_{\text {harm }}$ ) if appropriate) and replacing condition ( $2 . \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}}$ ) by
(2. $\mathrm{N}_{W^{m, p}}$ )

$$
r^{k-n / p}\left\|\nabla^{k} g . .\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq Q .
$$

for $k=0,1, \ldots, m$. Note that $\left\|\left(M, g, p_{0}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}, r}$ and $\left\|\left(M, g, p_{0}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}, r}^{\mathrm{harm}}$ are realized by charts by an application of the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem. (The same holds for $\left\|\left(M, g, p_{0}\right)\right\|_{W^{m, p}, r}$ and $\left\|\left(M, g, p_{0}\right)\right\|_{W^{m, p}, r}^{\text {harm }}$ as a consequence of the Banach-Alaoglu theorem.) Finally, let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{M}^{n}\left(\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha} \leq_{r} Q\right), \quad \mathcal{M}^{n}\left(W^{m, p} \leq_{r} Q\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{M}^{n}\left(\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha} \leq_{r}^{\text {harm }} Q\right), \quad \mathcal{M}^{n}\left(W^{m, p} \leq_{r}^{\text {harm }} Q\right), \quad \text { resp. }\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

denote the space of isomorphism class of $n$-dimensional, pointed, smooth riemannian manifolds ( $M, g, p_{0}$ ) with

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\|(M, g)\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}, r} \leq Q, & \|(M, g)\|_{W^{m, p}, r} \leq Q, \\
\left(\|(M, g)\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}, r} \leq Q, \quad\|(M, g)\|_{W^{m, p}, r}^{\operatorname{arm}} \leq Q,\right. & \text { resp. }
\end{array}\right) .
$$

These spaces are endowed with the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Note the elementary estimate [Pet16, Proposition 11.3.2 (4)]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(2 . \mathrm{N}_{0}\right) \Longrightarrow  \tag{2.D}\\
& \quad e^{-Q} \min \{|x y|, 2 r-|0 x|\} \leq|\psi(x) \psi(y)|_{g} \leq e^{Q}|x y| \leq e^{Q}|x y|
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathrm{~B}(0, r)$ and $|.$.$| the euclidean norm.$
Having introduced spaces with a global bound on the metric tensor in local coordinates, one may be inclined to ask why we did not assume any regularity assumption on changes of coordinates. The answer is found in Schauder estimates, standard estimates on the regularity of solutions of elliptic PDEs. The crucial fact can be stated as follows [GT15, Problem 6.1 (a)]: On a bounded open set $\Omega$ let $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $\mathrm{C}^{m+2, \alpha}$-solution ( $m \geq 0$ ) of $\left(a^{i j}(x) \partial_{i} \partial_{j}+b^{i}(x) \partial_{i}+c(x)\right) u=f$ (summation convention) and assume that the coefficients of $L$ satisfy $a^{i j} \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \geq \lambda|\xi|^{2}$ and $\left\|\nabla^{m} a\right\|_{\alpha},\left\|\nabla^{m} b\right\|_{\alpha},\left\|\nabla^{m} c\right\|_{\alpha} \leq$ $\Lambda$. If $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega$ with $\overline{\Omega^{\prime}} \varsubsetneqq \Omega$, then

$$
\|u\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m+2, \alpha}} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{\mathrm{C}^{0}}+\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}}\right)
$$

on $\Omega^{\prime}$ with $C=C\left(n, m, \alpha, \lambda, \Lambda,\left|\Omega^{\prime} \partial \Omega\right|_{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ where $\left|\Omega^{\prime} \partial \Omega\right|_{\mathrm{H}}$ denotes the Hausdorff distance.

If we apply this statement to a transition function $\psi_{i}^{-1} \circ \psi_{j}$ for two charts $\psi_{i}, \psi_{j}$ with $\left\|\psi_{i}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}, r}^{\mathrm{harm}},\left\|\psi_{j}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}, r}^{\mathrm{harm}} \leq Q$ we first notice that by harmonicity $\left\|\Delta_{g} \psi_{i}^{-1} \circ \psi_{j}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}}=\|0\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}}=0$ and moreover, in harmonic coordinates,
as calculated e.g. in [Pet16, § 11.2.3], $\Delta_{g}=g^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j}$. If we restrict to the domain $\Omega^{\prime}:=\psi_{j}^{-1}\left(\psi_{i}(\mathrm{~B}(0, r / 2)) \cap \psi_{j}(\mathrm{~B}(0, r / 2))\right)$ the above estimate becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\psi_{i}^{-1} \circ \psi_{j}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m+2, \alpha}} \leq C\left\|\psi_{i}^{-1} \circ \psi_{j}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{0}} \leq C \cdot r / 2 \tag{2.Sch}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C(n, m, \alpha, Q, r)$. For non-harmonic chart norms a similar result with one lower degree of regularity can be found in Taylor [Tay06].

## § 2.4 Precompactness theorems for riemannian manifolds

We review some fundamental results. For every $n \geq 2$ and $s, Q>0$

$$
\mathcal{M}^{n}\left(\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha} \leq_{r} Q\right) \text { is compact }
$$

with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. This statement is sometimes called Fundamental Theorem of Convergence Theory [Pet16, § 11.3.5].

Let $\iota>0, \alpha \in(0,1), p \in(n, \infty)$, and $\underline{\kappa} \in \mathbb{R}$. For all $Q>0$ there is $r>0$ such that every pointed riemannian manifold ( $M, g, p_{0}$ ) satisfies
(2. $\mathrm{K}_{\text {Ric }}$ ) injectivity radius $(M) \geq \iota$ and Ric $\geq-\underline{\kappa}^{2}$

$$
\Longrightarrow\left(M, g, p_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{M}^{n}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\alpha} \leq_{r}^{\mathrm{harm}} Q\right) \cap \mathcal{M}^{n}\left(W^{1, p} \leq_{r}^{\mathrm{harm}} Q\right) ;
$$

see [AC92]. Likewise, we obtain a result that is stronger by one derivative for an absolute Ricci bound: For every $\kappa \geq 0, \iota>0, \alpha \in(0,1), p \in(n, \infty)$, and $Q \in(0, \log (2))$ there is an $r>0$ such that any smooth manifold ( $M, g, p_{0}$ )
$\left(2 . \mathrm{K}_{\mid \text {Ric } \mid}\right) \quad$ injectivity $\operatorname{radius}(M) \geq \iota$ and $\|\operatorname{Ric} M\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \kappa$

$$
\Longrightarrow\left(M, g, p_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{M}^{n}\left(\mathrm{C}^{1, \alpha} \leq_{r}^{\text {harm }} Q\right) \cap \mathcal{M}^{n}\left(W^{2, p} \leq_{r}^{\text {harm }} Q\right) ;
$$

the result appeared several times, e.g. And90] and [HH97, Theorem 11], and found its ways into textbooks Pet16, § 11.4.1]. Note that the Hölder parts of $\left(2 . \mathrm{K}_{\text {Ric }}\right)$ and $\left(2 . \mathrm{K}_{\mid \text {Ric }} \mid\right)$ are implied by their Sobolev parts via Sobolev's inequality (2.S).

Remark 2.2. Actually, these regularity results can be improved in at least two ways that we do not use but would like to emphasize. The exponent $\alpha$ can be improved from $\alpha \in(0,1)$ to 1 by replacing the Hölder scales with Hölder-Zygmund scales $\mathrm{C}_{*}^{s}, s>0$. The space $\mathrm{C}_{*}^{s}(\Omega)$ coincides with $\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}$ if $s=m+\alpha$ and $\alpha \neq 0,1$. Otherwise $\mathrm{C}^{m} \subset \mathrm{C}_{*}^{m}(\omega)$. See [Tay07] for the case of lower Ricci bounds and $[$ And +04$]$ for the case of absolute Ricci bounds. The norm bound in case of an absolute bound on Ricci curvature can be generalized to the case of manifolds with boundary $[$ And +04$]$.

## § 3. Mollified riemannian curvature tensor

In this section we begin by proving a local version of Theorem A. Recall that $g_{\text {. }}=\psi^{*} g$ and $P_{t}\left(g_{\text {. }}\right)=\varphi_{t} * g_{\text {.. }}$. The results will be formulated using the Sobolev chart norm $\|-\|_{W^{2, p}, r}$ from $\left(2 . \mathrm{N}_{W^{m, p}}\right)$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $p>2 n, r, Q>0$ and choose $\beta \in(1 / 2,1-n / p)$. There exists some $T \in(0, r / 2)$ such that for a pointed smooth riemannian manifold $\left(M, g, p_{0}\right)$, a chart $\psi:(\mathrm{B}(0, r), 0) \rightarrow\left(M, p_{0}\right)$, and any section $\vec{v} \in$ $\Gamma \mathrm{T}^{3,1} \mathrm{~B}(0, r)$ we have

$$
\|\psi\|_{W^{2, p}, r} \leq Q \Longrightarrow \mathrm{R}_{P_{t}(g \ldots)}(\psi(x))(\vec{v})-\sup _{y \in \mathrm{~B}(x, t)} \mathrm{R}_{g . .}(y)(\vec{v}) \leq C\|\vec{v}\|_{L^{\infty}}^{4} t^{\beta}
$$

for any $t \in(0, T], x \in \mathrm{~B}(0, r / 2)$, a constant $C=C(n, p, r, Q, \beta)$, and considering $\vec{v}$ as a function valued in $\mathbb{R}^{4 n}$ by the canonical euclidean identification.

The following generalization of the above proposition to a convex combination of mollified metrics will be of even greater interest. Recall that the $g$ norm of a section $v$ in a tangent bundle is defined by $\|v\|_{g}:=\sup _{x \in M}\|v(x)\|_{g(x)}$. For a cotangent vector $v_{x} \in \mathrm{~T}_{x}^{*} M$ the $g$-norm $\left\|v_{x}\right\|_{g(x)}$ is defined as $\|(w \mapsto$ $\langle w,-\rangle)^{-1}\left(v_{x}\right) \|_{g(x)}$. The $g$-norm of a section $v \in \Gamma \mathrm{~T}^{*} M$ is $\|v\|_{g}:=\sup _{x \in M}$ $\|v(x)\|_{g(x)}$. Finally, for a section in a product of bundles $\vec{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right.$, $\left.v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{k+l}\right) \in \Gamma\left(\mathrm{T} M \times \ldots \times \mathrm{T} M \times \mathrm{T}^{*} M \times \ldots \times \mathrm{T}^{*} M\right)$ we define $\|\vec{v}\|_{g}=\sup _{x \in M}\left\|v_{1}(x)\right\|_{g(x)} \cdots\left\|v_{k} 1(x)\right\|_{g(x)} \cdot\left\|v_{k+1} 1(x)\right\|_{g(x)} \cdots\left\|v_{k+l} 1(x)\right\|_{g(x)}$.

Definition 3.2. Let $r>0$ and $M$ be a smooth manifold, which is covered by a locally finite family of charts $\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}_{I}$ with a corresponding partition of unity $\left\{\varrho_{i}\right\}_{I}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\psi_{i}: \mathrm{B}(0, r) \rightarrow M\right\}_{i \in I}, \quad\left\{\varrho_{i}: M \rightarrow[0,1]\right\}_{i \in I} \tag{3.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that for each $i \in I$ the function $\varrho_{i}$ is smooth and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} \varrho_{i} \subset \psi_{i}(\mathrm{~B}(0,3 r / 4)),\left.\quad \sum_{j \in I} \varrho_{j}\right|_{j \in I} \psi_{j}(\mathrm{~B}(0, r / 2))=1 \tag{3.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define for $t \in(0, r / 2)$ the mollified metrics

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{[t]}:=P_{t,\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}_{I},\left\{\varrho_{i}\right\}_{I}}(g):=\sum_{i \in I} \varrho_{i} g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} \tag{3.1c}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\bigcup_{j \in I} \psi_{j}(\mathrm{~B}(0, r / 2))$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]}:=\left(\psi_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*} P_{t}\left(\psi_{i}^{*} g\right) \tag{3.1~d}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem A. Given $p>2 n$ and $r, Q>0$. Choose $\beta \in(0,1-2 n / p)$. Then there is some $T \in(0, r / 2)$ such that for any pointed smooth riemannian manifold $\left(M, g, p_{0}\right)$ and any finite collection of charts with a corresponding partition of unity

$$
\left\{\psi_{i}:(\mathrm{B}(0, r), 0) \rightarrow\left(M, \psi_{i}(0)\right)\right\}_{i \in I}, \quad \vec{\varrho}:=\left\{\varrho_{i}: M \rightarrow[0,1]\right\}_{i \in I}
$$

we have that for any section $\vec{v} \in(\mathrm{~T} \psi(\mathrm{~B}(0, r)))^{\times 3} \times \mathrm{T}^{*} \psi(\mathrm{~B}(0, r))$

$$
\left(\forall i \in I:\left\|\psi_{i}\right\|_{W^{2, p}, r}^{\mathrm{harm}} \leq Q\right) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{R}_{g^{[t]}}(x)(\vec{v})-\sup _{\mathrm{B}\left(x, e^{Q} t\right)} \mathrm{R}_{g}(\vec{v}) \leq C\|\vec{v}\|_{g} t^{\beta}
$$

for any $t \in(0, T], x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \psi_{i}(\mathrm{~B}(0, r / 2))$ and with

$$
C=C\left(n, p, r, Q, \beta,\left\{\left\|\varrho_{i} \circ \psi_{i}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{2}}\right\}_{i \in I}, \# I\right) .
$$

Throughout the proof, whose ansatz was pointed out in (1.1), we will consider a metric tensor $g$. $=\psi^{*} g$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\psi\|_{W^{2, p}, r},\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{C}^{1}, \alpha, r} \leq Q \tag{3.2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1, \quad n<p<\infty, \quad \frac{n}{p}<\alpha<1, \quad \text { and } \quad \beta \leq \alpha-n / p . \tag{3.2b}
\end{equation*}
$$

These conditions imply $p, q \in(0, \infty)$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. To guarantee this condition without loss of generality, choose $\alpha \in(1-2 n / p, 1-n / p)$, apply Sobolev's inequality (2.S), and replace $Q$ by max $\{Q, C Q\}$, where $C$ is the constant from Sobolev's inequality. By $g^{\cdot}$ we denote the inverse of $g \ldots$.

Lemma 3.3. In local coordinates the riemannian curvature tensor R of a smooth manifold $(M, g)$ can be written using notation (2.1b) as

$$
R_{\ldots}^{\cdot . .}=A\left(g^{\cdot}, \nabla^{2} g_{. .}\right)+B\left(\nabla g_{.,}, \nabla^{\leq 1} g^{\bullet}\right)
$$

where

- A is bilinear;
- $B$ is a polynomial of degree not bigger than 4 and without constant terms; and
- the coefficients of $A$ and $B$ depend only on the dimension.

Proof. The standard coordinate definition the riemannian curvature tensor has the form [Tay13, § C3]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{k l}^{i} & =\frac{1}{2} g^{i m}\left(g_{m k, l}+g_{m l, k}-g_{k l, m}\right) \\
R^{\varrho}{ }_{\sigma \mu \nu} & =\partial_{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu \sigma}^{\varrho}-\partial_{\nu} \Gamma_{\mu \sigma}^{\varrho}+\Gamma_{\mu \lambda}^{\varrho} \Gamma_{\nu \sigma}^{\lambda}-\Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\varrho} \Gamma_{\mu \sigma}^{\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{i, j}=\partial_{j} f_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} f_{i}$. From this we read that

$$
R_{\ldots}^{\cdot \ldots}=L_{2}\left(g^{\cdot}, \nabla^{2} g_{. .}\right)+L_{1}\left(\nabla g^{*}, g_{. .}\right)+Q_{1}\left(g^{*}, \nabla g_{. .}\right)
$$

where the coefficients of $L_{2}, L_{1}$, and $Q_{1}$ depend only on the dimension and

- $L_{2}$ is linear in $\nabla^{2} g$.. and $g^{-}$,
- $L_{1}$ is linear in $\nabla g^{\cdot}$ as well as in $\nabla g_{\text {.. }}$,
- $Q_{1}$ is quadratic in both $g^{*}$ and $\nabla g_{\text {.. }}$.

Obviously, choose $A=L_{2}$ and $B=L_{1}+Q_{1}$.
Lemma 3.4. Let $f, g \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{N}\right]$ a polynomial without constant term. Then

$$
\|p(f)-p(g)\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C\|f-g\|_{L^{\infty}}
$$

where $C=C\left(p,\|f\|_{L^{\infty}},\|g\|_{L^{\infty}}\right)$.

Proof. By the triangle inequality, it is sufficient to prove the claim for a monomial $p=X_{i_{1}} \cdots X_{i_{d}}$. Then the claim follows from a telescope argument

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|f_{i_{1}} \cdots f_{i_{d}}-g_{i_{1}} \cdots g_{i_{d}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\left(f_{i_{1}}-g_{i_{1}}\right) f_{i_{2}} \cdots f_{i_{d}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|g_{i_{1}}\left(f_{i_{2}}-g_{i_{2}}\right) \cdots f_{i_{d}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\ldots \\
& \\
& \cdots+\left\|g_{i_{1}} \cdots\left(f_{i_{d}}-g_{i_{d}}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}
\end{aligned}
$$

since each term $g_{i_{1}} \ldots\left(f_{i_{k}}-g_{i_{k}}\right) \ldots f_{i_{d}}$ is $L^{\infty}$-bounded by $\|f\|_{L^{\infty}}^{k-1} \cdot\|g\|_{L^{\infty}}^{n-k-1}$. $\|f-g\|_{L^{\infty}}($ for $k=1, \ldots, d)$.

We now turn to the crucial $L^{p}$-estimate. It is a consequence of Hölder's inequality (2.H) for indices 1 and $\infty$ stating that for any $q \in(1, \infty)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\varphi_{t}\right\|_{L^{q}} & =\left\|t^{-n q} \chi_{[-t, t] \times n}(\varphi(h / t))^{q}\right\|_{L^{1}}^{1 / q} \\
& \leq\left\|t^{-n q} \chi_{[-t, t] \times n}\right\|_{L^{1}}^{1 / q}\left\|(\varphi(h / t))^{q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{1 / q} \\
& =t^{-n}\left\|\chi_{[-t, t] \times n}\right\|_{L^{1}}^{1 / p}\left\|(\varphi(h / t))^{q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{1 / q} \\
& =t^{-n}(2 t)^{n \cdot 1 / q} \cdot 1^{1 / q} \\
& =2^{n / q} t^{-n(1-1 / q)} \\
& =2^{n / q} t^{-n / p} . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall the definitions of the commutator of two operators $P, Q$

$$
[P, Q]: f \mapsto P Q-Q P
$$

and the multiplication operator

$$
T_{g}: f \mapsto g f .
$$

Finally, note that condition (2. $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ ) implies that the eigenvalues of $g$.. are in $\left[e^{-2 Q}, e^{2 Q}\right]$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(g . .) \in\left[e^{-2 Q n}, e^{2 Q n}\right] . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.5 (Commutator-like estimate). Let $n, p, q, \alpha$ satisfy (3.2b) and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open domain. Let $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $a_{(-)}(-): \Omega \times[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for some constant $C_{a}$ we have

$$
\left\|a_{t}\right\|_{\alpha} \leq C_{a} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|a_{t}-a_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{0}} \leq C_{a} t^{\alpha}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$. Then

$$
\left\|P_{t} T_{a_{0}}(f)-T_{a_{t}} P_{t}(f)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq 2^{(n+1) / q} C_{a}\|f\|_{L^{p}} t^{\alpha-n / p}
$$

Proof. First note that by Young's convolution inequality (2.Y) and (3.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left[P_{t}, T_{g}\right] f\right\|_{L^{\infty}} & =\underset{x}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }\left|\int(g(x-h)-g(x)) f(x-h) \varphi_{t}(h) \mathrm{d} h\right| \\
& \leq \underset{x}{\operatorname{ess} \sup _{x}} \int|g(x-h)-g(x)| \cdot|f(x-h)| \varphi_{t}(h) \mathrm{d} h \\
& \leq \underset{x}{\operatorname{ess} \sup ^{2}} \int\|g\|_{\alpha}|h|^{\alpha}|f(x-h)| \varphi_{t}(h) \mathrm{d} h \\
& \leq\|g\|_{\alpha} t^{\alpha}\left\|P_{t}(|f|)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& =\|g\|_{\alpha} t^{\alpha}\|f\|_{L^{p}}\left\|\varphi_{t}\right\|_{L^{q}} \\
& \leq 2^{n / q} t^{\alpha-n / p}\|g\|_{\alpha}\|f\|_{L^{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using this estimate as well as (3.3) and (2.Y) again we conclude the proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|P_{t} T_{a_{0}}(f)-T_{a_{t}} P_{t}(f)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\left[P_{t}, T_{a_{t}}\right] f\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|P_{t} T_{a_{0}}(f)-P_{t} T_{a_{t}} f\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \quad=\left\|\left[P_{t}, T_{a_{t}}\right] f\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|P_{t} T_{a_{0}-a_{t}}(f)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\left[P_{t}, T_{a_{t}}\right] f\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|\varphi_{t}\right\|_{L^{q}}\left\|T_{a_{0}-a_{t}}(f)\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& \quad \leq 2^{n / q} t^{\alpha-n / p}\left\|a_{t}\right\|_{\alpha}\|f\|_{L^{p}}+2^{n / q} t^{-n / p}\left\|a_{0}-a_{t}\right\|_{C^{0}}\|f\|_{L^{p}} \\
& \quad \leq C_{a} t^{\alpha}\|f\|_{L^{p}} 2^{n / q} t^{-n / p}+C_{a} t^{\alpha}\|f\|_{L^{p}} 2^{n / q} t^{-n / p} \\
& \quad=2^{(n+1) / q} C_{a}\|f\|_{L^{p}} t^{\alpha-n / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.6. Let $r>0, m=0,1, \ldots$, and $\alpha \in(0,1]$. Given a function $f \in \mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}(\mathrm{~B}(0, r), \mathbb{R})$ we have

$$
\left\|f-P_{t} f\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m}} \leq t^{\alpha}\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}}
$$

on $\mathrm{B}(0, r / 2)$ for $t \in(0, r / 2)$.
Proof. Let $k=0, \ldots, m$. The lemma follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\nabla^{k}\left(P_{t}(f)-f\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{0}} & =\left\|P_{t}\left(\nabla^{k} f\right)-\nabla^{k} f\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{0}} \\
& =\sup _{x \in \mathrm{~B}(0, r / 2)}\left|P_{t}\left(\nabla^{k} f\right)-\int \varphi_{t}(h) \nabla^{k} f(x) \mathrm{d} h\right| \\
& =\sup _{x \in \mathrm{~B}(0, r / 2)}\left|\int \varphi_{t}(h)\left(\nabla^{k} f(x-h)-\nabla^{k} f(x)\right) \mathrm{d} h\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{x \in \mathrm{~B}(0, r / 2)} \int\left|\varphi_{t}(h)\right| \cdot|h|^{\alpha}\left\|\nabla^{k} f\right\|_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} h \\
& \leq\left\|\nabla^{k} f\right\|_{\alpha} \int\left|\varphi_{t}(h)\right| t^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} h \\
& =t^{\alpha}\left\|\nabla^{k} f\right\|_{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Proposition 3.1. As discussed above at (3.2a) we can assume without loss of generality $\|\psi\|_{W^{2, p}, r},\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{C}^{1, \alpha}, r} \leq Q$ with properties (3.2b). By assumption $\left(2 . \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}}\right)$ and Lemma 3.6 this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{t}\left(g_{\ldots}\right)-g_{.}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{1}} \leq C_{n, r} Q t^{\alpha} \tag{3.5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in(0, r / 2)$ and for a dimension- and radius-depending constant $C_{n, r}$. This in conjunction with the bounds (3.4) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(g_{.} .\right), \operatorname{det}\left(P_{t}\left(g_{.}\right)\right) \in\left[e^{-2 Q n-1}, e^{2 Q n+1}\right] \tag{3.5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in(0, T]$ when $T$ is chosen sufficiently small. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{t}\left(g_{.} .\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{1, \alpha}},\left\|g^{\bullet} \cdot\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{1}, \alpha} \leq C_{Q, r} \tag{3.5c}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a constant $C_{Q, r}$ CDK11, Corollary 16.30]. Let $\mathrm{M}_{k l}$ denote the ( $k, l$ )minor of $g . ., \mathrm{M}_{k l}^{t}$ the ( $k, l$ )-minor of $P_{t}(g .$.$) , and \mathrm{M}_{. .}, \mathrm{M}_{.}^{t}$. the corresponding matrices of minors. Lastly, we need an estimate on the difference of the inverse of the mollified metric:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(P_{t}\left(g_{.}\right)\right)^{-1}-g_{. .}^{-1}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{1}} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} P_{t}\left(g_{. .}\right)} \mathrm{M}_{. . .}^{t}-\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(g_{. .}\right)} \mathrm{M}_{. .}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{1}} \\
& \quad \leq\left|\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} P_{t}\left(g_{. .}\right)}-\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(g_{. .}\right)}\right|\left\|\mathrm{M}_{. .}^{t}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{1}}+\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(g_{. .}\right)}\left\|\mathrm{M}_{. .}^{t}-\mathrm{M}_{. .}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

proceeding by using (3.5b) twice - directly and by the standard estimate $\left|\frac{1}{\xi}-\frac{1}{\eta}\right| \leq\left|\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} x} \frac{1}{x}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)\right||\xi-\eta|=\left|x_{0}\right|^{-2}|\xi-\eta|$ for $\xi, \eta \geq x_{0}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq \frac{\left|\operatorname{det} P_{t}(g . .)-\operatorname{det}(g . .)\right|}{\left(e^{-2 Q n-1}\right)^{2}}\left\|\mathrm{M}_{. .}^{t}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{1}}+e^{2 Q n+1}\left\|\mathrm{M}_{. .}^{t}-\mathrm{M}_{. .}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{1}} \\
& \leq e^{4 Q n+2} \cdot C_{n, r} \cdot t^{\alpha} Q \cdot C_{n}+e^{2 Q n+1} \cdot C_{n, r}^{\prime} \cdot t^{\alpha} Q \\
& \leq C_{n, r, r, \alpha, Q} t^{\alpha} . \tag{3.5d}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the penultimate step we used Lemma 3.6 and (3.5a) as well as standard product estimates [CDK11, Theorem 16.28] providing suitable constants $C_{n}$ and $C_{n, r}^{\prime}$.

Finally, we can apply the ansatz proposed in (1.1):

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{R}_{P_{t}(g .)}(x)(\vec{v}) & \leq P_{t}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\ldots}^{\ldots}(x)\right)(\vec{v})+\left|P_{t}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\ldots}^{\cdot}\right)(x)(\vec{v})-\mathrm{R}_{P_{t}\left(g_{\ldots}\right)}(x)(\vec{v})\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{y \in \mathrm{~B}(x, t)} \mathrm{R}_{g \ldots}(y)(\vec{v})+\left\|P_{t}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\ldots}^{\cdot}\right)-\mathrm{R}_{P_{t}(g . .)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\|\vec{v}\|_{L^{\infty}}^{4} . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

It remains to show that $\left\|P_{t}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\ldots}^{\circ}\right)-\mathrm{R}_{P_{t}(g . .)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}$ vanishes with modulus $t^{\alpha}$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Set $b:=B\left(\nabla g_{.}, \nabla \leq 1 g^{\cdot}\right)$ and $b_{t}:=B\left(\nabla P_{t} g_{.}, \nabla^{\leq 1}\left(P_{t} g_{.}\right)^{-1}\right)$. Observe

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|P_{t}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\ldots}^{. .}\right)-\mathrm{R}_{P_{t}(g . .)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq\left\|P_{t} A\left(g^{\cdot}, \nabla^{2} g_{. .}\right)-A\left(\left(P_{t} g_{. .}\right)^{-1}, \nabla^{2} P_{t} g_{.}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|P_{t}(b)-b_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq \| P_{t} A\left(g^{\prime}, \nabla^{2} g_{. .}\right) \\
& \quad \begin{array}{l}
-A\left(\left(P_{t} g .\right)^{-1}, P_{t} \nabla^{2} g_{. .}\right) \|_{L^{\infty}} \\
\quad+\left\|P_{t}(b)-b\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|b-b_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

We will give estimates for each summand:

- For the first summand express $A$ as $A(x, y)=\sum_{i, j} a_{i j} x_{i} y_{j}$. By linearity of convolution $P_{t}(A(x, y))=\sum_{i, j} a_{i j} P_{t} T_{x_{i}}\left(y_{j}\right)$. On the other hand $A\left(x, P_{t} y\right)=\sum_{i, j} a_{i j} T_{x_{i}} P_{t}\left(y_{j}\right)$. This is to say that the difference of
both expressions is $\sum_{i, j} a_{i j}\left[P_{t}, T_{x_{i}}\right]\left(y_{j}\right)$. Thereby, taking $x_{(-)}=g$. and $y_{(. .)}=\nabla^{2} g_{\text {.. }}$, we are in situation of Lemma 3.5-providing the desired estimate.
- For the second summand Lemma 3.6 gives the desired estimate.
- In case of the third summand Lemma 3.4 is applicable due to (3.5c) and $\left(2 . \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}}\right)$ providing the estimate

$$
\left\|b_{\vec{v}}-b_{\vec{v}, t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C^{\prime}\left\|\left(\nabla g_{. .}, \nabla^{\leq 1} g^{\cdot}\right)-\left(\nabla P_{t} g_{.} ., \nabla^{\leq 1}\left(P_{t} g_{.}\right)^{-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}
$$

where $C^{\prime}=C^{\prime}\left(B,\left\|\left(\nabla g_{.}, \nabla \leq 1 g^{\cdot}, \nabla P_{t} g_{. .}, \nabla \leq 1\left(P_{t} g_{. .}\right)^{-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\right)$. The estimate we seek follows now from (3.5a) and (3.5d).

Thus (3.6) becomes $\mathrm{R}_{P_{t}(g .)}(x)(\vec{v}) \leq \sup _{y \in \mathrm{~B}(x, t)} \mathrm{R}_{g .}(y)(\vec{v})+C t^{\beta}$ for a constant $C$ and $\beta \leq \alpha-n / p$ as in the claim of the theorem.

Proof of Theorem A. For the proof we examine the metric tensor on some chart $\psi$ with $\|\psi\|_{W^{2, p}, r}^{\text {harm }} \leq Q$, e.g. $\psi=\psi_{i}$ for one $i \in I$. For any metric tensor $\tilde{g}$ on $M$ representation with respect to $\psi$-coordinates is indicated by $\tilde{g}$..-or $\tilde{g}$. for the inverse, e.g. $g^{[t] .}=\left(g^{[t]}\right)^{-1}$. All sums $\sum_{i}$ range over the entire index set $I$. By abuse of notation we write $\varrho_{i}=\varrho_{i} \circ \psi$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}}:=g-g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} . \tag{3.7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further we agree on the shorthands

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\vec{v}}(x, y) & :=A(x, y)(\vec{v})  \tag{3.7b}\\
b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]} & :=B\left(\nabla g^{[t]} \ldots, \nabla^{\leq 1} g^{[t] \cdot .}\right)(\vec{v})  \tag{3.7c}\\
b_{\vec{v}}^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} & :=B\left(\nabla g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} ., \nabla^{\leq 1} g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right] . .}\right)(\vec{v}) \\
C_{\varrho} & :=\sum_{i}\left\|\varrho_{i} \circ \psi_{i}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{2}} \\
\bar{v} & :=\|\left(v^{\psi}{ }_{11} v^{\psi}{ }_{21} v^{\psi}{ }_{31} v^{\psi}{ }_{41} \ldots, v^{\psi}{ }_{1 n} v^{\psi}{ }_{21} v^{\psi}{ }_{31} v^{\psi}{ }_{41} \|^{\left.\ldots, v^{\psi}{ }_{1 n} v^{\psi}{ }_{2 n} v^{\psi}{ }_{3 n} v^{\psi}{ }_{4 n}\right) \|_{L^{\infty}} .} .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where $v^{\psi}:=\psi^{*} v$.
Observe that due to $(2 . \operatorname{Sch})\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(\varrho_{i}\right) \partial\left(\psi^{-1} \circ \psi_{i}(\mathrm{~B}(0, r))\right)\right|_{\mathrm{H}} \leq e^{-2 Q_{r / 4}}$ for all $i \in I$. By (2.Sch) the estimates (3.5c) and (3.5d) imply

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\left.g^{[t, \psi] \cdot \cdot}\right|_{\operatorname{supp} \varrho_{i}}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{1}, \alpha} \leq C_{n, Q, r, \alpha}, \quad\left\|g^{[t] \cdot \cdot}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{1}, \alpha} \leq C_{n, Q, r, \alpha, C_{e}},  \tag{3.8a}\\
\left.\|\left(g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}}\right)\right)\left.^{\cdots}\right|_{\operatorname{supp} \varrho_{i}}\left\|_{\mathrm{C}^{1}} \leq C_{n, r, \alpha, Q}^{\prime} t^{\alpha}, \quad\right\|\left(g^{\Delta t}\right)^{\cdot} \|_{\mathrm{C}^{1}} \leq C_{n, r, \alpha, Q, C_{e}}^{\prime} t^{\alpha} \tag{3.8b}
\end{gather*}
$$

for every $i \in I$ and respective constants. Moreover, by (2.Sch) we have the estimate

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\left\|\nabla^{2} g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right] \cdot .}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} & =\left\|\nabla^{2}\left(\psi_{i}^{-1} \circ \psi\right)^{*} P_{t}\left(\psi_{i}^{*} g\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} & \\
& \leq C_{n}\left\|\psi_{i}^{-1} \circ \psi\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{3}}^{2}\left\|\nabla^{2} P_{t}\left(\psi_{i}^{*} g\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} & & \\
& =C_{n}\left\|\psi_{i}^{-1} \circ \psi\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{3}}^{2}\left\|P_{t}\left(\nabla^{2} \psi_{i}^{*} g\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} & & \text { by }(2.5) \\
& \leq C_{n, \alpha, Q, r}\left\|\varphi_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\nabla^{2} \psi_{i}^{*} g\right\|_{L^{p}} & & \text { by }(2 . \mathrm{Sch}) \\
& \leq a^{2}(2 . \mathrm{Y})  \tag{3.8c}\\
3.8 \mathrm{c}) & & & \text { by }(3.3) .
\end{array}
$$

Before starting with ansatz (1.1), we decompose $\mathrm{R}_{g^{[t]}}$ into a convex combination of functions. To this end observe

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}_{g^{[t]}}(\vec{v})=A_{\vec{v}}\left(g^{[t]} \cdot, \nabla^{2} g^{[t]} \ldots\right)+b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]} \\
& =A_{\vec{v}}\left(g^{[t] \cdot}, \nabla^{2} \sum_{i} \varrho_{i} g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} . .\right)+b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]} \\
& =A_{\vec{v}}\left(g^{[t] \cdots}, \nabla^{2} \sum_{i} \varrho_{i} g_{. .}-\sum_{i} \nabla^{2} \varrho_{i} g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}} . .\right)+b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]} \\
& =A_{\vec{v}}\left(g^{[t] .}, \nabla^{2} g_{.}-\sum_{i} \nabla^{2} \varrho_{i} g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}} . .\right)+b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]} \\
& =A_{\vec{v}}\left(g^{[t] .}, \sum_{i} \varrho_{i} \nabla^{2} g_{.}-\sum_{i} \nabla^{2} \varrho_{i} g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}} . .\right)+b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]} \\
& =\sum_{i} A_{\vec{v}}\left(g^{[t] . .}, \varrho_{i} \nabla^{2} g \ldots-\nabla^{2} \varrho_{i} g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}} . .\right)+b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]} \\
& =\sum_{i} A_{\vec{v}}\left(g^{[t] \cdots}, \varrho_{i} \nabla^{2}\left(g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]}+g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}}\right) . .-\nabla^{2} \varrho_{i} g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}} . .\right)+b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]} \\
& =\sum_{i} A_{\vec{v}}\left(g^{[t] .}, \varrho_{i} \nabla^{2} g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} . .-\left(\nabla^{2} \varrho_{i} g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}} . .-\varrho_{i} \nabla^{2} g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}} . .\right)\right)+b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus for a dimension-dependent constant $C_{n}$ and using definition (2.2a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathrm{R}_{g^{[t]}}(\vec{v})-\sum_{i} A_{\vec{v}}\left(g^{[t] . .}, \varrho_{i} \nabla^{2} g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} . .\right)-b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{i}\left\|A_{\vec{v}}\left(g^{[t] . .}, \nabla^{2} \varrho_{i} g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}} . .-\varrho_{i} \nabla^{2} g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}} \ldots\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \quad \leq C_{n} \bar{v} \sum_{i}\| \|^{[t] . .}\left\|_{L^{\infty}}\right\| \nabla^{2} \varrho_{i} g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}} . .-\varrho_{i} \nabla^{2} g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}} . . \|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \quad \leq C_{n, Q, r, \alpha}^{\prime} \bar{v} \sum_{i}\left\|\nabla^{2} \varrho_{i} g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}} . .-\varrho_{i} \nabla^{2} g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}} . .\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \quad \leq C_{n, Q, r, \alpha}^{\prime} \bar{v} \sum_{i}\left(\left\|\nabla^{2} \varrho_{i}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}} . .\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+2\left\|\nabla \varrho_{i}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\nabla g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}} . .\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\right) \\
& \quad \leq 2 C_{n, Q, r, \alpha}^{\prime} \bar{v} C_{\varrho} \sum_{i}\left\|g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}} . . .\right\|_{W^{1, \infty}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (3.8a) in the antepenultimate step, and definition (3.7e) in the last step. As $g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}} . .=\psi^{*}\left(\psi_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*}\left(\psi_{i}^{*} g\right)^{\Delta t}=\left(\psi \circ \psi_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*}\left(\psi_{i}^{*} g\right)^{\Delta t}$, combining estimate (2.Sch) and (3.5a) gives

$$
\left\|\mathrm{R}_{g^{[t]}}(\vec{v})-\sum_{i} A_{\vec{v}}\left(g^{[t] \cdot .}, \varrho_{i} \nabla^{2} g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} \cdots\right)-b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C^{\prime} \bar{v} t^{\alpha}
$$

for a constant $C^{\prime}=C^{\prime}\left(n, Q, r,\left\{\varrho_{i} \circ \psi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}, \alpha\right)$. Finally, this gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{R}_{g^{[t]}}(x)(\vec{v}) \leq & \sum_{i} A_{\vec{v}}\left(g^{[t] \cdots}, \varrho_{i} \nabla^{2} g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} \ldots\right)(x)+b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]}(x)+C^{\prime} t^{\alpha} \\
\leq & \sum_{i} \varrho_{i}\left(A_{\vec{v}}\left(g^{[t] \cdots}, \nabla^{2} g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} \ldots\right)+b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]}\right)(x)+C^{\prime} t^{\alpha} \\
\leq & \sup _{\substack{i \in I \\
\left|x \psi_{i}(0)\right|_{g<r / 2}}}\left(A_{\vec{v}}\left(g^{[t] \ldots}, \nabla^{2} g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} \ldots\right)+b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]}\right)(x)+C^{\prime} t^{\alpha} . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

This means that it is sufficient to find an estimate

$$
\left(A_{\vec{v}}\left(g^{[t] \ldots}, \nabla^{2} g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} . .\right)+b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]}\right)(x)-\mathrm{R}_{g}(x)(\vec{v}) \leq C^{\prime \prime} \bar{v} t^{\alpha}
$$

for every $i \in I$.
We are now in a position to apply an estimate similar to the one from the proof of Proposition 3.1:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(A_{\vec{v}}\left(g^{[t] \cdot}, \nabla^{2} g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} \ldots\right)+b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]}\right)(x) \leq \mathrm{R}_{g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right] \ldots}}(x)(\vec{v})+  \tag{3.10}\\
& \quad+\left\|A_{\vec{v}}\left(g^{[t] \ldots}-g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right] \ldots}, \nabla^{2} g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} \ldots\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]}-b_{\vec{v}}^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof is reduced to seeking bounds for the second and third summand.
With regard to the second summand we estimate for a constant $C_{n}$ using definition (2.2a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| A_{\vec{v}} & \left(g^{[t] \cdot \cdot}-g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right] \cdot}, \nabla^{2} g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} \cdot\right) \|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq C_{n} \bar{v}\left\|g^{[t] . .}-g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right] \cdots}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\nabla^{2} g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} \cdot\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq C_{n} \bar{v}\left(\left\|\left(g^{\Delta t}\right) \cdots\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|\left(g^{\Delta t, \psi_{i}}\right) \cdot\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\right)\left\|\nabla^{2} g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} \cdots\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq C_{n} \bar{v}\left(C_{n, r, \alpha, Q}^{\prime} t^{\alpha}+C_{n, r, \alpha, Q, C_{e}}^{\prime} t^{\alpha}\right) C_{n, \alpha, Q, r, p} t^{n / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (3.8a) and (3.8c) in the last step. To summarize: the second summand vanishes with modulus $t^{\alpha-n / p}$.

As for the third summand by Lemma 3.4 we have a constant $C=$ $C\left(\left\|\left(\nabla g^{[t]} . ., \nabla \leq 1 g^{[t] . .}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\right)$ such that we can estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|b_{\vec{v}}^{[t]}-b_{\vec{v}}^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} & \leq C \bar{v}\left\|\left(\nabla g_{\ldots . .}^{[t]}, \nabla^{\leq 1} g^{[t] . .}\right)-\left(\nabla g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} \ldots, \nabla^{\leq 1} g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right] \ldots}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq C \bar{v}\left(\left\|g^{[t] \ldots}-g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right] \ldots}\right\|_{W^{1, \infty}}+\left\|\nabla g_{\ldots}^{[t]} .-\nabla g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} \ldots\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, by (3.8b) we have a bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g^{[t] \cdot \cdots}-g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right] \cdots}\right\|_{W^{1, \infty}} & \leq\left\|g^{[t] \cdots}-g^{\cdot \cdot}\right\|_{W^{1, \infty}}+\left\|g^{\cdot}-g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right] \cdots}\right\|_{W^{1, \infty}} \\
& \leq\left(C_{n, r, \alpha, Q}^{\prime}+C_{n, r, \alpha, Q, C_{\varrho}}^{\prime}\right) t^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

and by (3.5a) and (2.Sch) there is a bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\nabla g^{[t]} \ldots-\nabla g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} . .\right\|_{L^{\infty}} & \leq\left\|\nabla g^{[t]} . . \nabla g_{.}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|\nabla g_{\ldots}-\nabla g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]} \ldots\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq\left(C_{n, \alpha, Q, C_{\varrho}}+C_{n, r, \alpha, Q}^{\prime}\right) t^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus combining (3.9) with (3.10) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}_{g^{[t]}}(x)(\vec{v}) \leq & \sup _{\substack{i \in I \\
\\
\\
\left|x \psi_{i}(0)\right|_{g<r / 2}}} \mathrm{R}_{g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right] \ldots}}(x)(\vec{v})+C \bar{v} t^{\alpha} \\
\leq & \sup \left\{\mathrm{R}_{g}(y)(\vec{v}) \mid y \in \bigcup_{\left|x \psi_{i}(0)\right|_{g<r / 2}}^{i \in I} \psi_{i}(\mathrm{~B}(0, t))\right\}+C \bar{v} t^{\beta} \\
& \leq \sup _{y \in \mathrm{~B}\left(x, e^{Q} t\right)} \mathrm{R}_{g}(y)(\vec{v})+C \bar{v} t^{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the penultimate step we used Proposition 3.1 which is applicable since $\mathrm{R}_{g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right] . .}}(\vec{v})=\mathrm{R}_{g^{\left[t, \psi_{i}\right]}}(\vec{v})$ is coordinate independent; and in the last step the distance estimate (2.D) came to hand. By (2. $\left.\mathrm{N}_{0}\right)$, we further have $\bar{v} \leq n^{4} \cdot\left(\sqrt{e^{2 Q}}\right)^{4}\|\vec{v}\|_{g}$. This implies the bound we sought.

## § 4. Consequence for sectional curvature

This section is devoted to the main result of the paper, which is again phrased in terms of Sobolev chart norms $\|-\|_{W^{2, p}, r}^{\text {harm }}$, see $\left(2 . \mathrm{N}_{W^{m, p}}\right)$.

Theorem B. Let $p>2 n, r, Q>0$, and $\beta \in(0,1-2 n / p)$. Then there is some $T>0$ such that for any smooth riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ with $\|(M, g)\|_{W^{2, p}, r}^{\mathrm{harm}} \leq Q$ there is a locally finite cover of charts

$$
\psi_{i}:(\mathrm{B}(0, r), 0) \rightarrow\left(M, \psi_{i}(0)\right)
$$

indexed by $i \in I$, such that the mollified metrics $g^{[t]}$ have at any $x \in M$ sectional curvature $\mathrm{K}_{g^{[t]}}(x)$ in the interval

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\underline{\mathrm{K}}\left(\left.g_{.}\right|_{\mathrm{B}(x, t)}\right), \overline{\mathrm{K}}\left(\left.g_{. . \mid}\right|_{\mathrm{B}(x, t)}\right)\right] \cdot[1-C t, 1+C t]+\left[-C t^{\beta}, C t^{\beta}\right] \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in(0, T]$, where $C=C(n, Q, r, p)$. Moreover, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|M\|_{\mathrm{C}^{2, \beta}, r} \leq Q^{\prime} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $Q^{\prime}=Q^{\prime}(n, \beta, Q)$.
A glance at $\left(2 . \mathrm{K}_{\mid \text {Ric } \mid}\right)$ gives immediately:
Corollary C. Let $\iota>0$ and $\kappa \geq 0$. Then there exist $r>0$ and $T>0$ such that for any smooth riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ with

$$
\operatorname{inj} \operatorname{rad}(M) \geq \iota, \quad\|\operatorname{Ric} M\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \kappa
$$

there exist charts $\psi_{i}: \mathrm{B}(0, r) \rightarrow M$ such that the mollified metrics $g^{[t]}$ have at any $x \in M$ sectional curvature $\mathrm{K}_{P_{t} g}(x)$ in the interval (1.2) for all $t \in(0, T]$, where $C=C(n, \iota, \kappa)$. Moreover, the norm $\|M\|_{\mathrm{C}^{2, \beta}, r}$ is bounded in terms of $n, \beta$ and $Q$.

Corollary D. Let $\delta \in(0,1)$ and assume one of the conditions (1.4a) to (1.4d):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{K}_{g} \in[\delta, 1] \text { and }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\# \pi_{2}(M)<\infty, \text { or } \\
\operatorname{dim} M \text { is even, or } \\
\delta \geq 1 / 4-\varepsilon^{\prime}, \text { where } \varepsilon^{\prime} \approx 10^{-6}
\end{array}\right.  \tag{1.4a}\\
& \mathrm{K}_{g} \leq 1, \operatorname{Ric}_{g} \geq \delta, \text { and } \operatorname{dim} M=3
\end{align*}
$$

Then there exist charts $\psi_{i}: \mathrm{B}(0, r) \rightarrow M$ such that the mollified metrics $g^{[t]}$ have at any $x \in M$ sectional curvature $\mathrm{K}_{P_{t} g}(x)$ in the interval (1.2) for all $t \in(0, T]$, where $C=C(n, \kappa)$. Moreover, the norm $\|M\|_{\mathrm{C}^{2, \beta}, r}$ is bounded in terms of $n, \beta$ and $Q$.

Proof. Each of (1.4a) to (1.4d) implies a uniform positive lower bound on the injectivity radius [Tus00, p. 6].

The first step to prove Theorem B is to introduce the notion of a locally $N$-finite cover: a cover $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ of a space $X$ is locally $N$-finite if every point of $x$ is contained in at most $N$ members of $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{I}$. By help of this terminology one can reduce Theorem B to the following claim:

Lemma 4.1. Let $p>2 n, r, Q>0, \beta \in(0,1-2 n / p)$ and $N$ be a natural number. Then there is $T^{\prime}>0$ such that for any smooth riemannian manifold $M$ with $\|(M, g)\|_{W^{2, p}, r}^{\text {harm }} \leq Q$ and any

$$
\left\{\psi_{i}:(\mathrm{B}(0, r), 0) \rightarrow\left(M, \psi_{i}(0)\right)\right\}_{i \in I}, \quad \vec{\varrho}:=\left\{\varrho_{i}: M \rightarrow[0,1]\right\}_{i \in I}
$$

a cover of $M$ by charts and a corresponding partition of unity with

- $\left\|\psi_{i}\right\|_{W^{2, p}, r}^{\mathrm{harm}} \leq Q$,
- $\left\{\psi_{i}(\mathrm{~B}(0, r)) \mid i \in I\right\}$ is locally $N$-finite,
- $M$ is covered by $\left\{\psi_{i}\left(\mathrm{~B}\left(0, e^{-Q} r / 2\right)\right)\right\}_{i \in I}$, and
- $\left\|\varrho_{i} \circ \psi_{i}\right\|_{\mathrm{C}^{2}}<C_{I}$ for all $i \in I$ and a constant $C_{I}$
the mollified metrics $g^{[t]}$ have at any $x \in M$ sectional curvature $\mathrm{K}_{P_{t} g}(x)$ in the interval (1.2) for all $t \in\left(0, T^{\prime}\right]$, where $C=C(n, Q, r, p)$.

Proof of Theorem B using Lemma 4.1. By Gromov Gro07, Proposition 5.2] every Gromov-Hausdorff compact class $\mathcal{M}$ of (isometry classes of) pointed metric spaces has the following property: for every space $\left(M, d, p_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{M}$ the maximal number of disjoint closed balls of radius $\varepsilon$ that fit into $\mathrm{B}\left[p_{0}, R\right]$ is bounded by a finite number $N(\varepsilon, R)$. By Sobolev's inequality (2.S) we have the inclusion $\mathcal{M}\left(W^{2, p} \leq_{r} Q\right) \subset \mathcal{M}\left(\mathrm{C}^{1, \alpha} \leq_{r} C Q\right)$ for $\alpha=n / p$ and a constant $C=C(n, p)$. Hence by $\left(2 . \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{C}^{m, \alpha}}\right)$ the space $\mathcal{M}\left(W^{2, p} \leq_{r} Q\right)$ is precompact in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology and Gromov's result is applicable.

Now we apply Gromov's result to $\varepsilon=r e^{-2 Q} / 5$ and $R=2 r e^{Q}$ obtaining a bound $N$. Let $\left\{\mathrm{B}\left[x_{i}, \varepsilon\right]\right\}_{i \in I}$ be some maximal disjoint system of $\varepsilon$-balls in $\left(M, g, p_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{M}\left(W^{2, p} \leq_{r} Q\right)$. The balls $\left\{\mathrm{B}\left(x_{i}, r e^{-2 Q} / 2\right)\right\}_{i \in I}$ cover $M$ due to maximality of the system. On the other hand for any $x \in M$ the cardinality of the set $\left\{i \in I \mid x \in \mathrm{~B}\left(x_{i}, r e^{Q}\right)\right\} \subset\{i \in$
$\left.I \mid \mathrm{B}\left(x_{i}, r e^{Q}\right) \subset \mathrm{B}[x, R]\right\}$ is bounded by $N$. For each point $x_{i}$ choose a chart $\psi_{i}:(\mathrm{B}(0, r), 0) \rightarrow\left(M, x_{i}\right)$ with $\left\|\psi_{i}\right\|_{W^{2, p, r}} \leq Q$. By (2.D), $\mathrm{B}\left(x_{i}, r e^{-2 Q} / 2\right) \subset$ $\psi_{i}\left(\mathrm{~B}\left(0, e^{-Q} r / 2\right)\right)$ and, hence $\left\{\psi_{i}\left(\mathrm{~B}\left(0, e^{-Q} r / 2\right)\right)\right\}_{i \in I}$ is covering. By the same estimate, $\psi_{i}(\mathrm{~B}(0, r)) \subset \mathrm{B}\left[x_{i}, r e^{Q}\right]$ and, thus, $\left\{\psi_{i}(\mathrm{~B}(0, r))\right\}_{i \in I}$ is $N$-finite.

To find a suitable partition of unity, choose any bump function $b: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ with supp $b \subset \mathrm{~B}(0,3 r / 4)$ and $\left.b\right|_{\mathrm{B}\left(0, r e^{-2 Q} / 2\right)} \equiv 1$. We define for all $i \in I$

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{i}(x):= \begin{cases}b \circ \psi_{i}^{-1}(x) & \text { if } x \in \psi_{i}(\mathrm{~B}(0, r)) \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}  \tag{4.1a}\\
& \varrho_{i}(x):=\frac{1}{\sum_{j \in I} b_{j}(x)} b_{i}(x) . \tag{4.1b}
\end{align*}
$$

Due to (2.Sch) there is a uniform $\mathrm{C}^{3, \beta}$-bound on the transition maps $\psi_{i}^{-1} \circ \psi_{j}$ for $i, j \in I$. Moreover, $b$ is $\mathrm{C}^{3, \beta^{\prime}}$-bounded since its support is compact. Hence there is a uniform $\mathrm{C}^{3, \beta}$-bound on $b_{i} \circ \psi_{j}=b \circ \psi_{i}^{-1} \circ \psi_{j}$ for each $i \in I$. Since the denominator in (4.1b) is at least 1 at each $x \in M$ for at least one $i$ (namely the $i$ for which $\left|\psi_{i}(0) x\right| \leq e^{\left.-Q_{r} / 2\right), \varrho_{i} \text { is } \mathrm{C}^{2, \beta} \text {-bounded uniformly in }{ }^{\text {a }} \text {. }}$ $i \in I$ as well. This puts us in a situation to apply Lemma 4.1.

Due to $(2.6 \mathrm{c})$ we have a uniform $\mathrm{C}^{2, \beta}$-bound on $P_{T}\left(\psi_{i}^{*} g\right)$ and thus a uniform $\mathrm{C}^{2, \beta}$-bound on the pullback metrics $\left(\psi_{i}^{-1} \circ \psi_{j}\right)^{*} P_{T}\left(\psi_{i}^{*} g\right)$ for all $i, j \in$ $I$ as well. Together with the bound on the $\varrho_{i}$ 's from last paragraph this
 holds.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. We apply Theorem A obtaining a constant

$$
C_{1}=C\left(n, p, r, Q, \beta, C_{I}, N\right) .
$$

From $\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I},\left\{\varrho_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ we get a mollification of $g: g^{[-]}:[0, T] \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{0,2} M\right)$ with $g^{[0]}:=g$ and the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{g^{[t]}}(x)(\vec{v})-\sup _{y \in \mathrm{~B}\left(p, e^{Q} t\right)} \mathrm{R}_{g}(y)(\vec{v}) \leq C_{1}\|\vec{v}\|_{g} t^{\beta} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in M$ and any section $\vec{v} \in(\mathrm{~T} M)^{\times 3} \times \mathrm{T}^{*} M$. For convenience we abbreviate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle-,-\rangle_{t} & :=\langle-,-\rangle_{g[t]}, & \|-\|_{t} & :=\|-\|_{g^{[t]}}, \\
\mathrm{R}_{t} & :=\mathrm{R}_{g^{[t]}}, & \mathrm{K}_{t} & :=\mathrm{K}_{g^{[t]}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We further agree on the following shorthands for intervals: $[a \pm b]:=[a-$ $b, a+b],[ \pm b]:=[0 \pm b]$, and

$$
[f(x)]_{x \in X}:=\left[\inf _{x \in X} f(x), \sup _{x \in X} f(x)\right] .
$$

Moreover, from multi-linearity of the curvature tensor (use e.g. $\mathrm{R}(v, w)=$ $-\mathrm{R}(-v, w))$ we get immediately the reversed version of (4.2)

$$
-\mathrm{R}_{g^{[t]}}(x)(\vec{v})+\inf _{y \in \mathrm{~B}\left(x, e^{Q} t\right)} \mathrm{R}_{g}(y)(\vec{v}) \geq-C_{1}\|\vec{v}\|_{g} t^{\beta} .
$$

We seek a $T^{\prime} \in(0, T]$ such that the claim of the theorem holds, i.e.

$$
\mathrm{K}_{t}(x)(v, w)=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{t}(\vec{v})}{\|v\|_{t}\|w\|_{t}-\langle v, w\rangle_{t}}
$$

where $\mathrm{R}_{t}(\vec{v})=\left\langle\mathrm{R}_{t}(x)(v, w) w, v\right\rangle_{t}$, is in the interval

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{K}_{0}(y)(v, w)\right]_{\substack{y \in \mathrm{~B}\left(x, e^{Q}\right) \\ v, w \in \mathrm{~T}_{y} M}}+\left[ \pm C t^{\beta}\right] \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in\left(0, T^{\prime}\right], x \in M$, and $v, w \in \mathrm{~T} M$ linear independent. Since the sectional curvature depends only on the plane spanned by $v$ and $w$, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$
\|v\|_{0}=\|w\|_{0}=1, \quad\langle v, w\rangle_{0}=0
$$

Fix some chart $\psi:(\mathrm{B}(0, r), 0) \rightarrow\left(M, p_{0}\right)$ with $\|\psi\|_{W^{2, p}, r}^{\text {harm }} \leq Q$. We extend $v$ and $w$ to sections $v_{\psi}, w_{\psi} \in \Gamma \mathrm{T}^{3,1} \psi(\mathrm{~B}(0, r))$ using the euclidean identification, i.e. by pushing forward the constant sections $v$ and $w$ along $\psi$. Again $\vec{v}_{\psi}:=\left(v_{\psi}, w_{\psi}, w_{\psi},\left\langle-, v_{\psi}\right\rangle_{t}\right)$.

By $\left(2 . \mathrm{N}_{0}\right)$ we have $\left\|v_{\psi}\right\|_{\text {eucl. },}\left\|w_{\psi}\right\|_{\text {eucl. }} \leq e^{2 Q}$. Hence $\left\|v_{\psi}\right\|_{g},\left\|w_{\psi}\right\|_{g} \leq e^{4 Q}$. Thus $\left\|\vec{v}_{\psi}\right\|_{g} \leq e^{4 \cdot 4 Q}=e^{16 Q}$. By Sobolev's inequality the entries of the metric tensor are at least Lipschitz with some bound $C_{n, p, r, Q}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\left\|v_{\psi}\right\|_{0}\left\|w_{\psi}\right\|_{0}-\left\langle v_{\psi}, w_{\psi}\right\rangle_{0}\right)(\psi(y))=1-\left\langle v_{\psi}, w_{\psi}\right\rangle_{0}(\psi(y)) \\
& \in\left[1 \pm C_{n, p, r, Q} e^{2 Q} \cdot|0 y|\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for $y \in \mathrm{~B}(0, r)$. The Lipschitz bound on the metric tensor implies further via Lemma 3.6

$$
\left(\left\|v_{\psi}\right\|_{t}\left\|w_{\psi}\right\|_{t}-\left\langle v_{\psi}, w_{\psi}\right\rangle_{t}\right)(\psi(y)) \in\left[1 \pm C_{2}^{\prime} t\right]
$$

for $y \in \mathrm{~B}\left(0, e^{Q} t\right)$ and $t \in[0, T]$. Choose $T^{\prime}$ so small that $C_{2}^{\prime} T^{\prime} \leq 1 / 2$. Hence we can choose a constant $C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{\left\|v_{\psi}\right\|_{t}\left\|w_{\psi}\right\|_{t}-\left\langle v_{\psi}, w_{\psi}\right\rangle_{t}}(\psi(y)) \in\left[1 \pm C_{2} t\right]
$$

and choose $T^{\prime}>0$ so small that $1-C_{2} t \geq 1 / 2$ for all $t \in\left(0, T^{\prime}\right]$.
Gathering all estimates above we conclude the proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{t}(x)(v, w)=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{t}\left(\vec{v}_{\psi}\right)}{\left\|v_{\psi}\right\|_{t}\left\|w_{\psi}\right\|_{t}-\left\langle v_{\psi}, w_{\psi}\right\rangle_{t}}(x) \\
& \quad \in \mathrm{R}_{t}(x)\left(\vec{v}_{\psi}\right)\left[1 \pm C_{2} t\right] \\
& \quad \subset\left(\left[\mathrm{R}_{0}(y)\left(\vec{v}_{\psi}\right)\right]_{y \in \mathrm{~B}\left(x, e^{Q} t\right)}+\left[ \pm C_{1}\left\|\vec{v}_{\psi}\right\|_{g} t^{\beta}\right]\right)\left[1 \pm C_{2} t\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for some new constant $C^{\prime}$ this is included in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \subset\left(\left[\mathrm{R}_{0}(y)\left(\vec{v}_{\psi}\right)\right]_{y \in \mathrm{~B}\left(x, e^{Q} t\right)}\right)\left[1 \pm C_{2} t\right]+\left[ \pm C^{\prime} t^{\beta}\right] \\
& \subset\left(\left[\mathrm{K}_{0}(y)\left(v_{\psi}, w_{\psi}\right)\right]_{y \in \mathrm{~B}\left(x, e^{Q} t\right)}\right)\left[1 \pm C_{2}^{\prime} t\right]\left[1 \pm C_{2} t\right]+\left[ \pm C^{\prime} t^{\beta}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This is contained in the interval (4.3) for some constant $C$. This proves the theorem.
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